

HISTORY OF ISLAM II

VOLUME 2

The Western Islamic World
Eleventh to Eighteenth Centuries

Edited by
MARIBEL FIERRO

«

Cambridge

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

THE NEW CAMBRIDGE HISTORY OF ISLAM

VOLUME 2

The Western Islamic World
Eleventh to Eighteenth Centuries

Volume 2 of The New Cambridge History of Islam is devoted to the history of the western Islamic lands from the political fragmentation of the eleventh century to the beginnings of European colonialism towards the end of the eighteenth century. This volume embraces a vast area from al Andalus and North Africa to Arabia and the lands of the Ottomans. In the first four sections, scholars all leaders in their particular fields chart the rise and fall, and explain the political and religious developments, of the various independent ruling dynasties across the region, including famously the Almohads, the Fatimids and Mamluks, and, of

course, the Ottomans. The final section of this volume explores the commonalities and continuities that united these diverse and geographically disparate communities, through in depth analyses of state formation, conversion, taxation, scholarship and the military.

maribel fierro is a Research Professor at the Center of Human and Social Sciences (CCHS) of the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Madrid. Her previous publications include *Al Andalus: Saberes e intercambios culturales* (2001), *Abd al Rahman III, the first Cordoban caliph* (2005), *Los Almohad.es: Problemas y perspectivas* (as co editor, 2005) and *El cuerpo derrotado: Como trataban musulmanes y cristianos a los enemigos vencidos (Peninsula Iberica, ss. VIII XIII)* (as co editor, 2008).

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

THE NEW CAMBRIDGE HISTORY OF ISLAM

The New Cambridge History of Islam offers a comprehensive history of Islamic civilisation, tracing its development from its beginnings in seventh century Arabia to its wide and varied presence in the globalised world of today. Under the leadership of the Prophet Muhammad, the Muslim community coalesced from a scattered, desert population and, following his death, emerged from Arabia to conquer an empire which, by the early eighth century, stretched from India in the east to Spain in the west. By the eighteenth century, despite political fragmentation, the Muslim world extended from West Africa to South East Asia. Today, Muslims are also found in significant numbers in Europe and the Americas, and make up about one fifth of the world's population.

To reflect this geographical distribution and the cultural, social and religious diversity of the peoples of the Muslim world, The New Cambridge History of Islam is divided into six volumes. Four cover historical developments, and two are devoted to themes that cut across geographical and chronological divisions themes ranging from social, political and economic relations to the arts, literature and learning. Each volume begins with a panoramic introduction setting the scene for the ensuing chapters and examining relationships with adjacent civilisations. Two of the volumes

one historical, the other thematic are dedicated to the developments of the last two centuries, and show how Muslims, united for so many years in their allegiance to an overarching and distinct tradition, have sought to come to terms with the emergence of Western hegemony and the transition to modernity.

The time is right for this new synthesis reflecting developments in scholarship over the last generation. The New Cambridge History of Islam is an ambitious enterprise directed and written by a team combining established authorities and innovative younger scholars. It will be the standard reference for students, scholars and all those with enquiring minds for years to come.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

General editor

MICHAEL COOK, CLASS OF 1943 UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR
OF NEAR EASTERN STUDIES, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

VOLUME I

The Formation of the Islamic World
Sixth to Eleventh Centuries

EDITED BY CHASE F. ROBINSON
VOLUME 2

The Western Islamic World
Eleventh to Eighteenth Centuries

EDITED BY MARIBEL FIERRO
VOLUME 3

The Eastern Islamic World
Eleventh to Eighteenth Centuries

EDITED BY DAVID O. MORGAN AND ANTHONY REID
VOLUME 4

Islamic Cultures and Societies to the End of the Eighteenth Century

EDITED BY ROBERT IRWIN
VOLUME 5

The Islamic World in the Age of Western Dominance

EDITED BY FRANCIS ROBINSON
VOLUME 6

Muslims and Modernity
Culture and Society since 1800

EDITED BY ROBERT W. HEFNER

Grants made from an award to the General Editor by the
Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, and from the National Endowment for the
Humanities RZ-50616-06, contributed to the development of
The New Cambridge History of Islam. In particular the grants funded
the salary of William M. Blair who served as Editorial Assistant
from 2004 to 2008.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore,
Sao Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University
Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521839570

© Cambridge University Press 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,

no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2010

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

isbn 978-0-521-83957-0 Volume 2 Hardback

isbn 978-0-521-51536-8 Set of 6 Hardback Volumes

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in

this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Contents

List of plates page xi

List of maps xii

List of dynastic tables xiii

List of contributors xiv

A note on transliteration and pronunciation xix

Chronology xxi

List of abbreviations xxxvi

Introduction 1

MARIBEL FIERRO
PART I

AL-ANDALUS AND NORTH AND WEST AFRICA
(ELEVENTH TO FIFTEENTH CENTURIES)

i • Al Andalus and the Maghrib (from the fifth/ eleventh century to the fall of the Almoravids) 21

MARIA JESUS VIGUERA MOLINS

2 • The central lands of North Africa and Sicily, until the beginning of the Almohad period 48

MICHAEL BRETT

3 • The Almohads (524 668/1130 1269) and the Hafsids (627 932/1229 1526) 66

MARIBEL FIERRO

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Contents

4 • The post Almohad dynasties in al Andalus and the Maghrib (seventh ninth/ thirteenth fifteenth centuries) 106

FERNANDO RODRIGUEZ MEDIANO

5 • West Africa and its early empires 144

ULRICH REBSTOCK
PART II

EGYPT AND SYRIA (ELEVENTH CENTURY
UNTIL THE OTTOMAN CONQUEST)

6 • Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest to the fall of the Ayyubids (359 658/970 1260) 161

ANNE MARIE EDDE

7 • The Fatimid caliphate (358 567/969 1171) and the Ayyubids
in Egypt (567 648/1171 1250) 201

YAACOV LEV

8 • The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria: the Turkish Mamluk
sultanate (648 784/1250 1382) and the Circassian Mamluk
sultanate (784 923/1382 1517) 237

AMALIA LEVANONI

9 • Western Arabia and Yemen (fifth/ eleventh century
to the Ottoman conquest) 285

ESTHER PESKES
PART III

MUSLIM ANATOLIA AND THE OTTOMAN
EMPIRE

10 • The Turks in Anatolia before the Ottomans 301

GARY LEISER

11 • The rise of the Ottomans 313

KATE FLEET

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Contents

12 • The Ottoman empire (tenth/ sixteenth century) 332

COLIN IMBER

13 • The Ottoman empire: the age of political households'
(eleventh twelfth/ seventeenth eighteenth centuries) 366

SURAIYA FAROQHI

14 • Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans 411

BRUCE MASTERS

15 • Western Arabia and Yemen during the Ottoman period 436

BERNARD HAYKEL
PART IV

NORTH AND WEST AFRICA (SIXTEENTH
TO EIGHTEENTH CENTURIES)

16 • Sharifian rule in Morocco (tenth twelfth/sixteenth eighteenth
centuries) 453

STEPHEN CORY

17 • West Africa (tenth twelfth/ sixteenth eighteenth centuries) 480

ULRICH REBSTOCK

18 • Ottoman Maghrib 503

HOUARI TOUATI
PART V

RULERS, SOLDIERS, PEASANTS, SCHOLARS
AND TRADERS

19 • State formation and organisation 549

MICHAEL BRETT

20 • Conversion to Islam: from the 'age of conversions' to the millet
system 586

MERCEDES GARCIA ARENAL

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Contents

21 • Taxation and armies 607

ALBRECHT FUESS

22 • Trade 632

22A Muslim trade in the late medieval Mediterranean world 633

OLIVIA REMIE CONSTABLE

22B Overland trade in the western Islamic world

(fifth ninth /eleventh fifteenth centuries) 648

JOHN L. MELOY

22C Trade in the Ottoman lands to 1215/ 1800 665

BRUCE MASTERS

23 • The 'ulama' 6j9

MANUELA MARIN

Glossary jo\$

Bibliography 711

Index 803

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Plates

The plates are to be found between pages 398 and 399

1 Mosque of the Fatimid caliph al Hakim, Cairo (fourth fifth/
tenth eleventh centuries). Photo by Susana Calvo Capilla
(Universidad Complutense Madrid).

2 Mosque of Bab Mardum, Toledo (fifth/ eleventh century). Photo by
Susana Calvo Capilla (Universidad Complutense Madrid).

3 Almohad mosque of Tinmal, southern Morocco (sixth/ twelfth
century). Photo by Jean Pierre van Staevel (Sorbonne,
Paris IV).

4 Almohad mosque in Mertola (Portugal), now converted into a

church. Photo by Susana Calvo Capilla (Universidad Complutense Madrid).

5 Ince Minare Mosque, Konya (Saljuq, seventh/thirteenth century). Photo by Gary Leiser.

6 Minaret of the mosque of Sankore (Timbuktu). Photo by Francisco Vidal Castro (Universidad de Jaen).

7 Mosque of Shinqit (built late fifteenth century, local traditions early ninth century, taken 1979). Photo by Ulrich Rebstock

8 Qalawun's complex. Mamluk Cairo (seventh/thirteenth century). Photo by Susana Calvo Capilla (Universidad Complutense Madrid).

9 Kilic Ali Pasha mosque and in the background Hagia Sophia, Istanbul (Ottoman, tenth/ sixteenth century). Photo by Aytac Onay (courtesy of Marc Lucini CSIC).

10 al BakTriyya mosque, San c a] , Yemen (Ottoman, tenth/sixteenth century). Photo by Matthew Kuehl (Yemen College of Middle Eastern Studies).

11 Rotonda, Thessaloniki: Roman church transformed into a mosque with addition of an Ottoman minaret (tenth/ sixteenth century), nowadays a church. Photo by Aytac Onay (courtesy of Marc Lucini CSIC).

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Maps

i The Taifa kingdoms page xxxviii

2 The central lands of North Africa and Sicily xxxix

3 The Almohad caliphate (sixth/ twelfth century) xl

4 West Africa xli

5 The Ayyubid territories in 615/ 1218 xlii

- 6 Islamic (post conquest) Egypt xliii
- 7 The Turks in Anatolia before the Ottomans xliv
- 8 The Ottoman empire in 1566 xlv
- 9 The Ottoman empire in Asia and Africa xlvi
- 10 The Red Sea region xlvii
- 11 Sharifian rule in Morocco xlviii
- 12 The western Mediterranean xlix
- 13 The western Islamic World 1

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Dynastic tables

- i The Almoravids page 45
- 2 Central Mediterranean lands 62
- 3 Marinids 137
- 4 Wattasids 138
- 5 'AbdalWadids 138
- 6 Nasrids (Banu '1 Ahmar) 139
- 7 Ayyubids: the house of Saladin 196
- 8 Ayyubids: the house of al 'Adil 197
- 9 Ayyubids of Hama 198
- 10 Ayyubids of Hims 198
- 11 Fatimids, 297 567/909 1171 232

- 12 The Turkish Mamluk sultans 279
- 13 The Circassian sultans 280
- 14 Yemen 292
- 15 The Saljuqs of Anatolia 311
- 16 Moroccan rulers (tenth twelfth/ sixteenth eighteenth centuries) 475

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Contributors

Michael Brett is Emeritus Reader in the History of North Africa at the School of Oriental and African Studies, London. He is the author of (with Werner Forman) *The Moors: Islam in the West* (London, 1980); (with Elizabeth Fentress) *The Berbers* (Oxford, 1996); *Van Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, Variorum Series (Aldershot, 1999); and *The rise of the Fatimids: The world of the Mediterranean and the Middle East in the fourth century of the Hijra, tenth century CE* (Leiden, 2001).

Olivia Remie Constable received her BA in Near Eastern Languages and Literatures from Yale University in 1983, and her PhD in Near Eastern Studies from Princeton University in 1989. Her areas of interest concern the economic, social and urban history of the medieval Iberian peninsula and Mediterranean world, especially contacts between Muslims and Christians. She has published *Trade and traders in Muslim Spain: The commercial realignment of the Iberian Peninsula* (Cambridge, 1994); *Medieval Iberia: Readings from Christian, Muslim, and Jewish sources* (Philadelphia, 1997); and *Housing the stranger in the Mediterranean world: Lodging, trade, and travel in late antiquity and the middle ages* (Cambridge, 2003).

Stephen Cory received his PhD in Islamic History from University of California at Santa Barbara in 2002, with a dissertation entitled 'Chosen by God to Rule: The Caliphate and Political Legitimacy in Early Modern Morocco'. He conducted research in Morocco and Spain between 1999 and 2001, with funding from Fulbright and Fulbright Hays fellowships. During spring 2006, he taught at Al Akhawayn University in Ifrane, Morocco, as a Visiting Fulbright Professor. Dr Cory is currently an Assistant Professor of History and Religious Studies at Cleveland State University in Cleveland, OH. His publications include 'Language of power: The use of literary Arabic as political propaganda in early modern Morocco', *Maghreb Review* 30, 1 (2005); 'Breaking the Khaldunian cycle? The rise of Sharifianism as the basis for political legitimacy in early modern Morocco', *Journal of North African Studies* 13, 3 (September 2008).

Anne Marie Edde was Maitre de Conferences at the University of Paris IV Sorbonne (1982-97), and then Professor of Medieval History at the University of Reims (1997-2000). She is now Director of the Institut de Recherche et d'Histoire des Textes (Paris) which is part of the Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (CNRS). Her main research field is Islamic history of the Middle East at the time of the Crusades. Among her publications

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

List of contributors

are: 'Izz al-Din von Shaddad, *Description de la Syrie du Nord*, traduction annotée (Damascus, 1984); *La principauté ayyoubide d'Alcp (579/1183-658/1260)* (Stuttgart, 1999); *Saladin* (Paris, 2008); and in collaboration with Françoise Micheau, *Al-Makin von al-'Anud, Chronique des*

Ayyoubides (602 658/1205 6 1259 60), traduction annotée, Documents relatifs à Vhístcdre des croisades publiés par V Académie des Inscriptions et Belles Lettres (Paris, 1994) and L'Orient au temps des croisades: Textes arabes choisis (Paris, 2002).

Suraiya Faroqhi teaches Ottoman history at Bilgi University, Istanbul. Her publications include Approaching Ottoman history: An introduction to the sources (Cambridge, 1999), The Ottoman empire and the world around it, 1540s to 1774 (London, 2004) and Artisans of empire: Crafts and craftspeople under the Ottomans (London, 2009). A collection of her articles was published in Istanbul: Stories of Ottoman men and women: Establishing status, establishing control (2002). She has edited vol. 3 of the Cambridge history of Turkey: The later Ottoman Empire (Cambridge, 2006) and together with Christoph K. Neumann co-edited The illuminated table, the prosperous house (Wiirtburg, 2003) and Ottoman costumes: From textile to identity (Istanbul, 2004). Merchants in the Ottoman Empire (Leuven, 2008) has been co-edited with Gilles Veinstein.

Maribel Fierro is a Research Professor at the Center of Human and Social Sciences (CCHS) of the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (Madrid). She has published extensively on a variety of subjects, dealing mostly with the intellectual history of al Andalus, Islamic law and religion. Her most recent books are Al Andalus: saberes e intercambios culturales (2001, published in Spanish, Arabic and French) and Abd al Rahman III, the first Cordoban caliph (Oxford, 2005). She has co-edited with P. Cressier and L. Molina Los Almohades: problemas y perspectivas (Madrid, 2005) and with Francisco García Fitz El cuerpo derrotado: Como trataban musulmanes y cristianos a los enemigos vencidos (Península Ibérica, ss. VIII XIII) (Madrid, 2008).

Kate Fleet is Director of the Skilliter Centre for Ottoman Studies and Newton Trust Lecturer in Ottoman History at Cambridge University where she teaches Ottoman history and the history of modern Turkey. Her books include European and Islamic trade in the early Ottoman state: The merchants of Genoa and Turkey (Cambridge, 1999). She is editor of volume

I of The Cambridge history of Turkey: Byzantium to Turkey, wyl 1453 (Cambridge, 2009) and, together with Suraiya Faroqi, of volume II, The Ottoman Empire as a world power, 1453 1603 (forthcoming).

Albrecht Fuess studied Islamic Studies and History in Cologne, Cairo, Beirut and London. Since 2002 he has taught as Assistant Professor in the Department of Islamic Studies at Erfurt University. From 2007 to 2009 he was on secondment as academic fellow of the French Science Foundation 'Le Studium' to work on a research project on the history of the Middle East in the sixteenth century comparing the Ottoman, Mamluk and Safavid systems of governance, at the 'Equipe Monde Arabe et Mediterranee', CNRS/Universite Francois Rabelais, Tours (France). Selected publications: Vcrbranntcs Ufer: Auswirkungen mamlukischer Seepolitik auf Beirut und die syro palastinensische Kiiste (1250 1517) (Leiden, 2001); 'Rotting ships and razed harbours: The naval policy of the Mamluks', Mamluk Studies Review, 5 (2001), 45 71; 'Prelude to a stronger involvement in the Middle East: French attacks on Beirut in the years 1403 and 1520', al Masaa, 17, 2 (2005), 171 92; 'Sultans with horns: The political significance of headgear in the Mamluk Empire', Mamluk Studies Review, 12, 2 (2008), 71 94.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

List of contributors

Mercedes Garcia Arrenal is Research Professor at the Center of Human and Social Sciences (CCHS) of the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas (Madrid). She is a historian of early modern western Islam with special interest in minorities, conversion and messianism. Among her publications: (ed.) Islamic conversions: Religious identities in Mediterranean Islam / Conversions islamiques: Identites religieuses en Islam mediterraneen

(Paris, 2001); with G. Wiegers, *A man of three worlds: Samuel Pallache, a Moroccan Jew in Catholic and Protestant Europe* (Baltimore, 2003); *Messianism and puritanical reform: Mahdis of the Muslim West* (Leiden, 2006).

Bernard Haykel is Professor of Near Eastern Studies at Princeton University, specialising in the history and politics of the Middle East. He received his D.Phil, in 1998 from the University of Oxford and his main research focuses on Islamic political movements, Islamic law and the history of Saudi Arabia and Yemen. He has also published on the Salafi movement in both its pre modern and modern manifestations. In particular, his book entitled *Revival and reform in Islam* (Cambridge, 2003) fully explores this strand of Islamic legal and political thought. At Princeton, he directs the Institute for Transregional Study of the Contemporary Middle East, North Africa and Central Asia as well as the Oil, Energy and the Middle East Project.

Colin Imber was from 1970 a Lecturer, Senior Lecturer and finally Reader in Turkish Studies at the University of Manchester. He retired in 2005. Among his works: *The Ottoman Empire, 1400 1481* (Istanbul, 1990), *Studies in Ottoman history and law* (Istanbul, 1996), *Ebu's su'ud: The Islamic legal tradition* (Edinburgh, 1997), *The Ottoman Empire, 1300 1650: The structure of power* (Basingstoke, 2002), and *The Crusade of Varna, 1443 1445* (Aldershot, 2006).

Gary Leiser is director emeritus of the Travis Air Museum, Fairfield, California. He obtained a doctorate in medieval Middle Eastern history from the University of Pennsylvania (1976). His publications include *A history of the Seljuks: Ibrahim Kafesoglu's interpretation and the resulting controversy* (Carbondale, 1988) and the translations of M. F. Kopruliti's *Origins of the Ottoman Empire* (Albany, 1992), *Some observations on the influence of Byzantine institutions on Ottoman institutions* (Ankara, 1999) and, with Robert Dankoff, *Early Mystics in Turkish Literature* (New York, 2006).

Amalia Levanoni is Professor of Middle Eastern History at the University of Haifa. Her research has focused on pre modern Egypt, especially the Mamluk period (1250 1517). Her publications include A turning point in Mamluk history (Leiden, 1995), The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society (co edited with Michael Winter) (Leiden, 2004) and numerous articles on the social and political history of the Mamluks.

Yaacov Lev is Professor of Islamic Medieval History at Bar Ilan University (Israel). Recent publications include Charity, endowments, and charitable institutions in medieval Islam (Orlando, 2005) and 'Piety and political activism in twelfth century Egypt' (Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam, 2006).

Manuela Marín is a Research Professor at the Center of Human and Social Sciences (CCHS) of the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (Madrid). She has published

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

List of contributors

extensively on a variety of subjects, dealing mostly with the social history of al Andalus, food history in Islamic culture and women's history. Her most recent books are Al Andalus y los andalusies (2000, published in Spanish, Arabic and French), Mujeres en al Andalus (Madrid, 2000) and Vidas de mujeres andalusies (Malaga, 2006). She has co edited Writing the feminine: Women in Arab sources (London, 2002), and has edited The formation of al Andalus: History and society (Ashgate, 1998) and Arab Islamic medieval culture (special issue of Medieval prosopography: History and collective biography, 2002).

Bruce Masters is the John Andrus Professor of History at Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut where he teaches Islamic and Ottoman history. He is the

author of *The origins of Western economic dominance in the Middle East: Mercantilism and the Islamic economy in Aleppo, 1600-1750* (New York, 1988) and *Christians and Jews in the Ottoman Arab world: The roots of sectarianism* (Cambridge, 2001), and co-author with Edhem Eldem and Daniel Goffman of *The Ottoman city between East and West: Aleppo, Izmir and Istanbul* (Cambridge, 1999).

John L. MELOY is an Associate Professor in the Department of History and Archaeology at the American University of Beirut. Recent publications include: 'Celebrating the Mahmal: The Rajab festival in fifteenth century Cairo', in J. Pfeiffer and S. Quinn (eds.), *History and historiography of post Mongol Central Asia and the Middle East* (Wiesbaden, 2006); 'The privatization of protection: Extortion and the state in the Circassian Mamluk period', *JESHO*, 47, 2 (2004), 1-18; 'Imperial strategy and political exigency: The Red Sea spice trade and the Mamluk sultanate in the fifteenth century', *JAOS*, 123, 1 (2003), 1-19.

Esther Peskes received her PhD from the University of Bochum and is currently Privatdozentin for Islamic Studies at the University of Bonn. Her publications include *Muhammad b. 'Abdalwahhab (1703-92) im Widerstreit: Untersuchungen zur Rekonstruktion der Frühgeschichte der Wahhabiya* (Beirut and Stuttgart, 1993) and *Al 'Aidarus und seine Erben: eine Untersuchung zu Geschichte und Sufismus einer hadramitischen sada Gruppe vom 9. bis zum 18. Jahrhundert* (Stuttgart, 2005).

Ulrich Rebstock is currently a Professor of Islamic Studies at the Albrecht Ludwigs University at Freiburg. After studying at the University of Tübingen (1973-83) and the School of Oriental and African Studies in London, he taught at Cologne, Berlin and Freiburg as well as London. His research and writing cover various fields of Islamic historical studies, among them the history of Arabic literature in the western Sahara (see *Maurische Literaturgeschichte*, 3 vols. (Würzburg, 2001)), early Islamic history in North

Africa (see *Die Ibaditen im Magrib (2./ 8. 4./10. Jh.): die Geschichte einer Berberbewegung im Gewand des Islam* (Berlin, 1983)), and the history of practical Arabic mathematics (see *Rechnen im islamischen Orient: die literarischen Spuren der praktischen Rechenkunst* (Darmstadt, 1992)).

Fernando Rodríguez Mediano is Senior Researcher at the Center of Human and Social Sciences (CCHS) of the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (Madrid). His research focuses on the Iberian Peninsula and Morocco in the modern period (sixteenth seventeenth centuries) and on Maghribi biographical and hagiographical

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

List of contributors

literature. Among his publications: *Familias de Fez (ss. XV XVII)* (Madrid, 1995); with Mercedes García Arenal and Rachid El Hour, *Cartas marruecas: Documentos de Marruecos en archivos españoles (siglos XVI XVII)* (Madrid, 2002); 'Justice, crime et chatiment au Maroc au XVI^e siècle', *Annales: Histoire, Sciences Sociales* (1996); 'Justice, amour et crainte dans les récits hagiographiques marocains', *Studia Islamica* (2000); 'Fragmentos de orientalismo español del s. XVII', *Hispania* (2006).

Houari Touati is Directeur d' études in the Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales (EHESS) in Paris. His research focuses on the historical anthropology of the Maghrib and on the intellectual and cultural history of the medieval Islamic world. Among his publications: *Entre Dieu et les hommes: Lettres, saints et sorciers au Maghreb (XVII^e siècle)* (Paris, 1994); *Islam et voyage au moyen âge: Histoire et anthropologie d'une pratique lettrée* (Paris, 2000) and *L'armoire à sagesse: Bibliothèques et collections en Islam* (Paris, 2003). He is editor of

the journal *Studia Islamica*.

Maria Jesus Viguera Molins is Professor of Arabic and Islamic Studies at the University Complutense (Madrid). In the context of her contribution in this volume she has edited *Historia de España* R. Menéndez Pidal, vol. VIII 2: *El retroceso territorial de al Andalus: Almorávides y Almohades. Siglos XI al XIII* (Madrid, 1997) and *Andalucía en al Andalus*, vol. III of the *Historia de Andalucía* (Seville and Barcelona, 2006), which also include chapters by her. She is the author of *Las dinastías norteafricanas: Almorávides y Almohades (siglos XI XIII)*, in the series *Cuadernos de Trabajo de Historia de Andalucía* (Seville, 1997).

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

A note on transliteration and pronunciation

Since many of the languages used by Muslims are written in the Arabic or other non Latin scripts, these languages appear in transliteration. The transliteration of Arabic, Turkish and Ottoman Turkish is based upon the conventions used by *The encyclopaedia of Islam*, second edition, with the following modifications.

As regards Arabic, for the fifth letter of the Arabic alphabet (jīm), j is used (not dj), as in *jumla*. For the twenty first letter (qāf), q is used (not fe), as in *qiddi*. Digraphs such as th, dh, gh, kh and sh are not underlined. For terms and names in other languages, the individual chapter contributors employ systems of transliteration that are standard for those languages. Where there are well accepted Anglicised versions of proper nouns or terms (e.g. Baghdad, Mecca), these are used instead of strict transliterations.

For Ottoman Turkish, The encyclopaedia of Islam distinguishes between words of Arabic and Persian origin and words of Turkish origin. For the former, consonants and long vowels are transcribed as above, but short vowels as in modern Turkish orthography. For words of Turkish origin, the consonants are transcribed as above (but with v for w), and the vowels as in modern Turkish orthography.

As far as the pronunciation of Arabic is concerned, some letters can be represented by single English letters that are pronounced much as they are in

English (b, j, f etc.); one exception is q, which is a 'k' sound produced at the

very back of the throat, and another is the V, which is the 'flap' of the Spanish

V. Others are represented by more than one letter. Some of these are straightforward (th, sh), but others are not (kh is pronounced like j" in Spanish, gh is similar to the uvular V of most French speakers, and dh is 'th'

of 'the', rather than of 'thing'). There are also pairs of letters that are distinguished by a dot placed underneath one of them: thus t, s, d, z and their 'emphatic' counterparts ṭ, ṣ, ḍ, and ẓ, and which give the surrounding

vowels a thicker, duller sound (thus ʕ as in 'sad', but s as in 'sun'); d and z may

also be pronounced as an emphatic dh.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

A note on transliteration and pronunciation

The ' is the hamza, the glottal stop, as in the Cockney 'bu'er' ('butter'); the c

is the 'ayn, a voiced pharyngeal fricative that can be left unpronounced, which

is what many non Arab speakers do when it occurs in Arabic loanwords; and

the h is a voiceless pharyngeal fricative that can be pronounced as an 'h' in all

positions, just as non Arabs do in Arabic loanwords. Doubled consonants are

lengthened, as in the English 'hot tub'.

The vowels are written as a, i and u, with a, T and u signifying longer versions; thus bit and beat. W and y can function either as consonants or, when preceded by a short vowel, as part of a diphthong.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

336/948 Kalbid rule begins in Sicily.

359/970 The Fatimids establish their capital in Cairo.

The Zirids rule in their name in Ifriqiya.

361/972 The Fatimids leave for Egypt.

400/1009 Destruction of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre in

Jerusalem during the reign of the Fatimid caliph

al Hakim.

401/1010 The ruling Sharif in Mecca proclaims himself caliph.

In Yemen al Husayn ibn al Qasim al 'Iyani claims to

be the rightful imam and the mahdi.

405/1014 15 The Zirid Hammad recognises the 'Abbasid caliphate.

406 7/1015 17 Massacre of SbTis in Tunis and Qayra wan.

411/1021 Death of the Fatimid caliph al Hakim.

414 15/1024 5 Famine in Egypt.

416/1025 Beginnings of the Mirdasid dynasty (northern Syria and

the middle Euphrates area). Byzantine landing at Messina.

422/1031 Abolishment of the Umayyad caliphate in Cordoba.

425/1034 Peace between the Kalbids of Sicily and the

Byzantines.

426 40/1035 48 Beginnings of the Almoravid movement.

431/1040 The Saljuq Turks defeat the Ghaznavids at

Dandanqan.

440/1048 9 The Zirids recognise the 'Abbasid caliphate and

renounce allegiance to the Fatimids.

443/1051 The am.Tr of the Banu Qurra in Barqa (Cyrenaica)

denounces the Fatimids and offers his allegiance to the

Zirid al Mu'izz.

443/1052 The Arab Banu Hilal after entering Ifriqiya from

Fatimid Egypt defeat the Zirids at Haydaran.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Chronology

446/1054 5 The Zirid al Mu'izz returns to Fatimid allegiance.

450 / 1058 Death of al Mawardi, author of an influential work on

Islamic political thought (al Ahkam al sultaniyya).

451/1059 Death of Ibn Yasin, founder of the Almoravid movement.

453/1061 The Normans commanded by Roger cross into Sicily.

454/1062 Death of the Zirid al Mu'izz.

455 / 1063 Al Sulayhi rules over wide parts of Yemen in the name

of the Fatimid caliph. A pro Fatimid reign is installed

in Mecca.

456/1064 Death of the Zahiri jurist and theologian Ibn Hazm in

al Andalus.

457/1064 The Saljuq Alp Arslan invades Georgia and takes the

Armenian towns of Ani and Kars.

459/1066 Massacre of Jews in Zirid Granada.

463/ 1070 Founding of Marrakesh by the Almoravids.

463/1071 The Saljuqs defeat the Byzantines at Manzikert.

464/1072 Norman conquest of Palermo.

466/1073 The Armenian Badr al Jamali intervenes in Fatimid Egypt, beginning of military rule.

467/1075 Sulayman ibn Qutulmish seizes Nicaea and founds the Saljuq sultanate of Anatolia.

469 70/1077 Norman conquest of Val di Mazara in Sicily.

478/1085 Christian conquest of Toledo.

479/1086 The Almoravids defeat the Christians at the battle of Zallaqa.

484/1091 Completion of Norman conquest of Sicily (started in 453/1061).

485/1092 Assassination of the Saljuq vizier Nizam al Mulk

487/1094 The Fatimid caliph al Mustansir dies. Disagreement over his succession brings about the emergence of the Nizaris, a branch of the Isma'ilis. El Cid conquers Valencia.

489/1096 Qilij Arslan annihilates the People's Crusade of Peter the Hermit after it crossed the Bosphorus from Constantinople. The Hilalian Banu Jami c establish their rule in Gabes.

490/1098 The Crusaders conquer Antioch.

492/1099 The Crusaders conquer Jerusalem.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

494/1100 Baldwin of Edessa has himself crowned king of Jerusalem.

497/1104 Acre conquered by the Crusaders.

502/1109 Tripoli conquered by the Crusaders.

503/1109 In Cordoba, burning of the works by al Ghazali, author of Ihy<? 'ulum al din ('The revival of religious sciences').
505/1111 Death of al Ghazali.

511/1119 Ibn Tumart, the founder of the Almohad movement, arrives at Bougie.

512/1119 Christian armies reach the banks of the Ebro river in the Iberian Peninsula.

515/1121 The Fatimid caliph al Amir puts an end to al Afdal's military rule. Ibn Tumart is proclaimed mahdi.

517/1123 The Fatimids invade Palestine and are defeated by the Crusaders at the battle of Yabne (Ibelin).

518/1124 Tyre conquered by the Franks. Ibn Tumart and his followers move to Tinmal.

524/1130 Assassination of the Fatimid caliph al Amir. Attack of the Almohads against Marrakesh (battle of Buhayra).

Death of Ibn Tumart.

527/1133 'Abd al Mu'min is proclaimed Ibn Tumart' s successor.

529/1135 The Normans of Sicily, under Roger II, occupy the isle of

Djerba. Norman presence in the Ifriqiyan coast lasts until

555/1160, being brought to an end by the Almohads.

535/ii4of. The Almohads complete the conquest of the Sus.

Andalusi revolts against the Almoravids.

539/1144 Zangi takes Edessa from the Franks. The Sufi Ibn Qasi rules in the Algarve (southern Portugal).

539/1145 Death of Reverter, the commander of the Almoravid

Christian mercenaries.

540/1146 Fez conquered by the Almohads. Friday sermon

delivered in the name of the Almohads in Cadiz. Ibn

Hud defeated and killed by the Christians in

al Andalus.

541/1146 Death of Zangi.

541/1147 Almohad conquest of Marrakesh, capital of the

Almoravid empire. Lisbon conquered by Crusaders

travelling to Jerusalem (542/1147). Almohad troops

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

cross the Straits and take possession of the Algarve and

Seville.

542/1147 Almeria conquered by the Christians with Genoese

help.

542 5/1147 50 The rebellion of al Mass! crushed by the Almohads.

543/1148 Second Crusade. Norman conquest of al Mahdiyya.

The Ebro valley is completely lost to the Christians.

544/1149 Nur al Din wins the battle of Inab where Raymond of

Antioch is killed. Death of Qadi 'Iyad, author of a

popular book on the Prophet Muhammad. Great

'purge' (iHirafi of the Almohads.

546/1151 The rulers of the western regions of al Andalus cross

the Straits to pledge obedience to the Almohad caliph

<Abd al Mu'min.

547/1152 Algiers, Bougie, the Qal'a of the Banu Hammad and

Constantine conquered by the Almohads.

548/1153 [Abd al Mu'min crushes the tribes of the Banu Hilal at

Setif. Ascalon conquered by Baldwin III.

549/1154 Nur al Din conquers Damascus and makes it his

capital.

552/1157 The pledge of obedience of the original Almohad

tribes is renewed and the caliph visits Tinmal. Almeria

conquered by the Almohads.

554 5/1159 60 Almohad conquest of Ifriqiya, including al Mahdiyya,

Sfax and Tripoli.

556/1161 Defeat of the Arab tribes by the Almohads in al Qarn

near Qayrawan.

560 2/1165 6 The Almohads fight and defeat Mazizdag al Ghumari

and his son.

560 5/1164 9 Frankish invasions of Egypt.

560/1165 The Jewish thinker Maimonides, escaping Almohad

persecution, arrives in Egypt.

561/1166 Death of the Sufi 'Abd al Qadir aljilani.

563/1168 The Almohad Abu Ya'qub Yusuf takes the caliphal title.

564/1169 Ibn Mardamsh, ruler of the Levant in al Andalus, is

abandoned by Ibn Hamushk.

567/1171 Saladin puts an end to the Fatimid caliphate.

Acknowledgement of the Abbasid caliphate in Egypt.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

The Almohad caliph crosses to al Andalus with an

army including Arabs from Ifriqiya and raids are made

in the area of Toledo.

567/1172 Death of Ibn Mardanish

569/1174 Ayyubid invasion of Yemen. The Normans of Sicily attack Alexandria. Death of Nur al Din and Amalric.

Giraldo Sem Pavor defects to the Almohads, serving them in the Maghrib where he dies.

571/1176 Death of Abu Hafs 'Umar Inti, the last of Ibn Tumart's companions and eponym of the Hafsids.

572/1176 Qilij Arslan defeats Manuel Comnenus at Myriokephalon ending Byzantine hope of retaking

Anatolia.

573/1178 The king of Portugal raids the areas of Beja and

Seville.

575 6/1180 1 The Almohad caliph leads a successful expedition against Gafsa.

578/1182 Alfonso VIII of Castile camps in front of Cordoba and his raids reach Algeciras near the sea. Death of the Sufi

Ahmad al RifaT

580/1184 The Almoravid 'Ali ibn Ghaniya occupies Bougie, Algiers and Milyana.

582/1186 The Almoravid 'All ibn Ghaniya occupies the oasis of Tawzar and Gafsa and joins forces with the governor

of Tripoli, the Armenian Qaraqush. The Almohad caliph

Abu Yusuf Ya'qub launches an expedition against Ifriqiya.

583/1187 Saladin's victory over the Crusaders at Hittin is followed by the Muslim conquest of Acre and

Jerusalem. Dinar issued by Saladin in Damascus to

celebrate the victory over the Franks.

585/1189 Third Crusade.

586/1190 An ambassador sent by Saladin asks the Almohad caliph

to help halt the Crusaders in the east with his fleet.

587/1191 Acre conquered by the Crusaders. The Sufi
al Suhrawardi executed in Aleppo on Saladin's orders.
589/1193 Death of Saladin.
591/1195 The Almohad army defeats Alfonso VIII at Alarcos.
595/1198 Death of the philosopher Averroes.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Chronology

595 / 1198 1200 Famine in Egypt.
599/1203 The Almohads take the Balearic Islands from the
Almoravid Banu Ghaniya.
600/1204 Capture of Constantinople by the Fourth Crusade.
Byzantine rule reduced to the region around Nicaea
and the principality of Trebizond.
603 / 1207 Kaykhusraw I captures Antalya on the Mediterranean.
609/1212 The Almohad caliph al Nasir is defeated by the
Christians at Las Navas de Tolosa (also known as the Battle of Iqab).
610/1213 Beginning of the rise of the Marinids.
611/1214 Kayka'us I captures Sinop on the Black Sea.
614 18/1217 21 Fifth Crusade.
616/1219 Crusaders' conquest of Damietta.
618/1221 The Crusaders leave Egypt.
621 44/1224 46 Deportation of the Muslims of the Val di Mazara to
Lucera in Apulia.
625/1228 Ibn Hud al Judhami rebels against the Almohads in
al Andalus. The caliph al Ma'mun crosses the Straits

to depose Yahya al Mu'tasim in Marrakesh.

626/1228f Sixth Crusade. The Crusaders recapture Jerusalem.

End of Ayyubid rule and beginning of Rasulid rule in

Yemen.

627/1229 Beginning of the Hafsid dynasty in Ifriqiya.

627/1230 Kaykubad I defeats the Khwaraz Shah at Yassi Chimen.

628/1231 Majorca conquered by the Aragonese.

633/1236 Christian conquest of Cordoba. The Mongols invade

Georgia.

635/1238 Christian conquest of Valencia.

638/1240 Death of the Sufi Muhyi 'l Din ibn al c Arabi. Rebellion

of Baba Ishaq. The Ayyubid ruler of Egypt al Salih

Ayyub takes actions against the amirs of the

Ashrafiyya and deprives them of their tqa's.

641/1243 The Mongol Ilkhans annihilate the Saljuq army at

Kose Dag east of Sivas.

642/1244 The Khwarizmians take back Jerusalem from the

Franks.

643/1246 Treaty of Jaen: the Nasrid sultan surrenders Granada

to the king of Castile and Leon and agrees to become

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

his vassal. Nomination of a Franciscan friar as bishop

in Marrakesh to cater for the needs of the Christian

mercenaries.

645/1248 The Almohad caliph al Sa'id attempts to regain

control of the Maghrib and Ifriqiya, but is defeated by

the 'Abd al Wadid ruler of Tlemcen.

646/1248 Seventh Crusade. Conquest of Seville andjaen by

Fernando III of Castile.

647/1249 The Crusaders take Damietta.

648/1250 Mamluks' victory over the Franks at al Mansura. End of

Ayyubid dynasty. First official celebration of the birthday

of the Prophet (mawlid al natt) in c Azafid Ceuta.

648/1251 Beginning of the Turkish Mamluk sultanate in Egypt.

650/1253 The Hafsid ruler proclaims himself amir al mu'minin

with the caliphal title of al Mustansir.

654/1256 The Mongols invade Anatolia again.

656/1258 The Mongols under Hiilegii sack Baghdad. Death of

the Maghribi Sufi al Shadhili.

657/1259 The Sharifs of Mecca acknowledge the Hafsid

caliphate.

658/1260 Mamluk victory over the Mongols at the battle of

[Ayn Jalut. The Hafsid al Mustansir orders the

execution of his chancery chief, the Andalusian man of

letters Ibn al Abbar.

659/1260 Alfonso X of Castile attacks the port of Sale.

659/1261 The Mamluk ruler Baybars installs an 'Abbasid caliph

in Cairo with the regnal title al Mustansir. The

'Abbasid caliph appoints Baybars as sultan.

Celebration of mawlid al nabl in Egypt. Michael VIII

Palaeologus (1259 82) recaptures Constantinople from

the Latins.

660/1262 Marrakesh attacked by the Marmids.

662/1263 Commercial agreement between Mamluk Egypt and

Aragon.

663/1264 Mudejar revolt in the Iberian Peninsula.

663/1264 Baybars receives a delegation from Charles of Anjou

which signifies European recognition of the Mamluk

sultanate as a great power in the Middle East and

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

signals the weakening of European support of

the Crusaders.

663/1265 The Mamluk ruler Baybars grants representation to

the four Sunnī schools of law.

668/1269 Marinid conquest of Marrakesh. End of the Almohad

caliphate.

670/1271 Lord Edward, son of King Henry III of England,

leads a Crusader force to Acre and gains limited

cooperation with the Ilkhanid Mongols. Extirpation of

the Assassins in their fortresses in northern Syria.

Baybars conquers Antioch from Bohemond VI.

672/1273 Death of the Sufi al-Dīn al-Rūmī.

673/1274 Marinid conquest of Sijilmasa. Firearms used for the

first time in the Maghrib.

674/1275 Marinid foundation of Fas al-Jadid (New Fez).

Massacre of Jews. The Almohad shaykhs who resist in

Tinmal are decapitated.

675/1276 First Marinid madrasa. Death of the Hafsid caliph

al-Mustansir and beginning of a lengthy period

(675 718/1277 1318) of upheaval in Ifriqiya.

675/1277 Baybars invades eastern Anatolia and defeats an

Ilkhanid army near Elbistan.

680/1281 The Ilkhanid army is routed by the Mamluks near

Hims.

681/1282 Death of Ibn Khallikan, author of a biographical

dictionary of persons who for some reason or other

had gained fame.

684/1285 Qalawun's truce with Leon II guarantees an annual

tribute and secures the safe passage of slave imports

from the Golden Horde to Egypt through Armenian

land.

688/1289 Mamluk conquest of Tripoli from the Crusaders.

689/1290 Mamluk capture of Acre that brings the Crusader

presence in the Levant to an end.

691 / 1292 Marinid institutionalisation of the mawlid al nabi as an

official festival.

700 / 1301 Unprecedented discriminatory policy against the Copts.

704/1304 First Marinid organised pilgrimage to Mecca.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

709/1309 Death of the Sufi Ibn 'Ata 3 Allah, author of a breviary

which acquired enormous popularity.

715/1315 Egypt's land survey.

721/1321 Anti Christian riots in Egypt.

723/1323 Peace treaty between the Mamluks and the Mongol

Ilkhans.

c. 724/1324 Death of 'Othman (Osman), the eponym of the

Ottomans.

725/1325 Failure of the Mamluk attempt to expand sphere of

influence to Yemen.

726/1326 The Ottomans take Brusa.

728/1328 Death of the jurist and theologian Ibn Taymiyya.

731/1331 The beglik of Monteshe concludes a treaty with

Venice. Fall of Iznik (Nicaea) into Ottoman hands.

741/1340 Marinid defeat at Rio Salado (Iberian Peninsula) by

Christian troops.

748 50/1348 50 Black Death. Cairo loses approximately 40 per cent of

its population. Marinid occupation of Hafsid Tunis.

The Ottomans plunder the plains near Thessaloniki.

753/1352. The traveller and scholar Ibn Battuta visits Mali.

755/i354 Attacks against the Copts in Egypt leading to

conversions to Islam. A great earthquake destroys the

walls of Gallipoli and other towns in the area which

are swiftly occupied by the Ottomans. The Genoese

Filippo Doria takes possession of Tripoli and sells it to

Ahmad Makki, who recognises the sovereignty of the

Marinid sultans until 766 /i364f

758/1357 Hafsid Tunis occupied by the Marinids (second time).

770/1368 Death of Ibn Battuta.

773/1369 The Ottoman sultan Murad I takes Edirne (Adrianople).

777/i375 The beglik of Germiyan passes to the Ottomans.

Cilician Armenia becomes a vassalage of the Mamluk

sultanate.

784/1382 Restoration of the non dynastic Mamluk sultanate,

with a move from a Turkish to a Circassian sultanate.
788/1386 7 The Ottoman sultan Murad I defeats Qaraman near
Konya. The Ottomans take Thessaloniki.
789/1387 Trade treaty between the Genoese and the Ottomans.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

791/1389 Battle of Kosovo. The Ottoman sultan Murad and the
Serbian leader Lazar lose their lives.
795 / 1392. King Martino of Sicily (Aragonese) takes possession of
Djerba (until 801/1398).
796/1394 The Ottomans lay siege to the Byzantine capital
Constantinople.
798/1396 Battle of Nikopolis, defeat of King Sigismund of
Hungary at the hands of the Ottomans.
799/1397 Bayezid I attacks Qaraman.
803/1400 Timur Leng invades Syria. Aleppo and Damascus are
sacked.
804/1402 Timur Leng defeats the Ottoman sultan Bayezid I in
Ankara.
807/1405 Death of Timur Leng.
808 / 1406 Death of Ibn Khaldun.
811/ 1408 The Mamluks appoint the ruler of Mecca vice sultan
of the Hijaz.
818/1415 Ceuta is conquered by the Portuguese. Grain riots in
the Mamluk sultanate.
819/1416 Revolt of Borkliije Mustafa near Izmir.
820/1417 Ottoman forces invade Albania and gain access to the

Adriatic Sea.

821/1418 Death of al Qalqashandi, author of a famous

secretarial manual and encyclopaedia.

823/1420 Mehmed I takes the Genoese colony of Samsun.

824/1421 Grain riots in the Mamluk sultanate. Death of the

Ottoman sultan Mehmed I.

833 4/1430 Thessaloniki and Ioannina fall under direct Ottoman

rule.

840/1437 'Discovery' of the grave of Idris II in Fez that

supported Sharifism.

842 3/1438 9 Direct Ottoman rule over northern Serbia.

845/1442 Death of the Egyptian historian al Maqrizi.

848/1444 Treaty of Edirne concluded between the Ottomans

and Vladislav, Brankovic and Hunyadi. Battle of

Varna between the Ottomans and Hungary with

Ottoman victory.

857/1453 Ottoman conquest of Constantinople.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

858/1454 End of the Rasulids and rise of the Tahirids in Yemen.

868/1464 Mehmed the Conqueror resumes Ottoman expansion

in Anatolia.

869/1465 Revolution in Fez and execution of the last Marinid

sultan by the skarifs of Fez. The Portuguese take

al Qasr al Saghir.

872/i468f. Timbuktu taken by the Songhay king Sunni 'All Beri.

874/1470 Qaraman is formally annexed by the Ottomans.

875/1471 The Portuguese take Tangier.

894/1489 Ottomans defeated by the Mamluks at the battle of

Agha Cayiri.

896/1491 Peace treaty between Ottomans and Mamluks.

897/1492 Christian conquest of Granada. Forced conversion of

the Jews of Spain. 'Discovery' of America.

898/1493 Askiya Muhammad's coup d'etat against Sunni 'All in

Songhay.

903/1497 Spanish conquest of Melilla. The Portuguese irrupt

into the Indian Ocean world.

906 7/1501 Isma'il Shah, the Safavid ruler, makes Twelver Shi'ism

the state religion. Muslim ships are sunk off Calicut in

Kerala.

909/1503 A Portuguese squadron cruises at the entrance to the

Red Sea.

910/1504 The Barbarossa brothers (Oruj and Khayreddin) make

La Goulette a base port for their activities.

911/ 1505 Death of the religious scholar and polygraph al Suyuti.

911 17/1505 11 Spain occupies the major points on the Mediterranean

coast in Ifriqiya.

914/1508 The Mamluk sultan al Ghawri begins establishing an

artillery corps to face European (Portuguese)

expansion. Oran is taken by Spain.

915 / 1510 The Moroccan sharif Muhammad al Qa'im emerges as

the mahdi destined to revive the fortunes of Islam.

Spain takes Tripoli.

916/1510 The Hafsid sultan gives the Barbarossa brothers

permission to establish a secondary base in Djerba.

Tripoli and Bougie are occupied by the Spaniards.

Algiers agrees to pay tribute to Spain.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

917/1511 The Ottoman prince Qorqud faces a rebellion in south western Anatolia led by Shah Qulu ('Slave of the Shah'). A corps of harquebusiers is recruited from outside the mamluks' cadres. The king of Tlemcen

accepts Spanish sovereignty.

920/1514 The Ottoman sultan Selim I routs the Safavid Shah

Isma'il at Chaldiran. Oruj Barbarossa attempts to

retake Bougie from the Spaniards.

921/1515 Before this year Leo Africanus visited Timbuktu.

922/1516 Selim I defeats the Mamluk sultan at Marj Dabiq.

Ottoman conquest of Aleppo and Damascus. The

Barbarossa brothers occupy Algiers.

923/1517 Ottoman conquest of Cairo and end of Mamluk

sultanate. End of the Tahirid sultanate in Yemen.

924/1518 Oruj Barbarossa is killed.

925/1519 Charles V is elected Holy Roman Emperor.

926/1519 Khayreddin Barbarossa presents the Ottoman sultan

Selim I with the newly acquired territories in North

Africa.

926/1520 Suleyman I the Magnificent becomes the Ottoman

sultan. He would later claim the title of caliph.

Mamluk revolt in Syria against the Ottomans.

929/1522 Khayreddin Barbarossa takes Bone and Constantine.

Conquest of Kazan by Ivan the Terrible.
932/1525 6 Ottoman invasion of Hungary: Suleyman defeats and

kills King Lajos at the battle of Mohacs. Khayreddin

Barbarossa takes Algiers.
935/1529 First siege of Vienna.

939/1532. Khayreddin Barbarossa forces the king of Tlemcen to
pay tribute.

940/1533 Suleyman appoints Khayreddin Barbarossa
commander in chief of the Ottoman fleet.
941/1534 Ottoman conquest of Baghdad. Khayreddin

Barbarossa seizes Tunis and expels the Hafsid
sultan.

941/1535 Charles V leads an expedition against Tunis and
restores the Hafsid sultan. The corsairs call in
Ottoman help.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Chronology

942 3/1536 Suleyman and the king of France Francis I form an
alliance.

945 / 1538 Ottoman invasion of Yemen. The Ottoman fleet besieges

unsuccessfully the Portuguese fort of Diu in Gujarat.

948/1541 Ottoman conquests in Hungary. Charles V leads an

unsuccessful naval expedition against Algiers.

949/1542 Ferdinand besieges Buda unsuccessfully.

951/1544 The corsair Turghud briefly occupies al Mahdiyya,
being dislodged by the Spaniards.

954/1547 Truce between the Habsburgs and the Ottomans. The

Zayyanid king of Tlemcen asks for the protection of

Spain, but it is eventually incorporated into the

Ottoman 'regency of Algiers'.

955/1548 Ottoman campaign against the Safavids.

957/1550 The Sa'di Muhammad al Mahdi takes Fez.

958/1551 Tripoli and Tlemcen fall to the Ottomans.

959/1552 Failed Ottoman attempt to conquer Hormuz.

961/1554 Muhammad al Shaykh al Sa'di conquers Fez a second

time and eliminates the Wattasid dynasty.

962/1555 Treaty establishing the borders between the Safavid

and Ottoman empires. The Ottomans establish the

province of Ethiopia, on the African Red Sea littoral

(capital, Massawa).

963/1555 Bougie is taken from Spain. Turghud obtains the

governorship of Tripolitania.

965/1558 Qayrawan submits to Turghud.

972f. / 1565 Failure of Ottoman siege of Malta.

974/1566 Death of Siileyman the Magnificent.

974/1567 Spanish attempt to conquer Algiers. The Ottomans

lose Yemen.

976/1568 Ottoman failed plan for the construction of a canal

between the Mediterranean and the Red Sea.

976 8/1568 70 Great Morisco rebellion in Granada. Ottoman

reconquest of Yemen.

977/1569 The kingdom of Tunis incorporated into the Ottoman

empire. Failed Ottoman attack against Astrakhan.

979/1571 The allied fleet of Venice, Spain, the Knights of St John

and the Pope destroy much of the Ottoman fleet off

Naupaktos (Lepanto) in the Gulf of Corinth.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

980 2/1572 4

981/1573

982/1574

986/1578

986/1578

989/1581

993/1585

996/1587

996/1588

999/1591

1001 15/1593 1606

1002/ 1593

1004 7/1595 8

1005/ 1596

1017 23/1609 14

1018/1610

1032/ 1622

1034/ 1624

1035/ 1626

1036/ 1627

1037/ 1627

1038/ 1628

1041/ 1631

1049/ 1639

1055 80/1645 9

1076/ 1665

1666 CE
1084/ 1673

Great epidemic at Algiers.

Tunis is retaken by the Spaniards.

The Ottomans take definitive control of Tunis.

Defeat of the Portuguese at Wadi al Makhazin and
death of Don Sebastian.

Ottoman Safavid war.

The British Levant Company is granted the monopoly
to trade in the Ottoman empire.

The corsair Murad Rals ventures into the Atlantic and
plunders the Canary Islands.

The beglerbegis of Algiers are replaced by pashas
appointed for a term of three years. This system lasts
until 1070/ 1659.

Death of the Ottoman architect Sinan. Defeat of the
Spanish Armada by Elizabethan England.

Moroccan conquest of Timbuktu.

Ottoman 'Long War' with the Habsburgs.

The scholar Ahmad Baba al Tinbukti, who resisted the
Sa'di conquest of his land, is arrested by the Moroccans.

One of the deys (Ottoman officers), c Othman, sets up
a kind of principality in Tunisia.

Rebellion of Qara Yaziji in Anatolia. Ottoman victory

of Mezokeretes/Hacova against the Habsburgs.

Expulsion of the Moriscos from Spain.

Larache passes to Christian hands. The French destroy
the major part of the Tunisian fleet in La Goulette.

Treaty between England and Algiers.

The Dutch threaten Algiers.

Treaty between the Dutch and Algiers.

Death of Ahmad Baba al Timbuktu

Pirates from Algiers sack the coast of Iceland.

Treaty between France and Algiers.

Corsairs from Algiers reach England.

Treaty of Qas 1 Shirin.

Ottoman conquest of Crete.

Siege of Malta from Tripoli.

Messianic movement of Sabbatai Zevi.

Murad II establishes a hereditary monarchy in Tunisia.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Chronology

1094/ 1683

1110/ 1699

1118/ 1706

1120/ 1708

1123/ 1711

1126 31/1714 18

1130/ 1718
1132 4/1720 I
1182/1768

1182/ 1769

1183/ 1770

1188/1774

1197/ 1783
1203/ 1789

1204/ 1789

1205 / 1790

1218/1804
1222/ 1807

Second siege of Vienna.

Peace treaty of Karlowitz.

Husayn ibn 'All establishes himself as the monarch in
Tunis.

The Spaniards are dislodged from Oran.

Hamid Qaramanli takes power in Tripoli.

Ottoman Habsburg Venetian war.

Peace treaty of Passarowitz.

Embassy of Yirmisekiz Mehmed Chelebi to France.

Ottoman declaration of war against Russia after

Poland's partition.

The 'Alawi sultan Sidi Muhammad takes Mazagan (al Jadida), ending more than 250 years of Portuguese control.

A Russian naval detachment lands in Mora and destroys the Ottoman fleet before the Anatolian port of Cheshme.

Peace of Kuchiik Kaynarja between Ottomans and Russians.

Annexation of Crimea by Russia.

Selim III becomes the Ottoman sultan and begins period of reforms.

The Ottoman government pressures the saint Sidi Ahmad al Tijani to leave his zdwiya in c Ayn Madi to go and live in Fez.

Algiers can only arm four ships. Decline of piracy in the Mediterranean.

'Uthman dan Fodio's hijra from Degel to Gudu.

Overthrow of Selim III by janissary revolt.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Abbreviations

AA

Al Andalus

AI

Annates Islamologiques

Annates ESC

Annates. Economies, Societes, Civilisations

AQ

Al Qantara

BEO

Bulletin d'Etudes Orientates

BRIJMES

British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies

BSOAS

Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies

CSHB

Corpus scriptorum historiae Byzantinae

Eh

Encyclopaedia of Islam

IC

Islamic Culture

IJAHS

International Journal of African Historical Studies

IJMES

International Journal of Middle Eastern Studies

IJTS

International Journal of Turkish Studies

ILS

Islamic Law and Society

IOS

Israel Oriental Studies

JAH

Journal of African History

JAOS

Journal of the American Oriental Society

JESHO

Journal of the Economic and Social History

of the Orient

JNES

Journal of Near Eastern Studies

JRAS

Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society

JSAI

Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam

JSS

Journal of Semitic Studies

JTS

Journal of Turkish Studies

MEAH

Misceldnea de Estudios Arabes y Hebraicos

MSR

Mamluk Studies Review

MUSJ

Melanges de l'Universite Saint Joseph

MW

Muslim World

RA

Revue Africaine

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

List of abbreviations

REI Revue des Etudes Islamiques

REMM Revue du Monde Musulman et de la Mediterranee

ROMM Revue de l'Occident Musulman et de la Mediterranee

SI Studia Islamica

ZDMG Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Map of the Taifa kingdoms

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Rome
Montecassino Lucera, ,

^ i i.j. Tyrrhenian Amalfi / (Ow,»(
Thirossu ^Arbatai J Salerno >-jsano»\
Onstano} Sea \ fei?
,). , Cosenza. CALABRIA

Map 2 The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Map 3 The Almoravid caliphate (sixth /twelfth century)

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Map 4 West Africa

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

I. N1CAEA Ce.aiBa* T^_~-'v- r \--f
 } .K™ ^• t %\ ♦M^nqm ft -Tabriz
 L KUb5dabad * "^^2^*4* \3.Maragh
 '^"v, ~ ifc*^ Edega" _ •Nufcis ^
 /? V_T^\ sS 5 /- 5 ^ \ *yan l n ^Y Mosul
 Nicosia^. 4^ AlepptKJ^s
 C^ CJ^' f F * Hama ^
 Tripoli^ tfims^
 Mediterranean Sea g JBeinit

Black Sea

HN <0 #Am

N1CAEA C^. ^fe^^Cf

100 100 JOP <IM) 501) miles

Map 5 The Ayyubid territories in 615/1218

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Mediterranean Sea j

al-Bashmur / Busra*

RDsetta/al-Rashld. ^*5T^ I J? 3 ™ 6 " 3 / ?"? 5 ! . Jerusatem/al-Quds

Alexandria/

Gaza

Karak

- 1 s^SAbbasa

UBim^^.Babays

_ \^ al.Mnsannah

Shawbak ^

al-Munawat

Azbakiyya /Blrkat al-Hajj J Bflaq/Cltadel /
Fatimid Cairo/Mataiiyya/Muqittam Hill/
Qar afa/ Raydaniyy a/Siryaqns /
Zuwayla Gate (Bab)]

Aqaba/
Ay la

NUBIA

q ff Iff l]f Zpp ZFQlcrn

1W I-.iiiiI;-

Map 6 Islamic (post conquest) Egypt

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Mediterranean Sea ^-U~" — ""f^

r Cyprus y^)

Map 7 The Turks in Anatolia before the Ottomans

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Map 8 The Ottoman empire in 1566

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

- Important city or town

The names of cities/towns mentioned
second are those current in Ottoman times

Map 9 The Ottoman empire in Asia and Africa

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

200 400 600 800 1000 km

Arabian
Sea

Map 10 The Red Sea region

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Map 11 Shanfiati rule in Morocco

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Map 12 The western Mediterranean

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Map 13 The western Islamic World
Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Introduction

MARIBEL FIERRO

What do the geographical areas included in this volume have in common over a period reaching from the fifth/eleventh to the twelfth/eighteenth century that would give meaning to both the periodisation and the geographical subdivisions used here? As noted in their introduction by the editors of volume 3 of the New Cambridge history of Islam (The eastern Islamic world, fifth /eleventh to twelfth/ eighteenth centuries), a certain degree of arbitrariness always accompanies the need to make temporal and territorial divisions. The obvious Mediterranean articulation of the political and commercial trends dealt with in this volume should not obscure the deep connections that linked the western and eastern Islamic worlds their populations, their religious and political concepts and practices, and their economies. It is also obvious that the encounter, not to say clash, with the two great civilisations of India and China mostly affected the eastern regions of the Islamic world, while the encounter and clash with Christendom had a deeper impact on the western Islamic regions. But here again things were not as simple as may appear. The westward diffusion of tea from China can be used to exemplify the often convoluted paths through which links between different areas were established. Having been used in the Chinese empire for centuries, tea was not introduced into Iran until the eleventh/ seventeenth century; yet it was not from there that it crossed into the Ottoman lands. Instead, Russia was the channel through which tea made its way to Turkey in the nineteenth century, and from there it moved to the Fertile Crescent, Egypt, the Arabian Peninsula, Algeria and Tunis lands where coffee had been introduced, starting in Arabia, from the ninth/fifteenth century onwards. ¹ But tea had reached the 'far Maghrib' much earlier. Dutch sea traders brought it to western Europe in

the early seventeenth century, and from there it became known in England.

Enterprising British trade then led Moroccan and Saharan populations to become habituated to its consumption in the eighteenth century. 2 This panoramic view of the diffusion of tea reflects the complex interplay between

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

political, economic, cultural and religious factors. Complexity reveals itself

even when commonalities and continuities are sought after and stressed, while it also forces us to consider the extent of the differences and disruptions

that geographical and temporal diversity seem to imply. What follows is intended to serve as a roadmap to guide the reader through this volume, which is devoted to the history of the western Islamic world from the disintegration of the (real or apparent) political unity brought about by the

early caliphates to the moment at which the regions in question started to be

overtaken by European colonialism and modernity.

A geo-political framework

The Fatimid caliphate at its peak between 365/975 and 415/1025 extended from

Tunisia and Sicily in the west to Hims and Tripoli in the east. By the middle of

the fifth/ eleventh century, the western possessions were lost to Berber rulers,

the Zirids, while Palestine was threatened by Turkish incursions and, later on,

lost to the Crusaders, with the exception of a few coastal towns. Mecca and

Medina acknowledged Fatimid rule until the reign of the caliph al Mustansir

(427 87/1036 94). Cairo became the resting place of the Fatimid caliphs, includ

ing those who had died in Ifriqiya, thus stressing the genealogical legitimacy of

their imamate. 3

The Almohad caliphate at its height extended from the Sus (southern Morocco) to the Iberian Peninsula and from the Atlantic to the central Maghrib. 4 Its collapse gave rise to different political entities in al Andalus

and in what are now Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia. The Berber Almohads presented themselves as inheritors of the Mahdi Ibn Tumart's legacy of religious and political reform, while at the same time claiming a Qaysi (referring to the northern Arab tribe of Qays 'Aylan that includes Quraysh)

genealogy. For all their propaganda of universal rule, the Almohads were never able to capture the Holy Cities, control over which was an important

basis for Ayyubid, Mamluk and Ottoman claims to legitimacy. Tinmal in southern Morocco, where Ibn Tumart's grave was located, was the destina

tion of caliphal visits, but its appeal remained regional and was short lived.

Saladin's (r. 569 89/1174 93) direct or indirect rule comprised not only Egypt

and Syria, including most of the territories recently held by the Franks, but also a

portion of Mesopotamia, the Hijaz, Yemen and Cyrenaica. Saladin's forces also

penetrated deep but only temporarily into Nubia. As 'Reviver of the empire

of the Commander of the faithful', the Ayyubid ruler put an end to the Fatimid

caliphate and supported Abbasid legitimacy. Mostly noted for his jihad against

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Introduction

the Crusaders, his reign witnessed the official strengthening of Sunnism in

Egypt after the Fatimid/Isma'iE experience. 5

The Mamluk sultanate was centred in Egypt and Syria. As successors of the

Ayyubids, the Mamluks made jihad against the Crusaders a crucial element of

the legitimacy of their rule. At the beginning, they visited the tomb of the last

Ayyubid sultan (al Salih Ayyub) as the site of the ceremony in which new Mamluk officers were commissioned, but with Khalil (r. 689 93/1290 3) it was replaced by the tomb of Qalawun (r. 678 89/1279 90). Mongol advance and the fall of Baghdad provided a new basis for Mamluk legitimacy with the transfer of the 'Abbasid caliphate to Cairo (656/1258) and the victory of 'Ayn Jalut (658/1260) against the Mongols.

The Ottoman empire extended from Anatolia to the Safavid empire in the east with the barrier formed by the mountains of eastern Anatolia, Azerbaijan and the Caucasus and included Syria (922/1516) and Egypt (922/1517), while in North Africa the so called Corsair states were under Ottoman control. The conquest of south eastern Europe, which gave the Ottomans access to substantial material resources, had lasting consequences in the area. The last Abbasid caliph, al Mutawakkil III, was with the Mamluk army when the latter was defeated by the Ottomans at the battle of Marj Dabiq (922/1516) and was deported to Constantinople. The 'Abbasid caliphate ended with him. The Ottoman sultans used the caliphal title, even claiming in the tenth/sixteenth century that al Mutawakkil had named Sultan Selim I as his heir. The Ottoman caliphate was abolished in 1924/ The Moroccan Sa'dis also made caliphal claims, using their Sharif! descent, their Sufi connections (they moved al Jazuli's tomb to Marrakesh), their jihad against the Christians and the conquest of the Sudan to strengthen their legitimacy. Ottoman expansion stopped at Morocco.

For the purpose of this volume, the geo political area in which these and lesser dynasties ruled has been divided into three sections. The first includes al Andalus and North and West Africa. The second embraces Egypt, Syria, western Arabia and Yemen. The last section concentrates on Anatolia and the Balkans. The first four parts into which this volume is divided correspond to each of those areas in combination with a chronological and political framework. Thus, Part I deals with al Andalus and North and West Africa, and Part II with Egypt, Syria, western Arabia and Yemen from the fifth/eleventh until the ninth /fifteenth centuries, before Ottoman rule. Part III concentrates on the Ottoman empire, from pre Ottoman Anatolia to the

extension of Ottoman rule in the Balkans, Syria, Egypt, western Arabia and Yemen. Part IV focuses again on North and West Africa from the tenth/sixteenth to the twelfth/ eighteenth centuries, both from the perspective of

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

those lands that remained outside the sphere of Ottoman power (Morocco and sub Saharan West Africa) and of those that fell under the control of the Sublime Porte.

Part V of this volume is intended to bring together some of the threads that have sustained the narrative in the preceding parts, with an analytical and comparative perspective. Focusing on rulers, soldiers, peasants, traders and scholars, it comprises five chapters, dealing with state formation and organisation, conversion to Islam, taxation and the raising and payment of armies, trade and scholarship.

Within the first four parts, the chapters chiefly concentrate on ruling dynasties and the narrative of their political history. Volume 4 of the New Cambridge history of Islam deals with religion, culture and society during the period covered in volumes 1 3, but it is obvious that any treatment of political history necessarily involves society, economy, culture and religion. What follows is an overview of some of the issues that have informed the treatment of political history by the various contributors, issues that are treated in more detail in Part V of this volume.

Old and new Muslims

Most of the lands covered here (Syria, Egypt, North Africa, al Andalus, Sicily,

with Anatolia as one of the exceptions) had already been under Muslim rule for three or four centuries. By the fifth/eleventh century, Muslims had just become or were becoming the majority of the population across these regions. From this time onwards the different regions in various ways experienced shifts from 'new' to 'old' Muslim societies. Arabisation was helped in areas such as Egypt and North Africa by large scale immigration of Arab tribes. Berber survived as a daily language, and there were some attempts at using it as an Islamic literary language. Latin and Romance in al Andalus and Coptic in Egypt died out, the latter surviving after the seventh/thirteenth century only for liturgical use. The sixth/twelfth century also saw the disappearance of the indigenous Christian community in al Andalus through expulsion and conversion, a process that went hand in hand with the confiscation of the Church lands. A similar development took place in Egypt in the eighth/fourteenth century, when the endowed properties (waqfs) of the local churches were confiscated by the Mamluk government, leading the Copts to mass conversion. If the Sunni identity of Egypt had taken shape under the Ayyubids, its Islamisation was achieved under the Mamluks. The slow process of Islamisation in North Africa saw the reduction of ancient Khariji

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Introduction

settlements, the disappearance of local variants of Islam such as the religion of the Barghawata and also of imported Shi'ism, and the emergence of a distinct and innovative local interpretation of Islam, that of Almohadism. Its blend of Mahdism and rationalism made possible the appearance of unique intellectual figures such as the Sufi Muhyi al-Din Ibn 'Arabi (d. 638/1240) who had a lasting influence in the East where he settled and the philosopher Ibn Rushd (d. 595/1198) whose influence was mostly limited to Latin Christendom.

By the eighth/fourteenth century, the penetration of Turkish tribes into the remains of the Byzantine empire opened up new lands to Muslim rule, and to the spread of the Islamic religion and the Turkish language. The second half of the ninth/fifteenth century witnessed the loss of al Andalus (with the fall of Granada in 897/1492) on the western shore of the Mediterranean Sea, while on the eastern shore the Ottomans conquered Byzantium (857/1453) and started their expansion into the Balkans. Muslim penetration mostly peaceful through traders, scholars and Sufis in West and East Africa continued at an uninterrupted pace. Arabic had a place in these new Muslim societies as the language of the new religion. Turkish, the language of conquerors and rulers, not only survived for daily communication, but gained new vitality as an Islamic language. The possibility that the Romance language of the Christian conquerors of al Andalus could become an Islamic language for the communities of Mudejars (Muslims living under Christian rule) came to nothing, as those communities eventually disappeared through emigration, forced conversion and final expulsion.

During his famous travels, the North African Ibn Battuta (d. 770/1368 or 779/1377) saw much among his fellow Muslims in West Africa, Egypt, Syria, Anatolia and further east that looked alien to him, but in every place he met other religious scholars and Sufis with whom he often shared a common language Arabic and a common religious and legal culture. There were local contexts for the expression of a universal faith. The production, transmission and assimilation of what has been called an 'international Sunni culture' were the main features of the intellectual and social endeavour of the scholars living in those societies. One crucial impulse in that endeavour had been the effort to check the attraction of Isma'ili and, more generally, ShTi doctrines and political thought, with al Ghazali (d. 505/1111) as its main representative. Later on, the dangers represented by the attraction of certain

Sufi doctrines (God's love, the unity of existence), and the threat posed by the Mongol rulers and their infidel legal code, as well as the need to check the fragmentation of Revelation propelled by the legal schools, motivated the

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

innovative religious and political doctrines of another influential scholar, Ibn Taymiyya (d. 728/1328).

Caliphs and sultans

An Isma'ili dynasty, the Fatimids, had managed to rule an extensive area of the former 'Abbasid caliphate for almost three centuries (297 567/909 1171). They ruled as caliphs, thereby challenging Abbasid legitimacy, while their existence and success provoked the proclamation of yet another caliphate, that of the Umayyads in the Iberian Peninsula. The latter was to have an ephemeral existence, leading to the disintegration of the political unity of al Andalus, with new rulers of different ethnic backgrounds coming to power (they were Arabs, Berbers and slaves, usually of Slav origin; note the absence of rulers of Hispano Roman or Hispano Gothic origins). 9 All those Taifa (Party) kings proved unable to solve the problem of their military weakness when confronted with Christian expansion.

Of the three caliphates that coexisted in the fourth/ tenth century, only the 'Abbasid survived after the sixth/twelfth century, even if mostly in a symbolic way. It served to legitimise the Saljuq sultanates and Berber Almoravid rule, and also helped the Andalusí opponents of the Almohad caliphate in their struggle for legitimacy. In 567/1171, Saladin had the name of the 'Abbasid caliph pronounced in the mosques of Cairo for the first time in over two

hundred years. The seat of the caliphate was to move to Cairo when in 656/1258 the Mongols sacked Baghdad, and in the tenth/ sixteenth century the last 'Abbasid caliph lived under Ottoman control. By then, the 'Abbasid caliphate existed in form only, while Sunnī legal scholars had already adjusted the theory of the caliphate accordingly, with al Mawardi (d. 450/1058) a crucial figure in that endeavour. 'Abbasid survival as an effective caliphate might have worked out otherwise had an attempt by the caliph al Nasir (r. 575 622/1180 1225) to give the caliphate a new social and political basis of power not failed. 10

The Almohad political and religious system, that needs to be analysed taking into account the Fatimid precedent, and some Sufi orders responded among other factors to similar tendencies for centralised and hierarchical socio political organisations. 11

The Ottomans' rise to power greatly helped at the beginning by avoidance of the faction fighting that characterised other Turcoman polities and later the Ottoman sultans' claim to be entitled to the inheritance of the 'Abbasid caliphs were consolidated by the control of Medina and Mecca. When in 925/1519 the Habsburg Charles V was elected Holy Roman

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Introduction

Emperor, the road was open for Süleyman I claiming the title of caliph. The interplay between Christian and Islamic political and religious titles, and the corresponding doctrines sustaining them, underline the intertwining at various levels of what could be understood as an 'Islam-Christian civilisation', with its most open manifestations in those regions where contact was closer, such as the Iberian Peninsula, Norman Sicily, the Balkans and the southern

regions of the Russian empire. 12

The Ottoman sultan's right to universal Islamic sovereignty was reinforced by declaring the Safavid shahs to be heretic. Accusations of heterodoxy and infidelity were often instrumental in the acquisition of political power and in the process of state formation, as shown by the Almohad declaration of Almoravid unbelief because of their anthropomorphism, and by various examples in sub Saharan Africa. Genealogies of power in the Sunni world were another instrument to reinforce and legitimise the exercise of political and religious authority. Sharifism (descent from the Prophet's family) developed alongside Sufism as well as the increasing veneration for the Prophet Muhammad, of which the spread of his Nativity (mawlid) after the seventh/thirteenth century is a clear sign.

Soldiers and peasants

The Fatimids had established their caliphate by using the military power of a Berber tribe, the Kutama. The combination of tribe and charismatic religious leadership was a recipe for the success of new dynasties arising in the Maghrib. 13 Berber charismatic leadership was channelled within Maliki! Sunnism in the case of the Almoravids (Sanhaja), while the Almohads (Masmuda and Zanata) attempted what might be described as a political and doctrinal 'Sunnitisation' of Shi'ism. The dynasty that succeeded the Almohads in the western Maghrib (Morocco), the Marinids (Zanata), would, for their part, resort to the jihad spirit and to the return to traditional Maliki Sunnism. 14 The armies of these new dynasties did not preserve their original Berber tribal character, as succeeding rulers had to face internal disaffection and growing external threat. The new sources of recruitment (Christian mercenaries, black slaves, Arab tribesmen, alien Berber groups) provided temporary solutions, but would prove to be inadequate to face 'societies organized for war' such as

those that had arisen in the Christian part of the Iberian Peninsula. 15
Christian
advance did not stop at the Straits of Gibraltar. Portuguese and Spanish
expansion on the southern shore of the Mediterranean had profound
effects
in the internal politics of the Maghrib. From the seventh/thirteenth
century

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

onwards, Muslim military power in the western Mediterranean was
forced to
face an expanding Christendom that was putting to use new technologies
in
war both by land and by sea. 1

The Fatimids used their Berber troops to conquer Egypt in 358/969. Also
with Berber troops, they tried to extend their rule to Syrian lands
(Damascus
was ruled briefly by a Berber governor). It might then have appeared that
Berber armies were going to play a crucial role in the political and
military
fortunes of Egypt and the Near East. But it was the Turkish ethnic
element
that eventually rose to prominence in those areas (Turkish troops were
even
to be found in Morocco), thus overcoming the previous preference for
ethnically diverse armies whose factional struggles could serve the
interests
of the rulers. Turks could be enslaved, while Berbers (even if only
nominally
Muslim) were not. The Fatimid caliph al 'Aziz (r. 365 86/975 96) had
already
brought Turkish slave troops into his army. Berbers did not excel in
archery,
and when they began to expand into Syria they suffered defeat at the
hands of
Turkish troops who were skilled horsemen and archers the Zangid Nur al
Din (r. 541 70/1146 74) was reported to say that only the arrows of the
Turks
were effective against the Crusader army. 17 It was also the Turks who
brought

about the fall of the Byzantine empire, whereas the military capabilities of the Berbers were restricted to North Africa and Egypt. The use of Turkish cavalry accelerated during the Ayyubid period, as horsemanship was crucial in the cavalry based army, although, in contrast with the Fatimid period, the institution of military slavery played a minor role under the Ayyubids. By the seventh/thirteenth century, Turkish slave recruits were in especially abundant supply as a result of the Mongol invasions, creating large pools of captives who found themselves on the slave market. Several theories have been put forward regarding why the mamluk system became so prominent: manpower shortages; technological advances such as the introduction of the stirrup, which transformed the role of the cavalry; Muslim withdrawal from political life because of its failure to approximate an Islamic ideal; the preservation of nomad vitality; the evolution of an elite more interested in commercial life than in military affairs. 1

There were varying degrees of organisation and hierarchisation in the armies, from the Ayyubid army, strongly dependent on the amirs, to the control and centralisation attempted by the Almohad caliphs. The military slave institution as embodied in the Mamluk sultanate was one of the most successful and lasting of its versions. Mamluk armies defeated both the Crusaders and the Mongols, and the Mamluk sultans were thus perceived as the saviours of Islam. The Almohads who like the Almoravids had to resort

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Introduction

to Christian militias in their armies 19 did not manage to stop Castilian and

Aragonese military advance, and the Nasrids survived by becoming vassals of the Christians or by taking advantage of their internal quarrels. The Marinids in Morocco and the Hafsids in Tunis remained minor powers. There were attempts to seek Mamluk intervention in the Iberian Peninsula, but to no avail. Battlefield losses were avoided, because of the expenses involved in the purchase and training of mamluks, and thus after Sultan Qalawun's death in 689/1290 there were few campaigns abroad by mamluk armies. By the ninth/ fifteenth century, the mamluk institution was showing signs of indiscipline and decaying effectiveness. The power and identity of the nomadic tribes grew at the expense of the Mamluk state, probably owing to the influence of plague the Black Death raged from 748/1347 to 750/1349. Plague affected the Bedouin less, and the Bedouin emerged as the effective arbiters of political power in a number of regions in the south. 20 (Bedouin tribes remained a source of instability and danger to the state, for example the Berber Hawwara in Upper Egypt during Mamluk times.)

Horsemanship was as crucial for the mamluk army as it had been for that of the Ayyubids. Adoption of gunpowder based weapons such as the harquebus, which could not be operated from horseback, would have profoundly transformed the structure of the army and therefore of the ruling elite. Rejection of the new guns has been considered to have led to mamluk technological inferiority and to the defeat of Marj Dabiq in 922/1516, when the Ottomans seized the advantage after they opened fire on the mamluk cavalry with artillery and muskets. 21 Although the Ottoman armies continued to make use of a well trained infantry and gunpowder technology, the mamluk institution survived under the Ottomans, thus showing a remarkable degree of adaptability. The Ottomans created 'an institution of artificial kinship, the janissary standing army, which functioned as an extension of the royal household'. 22 By the eleventh/ seventeenth century, Ottoman military superiority

was showing signs of decay, as only limited attempts were made to catch up with the increased firepower of European and especially of the Russian armies.

It has been said that 'the most important function of a pre modern Islamic state was the raising and paying of the military forces. This determined the composition of the elite, the system of taxation and revenue raising and ultimately the success or failure of the regime.'²³ In the eastern part of the Mediterranean, there was a general growth of the iqta' system, consisting broadly of allocating the revenues from designated lands to military personnel, which replaced cash payments from the central government. The iqta c

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

system implied the notion of the divisibility of power and the impossibility of maintaining territorial unification. While there is no lack of studies devoted to the iqta¹ system in the Near East and Egypt, developments in the western Mediterranean are less well known. In the early Ottoman period, taxes were collected by the holders of timars (military fiefs). From the tenth/sixteenth century onwards, the prevalent system was that of tax farming. The sources at our disposal do not always yield much information about how peasants (on whom the burden of taxation mostly fell) accepted or resisted tax collection. We would like to have for other periods and cases data as detailed as those that have been recorded for the peasants (fellahs) of Tunis showing their waves of protest at the imposition of new and unjust taxes during the twelfth

thirteenth/ eighteenth nineteenth centuries. 2,4 Islamic taxation was confessional as well, and was therefore much affected by changes involving the dhimmi communities (religious groups such as Jews and Christians granted a Covenant of protection). The minting of coins reflected the needs of taxation also of trade and how the extraction of wealth was legitimised by those carrying it out. The Almohads brought their revolution into the minting of coins, producing what a recent study has called the 'first truly Islamic coin', i.e., the square dirham. 25 The Venetian ducat, which circulated widely in Muslim marketplaces, influenced the reforms in Mamluk money (ninth/ fifteenth century). Almoravid coins, for their part, had a profound impact on the Christian kingdoms of the Iberian Peninsula.

Local and state elites

The Fatimids, the Almohads and the Ottomans, with their ideologies of universal rule, developed highly centralised bureaucratic organisations for the fiscal, political and legal administration of the territories under their rule. The Mamluks also had a sophisticated bureaucratic and financial apparatus by which the military elite controlled the country and its sources of wealth. By contrast, Ayyubid rule was not imperial, and the Ayyubid 'Muslim military patronage state' was not predominantly bureaucratic or institutional. By giving members of the ruling family confederacy wide powers over certain areas, the Ayyubid system gave rise to rivalries and constant divisions that led to fragmentation and counteracted its positive side, namely family solidarity. 26 The Ottomans carried centralisation further than previous governments, as shown by their army, their policy of legal codification, the creation of impersonal bureaucratic procedures, and the development of administratively subordinate religious elites. We have access to archival material for the

Introduction

Ottoman period that is largely absent for other periods and areas. 27 Thanks to it, the maintenance or loss of Ottoman rule in near and distant provinces can be analysed in detail, while allowing for the reconstruction of the different patterns of imperial and local elites formation according to time and space.

The means of recruiting the learned elites needed to maintain rule in towns varied through the period under study. They could be supported out of household revenues or were paid directly out of revenue collected by the state. A third method, which was to have enduring consequences, was the assignment of the revenues of charitable endowments (waqfs). 2 The flourishing of madrasas (colleges) from the Saljuq period onwards many of them built at the initiative and expense of the ruling military and political elites represented an effort to give formal structure to and exert control over the social channels by which Islamic religious and legal knowledge was transmitted. At the same time the madrasas offered more guarantees of a steady income to the 'ulam<? (religious scholars), thereby contributing to their professionalisation, and they sometimes also helped social mobility and integration, although family networking was almost inescapable. While in the Maghrib starting in the Marinid period the madrasas were all official foundations, al Andalus was the only area of the Muslim Mediterranean where the madrasa was largely absent (only one foundation is recorded in the Nasrid period) and played no role in the recruitment of scholars.

The emergence of madrasas preceded the formation of the Sufi brotherhoods as organised groups holding properties and regulating the transmission of leadership. These brotherhoods soon became crucial institutions for both the individual and the societies in which they were active. They provided the initiates whatever their degree of involvement with a framework for socialisation and an ethical code, as well as doctrinal and ritual instruction.

At the same time, they became involved in the management of considerable economic assets both in towns and in rural areas. Their leaders were sought as arbiters in tribal disputes and sometimes aspired to political rule, an aspiration that became a peculiar feature in certain regions such as the Maghrib, responding to the crisis of patronage in certain periods. Political agendas on the part of influential Sufis together with accusations of religious deviations were often adduced as rationales to suppress them and their followers, as happened with Ibn Barrajan and Ibn al c Arif (both died in 536/1141) in Almoravid times, and with al Suhrawardi (d. 587/1191) in Aleppo on Saladin's orders.

Scholars filled the ranks of the urban notables, together with those who held influence and authority by virtue of the sword, and those whose

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

influence derived from the wealth acquired through trading and tax farming. These loosely denned groups which did not become corporate bodies were often linked through intermarriage or had overlapping interests based on religious, ethnic, political, social and economic identities, loyalties and affiliations. The 'ulama's role was not limited to interpreting and applying the Islamic law, but also covered the areas of mediation, intercession, arbitration or representation of 'public' opinion. The legality of any political system was dependent to a higher or lesser degree on the cooperation of the 'ulama\ The religious scholars' withdrawal of consent or open confrontation with the political authorities was feared by the latter, who might try either to appease or to eliminate them. Sometimes the 'ulamd' themselves could become rulers,

as happened in al Andalus. There were several cases there in which the local qddx (judge) seized political power in moments of military weakness or disintegration of centralised governments. The Andalusī qddis who took power in Toledo, Seville and other towns during the fifth/ eleventh century are paralleled by similar cases in the Near East (Tyre, Tripoli, Amid). The same pattern was repeated later on when Almoravid rule collapsed in the Iberian Peninsula, and was then connected with other alternative ways of 'creating' rulers, such as military men or charismatic leaders (ghazis, Sufi shaykhs, mahdls). Mahdls were often successful in Yemen and the Maghrib. In Anatolia, Turkish ghazis (a much debated term) 2,9 were responsible for the advance of Islam in territories that had been until then 'the abode of war' (ddr al harb). In al Andalus, the other main frontier area with Christendom, a ghdzl like figure is more clearly found among Christians, as shown by the case of the Cid, 3 ° but also the Portuguese Giraldo Sem Pavor.

A sea for war and peace

To be a ghdzl was not exactly equivalent to performing jihad. It implied irregular raiding activity whose ultimate goal was (or at least the warriors and their supporters could imagine that it was) the expansion of the power of Islam. Being a ghdzl was never understood to involve indiscriminate warfare against infidels, and it could involve warfare against co religionists. At the time of the Crusades, the practical behaviour of both Muslim and Crusader rulers followed a similar pattern. 31 Strategic and commercial interests often superseded political or religious differences between states, for example the way in which the Mamluk regime secured the vital slave trade by taking advantage of Byzantium's fear of the Mongols and the Norman Anjou dynasty.

Introduction

The Crusades, in both the east and the west, posed a formidable challenge to the Muslims, one that had a profound impact on their armies, states and societies, resulting in the centralisation of Muslim power, the creation of jihad states, suppression of internal opposition, popular participation in warfare, promotion of trade, and varying degrees of pressure against and persecution of Christian and Jewish communities. 32.

All the territories under the control of the various dynasties during the fifth/ eleventh to the twelfth/ eighteenth centuries, despite their shifting frontiers, had one common border: the Mediterranean Sea. The Fatimids who established strong links with the Byzantines, the Normans and the Italian cities promoted the integration of Egypt both in the Mediterranean and in the Indian Ocean trade. West Africa, where the Songhay empire was established, had close economic, political and religious relationships with the Mediterranean area. The Red Sea was a crucial link between Asia and Europe. The Muslims of al Andalus had a tradition according to which a bridge would appear to allow them to cross the Straits of Gibraltar and thus help them escape from an unfortunate fate at the hands of the Christians. This tradition its circulation can be traced back to earlier times gained special importance at the end of the Muslim presence in the Iberian Peninsula (ninth/ fifteenth century), when frontiers were moving along the Mediterranean, though with different characteristics in each area. Those frontiers were military, economic, technological, ethnic and religious, though not always all at the same time. Fluidity and pragmatism were among their main features.

Sharing a common sea through which and along which traders, soldiers, pilgrims, scholars and 'renegades' of various sorts (such as the 'Christians of Allah') 33 moved and interacted made possible the circulation of ideas, artefacts, styles, techniques and plagues, among other things. Continuities, interruptions and changes in routes, commodities and patterns of trade in the

Mediterranean world have been the object of general and specific studies, some of which such as those by Henri Pirenne and Ferdinand Braudel have proposed interpretative frameworks that are still being discussed. 34

Egypt may serve as a focal point for an overview of developments taking place in the Mediterranean basin, while Yemen was a crucial link in the trade between Egypt and India, which explains the efforts of the dynasties from Cairo in securing their rule over it. Egypt thus appears to be a 'natural' point of intersection for the material and intellectual exchange between the eastern and western Islamic lands and between the Indian Ocean and Mediterranean commerce, but this does not mean that it always worked that way ('geography alone does not create trade networks'). 35 Egypt's position in international

13

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

trade was enhanced by the policies of the Fatimid caliphs. Fatimid prosperity has been ascribed to the absence of interference by the state in the commerce of its subjects, coupled with the general growth of trade associated with the rise of western Europe. At the same time, there was the economic collapse of Iraq and the diversion of trade from the Persian Gulf to the Red Sea. After the establishment of the Crusader states, pilgrims no longer had access to the overland routes to the Hijaz through Palestine, and the Egyptian town of Qus became the favourite stopping place of Muslim pilgrim cara

vans. 37 North African slave and gold trade through the Sahara with the Bilad al Sudan and to the south was largely in Ibadi Berber hands, but fell under Fatimid control. The revival of a market economy in Latin Europe went together with the near monopoly on the part of Italian seaports of commerce with Fatimid Egypt. In general, the Muslim world had difficulty keeping up with the pace of innovation in European shipbuilding 3 and, later on, in stopping European penetration into local markets. Many examples could be given. Tunisia was dependent on raw materials coming from Europe in an important local industry, the fabrication of chechias (a kind of hat), and was also dependent on Jewish merchants for trade. 39 Egypt's industry did not undergo the necessary changes in technology and mechanisation that allowed Europe to produce plain and low priced goods for domestic and foreign markets. 40

The seventh/thirteenth century witnessed the growth of commerce between the Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean, with Mamluk Egypt greatly benefiting from it, especially the transit trade in luxury goods.

While

Italians and Catalans controlled trade in the Mediterranean, Egyptian and

Yemeni merchants controlled the routes to the Indian Ocean.

Competition

arose with the northern route in the hands of the Genoese Ilkhanid alliance,

following the Mongol conquests. By the late eighth/fourteenth century international trade was clearly depressed compared to earlier levels, owing

to the break up of the Mongol empires, the closure of the overland routes to

China which brought to an end the presence of large foreign merchant communities there, the extraordinary political turmoil in fifteenth century

western Europe, and the depopulation associated with plague. 41

Mamluk

institutionalisation from the times of Sultan Barsbay (r. 825 42/1422 38) of the state monopoly on the transit trade and also local industry was not beneficial in the long run, and it entailed the disappearance of the enterprising

Karimi merchants.

The extent and pace of the impact of the 'Discoveries' in Mediterranean

commerce is subject to debate. 42 An attempt on the part of Ahmad al Mansur

14

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Introduction

(r. 986 1012/ 1578 1603) to join England in the acquisition of American territory came to nothing, in spite of the sultan's conviction that Morocco fulfilled what was required for such an enterprise: the expansion achieved south of the Sahara had given him personal experience as a conqueror and his men had proved their capacity for fighting and living in hot climates. 43 If the Atlantic was lost to Muslim ships, the Indian Ocean had problems of its own. Ottoman trade has been described as being mostly internal, as the Ottomans failed in controlling the Indian Ocean trade after the Portuguese disrupted the old routes through the Gulf and the Red Sea. Attempts in the tenth/sixteenth century at building a canal between the Mediterranean and the Red Sea, and another canal between the Don, which flows into the Black Sea, and the Volga, which flows into the Caspian, did not materialise, in spite of their potential in counteracting European and Russian competition and advance. Piracy and privateering emerged as a general phenomenon on both sides of the Mediterranean, and it was only in the eleventh/ seventeenth century that they became more specifically Muslim, with human beings as perhaps the most important of the commodities that sustained such activities. 44 It would still be a long time before the abolition of slavery would become an issue as a result of the impact of Western colonialism. Some of the threads followed in this volume and most especially the understanding of the nature of political

power, the sources and limitations of religious knowledge and authority, and the role of its bearers would then be stretched to a point not experienced before in the history of Islamic societies. The interested reader will find the reactions to such unprecedented pressure in volumes 5 and 6 of the New Cambridge history of Islam. 45

Notes

EI2, art. 'Kahwa' (C. van Arendonk and K.N. Chaudhuri). See also Michel Tuschscherer, *Le commerce du café: Avant l'ère des plantations coloniales*, Cairo, 2001.

Françoise Aubaile Sallenave, 'Le thé, un essai d'histoire de sa diffusion dans le monde musulman', in Manuela Marin and Cristina de la Puente (eds.), *El banquete de las palabras: La alimentación en los textos árabes*, Madrid, 2005, 153-91.

See the sections on the Fatimids (by Paul E. Walker and Paula A. Sanders) in Carl F. Perry (ed.), *Islamic Egypt, 640-1171*, vol. I of the Cambridge history of Egypt, Cambridge, 1998. See also the corresponding section in J. C. Garcin (ed.), *États, sociétés et cultures du monde musulman médiéval, Xe-XVe siècle*, Nouvelle Clio, 3 vols., Paris, 1995-2000.

15

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

4. Ambrosio Huici Miranda, *Historia política del imperio almohade*, 2 vols., Tetouan,

1956 7; repr. with preliminary study by E. Molina Lopez and V. Oltra, 2 vols., Granada, 2000; Maria Jesus Viguera (ed.), *Historia de España fundada por R. Menendez Pidal*, vol. VIII / 2, *El retroceso territorial de alAndalus: Almorávides y Almohades*, siglos XI al XIII, Madrid, 1997.

5. Yaacov Lev, *Saladin in Egypt*, Leiden, 1999; Anne Marie Edde, *Saladin*, Paris, 2008.

6. See sections on the Mamluks (by Linda S. Northrup, Jean Claude Garcin and Carl F. Petty) in Petty (ed.), *Islamic Egypt*.

7. Halil Inalcik, *The Ottoman empire: The classical age, 1300 1600*, trans. Norman Itzkowitz and Colin Imber, London, 1973; Cemal Kafadar, *Between two worlds: The construction of the Ottoman state*, Berkeley, 1995; Colin Imber, *The Ottoman empire, 1300 1650: The structure of power*, Basingstoke, 2002.

8. Abderrahman El Moudden, 'The idea of the caliphate between Moroccans and Ottomans: Political and symbolical stakes in the 16th and 17th century Maghrib', *SI*, 82 (1995), 103 12.

9. David Wasserstein, *The rise and fall of the party kings: Politics and society in Islamic Spain, 1002 1086*, Princeton, 1985.

10. Angelika Hartmann, *An Nasir li Din Allah (1180 1225). Politik, Religion, Kultur in der späten 'Abbasidenzeit*, Berlin and New York, 1975.

11. J. F. P. Hopkins, *Medieval Muslim government in Barbary*, London, 1958, ch. VII, 'The Almohade hierarchy', 85 ill; A. Popovic and G. Veinstein, *Les voies d'Allah: Les ordres mystiques dans le monde musulman des origines à aujourd'hui*, Paris, 1996; V.J. Cornell, *Realm of the saint: Power and authority in Moroccan Sufism*, Austin, 1998.

12. Richard W. Bulliet, *The case for Islamo Christian civilization*, New York, 2004. For

specific analysis of such interplay see David Abulafia, *Frederick II: A medieval emperor*, London, 1988; Michael Brett, *The rise of the Fatimids: The world of the Mediterranean and the Middle East in the fourth century of the hijra, tenth century CE*, Leiden, Boston and Cologne, 2001; Maribel Fierro, 'Alfonso X the Sage, the last Almohad caliph?', in *Medieval encounters (Proceedings of the Conference Al Andalus: Cultural diffusion and hybridity in Iberia. 1000 1600)*, forthcoming.

13. Brett, *The rise of the Fatimids*; Mercedes Garcia Arenal, *Messianism and puritanical reform: Mahdis of the Muslim west*, Leiden and Boston, 2006.

14. Mohamed Kably, *Societe, pouvoir et religion au Maroc a la fin du moyen age*, Paris, 1986.

15. J. F. Powers, *A society organized for war: The Iberian municipal militias in the central Middle Ages, 1000 1284*, Berkeley, 1988.

16. Weston F. Cook, Jr., *The hundred years war for Morocco: Gunpowder and the military revolution in the early modern Muslim world*, Boulder, 1994.

17. Yaacov Lev, *State and society in Fatimid Egypt*, Leiden, 1991; Paula Sanders, 'The Fatimid state, 969 1171', in Petty (ed.), *Islamic Egypt*, 154 7; Michael Brett, 'The origins of the Mamluk military system in the Fatimid period', in U. Vermeulen and D. De Smet (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras*, Leuven, 1995, 39 52.

Introduction

18. The preceding points are taken from Linda S. Northrup, 'The Bahri Mamluk sultanate', in Petty (ed.), *Islamic Egypt*, 245 and 247. For the theories regarding the prominence of the mamluk institution see Robert Irwin, *The Middle East in the Middle Ages: The early Mamluk sultanate, 1250 1382*, London, 1986, 7 10.

19. Alejandro Garcia Sanjuan, 'Mercenarios cristianos al servicio de los musulmanes en el norte de Africa durante el siglo XIII', in Manuel Gonzalez and Isabel Montes (eds.), *La Peninsula Iberica entre el Mediterrrdneo y el AtlAntico*, sighs XIII XV. Cadiz, 1 4 de abril de 2003, Seville and Cadiz, 2006, 435 47. Iberian Christian rulers also employed Muslim soldiers: Ana Echevarria, *Caballeros en lafrontera: La guardia morisca de los reyes de Castilla (1410 1467)*, Madrid, 2006. The colony of Muslims in Lucera also gave rise to the same phenomenon.

20. Jonathan P. Berkey, 'Culture and society during the late Middle Ages', in Petty (ed.), *Islamic Egypt*, 384.

21. Michael Winter, 'The Ottoman occupation', in Petty (ed.), *Islamic Egypt*, 499. See now Robert Irwin, 'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom reconsidered', in Michael Winter and Amalia Levanoni (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian polities and society*, Leiden, 2004, 117 39.

22. Kafadar, *Between two worlds*, 17, 112; see also R. Murphey, *Ottoman warfare, 1500 1700*, London, 1999.

23. Hugh Kennedy, *Muslim Spain and Portugal: A political history of al Andalus*, London and New York, 1996.

24. Lucette Valensi, *Fellahs tunisiens: L'economie rurale et la vie des campagnes aux XVIIIe et XIXe siecles*, Paris, 1977.

25. Miguel Vega Martin, Salvador Pena Martin and Manuel C. Feria Garcia, *El mensaje de las monedas almohades: Numismática, traducción y pensamiento*, La Mancha, 2002.
26. R. Stephen Humphreys, *From Saladin to the Mongols: The Ayyubids of Damascus, 1193-1260*, Albany, 1977; Anne Marie Edde, *La principauté ayyoubide d'Alep (579/1183-65S/1260J)*, Stuttgart, 1999.
27. With some exceptions, such as the Arabic documents from Norman Sicily: see Jeremy Johns, *Arabic administration in Norman Sicily: The royal domain*, Cambridge, 2002.
28. Michael Chamberlain, *Knowledge and social practice in medieval Damascus, 1190-1350*, Cambridge, 1994.
29. Kafadar, *Between two worlds*; Colin Imber, *What does ghazi actually mean?*, in Cigdem Balim Harding and C. Imber (eds.), *The balance of truth: Essays in honour of Professor Geoffrey Lewis*, Istanbul, 2000, 165-78.
30. Richard Fletcher, *The quest for El Cid*, London, 1989.
31. M. A. Kohler, *Allianzen und Verträge zwischen den frankischen und islamischen Herrschern im Vorderen Orient: Eine Studie über das zwischenstaatliche Zusammenkommen vom 12. bis ins 13. Jahrhundert*, Berlin and New York, 1991.
32. Carole Hillenbrand, *The Crusades: Islamic perspectives*, Edinburgh, 1999.
33. B. Bennassar and L. Bennassar, *Les Chrétiens d'Islam: L'histoire extraordinaire des renégats (XVI et XVII siècles)*, Paris, 1989; L. Scaraffia, *Rinnegati: Per una storia dell'identità occidentale*, Rome, 1993.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

34. See now Peregrine Horden and Nicholas Purcell, *The corrupting sea: A study of Mediterranean history*, Oxford, 2000, and Faruk Tabak, *The waning of the Mediterranean, 1550-1870: A geohistorical approach*, Baltimore, 2008.

35. R. Stephen Humphreys, 'Egypt in the world system of the later Middle Ages', in Petty (ed.), *Islamic Egypt, 445-610*.

36. S. D. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society: The Jewish communities of the Arab world as portrayed in the documents of the Cairo Geniza*, 6 vols., Berkeley, 1967-88.

37. Jean Claude Garcin, *Un centre musulman de la Haute Égypte médiévale: Qus*, Paris, 1976.

38. The relationship of Muslims with the sea has been analysed by Xavier de Planhol, *L'Islam et la mer: La mosquée et le matelot (Vile XXe siècle)*, Paris, 2000.

39. Mohamed Tahar Mansouri, 'Produits agricoles et commerce maritime en Ifriqiya aux XI^e et XV^e siècles', in *Cultures et nourritures de l'Occident musulman: Essais dédiés à Bernard Rosenberger*, *Médiévales*, 33 (1997), 125-39; Ibrahim Jadla, 'Les Juifs en Ifriqiya à l'époque hafside', in *Histoire communautaire, histoire plurielle: La communauté juive de Tunisie. Actes du colloque de Tunis, 25-27 février 1998*, Tunis, 1999, 145-51, and more generally David Abulafia, *Commerce and conquest in the Mediterranean, 1100-1500*, London, 1993, and Georges Jehel, *L'Italie et le Maghreb au moyen âge: Conflits et échanges du V^e au X^e siècle*, Paris, 2001.

40. Nikki R. Keddie, 'Material culture, technology and geography, toward a historic comparative study of the Middle East', in J. R. Cole (ed.), *Comparing Muslim societies: Knowledge and the state in a world civilization*, Michigan, 1992, 40 1.
41. Humphreys, 'Egypt in the world system of the later Middle Ages', 459.
42. Nelly Hanna, *Making big money in 1600: The life and times of Isma'il Abu Taqiyya, Egyptian merchant*, Syracuse, 1998; Nelly Hanna (ed.), *Money, land and trade: An economic history of the Muslim Mediterranean*, London, 2002.
43. Mercedes Garcia Arenal, *Ahmad al Mansur*, Oxford, 2008, 91.
44. Salvatore Bono, *Corsari nel Mediterraneo: Cristiani e musulmani fra guerra, schiavitù e commercio*, Milan, 1993.
45. I would like to thank all the authors whose contributions have made possible this volume for their generosity and patience. I would also like to thank Marigold Acland, Bill Blair, Helen Waterhouse, the staff of Cambridge University Press and all those who have been involved in the process of production, translation and editing for their unfaltering help. And most especially I wish to convey my thanks to Michael Cook.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

PART I

AL-ANDALUS AND NORTH

AND WEST AFRICA (ELEVENTH

TO FIFTEENTH CENTURIES)

Al-Andalus and the Maghrib (from the
fifth /eleventh century to the fall of the
Almoravids)

MARIA JESUS VIGUERA-MOLINS

Sources of information

Our understanding of al Andalus and the Maghrib in the fifth/ eleventh century is largely based on textual sources, primarily historical narratives

but occasionally documents of a different nature, such as those of the Cairo

Geniza (a chamber of the synagogue in Fustat that served as the burial room

for the various kinds of writing that originated within the Jewish community).

This documentary evidence is increasingly being complemented by the fruits

of archaeological excavation, numismatics and epigraphy. Here only the main

documentary sources dating from the period in question will be dealt with. 1

The writings of Ibn Hayyan (d. 469/1076) and Ibn Hazm (d. 456/1064) represent the spectacular double finale to the chronicles of the Umayyad period. They both show a keen critical insight into the changes brought about by the fall of the Umayyad regime in the first years of the fifth/ eleventh

century, and give us valuable information about the Taifa kingdoms that followed. The *Matin*, Ibn Hayyan's great compendium, unfortunately only survives in the form of quotations by later authors.

Al 'Udhri (d. 478/1085) devoted particular attention to his patrons, the Banu

Sumadih of Almeria. Though al 'Udhri's text has not been preserved in its entirety, the historical and geographical details provided by the surviving parts

stand out for a certain originality of analysis. Al Bakri (d. 487/1094), son of the

deposed Taifa king of Huelva, is acknowledged to be the best Andalusí geographer. Ibn Abi'l Fayyad (d. 459/1066), from Almería, compiled information about both the Umayyads and the Taifas in his book 'On history lessons' (al Ibaʿf), of which there remain only posterior quotations.

Apart from al c Udhri, individual Taifas do not seem to have had their own particular chroniclers, with perhaps a few exceptions that are now lost, such as al Shilbi's account of the Taifa of Seville. The book by Ibn Alqama (d. 509/1115) may have either confined itself simply to the conquest of Valencia by the 'Cid'

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

(the Christian nobleman Rodrigo Díaz de Vivar), which is the section preserved in the *Primera crónica general de España*, or also covered Valencia's complex history during the Taifa period.

The great and largely lost encyclopaedia by al Muzaffar, Taifa king of Badajoz (d. 460/1067), also included historical information. The last Taifa king of Granada, Abu Abd Allah, was the author of a unique political autobiography. Deposed by the Almoravids and exiled to the Maghrib, this Berber ruler penned a first person chronicle as a meditation on his destiny and the inexorable demise of the Taifas. Very short is the chronicle entitled *Anonymous chronicle of the Taifa kings*.

Some poets wrote compositions in verse on historical themes. One such work is the *Kitab al tibyanfi khulafa' Banī Umayyāfi 'l Andalus* by the Cordoban Ibn Zaydun (d. 463/1070). Ibn Khaqan (d. 529/1134 or 535/1140) and Ibn Bassam of Santarém (d. 543/1148) each compiled anthologies containing as much as

they could of the splendid literary output of fifth /eleventh century al Andalus, both poetry and prose, placing it within its political framework. Of particular interest from a historical perspective, the latter's Treasury of the charms of the Andalusis (al Dhakhira fi mahasin ahl aljazzira) included long passages from the work of the great historian Ibn Hayyan.

The Granadine Ibn al Sayrafi, secretary to Abu Muhammad ibn Tashfin, Almoravid governor of al Andalus, wrote two books: The bright lights, on the reports of the Almoravid dynasty (al Anwar aljaliya fi akhbar al dawla al murabitiyya) and Narrative of the news and government of the rulers (Taqassi 'I anbcC wa siyasat al ru'asa 1). Both these and other lost works are quoted by later authors when writing about the Almoravids.

Contacts across the Mediterranean in the sixth/twelfth century facilitated the work of the great geographer al Idrisi, grandson of one of the Hammudid kings of the Taifa of Malaga. Under the patronage of Roger II, the Norman king of Sicily, he wrote his classic work Amusement of one who misses traversing distant lands (Nuzhat al mushtaqfiikhtiraq al afdq), as well as a compendium on roads and routes called Uns al muhaj.

Historical information can be gathered both from the biographical dictionaries and from legal works, such as al Wansharisi's compilation of legal rulings (fatwa, pi. fatawa), as well as the Kitab al ahkam al kubra 2, by Ibn Sahl of Jaen (d. 485/1093), the Ahkam by the Malagan judge al Sha'bi (d. 497/1103) and the Masa'il and tawa by the great judge of Cordoba Ibn Rushd (d. 520/1126). Ibn al Hajj's Nawazil is also an essential source for the Almoravid period. This rich output of fatwa compilations reveals the ascendance of the Maliki legal school under the Almoravids. The compilation of legal rulings by the judge of Ceuta 'Iyad (d. 543/1149) was collected by one of his sons as Madhahib al hukkam

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

fi nawazil al hukkam. Finally, for the information it affords on the 'censure of customs' and the 'marketplace police' (hisba) in Seville under the Almohads, the 'Treatise' by Ibn 'Abdun is also of great value.

Territorial and urban developments

During the fifth /eleventh century, the Islamic West saw enormous shifts in territorial frontiers and variations in the patterns of population. In al Andalus, the Umayyad order, with its capital in Cordoba, splintered into the small competing power centres of the Taifas. In the process of division, the former territorial divisions that had existed under the Umayyad caliphate were largely rendered obsolete, the most common new unit becoming the iqlim or district, although in some cases the areas occupied by Taifas coincided with former Umayyad administrative units. The Taifas of Cordoba and Seville, for example, occupied what had been the corresponding Umayyad provinces (kuras), while what had previously constituted the three frontier Marches were now the Taifas of Saragossa, Toledo and Badajoz respectively. The single towns or castles that made up the smallest Taifas likewise overlay earlier territorial subdivisions. But under the Taifas, these early spatial units acquired a new meaning.

The Arabic sources speak of 'kings of the Taifas' but never of 'kingdoms', for in these petty states the sovereign embodied political rule rather than a particular geographic area. Modern historiography tends to call them 'kingdoms' because we are accustomed nowadays to thinking that political and

territorial units are necessarily one and the same thing. But while a Taifa naturally did need to have its own political and administrative structure in order to exist, the permanence of its territorial shape was by no means so essential. How much land a Taifa occupied was ultimately less important than who ruled it. And in most cases the area occupied by a Taifa was highly variable, sometimes shrinking, sometimes expanding, sometimes even disappearing altogether as it was swallowed up by a stronger neighbour.

In both al Andalus and the Maghrib, the cities experienced substantial growth. ³ In al Andalus, nearly thirty different cities found themselves the capitals of Taifas during this period and reaped the benefits that this new status and function entailed. In emulation of Umayyad Cordoba in its heyday, each of the new capitals tried to make its royal court a centre of culture to attract the experts in administration and letters who had dispersed during the period of civil unrest. Once settled in the Taifa capitals, these men formed new political and cultural elites, which in turn stimulated further urban growth. ⁴ As for Cordoba itself, the loss of the city's central role was accompanied by

23

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

considerable physical destruction, ⁵ but it quickly assumed a new role as just one more Taifa capital.

In the Maghrib, the great urban event was the founding of Marrakesh in 463/1070 by the new Almoravid dynasty. Though it was Marrakesh that served as the capital for the centralised state, the Almoravids maintained local centres

of power in several cities, with a view to maintaining control over the main trading routes between western Africa and the Mediterranean. With the conquest of Sijilmasa in 446/1055, the Almoravids took control of the routes' gateway to the desert in the south. From there, they occupied Marrakesh, Fez and Tlemcen, key cities in between the desert and the Mediterranean, and finally the route's Mediterranean outlet itself at Ceuta. The Almoravids had an impact on urban centres in al Andalus too, bringing special prosperity to Cordoba, Granada, Seville, Jaen and Malaga in the south, Almeria, Murcia and Valencia in the east and Lisbon, Silves and Niebla in the west.

In the first half of the fifth/eleventh century, both al Andalus and the Maghrib underwent internal fragmentation and, in addition, the relative political isolation of each intensified. By their conquests in the latter part of the century, the Almoravids managed for a while to repair internal divisions in both regions, and even managed to join al Andalus and the Maghrib together into a new political unit. This unification was seen as a step towards reconstructing the umma (community of the faithful) of Islam, which had been violated by the earlier territorial division, and consequently earned the Almoravids the praise of the Muslim sources, which often contrast the chaotic factionalism that existed before the Almoravid conquest with the unity that came after.

Another feature of Andalusian territory is its gradual erosion. The seesawing fortunes of Christianity and Islam in the Iberian Peninsula saw first the fall of Toledo to the Christians in 478/1085, the conquest of Valencia by the Cid and its subsequent recovery by the Almoravids, and the inexorable expansion of the Christian strongholds in the Pyrenees into the plains, with Christian armies taking Huesca in 489/1096 and reaching the banks of the Ebro river in 512/1118. The military defeats and political crises of the fifth/eleventh century favoured this southern advance of the Christians, while simultaneously the Christian ideology of the 'Reconquista' presented an analogous

threat on the conceptual plane to the Muslims.

The fall of the Umayyad caliphate and the civil war

In 399/1009, in spite of the apparent strength of the Ummayyad caliphate, civil war (fitna) broke out and the centralised caliphal state was torn into the

24

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

various Taifas, which would last for the rest of that century and into the next.

The structure of the Umayyad state collapsed when al Hakam II died in 366/

976, leaving the reins of power in the hands of his son Hisham II, still a young

boy.⁷ This power vacuum was quickly filled by Ibn Abi 'Amir, known as al Mansur, who used Hisham as a figurehead to legitimise his own rule. When

al Mansur died in 392/1002, his two sons succeeded him in power, still acting

ostensibly in the name of the powerless Hisham II, a situation which caused

irreparable damage to the caliphal institution.

Al Mansur's second son, nicknamed 'Sanchuelo', finally brought the matter

to a head by forcing Hisham II to declare him next in line for the caliphate,

thus provoking an uproar from the Umayyad family, which overthrew and killed Sanchuelo, deposed Hisham II and proclaimed caliph a great grandson

of the first caliph al Nasir named Muhammad. Muhammad adopted the name

'al Mahdi', meaning 'the saviour', a move with clear eschatological connotations that signalled his intention to save al Andalus (in other words, the

Umayyad dynasty) from al Mansur's family, the 'Amirid usurpers.

Thus erupted the struggle for power between Umayyad and 'Amirid factions, the first of several simultaneous conflicts that made up the civil war. When al Mahdi dethroned Hisham II in what was in effect a coup d'etat, it represented the first time in two and a half centuries that a legitimate sovereign had been removed by force in al Andalus. He immediately faced threats from the supporters of the previous regime. Chief among them were the 'Slavs' (saqaliba), slaves of European origin who occupied important posts in the palace guard and provincial troops under al Mansur and his sons, and the Berber mercenaries that had been recruited in the Maghrib by the 'Amirids and brought over in large numbers to fight in the Peninsula. (As the latest wave of Berber arrivals in al Andalus, they were thought of as the 'new' Berbers.) Perceiving that the loyalty of these two groups lay primarily with the 'Amirids, al Mahdi discharged many of their members when he assumed power, and they moved out of Cordoba in search of new means of support. In many cases Slavs and 'new' Berbers set up their own self governing entities where they settled. This is one pattern in the creation of the Taifas: a particular group endowed with civil or military power but regarded as outsiders or upstarts by the local population first imposed its rule over the area and then declared its independence from the central seat of power.

As if this was not enough, al Mahdi alienated many in his own faction by his scandalous treatment of Hisham II, whose death and burial he feigned. Al Mahdi's actions obviously sank the authority of the caliphate to new depths, and as a result other members of the Umayyad family rose up against

The New Cambridge History of Islam

al Maḥdī. Years later, Ibn Ḥazm⁹ recalled his consternation: 'I was invited to go to the mountains near Córdoba to attend the burial of the caliph [Hisham II]. I and many others around me saw a bier on which lay a body in shrouds . . . thousands of people recited the funeral prayers for his soul. But not many months later, Hisham [II] reappeared . . . and was once again proclaimed caliph . . . and his caliphate continued for [nearly] three more years.'

So the civil war¹⁰ raged with varying intensity throughout al Andalus, with the focal issue of the conflict the caliphal succession. As we have seen, Hisham II was restored to the throne in 400/1010, but he died three years later in 403/1013, and between his death and the abolition of the caliphate in 422/1031 a large number of pretenders to the caliphate competed among themselves. Six of them were members of the Umayyad family: al Musta'in, al Mu'ayti, al Murtada, al Mustazhir, al Mustakfi and al Mu'tadd. Three supposed descendants of the Idrisid dynasty of the Maghrib also joined in the fray. Amid the general turmoil, these 'Berberised' princes of the Hammudī family (al Nasir, al Ma'mun and al Mu'tali) managed briefly and intermittently to assume the increasingly tarnished title of caliph, before withdrawing to establish their own petty dominions in Málaga and Algeciras, with nominal control over Ceuta in North Africa as well. At the beginning, the Hammudids supported their legitimacy to rule as successors and defenders of the Umayyad caliphs, but when they left Córdoba they started new ways of asserting their political claims, as shown in their choice of honorific surnames and their fine gold coinage.¹¹

Civil war, aiming at control of the caliphal throne, thus revolved around three main power groups: the Andalusis, the 'new' Berbers and the Slavs. Individuals from each group began to declare autonomous political entities in various regions, either to fill a local power vacuum and thus prevent inter

vention from outside, as in the case of the Andalusis, or simply to guarantee their own survival, as in the case of the Slavs and 'new' Berbers. The result was a changing map of several dozen 'states' of varying importance, size and longevity.

In the fifth/ eleventh century, 'Andalusi' centres were those in which the majority of the inhabitants were not recent arrivals (like the 'new' Berbers and Slavs) but rather the descendants of, on the one hand, the diverse pre Islamic indigenous population of the Iberian Peninsula and, on the other, the Arabs and Berbers who had arrived starting in the second/eighth century. These two component groups had become largely homogenised, especially during the Umayyad caliphate of the fourth /tenth century. By the fifth/ eleventh century, a sense of being Andalusi was increasingly contrasted with the Berber

26

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

character of the latest and still unassimilated wave of immigrant mercenaries from the Maghrib.

The 'Slavs' were originally slaves of European descent, many of whom rose up in the state administration. They were generally eunuchs, though there were some exceptions, such as Mujahid, who founded a dynasty in Denia. The first Umayyad caliph, 'Abd al Rahman III, began to use the Slavs in large numbers, and they were entrusted with progressively more responsibility because of their personal loyalty to the sovereign and detachment from internal Andalusi affairs. This was equally true of the 'new' Berbers, which

explains the heavy dependence of al Mansur and his sons on both these groups. By the time the caliphate collapsed, they constituted blocs of tremendous power with a potential for mischief after al Mahdi's assumption of power had forced them into opposition and ultimately self rule.

Political fission and fusion in al-Andalus

The alternation of centrifugal and centripetal forces is a constant theme throughout the history of al Andalus. Not once was a strong central authority smoothly replaced by another. Instead there were transitional interregnums of petty states, created as a response to a power vacuum at the centre, or as a breaking away from that centre.

Such an interregnum occurred in the turbulent period between 400/1009 and 406/1016, when Andalusí unity gave way to the establishment of Taifas by all three of the major power groups. Slavs set up Taifas at Almeria, Murcia, Denia (including the Balearic Islands), Tortosa and Valencia (Badajoz too, for a time). The 'new' Berbers established Taifas at Arcos, Carmona, Granada, Moron and Ronda. To this list we might add the enclaves of the 'Berberised' Arab Hammudids at Algeciras and Malaga. Finally, at Albarracin, Alpuente, Huelva, Santa Maria del Algarve, Silves, Toledo and Saragossa, and somewhat later at Mertola, Niebla and Seville, Andalusis assumed control, usually led by families of long standing regional importance. The last Taifa to be established was Cordoba, after the caliphate was reluctantly abolished in 422/1031 and the last caliph expelled from the city.

The three great frontier Taifas, with their respective capitals at Saragossa, Toledo and Badajoz, had started independent existences early, beginning in 400/1009, for these territories had a long tradition of local government. The areas controlled by 'new' Berbers and Slavs soon became Taifas, both parvenu

groups having played a catalytic role in the break up of the caliphate. On the other hand, these groups lacked roots and hence support in the local

27

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

community, and as a result few of these Taifas remained under their control for long. Over the course of the century, the 'new' Berber and Slav Taifas fell, one by one, to neighbouring Taifas ruled by Andalusis. There were two exceptions: the powerful Zirid family of 'new' Berbers ruled at Granada until 483/1090, and Slavs maintained control of the Balearics until 508/1116.

Political 'fission' took place when the petty states that resulted from the fragmentation of the caliphate attempted to reproduce the Umayyad state's political and administrative framework. These entities were indeed fragments, and that concept is clearly reflected in the word 'Taifa', for in Arabic 'ta'ifa' means 'division' or 'faction', which in the political language of Islam is negatively contrasted with the ideal of the unity of the 'community of the faithful' (umma). Furthermore, the fragmentation and discord of the Taifas weakened them and left them open to the extortion of tribute, called *parias* in Spanish, by the more powerful Christian kingdoms in their vicinity. The *parias* were paid as a guarantee against attack or in return for military assistance, and constituted a relationship of dependence that cost the Taifa rulers dearly not just in economic but also in political terms. Moreover, the payment of *parias* forced the Taifas to increase the tax burden on their subjects beyond the legal limits. This was one more factor, along with the splintering of the Muslim

community and their lack of legitimacy, which led to the Taifas' downfall. It came about in three different ways. Some were conquered by stronger Taifas. Seville absorbed a dozen of its smaller neighbours. Saragossa and Granada grew in similar fashion, with Saragossa taking first Tortosa, then Denia, and Granada the Taifa of Malaga. Other Taifas fell to Christian armies: Toledo in 478/1085 and Valencia in 487/1094 (though it was later recovered by the Almoravids). Finally, all those Taifas that remained in 483/1090 were one by one absorbed into the Almoravid empire. With the Almoravids, political fusion was once again accomplished, and al Andalus was furthermore unified with the Maghrib. This situation would persist until the end of Almoravid rule in the sixth/ twelfth century brought about another period of political fission.

Legitimising strategies of the Taifa kings and criticism of their rule

By referring to the rulers of the Taifas as 'kings' (muluk), the Arabic sources implied that though these men exercised real power, they did not do so with any kind of rightful authority, as the caliphs, for example, had. 12 Not all the Taifa rulers called themselves 'king'. Many dodged the question of sovereignty by simply adopting the title of 'chamberlain' (hajib), the title under

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

which al Mansur had ruled, drawing legitimacy as he had from their professed subordination to a caliph. No Taifa ruler dared to adopt a title that had any

religious connotation such as imam, caliph, 'Prince of the Believers' (amir al mu'minin), nor even 'Prince of the Muslims' (am. Tr al muslimin), but they did permit themselves more mundane titles like 'chamberlain', 'king', 'leader' (ra'is), sometimes just 'prince' (amir) and very occasionally 'sultan'. The first ruler of the Taifa of Cordoba simply governed under his previous rank of 'vizier'.

The Taifa rulers tried to compensate for this diminished status by adopting honorific surnames, with al Mansur ('the Victorious') and his sons again serving as models. As the century progressed, there was a tendency in some Taifas to adopt ever more superlative names, a habit which drew criticism, a famous example of which is a verse accusing the rulers of being 'cats inflated so as to appear lions'. They were also attacked for their crippling fiscal policies. Ibn Hazm criticised the entire financial system, 'All those who govern [Taifas] in any region of this our country of al Andalus are highwaymen . . . making constant attacks against the possessions of Muslims.' 13 The existence of this extra legal taxation was constantly pointed to as a sign of the Taifas' illegitimacy, and after he assumed control over the region, the Almoravid amir Yusuf ibn Tashfin was praised by the jurists of both al Andalus and the Muslim east for, in al Ghazali's words, 'suppressing the unjust taxes', as well as correcting other unorthodox practices. 14

The strict qualifications required of anyone who claimed to be caliph largely prevented the Taifa rulers from doing so, and this meant that they constantly had to seek a largely theoretical but nevertheless essential legitimacy by recognising at least symbolically the ultimate authority of either one of the rival Umayyad caliphs in Cordoba or, after the Cordoba caliphate was abolished, 15 one of the Hammudid caliphs. The only other alternative was to come up with your own pretender to the caliphal throne, as a few Taifa rulers did, with varying degrees of success. One attempt along these lines was

an outright hoax: in order to legitimise his policy of territorial expansion at the expense of neighbouring Berber ruled Taifas in 427/1035, the ruler of Seville needed someone to rival the Berber's favourite, the Hammudid caliph; his solution was to find a look alike of Hisham II and have him proclaimed caliph.

When they had no other recourse, the Taifa kings sought legitimacy by acknowledging an 'imam 'Abd Allah', thus alluding in generic fashion to the caliphal institution from which they claimed support, and which they continued to defer to on their coinage. Nevertheless, this gesture did not prevent their subjects from questioning their legitimacy, spurred on first by the Malik!

29

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

jurists and later by the Almoravids, who, upon assuming power, were quick to acknowledge the Abbasid caliphs, and preferred for themselves the title 'Prince of the Muslims'. The output of the Taifa mints is another sign of their political fragility. Their coins are of low quality gold (except for some minted in Saragossa and Seville, and the Hammudid dinars), and some Taifas either were unable to issue coinage on a regular basis or did not mint money at all.

The main Taifas

In the fifth /eleventh century Taifas, as in those of the mid sixth/twelfth century

and the beginning of the seventh/thirteenth, regional fragmentation did not cease after a certain number of divisions, but rather existing fragments were in turn split up into smaller units, generally because of conflicts within members of a single dynasty. It was for this reason that at one time or another the sub regions dominated by the cities of Calatayud, Tudela, Huesca and Lerida broke away from Saragossa, and Lisbon detached itself from Badajoz. In other cases, the break did not involve members of the same family, as when Murcia became independent of Seville. By contrast, other Taifas grew by union or conquest. Let us examine briefly sixteen of the most important Taifas.

i. Albarracin. This small Taifa was ruled by the Banu Razin, an 'old' Berber family that had lived in the region of Teruel since the early second/eighth century. Small in area but strategically located, this Taifa lasted from 413/1013 till its conquest by the Almoravids in 497/1104.

2. Almeria. The Slav Khayran founded this Taifa in about 403/1012, and was succeeded on his death in 419/1028 by another Slav, Zuhayr. Ten years later, Almeria submitted to the authority of Abd al 'Aziz, ruler of Valencia and grandson of al Mansur. 'Abd al 'Aziz sent the Tujibi Ma'n to govern the city, but Ma'n quickly set himself up as independent ruler. He was succeeded by first his son and then his grandson, whose rule was interrupted by the Almoravid conquest of Almeria in 484/1091.

3. Badajoz. An officer of the palace guard named Sabur, undoubtedly a Slav, declared the independence of this region at the outset of the fitna. When he died in 413/1022, his vizier 'Abd Allah, a descendant of one of the 'old' Berber families, the Banu 'l Aftas, inaugurated his own ruling dynasty, which governed until the Almoravids occupied the area in 487/1094.

4. The Balearic Islands. From his base at Denia on the mainland, the Slav Mujahid occupied the islands in 404/1014 but he left them in the hands of various governors, who declared their independence after Denia fell to

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

Saragossa. Later, facing attacks by Catalan and Pisan forces, these rulers requested assistance from the Almoravids, who ended up occupying the Taifa in 509/1116.

5. Cordoba waited until the abolition of the caliphate before declaring itself a

Taifa. It was governed by three generations of the Banu Jahwar, a powerful Arab family that had lived in al Andalus since the second/ eighth century. They dominated the city until it was taken by Seville in 462/1070. In 467/1075 Cordoba came under the nominal rule of Toledo, but Seville managed to recover it in 471/1078. In 484/1091 it fell to the Almoravids.

6. Denia. The Slav Mujahid seized power here in 400/1009. Mujahid's original home had apparently been Sardinia, and after conquering the Balearics he partly occupied that island in 406/1015, his interest clearly the creation of a commercial empire based at Denia. When Mujahid died in 436/1044, he was succeeded by his son, who was deposed by his brother in law al Muqtadir, ruler of Saragossa.

7. Granada. About 404/1013, the people of Elvira (Granada) requested military assistance from the Ziri Berbers, who came to their aid. These Kabyle Berbers, who had arrived in al Andalus a short time previously, ruled this Taifa until the Almoravids occupied the territory in 483/1090.

8. Malaga. Like Algeciras, this great port was occupied by the Hammudids after they renounced their claim to the caliphate in 417/1026. The union between the two Taifas was dissolved in either 427/1035 or 431/1039 because of family disputes, with the result that nine different members of the family held power at different times before the Taifa's conquest in 448/1056 by Granada.

9. Moron. It was ruled by the Dammaris, Zanata Berbers recruited in the Maghrib by al Mansur, until it was annexed by Seville in 458/1065.

10. Murcia. First governed by the Slav rulers of Almeria from 406/1016 to

429/1038, Murcia came under the independent rule of the Banu Tahir sometime before 455/1063, but was taken by Seville in 470/1078. The Almoravids occupied it in 484/1093.

11. Niebla. The Andalusí Arab family of the Yahsubis provided three rulers in succession before this territory fell to Seville in 445/1053.

12. Saragossa. The Tujibi family had already governed the area before the civil war, and ruled it as a Taifa thereafter. Four members of the family ruled between 400/1009 and 430/1039, at which time Sulayman ibn Hud seized the Taifa. Five more of the Banu Hud succeeded him, and other members of the family split off parts of the territory to form sub Taifas

31

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

based around Tudela, Huesca, Calatayud and Lerida. Saragossa fell to the Almoravids in 503/1110.

13. Seville, ruled by a powerful local family, embarked on a policy of expansion that was only checked by first Toledo, then Badajoz and Granada. The first to be absorbed, between 436/1044 and 456/1063, were the small Andalusí Taifas of the south west, Mertola, Niebla, Huelva, Santa Maria del Algarve and Silves. Next to fall were the five Taifas in the strip of territory south east of Seville ruled by 'new' Berbers: Algeciras, Ronda, Moron, Carmona and Arcos, conquered between 446/1054 and 461/1069. Before Seville was occupied by the Almoravids in 484/1091, the Taifa had also managed to annex Cordoba and Murcia.

14. Toledo. Various local notables ruled this territory jointly from about 400/1010 until 410/1020, when they invited members of a Berber tribe that had lived in the region of Cuenca since the second/ eighth century, the Banu Dhl '1 Nun, to assume the leadership of the Taifa. The last of this dynasty to rule Toledo, the incompetent al Qadir, was overthrown in 472/1080 by his subjects, angry at having to finance the tribute paid to

Alfonso VI of Castile. Al Qadir appealed to Alfonso VI for help in reclaiming his throne and was reinstated the following year. However, the king of Castile decided to conquer Toledo himself in 478/1085, giving al Qadir as a consolation prize rule over the Taifa of Valencia.

15. Tortosa. A succession of four Slavs ruled Tortosa, beginning in 400/1009.

Al Muqtadir of Saragossa conquered it in 452/1060 and turned it into a sub

Taifa, together with Lerida and Denia, which was ruled autonomously by a branch of the Tujibi family. Tortosa was taken by the Almoravids in the early sixth/twelfth century.

16. Valencia. Power in this Taifa was seized by Slavs in 400/1009, and they

governed until 412/1021 or 413/1022, when they decided to proclaim one of al Mansur's grandsons the ruler. This man was followed by a second 'Amirid, but Valencia fell to Toledo in 457/1065. Two years later, a third 'Amirid recovered control of the Taifa, and was followed by yet a fourth, who ruled until 479/1086, when the king of Castile installed al Qadir, ex king of Toledo. His assassination in 485/1092 led to the reign of the judge Ibn Jahhaf, during which time the Taifa came under increasing pressure from forces under the Christian knight known as the 'Cid' on the one hand, and the Almoravids on the other. The Cid finally took Valencia in 487/1094 but lost it to the Almoravids in 495/1102.

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

The shifting balance of power with the Christian kingdoms and its impact on the dhimmi communities

Christians and Jews had continued to practise their respective religions as 'people of the covenant (of protection)' which allowed them to keep their property and organise their communities under their own religious hierarchies. However, they were always subject to Muslim authority at the political

level, and they also had the obligation to pay a head tax (jizya). In some cases, church buildings remained untouched throughout the long period of Muslim rule: Huesca, for example, was reported to have three churches when captured by Christian armies in 489/1096.

Regarding the continuing if gradually declining presence of Christians in al Andalus we have several contemporary reports. The Zirid Taifa ruler 'Abd Allah in his 'Memoirs' states: 'And so I evacuated Riana and Jotron for him [his brother Tamim of Malaga] as their inhabitants were Christians who lived between our two territories [the Taifas of Granada and Malaga] and were incapable of intriguing with anyone', 1 indirectly alluding to the 'trouble making' character often ascribed by the Arabic sources to the Andalusí Christians.

The fifth/ eleventh century marked the great turning point in the respective fortunes of Islam and Christianity in the Iberian Peninsula, and beginning in the latter half of this century Christian advances from the north began to encroach upon the Andalusí heartland itself. The density and homogeneity of the Muslim community increased as Jews and Christians either underwent conversion real or feigned or emigrated to the Christian north or the Maghrib, either voluntarily or under duress. During its first four centuries, al Andalus had been a land of three religions; after this century, the only remaining indigenous non Muslims would be a minority of Jews.

The final crisis for the Christians began with the first great territorial conquests by Christian armies at the end of the fifth/ eleventh century and

the subsequent arrival of the Almoravids. The first sign of fading tolerance came in 492/1099, when the Almoravid amir Yusuf ibn Tashfin ordered the

destruction of a church near Granada in accordance with a fatwa. Then, in 519/

1125, Alfonso I, king of Aragon, undertook an expedition through al Andalus. 17

Over the course of fifteen months, he traversed the eastern regions of al Andalus, besieged Granada, reached the Mediterranean coast at Velez Malaga, returned to Granada, and then withdrew northward to his kingdom,

gathering with him as he went a large number of Andalusí Christians, whom he then settled in Aragon. The connivance of the local Christian communities

' ■',

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

with the invaders outraged the Muslims. In autumn of 520/1126, a fatwa issued by Ibn Rushd ordered the expulsion of the Christians from Granada, Córdoba and Seville. They were deported to Meknes and Sale in the Maghrib, where they were allowed to maintain their dhimmi status. There would be further deportations of Christians to the Maghrib in the sixth/ twelfth century.

The Jewish community fared somewhat differently. In certain Taifas, such as those of Granada, Seville and Saragossa, Jewish notables served as viziers and secretaries. In general they earned praise from the Arabic sources, but occasionally the Muslim rulers of the Taifas were criticised for having entrusted these men with such important posts. Foremost among these Jewish notables was Samuel ibn Naghrila, who wielded great power as a vizier in the Taifa of Granada between 429/1037f and 447/1056. The privileged position of his son and successor Yusuf sparked violent disturbances in 459/1066, which led to the slaughter of many Jews, among them Yusuf himself. This violent reaction, though rather local in nature, was another sign of the various changes that swept through Andalusí society in the fifth /eleventh century. The religious orthodoxy of the Almoravids (and the Almohads after them) would also have an impact on the size of the Jewish community of al Andalus.

The Maghrib during the fifth /eleventh century

During the fourth/tenth century, the decay and disappearance of the Idrisid rulers in the Maghrib, harassed by the Umayyads and Fatimids, had allowed various Berber Zanata clans to form an alliance extending across the central Maghrib, from the Atlantic coast to the territory between Algiers and Ifriqiya dominated by the Banu Hammad. On the other hand, the departure of the Fatimid caliphs to Egypt at the end of the century left the westernmost territories of the Maghrib under the control of the Sanhaja Berbers.

The first Zirid (Sanhaja) ruler, Buluggin ibn Ziri, acting in the name of the Fatimids, kept up a policy of intervention in both the western and the central Maghrib. The second Zirid amir, al Mansur (r. 374 86/984 96), abandoned all pretensions to rule these areas, where the Zanata were now supported by the Cordoban hajib al Mansur and his sons, who continued to intervene in North Africa until the fall of the 'Amirid regime in 399/1009. Once the Maghrib was relieved of foreign interference, a certain balance between Zanatas and Sanhajjas was achieved. The third Zirid amir, Badis (r. 386 406/996 1016), enhanced ties with the Fatimids in Cairo, though they failed to back him in his

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

conflict with his uncle Hammad ibn Buluggm. This latter eventually set up his own independent rule in 405/1014 west of Ifriqiya.

The political map of the Maghrib was therefore a complex patchwork of tribal territories. The Sanhaja dominated in the eastern and central Maghrib, while other Sanhaja groups, Berbers originally from the southern Maghrib, were concentrated in the areas around Tangier, Wargha and Azemmur. The Zanata had started in the east, but drifted westwards into the central Maghrib, where they were allied with the Umayyads. In the west, the Zanata formed not one unitary territorial entity but rather several 'taifas', and they were obliged to share the extreme Maghrib (al maghrib al aqsa) with other powerful tribal groups. The various clans of one of these groups, the Masmuda, inhabited the area stretching from the Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts in the north to the Anti Atlas mountains in the south west. Among these Masmuda clans were the Barghawata, who were heterodox Muslims (but by no means alone in this respect) and the Ghumara, who inhabited the Ceuta area.

Further components of the political patchwork were the Maghribi 'taifas' that began to take shape in about 403/1013 when the Umayyad caliph Sulayman al Musta'm appointed the Idrisid 'All ibn Hammud governor of Ceuta and his brother al Qasim governor of Algeciras, Tangier and Arcila. When shortly thereafter the Hammudids held the caliphate in al Andalus, they delegated rule over their North African enclaves to two clients, Rizq Allah and Suqut al Barghawati. In 453/1061, the latter proclaimed his independence, and he managed to maintain it until the Almoravids overran Tangier in 471/1079 and Ceuta in 475/ 1082. r

An additional element was added to the mix in the mid fifth/ eleventh century. When the Zirids of Ifriqiya withdrew their allegiance from the Fatimids, the Fatimid caliph hit back by unleashing upon Ifriqiya the Arab tribes of the Banu Hilal, hoping simultaneously to rid himself of these unruly tribesmen and to punish the Zirids. However, the invading Banu Hilal and Banu Sulaym soon pushed westward beyond Ifriqiya and had a serious impact on all of North Africa, not just politically but also economically, socially and culturally.

While from the east the Maghrib was assailed by the Hilali invasion, from the south west a second force began to form, this time involving Berber rather than Arab tribesmen. These were the Sanhaja Almoravids, whose expansion eastward only ceased when they reached the territories dominated by their fellow Sanhajas, the Banu Hammad, and who imposed political unity on all these multifarious groups in a vast empire that spanned all of western north Africa.

35

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The teachings of Ibn Yasin and the rise of the Almoravids

In the early fifth/ eleventh century, the nomadic Sanhaja tribes which wandered the desert between the Dra c valley and the Niger river lost control of the caravan routes to black tribes of the southern Sahara. These troubles in the south, combined with the ongoing hostility they faced from the Zanata in the north, drove the Sanhaja to react by forming a sort of confederation, in which the Judala and Lamtuna tribes both of them people of the veil' (mulaththamun, i.e. the men habitually covered their faces) played leading roles. 19

Several sources 20 record the territories inhabited by each of the various Sanhaja groups of lithcmv. the Judala between the Dra c and Sijilmasa, the Massufa between Sijilmasa and Ghana, the Lamta in the region of the Sus river and the Ban! and Nun oases, where they founded the caravan way station of Nul Lamta, and the

Jazula in the lands between the Sus and the Nun. The Lamtuna wandered south of the Dra [to the Niger river, and according to al Bakri, 21 writing in 460/1068,

the terrain in which they roam, which is of two months' march in all directions, is situated between the land of the Blacks and the lands of Islam . . . Their wealth is their flocks; their food consists of meat and milk.

Many would live their lives without ever knowing what bread is, and without ever having tried it, if some merchant coming from the lands of Islam or the

lands of the Blacks did not bring them some or give them flour. They are Sunnis. They make war with the Blacks. Their chief was Muhammad, called

Tarasna, a man of virtue and faith, who made the Pilgrimage and devoted himself to the Holy War . . . Beyond the Lamtuna there is another Sanhaji tribe, which is the Judala, near the sea, with no other tribe separating the two.

These tribes, beginning in 440[1048], summoned to the Truth for the redressing of injustices and the abolition of unlawful taxes. They are Sunnis, who follow the school of Malik ibn Anas. The man who showed them the way, calling them to the ribat and urging them to act in the defence

of orthodoxy, was 'Abd Allah ibn Yasin.

Islam had first begun to penetrate these remote regions of the western Sahara at the end of the first/seventh century, but the local populations had

never been fully Islamised and over the centuries their form of Islam continued to include heterodox practices. Nevertheless, many of the local shaykhs

fulfilled the pilgrimage to Mecca, and one such shaykh was Yahya ibn Ibrahim,

ruler of the Judala, who made the hajj in approximately 427/10[^]. On his return, he brought with him a Maliki jurist, 'Abd Allah ibn Yasin, whom he

wished to instruct his people in the proper practice of their religion.

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

Ibn Yasin (d. 451/1059) acted as a kind of missionary of orthodox Islam as it was interpreted by the Maliki legal school. A series of Sanhaja rulers used the spiritual basis that he generated by his teachings both to justify their territorial expansion and to bind their subjects together politically. First the Judala forced the Lamtuna to adopt Ibn Yasin's orthodoxy, then the two tribes together imposed it on others. However, upon Yahya ibn Ibrahim's death, the Judala expelled Ibn Yasin, and he was taken in by the amir of the Lamtuna, Yahya ibn 'Umar. Whether because he had held out in a 'monastery fortress' (ribat) with his loyal followers (murabitun) or because they made up a tightly 'bound' group, Ibn Yasin bestowed this name on the people of his movement (al murabitun, hence 'Almoravid'), as a sign of his firm intention to spread Islamic orthodoxy through Holy War. 22 First overcoming the heterodox or non Islamised tribes in the immediate vicinity, he then pursued his campaign to the Dra 1 valley and Sijilmasa, recapturing Awdaghust in the process.

When the Lamtuni Yahya ibn 'Umar died, most probably in 447/1055, Ibn Yasin chose Yahya's brother Abu Bakr ibn 'Umar to take his place as amir, proclaiming him in Sijilmasa in 450/1058. By that time the Almoravids had consolidated their military position, and command of their armies was given to one of Abu Bakr's cousins, Yusuf ibn Tashfin. Ibn Tashfin's military and political genius led the Almoravids to total domination over the disunited tribal groups around them, for he knew how to harness his men's appetite for conquest to a total conviction that they were bearers of a new religious orthodoxy. Thus the Almoravids occupied Aghmat in 450/1058 and moved north against the Barghawata in 451/1059. During the battle that followed, Ibn Yasin was killed.

The Almoravid expansion continued under the leadership of Abu Bakr, who laid the foundations of the future Almoravid capital at Marrakesh in 463/1070. In

the same year, the am.Tr again turned his attention southwards to the Sahara and brought Ghana under Almoravid rule. Before leaving on this expedition, Abu Bakr named Ibn Tashfln his successor, and during the amir's absence Ibn Tashfln consolidated his authority over the Almoravid administrative and military structures centred in Marrakesh. Abu Bakr returned two years later and, recognising the depth of his cousin's power base, ceded leadership of the movement to him. Almoravid expansion proceeded under Yusuf ibn Tashfln's command, first towards the central Maghrib, then towards the north. Fez was conquered in 462/1070. The campaign then split in two. One objective was Tangier and Ceuta, which fell respectively in 471/1078[^] and 477/1084 (though the sources do not all agree on this date). The second objective was the eastern Maghrib. The Almoravids took Tlemcen in 468/1075, then conquered the

37

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

regions of Oran and Chelif, then the city of Algiers in 465/1082. They halted their eastward push at the frontiers of the Banu Hammad territory.

Almoravid rule was facilitated by the general enthusiasm with which the Maliki reforms of the Almoravids were greeted, as was their concern for political and religious legitimacy. This concern can be seen in the way that Yusuf ibn Tashfln was careful to limit himself to the title of 'Prince of the Muslims' (amir almuslimm), which made clear his subordination to the Abbasid caliph in Baghdad and which served to contrast the unity he offered with the chaotic

situation that had existed previously in both al Andalus and the Maghrib. Thus, the Almoravids, like the Saljuq Turks, 13 who also emerged in the middle of the fifth/ eleventh century, were careful to use new titles of power that deferred to the 'Abbasid caliphate in their campaign to unify and strengthen Muslim territories. The two movements had two significant differences, however differences that may explain the shorter duration of the Almoravid empire relative to the Saljuqs. First, they differed in the socio political structures that each created. 24 Secondly, they evolved spiritually in quite separate ways. Thus, the dominant Malikism of the Almoravids caused them first to welcome the teachings of the Iranian theologian al Ghazali, but then later to condemn them. By contrast, the Saljuq acceptance of this man as a spiritual leader never wavered.

The Almoravids' Saharan Berberism was profoundly different from the culture of the more Arabised areas of the northern Maghrib and contrasted even more sharply with the Muslim society of al Andalus. Both the Berbers and Malikism had been present in the Muslim west before the Almoravids, of course, but this movement brought these two elements together into a new dynamic force which earned a place in history as the first of the so called 'Berber empires'.

The Almoravids come to the aid of al- Andalus: the victory at Zallaqa (Sagrajas)

In al Andalus, the idea that the many Taifas should be reunified was gathering strength, because such fragmentation was incompatible with Islamic law, and because unity would bolster the Andalusis' ability to repel the Christian advances. While the Taifa kings had been incapable of keeping the Christian armies at bay on their own, let alone imposing the desired unity, the Almoravids had not only fully defended the frontiers of Islam in North Africa but also brought it into a both territorial and spiritual union.

The first Taifa king to request Almoravid help was that of Badajoz, subject to constant attacks by Alfonso VI of Castile. When Toledo was conquered by

38

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

Alfonso VI in 478/1085, the Taifa rulers decided to overcome their individual differences and ask the Almoravids to mount an expedition to al Andalus. In the summer of 479/1086, Yusuf ibn Tashfin crossed the Straits of Gibraltar and landed in Algeciras. The kings of Seville and Badajoz gave him an enthusiastic welcome, though the Almoravids did not trust their new Andalusí allies, for they immediately went about fortifying Algeciras, their bridgehead to the Maghrib, whose walls had fallen into disuse. From there, they summoned to the holy war, and moved against the Christian forces in the northern regions of Badajoz. The Almoravid troops were joined by the armies of the southern Taifas. Alfonso VI, then besieging Saragossa, made haste to meet his enemy, and the ensuing battle on 12 Rajab 479/23 October 1086 took place at Zallaqa. The military confrontation was also ideological, as reflected in the contemporary official documents of both sides. One such text is the letter sent by Yusuf ibn Tashfin to the Zirid amir in Tunis, the only other great power in the Maghrib at the time, to tell him of his victory, which justified his intervention in al Andalus, by which he had considerably altered the regional balance of power.

When the Christian kingdoms recovered from their defeat and returned to

the offensive, Ibn Tashfin crossed to al Andalus again (year 480/1088) and forced the Castilians to withdraw from the area around the stronghold of Aledo, in the region of Murcia; then he again returned to the Maghrib. However, it was only a matter of time before Alfonso's constant encroachments on Muslim territory prompted further pleas from the Taifa rulers, and for a third time Ibn Tashfin entered al Andalus, this time determined to bring a definitive solution to the region by simply conquering it. Though this invasion was essentially his own initiative, Ibn Tashfin had moral support in the form of fatwas issued by several Andalusí jurists that reproached the Taifa rulers for their transgressions of Islamic law, as well as petitions from many Andalusis eager to put their safety in his hands and return to political and fiscal legitimacy. Ibn Tashfin's first move was to depose the king of Granada, an action for which the shortsighted rulers of Seville and Badajoz congratulated him. When Ibn Tashfin returned to North Africa, he named his cousin Sir governor of his Andalusí territories, entrusting him with the offensive that would ultimately overrun all the Taifas, the last area to fall under Almoravid control being the Balearic Islands, in 509/1116.

The Almoravid conquest of al-Andalus

The deposed king of Granada, 'Abd Allah, described in his 'Memoirs' how the populace of Granada had eagerly awaited the arrival of the Almoravid amir in

similar circumstances. After Tarifa was taken, the main Almoravid army marched north towards Seville while one smaller force commanded by Ibn al Hajj approached Cordoba, another attacked Ronda, and a third moved east along the coast towards Almeria. Cordoba and Ronda belonged to the Taifa of Seville, and all three fell during 484/1091. As had previously happened with the king of Granada, al Mu'tamid, king of Seville, was exiled to the Maghrib.

Before the end of the same year, the king of Almeria had fled to the territory of the Banu Hammad in the central Maghrib, leaving his Taifa in the hands of the Almoravid army, which then undertook the conquest of eastern al Andalus, facing serious resistance only from the Cid in the area of Valencia. Though not the formal ruler of this region, the Cid had achieved effective control over it at this point and received tribute from the northernmost Taifas as far west as Saragossa. The Almoravid troops had been in action against him since 480/1088, but the shifting fortunes of battle finally saw the Cid enter Valencia in triumph as its lord and master in 487/1094. After his death there in 1099, the Castilians managed to hold the city for another three years before surrendering it to the Almoravids in 496/1102. From Valencia, the Almoravid army marched north and conquered the remaining northerly Taifas of the Ebro valley.

In the centre of al Andalus, the Almoravids had already taken Jaen. In the west the Banu 'l Aftas were allowed to continue their rule in Badajoz as a reward for their assistance to the Almoravid campaign. However, the king of Badajoz, desiring further to guarantee his security, resumed negotiations with Alfonso VI of Castile in which he offered the Christian monarch rule over Santarem, Lisbon and Cintra. The Almoravids reacted by promptly overrunning the Taifa, reaching Lisbon in 487/1094.

Further actions taken by Yusuf, the first Almoravid amir

Yusuf ibn Tashfin crossed the Straits for the fourth time in 490/1097. His

intention was to pursue the holy war by harassing the Christian territories around Toledo. He defeated a Christian army at Consuegra but never managed to take the city of Toledo itself. Having already named his son Ali as his successor in Marrakesh, Yusuf made yet one more visit to al Andalus, in 496/1102, and repeated the proclamation of his successor in Cordoba, symbol of the former Umayyad glories. 'Ali's name, with the title amir, appears on coins minted in Cordoba after the year 497/no3f

40

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

In naming his successor, Yusuf stipulated the condition that his son should give priority to al Andalus, creating an army whose mission would be both the defence of the territory from outside forces and the maintenance of Almoravid control over the interior. The Almoravids, after all, had imposed their control on the Andalusis by force, and while armed resistance to them had at first come largely from those whose interests were linked to the independent power groups of the Taifas, it soon became generalised, as the Almoravids' early commitment to religious and especially fiscal orthodoxy gradually weakened.

When Yusuf ibn Tashfin fell ill in 499/1105^ 'Ali assumed power in Marrakesh. Among his first moves were the replacement of the Almoravid governor of Granada and the dismissal of the chief judge of Seville. Yusuf ibn Tashfin died in 500/1106, and c Ali formally became the new amir of the empire.

c Ali, second amir of the Almoravids

'All ibn Tashfin' s reign (r. 500 37/1106 43) may be divided into two: the first half, marked by a string of successes, and the second, which saw a series of major reverses. During this time, 'All came to the Peninsula four times. The initial period of success began with victory at Ucles in 501/1108, which allowed the Almoravids to retake Cuenca, Huete, Ocana and Ucles itself. He attacked Toledo the following year, and managed to occupy Talavera. He rounded out these conquests by taking the Taifa of Saragossa in 503/1110, though he managed to hold onto it for only eight years before it fell again to the Christians. In 504/1111 the general Sir, governor of Seville, recovered Santarem from Castile, but he died in Seville three years later, to be succeeded by [Abd Allah ibn Fatima. This kind of turnover among the high functionaries of the Almoravid empire was apparently typical, and the Arabic sources tell us that although Andalusis might be given lesser positions as judges or secretaries, the important offices tended at first to be given to North Africans. The names and exploits of Andalusis in positions of military or political power only begin to figure in accounts of the latter part of the Almoravid period.

In 508/1114, Ibn al Hajj, now governor of Saragossa, was killed and his army defeated at El Congost de Martorell, thus frustrating his attempt to raid Barcelona. This failure marked the beginning of the second part of 'All ibn Tashfin's reign. He was unable to return to al Andalus until 511/1117, when he managed to capture Coimbra, but he was forced to withdraw a few weeks

The New Cambridge History of Islam

later. The fall of Saragossa and shortly thereafter the rest of the valley of the Ebro to Alfonso I of Aragon indicates how much the constant military activity had taken its toll on the Almoravid army, though in 513/1119, taking advantage of internal disturbances in Castile, it did manage to wrest Coria from the Christians. However, in the following year, a heavy defeat at Cutanda near Teruel left Calatayud and Daroca in Christian hands. The fact that Almoravid forces were no longer capable of mounting a rapid response to attack was vividly demonstrated by the fifteen month foray which Alfonso I of Aragon embarked on in Sha'ban 519/September 1125. As we have noted previously, he was able to penetrate deep into eastern and southern al Andalus, plundering and destroying with impunity.

'All proclaimed his son Sir heir in 522/1128. This provoked a revolt by Sir's brother Ibrahim, and though the rebellion was put down and Ibrahim exiled to the Sahara, this added yet one more conflict to sap Almoravid energies. The depth of the Almoravid army's weakness was revealed most sharply by its crushing defeat at Cullera in 523/1129, and thereafter the rupture between Almoravids and their Andalusí subjects became increasingly more patent. The depth of Andalusí disillusionment can be seen in the letter by Abu Marwan Ibn Abi Khisal, an Andalusí secretary enrolled in the Almoravid chancery: 2 'O sons of ignoble mothers, flee like wild asses! . . . The moment has arrived when we are about to give you a long punishment, in which no veil will be left covering anyone's face [a reference to the traditional garb of the Berber tribesmen], in which we will throw you back into your Sahara and cleanse al Andalus of your filth.' Needless to say, the letter earned the man his dismissal, but the astonishing fact is that he dared to speak in such terms of the ruling group.

As their power faded, the Almoravids were unable to cope with the three challenges that confronted them: the Christian conquests, the growing discontent and enmity of the Andalusí population and the apparently unstoppable revolt in North Africa of the Almohads, a rival reformist movement. In the midst of the ensuing chaos, 'All took a step that somewhat allayed the crisis in al Andalus. He designated his son Tashfin who later became his successor governor of Granada and Almería in 523 / 1129, and soon put him in charge of Córdoba as well. Tashfin remained in al Andalus until 532/1137, when he was summoned back to the Maghrib because of the jealousy his skilful rule had aroused in his brother Sir, 'All's declared heir. Tashfin's successes as governor had been both political and military, particularly in the region of Extremadura, though his campaigns had resulted in no permanent territorial conquests. Yet his skills and effectiveness were ultimately

42

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

rewarded when his father, the amir 'All, designated him heir to the throne in 532/1138. Once back in North Africa, Tashfin had to wait until the untimely death of his brother Sir before he could take charge of the Almoravid response to the increasing military success of the Almohads and the spread of their religious doctrine across the Maghrib.

Tashfin, third amir of the Almoravids

Tashfin (r. 537 9/1143 5) was the third Almoravid sovereign, succeeding his father c Ali at the head of the Almoravid empire, which still stretched across western North Africa and much of the Iberian Peninsula but was now

entering its final years. He had been well prepared for the role of amir by his nine years as governor of Granada, Almeria and later Cordoba, from where he directed campaigns against the Christians. Even Arabic sources that are not favourably inclined to the Almoravids recognise Tashfin's gifts as both governor and military leader. Yet he was withdrawn from al Andalus at a moment when he was probably the only man capable of frustrating the respective territorial ambitions of Alfonso VII of Castile and Alfonso I of Aragon. Alongside their own military efforts, these kings provided interested support to local Andalusi chieftains in their rebellions against the Almoravids, rebellions whose success initiated a new period of 'Taifas' in al Andalus. This second Taifa period was on a considerably smaller scale than its predecessor in the fifth/eleventh century, but like its predecessor it was brought to a close by the invasion of a vigorous new Berber empire from North Africa, the Almohads.

In 539/1145 Tashfin died in battle against the Almohad army. Two more amirs occupied the Almoravid throne, but their rule was now limited to part of the Maghrib only, the Andalusis having ceased to accept their authority. Tashfin's son Ibrahim succeeded his father on his death, but, being still very young, he was immediately ousted by his uncle Ishaq ibn c Ali (r. 539 41/1145 7), who was no more successful than Tashfin had been at keeping the Almohads at bay. In 541/1147 they captured the Almoravid capital at Marrakesh and slaughtered the remaining members of the dynasty.

The end of the Almoravids

Since the beginning of the sixth/twelfth century, various political, social and economic factors had combined to erode Almoravid prestige among the Muslim population of al Andalus. Andalus! disappointment is vividly

The New Cambridge History of Islam

reflected in the hostility of the religious community. Opposition to the Almoravids was particularly bitter among the Sufis in Almeria and the Algarve, where critics found a common cause in their defence of mysticism, symbolised by al Ghazall. His writings, especially his famous 'Revival of the religious sciences' (Ihya' c ulum al din), had been burned in

Cordoba in 503/1109 by the Almoravid authorities, because, among the various notions abhorrent to them, al Ghazall's works suggested that each

individual should make his own personal interpretation of doctrinal texts. Some Malik! scholars could not tolerate such freedom. The opposition to the Almoravids turned into a general uprising in the last years of the dynasty, when local authorities began to fill the power vacuum left by the increasingly weak central government. As Almoravid troops were increasingly withdrawn to the Maghrib to deal with the several insurrections that had broken out there, the Andalusis took up arms against the Almoravid authorities and military units that still remained, killing them or

driving them from the Peninsula. While finally able to drive out the empire

that had imposed unity on al Andalus from abroad, the Andalusis were incapable of unifying themselves politically, despite several attempts to do

so, such as the efforts of the amir Ibn Hud (significantly, with support from Castile).

The 'second Taifas' that sprang up in the Algarve, Almeria, the Balearic Islands, Badajoz, Cadiz, Cordoba, Granada, Guadix, Jaen, Malaga, Murcia,

Seville and Valencia never had time to achieve the importance that their precursors had. Within a few years, beginning in the Algarve in 537/1142,

the new Taifas fell one by one to Almohad forces, with Murcia the last to be

occupied, in 567/1172, and the Balearic Islands much later in 599/1203. By the

middle of the sixth/ twelfth century, the bulk of al Andalus had passed within

the orbit of a new empire.

In its decline, with its initially strict religious orthodoxy weakening, the Almoravid empire began to lose territory to Christian armies. One of the reasons for its decline is related to the relatively demilitarised character of

Andalusi society, which had to resort to assistance from the more bellicose

Maghrib, while by contrast the Christian societies of the northern Peninsula were 'organised for war'. Nevertheless, the approximately fifty years of Almoravid domination in al Andalus demonstrated that even territorial unification imposed from outside could not stem the steady loss of Andalusian territory. Meanwhile, North Africa was overrun by the Almohads, who had mounted the most effective and long lasting of the several uprisings against the Almoravids in North Africa.

44

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

i The Almoravids

I

Judala

i

Ibrahim

I

Tashfin ibn 'Ali

(r. 537-9/1143-5)

I

Ibrahim ibn Tashfin

(r. 539/1145)

Lamtuna

i

Tarasna/Turjut

i

Ibrahim

i

Tashfin

i

Yusuf ibn Tashfin

(ruled from the Maghrib starting in 453/1061,

from al-Andalus after 483/1090;

d. 500/1106)

I

Ali ibn Yusuf

(r. 500-37/1106-43)

I

1

Masufa

Ishaq ibn 'Ali

(r. 539-41/1145-7)

4-

Notes

Discussion of the sources for this period is found in L. Molina, 'Historiografia', in M.J. Viguera Molins (ed.), *Historia de Espana Menendez Pidal*, vol. VIII i, Madrid, 1994, 3 27; M.J. Viguera Molins, 'Historiografia', in Viguera (ed.), *Historia de Espana Menendez Pidal*, vol. VIII 2, Madrid, 1997, 3 37; D.J. Wasserstein, *The rise and fall of the party kings: Politics and society in Islamic Spain, 1002 1086*, Princeton, 1985. Analysed in C. Mazzoli Guintard, *Vivre a Cordoue au moyen age: Solidarites citadines en terre d'Islam aux Xe Xle siecles*, Rennes, 2003.

C. Mazzoli Guintard, *Villes d'al Andalus: L'Espagne et le Portugal a Vepoque musulmane (VIIIe XVe siecles)*, Rennes, 1996; Spanish trans. *Ciudades de al Andalus: Espana y Portugal en la epoca musulmana (s. VIII XV)*, Granada, 2000; P. Cressier and M. Garcia Arenal (eds.), *Genese de la ville islamique en al Andalus et au Maghrib occidental*, Madrid, 1998; R. Azuar Ruiz, 'Del Hisn a la Madina en el Sharq al Andalus, en epoca de los reinos de Taifas', in C. Laliena Corbera and J. F. Utrilla (eds.), *De Toledo a Huesca*, Saragossa, 1998, 29 43.

C. Robinson, *In praise of song: The making of courtly culture in al Andalus and Provence, 1005 1154 A.D.*, Leiden, Boston and Cologne, 2002. E. Garcia Gomez, 'Algunas precisiones sobre la ruina de la Cordoba omeya', *AA*, 12 (1947), 277 93.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

6. See Chapter 22B, 'Overland trade in the western Islamic world' (John Meloy).

7. L. Bariani, 'Riflessioni sull'esautorazione del potere califfale di Hisham II da parte di Muhammad Ibn Abi 'Amir al Mansur: Dal califfato all'istituzionalizzazione della "finzione califfale"', *Annali dell'Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli*, 58 (1998), 87 no.

8. H. de Felipe, *Identidad y onomástica de los bereberes de alAndalus*, Madrid, 1997; M. Meouak, *Saqdliba, eunuques et esclaves a la conquite du pouvoir: Geographic ex histoire des elites politiques 'marginales' dans l'Espagne umayyade*, Helsinki, 2004.

9. Ibn Hazm, *alFisal*, Cairo, 1321/1903f., 59; trans. M. Asin Palacios, *Abenhdzam de Cordoba y su historia critica de las ideas religiosas*, 2nd edn, Madrid, 1984, 68 9, fn. 79.

10. P. C. Scales, *The fall of the caliphate of Cordoba: Berbers and Andalusis in conflict*, Leiden, New York and Cologne, 1994.

n. D. Wasserstein, *The caliphate in the west: An Islamic political institution in the Iberian Peninsula*, Oxford, 1993; M. Aden Almansa, 'Los Hammudies, califas legitimados de occidente en el siglo XI', in Laliena, *De Toledo a Huesca*, 45 59; Robinson, *In praise of song*; A. Ariza Armada, 'Leyendas monetarias, iconografía y legitimación en el califato hammudí. Las emisiones de 'Abd al-Hammud del año 408/1017-1018', *AQ*, 25 (2004), 203-31; Salvador Pena and Miguel Vega, 'The Qur'anic symbol on Hammudid coins: al-Khadir and the holy geography of the Straits of Gibraltar', *AlAndalus Magreb* 13 (2006), 269-84. M. D. Rosado Llamas, *La dinastía hammudí y el califato en el siglo XI*, Málaga, 2008.

12. F. Clement, Pouvoir et legitimite en Espagne musulmane a Vepoque des taifas (Ve Xle siecle): ITmamfictif Paris, 1997.

13. M. Barcelo, "'Rodes que giren dins el foe de l'infern" o per a que servia la moneda dels taifes?', Gaceta Numismdtica, 105 6 (1992), 15 24.

14. M.J. Viguera Molins, 'Las cartas de al Gazall y al Turtusi al soberano almoravid Yusuf b. Tasufin', AA, 42, 2 (1977), 341 74.

15. Wasserstein, The caliphate in the west.

16. 'Abd Allah, The Tibyan, trans. A. T. Tibi, Leiden, 1986, 107.

17. Ibn al Khatlb, al Ihatafiakhbdr Gharndta, ed. M. 'A. A. 'Inan, Cairo, 1973 8, vol. I, 112 20; D. Serrano, 'Dos fetuas sobre la expulsion de mozarabes al Magreb en 1126', Anaquel de Estudios Arabes, 2 (1991), 163 82. See also T. E. Burman, Religious polemic: and the intellectual history of the Mozarabs, c.1050 1200, Leiden, 1994.

18. J. Vallve Bermejo, 'Suqut al BargawatI, rey de Ceuta', AA, 28 (1963), 171 209.

19. 'I. Dandash, Dawr al murabitinfi nashr al islam figharb Ifnqiya. 430 515/1038 1121 1122, Beirut, 1988; J. Bosch, Los Almodrvides, Tetouan, 1956; repr. Granada, 1990; V. Lagardere, Les Almoravides jusqu'au regne de Yusuf b. Tasfin (1039 1106), Paris, 1989.

20. N. Levtzion and J. F. P. Hopkins, Corpus of early Arabic sources for West African history, Cambridge, 1981.

21. Kitab al masalik, ed. and trans. M. G. de Slane, repr. 1965, p. 164.

22. N. Levtzion, 'Abd Allah b. Yasin and the Almoravids', in J. R. Willis (ed.), Studies in West African Islamic history vol. I: The cultivators of Islam, London, 1979, 78 112; F. Meier, Almoraviden und Marabute', Die Welt des Islam, 21 (1981), 80 163; trans, in F. Meier, Essays on Islamic piety and mysticism, trans. K. O'Kane ad B. Radtke, Leiden, 1999.

Al Andalus and the Maghrib

23. Guichard, P., 'Les Almoravides', in J. C. Garcin (ed.), *Etats, sociétés et cultures du monde musulman médiéval: Xe-XVe siècles*, vol. I, Paris, 1995, 152-3.

24. A. Jurado Aceituno, 'La jidma selyuqi: La red de relaciones de dependencia mutua, la dinamica del poder y las formas de obtencion de los beneficios', Ph. D. thesis, Universidad Autonoma Madrid (1994).

25. V. Lagardere, *Le vendredi de Zallaqa*. 23 Octobre 1086, Paris, 1989.

26. Al Marrakushi, *al Mu'jib fi talkhis akhbdr al Maghrib*, ed. R. Dozy, *The history of the Almohades*, 2nd edn, Leiden, 1881, 127.

The central lands of North Africa
and Sicily, until the beginning of
the Almohad period

MICHAEL BRETT

Introduction

The crisis of the Islamic world in the fifth /eleventh century, when the lands of the former Arab empire were overrun by barbarians from beyond its borders
Turks in the east, Berbers in the west was brought about in the central Mediterranean by the invasion of Ifriqiya by the Arab tribes of the Banu Hilal and the invasion of Sicily by the Normans. Ifriqiya was the old Byzantine province of Africa, from eastern Algeria to Tripolitania; Sicily had been conquered and annexed to Ifriqiya in the third/ninth century, but had become independent when the Fatimids left for Egypt in 361/972. The Arab invasion put an end to central government in Ifriqiya, while that of the Normans imposed a Christian monarchy upon Sicily. In the middle of the sixth/twelfth century the Normans briefly took possession of the Ifriqiyian littoral, but the adventure ended with the Almohad conquest in 554 5/1159 60. In the interval, Ifriqiya had become a land of city states and tribal lordships, while Norman rule in Sicily had prepared the way for the disappearance of its Muslim population in the course of the next century.

In the secondary literature both episodes have become legendary. The invasion of the Banu Hilal has been charged with the ruin of the agricultural economy of Classical North Africa, and the consequent backwardness of the country that laid it open to French conquest in the nineteenth century. 1 Sicily under the Normans, on the other hand, with its Latin, Greek and Arab populations and trilingual administration, has been considered a model of social harmony, cultural synthesis and consequent prosperity. 2. Underlying these contrasting paradigms is a difference in the sources: on the one hand, the literary tradition summed up in the Kitab al Hbar of Ibn Khaldun, the eighth/ fourteenth century historian of the Banu Hilal; 3 on the other, the Arabic, Greek and Latin documents of the Norman administration in Sicily. 4 The documents are for the most part charters of a kind and a value familiar to

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

European medievalists. The *Kitab al Hbar*, on the other hand, derives from the controversy surrounding the Zirids, the dynasty at the centre of the Ifriqiyan affair, whose polemical character is familiar to historians of medieval Islam. 5 Together with the paradigms of the secondary literature, both have called for re evaluation.

Zirids and Kalbids

The difference between the two histories apparent in the difference between the sources goes back to the departure of the Fatimid caliph al Mu c izz for Egypt in 361/972, leaving his central Mediterranean empire divided into two provinces under two viceroys of very different provenance. The viceroy of Ifriqiya, Buluggm ibn Ziri, was a Sanhaja Berber chieftain who held the western frontier of Ifriqiya for the Fatimids against the Zanata Berber allies of the Umayyads of Cordoba. In Sicily, c Ali ibn al Hasan al Kalbi was an Arab aristocrat whose kinsmen had ruled the island since 336/948, completing its conquest from the Byzantines. Both were warriors who carried the war into Morocco and Calabria, where both of them died on campaign, 'All in 372/982, Buluggm in 373/984. In Sicily, the hold of the Kalbids on the island ensured that the succession remained in the family. The family in question, however, belonged to the Fatimid aristocracy in Egypt; and following 'All's death his son Jabir was recalled to Cairo in 372/983 and a cousin, Ja c far, sent out in his place. The Egyptian connection remained strong even after the accession in 379/989

of Yusuf, son of Ja'far's brother and successor 'Abd Allah. When Yusuf was incapacitated by a stroke in 388/ 998, Cairo approved the lieutenancy of his son Ja'far; and when Ja'far was overthrown by revolt in 410/1019 he and his father retired to Egypt, leaving Sicily to his brother Ahmad al Ahkal. At least down to Yusuf, therefore, the Kalbids in Sicily served as provincial governors, with official rather than regal titles; they never minted a coinage; nor did they produce a dynastic chronicler. Their low profile means that the history of Muslim Sicily, as recounted by Michele Amari on the basis of the sources collected in his *Biblioteca Arabo Sicula*, is not written on the strength of a native Sicilian tradition.

For this period its history is consequently problematic. Following the death of 'All, the momentum of the conquest and advance onto the Italian mainland, which over the past 150 years had alternated with a turbulent history of revolt by the settler population against government from Ifriqiya, continued for the next fifty years in periodic raids and expeditions across the Straits of Messina against the Byzantines, who still maintained their claim to the island.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Advancing through Calabria and Apulia in the direction of the Byzantine capital Bari, Kalbid forces periodically occupied Gerace, Cosenza, Cassano and Matera. The climax came in ten years of warfare following a Byzantine landing at Messina in 416/1025, ending in peace in 425/1034. Internally, the

turbulence continued. The Kalbids ruled over a mixture of Muslim Arab and Christian Greek peasants, grouped in fortified hill towns and villages under their own military chiefs (qa'ids) and shaykhs; at his accession, al Ahkal had in effect to reconquer the island. The capital Palermo and other cities on the coast were commercially as well as piratically important, trading with Ifriqiya and Egypt. The initiative, however, was increasingly with the Italian city states, Pisa, Genoa and Amalfi. In a tradition going back to the Romans, Sicily was more of a supplier than a carrier: timber for the Kalbid and the Zirid fleets, but above all wheat.

In Ifriqiya, on the other hand, Buluggms son al Mansur overturned al Mu'izz's settlement, under which a secretary, Abd Allah al Katib, had been left in charge of the administration at the capital Qayrawan. In defiance of al Mu'izz's successor al 'Aziz, al Mansur slew not only 'Abd Allah but the envoy sent from Egypt to marshal the Kutama Berbers of Kabylia who had brought the Fatimids to power in 297/910, and moved down from the western frontier to take up residence at Qayrawan in full control of the patrimonial state bequeathed by the Fatimids. Recognised by al 'Aziz as the hereditary monarch of his North African dominions, he continued to mint coins in the Fatimid name, but a Yemenite genealogy was invented for his dynasty, which found its own chronicler in the head of the chancery, al Raqiq (d. after 418/i027f).

Ifriqiya, however, was not so easily unified by the head of a Berber clan to which the principle of patrilineal succession was alien. The old administrative division between the settled lowlands to the east and the tribal highlands to the west reasserted itself at the accession of al Mansur's son Badis in 386/996. Over the next twenty years, what began as a rebellion of the senior members of the family ended with the establishment of his uncle Hammad as the ruler

of the western highlands from a new capital in the mountains, the Qal'a of the Banu Hammad. The attempt of Badis to force him into submission failed when the sultan died on campaign against him in 406/1016. At Qayrawan the succession of Badis' infant son al Mu'izz was ensured by the army, which defeated Hammad in 407/1017; but the division of the state and the dynasty was made permanent by the subsequent peace agreement. The internal conflict had meanwhile thrown the Sanhaja onto the defensive in the long running battle with the Zanata to the west, a band of whom, the Banu Khazrun, had migrated eastwards to establish themselves in the region of

50

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

Tripoli. They occupied the city from 391/1001 to 400/1010 and again from 413/102.2., to form a petty dynasty. With their dominion thus reduced to the Ifrlqiyan heartland, the region of modern Tunisia, the Zirids continued to live off the rents and taxes of the countryside, and the income from the commercial economy centred upon Qayrawan. The city was the hub of a network of trade routes, from Egypt to al Andalus; over to Sicily; and across the Sahara to the central and western Sudan, a source of slaves and gold. Its focal position had been strengthened by Fatimid expenditure but weakened by their departure, which had drawn trade away to Egypt. Economic grievances generated by a decline in prosperity may have underlain the problems of al Mu'izz's long reign, which culminated in a breach with the Fatimids and the downfall of his state. 7

The breach with the Fatimids

Those problems began and ended with religion: the Fatimid Shi'i allegiance of

the dynasty in opposition to the Maliki Sunnī affiliation of the schoolmen at Qayrawan. Under the Zirids these had resumed the dominant position denied them under the Fatimids, who had incorporated their Hanafī rivals into their own body of jurists. Sectarian conflict was contained at the level of government by the division of the judicature between a hereditary Maliki judge (qadi) of Qayrawan and a hereditary Fatimid judge of al Sabra al Mansuriyya, the neighbouring palace city. But in the context of the so called Sunnī revival it was exacerbated by the contest between Fatimids and c Abbasids for the allegiance of Islam, and turned to violence by the preaching of extremists. Massacres of the Fatimid Shi'i minority may have been prompted by a proclamation of the 'Abbasids by Hammad in 405/1014[^] at the outset of his defiance of Badis, and taken place at Tunis in 406/1015f. at the instigation of the jurist Muhriz ibn Khalaf. They certainly took place at Qayrawan in 407 8/1016 17, following the accession of the child al Mu'izz. Before order was restored, the mob invaded the palace city and sacked the market (suq). Massacres at Tripoli were preached by the jurist Ibn al Munammar; at Qayrawan the jurist Ibn Khaldun al Balawi, killed by the authorities in the course of the rioting, may have been responsible. The disorders anticipated the riots in Fustat against the preaching of the divinity of the Fatimid imam caliph al Hakim a year or two later. Their seriousness and significance, however, is disguised by the retrospective attribution of the affair to the invocation of Abu Bakr and c Umar by the boy sultan, a sign of his future Sunnism. Followed by extensive reprisals, the outbreak in fact left relations

with Cairo unchanged. Like his predecessors, al Mu'izz was showered with titles and insignia by al Hakim and his successor al Zahir. As Sharaf al Dawla wa 'Aduduha, he remained central to the empire of the imam caliph.

His personal regime took shape around the age of fourteen to sixteen with the execution of his chief minister Muhammad ibn al Hasan in 413/1022; the appointment of Abu 'l Bahar ibn Khaluf as head of government in 414/1023; the death at the end of the year of his aunt Umm Mallal; and the marriage of his sister to Abd Allah, son of Hammad, in 415/1024. Muhammad ibn al Hasan was the general who had ensured his accession in 407/1016, Ibn Khaluf the man responsible for the repression of the violence in 407 8/1016 17. Viziers in all but name, they were the successors of 'Abd Allah al Katib at the head of the administration. Umm Mallal had acted as regent, while the sister, Umm al 'Ulu, was the instrument of a dynastic reconciliation and alliance. Having failed to prevent the return of the Banu Khazrun to Tripoli in 413/1022, in 417/1026f. al Mu'izz followed Cairo in recognising their occupation, safeguarding the flow of trade through this important entrepot. Meanwhile the Byzantine invasion of Sicily in 416/1025, aborted by the death of the emperor Basil II, was followed by raids into Byzantine territory as far away as the Aegean by the Zirid and Kalbid fleets. Only the south, the oasis region of the Djerid and the hill country of the Jabal Nafusa, remained disturbed by the Zanata, who are confused in the sources with the region's rebellious Ibadi Kharijite population. From 427/1036 onwards, their militancy brought to an end a relatively untroubled decade. Over the next ten years, insurgency in the south was accompanied by a Zirid invasion of Sicily, while a quarrel with Hammad's son and successor al Qa'id led to war.

The chronology is unclear, but from 428/1037 to 443/1042 annual expedi

tions seem to have been required to defeat the incursions of these Zanata almost as far north as Qayrawan and across to the island of Djerba, and regain control of the south. Meanwhile in 427/1036 an army under al Mu'izz's son 'Abd Allah had been sent to Sicily at the invitation of 'the Sicilians', angry that al Akhal had exempted the lands of 'the Ifriqiyans' from tax (kharaj). The identity of these two parties is conjectural, as is al Akhal's purpose; but the Sicilians who threatened to turn the island over to the Byzantines are likely to have included the indigenous Greek component of the population, extensively but by no means entirely Islamised and Arabised. Al Akhal was besieged and finally murdered at Palermo in 429/1038, but in 431/1040 Abd Allah was defeated by the Byzantines, who had seized the opportunity to return. Rejected by the islanders, he returned to Ifriqiya, where al Mu'izz himself was committed to a two year siege of the Qal'a of the Banu Hammad

52

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

from 432/1041 to 434/1043. The Byzantines likewise withdrew after the recall of their commander Maniakes in 433 4/1042, leaving Sicily divided between Hasan al Samsam, al Akhal's brother, and some four other regional lords. With Kalbid rule fatally compromised, the Zirid fleet continued to operate against Byzantium, sailing into the Aegean in 439/1047^ the year the Fatimids and Byzantines made peace. In response to Byzantine complaints about the aggression of this viceroy of the imam caliph, Cairo disclaimed responsibility for his actions. The answer signalled a revolution in Zirid policy at home and abroad.

Over the past ten years, al Mu'izz had moved towards a formal repudiation of his Fatimid allegiance. He had done so as something of a scholar, tutored by the learned Ibn Abi '1 Rijal, secretary of the chancery, and known in the Latin West as Abenragel for his treatise on astronomy. In debate with the Maliki scholars (c ulamd'), he had presided over their disputes on the side of moderation. 9 From the remarks of Ibn Sharaf, poet and continuator of the chronicle of al Raqiq, it is clear that Mu'izz's highly cultivated court was Sunni in outlook, and apparent that the price to be paid for the backing of public opinion against the threat of religious extremism was the breaking of ties with Cairo. More positively, it was the key to an ambitious attempt to transform the wider fortunes of the dynasty by turning the sultan into a champion of the true faith. In 440/1048[^], the c Abbasids were proclaimed, the Fatimids denounced and their insignia burnt. In 441/1049[^] the Zirid dinar was no longer struck in the name of the imam caliph, but carried the minatory Qur'anic legend: Whoever seeks a religion other than Islam, it shall not be accepted from him, and in the Hereafter he shall be among the lost.' A new open air oratory (musalla) was constructed for the old Fatimid palace city, henceforth known simply as al Sabra, 'Endurance'. The following year, 442/1050, al Mu'izz's son Tamim was proclaimed heir to the throne with a specifically anti Fatimid invocation, and 'Abbasid black was provided in place of Fatimid white for all religious functionaries.

The change was not without difficulty. The prohibition of the Fatimid dinar raised prices. At the same time the administration was purged. In 439/1047[^] the governor of Nefta in the Djerid was removed; a Sanhaja, and thus a member of the Zirid clan, he must have been responsible for the peace of this sensitive area. Two years later, in 441/1049[^] the great Qa'id 'Abbad ibn Marwan and all his nominees were dismissed from central government, as a token, it may be, of an end to corruption and illegal taxation. But from this new position of strength, al Mu'izz could send the radical preacher Ibn 'Abd

al Samad away on pilgrimage, to be murdered en route. Meanwhile, abroad,

53

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

an alliance with al Qa'id at the Qal'a and al Muntasir ibn Khazrun at Tripoli was extended to Barqa in Cyrenaica, where in 443/1051 the amir of the Banu Qurra, Jabbara ibn Mukhtar, denounced the Fatimids and offered his allegiance to al Mu'izz. A coalition was building under al Mu'izz's leadership which gave promise of a new Sunni empire to the west of Egypt. Any such grand design, however, came to grief at the battle of Haydaran in the following year, when the Zirid army was routed by the Riyah and Zughba, tribes of the Banu Hilal.

The battle of Haydaran

Almost all sources repeat the story that the response of the Fatimid vizier al Yazuri to al Mu'izz's repudiation of his allegiance was to send the Bedouin Arab tribes of the Banu Hilal across the Nile to wreak vengeance on the traitor. 10 This cannot be true, since the presence of the Banu Hilal to the west of the river, beyond the oasis of Farafra, was noted by Ibn Hawqal in the second half of the fourth/tenth century. 11 Moreover, in 429/1038 Sa'id ibn Khazrun was killed by the Zughba at Tripoli, while the first reference to the Riyah is to their employment by al Mu'izz as warriors some ten years later. 12 The migration of these tribes across the northern Sahara had evidently taken

place in the first half of the fifth/ eleventh century, not as an isolated phenomenon, but as the latest phase in the population of the great desert by camel herding nomads over the past thousand years. For their horses, however, the Hilalians needed the pastures of the desert margin, and as cavalrymen they were equipped to take possession of them in competition with their Berber occupants, not least the Zanata of the Banu Khazrun. In that capacity, the Riyah and Zughba presented al Mu'izz with an opportunity to gain control of the troublesome south, in particular the route to Tripoli across the Jaffara plain between the Jabal Nafusa and the sea. But their employment ended when, like the Zanata before them, the two tribes advanced beyond Gabes, the gateway to the north, to enter central Tunisia. In the spring of 443/1052, al Mu'izz responded with a major expedition, which as it straggled through hill country to the south of Qayrawan was ambushed by the Arabs. The Zirid cavalry fled, leaving the sultan to retreat to al Sabra escorted by his ^abid or black infantry. The baggage train, with all his wealth, was plundered. 13 The Arabs advanced to Qayrawan, where al Mu'izz himself supervised the erection of barricades around the unwalled city. Meanwhile they laid claim to the countryside.

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

For a year after the battle, al Mu'izz was engaged in bargaining with the tribes over their demand for iqta% concessions of land and revenue, while

the rest of the country waited. But the Riyah and Zughba quarrelled over the

booty of the battle, and appealed to the Fatimid vizier al Yazuri, who seized

the opportunity to intervene. In 445/1053f. he sent a commander, Amm al Dawla ibn Mulhim, to Gabes to adjudicate the dispute; to urge the tribes

to resume the siege of Qayrawan; and to invite a return to Fatimid suzerainty.

Ibn Walmiya, the Sanhaja governor of Gabes, submitted and was reappointed

to the post; 'Abd Allah, the Hammadid husband of al Mu'izz's sister, and another brother of al Qa'id at the Qal'a, came to offer their allegiance.

Amm

al Dawla returned to Egypt with the imam caliph's share of the booty of Haydaran, and a delegation of Ifriqiyans anxious to submit. The episode was

celebrated by the announcement sent by the caliph al Mustansir to Yemen.

His sijir or letter is the crucial proof of the extent of Fatimid intervention in the

affairs of Ifriqiya, and of its limitation to the period after Haydaran. 14 It was

nevertheless sufficient to precipitate the collapse of the regime. Although Qayrawan had been hastily provided with an enceinte, al Mu'izz had lost control of the surrounding countryside, and prepared to retire to al Mahdiyya

on the coast. In 446/1054[^] while he himself returned to Fatimid allegiance,

the exodus began; in 449/1057 he left the city. Al Sabra was sacked by the

Arabs, and Qayrawan deserted by its inhabitants. In 449/1057?, the dinar

struck at al Mahdiyya reverted to Fatimid type, and in 454/1062 al Mu'izz died, to be succeeded by his son Tamim.

It was the end of an era. Ifriqiya, the Byzantine province which the Arabs had inherited, had finally broken up. Qayrawan, its metropolis, shrank to a

fraction of its former size. The major cities of Tunis, Sfax, Gabes and Gafsa

were all independent, the Zirids confined to al Mahdiyya and Sousse. It only

remained to offer an explanation. On the Ifriqiyian side, the theme of Zirid descent from the pre Islamic kings of Himyar in Yemen supplied the metaphor. Just as the kings of Himyar had been obliged to emigrate by the breaking

of the great dam of Ma] rib and the flooding of their city, so Qayrawan had

been swamped and its monarch driven into exile by a flood, not of water but of men, the Banu Hilal, who as north Arabians were the inveterate enemies of the Yemenites. The explanation of this inundation was supplied by the Egyptians. In 450/1058 the vizier al Yazuri, who had despatched Amm al Dawla to Gabes, who was no doubt responsible for the triumphant claim of the sijill to have wrought the destruction of the traitor al Mu'izz, and whose poet Ibn Hayyus had boasted of his personal responsibility for the downfall of the sultan, was executed for treason. As the Zirids were reconciled with the

55

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Fatimids, it was possible to say that he had been the reprehensible cause of the disaster, breaking the barrier of the Nile to loose the Arabs on Ifriqiya. When the metaphor was combined with the explanation, the Banu Hilal passed into history as the great destroyers Ibn Khaldun's swarm of locusts which had devastated the land and laid it permanently waste.

Arabs and Normans

Underwritten a century ago by Georges Marcais, the myth of the catastrophe has been convincingly discredited in a celebrated article by Jean Poncet.¹⁵ It is certainly the case, however, that the battle of Haydaran was comparable to that of Dandanqan, which opened the way into the Middle East for the Saljuqs, and for the population of its northern highlands by the Turcomans. The Banu Hilal were unlike the Saljuqs, who created an empire on the strength of their championship of Islam; their various tribes served the

Zirids and Hammadids as allies in their struggle to revive the Ifriqiyan state. But like the Turcomans, they overran the countryside as warriors, as nomads, and as speakers of a different language, vernacular Arabic as distinct from Berber dialect. In all three ways, they permanently altered the balance of economy, society and state. By the time of Ibn Khaldun in the eighth/fourteenth century, when the Hafsid at Tunis and Bijaya (Bougie, Bejai'a) had reconstituted the central government of Ifriqiya, their warrior tribes had become an estate of the realm. Below this privileged elite, however, the poorer nomads were mingling with the peasantry in a subject population whose formation was marked by the spread of Hilalian Arabic as the vernacular of the countryside. Berber had retreated into the hills and mountains where its speakers were comparatively secluded in hilltop villages. Between the mountains, the oases and the cities pastoralism had spread northwards towards the Mediterranean, while agriculture had turned to shifting cultivation. The separation of this reality from the legend, however, does not begin to emerge in the *Kitab al Ibar* of Ibn Khaldun until the Almohad conquest of Ifriqiya in the mid sixth/twelfth century. The previous hundred years are poorly documented, a time of troubles when the villagers of southern Tunisia hedged their paths with slabs of stone too close for horsemen to pass, and the Hammadids were obliged to abandon their Qal'a in the mountains for Bijaya on the coast.

The contemporaneous invasion, conquest and government of Sicily by the Normans was different from but still more radical than the revolution in Ifriqiya in its consequences for the population of the island. In the twenty

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

years after the end of the Byzantine invasion of Sicily in 433-1042, southern

Italy had fallen into the hands of the Norman mercenary Robert Guiscard.

Al Hasan al Samsam had been driven from Palermo by the citizens, while the

qa'ids Ibn al Maklati and Ibn Mankut at Catania in the east and Mazara in the

west had been eliminated from the competition between Ibn al Thumna at

Syracuse in the south east and Ibn al Hawwas at Enna and Agrigento in the

centre. In 453/1061 Robert's younger brother Roger crossed into Sicily at the

invitation of Ibn al Thumna, again as a mercenary but again as a conqueror.

Over the next thirty years he gradually extended his control over its three

regions: the Val Demone along the north coast from Messina to Palermo by

464/1072; the Val di Mazara in the west by 469/1077; and finally the Val di

Noto in the south east between 479/1086 and 484/1091. In the 450s/1060s he

was held up by the arrival of a Zirid army under Tamim's two sons, Ayyub and 'All, who like c Abd Allah before them took control of the island only

to quarrel with the Sicilians and retire to Ifriqiya following their defeat by Roger

at Misilmeri near Palermo in 460/1068. The critical event was the capture of

Palermo in 464/1072, which secured the Norman presence on the island. The

capture of Trapani in 469f /1077 and Taormina in 47if./i079 rounded off their

occupation of the north and west, but the south and east remained hostile

under the amir of Syracuse, Ibn 'Abbad (Benavert), until his defeat and death

in 479/1086. The conquest was finally completed in 484/1091 with the fall of

Noto.

Roger's handful of knights could not have taken the island without the aid of Muslim Sicilian allies and troops. The conquest completed, the terms of surrender left the Muslim population under the authority of its qadls and shaykhs, who administered the Islamic law on behalf of the Christian state. The disadvantage was its definition as a subject community

on the strength of its religion. Its rents and taxes were compounded by a

tribute imposed as the price of peace; called *ajizya*, this placed the Muslims of Sicily in the position of Christians and Jews under Islam. Politically and administratively, the Muslim population was then decapitated by the progressive allocation of the land, its inhabitants and its revenues to Roger himself and his treasury, and to his knights, the ministers of his household, and the bishoprics and monasteries of the Latin Church which he introduced alongside the Greek. There is no record of a Muslim recipient of such grants before Abu'l Qasim ibn Hammud in the second half of the sixth/ twelfth century, a minister of state who may have belonged to the old Muslim nobility. If any of its members were left for a time in possession of their lands and people, they were eventually ousted

57

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

by this systematic redistribution. Starting most probably from registers compiled under the Kalbids, the allocation of the new demesnes proceeded through local enquiry to determine their boundaries, to identify their occupants and to establish their dues. The task fell to a rudimentary central administration staffed by bilingual Greeks, who compiled the new registers of lands and people as charters for the holders of these estates. The procedure was all the more alien since Roger was latterly based in Calabria, and the seat of government only returned to Palermo via Messina in 505f. /1112, when his son Roger II came of age. When it did so, the administration not only turned to the literate Muslim community of the city for an Arabic secretariat, but from 524/1130 onwards, when Roger was crowned king, systematically remodelled its procedures on those of the Fatimid caliphate in Egypt. The architect was the great minister George of Antioch, the staff a group of converted Muslim eunuchs who presided over the issue of a second generation of charters, magnificently written in Arabic, Greek and occasionally Latin. 1 The creation of this administration

was an aspect of an imperial design that culminated in the 540s/1140s in the conquest of the Ifriqiyān coast, and the extension into North Africa of a more indirect form of rule over a Muslim population.

The Muslims of Sicily, by comparison, were increasingly oppressed. The weight of taxation may have been offset initially by a return to peace and prosperity: Palermo itself was particularly large and wealthy, and wheat was a major export. But taxation became more onerous as land was expropriated to make way for Latin colonists from the mainland, and the Muslim population itself dwindled. The arrival of economic refugees from Ifriqiyā in the 530s /1030s was more than offset by emigration on the one hand, conversion on the other, an eventual passage into Latin Christianity through the ambiguous identity of native Arabic speaking Greek Christians. Emigration was justified by the necessity to escape from infidel territory; any lapse from the faith was evidently abhorrent. Between the two extremes, the quandary of remaining generated a legal controversy as to whether the judgments of a qādī appointed by an infidel ruler were valid. In the special case of the royal eunuchs and other high officials of Muslim origin, their obligatory conversion to Christianity might be excused as nominal, a case of taqiyya or legitimate pretence. Not until the end of the sixth/twelfth century did the Muslims of the Val di Mazara resort to the fourth option of rebellion, which led finally to their deportation to Lucera in Apulia between 621/1224 and 644/1246. In Ifriqiyā on the other hand, emigration, acceptance, rebellion and possibly even conversion were compressed into

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

the twenty five years of the Norman occupation of the coast between 529/1135 and 555/1160. 17

Al-Mahdiyya

The Norman occupation of the coast of Ifriqiya, which centred on the capture of al Mahdiyya in 543/1148 and ended with the fall of the city to the Almohads in 555/1160, terminated the efforts of the Zirids to regain a measure of power and authority after their flight from Qayrawan. More generally, the two events brought to an end the era of the city states which had formed alongside that of the Zirids at al Mahdiyya in the aftermath of Haydaran. In 456/1064, at the beginning of his long reign from 454 501/1062 1108, al Mu'izz's son Tamim recovered Sousse after an initial rebellion. In 493/1100 he recovered Sfax from Hammu ibn Mallil, the cousin of its former Zirid governor, who had seized power in 451/ 1059. At his death, therefore, he left to his son Yahya a dominion over the Tunisian Sahel, the bulge of the east coast, some 200 kilometres from north to south and perhaps 50 kilometres deep. To the north, however, a family of citizens had established the dynasty of the Banu Khurasan at Tunis, while at Gabes to the south the dynasty of Ibn Walmiya, the governor appointed by the Fatimid Amin al Dawla in 445/1053[^] was replaced around 489/1096 by the Banu Jami c , the only such dynasty to be founded by Arabs of the Banu Hilal. The inland city of Gafsa was ruled throughout the period by the Banu '1 Rand, a dynasty of local Berber origin founded by the governor appointed by the Zirids. The Banu Khazrun may have survived for a while at Tripoli, but by the middle of the sixth/ twelfth century the city was governed by the Banu Matruh, a family from the town. The oasis cities of the Djerid, such as Tozeur and Nafzawa, appear to have been controlled by local notables, such as the Banu Sindi at Biskra. 1

The conflict between these petty dynasties, dominated by the ambition of the Zirids to reconstitute their former dominion, was complicated by the occupation of central Tunisia by the warrior tribes of Riyah and Zughba. Indispensable allies of the various rulers, forming the bulk of their armies on

campaign, they continued to dominate the city of Qayrawan, and block any Zirid expansion inland. Their alliance nevertheless enabled Tamim to rout the Hammadid al Nasir at the battle of Sabiba in 457/1065, defeating his attempt to conquer Ifriqiya for himself. The depredations of al Nasir's own Hilali allies, the Athbaj, in the region of the Zab to the south of his Qal'a made the city, like Qayrawan, untenable as a capital, and obliged him in the aftermath of Sabiba to move down to Bijaya on the coast. There and at Bone (Buna, c Annaba) he

59

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

profited from the growing trade with Pisa and Genoa, while retaining control of the strategic city of Constantine in the interior. Although peace with Tamim was eventually sealed with a marriage alliance in 470/1077f, his reach extended along the whole of the northern coast as far as Tunis under the Banu Khurasan. As rulers of a city growing into the largest in the country, these turned to the Hammadids against the Zirids, whose attempts at conquest were successfully resisted.

For Tamim and his successors at al Mahdiyya, the sea was all the more important. In the 450s/1060s, Tamim's attempt to conquer Sicily failed after the defeat of his son Ayyub by the Normans in 461/1069; in 463/1071 an attempt to relieve the siege of Palermo was unsuccessful, as was a final expedition to Mazara in 467?./ 1075. Zirid piracy nevertheless continued, and contributed to the sudden, dramatic, but largely inconsequential capture and sack of al Mahdiyya apart from the citadel by the Pisans and Genoese in 480/

1087, an expedition in which the motives of plunder, commercial advantage, and war upon Islam in the years before the First Crusade were all combined. 19 In spite of this disaster, under Tamim's son Yahya, 501 9/1108 16, the Zirid fleet scoured the coasts of the western Mediterranean. Meanwhile piracy had brought into Tamim's service George of Antioch, an Arabic speaking Greek who became a senior minister before fleeing to Sicily on Tamim's death. There, in the service of Roger II, he extended the Norman conquest of the island to Ifriqiya.

Trade was a major factor in the enterprise. The Zirids not only profited from the growing commerce of the Mediterranean, but with their slender resources were increasingly dependent upon it, and especially upon the supply of Sicilian grain. Trade led to war in 511/1117, when the Zirid sultan [Ali blockaded Gabes to prevent the Banu Jami' from trading with Sicily, and drove off a Sicilian fleet that came to their aid. In 517/ 1123, in the reign of 'All's young son al Hasan, this was followed by Roger's first attempt at conquest, an expedition that notably failed to capture al Mahdiyya. 20 Over the next twenty years, however, Zirid resistance was undermined by dependence upon Sicilian grain, which had to be paid for with gold. In 529/1135 the Normans came as allies to relieve the siege of al Mahdiyya by the Hammadids, who had taken Tunis from the Khurasanids in 522/1128 and harboured their own imperial design; but in the same year their fleet conquered the island of Djerba. In 536/ii4if. it destroyed shipping in the harbour of al Mahdiyya, the occasion for a treaty that reduced al Hasan almost to the status of a vassal, and in 540/ii45f went on to capture Tripoli and the Kerkenna islands. The following year the ruler of Gabes offered his allegiance to Roger; when he was

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

killed by the outraged citizens with the help of a Zirid army, Roger seized the opportunity to mount a final invasion in 543/1148. Unwilling to obey the summons of George of Antioch to join his march upon Gabes in accordance with his treaty obligations, al Hasan fled to the Almohads at Marrakesh, while the Normans took possession of al Mahdiyya along with Sousse, Sfax and Gabes. 21

The capture of Bone in the autumn of 548/1153 by the royal eunuch Philip of Mahdiyya was a different matter. In 547/1152 the last Hammadid sultan Yahya had surrendered to a more formidable conqueror, the Almohad caliph 'Abd al Mu'min, who had proceeded to crush the tribes of the Banu Hilal at Setif in the spring of 548/1153, and appointed his son 'Abd Allah as ruler of this new province of his new empire. Governed for the Normans by a brother of Yahya, Bone had little future as an enclave in an aggressive Almohad dominion which threatened the whole of the Norman position in Ifriqiya. Internally this was undermined by the death of George of Antioch in 546/1151, the execution of his protege Philip of Mahdiyya on his return from the capture of Bone, and the death of Roger himself in 549/1154. With a Norman garrison in the citadel, the government of each city had been left to its notables under an 'ahd, a formal agreement with the conquerors; their collaboration with the infidel was justified not only legally, by the need to preserve the community, but also economically, by the prosperity that resulted from an increase in trade with Sicily. When the Normans were thought to have broken the terms of the 'ahd, however, rebellion was in order. After the death of Roger, the most probable reason was the arrival of a wave of Sicilian immigrants, and the beginning of the kind of discrimination experienced by the Muslim population of Sicily. Sfax, Gabes and Tripoli all revolted in 551/1156, evicting their garrisons and massacring their Christian inhabitants; Zawila, the large suburban city outside the walls of

al Mahdiyya, did so unsuccessfully in the following year. The suppression of its revolt provoked an appeal to c Abd al Mu'min, who came in 554/1159 to complete his conquest of the Hammadid sphere with the capture of Tunis, before driving the Normans from al Mahdiyya in 555/1160. Taking possession of all the cities as far as Tripoli, he defeated the Arabs yet again near Qayrawan. The resistance of Tunis shows that the Almohads were not in fact welcome; but the city became the new capital of a new central government of Ifriqiya, under which the tribes of the Banu Hilal, followed by those of the Banu Sulaym, were incorporated into the state, to continue their evolution within the political framework of c Abd al Mu'min's empire and its successors.

61

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

2 Central Mediterranean lands

Ifriqiya

ZIRIDS

Buluggin ibn Ziri ibn Manad 362 / 972
al Mansur ibn Buluggin 373/984

377 81/987 91

Badis ibn al Mansur

386/996

al Mu'izz ibn Badis

406/1016

440/1048?.

443/1052

445/i053f.

449/1057

Tamim ibn al Mu'izz

454/1062

Yahya ibn Tamim

501/1108

'Ah ibn Yahya

509/1116

al Hasan ibn 'All

515 43/1121

543/1148

HAMMADIDS

Hammad ibn Buluggin

al Qa'id ibn Hammad
Muhsin ibn al Qa'id
Buluggin ibn Muhammad

ibn Hammad
al Nasir ibn 'Alannas ibn

Hammad

al Mansur ibn al Nasir

Badis ibn al Mansur
al 'Aziz ibn al Mansur
Yahya ibn al 'Aziz

555/1160

454/1062

457/1065

c.460/1068

481/1088

483/1090

498/1105

498/1105

515/1121 or 518/1124

al Mansur from Asir to
Qayrawan

Proclamation of Abbasids
Battle of Haydaran
Mission of Amin al Dawla
al Mu'izz from Qayrawan to
al Mahdiyya

Norman conquest of
al Mahdiyya
Norman kingdom, Sousse to

Tripoli
Almohad conquest of al
Mahdiyya

386/997

Governor at Asir

398/1007

Foundation of Qal'at Bam

Hammad

406 8/1016 18

Independence from

Qayrawan

419/1028

446/1054

447/1055

Battle of Sabiba
Foundation of Bijaya

Transfer from Qal'a to Bijaya

62

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

CITIES

Tunis

Sfax
Gabes

Tripoli

Gafsa
Biskra

547/1152
548/1153

Banu Khurasan

Hammu ibn Malhl

Banu Walmiya
Banujami'

Banu Khazrun

Rule of qadv
Banu Matruh

Banu '1 Rand

Banu Rumman/Banu
Sindi

Sicily

Almohad conquest

SackofQal'a

Norman conquest of Bone

c.450 522/1058 1128
Hammadid interregnum
543 54/1148 59: Almohad
conquest

451 93/1059 1100: Zirid
conquest

445 89/1053f. 96
489 554/1096 1159: Almohad
conquest

391 400/1001 10: Zirid
restoration

413 43/1022 52(?)

443 77/1052(1) 84: Zirid
restoration?

c.515/1121 (?) (541/1146:
Norman conquest) (553/
1158: Normans expelled)
(555/1160: submission to
Almohads) c.576/1180:
Almohad government

445 554/1053 9: Almohad
conquest

4th/10th century to 693/1294

KALBIDS

'All ibn al Hasan

359/970

Jabir ibn 'All

372/982

Ja'far ibn Muhammad

373/983

ibn 'Ah

'Abd Allah ibn Muhammad

375/985

ibn 'Ah

Yusufibn'Abd Allah

379/989

Ja'far ibn Yusuf

388/998

Ahmad al Akhal ibn Yusuf

410/1019

Byzantine '.

landing at

416/1025

Messina

Peace with

Byzantium

425/1034

Zirid invasion of Abd

427/1036

Allah ibn al Mu'izz

63

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

d. Ahmad

429/1038

Byzantine invasion of

431/1040

George Maniakes

Defeat and withdrawal

of 'Abd Allah

Recall of Maniakes

433f. / 1042

al Hasan al Samsan ibn Yusuf

431 6/1040 4 (d. c.445/1053)

Ibn al Maklati

at Catania

Ibn Mankut

at Mazara

Ibn al Hawwas

at Enna, Agrigento

Ibn al Thumna

at Syracuse

NORMANS

Roger I

Conquest of Sicily

453 84/1061 91

Zirid invasion by sons of

460/1068

Tamim defeated

Fall of Palermo

464/1072

Fall of Trapani

469f /1077

Fall of Syracuse

479/1086

Regency of Adelaide

494/1101 499/1105 506/1112

Roger II

506/1112

First attack on al

517/1123

Mahdiyya

Conquest of Djerba

529/1135

Conquest of Tripoli and

54o/ii45f.

Kerkenna Islands

Conquest of al Mahdiyya,

543/1148

Sfax, Gabes, Tripoli

Conquest of Bone (Buna)

548/1153

William I

548/1154

Loss of Sfax, Gabes,

55i/ii56f.

Tripoli

Surrender of al Mahdiyya

555/1160

Notes

E. F. Gautier, *Le passe de VAfrique du Nord: Les siecles obscurs*, Paris, 1952, ch. X, 'Le

grand fait nouveau et decisif la venue des Bedouins arabes'.

C. H. Haskins, *The Normans in European history*, New York, 1915, ch. VIII, 'The

Norman Kingdom of Sicily'.

Ibn Khaldun, *Kitab al 'Ibar*, 7 vols., Bulaq, 1284/1867, various reprints; vols. VI and

VII trans. W. M. de Slane, *Histoire des Berbires et des dynasties musulmanes de*

VAfrique septentrionale, 4 vols. (Paris, 1852, 2nd edn, Paris, 1925; repr. 1999; vol. I,

The Muqaddimah ('Introduction'), trans. F. Rosenthal, 3 vols., 2nd edn, New York,

1967; London, 1986.

Analysed in J. Johns, *Arabic administration in Norman Sicily*, Cambridge, 2002.

64

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The central lands of North Africa and Sicily

5. H. R. Idris, *La Berberie orientate sous les ZirTdes: Xe Xlle siecles*, 2 vols., Paris, 1962: the essential work of reference.

6. M. Amari, *Storia dei Musulmani di Sicilia*, 2nd edn, ed. C. Nallino, 3 vols., Catania, 1937 9; M. Amari (ed.), *Biblioteca Arabo Sicula*, Leipzig, 1857; 2 vols, with Appendix, Turin and Rome, 1880 9. A. Ahmad, *A history of Islamic Sicily*, Edinburgh, 1975, provides a summary now superseded by A. Metcalfe, *The Muslims of medieval Italy*, Edinburgh, 2009. See M. Brett, *The rise of the Fatimids: The world of the Mediterranean and the Middle East in the fourth century of the Hijra, tenth century CE*, Leiden, 2001, 361 3.
7. Brett, *The rise of the Fatimids*, 247 66, 353 63; M. Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, Variorum Series, Aldershot, 1999, ch. II, 'Ifriqiya as a market for Saharan trade from the tenth to the twelfth century AD'; S. D. Goitein, *Studies in Islamic history and institutions*, Leiden, 1966, ch. XVI, 'Medieval Tunisia the hub of the Mediterranean'; S. D. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society*, 5 vols., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967 88, vol. I, 30 2, 327 8, et passim.
8. A. Metcalfe, *Muslims and Christians in Norman Sicily*, London and New York, 2003.
9. Idris, *Berberie orientate*, 176 89.
10. Discussed by M. Brett, 'Fitnat al Qayrawan: a study of traditional Arabic historiography', Ph.D. thesis, University of London (1970), and in Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, ch. VIII, 'Fatimid historiography: a case study the quarrel with the Zirids, 1048 58'; ch. IX, 'The Flood of the Dam and the Sons of the New Moon'; ch. X, 'The way of the nomad'.
11. Ibn Hawqal, *Surat al ard*, ed. J. H. Kramers, Leiden, 1938, 155; trans. J. H. Kramers and G. Wiet, *Configuration de la terre*, 2 vols., Beirut and Paris, 1964, vol. 1, 153.
12. M. Brett, 'The Zughba at Tripoli, 429H (1037 8 A.D.)', *Society for Libyan Studies, Sixth Annual Report* (1974 5), 41 7.

13. M. Brett, 'The military interest of the battle of Haydaran', in V.J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.), *War, technology and society in the Middle East*, London, 1975.
14. Brett, 'Fatimid historiography'.
15. Georges Marcais, *Les Arabes en Berberie*, Paris, 1913; J. Poncet, 'Le mythe de la catastrophe hilalienne', *Annates ESC*, 22 (1967), 1099 1120; Brett, 'Way of the nomad'.
16. Johns, *Arabic administration*; Metcalfe, *Muslims and Christians*.
17. Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, ch. V, 'Islam and trade in the Bilad al Sudan tenth eleventh century AD'; ch. XIII, 'Muslim justice under infidel rule: The Normans in Ifriqiya, 517 555H/1123 1160AD'.
18. Idris, *Berberie orientate*; Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, ch. XIV, 'The city state in medieval Ifriqiya: The case of Tripoli'; ch. XV, 'Ibn Khaldun and the dynastic approach to local history: The case of Biskra'.
19. H. E.J. Cowdrey, 'The Mahdia campaign of 1087', *English Historical Review*, 92 (1977), 1 30.
20. Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, ch. XII, 'The armies of Ifriqiya, 1052 1160'.
21. Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, ch. XIII, 'Muslim justice under infidel rule: The Normans in Ifriqiya, 517 555H/1123 1160AD'.
22. *Ibid*, and ch. X, 'The way of the nomad'.

The Almohads (524 668/1130 1269) and
the Hafsids (627 932/1229 1526)

MARIBEL FIERRO

The Almohad caliphate 1

The mahdi Ibn Tumart and the Almohad movement

Ibn Yasin, the founder of the Almoravid movement, is depicted as a Maliki jurist engaged in transforming the Lamtuna Berbers into good Maliki Muslims, a mission he accomplished by resorting often to physical punishments. His teachings were transmitted for some time, but eventually forgotten. Despite both his relevance and the prominence of the Maliki school under the Almoravids, Ibn Yasin did not come to play a central role either in western Malikism or in Almoravid political legitimisation.

Ibn Tumart, the founder of the Almohad movement, also aimed at a moral and religious reform. Accounts of Ibn Tumart's life more detailed than those of Ibn Yasin, as well as the 'Book' (Kitab) attributed to him, are extant. The Almohad numismatic formula AUahu rabbuna wa Muhammad rasuluna wa'l mahdi imamuna (God is our Lord, Muhammad is our Prophet, the mahdi [i.e. Ibn Tumart] is our imam) bears witness to the central role he was accorded in the new polity. However, our understanding of how and when those accounts of his life were written down is still faulty, apart from the obvious fact that they moulded Ibn Tumart's life according to the Prophet's biography. 2 Much of the portrayal of Ibn Tumart comes from the 'Memoirs' of al Baydhaq, 3 whose aim is nevertheless chiefly to establish Abd al Mu'min's right to lead the Almohads as caliph.

The picture those accounts convey is as follows. Ibn Tumart was born in

Igilliz, a village in the Sus, 4 the great valley which separates the western range of the High Atlas from the Anti Atlas to the south, and an area where the spread of Malikism, Mu'tazilism and Shi'ism is documented. 5 He came from the Harga tribe, Masmuda Berbers, although he was properly a member of the Prophet's family. He travelled to al Andalus around the year 500/1106f. and then to the East to pursue his education. In Baghdad, he met al Ghazali

66

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Almohads and the Hafsids

(d. 505/1111), the great religious reformer, who prophesied that Ibn Tumart would put an end to the Almoravid dynasty, responsible for the burning of al Ghazali's work *Ihya' l ulum al din* (The revival of the religious sciences) under the pressure of the conservative and fanatic Malikis. After a stay in Fatimid Alexandria where Ibn Tumart practised 'commanding good and forbidding evil', he started his return to the Maghrib by sea. He disembarked in Tripoli and after stopping at al Mahdiyya, Monastir, Tunis and Constantine, he arrived in Bougie in 511/1119. Everywhere he went he preached against deviations from proper Islamic norms and customs, censoring the consumption of wine and the use of musical instruments. In Mallala, near Bougie, Ibn Tumart met 'Abd al Mu'min, a Zanata Berber from the area of Tlemcen, whose intention was to travel to the East to study. This meeting had been foretold in advance by Ibn Tumart, who made his new pupil realise that the science he was expecting to acquire in the East was there in the Maghrib itself and secretly informed him of his great destiny. From Mallala, Ibn Tumart and

the small group of his close followers travelled to Marrakesh, stopping at different places such as Tlemcen, Fez, Meknes and Sale. In the Almoravid capital, he censored the use of veils by males and the fact that women did not cover themselves. His debates with the local scholars provoked the amir to expel him from the town. After a stay in Aghmat, Ibn Tumart moved to the Atlas, where local leaders such as Abu Hafs 'Umar Inti (Hintati), from whom the Hafsids descended became his followers. Ibn Tumart eventually settled in his native town in the Sus, and there he was acknowledged as the Mahdi, i.e. 'the rightly guided one' expected to appear in the Maghrib in the sixth/twelfth century, the one responsible for the suppression of error and the maintenance of truth whose orders had to be obeyed because they coincided with God's will and order, and the one who would fill the earth with justice. The Almoravids had to be fought because of their departure from truth, clearly manifested in their anthropomorphic beliefs (tashbih). As they were in fact unbelievers, jihad could be waged against them as against Jews and Christians. For nine years from his proclamation as Mahdi 01515/1121 until his death in 524/1130, Ibn Tumart fought the Almoravids and those tribes such as the Haskura who refused to acknowledge his leadership. In 518/1124, he and his followers moved to a settlement in the Great Atlas Tinmal that was to become the 'Medina' of the movement. The original population was massa cred and only loyal Almohads were allowed to live there. Ibn Tumart consolidated his hold over the mountains to the south and west of Marrakesh. Having realised that Tinmal was an impregnable site and having to deal also with the Christian advance in al Andalus, the Almoravids

The New Cambridge History of Islam

concentrated on building a belt of fortresses to stop the Almohads descending into the plains of Marrakesh.

One of Ibn Tumart's first followers, al Bashir al Wansharisi, had the power to predict the future and also to distinguish between sincere believers and hypocrites, which he did during the great 'purge' (tamyiz) of the Almohad tribes, a bloodletting much criticised by Ibn Taymiyya. Shortly after, in 524/1130, an attack against Marrakesh was organised, but the Almohads were defeated in the battle of al Buhayra. Al Bashir mysteriously disappeared and Abu Hafs 'Urnar Inti was seriously injured. Seventeen years of continuous fighting passed before the Almohads attacked Marrakesh again, and this only after having conquered the north of Morocco and part of Algeria. Three months after the Buhayra defeat, Ibn Tumart died, but his death was hidden for some three years. Tinmal, as well as Igilliz, became places of pilgrimage, and the Almohad caliphs who were buried at Tinmal, near Ibn Tumart's grave often visited them.

Ibn Tumart's movement can only be understood within its Berber context, in which a charismatic figure with a religious message provided the 'glue' by which tribes were united in a common enterprise leading to state formation. The use of the Berber language is well documented, although it was precisely during the Almohad period that the Arabisation of the Maghrib was made possible thanks to the incorporation of Arab tribes (the Banu Hilal and Banu Sulaym) into the army and their eventual settlement in certain areas of the Maghrib. 7 Accounts of Berber merits and genealogies such as the Mafakhir al barbar were recorded, although Ibn Tumart was presented as a member of

the Prophet's family and [Abd al Mu'min eventually adopted an Arab (Qaysi) genealogy. The original Almohad organisation was a combination of a religious political hierarchy with Berber tribal structures. Together with the close circle of the Mahdi's relatives and 'servants' (ahl al dor), the Council of Ten (aljama'a) consisted of Ibn Tumart's first followers, such as al Bashir, Abu Hafs 'Urnar Inti and Abd al Mu'min. The shaykhs of the tribes incorporated into the movement (Harga, Hintata, Gadmiwa and Ganfisa) constituted the Council of Fifty. As the latter most probably included the Ten, what we have here is the Berber institution of the Ait al Arba'in. 9 The tribe to which Ibn Tumart belonged, the Masmuda, had a long record of producing prophet like leaders during the process of acculturation to Islam. The Barghawata branch, settled along the Atlantic coast, had their prophet Salih and a Berber 'Qur'an'. They managed to establish a polity of their own lasting from the second/eighth century until the Almoravids. The Ghumara branch in the north responded in 315/927 to the prophet Hamim and his own Berber 'Qur'an'. 10 In Ibn Tumart's case, Islamic acculturation had reached a point that did not

The Almohads and the Hafsids

allow for the appearance of a new Berber 'Qur] an', only for a Kitab that contained legal discussions integrated into Islamic normativity.

Ibn Tumart's Kitab, also known by the title of its opening words A'azz ma yutlab (The most precious one can ask for', i.e., Him or religious knowledge), is a composite book including different tracts collected after Ibn Tumart's death,

to which a book on jihad was added by the second Almohad caliph. Although much work is still to be done to study its sources and redaction, the Kitab if it is the work of Ibn Tumart situates him within the circles of contemporary legal scholars who, like al Ghazali, were interested in legal methodology (usul) and aimed at a religious renewal, although Ibn Tumart seems to have developed a specially radical doctrine that seriously challenged prevailing understandings of Islamic religious law. 11

Much has been written about Ibn Tumart's links with al Ghazali. While the idea of an encounter between the two is to be discarded, the use of al Ghazali's figure and doctrine was then a powerful legitimising tool. Al Ghazali had undertaken an ambitious project of religious and political reform. Two aspects are of relevance here. First, al Ghazali had written extensively against the Batiniyya (those who believed in an esoteric truth) at a time when the Fatimid caliphate was progressively losing political and religious power, but more radical Isma'ili groups, such as the Nizaris, still insisted verbally and often with the sword that following their impeccable imam provided religious certainty in this life and salvation in the next. Although al Ghazali opposed such doctrine, in some of his works he himself asserted that after the Prophet's death the Muslim community was still in need of divine inspiration, to be found among God's friends (awliya' Allah), not necessarily to be identified with the Sufis. The role of the friend of God (wall Allah) thus came close to that of the Isma'ili imam (al Ghazali's Andalusian pupil Abu Bakr ibn al 'Arabi said that his teacher had digested so much of the thought of the philosophers and of the Batiniyya that he could not extricate himself from it). Secondly, al Ghazali directed a severe criticism against those jurists who limited themselves to the letter of the law without paying attention to its principles and inner meaning. This criticism of traditional religious scholars paralleled the search for alternative authority figures, such as the 'friend of God' (wall Allah),

be it a Sufi or a mahdi.TM While it is difficult to imagine how Ibn Tumart could have attracted his Berber followers with the dry discussion of fine points of legal methodology contained in his Kitab, his proclamation as mahdi greatly contributed to his success. As such, he was in possession of the Truth (he was 'the well known rightly guided one and the impeccable imam', al mahdx al maHum al imam al ma c sum), and believers in his message had only to follow

69

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

his teachings to achieve salvation. The Truth consisted in the strict monotheistic belief (tawhid) linked by some sources either to Mu'tazilism or Ash c arism that gave its name to the movement (al muwahhidun, i.e. the believers in One God) and that implicitly charged its opponents with anthro pomorphism, an accusation that was also made explicitly. True belief was acquired by learning Ibn Tumart's creed Qaqida), of which different versions circulated. 13 Simpler versions of such a creed (the murshidas) were directed to the common folk, reflecting the concern of the age to ensure that they could not be charged with infidelity because of their ignorance (takfir al 'awamm). The obligatory character of learning such professions of faith led to their being taught in both Berber and Arabic, and their wide diffusion explains the fact that a Latin translation was produced in 1213.

Ibn Tumart's doctrine has also been linked to Zahirism because of its insistence on a strict adherence to God's message as preserved in the Qur'an and the Prophetic Tradition, and its rejection of both speculative analogy (qiyas) and imitation of human interpretation of the Law (taqlid). Zahiri

trends in the general meaning of the word, i.e. literalist are present especially in the first period, when the Cordoban Zahirī Ibn Hazm (d. 456/1064) was revered and when the Almohad caliphs favoured the study of both Qur'an and hadith (Tradition of the Prophet), promoting the writing of exegesis and of works in which Prophetic Traditions found in more than one canonical collection were collected. At the same time, these trends could also be connected with reformed Malikism, which is what Almohadism eventually looked like.¹⁴ Even if parallelisms with certain legal and theological schools can be discerned, Almohad doctrines should be understood as a local interpretation of the 'Sunni revival' of the times, an interpretation that underwent changes and reorientations in tune with the political development of the Almohad caliphate.

c Abd al-Mu'min (r. 527 58/1133 63) and the foundation of the Almohad caliphate

[Abd al Mu'min's rise to power seems to have started after al Bashir's and the mahdi's death.¹⁵ Three years later, in 527/1133, he was proclaimed Ibn Tumart's successor, a nomination that did not go uncontested. Ibn Malwiyya, a member of the Council of Ten, rebelled and was defeated.

Abd al Mu'min's political and military skills contributed to the formation of a powerful army out of the tribes mobilised by Ibn Tumart's message, while the Almoravid amir 'All ibn Yusuf (r. 500 37/1106 43) increasingly relied on a Catalan mercenary, Reverter, and his men in the Maghrib. Avoiding open

confrontation, by 535 / 1140 the Almohads had completely taken over the Sus.

Incursions towards the north had already started in 532/1137, but it was three

years later that c Abd al Mu'min launched a campaign that was to culminate

with the conquest of Marrakesh in 541/1147. Keeping to the mountains, the

Almohads won over the regions rich in mines of Tadla, Fazaz and the Jebala, reaching the Mediterranean coast at Badis, and then moving towards

Oran and Tlemcen. The village near Nedroma where c Abd al Mu'min was

born was conquered and his Kumya tribe joined the Almohad movement. Other tribes, such as the northern Masmuda (Ghumara) and Sanhaja, as well as

various Zanata groups, defected to the Almohads. Divisions within the Almoravid army erupted and the new amir Tashfin (r. 537 9/1143 5), a Lamtum who was contested by the Massufa, was not even able to establish

himself in Marrakesh. Reverters death in 539/1145 further weakened the Almoravid cause. After defeating the Almoravids in the central Maghrib and

conquering Oran and Tlemcen, the Almohads, now feeling strong enough, moved into the plains of western Morocco. Fez was taken after a siege in 540/

1146, followed by Meknes and Sale. In March 541/1147, after some resistance,

Marrakesh fell. The ensuing massacre was stopped by [Abd al Mu'min, who

only entered the city once the erroneous orientation of its mosques was corrected. Marrakesh became the capital of the Almohads instead of Tinmal.

The first Kutubiyya mosque was then built, to be followed a few years after by

the second Kutubiyya, with its massive minaret and different orientation, and

extensive gardens and basins were also constructed. 1

[Abd al Mu'min took the caliphal title after having firmly established his rule in the area. This happened once the rebellion of al Massi along the Atlantic coast was crushed (542 5/1147 50). Al Massi from Sale, claimed to

be the Mahdi and was followed by the Gazula (Jazula), Haha, Ragra, Hazmira, Haskura of the plains and other tribal groups. Rebellion erupted

also in Sijilmasa and the Dra' valley. During the same period, the Almoravid

Ibn al Sahrawiyya, who had taken refuge in al Andalus, disembarked in Ceuta, hoping to restore Almoravid fortunes.

Shortly after the capture of Marrakesh, delegations from the independent rulers of al Andalus (the second Taifas) arrived to pay allegiance. The Almoravid admiral Ibn Maymun was the first to deliver the Friday sermon in the name of the Almohads in Cadiz in 540/1146, while the first Andalus! ruler to approach Abd al Mu'min was the Sufi Ibn Qasi, who had proclaimed himself imam and by the year 539/1144 was ruling in the Algarve (southern Portugal). Almohad troops crossed the Straits in 541/1147 and took possession of the Algarve and then of Seville. When Ibn Qasi realised that Almohad rule

71

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

was under serious threat because of al Massi and Ibn al Sahrawiyya, he and other Andalusí rulers who had joined the Almohad cause defected. c Abd al Mu'min, using both the original Almohad troops and the Christian soldiers who had served the Almoravids in Marrakesh, defeated al Massi. Ibn al Sahrawiyya was also eventually defeated and joined the Almohads. Thus, control over the Maghrib was regained, and soon reinforced by fear. In 544/ii49f, a great 'purge' (Vtirdf) was carried out by the Almohad tribal leaders (the shaykhs), to whom 'Abd al Mu'min had given lists with the names of those who had to be eliminated in the rebel tribes. The ensuing bloodshed ensured that peace was imposed, truth reigned and difference of opinion was suppressed. In al Andalus, Cordoba was taken.

'Abd al Mu'min then started building opposite Sale Ribatal fath (Rabat). It was also called al Mahdiyya given its similarity to the Mahdiyya built by the Fatimids in Ifriqiya (Tunisia), a town then in the hands of the Normans of Sicily, who had taken advantage of the upheavals caused by the invasion of nomadic Arab tribes to seize control of the coastal regions. 17 'Abd al Mu'min concentrated a large army in Rabat Sale to undertake the conquest of al Andalus, the rest of central Maghrib and Ifriqiya.

In 546/1151, the rulers of the western regions of al Andalus crossed the Straits to pledge obedience to 'Abd al Mu'min, except Ibn Qasi, who having established an alliance with the king of Portugal was killed by some of his followers that same year. Troops under the command of Abu Hafs 'Umar Inti were sent to al Andalus, while 'Abd al Mu'min led the campaign towards the central Maghrib. Algiers, Bougie, the Qal'a of the Banu Hammad and Constantine were conquered in 547/1152. The defeat of the Arab tribes at Setif in 548/1153 opened the road to Ifriqiya. But first 'Abd al Mu'min put an end to internal dissent caused by Ibn Tumart's brothers and members of his tribe, the Harga, 1 as well as to the unrest in the Sus coming from former Almoravid tribes. In 552/1157, the pledge of obedience of the original Almohad tribes was renewed and the caliph paid his traditional visit to Tinmal. In 553/1158, the campaign against Ifriqiya was finally launched. Tunis was conquered in 554/1159 and in the same year Mahdiyya (in Christian hands since 1148), Sfax and Tripoli were seized from the Normans. The itinerary that Ibn Tumart is alleged to have followed in his return from the East had now been completed in reverse order by 'Abd al Mu'min. For the first time in the history of North Africa, a single state was created, ruled by Berbers.

As for al Andalus, Almoravid rule had been seriously weakened as a result of Christian expansion and of the concentration on fighting the Almohads in the Maghrib. Although Tashfin did react to the Christian threat, after 535/1140

The Almohads and the Hafsids

Andalusis openly revolted against those foreign Berbers who had failed in delivering the military help that was the rationale for accepting their rule.

Santarem and Lisbon were captured in 542/1147 by the king of Portugal, who

availed himself of Crusader help. The Ebro valley was completely lost by 543/

1148. During this period, central rule collapsed in the rest of al Andalus.

Judges,

as representatives of the urban elites, came to power in towns such as Cordoba,

Jaen, Malaga, Murcia and Valencia. Local soldiers trained in the frontier areas,

such as Sayf al Dawla Ibn Hud, also made their bid for power. Ibn Hud, who

established his rule in the Levante, the eastern part of the Iberian Peninsula

(Sharq al Andalus), even adopted the caliphal titles of Commander of the Believers (amir al mu'minin) and al Mustansir, but was eventually defeated and

killed by the Christians in 540 / 1146. The main opposition the Almohads found in

al Andalus came from Ibn Mardanish, 19 another 'man of the sword' who also

took power in the Levante. His territory was separated for a decade from that of

the Almohads by the last Almoravids who resisted in Granada, and by the Christians, who with the help of Genoese naval power ruled over Almeria from

542/1147 until 552/1157. Ibn Mardanish came to depend on Castilian military

help, an alliance strongly attacked by Almohad propaganda. His father in law,

Ibn Hamushk, who ruled the fortress of Segura, caused great damage on the

Almohad frontier, for example taking Granada for a brief period in 557/1162, but he eventually defected to the Almohads.

By then, the Almohad army had incorporated Arab troops, especially after the Arab tribes were again defeated in al Qarn near Qayrawan in 556/1161.

'Abd al Mu'min started the transfer of those Arab tribes to the extreme Maghrib as a way both to control them and to increase his own power. The

Arabs were mobilised for jihad in the Iberian Peninsula by his successors after

his death in 558/1163. 2 ° 'Abd al Mu J min had spent the previous year preparing

an attack by land and sea to put an end both to local rebellions and to the Christian threat in al Andalus. Great numbers of troops were recruited, many

ships built, and large quantities of food and armaments stored. Before starting

the campaign, during the winter of 557/1162, 'Abd al Mu J min paid a visit to Ibn

Tumart's grave in Tinmal, suffering great discomfort because of cold and rain.

In February 558/1163, the troops were concentrated in Rabat, but shortly after

this 'Abd al Mu'min fell ill and died.

The Mu'minid dynasty till the end of the Almohad caliphate

'Abd al Mu'min's son Muhammad, named heir in 549/1154, reigned for a few months, but was soon replaced by his half brother Yusuf. The intervention of

the sayyid (the title given to the Mu'minid princes) Abu Hafs 'Umar, Yusuf's full brother, was decisive. Abd al Mu'min was said to have abrogated Muhammad's nomination shortly before his death, but this was an attempt to cover up what was in fact a coup within the Mu'minid family.

The new ruler, Abu Ya'qub Yusuf (r. 558 80/1163 84), had long experience, having served for seven years as governor in Seville. He could count on the

loyalty and capabilities of his equally experienced brother Abu Hafs 'Umar.

However, Abu Ya'qub Yusuf had some trouble in obtaining the recognition of

Abu Hafs 'Umar Inti, the powerful member of the Council of Ten, as well as

that of some of his own brothers, the governors of Bougie and Cordoba.

This

opposition seems to have been the reason for cancelling the great military

campaign in al Andalus organised by Abd al Mu'min and for not yet taking

the title Commander of the Believers (amir al mu'minin). Also, Mazizdag al Ghumari and his son rebelled. They were defeated only after a long campaign in 560 2/1165 6 in the Ghumara mountains near Ceuta. In 561/1165,

Abu Ya'qub Yusuf sent a letter to the Almohad governors forbidding them to

impose any death sentence without his approval, and in 563/1168 he felt strong

enough to adopt the title of Commander of the Believers.

By then, he had obtained important successes in al Andalus. In 560/1165, his

halfbrother, the governor of Cordoba, recognised his rule, while great damage was inflicted on Ibn Mardanish. Defeated near his capital Murcia,

Ibn Mardanish was also abandoned by Ibn Hamushk in 564/1169. The follow

ing year, the planned expedition against Ibn Mardanish had to be postponed

because the caliph fell ill after plague erupted in Marrakesh. But the sayyid Abu

Hafs 'Umar left for al Andalus and, with Ibn Hamushk's help, Lorca, Elche and

Baza submitted to the Almohads. The caliph arrived in 567/1171 with an army

including Arabs from Ifriqiya and raids were made in the area of Toledo. After Ibn Mardanish's death in 567/1172, his sons surrendered Murcia and were incorporated into the Almohad hierarchy. They advised the caliph to attack the Castilians in the area of Huete. The Almohads took some fortresses, but failed to conquer Huete. The Castilians from Avila were able shortly after to cross the Guadalquivir, laying waste the area of Ecija and Cordoba in 569/1173.

The king of Portugal was also pursuing an aggressive policy in the River Guadiana region with the help of a frontier man, Giraldo sem Pavor the 'Portuguese Cid' who managed to occupy the town of Badajoz. 21

Conflicts

between Portugal, Leon, Castile and Navarra, as well as within the Castilian

nobility, led to an alliance between Abu Ya'qub Yusuf and Fernando II of Leon, and in 564/1168 the Leonese reconquered Badajoz and handed it over to

the Almohads. Only Evora remained in Portuguese hands. However, the

74

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

Almohad hold in the area was tenuous. In 564/1170, a convoy with food and

armaments had to be sent from Seville to Badajoz, but was captured by Giraldo. During the campaign of 565/1170, Giraldo could again be stopped

thanks to the renewed alliance with the king of Leon. When the caliph arrived

in al Andalus in 567/1171, another convoy with food and armaments sent to

Badajoz this time reached its destination without problems, but while the Almohads were busy with the campaign against Huete, Giraldo took Beja, only to see it abandoned by the king of Portugal after some months.

When the

Almohads raided the area of Toledo, the Castilian king asked for a truce, which allowed him to fight the king of Navarra. The Portuguese king also asked for a truce in 569/1173, which led Giraldo Sem Pavor to defect to the Almohads, serving them in the Maghrib where he died. Beja was repopulated by the Almohads, but peace did not last for long. The king of Leon now launched an attack against al Andalus, while a member of the Castilian nobility, Fernando Rodriguez, defected to the Almohads. Shifting alliances and counter alliances became a common feature of this period. 22.

During the almost four years of his stay in al Andalus, Abu Ya'qub Yusuf started building the impressive new mosque of Seville. 23 He returned to Marrakesh in 571/1176, the year when Abu Hafs 'Umar Inti, the last of Ibn Tumart's companions, died. The Castilians besieged Cuenca and, although the governors of Cordoba and Seville attacked the areas of Toledo and Talavera as a distraction, the town fell after nine months. In 578/1182, Alfonso VIII of Castile camped in front of Cordoba and his raids reached Algeciras near the sea. The Almohads reacted by raiding again the area of Talavera. The king of Portugal, on his part, raided the areas of Beja and Seville in 573/1178, while one of Ibn Mardaniyyah's sons, leading the Almohad navy, attacked Lisbon. Naval encounters between the Almohads and the Portuguese ensued with varied fortunes. In 1183, Castile and Leon established an alliance to fight the Almohads. The caliph again crossed the Straits, to meet his death while his army was besieging Santarem in 580/1184. Abu Ya'qub Yusuf had also to pay attention to his eastern North African frontier. In 575 6/1180 1, he led a successful expedition against rebel Gafsa and the defeated Arabs were sent to al Andalus to wage jihad against the Christians. But some of them remained in the area to join other rebels against the Almohads, such as the Banu Ghaniya, descendants of the Almoravid ruling house. 24 Having resisted for some time in Seville and in Granada, keeping their allegiance to the Abbasids, the Banu Ghaniya managed to rule an Almoravid ouqrat in the Balearic Islands that lasted until 599/1203. In

November 580/1184, Alt ibn Ghaniya (d. 584/1188) sailed to North Africa and occupied Bougie, Algiers and Milyana.

75

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Abu Ya'qub Yusuf was succeeded by his son Abu Yusuf Ya'qub (r. 580/95/1184-99), who later took the title al Mansur. A reformer, he administered justice personally for some time, while prohibiting the use of wine, silk clothes and musical instruments. In Marrakesh, the citadel (qasba) with its mosque, new gardens and a hospital were built.

One of his first concerns was to fight the Banu Ghaniya. Bougie was reconquered and 'All ibn Ghaniya fled towards Ifriqiya, where he found support among the Arabs. He occupied the oasis of Tawzar and Gafsa in 582/1186 and joined forces with the governor of Tripoli, Qaraqush. This Armenian had entered Ifriqiya from Ayyubid Egypt with an army of Turcomans (the ghuzz) in 568/1172. The coalition of Arabs, ghuzz and Almoravids took control of the Djerid. Only Tunis and Mahdiyya remained in Almohad hands. After visiting Tinmal, Abu Yusuf Ya'qub launched an expedition against Ifriqiya in 582/1186. An initial defeat of the Almohads at al 'Umra was followed by the victory of al Hamma near Qayrawan. Gafsa surrendered to the Almohads. In 583/1187 the caliph returned triumphant to Tunis after having pacified the Djerid. But his defeat at al 'Umra had led his brother Abu Hafs 'Umar al Rashid, governor of Murcia, to sign an alliance with Alfonso VIII to foster his own cause, while his uncle Abu 'l RabT Sulayman attempted the same in Tadla. Both were taken prisoner and sent to Sale where they were executed in 584/1188f.

The Portuguese, in the meanwhile, had conquered Silves with the help of

Crusaders travelling to Palestine after Saladin's conquest of Jerusalem in 1187, and Alfonso VIII of Castile had raided the region of Seville. The caliph arrived in al Andalus in 586/1191 and signed a truce with the king of Castile. While part of his army besieged Silves, he attacked the king of Portugal in the area north of Santarem. Lack of provisions and illness made him return to Seville, where he punished corrupt Almohad officials, administered justice personally and forbade music. In the meantime, 'Ali al Jaziri, a member of the Almohad religious and administrative elites (triloba) established under c Abd al Mu'min, rebelled in Marrakesh and gained a wide following. Persecuted, he fled to Fez and then to al Andalus, his native land, where his teachings attracted the populace of the Malaga markets, until he and his followers were executed. Another rebel in the Zab was also defeated when the Arabs abandoned him.

In 586/1190, an ambassador sent by Saladin arrived asking the Almohad caliph to help to halt the Crusaders in the east by sea, but without success. The fleet was needed for the second attempt to reconquer Silves, accomplished in 587/1191. Unrest continued in the area of Ifriqiya, where Yahya ibn Ghaniya, the new Almoravid leader, would fight for some fifty years to prevent the

The Almohads and the Hafsids

Almohads regaining control of the Djerid. The Almohad caliph could do little against him, as his intervention in al Andalus was needed given the constant

Christian pressure. In June 591/1195, the Almohad army defeated Alfonso VIII at Alarcos, a battle in which the Arabs' way of fighting (karr wafarr) and the strength of Almohad archery seem to have been decisive. Several castles were occupied and, in the next two years, raids were carried out in the area. Alfonso VIII did not dare to have another encounter with the Almohads for seventeen years. The king of Leon Alfonso IX, condemned by the pope for his alliance with the Almohads, travelled to Seville to obtain their help against a Castilian Aragonese coalition, but to no avail. A period of ten years' truce followed, during which Alfonso VIII of Castile recovered his strength.

Abu c Abd Allah Muhammad, who took the title al Nasir (r. 595 610/1199 1213), was named heir by his father al Mansur before the latter's death in 588/1192. He had to fight in the Sus the rebellion of Abu Qasaba, who, like aljaziri, was a member of the Almohad talaba and who persuaded himself of being destined to rule; his severed head hung for many years in one of the gates of Marrakesh. Another Almohad rebel was active in Ifriqiya, where he collided with the Banu Ghaniya. They managed to expand and occupy Tunis and other towns, but at the same time they were cut off from their original power base in the Balearic Islands with the Almohad conquest of Majorca in 599/1202f. In 602 3/1206 7, Mahdiyya, Tunis and Tripolitania were reconquered in an expedition commanded by the caliph.

Al Nasir tried to reduce the power of the Almohad shaykhs and the Mu'minid sayyids, but the ensuing tensions within the ruling elite affected the performance of the Almohad troops, whose payment stopped being regular. In 608/1211, al Nasir led a campaign in al Andalus. Initial success was followed by defeat in the battle of Las Navas de Tolosa (al 'Iqab) in July 609/1212 at the hands of a coalition of the Christian kingdoms which also included Crusader help. 2,5 The Christians, for all the symbolic value of this victory, could not benefit greatly from it. Pedro II of Aragon and Alfonso VIII of Castile died shortly after and their successors were minors. Only when Fernando III (r. 1217 52) under whom Castile and Leon were united and James I of Aragon (r. 1239 76) reached maturity did Christian advance

continue.

Al Nasir died shortly after the battle of al-Qab, to be followed by five caliphs in a short period. 2 Al Nasir's successor was his minor son Yusuf al Mustansir (r. 610 20/1214 24). In the pledge of obedience to him, the caliph assured the obligation of dismissing the troops after every campaign, of not

77

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

appropriating anything of public benefit, of paying salaries on time and of not isolating himself from the Almohads. It is not clear if these restrictions had been spelled out before, or are an indication of the caliph's weakness. Al Mustansir had in fact little control of the reins of power and never left Marrakesh, except for a visit to Tinmal. Famine was rampant, a Fatimid pretender stirred up rebellion among the Sanhaja and the countryside was raided by Arabs and Berbers. The Zanata Banu Marin reached Fez. 27 Yahya ibn Ghaniya caused unrest in the areas of Tlemcen and Sijilmasa. In al Andalus, Alcaccer do Sal was conquered by the Portuguese with Crusader help. Al Mustansir died childless.

The vizier Ibn Jami' descendant of one of Ibn Tumart's servants (ahl al dar), an Andalusí with no tribal followers had 'Abd al Wahid ibn Yusuf ibn 'Abd al Mu' min elected as successor, but his reign was limited to the year 620/1224. Ibnjami's rival Ibn Yujjan a relative of Ibn Tumart's Companion, Abu Hafis 'Umar Inti, and a Hintati shaykh persuaded the governor of Murcia, the sayyid 'Abd Allah, to rule with the title al 'Adil (r. 621 4/1224 7). In Marrakesh,

some of the Almohad shaykhs exiled Ibn Jami' and the caliph was deposed and killed: as a chronicler put it, the Almohad shaykhs had become for the Mu'minids what the Turks had been for the 'Abbasids. Al 'Adil could count on the support of his brothers, governors in Cordoba, Malaga and Granada. But some of his relatives opposed his nomination, among them the governor of Valencia and 'Abd Allah al Bayyasi, who in his stronghold of Baeza agreed to become a vassal of Fernando III of Castile. Al 'Adil was unable to defeat him, while the Portuguese raided the region of Seville. As the Almohad army did not react, the people of Seville went out to fight, but were easily defeated. Contrary to the situation in the Christian kingdoms, the civil population was disarmed and inexperienced, and the Almohads did not try to channel their eagerness to defend their lives and properties by transforming them into local militias. Fernando III helped al Bayyasi to settle in Cordoba, giving him in exchange three fortresses, but the inhabitants of one of them, Capilla, refused to surrender. Fernando III besieged them with al Bayyasi's help. The people of Cordoba, outraged by such behaviour, killed al Bayyasi and sent his head to al 'Adil in Marrakesh. The caliph himself was killed shortly after, having fallen out with Ibn Yujjan and his Berber and Arab allies, and with other Almohad shaykhs.

His brother Abu '1 Ula Idris a grandson of Ibn Mardamsh on his mother's side was named caliph in Seville with the title al Ma'mun (r. 624 9/1227 32).

He signed a truce with Fernando III by paying the king of Castile Leon a huge sum (300,000 maravedis), as he needed time to ensure his acceptance in

The Almohads and the Hafsids

Marrakesh. The Masmuda shaykhs, displeased by the support he had among the Haskura and the Khult Arabs, named another candidate, Yahya al Mu'tasim billah (r. twice, 624/1227 and 633/1234-6). Almohad failure in resisting the Christians stimulated Andalusí attempts at independence. Ibn Hud aljudhami became the main focus of such attempts. A soldier in the Murcia army, he rebelled against the Almohads in 625/1228, condemning their heresy and ordering the purification of their mosques. He managed to defeat the governors of Murcia and Valencia the latter, the sayyid Abu Zayd, eventually converted to Christianity² while the people of Cordoba expelled the Almohads. Ibn Hud pledged obedience to the 'Abbasids and adopted the title Commander of the Muslims (amir al muslimin) previously used by the Almoravids. Unable to stop Andalusí resistance, al Ma'mun decided to travel to Marrakesh to depose Yahya al Mu'tasim. He crossed the Straits in October 625/1228 with the Almohad army and 500 Christian horsemen. His departure marked the end of effective Almohad rule. Cordoba and Valencia were lost to the Muslims in 633/1236 and 635/1238, Jaen and Seville in 646/1248. Only the Nasrid kingdom of Granada survived.

In the Maghrib, now depopulated by famine, plague and war, the Almohad tribes retreated to the area of Marrakesh and the Atlas mountains. Al Ma'mun defeated his rival, massacred the Almohad shaykhs and renounced Almohad doctrine. Under al Mansur, there had already been signs of repudiation of Ibn Tumart's teachings and his infallibility, as shown for example by a text by Averroes, where the philosopher holds such teachings to be valid for Ibn

Tumart's age, not for present times. 2,9 The dismissal of what was in fact the doctrinal basis of the empire a dismissal that was an attempt to deprive the Almohad shaykhs of the power still held by them, and perhaps also the result of the pressure of Islamic universalism fatally undermined the Almohad caliphate. 30

Allied to the Arab Khult and the Haskura, al Ma'mun fought the Hintata and the people of Tinmal. In al Andalus, Seville acknowledged Ibn Hud, who lost Badajoz and Merida to the Leonese. Majorca was conquered by the Aragonese in 628/1231 and Menorca acknowledged their authority, paying tribute to them. In Ifriqiya, in 627/1229^ the Almohad shaykh Abu Zakariyya' proclaimed himself independent, marking the beginning of the Hafsids caliphate. Al Ma'mun died trying to recover Marrakesh from his rival Yahya al Mu'tasim. Al Ma'mun's son and successor, al Rashid (r. 629 40/1232 42), managed to conquer the capital with an army in which there were no Almohad troops, his main support being Christian mercenaries and the Khult Arabs. The Haskura supported Yahya al Mu'tasim, but were defeated,

79

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

taking refuge in the area of Sijilmasa. The surviving Almohad shaykhs then approached al Rashid through the mediation of the Christian mercenary leaders. Their return to Marrakesh was accompanied by the restoration of the Almohad doctrine. The Arab Khult, whose leader Mas'ud ibn Humaydan was treacherously killed, then allied themselves with the Haskura, raided the

area around Marrakesh and besieged the town. Al Rashid managed to escape and took refuge with his followers in Sijilmasa, from where he made an alliance with the Arab Sufyan.

In the meantime, Marrakesh was occupied by Yahya al Mu'tasim and his Khult allies, but he had neither the power nor the resources to act as a real caliph. The few Almohads who had joined him in Marrakesh defected. Al Rashid then moved against him with an army formed by Christian mercenaries and the Arab Sufyan, and having defeated his rival in 633/1235[^] started with great difficulty to reorganise Almohad administration and to collect taxes as far as the Ghumara region. Part of the surviving Khult were deported to the Sus, and their former ally, the Haskuri chief Ibn Waqarit, acknowledged Ibn Hud and in 634/1236 attacked Rabat and Sale. But Ibn Hud was losing ground in al Andalus, and in 635/1238 Seville pledged obedience to al Rashid, as did Ceuta and Granada. Al Rashid tried hard to appease the Banu Marin, by then active in the Gharb, but a fight erupted, the Almohads were eventually defeated and the Marinids took control of northern Morocco.

Almohad military weakness made unthinkable any intervention in the Iberian Peninsula, where Fernando III's advance reduced Muslim territory to Granada and the surrounding regions. Under al Sa'id (r. 640 6/1242 8), who sought support again among the Arab Khult and the Christian mercenaries, Almohad disintegration increased, with Yaghmurasan ibn Zayyan becoming independent in Tlemcen and with the expansion of the Marinids' area of influence. In 645/1248, al Sa'id attempted to regain control of the Maghrib and Ifriqiya, but was defeated by the ruler of Tlemcen. Under his successor al Murtada (r. 646 65/1248 66), the Marinids took control of towns of northern Morocco such as Taza and Fez. Ceuta became independent under Abu 'l Qasim al Azafi in 647/1250. Sale, taken by the Marinids, was attacked in 659/1260 by the navy of Alfonso X. 31 Marrakesh itself was attacked by the

Marinids in 660/1262. The diplomatic exchange with the papacy started under al Sa'id continued in al Murtada's times, in relation with the nomination of a Franciscan friar 32 as bishop in 1246 to cater for the needs of the Christian mercenaries in Marrakesh (a church had been built there under al Ma'mun). Innocent IV invited the caliph to convert to Christianity and to give possession of fortresses to his Christian soldiers, but his advice was disregarded. As a

80

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

result, recruitment of Christian mercenaries became more difficult and this may have influenced al Murtada's poor military performance. 33 The Marinids inflicted many defeats on him and the caliph seems to have abandoned any attempt at new military campaigns, concentrating instead on building activities. The rebellion of his relative Abu Dabbus led to al Murtada's execution. Abu Dabbus ruled less than three years. Defeated by the Marinids, his head hung in one of the gates of Fez. The Marinid amir Abu Yusuf Ya'qub entered Marrakesh in September 668/1269 and took the title of Commander of the Muslims (amir al muslimin). Abu Dabbus' sons, one of whom was proclaimed caliph in Tinmal, eventually emigrated to the Iberian Peninsula and put themselves under the protection of the king of Aragon. The Almohad shaykhs who resisted in Tinmal were decapitated in 674/1275.

The Almohad empire had lasted some 140 years. Control of both al Andalus and Ifriqiya proved in the end too difficult to manage. On the one hand, both regions provided the Mu'minid caliphs with troops (Arabs from Ifriqiya and Christian mercenaries from the Iberian peninsula) that allowed them not to depend exclusively on the original Almohad tribal units. On the other hand, they demanded constant intervention. In Ifriqiya, the ghuzz threat and Arab raids became even more dangerous with the Banu Ghaniya's activities in the area. Their attempt to restore Almoravid rule failed, but they inflicted great damage on the Almohads by stirring up the nomadic Arabs, feeding their passion for loot, and extending the Arab sphere of action in the Maghrib. Independent rule of Ifriqiya under the Hafsids was the eventual solution to Almohad inability to exert permanent control. 34 In al Andalus, Almohad military might, which depended heavily on the caliph's presence and massive armies moving slowly and always short of provisions, proved in the long run no match for the damage caused by the local militias of Christian towns. 35 Opposition on the part of sectors of the Andalus! population to both the rule and doctrine of the Almohads contributed to weakening the foundations of the empire. The succession of minors, unable to keep up the essential 'active and beneficial presence' expected from the Almohad caliphs, 36 allowed the rise of the Almohad shaykhs and viziers with their rivalries and ambitions and led to civil wars.

Politics and religion under the Almohads

The Almohad historian Ibn Sahib al Salat (d. after 600/1203) gave to his official chronicle the title al Mann hi I imama 'ala 'I mustad'afin hi an ja'alahum Allah a'imma waja^alahum alwarithm, '[Divine] favour of the imamate granted to those considered weak on earth, and made by God imams and heirs'. The title

The New Cambridge History of Islam

is grounded in Qur'an 28:5, and it implies a reversal of the existing order. The verse had previously been used by revolutionary movements of Shi'i inspiration, such as those of Muhammad al Nafs al Zakiyya and the Isma'ilis of Bahrayn. 37 In its origins, the Almohad movement was closer to Shi'ism than to Sunnism, as it started with a charismatic figure, Ibn Tumart, consistently referred to as the 'well known rightly guided one and impeccable imam' (al mahdi al ma'lutn al imam al ma'sum) and the 'inheritor of the station of prophecy and infallibility' (warith maqam al nubuwwa wa'l c ipna). 3 His charisma served the legitimisation of Berber rule and the creation of new elites.

Seeking legitimacy: between Shi'ism and Sunnism

Ibn Tumart was a Masmuda Berber, but eventually he adopted or was given an Arab genealogy that linked him with the Prophet as a descendant of his grandson al Hasan (the ancestor of the oldest Maghribi dynasty, the Idrisids). He was succeeded by another Berber, the Zanata Abd al Mu'min, whose right to rule as Commander of the Believers (amir al mu'minin) was established in al Baydhaq's Memoirs by accounts of miraculous signs since his childhood and by the Mahdi's predilection for him. Ibn Khaldun (d. 808/1406) had difficulties fitting the case of 'Abd al Mu'min into his model of dynasty formation, in which a noble lineage makes tribal solidarity (^asabiyya) coalesce around it, because he was a Zanata and not a member of the Mahdi's tribe. Abd al Mu'min's adoption of an Arab Qaysi genealogy was meant to solve this

problem. 39 It was a genealogy with a long tradition within his tribe, the Zanata, and it had many advantages. Qays includes Quraysh, the Prophet's tribe, and 'Abd al Mu'min was moreover said to descend directly from the Prophet on his mother's side. Qays also includes Abs, the tribe of the Arab Prophet Khalid ibn Sinan: given that the Qaysis had been a lineage chosen for prophecy, they were even more entitled to the caliphate (*fa hum ahl bayt li I nubuwwa fa ahra an yakunu ahl bayt li'l khildfa*). The Qaysi genealogy also includes Hilal and Sulaym, the Arab tribes that 'Abd al Mu'min had to fight in his expansion towards the central Maghrib and Ifriqiya, and that were even tually incorporated into the Almohad army as a way both to control them and to liberate the Mu'minids from dependency on the original Almohad troops. The conquest of al Andalus opened new venues for legitimisation and reinforced the tendency towards Sunnism. It linked the Mu'minids with a prestigious local caliphate, that of the Umayyads, to which the Zanata had been closely connected in the past. The transfer from Cordoba to Marrakesh of the Qur'an alleged to have belonged to 'Uthman was one of the ways in which such a link was established, 40 and Ibn Tumart's alleged transmission of

The Almohads and the Hafsids

Malik's Muwatta' was a way to establish a connection with Sunni al Andalus where Malikism had become the 'official' doctrine in Umayyad times and Ifriqiya. But the Mu'minids, in their eclecticism, did not disdain to establish links with the other local caliphate, that of the Fatimids, as shown by the importance given to their conquest of Mahdiyya, their predilection for

Mahdiyya like foundations as in the case of Rabat and the possession attributed to Ibn Tumart of a Kitab aljafr reminiscent of Ja'far al Sadiq's. The assimilation between God's order (amr Allah) and Almohad /Mu'minid rule 41 also points to Fatimid like models. For all the ways (still to be fully analysed) in which they attempted to strengthen their political and religious legitimacy, the Mu'minids' Berber origins were never forgotten or forgiven. One of the accusations made against Averroes was that, while commenting on Aristotle's Book of animals, he mentioned that he had seen a giraffe at the court of 'the king of the Berbers'. 42 Whether true or not, the anecdote is plausible: Andalus acceptance of Mu'minid legitimacy was of paramount importance for the dynasty, but it was never fully granted.

The elites of the empire

The original structure of the Almohad movement developed under Ibn Tumart underwent changes under c Abd al Mu'min. The first caliph established a three layered structure: at the top, there were the earliest adherents of the movement (those who had joined before the battle of Marrakesh in 524/1129); then followed those who had joined between 524/1129 and 539/1144^ the date of the conquest of Oran; then the rest of those who had joined the Almohad cause (tawhid). 43 With this hierarchy, c Abd al Mu'min preserved the respect due to the survivors of the Councils of Ten and Fifty, and to their descendants, the Almohad shaykhs, to whom he gave employment in the administration of the state as governors or in the entourage of those governors who belonged to the caliphal family. The main role of the Almohad shaykhs was to control their tribes and provide soldiers for the military campaigns. Although their advice was sought, the caliph's decisions did not necessarily follow it. The Masmuda and the Almohad shaykhs came increasingly to feel that Abd al Mu'min's fight was no longer theirs, but the defeat of Ibn Tumart's brothers and his followers also indicated to them that the preserva

tion of what they had gained and the rewards to come now depended on being on the caliph's side.

c Abd al Mu'min and his successors were interested in keeping open the possibility of new recruitment, as they did with Ibn Mardamsh's family. It took 'Abd al Mu'min twenty seven years before he dared to suggest that he would

83

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

he succeeded by one of his sons and before giving preference to his many sons in the administration of the empire. But he had taken earlier steps towards this move, not limited to purging the more disaffected members of the original Almohad tribes, or recruiting new troops among his own tribesmen and the Arabs. He also promoted the training of servants loyal to the dynasty, the talaba and the huffaz, 44 starting an ambitious educational programme. He gathered promising young men from different parts of the empire, together with his own sons and those of the Almohad shaykhs, and gave them both religious and military training (including swimming, perhaps owing to the importance of the Almohad fleet). 45 An indispensable part of the religious training was memorisation of Ibn Tumart's creeds and study of the other tracts compiled in his Kitab. The caliph closely followed their progress, and once trained they served as preachers, muezzins and directors of prayer in the mosques. Some of them the talabat al hadar formed part of the entourage of the caliph and held sessions of intellectual debate with him. Some joined the Mu'minid governors and other Almohad officials. Many of these talaba were

Berbers and Berber was used both as a language of instruction and a religious language. Berber formulas for the call to prayer have been preserved and Ibn Tumart's creeds were taught in Berber. Ideally, the talaba should have been able to engage in independent religious and legal reasoning, as servile imitation of late precedents (taqlid) was censored. Under both Abu Ya'cub and al-Mansur, much thought was devoted to the issue of how to establish proper legal doctrine and practice, the main trend being close to Zahirism in the sense of reliance on the original sources of Revelation. To this end Averroes devoted his legal work *Bidayat al mujtahid wa nihayat al muqtasid* ('The beginning for him who is striving towards a personal judgement and the end for him who contents himself with received knowledge'), which helped to direct Almohadism towards reformed Malikism. 46 In connection with the need to train their elites, but also out of concern for the spread of knowledge among the population at large, the Almohad caliphs promoted the production of encyclopedic works collecting everything that was known at the time about a particular subject, as well as didactic works often in versified form, and this in practically all disciplines. 47

Linked to the talaba (if not part of them) were those who engaged in the study of the rational sciences, to which an impressive impulse was given under the second and third Almohad caliphs as shown by the careers of Ibn Tufayl (d. 581 / 1185) and Averroes for reasons still to be fully explored. 48 The latter's disgrace has usually been interpreted as the result of the struggle between Almohads and Malikis, but it could be better understood as the result of

The Almohads and the Hafsids

internal fights among the Almohad elites themselves, more specifically between those who wanted to preserve the original doctrinal orientation and those more philosophically oriented. Sufism, like philosophy, flourished under the Almohads, but was also subject to suspicion, especially in the Andalusí context, with all the major figures emigrating to other lands, as in the cases of Abu Madyan, Ibn 'Arabi or Ibn Sab'in. A doctrinal and political movement that had originated with an impeccable imam (and which used the term *baraka* to refer to the salary paid to the army) could not but be apprehensive regarding what similar charismatic figures might achieve, while at the same time sainthood, if controlled, increased the dynasty's legitimacy. 49

The *talaba* were not the only specialised bodies in the administration of the Almohad state. There were, for example, also those responsible for the minting of coins with very specific features: square dirhams, dinars with a square inscribed within a circle, with no specification of dates or (usually) of mints. 50 The training of these and other 'civil servants' of the state helps to explain the high degree of centralisation achieved in the Almohad empire, a centralisation that made possible, for example, the successful movement of the massive Almohad armies, an efficient postal service supporting a sophisticated propaganda system (the caliphs wrote letters that reached almost every corner of their empire), and, most importantly, the collection of taxes. Coins were a fiscal instrument: minted as a monopoly by the state, they represented the extent of its power. Whereas in Almoravid times there had been a massive minting of gold, in Almohad times silver predominated. It is not clear what determined this quasi mono metalism of silver, but perhaps difficulties in controlling the African gold trade. 'Abd al Mu'min had tried to

persuade the inhabitants of Constantine to join the Almohads by stressing the difference between the many illegal taxes imposed by the Almoravids and the strict fiscal policy of the Almohads. On the other hand, he seems to have considered as conquered territory all the land of the empire (except for the original nucleus and al Andalus), and thus this was subject to kharaj. The Almohad state later developed a centralised system of territorial concessions that, together with the salaries paid from the fiscal revenues, were bestowed to reward services. 51

The writing of Almohad history: the case of the suppression of Judaism and Christianity

In direct relationship to their ambitious political and religious project, the Almohads promoted the official writing of history, as shown in the works by

85

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

al Baydhaq, Ibn Sahib al Salat and Ibn al Qattan, and also in the official letters, many of which have been preserved constituting a valuable source still to be properly exploited. 'Abd al Wahid al Marrakushi wrote his chronicle in Egypt at a time when the Almohad caliphate was disintegrating, and his treatment, as those by Ibn 'Idhari, Ibn Khaldun, 52, Ibn Abi Zar' or Ibn al Athir, reveals to a significant degree what Emile Fricaud has called the process of 'de almohadisation' by which many specificities of Almohadism were silenced

or omitted. 53 As a revolutionary movement, the Almohads initially followed policies that were later considered unacceptable or deviant. Ibn Tumart, for example, had declared that all the inhabitants of the territories conquered by the Almohads were the slaves of the members of the Council of Ten, some thing that was later remembered with much embarrassment. 54 But even more striking was the suppression of the dhimma status of Jews and Christians. After the conquest of Marrakesh in the year 541/1147, 'Abd al Mu'min told the Jews and Christians who lived in the territory under his rule that their ancestors had denied the mission of the Prophet, but that now they (i.e. the Almohads) would no longer allow them to continue in their infidelity. As the Almohads had no need of the tax (jizya) they paid, dhimmis had now to choose between conversion, leaving the land or being killed. Christians left for the north of the Iberian Peninsula and few of them converted. Jews decided to stay in order to keep their properties and many converted to Islam. Synagogues were demolished, Hebrew books burnt, and observance of the sabbath and other Jewish festivals forbidden, although Jews continued their practices in secrecy. Al Mansur, well aware that many Jews were Muslims only in name, forced them to wear distinctive clothes to differentiate themselves from the 'old' Muslims. 55 No extant source provides a satisfactory explanation of this seemingly unprecedented step, which is probably to be understood within the context of the Prophetic model applied to Ibn Tumart. The presence of non-Muslims was explicitly forbidden by the Prophet in the Hijaz. Was the territory under Almohad rule considered a new Hijaz in which other religions were forbidden? The fact that the abolition of the dhimma status was attributed not to Ibn Tumart but to the caliph Abd al Mu'min could be explained by the fact that Ibn Tumart's activities were restricted to territories where there were no dhimmis. It was after the conquest of Moroccan cities such as Fez and

Marrakesh that 'Abd al Mu'min had to deal with Jews, and after the conquest of Tunisian towns such as Mahdiyya that he had to deal with Christians (who were mostly Normans, as North African Christianity had almost disappeared by then). The first Almohad caliph may have decided to act as 'Umar ibn al Khattab did, carrying out the Prophet's decision to expel non Muslims. 56

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

The Hafsids (627-932/1229-1526)

Introduction

After its temporary unification under the Almohads, the Islamic west became again divided between the Marinids of Fez, the 'Abd al Wadids of Tlemcen, the Hafsids of Tunis and the Nasrids of Granada. The Hafsids openly claimed the legacy of the Almohad caliphate, and thus Ibn Khaldun referred to them as 'al muwahhidun . 57 The Hafsids were descendants of the Hintati Berber Abu 'Umar Hafs Inti, one of the close Companions of Ibn Tumart, but they also claimed to have as their ancestor the second caliph 'Umar ibn al Khattab. Some of the Hafsids took the caliphal title, sometimes obtaining the acknowledgement of other rulers, especially those of Tlemcen.

Sources on the Hafsids are not abundant. While seventh/ thirteenth century chronicles are not preserved, for later periods we can count on the works by Ibn Qunfudh, Ibn al Shamma', Ibn Khaldun and Leo Africanus, as well as the Ta'rikh al dawlatayn attributed to al Zarkashi and the travels (rihlas) by al Tijanl, al Abdari and Ibn Battuta. There are also a few biographical diction

aries, such as al Ghubrim's 'Unwan al diraya dealing with Bougie and the one devoted to Qayrawan by al Dabbagh and Ibn Naji. Al Burzuli's Nawazil and Ibn 'Arafa's legal and doctrinal works are rich sources for society and culture, while the archival documents preserved in Aragon, Sicily and Italian towns (Pisa, Genoa, Venice, Florence) offer valuable materials for economic history, reflecting the importance of Hafsid territory for the commercial linking between Europe, North Africa and the Levant.

The rule of the Hafsids lasted for more than three centuries, a duration that has been explained by their ability at keeping a healthy financial situation in their reign, as their army and navy were never very effective, especially after the crisis of the end of the seventh/thirteenth to the beginning of the eighth/fourteenth centuries. 58

The Hafsids reigned over a territory that comprised Ifriqiya corresponding to present day Tunisia Tripolitania (in Libya) and the western region of Constantine/ Bougie (in Algeria). 59 These two regions tended towards autonomy, and in the case of Bougie this tendency recalls the breakdown between the Hammadids and the Zirids. ° Reunification was usually achieved not by the ruler in Tunis but by the amirs ruling in the western region. In their efforts to stop territorial fragmentation, the rulers of Tunis often sought the alliance of the Abd al Wadids of Tlemcen against Bougie. The Marinids in their expansionist policy managed to conquer Tunis for two short periods

(748 50/1348 50 and 753 9/1352 8), but the population remained loyal to the former rulers, even if they were willing to shift their fidelity from one Hafsid to another. Andalusis' attempts to secure Hafsid help against the Christian advance even acknowledging Hafsid sovereignty did not succeed.

Tribes were important in the political history of North Africa because of the support they gave to those in power. On their part, rulers never succeeded

in establishing their dominance over the tribes, which then ended up being a

factor of instability that aggravated regional conflicts and loss of authority on

the part of the state. The Hafsids, while always trying to exert control over the

Arab and Berber tribes especially to the east and the south, J made territorial

concessions to them by the end of the seventh/thirteenth century.

Between

total submission never achieved and total secession, the Hafsids found middle terms, such as acceptance of mere declarations of obedience or momentary submission accompanied by irregular collection of tribute.

Many

other times, by leaving in their place those local chiefs who had assumed power, a more long term obedience was in effect. Sometimes the Hafsids themselves managed to appoint those chiefs, but they had to elect them among local families of notables. The Hafsids seldom managed to impose their own men never in the case of nomadic tribes, with the ever present danger of autonomous rule or open dissidence. The tribes profited from 'Abd

al Wadid or Marinid intervention to show open opposition to the Hafsids by

acknowledging foreign rule. On his part, the Hafsid ruler could always play

with the dissensions between the tribes or among branches of the same tribe.

In the towns, the councils of the notables tended to fall under the influence of

one single family in which power passed from father to son: the Banu Muzrii in

Biskra, the Banu Yamlul in Tozeur, the Banu Khalaf in Nefta.

The establishment of Hafsid rule (603 J5/120J 77)

When the Almohad caliph al Nasir took the town of Mahdiyya from the Banu

Ghaniya in January 602/1206, he left as his deputy in Ifriqiya the Almohad shaykh Abu Muhammad 'Abd al Wahid ibn Abi Hafs al Hintati (r. 603 18/1207 21), the son of Ibn Tumart's Companion Abu Hafs 'Umar Intl. Abu Muhammad 'Abd al Wahid accepted the position on the condition that he enjoy a high degree of autonomy, which he put to use to halt Yahya ibn Ghaniya and his Arab allies, thus bringing ten years of peace to the area. There was a failed attempt to pass his post to his descendants, and a Mu'minid sayyid (a member of the Almohad caliphal dynasty) was sent from Marrakesh as the new governor. But in 623/1226, the Almohad caliph al 'Adil appointed another

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

Hafsid, Abu Muhammad Abd Allah ibn c Abd al Wahid, soon replaced by his brother Abu Zakariyya 1 Yahya.

Abu Zakariyya 1 Yahya (r. 625 47/1228 49) obtained the submission of Arab (Banu Sulaym, Banu Riyah/Dawawida) and Berber tribes and annexed the old Hammadid state (Constantine and Bougie) in 628/1230. The Almohad caliph al Ma'mun and his successors were unable to react against his bid for independence. In fact, Abu Zakariyya 1 Yahya profited from al Ma'mun's abandonment of the Almohad doctrine and from his attacks against the Almohad shaykhs mostly belonging to Hintata, the Hafsids' tribe and in the name of defending the purity of Almohad tradition ('restorer of the Mahdi's doctrine', as Ibn al Abbar described him), 3 omitted the name of the Mu'minid caliph in the Friday prayer in 627/1229. In 634/1236^ after Cordoba was conquered by the Christians, Abu Zakariyya 1 Yahya had his name mentioned in the Friday

sermon, although he never took the caliphal title. In 640 / 1242, Abu Zakariyya 1 Yahya obtained the submission of the Abd al Wadids of Tlemcen and reinforced his area of influence in the central Maghrib by establishing a number of small vassal states. His rule was even acknowledged in al Andalus and by the Marinids. Treaties were signed with Genoa, Pisa and Venice, 64 as well as with Provence and Aragon. 65 From 636/1239, tribute was paid to Frederic II to back maritime trade and Sicilian wheat was sold directly to Tunis. Abu Zakariyya 1 Yahya maintained the Almohad elites in his civil and military administration, while at the same time welcoming the Andalusí refugees. In Tunis he built an open air oratory and a college (madrasa).

In 650/1253, his son Abu Abd Allah Muhammad (r. 647 75/1249 77), some months after having a maqsiira (closed area reserved to the ruler) built in the mosque of Tunis, adopted the caliphal title of al Mustansir bi'llah. It was a propitious moment: the Mu'minid caliphate was in disarray, the Ayyubids had just disappeared (648/1250) and the 'Abbasids were weakened by Mongol advance. When the conquest of Baghdad took place in 656/1258, the Hijaz and Egypt acknowledged for a brief period the Hafsid caliphate on the initiative of the Sufi Ibn Sab' c m. The 'Abd al Wadids and Marinids also acknowledged Hafsid rule. Internal dissent, including the rebellion of some members of his family often with Arab support, was suffocated. Control over the central Maghrib a permanent headache for the Hafsid rulers in Tunis was eventually reasserted, while Arab tribes were set against other Arab tribes and sometimes displaced to facilitate their control. Following the Mu'minid caliphal tradition, al Mustansir built magnificent gardens around Tunis. Diplomatic activity with Christian states was intense (even a Norwegian ambassador arrived in Tunis in the summer of 1262), as well as with the

The New Cambridge History of Islam

African kingdom of Kanem and Bornu. Political developments in Sicily, with the fight between the last of the Hohenstaufen and the Anjou, saw the Hafsid on the former's side. The Crusader army that turned towards Ifriqiya probably under pressure from the preaching orders of Franciscans and Dominicans left shortly after St Louis' death in 1270 when a treaty was signed by which the Hafsid caliph preserved the integrity of his state in exchange for paying money to the Crusaders. In 658/1260, al Mustansir ordered the execution of his chancery chief, the Andalusí man of letters Ibn al Abbar, a reflection of tensions within the Hafsid elites.

Internal fission and Mannid expansionism (672/1277–1370)

Al Mustansir's death was followed by internal upheavals that lasted more than forty years (675–718/1277–1318). His son al Wathiq (r. 675–8/1277–9), ruling under the influence of the Andalusí Ibn al Habbabar, eventually abdicated in favour of his uncle Abu Ishaq Ibrahim. After leading a revolt of Dawawida Arabs in 651/1253, this Abu Ishaq had sought refuge first at the Nasrid court and then with the Abd al Wadid ruler of Tlemcen, with whom a marriage alliance was established later on. Abu Ishaq Ibrahim's rise to power was helped by the revolt of the people of Bougie provoked in 677/1279 by Ibn al Habbabar's hostile policies against the Almohad shaykhs. He also received military aid from Peter III of Aragon, who was in need of Hafsid allegiance in his struggle with Charles of Anjou.

Once in power, Abu Ishaq Ibrahim (r. 678–82/1279–83) who never took the caliphal title, calling himself 'the most sublime amir' (al amir al ajalt) and

'the Combatant on God's path' (al mujahid fi sabil Allah) executed al Wathiq and his supporters. His son Abu Faris was appointed governor of Bougie, having as his chamberlain the grandfather of the famous historian Ibn Khaldun. Peter III of Aragon intervened again in Hafsid policies when he unsuccessfully supported the rebellion of Ibn al Wazir, governor of Constantine, by landing at Collo. Two months later, the Sicilian Vespers (30 March 1282) made the king of Aragon sail towards Sicily to take advantage of the Anjous' predicament.

Members of the influential family of the Banu Muzni of Biskra were appointed as governors in the Zab and the Djerid. A man from Msila called Ibn Abi 'Umara proclaimed himself Mahdi among the Arab Banu Ma'qil and was later acknowledged as one of the sons of the Hafsid caliph al Wathiq by the Arab Dabbab of Tripolitania. In 681/1282, with the support of Berber and Arab tribes of southern Tunisia, Ibn Abi c Umara took control of Tunis and was proclaimed caliph. Abu Ishaq fled to Bougie where his son Abu Faris obliged

90

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

him to abdicate in his favour and adopted the caliphal title al Mu'tamid 'ala Allah (end of 681/ spring 1283). Abu Faris who got the support of the Arab Riyah and Safwikish was eventually overthrown and put to death by Ibn Abi 'Umara (r. 681 3/1283 4). On his part, Abu Ishaq was captured and his severed head exhibited in Tunis. Ibn Abi 'Umara eventually alienated the Arabs and the Hafsid faction that had supported him, being dethroned by Abu Hafs 'Umar, a brother of al Mustansir and Abu Ishaq.

The new caliph Abu Hafs 'Umar (r. 683 94/1284 95) tried to gather as much support as he could and did not persecute those who had served Ibn

Abi 'Umara. He manifested great respect for living saints and financed many religious buildings. Command of the army was given to the Almohad Abu Zayd 'Isa al Fazazi. The main threat came from Aragon Sicily. The admiral Roger de Lauria seized Djerba (683/1284) and later plundered the coasts of Ifriqiya, while the Aragonese acquired by the treaty of 684/1285 the 'tribute' formerly paid by the Hafsids to the Anjou of Sicily. The new king of Aragon Alfonso III, allied with the Marmids, supported the rights of the Almohad prince Ibn Abi Dabbus who had taken refuge in Aragon in 668/ 1269 to the Hafsids throne, but this attempt failed.

In 684/1285, Abu Zakariyya', a nephew of Abu Hafs, availing himself of the

help of Arab and Berber tribes, took control of the western region (Bougie

and Constantine). The next year he marched against Tunis, but was defeated by al Fazazi, who repelled him towards the south. Abu Zakariyya' then seized Gabes and advanced towards Tripolitania. In the meantime, Abu Hafs obtained the help of the 'Abd al Wadid sultan of Tlemcen, who still acknowledged his suzerainty and attacked Bougie, thus

forcing Abu Zakariyya' to retreat in order to defend his capital. In the Djerid, at Tozeur and at Gabes the local population chose their own governors, but paid formal alliance and taxes to the ruler in Tunis. While the Arabs of the south and of Tripolitania showed hostility, the central and

eastern Arabs kept their allegiance and obtained grants of land and of revenues. 69 On his part, Abu Zakariyya' annexed the Zab and in 693/ 1294 gave its governor the control of all southern Constantine. He also obtained the allegiance of the lord of Gabes. The Mamluk sultan al Nasir Muhammad (r. 698 708/1299 1309) would extend his support to Abu Zakariyya' Yahya in Tripoli and Tunis in exchange for nominal Mamluk domination.

Abu 'Aslida (r. 694 708/1295 1309), a posthumous son of al Wathiq, inherited Abu Hafs 'Umar's rule restricted to Tunis. Abu 'Aslida appointed an Almohad shaykh and member of the Hafsids family, Ibn al Lihyani, as his

The New Cambridge History of Islam

chief minister. This Ibn al Lihyani who later became ruler himself unsuccessfully attempted to reconquer Djerba in 706/1306. Abu c Asida had to face disturbances from the Ku'ub Arabs in the Tell. Relations with Christendom included treaties signed with Venice and Aragon, the employment of Catalan and Aragonese militias whose commander was named by the king of Aragon, and the payment of the tribute due to Sicily complicated by the changes undergone in the island's suzerainty. As regards the independent kingdom of Bougie, it was threatened by the Marmids, who had obtained the submission of the Almohad masters of Algiers and continued their expansionist policies. Bougie, after suffering an attack from Tunis in 695/1296 and seeking support from the Abd al Wadids, was then attacked by the Marmids in 699/1300 while also having to face the hostility of the Arab Dawawida. Abu Zakariyya' Yahya was succeeded in 700/1301 by his son Abu 'l Baqa l Khalid, who tried to win for his side the support of the Marmids then besieging Tlemcen that his rival in Tunis was also seeking to obtain (while playing this game, Abu 'Asida eventually lost the 'Abd al Wadid recognition of Hafsids rule). Finally, in 707/1307[^], Abu 'l Baqa l Khalid and Abu 'Asida signed a treaty, according to which on the death of one of the two Hafsids rulers, the survivor will be acknowledged in both Tunis and Bougie, thereby reuniting the kingdom. Abu c Asida died first, and the Almohads of Tunis who were against acknowledging Bougie's ruler proclaimed as his heir a very young Hafsids prince whose reign was very brief (709/1309). Abu 'l Baqa l (r. 709 11/ 1309 11), however, soon managed to depose him and the two Hafsids branches were reunited.

The union was, however, shortlived. The Constantine region defected under Abu 'l Baqa's brother Abu Yahya Abu Bakr, who eventually made himself master of Bougie in 712/1312. In the meanwhile, Ibn al Lihyani (r. 711 17/1311 17) who had left Tunis to perform the pilgrimage and met the famous scholar Ibn Taymiyya during his stay in the East became after his return the ruler of Tunis with the support of tribes from the area of Tripoli. During his

brief reign, the Almohad army was submitted to a purge and the name of the Mahdi was suppressed in the prayer. On the other hand, Ibn al Lihyani assumed a caliphal title with Mahdist overtones, al Qa'im bi'amr Allah, and for some reason the Aragonese believed in his secret conversion to Christianity.

Abu Yahya Abu Bakr, the ruler of Bougie, moved against Tunis (715 16/1315 16) after having resisted two attacks of the c Abd al Wadids of Tlemcen (713/1313 and 715/1315) with Catalan naval help. 70 The Tunisians elected a son of Ibn al Lihyani, Abu Darba (r. 717 18/1317 18) as their ruler, but he was also unable to resist the attacks of Abu Yahya Abu Bakr.

92

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

Hafsid unity was thus restored under Abu Yahya Abu Bakr (r. 718 47/1318 46), who nevertheless had to face the growing autonomy of many areas and to react against several revolts taking place between 718/1318 and 732/1332. They were stirred up by several pretenders, among them Abu Darba and one of his brothers, as well as a son in law of Ibn al Lihyani (Ibn Abi 'Imran), who obtained the help of the Arabs and often of the 'Abd al Wadids.

Abu Yahya Abu Bakr managed to put an end to the expansionist policy of the sultan of Tlemcen by establishing a marriage alliance with the Marinids of Fez. Djerba was reconquered. Abu Yahya tried to regain control of the territory over which he nominally ruled by following a policy that had been effective in the early Almohad period, that of entrusting the administration of the provinces to his sons, advised by chamberlains of different

backgrounds. In Tunis, the Almohad shaykh and powerful chamberlain Ibn Tafragin favoured the alliance with the Marinids, who had annexed the [Abd al Wadid kingdom.

When Abu Yahya Abu Bakr died in 747/1346, two of his sons disputed his succession, and this offered an excuse for the conquest of Ifriqiya by the Marinid Abu '1 Hasan. During his brief reign (748 50/1348 50), he alienated the scholars of Tunis and more importantly the Arabs' support (Ku'ub and Hakim), by abolishing the revenues which the Bedouins had been collecting from the settled populations, either through government concession or according to customary use. The ensuing Arab revolt in which a descendant of [Abd al Mu'min, the first Almohad caliph, was offered the throne led to the military defeat of the Marinid sultan in 749/1348. Dissaffection was not limited to the east. The Marinid Abu 'Inan Faris (Abu '1 Hasan's son) took power in Morocco, while the 'Abd al Wadids recovered Tlemcen and the Hafsid ruled in Bone, Constantine and Bougie. In Shawwal 750/late December 1349, the Marinid Abu '1 Hasan escaped from Tunis by sea to find some months later his death in the High Atlas trying to reconquer his reign. The Hafsid al Fadl who was governor of Bone was proclaimed in Tunis. Ibn Tafragin availed himself of the help of the Ku'ub Arabs thanks to the friendship he had established in Mecca with their shaykh 'Umar ibn Hamza and soon (751/1350) replaced Abu '1 Fadl.

The very young Abu Ishaq (r. 750 70/1350 69) was in the hands of Ibn Tafragin for fourteen years. Tunis had little control of most of the territory nominally under Hafsid rule. The Banu Makki of Gabes and Djerba refused to acknowledge the new ruler, seeking help from dissident tribes, while in the west the Constantine region maintained its autonomy while making several attempts at conquering Tunis (752/1351, 753/1352 and 754/1352). Tripoli was

The New Cambridge History of Islam

briefly occupied by Genoa (756/1355) and then handed to the Banu Makki.

Hafsid political fragmentation helped again the expansionist policy of the Marinid of Fez, Abu 'Inan Faris, who took Tlemcen, Algiers and Medea, counting on the support of the Banu Muzni of the Zab and the Banu Makki

of Gabes. Bougie was conquered in 753/1352, leading to the second Marinid

occupation of Ifriqiya (758 9/1357 8), with the capture of Constantine, Bone

and Tunis, and the submission of the Djerid and Gabes. Abu 'Inan Faris' and

the Marinids' dream of recreating the Almohad empire ended in 758/1358, as

they lost first Ifriqiya (the abolition of the revenues that the Arab Dawawida

collected from the settled population is again given as the reason that led to

the defeat of the Marinid army) and then Tlemcen.

Although Abu Ishaq and Ibn Tafragin took the reins in Tunis, the situation

continued to be one of fragmentation with Bougie, Constantine and Tunis governed by three different and independent Hafsids, and the whole of the

south, the south east and a part of the Sahel maintaining their independence.

When Ibn Tafragin died (766/1364), Abu Ishaq was able to rule in person, with

growing dependence on the Ku'ub Arabs and no real gains in controlling the

territory. On the other hand, the Hafsid of Constantine, Abu '1 'Abbas, seized

Bougie from his cousin Abu Abd Allah and succeeded in uniting the whole of

the Constantine region (767/1366). The weakness of the next Hafsid ruler in

Tunis Abu '1 Baqa 1 Khalid (r. 770 2/1369 70), who was a minor, led to the

unification of Ifriqiya by the Hafsid ruler of Constantine and Bougie for the third time.

The century of Hafsid power (c. 893/13JO 1488) and its decline

Abu 'l 'Abbas (r. 772 96/1370 94) was the restorer of Hafsid power and pres-
tige, acting with firmness but without unnecessary violence. During ten years
(773 83/1371 81) he successfully strove often himself leading the military expeditions to recover control of the territory; he then concentrated on consolidating his hold over it. His endeavour was greatly helped by Abd alWadid infighting and by rivalry between 'Abd alWadids and Marinids. Piracy and privateering flourished, with Bougie described by Ibn Khaldun as one of its main centres. 71 Aragon under Peter IV (r. 1336 1387) seemed on the verge of waging war against Ifriqiya, but eventually it was a Franco Genoese expedition that attacked Mahdiyya (792/1390) and was repelled. The next year treaties were signed with Genoa and Venice.

During his long reign (r. 796 837/1394 1434) Abu Faris continued his father
Abu 'l 'Abbas' policies by strengthening Hafsid power in the interior and his

94

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

own authority against dissident members of his family. Soon, in fact, he replaced his sons and other relatives in the posts he had granted them at the beginning by appointing his freedmen instead, as happened in Constantine and Bougie (798/1396). He followed the same policy in Tripoli, Gafsa, Tozeur

and Biskra, where the local dynasties were uprooted after military campaigns conducted by the ruler himself between 800/1397 and 804/1402. Not that he was always successful: his army suffered defeat first in the Aures (800/1398) and then in the Saharan borders of Tripolitania (809/1406[^]). The absence of Abu Faris from his capital during this campaign favoured a conspiracy, involving some high officials and members of the royal family, that was severely repressed. Soon afterwards Abu Faris had to face another Hafsid pretender in the area of Constantine and the south east (810 11/1407 8). His success led to the conquest of Algiers (813/i4iof), prelude to the expansionist policies that he would start in 827/1424. The Abd al Wadids' weakness facilitated Hafsid indirect control over their territory (827 34/1424 31) that was extended even over Marinid Morocco. The Hafsid navy was active in the Straits of Gibraltar against the Portuguese, who had occupied Ceuta in 1415. Abu Faris also became involved in Nasrid internal policies, supporting Muhammad IX al Aysar in the recovery of his reign. The building of the palace of the Bardo in Tunis, first mentioned in 823/1420, illustrates how far Andalusí influence had penetrated into Hafsid lands.

The pacification of Hafsid territory by Abu Faris went together with a well meditated religious policy with social and economic implications. Respect was shown to [^]ulama 1, saints and sharifs, Sunnism in its Maliki variant was promoted, heresy was fought against (especially Kharijism in Djerba) and much care was put into the public celebration of the nativity (mawlid) of the Prophet. Public constructions (such as a hospital) and economic reforms (abolition of non Qur'anic taxes) were undertaken. Privateering (kursan) a main source of wealth was presented as jihad. Abu Faris took great care in fostering and protecting the pilgrimage to Mecca and his name was mentioned by the official preacher at c Arafat as one of the great Islamic rulers. Relations with other Islamic states (Marinids, Nasrids, Mamluks) resulted in embassies and

exchange of presents.

Abu Faris was responsible for the building of fortresses in the north eastern coast, rendering difficult surprise attacks on the part of the Christians.
72

Relations with Genoa and Venice were strained by acts of piracy on both sides. A number of treaties were signed with Pisa, that of 824/1421 when the town was already under Florence's rule. The treaty signed in 800/1397 followed previous agreements, but more emphasis was put on reprisals

95

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

against the Pisan consuls in case of attacks against Hafsid ports. In that same year, after the village of Torreblanca in Valencia was attacked by Muslim forces, a naval expedition granted the quality of Crusade was prepared in retaliation. It aimed at the port of Tedellis under [Abd al Wadid rule and not Bougie, another indication of Hafsid power, as the kingdom of Aragon seems to have had in mind reaching an agreement with Abu Faris rather than confronting him militarily. Confrontation took place in 1399 CE when the Crusaders carried out an attack against Bone, but its failure led in 1403 CE to the signing of a treaty. 73 The expansionist policies of the new king of Aragon, Alfonso V (r. 1416 58), led to campaigns against the Tunisian islands. The Hafsids reacted with an attack against Malta and by repelling the Aragonese attempt at occupying Djerba in 835/1434.

Abu Faris, whose wealth, prudent rule and renown were exalted in diplomatic correspondence, 74 died in 837/1434, while conducting personally he was seventy years old a campaign against Tlemcen. He was succeeded by two of his grandsons. Al Muntasir's reign was brief (837 9/1434 5) and was

spent fighting rebellious relatives and those Arabs who supported them. His brother 'Uthman's reign, on the contrary, lasted for fifty three years (839 93/1435 88). Continuing his grandfather's precedent, he was a great constructor, carrying out many hydraulic works, completing the madrasa al Mustansiriyya initiated by his predecessor and founding several zawiyas in both the capital and other localities. Relations with Aragon, Venice, Florence and Genoa continued, subject to the ups and downs of both official policies and pirate activities. The familiar pattern of rebellion of the sultan's relatives, tribal dissidence and defection of the towns repeated itself at the beginning of his reign. For seventeen years (839 56/1435 52) he had to fight among others his uncle Abu 'l Hasan 'All in the region of Constantine. c Uthman also under took military operations in the south (845 55/1441 51) and gave the provincial governments to his relatives accompanied by one of his freedmen often of Christian background with the title of qa'id. These qdHds who sometimes ended up as being the only representatives of the sultan proved to be loyal, although sometimes subject to suspicion, as was the case with Nabil, imprisoned in 857/1453 to check the power and wealth he had achieved. 'Uthman's initial success in pacifying the country was praised in an Italian document commenting on the uncommon degree of safety prevailing in Hafsid territory.

But this situation was not permanent. Outbreaks of plague in 847/1443, 857/1453 and 872/1468 caused many deaths, with the sultan escaping from the capital to avoid contagion. Tunis suffered famine during the winter of 862/1458. Tribal rebellions added to these difficulties. In 863/1459 the Silin in the

The Almohads and the Hafsids

Kabylia and in 867/1463 the Arabs who had caused unrest in the central region of Tunisia were defeated. The sultan tried to impose on the tribes leaders chosen by him, but he seems to have succeeded only momentarily. The need to ensure control of the territory forced 'Uthman to move constantly, making his presence visible, according to a pattern well established in North Africa. 75 He also led personally the army in the military campaigns to submit Tlemcen to obedience in 866/1462 and 871/1466, obtaining in 877/1472 the acknowledgement of his suzerainty on the part of the new lord of Fez, the founder of the Wattasid dynasty. To the usual relations with the Italian cities (Genoa, Florence, Venice) the novelty was added of a treaty signed in 1478 CE with the Hospitallers of Rhodes, who feared an Ottoman attack. In the Iberian Peninsula, Aragon and Castile were united under the Catholic kings, a union that would soon lead to a joint attack against the Nasrids. Their appeal to the Hafsid sultan for his support after the fall of Malaga in 1487 CE was again unsuccessful.

'Uthman's death in 893/1488 was followed by internecine fights among the Hafsids, three of whom succeeded each other after brief reigns. The consequences of the fall of Granada in 897/1492 and Ottoman expansionism started to be felt under Abu Abd Allah Muhammad (r. 899 932/1494 1526). The Spaniards extended to North Africa their policy of conquest to consolidate what they had recently acquired. Bougie and Tripoli fell into Spanish hands in 916/1510. The year 857/1453 had seen the conquest of Constantinople by the Ottomans and 'Uthman is known to have sent two ambassadors to convey his

felicitations. Abu c Abd Allah Muhammad's death in 932/1526 can be taken as the actual end of the dynasty, as from then onwards Hafsid rule was virtually nonexistent, with the Barbarossa brothers from their basis in Algiers and other ports initiating a new era that would end with the incorporation of most of former Hafsid territory into the Ottoman empire in 977/ 1569. 7&

Almohads, Malikis and saints under the Hafsids

The Hafsid Abu Zakariyya' (r. 625 47/1228 49) had taken power as 'the restorer of the Mahdi's doctrine', and during his reign the invocation of the Mahdi Ibn Tumart in the Friday sermon was maintained. It was in 711/1311, under Ibn al Lihyani, that the invocation was eliminated, although the khutba preserved part of its Almohad character. The Almohad legacy was especially visible in the coins minted by the Hafsids. 77 The Almohads who descended from those who had settled in Ifriqiya during the Mu'minid caliphate were the original foundation of Hafsid power. Until the eighth/fourteenth century, they constituted the core of the Hafsid army, a kind of military aristocracy

97

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

entitled to land concessions/ 8 They were complemented by the nomadic Arabs with a growing presence from the eighth/fourteenth century onwards 79 Berbers, Andalusis and Christians. ° The special position of the Almohads was reflected in Hafsid ceremonial. When the caliph Abu Zakariyya' held the public audience of justice each Saturday, his relatives were situated to his right, the Almohad shaykhs to his left, while the high

officials of the administration were in front of him. In an official reception that

took place in Tunis in 734/1334, the order of rank was as follows: in the first

place, the chief military commander, then the qadi, then the Almohad shaykh

Ibn Qunfudh and a doctor, then the secretary, followed by the rest of the military commanders. By the ninth/fifteenth century, the number of the Almohads already reduced after the genealogical inquiries ordered by Ibn

al Lihyam (r. 711 7/1311 17) greatly diminished. Mention is made of the shaykh of the Almohads under Abu Faris and 'Uthman, but after 866/1462

no further name is recorded in that capacity. 81 When the Hafsid lost their

power at the beginning of the tenth/ sixteenth century, no Almohad organisation was in place to keep or establish another state. In spite of all their efforts as shown by Hafsid official historiography, including Ibn Khaldun the Hafsid eventually failed to make Almohad doctrine the foundation of their legitimacy.

While the Almohads were still a main component of the state, the Hafsid rulers found much support in them and sought their intervention, but they

also tried to control them and to balance their power with other groups.

In the

first half of the seventh/thirteenth century, many Andalusis among them craftsmen and men of letters migrated to Hafsid Ifriqiya and they soon appeared as a powerful group in the capital alongside the Almohads. 2

The

Andalusis found employment especially as secretaries in the chancery and

stood out for their mastery of Islamic knowledge, excelling in calligraphy, grammar, rhetoric, poetry, history and music. All this conveyed a certain feeling of superiority on their part. The Almohads developed hostility against

the Andalusis and also against the manumitted slaves employed by the caliphs when they threatened their status by increasing their influence in the

Hafsid court. Under al Mustansir, who had attracted many Andalusis to his

court and showed them great favour, the Almohads attempted a coup in 648/

12.50 and in 658/1260 managed to have two Andalusis, the secretary Ibn al Abbar and the officer in charge of finances al Lulyani, executed. 3

Other

Andalusi favourites during the seventh/thirteenth century were Sa c id ibn

Abi '1 Husayn and Ibn al Habbabar. Only two Andalusis, however, were

appointed to the supreme magistrature (qadi 'ljama c a), whereas their

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

nomination as provincial judges found no opposition. 84 Slaves and manumitted slaves gained power and influence during the reign of Abu Faris (r. 796 837/

1394 1434)- 85

Malikism coexisted with official Almohadism during the seventh /thirteenth century and triumphed over it during the eighth/fourteenth century, especially thanks to the work and the influence of Ibn c Arafa (716 803/1316 1401). If Almohadism with its insistence on legal methodology and the principles under lying the law had put out of fashion the rich Almoravid tradition offatawa (legal opinions) compilations, the return to Malikism meant the return of jurisconsults (muftis) and their fatwas. The collection carried out by al Burzuli (d. 841/1438) exemplified this trend. 87 But the Hafsids maintained following the Almohad precedents the periodic meeting of the scholars of Tunis under their presi dency to impart justice, and the caliph had the last word in case of discrepancy among the jurists. A striking peculiarity was the respect due to custom (l ada, 'urfi, as well as to expert knowledge, required, for example, in legal issues dealing with construction and urbanism. 89

The Malik! Ibn 'Arafa was the man responsible for banishing Ibn Khaldun to Cairo, where he died in 808/1406. Ibn Khaldun's approach to history and his concern for searching for the causes of both human behaviour and societal

changes 90 owed much to the intellectual atmosphere developed under the Almohads with their interest in investigating the principles of each discipline.

Sufism had strong political and social implications. 91 The Sufi Ibn Sab'in had been instrumental in bringing about the recognition of the Hafsid caliph in the Hijaz and Egypt after the Mongol conquest of Baghdad in 656/1258. The Hafsids openly paid respect to saints, while fearing them, as saintly power and authority could be useful to the dynasty, but also dangerous, in both rural and urban settings. 91 Abu Hafs c Umar consulted the saint Abu Muhammad al Murjani to choose his heir to the throne. 'Uthman took the Tunisian miracle worker Sidi Ben Arus (d. 868/1463) under his protection. In Constantine the saint Abu Had! channelled local displeasure at Marinid occupation. 93 The famous al Shadhili (d. 656/1258), the alleged founder of one of the most important brotherhoods in the Islamic world the Shadhiliyya eventually abandoned Tunis for Egypt, and was accused of making Mahdist claims. His hagiography abounds in acts that parallel those of a sultan: he extended his protection to those who travelled with him, rewarded his followers with wealth, concluded marriages between his relatives and powerful people, and mentioned that he had his own army of Sufi novices. 94 The Arab Hakim revolted against Abu Faris led by their saintly shaykh Ahmad ibn Abi Sa'una who was eventually put to death in 833/1430, while al Hasan (r. 932 50/1526 43)

had to fight against SIdi 'Arafa (1540), the chief of the 'marabout' state founded at Qayrawan by the Shabbiyya tribe. 95

Notes

1. Ambrosio Huici Miranda, *Historia politico, del imperio almohade*, 2 vols., Tetouan, 1956 7; repr. Granada, 2000, is the basic monograph on which later studies including this one heavily rely. Useful syntheses are found in Jamil Abun Nasr, *A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period*, 2nd edn, Cambridge, 1987; EI2, art. 'al muwahhidun' (Maya Shatzmiller); Maria Jesus Viguera (coord.), *El retroceso territorial de alAndalus: Almordvides y Almohades, Sighs XI al XIII*, vol. VIII / 2 of *Historia de Espana* R. Menendez Pidal, Madrid, 1997.
2. Huici, *Historia politico*, vol. I, 23 108; Eh, s.v. Ibn Tumart (J. F. P. Hopkins); Ambrosio Huici Miranda, 'La historia y la leyenda en los origenes del imperio almohade', AA, 14 (1949), 339 76 (repr. in *Historia politico*, vol. II, 581 611).
3. Evariste Levi Provencal, *Documents inedits d'histoire almohade*, Paris, 1928; Arab, text, 50 133; French trans., 75 224.
4. Jean Pierre van Staevel and Abdallah Fili, 'Wo wasalnd 'aid barakat Allah ila Iglilz: A propos de la localisation d'Iglilz Des Harga, le hisn du Mahdl Ibn Tumart', AQ, 27 (2006), 153 94.
5. Wilferd Madelung, 'Some notes on non Isma'ili Shi'ism in the Maghrib', SI, 44 (1976), 87 97; Dominique Urvoy, 'La pensee d'Ibn Tumart', BEO, 27 (1974), 19 44.
6. Henri Laoust, 'Une fetwa d'Ibn Taimlya sur Ibn Tumart', Bulletin de l'Institut Francais d'Archeologie Orientale (Cairo) 59 (1960), 157 84.

7. Simon Levy, 'Problematique historique du processus d'arabisation au Maroc:
Pour une histoire linguistique du Maroc', in Jordi Aguade, Patrice Cressier and Angeles Vicente (eds.), *Peuplement et arabisation au Maghreb occidental: Dialectologie et histoire*, Madrid and Saragossa, 1998, 11 26.
8. Tres textos drabes sobre bereberes en el occidente islámico, ed. M. Yal'a, Madrid, 1996.
9. J. F. P. Hopkins, 'The Almohade hierarchy', *BSOAS*, 16 (1954), 91 112; M. Kisaichi, 'The Almohad social political system or hierarchy in the reign of Ibn Tumart', *Memoirs of the Research Department of the Tokyo Bunko*, 48 (1990), 81 101; Madeleine Fletcher, 'The anthropological context of Almohad history', *Hesperis Tamuda*, 26 7 (1988 9), 25 51.
10. Eh, art. 'Barghawata' and 'Hamim b. 'Abd Allah' (R. Le Tourneau).
- n. Tilman Nagel, 'La destruction de la ciencia de la sharia por Muhammad b. Tumart', *AQ*, 18 (1997), 295 304; Frank Griffel, 'Ibn Tumart's rational proof for God's existence and his unity, and his connection to the Nizamiyya madrasa in Baghdad', in P. Cressier, M. Fierro and L. Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades: Problemas y perspectivas*, Madrid, 2005, 753 813.
12. Maribel Fierro, 'Entre el Magreb y al Andalus: La autoridad politica y religiosa en epoca almoravide', in Flocel Sabate (ed.), *Balaguer, uoy. Cru'illa de civilitza cions*, Lleida, 2007, 99 120.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

13. Dominique Urvoy, 'Les professions de foi d'Ibn Tumart. Problemes textuels et doctrinaux', in Cressier, Fierro and Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades*, 739 52.

14. Maribel Fierro, 'Proto Malikis, Malikis and reformed Malikis', in P. Bearman, R. Peters and F. E. Vogel (eds.), *The Islamic School of Law: Evolution, devolution, and progress*, Cambridge, Mass., 2005, 57 76.
15. Evariste Levi Provencal, 'Ibn Toumert et Abd al Mu'min; le "fakih du Sus" et le "flambeau des Almohades"', in *Memorial Henri Basset II*, Paris, 1928, pp. 21 37; A. Merad, 'Abd al Mu'min et la conquete d'Afrique du Nord, 1130 1163', *Annales de l'Institut d'Etudes Orientales (Algiers)*, 15 (1957), no 63; R. Bourouiba, 'Ahd al Mu'min, flambeau des Almohades, Algiers, 1974; Huici, *Historia politica*, vol. I, 109 217.
16. Gaston Deverdun, *Marrakech des origines a 1912*, Rabat, 1959, 172 98.
17. See Chapter 2.
18. Roger Le Tourneau, 'Du mouvement almohade a la dynastie mu'minide: La revoke des freres d'Ibn Tumart de 1153 a 1156', in *Hommage a G. Marcais*, Paris, 1956, vol. II, in 16.
19. 'Martinez' has been proposed to explain this name, but for its correct etymology see now Maria Jesus Viguera, 'Sobre el nombre de Ibn Mardanls', *AQ*, 17 (1996), 231 8.
20. Ibn Sahib al Salat, *al Mann bi I imama*, ed. 'A. H. al Tazi, 3rd edn, Beirut, 1987, 77 8; trans. A. Huici Miranda, Valencia, 1969, 18 20.
21. David Porrinas Gonzalez, 'La actuacion de Giraldo Sempavor al mediar el siglo XII: Un estudio comparativo', in *II jornadas de historia medieval de Extremadura, ponencias y comunicaciones*, Merida, 2005, 179 88.
22. Pascal Buresi, *La frontiere entre Chretiente et Islam dans la Peninsule iberique: Du Tage a la Sierra Morena (fin Xle milieu XHle siecle)*, Paris, 2004.

23. Magdalena Valor and Miguel Angel Tabales, 'Urbanismo y arquitectura almorávide en Sevilla. Caracteres y especificidad', in Cressier, Fierro and Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades*, 189–222.
24. Alfred Bel, *Les Banou Ghunya, derniers représentants de l'empire almoravide et leur lutte contre l'empire almohade*, Paris, 1903; corrections in Huici, *Historia política*, vol. II, 323–7, 408–11.
25. Francisco García Fitz, *Las Navas, 1212: En perspectiva*, Barcelona, 2005.
26. Huici, *Historia política*, vol. II, 437–579; Mina Karmi Blomme, *La chute de l'empire almohade: Analyse doctrinale, politique et économique*, Lille, 1998.
27. See Chapter 4.
28. Robert I. Burns, 'Principe almohade y converso mudejar: Nueva documentación sobre Abu Zayd', *Sharq alAndalus*, 4 (1987), 109–23.
29. Marc Geoffroy, 'A propos de l'almohadisme d'Averroès: l'anthropomorphisme (taghlim) dans la seconde version du *Kitab al Kasf 'an mandhig al adilla*', in Cressier, Fierro and Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades*, 853–94.
30. A. Laroui, *L'histoire du Maghreb: Un essai de synthèse*, Paris, 1976, 172.
31. Ambrosio Huici Miranda, 'La toma de Sale por la escuadra de Alfonso X', *Hesperis*, 39 (1952), 17–76; Huici, *Historia política*, vol. II, 554–9.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

32. Anna Ajello, *La croce e la spada. I Francescani e l'Islam nel duecento*, Rome, 1999.

33. E. Tisserant and G. Wiet, 'Une lettre de l'Almohade Murtada au pape Innocent IV', *Hesperis*, 6 (1926), 27-53; Huici, *Historia politica*, vol. II, 545-7.

34. Eh, art. 'Banu Ghaniya' (G. Marcais).

35. J. Powers, *A society organized for war: The Iberian municipal militias in the central middle ages, 1000-1284*, Berkeley, 1988; Felipe Maillo, *De la desaparición de al Andalus*, Madrid, 2004.

36. Manuela Marin, 'El califa almohade, una presencia activa y benefica', in Cressier, Fierro and Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades*, 451-76.

37. Maribel Fierro, 'El título de la crónica almohade de Ibn Sahib al Salat', *AQ*, 24 (2003), 291-3; Patricia Crone, *Medieval Islamic political thought*, Edinburgh, 2004, 202, 325.

38. Ahmad 'Azzawi (ed.), *RasaHl muwahhidiyya: Majmu'a jadida (Nouvelles lettres almohades)*, 2 vols., Kenitra, 1995-2001, number 27, p. 130.

39. Constant Hames, 'De la chefferie tribale a la dynastie etatique. Genealogie et pouvoir a l'epoque almohade hafside (XIle-XIve siecles)', in P. Bonte, E. Conte, C. Hames and A. W. Ould Cheikh, *alAnsab: La suite des origines. Anthropologie historique de la societe tribale arabe*, Paris, 1991, 101-37; Maribel Fierro, 'Las genealogias de 'Abd al Mu'min, primer califa almohade', *AQ*, 24 (2003), -]-] 108.

40. Huici, *Historia politica*, vol. II, 248, 283, 327. The use of spolia from al Andalus in Almohad constructions was another way to indicate such a link.

41. Emile Fricaud, 'Origine de l'utilisation privilegiee du terme amr chez les Mu'minides almohades', *AQ*, 23 (2002), 93-122; Miguel Vega, *Salvador Pefia and Manuel C. Fera, El mensaje de las monedas almohades: Numismática, traducción y pensamiento* (Cuenca, 2002).

42. Emile Fricaud, 'Le probleme de la disgrace d'Averroes', in A. Bazzana, N. Beriou and P. Guichard (eds.), *Averroes et Vaverroisme (XIIe XVe siecle): Un itineraire historique du Haut Atlas a Paris et Padoue*, Lyons, 2005, 155 89.
43. E. Levi Provencal, *Trente sept lettres officielles almohades*, Rabat, 1941, number XII.
44. Emile Fricaud, 'Les talaba dans la societe almohade (le temps d'Averroes)', *AQ*, 18 (1997), 331 88.
45. Christophe Picard, *L'Ocean Atlantique musulman, de la conquete arabe a l'epoque almohade: Navigation et mise en valeur des cotes d'al Andalus et du Maghrib occidental (Portugal Espagne Maroc)*, Paris, 1997, and Christophe Picard, 'La politique navale des premiers califes almohades: Un systeme de gouvernement et de souverainete', in Cressier, Fierro and Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades*, 567 84.
46. Maribel Fierro, 'The legal policies of the Almohad caliphs and Ibn Rushd's *Biddiyat al mujtahid*', *Journal of Islamic Studies*, 10, 3 (1999), 226 48, and Fierro, 'Proto Malikis, Malikis and reformed Malikis'.
47. M. al Manuni, *al 'Ulum wa'l addb wa'l funun 'aid 'ahd al muwahhidin*, Tetouan, 1369/1950, 2nd edn, Rabat, 1397/1977; Dominique Urvoy, *Penseurs d'al Andalus: La vie intellectuelle a Cordoue et Seville au temps des empires berberes (fin XIe siecle debut XIIe siecle)*, Toulouse, 1990.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Almohads and the Hafsids

48. W. M. Watt, 'Philosophy and social structure in Almohad Spain', *Islamic Quarterly*, 8 (1964), 46 51; H. Fradkin, 'The political thought of Ibn Tufayl', in C. Butterworth (ed.), *The political aspects of Islamic philosophy: Essays in honour of Muhsin S. Mahdi*, Cambridge, Mass., 1992, 234 61.
49. Halima Ferhat, 'L'organisation des soufis et ses limites a l'epoque almohade', in Cressier, Fierro and Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades*, 1075 90.
50. A. Prieto Vives, 'La reforma numismatica de los Almohades', in *Misceldnea de estudios y textos arabes*, Madrid, 1915, n 114.
51. Huici, *Historia politica*, vol. I, 215 16; Rajae Benhsain Mesmoudi and Pierre Guichard, 'Biens sultanies, fiscalite et monnaie a l'epoque almohade', in Cressier, Fierro and Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades*, 585 614. See also Emilio Molina, 'Economia, propiedad, impuestos y sectores productivos', in Viguera (coord.), *El retroceso territorial de al Andalus*, 211 300.
52. A large number of his sociological and political theories are illustrated by examples drawn from Almohad history: Maya Shatzmiller, *L'historiographie merinide: Ibn Khaldun et ses contemporains*, Leiden, 1982, 54 65.
53. Emile Fricaud, 'Les talaba dans la societe almohade (le temps d'Averroes)', *AQ*, 18 (1997), 331 88.
54. Manuela Marin, 'Dulces, vino y oposicion politica: Un estudio biografico de epoca almohade', in M. L. Avila and M. Marin (eds.), *Estudios onomdstico biogrdficos de al Andalus*, vol. VIII, Granada and Madrid, 1997, 93 114.
55. J. F. P. Hopkins, *Medieval Muslim government in Barbary until the sixth century of the hijra*, London, 1958, 62.
56. Maribel Fierro, 'A Muslim land without Jews or Christians: Almohad policies regarding the "protected people"', in *Christian North, Muslim South: The Iberian*

Peninsula in the context of cultural, religious and political changes (11th 15th centuries),
Frankfurt am Main, forthcoming.

57. As noted by Robert Brunschvig in his unsurpassed study of the Hafsid dynasty,

La Berberie orientale sous les Hafside, des origines a la fin du XVe siecle, 2 vols., Paris, 1940 7, vol. II, 8, which I closely follow. Valuable syntheses in Eh, art. 'Hafsids'

(H. R. Idris) and Pierre Guichard, 'La pousse europeenne et les musulmans d'Occident', in J. C. Garcin (ed.), *Etats, societes et cultures du monde musulman medieval, Xe XVe siecle*, 3 vols., Paris, 1995 2000, vol. I, 279 314.

58. Brunschvig, *La Berberie orientale*, vol. II, 73, 85 6, 90 2, 94 5.

59. For this area we have now the excellent study by Dominique Valerian, *Bougie, port maghrebin*, io6j 1510, Rome, 2006.

60. See Chapter 2: M. Brett, 'The central lands of North africa and Sicily, until the beginning of the Almohad period'.

61. An overview for the western region in Valerian, *Bougie, port maghrebin*, 153 73.

62. Brunschvig, *La Berberie orientale*, vol. II, 98 9, 102 7; Michael Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, Aldershot and Brookfield, 1999, ch. XIV, 'The city state in medieval Ifriqiya: The case of Tripoli' and ch. XV, 'Ibn Khaldun and the dynastic approach to local history: The case of Biskra'.

63. Brunschvig, *La Berberie orientale*, vol. II, 286.

64. Michel Balard, 'Notes sur le commerce genoïse en Tunisie au XIII^e siècle',
Cahiers de Tunisie, 155 6 (1991), 369 86; Bernard Doumerc, Venise et
Yimirat
hafsïde de Tunis (1231 1535), Paris, 1999; Georges Jehel, L'Italie et le
Maghreb au
moyen âge: Conflits et échanges du V^e au X^e siècle, Paris, 2001.

65. Charles E. Dufourcq, L'Espagne catalane et le Maghrib aux XIII^e et
XIV^e siècles,
Paris, 1966; Charles E. Dufourcq, L'Ibérie chrétienne et le Maghreb: XII^e
XV^e siècles,
ed.J. Heers and G. Jehel, London, 1990; Josefa Mutge i Vives, 'Algunas
noticias
sobre las relaciones entre la corona Catalano Aragonesa y el reino de
Tunez de
1345 a 1360', in Mercedes Garcia Arenal and Maria Jesus Viguera (eds.),
Relaciones
de la Peninsula Iberica con el Magreb, siglos XIII XVI. Actas del Coloquio,
Madrid,
198J, Madrid, 1988, 131 64; Maria Dolores Lopez Perez, La corona de
Aragon y el
Magreb en el siglo XIV (1331 1410), Barcelona, 1995.

66. Brunschvig, La Berbérie orientale, vol. I, 46 7; Louis Massignon, 'Ibn
Sab'in et la
"conspiration hallagienne" en Andalousie et en Orient au XIII^e siècle', in
Etudes
d'orientalisme dédiées à la mémoire de Lévi-Provençal, 2 vols., Paris,
1962, vol. II,
661 81.

67. Brunschvig, La Berbérie orientale, vol. I, 57.

68. Eh, art. 'Ibn al Abbar' (Moh. Ben Cheneb [Ch. Pellat]); c Abd al 'Aziz
ibn c Abd al
Majid, Ibn al Abbar hayatuhu wa kutubuhu, Tetouan, 1954; Ibn al Abbar,
Politique i
escriptor arabe Valencien (1199 1260), Valencia, 1990.

69. al 'Abdari, al Rihla al magh.rM.yya, transl. A. Cherbonneau, 'Notice et
extrait du
voyage d'el Abdery', journal Asiatique, fifth series, 4 (1854), 144 76.

70. Brunschvig, La Berbérie orientale, vol. 1, 138.

71. Ibid. vol. 1, 196.

72. Ibid. vol. II, 87.

73. Ibid. vol. I, 217, 219 24; Lopez Perez, *La corona de Aragon y el Magreb*, 713, 728.

74. In the treaty with Genoa signed in 1429 CE Abu Faris was described as 'rex opulentissimus, prudentissimus et magna fama in toto orbe clarissimus': Brunschvig, *La Berbirie orientale*, vol. I, 238.

75. Jocelyn Dakhli, 'Dans la mouvance du prince: La symbolique du pouvoir itinerant au Maghreb', *Annales ESC*, 3 (1988), 735 60.

76. How this incorporation took place is discussed in Chapter 18: Houari Touati, 'Ottoman Maghrib'.

77. Brunschvig, *La Berbirie orientale*, vol. II, 21, 47 50; J. Farrugia de Candia, 'Monnaies hafside du Musee du Bardo', *Revue Tunisienne* (1938), 231 88.

78. Brunschvig, *La Berbirie orientale*, vol. II, 155, 167, 185; Valerian, *Bougie, port maghribin*, 159.

79. Brunschvig, *La Berbirie orientale*, vol. II, 160; on their negative image, see vol. II, 160, 162; on the iqtds granted to them vol. II, 187 9.

80. Ibid. vol. II, 75 6, 79 81, 85.

81. Ibid. vol. II, 39 and vol. I, 211 12 (the governor of the Kasba in Constantine in 798/1396 was an Almohad who bore the nisba al Tinmali), 259.

82. Ibid. vol. II, 51 2, 155 6; Mohammed Salah Baizig, 'L' elite andalouse a Tunis et a Bougie et le pouvoir hafside', in *Communautes et pouvoirs en Italie et au Maghreb*

The Almohads and the Hafsids

au moyen age et a Vepoque moderne, Actes du seminaire de Rome, 26 zy
octobre 2.001,
dir. A. Nef and D. Valerian, Melanges de l'Ecole Francaise de Rome.
Moyen Age, 115,
1 (2003), 523 42.

83. Brunschvig, La Berberie orientate, vol. I, 47. On Ibn al Abbar, see
above note 68.

84. Brunschvig, La Berberie orientale, vol. II, 117 21.

85. Ibid. vol. II, 59, 81, 158, 166.

86. Saad Ghrab, Ibn 'Arafa et le malikisme en IfrTqiya au VHle XlVe
siecles, 2 vols.,
Tunis, 1992 6. For the restoration of Malikism see Brunschvig, La
Berberie
orientale, vol. II, 288 98.

87. Ibid. vol. II, 139. Al Burzuli's Fatawa have been recently edited by
Muhammad
al Habib al Hila in 7 vols., Beirut, 2002. An example of its contents in
Manuela
Marin and Rachid El Hour, 'Captives, children and conversion: A case
from late
Nasrid Granada', JESHO, 41 (1998), 453 73.

88. Brunschvig, La Berberie orientale, vol. II, 141 5.

89. Ibid. vol. II, 129, 150. An illuminating study on this issue is Jean
Pierre van
Staevel, 'Savoir voir et le faire savoir: l'expertise judiciaire en matiere de
construction, d'apres un auteur tunisois du 8e/XlVe siecle', Al, 35 (2001),
627 62 and Droit malikite et habitat a Tunis au XlVe siecle: Conflits de
voisinage
et normes juridiques d'apres le texte du maitre macon Ibn al Rami, Cairo,
2008.

90. A. Al Azmeh, Ibn Khaldun: An essay in reinterpretation, London and
Totowa, NJ,
1982; Maya Shatzmiller, L'historiographie merinide. Ibn Khaldun et ses
contempo
rains (Leiden, 1982).

91. Nilli Salama al 'Amiri (= Nelly Amri), *Al Wildya wa'l mujtama'* .
Musahama fi
'I ta'rikh al diniwa'l ijtima'Tli Iffiqiyafi 'I 'ahd al hafsi, Tunis, 2001; Nelly
 Amri, 'Le
 pouvoir du saint en Ifriqiya aux VI^eIX^e/XIV^e XV siècles: Le "tres visible"
 gouvernement du monde', in H. Bresc, G. Dagher and C. Veauvy (eds.),
Politique
et religion en Mediterranee: Moyen age et epoque contemporaine, Saint
 Denis, 2008,
 167-96.
92. Their rise to power in North Africa is discussed in both Chapter 4 and
 Chapter 18.
93. Brunschvig, *La Berberie orientale*, vol. I, no. 1, 167; see also vol. I,
 212 n. 1.
94. Eva Pajares Vinardell, 'Las enseñanzas de Abu l Hasan al Sadili,
 segun la *Durrat*
al asrar de Ibn al Sabbag', Ph.D. thesis, Universidad de Sevilla (2003).
95. Brunschvig, *La Berberie orientale*, vol. I, 214, 238.

105

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

4

The post-Almohad dynasties
 in al-Andalus and the Maghrib
 (seventh ninth /thirteenth fifteenth
 centuries)

FERNANDO RODRIGUEZ MEDIANO

Historiographic remarks

In 609/1212, the caliph al Nasir was defeated at Las Navas de Tolosa. Over the decades that followed, the Almohad empire underwent a slow disintegration to give way to the Nasrids in al Andalus, the Banu Marin in the western Maghrib, the Zayyanids or 'Abd al Wadids in the central Maghrib and the Hafsids in Ifriqiya. These dynasties followed common trends and faced common challenges.

From an economic point of view, the establishment and expansion of the three great North African states, as well as the conflicts between them, can be explained by the importance of the trans Saharan trade routes and the need to have access to the cities that controlled these routes and the ports that provided outlets for them. Relations between these states and the Christian lands across the Mediterranean revolved around this trade, and their complex history is illustrated by the struggle for control of the Straits of Gibraltar.

The Almohad political inheritance demanded particular responses from each of them. While the Hafsids claimed to have inherited the caliphate, the other dynasties had to find alternative solutions to the question of political legitimacy, constructing ideologies that would make sense within the political and religious developments that were then taking place in the Muslim west. For example, both the institutionalisation of scholarship through the foundation of colleges (madrasas) and the institutionalisation of the mystical brotherhoods very much need to be seen within a political framework.

The immigration of nomadic Arab tribes (Banu Hilal and Banu Sulaym) to North Africa and their pillaging of cities and agriculture, even when employed as mercenaries by the Almohad army, would have brought about a destructive

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

process of 'Bedouinisation and deterioration in the urban settlements, and played a crucial role in their decline. 1 Ibn Khaldun compared the Hilali invasion to a plague of locusts. Although the mythical nature of this analogy has been noted, 2 the presence of Arab tribes associated with local ruling elites and prepared to play a role in the system of domination set up by the post Almohad dynasties had an undoubtedly important impact on the Maghrib.

There were also deep changes in the relations among the states of the Mediterranean. If up to that moment the North African dynasties had intervened militarily on a regular basis in the Iberian Peninsula, the Marinid period saw the inversion of that trend. The ninth/fifteenth century Portuguese conquest of Ceuta was the opening move in a new political reality characterised by the expansion of the Iberian kingdoms into Africa. Spain and Portugal would occupy numerous sites on the African coast, the first symptoms of a new era that would ultimately see European expansion on a worldwide scale, and in more specific terms the great confrontation between the Spanish and Ottoman empires in the Mediterranean. The case of Morocco would become exceptional as the only North African territory not under Ottoman domination.

This political transition corresponds to the shift from a period characterised by the relative abundance of historical works in Arabic to a period when there are few authors and scant documentary evidence for any kingdom of the Muslim west. The turning point is marked by Ibn Khaldun, whose productive period began around 751/1350. In his *Kitab al Hbar*, the most important section covers the entire history of North Africa. 3 Although North African historiography by no means disappears completely after Ibn Khaldun, it becomes increasingly necessary to resort to Christian documentary and historical sources, and European archives become more indispensable to our knowl

edge of the Muslim west, 4 with the lack of archival documentation in Arabic being particularly pronounced for the pre modern period.

Finally, there is what traditional historiography calls the 'marabout crisis', the great movement which, in response to the occupation of Moroccan ports by the Christians, and with the support of Sufi brotherhoods, eventually brought to power the Sharif 1 dynasties of the mid tenth/ sixteenth century. Sharifism represents the most characteristic political creation of this period. But, more than a direct reaction to outside aggression, it was the result of a complex process in which economic, political and cultural factors combined to create the ideology of a new aristocracy which would ultimately assume full control over Morocco. It was under the rule of the Berber Marinid dynasty that the Sharifs began their slow rise to maximum power.

107

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The Mannids of Fez

The first phase of Mannid expansion and the consolidation of power

The Marinids and the 'Abd al Wadids were Berber tribal groups belonging to the Zanata, who had managed to establish themselves across large swathes

of the central Maghrib. The Banu Marin were nomads whose wanderings took them from the M'Zab oases to the Muluya river valley and southwards by way of Sijilmasa across the Sahara, even into the lands of the blacks'. In the fifth/eleventh century they found themselves pushed westward into the lands that now roughly correspond to eastern Morocco by the Arab Banu Hilal. The Banu Marin probably became Islamised between the fifth/eleventh and sixth/twelfth centuries. 5

The first phase of the Marinid conquest of the western Maghrib 6 began in the north east of the country and ended with their capture of Marrakesh half a century later in 668/1269, a victory which signalled the end of the Almohad caliphate. It was largely a combination of favourable circumstances that allowed the Marinids gradually to extend their rule southwards. In its early stages, Marinid actions arose as a response to the power vacuum left by the crumbling Almohad state, but did not take the shape of a frontal challenge to the caliphate, being inspired instead by a political and military pragmatism which strove to take advantage of whatever opportunities presented themselves at any given moment, above all for immediate economic gain.

Abd al Haqq, described as an ascetic Muslim, led the Marinid tribes against the caliph al Mustansir at the battle of the Nakkur river in 613/1216. Though the information about this initial phase is unreliable, the battle near the Sebou river the following year that pitted 'Abd al Haqq against an alliance between rival Marinids, the Banu 'Askar on the one hand and the Riyah Arabs on the other, seems to have marked a decisive moment in the Marinid advance. 'Abd al Haqq was killed in the battle, but his troops were victorious, and his son Abu Sa'id 'Uthman was able to guarantee the effective establishment of Marinid power throughout northern Morocco. By approximately 620/1223f,

the Marinids were receiving tribute from not only the Riyah Arabs in the Rif area but also the cities of Fez, Taza and Meknes.

This initial period of expansion took place at a time of famine and demographic turmoil in northern Morocco. Besides the Marinid insurgency, the caliphate was facing at this time serious separatist movements led by Ibn Hud in al Andalus, Yaghmurasan in Tlemcen and Abu Zakariyya 1 Yahya in Tunis.

108

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

Despite these various internal and external difficulties, the caliphs al Rashid and al Sa'id managed to extend the life of the dynasty for several more decades. In 642/1244 the latter inflicted a sharp defeat on the Marinids at a battle near Fez. This proved only a temporary setback, however. The new Marinid amir Abu Yahya Abu Bakr undertook several key initiatives. He was the first to set up the system of territorial concessions known as iqta's which became characteristic of Marinid rule and one of the foundations of the oligarchy's power. This system also implied that Marinid policies were developing into a self conscious political programme. The southward advance of the Marinids was not accomplished without difficulty. At first, Abu Yahya had to deal with an alliance between the Almohad caliph al Sa'id and Yaghmurasan, the new lord of Tlemcen. This episode marked the opening of what would become a state of nearly constant hostility between the Marinids and the 'Abd al Wadids of Tlemcen.

When, shortly thereafter, Abu Yahya managed to gain control over Meknes, he had the sermon preached in the mosque in the name of the Hafsid ruler of Tunis, a sign that Marinids now regarded themselves as

political rivals to the Almohad caliphs. However, in the political map of North Africa at the time there were many actors co operating or competing

for political, economic and ideological resources. In fact, Abu Yahya's rule

over Meknes was ephemeral, for the city was shortly retaken by the caliph

al Rashid, and Abu Yahya, facing Almohad military superiority, had to nego

tiate a truce with al Rashid and even assist him against Yaghmurasan, lord of

Tlemcen. At this moment, a key opportunity presented itself when the caliph

al Sa'id was killed in an ambush mounted by the 'Abd al Wadids. Abu Yahya

seized the opportunity: after smashing the remnants of the Almohad army, he

forced the surrender of Fez in 646/1248 and then went on to conquer Taza.

Shortly afterwards, Rabat and Sale also submitted to his rule. From that moment, the Marinids ruled all of northern Morocco in the name of the Hafsid of Tunis, while the Almohad dominion was reduced to the area of their capital at Marrakesh under the rule of al Murtada. The death of the Hafsid

Abu Zakariyya' in 647/1249 may have had something to do with the uprising

in Fez that year, when its inhabitants declared their allegiance to the Almohad

caliph and requested assistance from Yaghmurasan. However, the 'Abd al Wadids were defeated and the rebellion was quelled with brutality.

Abu Yahya's constant push southwards also followed an economic logic. Between 649/1251 and 653/1255, Abu Yahya took Tadla, the Dra' valley and,

most importantly, Sijilmasa, one of the main commercial centres and there

after a constant bone of contention between the Marinids and the 'Abd

al Wadids. When he died in 656/1258, Abu Yahya had conquered what Kably calls a 'coherent economic area', crucial in North African trade. Hafsid legitimacy gave the Marinids political justification in their fight against the moribund Almohad regime and the c Abd al Wadids of Tlemcen.

The death of Abu Yahya was followed by a struggle over the succession between his son Abu c Umar and Abu Yahya' s brother Abu Yusuf Ya'qub, who was governor of Taza at the time. The conflict was resolved in favour of the latter, who had the support of most of the Marinid shaykhs, while Abu 'Urnar remained in control of Meknes. Right at the outset of Abu Yusuf s reign, Alfonso X of Castile attacked the port of Sale in 659/1260, 7 as the response to a call for assistance by Ya'qub ibn Abd Allah, Abu Yusuf s nephew, who was attempting to set up his own independent fiefdom in Rabat and Sale. However, Alfonso X took this as his opportunity to realise his plans for a crusade in North Africa and sent a great force to take the city. In sharp contrast to the inability of the enfeebled Almohads to mount any response, Abu Yusuf reacted swiftly, retaking Sale in fourteen days, though he was unable to prevent many of its inhabitants from being taken captive by the Castilians. This episode permitted the Marinids to integrate the concept of jihad into their political discourse, which helped to build support for military intervention in al Andalus that served obvious economic and political interests. Abu Yusuf would end up mounting five different expeditions to the Iberian Peninsula altogether.

It also fell to Abu Yusuf to deal the definitive blow to the Almohads by conquering their capital. A first attempt to take Marrakesh with Hafsid help in 660/1262 failed, thanks to the resistance put up by Abu Dabbus, cousin of the caliph al Mustansir. However, Abu Dabbus defected to the Marinid side and took the city in their name in 665/1266. No sooner had he done so than Abu Dabbus backed out of his agreement with Abu Yusuf and consequently the Marinids besieged the city. Though the Almohads called for help from the

'Abd al Wadid Yaghmurasan, the latter was defeated and Marrakesh fell definitively to the Marinids in 668/1269. Abu Yusuf adopted the title 'Prince of the Muslims' (amir al muslimin) which had been used by the Almoravids earlier, while still having the Friday prayers read in the name of the Hafsid caliph.

Abu Yusuf was now able to concentrate his attention on southern Morocco. Between 668/1269 and 672/1273, various expeditions were sent against the Sus and the Dra c valleys to roll back the influence Yaghmurasan had managed to gain over the trans Saharan trade routes in 662/i263fi By taking control of Sijilmasa with the help of the Ma'qil Arabs, Yaghmurasan had been able to

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

promote the trade route that led to the central Maghrib. Now on the offensive, the Marinids beat an 'Abd alWadid force at Isly in 670/1272, laid siege to Tlemcen and razed Oujda. They later managed to reconquer Sijilmasa, making use of firearms for the first time in the history of the Maghrib. Economic calculations were also behind Abu Yusuf s taking control of the Straits ports of Tangier and Ceuta in 672/1273. His goal was to control the entirety of the route that linked Sijilmasa with its outlet at Ceuta. The surrender of Ceuta took place in the context of an agreement between Abu Yusuf and the king of Aragon, James I.

Another initiative undertaken by Abu Yusuf was the founding in 674/1256 of Fas aljadid ('New Fez'), a new palatine city intended to serve as the administrative and military centre of the Marinid state. 9 Its founding coincided

with a massacre of Jews, which caused several important Jewish families to move to a section of Fas al Jadid called the Mellah (Arabic mallaK). Over time, the term 'Mellah' came to refer to the Jewish quarter of any Moroccan town. 10

Abu Yusuf s reign meant the end of the Almohad dynasty, the culmination of Marinid expansion in the western Maghrib, the conversion of the Marinids into a political movement that began to construct an ideology that would reinforce its legitimacy, and the beginnings of the consolidation of the economic and administrative foundations of Marinid power. The Marinids had become the dominant power in the Maghrib and were ready for the expansionist adventures of the two great sultans of the dynasty, Abu 'l Hasan and Abu 'Inan.

Abu Ya'qub Yusuf s involvement in Andalusian affairs diminished for several reasons. In the first place, Marinid interventions had had little effect, and the behaviour of the Nasrids in Granada had provoked considerable disillusionment. In the second place, military resources were still required closer to home for the ongoing conflict with the 'Abd al Wadids, who had decided to provide support to local rebellions and intervene in the conflicts over the Straits. Abu Ya'qub directed most of his energy towards taking Tlemcen, the 'Abd al Wadid capital. The principal motivation lay in the traditional commercial rivalry between the two states, though the capture of Tlemcen would also be one more step in the expansionist strategy of the Marinids. In fact, by this time Abu Ya'qub had received the oath of allegiance (bay c a) of the Sharifs of Mecca, and this endowed him with a new political status which implicitly allowed him even to challenge the Hafsid caliphate. Though ultimately fruitless, his first siege of Tlemcen in 689/1290 lasted for six months. The Marinids sent at least three further military expeditions against the 'Abd al Wadids, until at last in 698/1299 Abu Ya'qub commenced his famously

The New Cambridge History of Islam

lengthy siege of Tlemcen, a culminating moment in the stormy relationship between the two dynasties which would give rise to many legends. 11 Abu Ya'qub put all his resources into the siege, which dragged on for eight years. In spite of all the expense and effort, however, the besiegers were unable to break the city's resistance, and finally Abu Ya'qub was assassinated in 706/1306.

Abu Ya'qub's successor, his grandson Abu Thabit, reached an agreement with the 'Abd al Wadids according to which he relinquished the territories the Marinids had captured. He returned to western Morocco to try to deal with the dynasty's first serious succession crisis which brought about a momentary halt in the Marinid expansion. The main challenge to Abu Thabit's authority was the pretender 'Uthman ibn Abi 'l 'Ula', commander of the Marinid troops in al Andalus (shaykh al ghuzat), who had established a stronghold in the Rif. Abu Thabit tried to put down this uprising, but died during the campaign. His brother Abu 'l Rabi' succeeded him and managed to recover control of Ceuta, which had meanwhile been occupied by the Nasrids in 705/1306, but he died shortly after receiving his bay'a, in 710/1310.

His successor, Abu Sa'id 'Uthman, was a sultan with a great interest in arts and letters and the founder of several madrasas. His reign also marks the beginning of Marinid historiography, with works such as al Dhakhira al saniyya and Ibn Abi Zar's Rawd al qirtas, 12 ' testimony to the dynasty's concern with creating its own dynastic memory. Marinid chancellery was also organised under his rule. His was an era characterised by a certain inhibition

in relation to both al Andalus and Tlemcen. A crisis in the Hafsid dynasty at this time had brought the Abd al Wadids to the height of their power, and they subjected Tunis and Bougie to constant harassment. Meanwhile, Abu Sa'id 'Uthman had to deal with the revolt of his son Abu Ali. This revolt was initially successful in 714/1315, following another fruitless attack on Tlemcen, and Abu 'Ali relegated his father to the governorship of Taza. However, Abu Sa'id 'Uthman swiftly recovered the reins of power and in turn appointed Abu 'All governor of Sijilmasa. From that position, by 720 / 1320 Abu Ali had taken control of the strategic points along the caravan routes. Then, in 722/1322, at the instigation of the Aragonese, Abu 'Ali managed to seize Marrakesh, pitting it against Fez in what would become a long lasting rivalry. Abu 'All's ambitions were finally thwarted when his army was beaten. Nevertheless, he was allowed to continue as governor of Sijilmasa. Meanwhile, Abu Sa'id 'Uthman named Abu 'All's brother Abu 'l Hasan as his heir. With a view to a possible alliance with Tunis, Abu Sa'id 'Uthman arranged to have Abu 'l Hasan marry the Hafsid princess Fatima, a political move with enormous symbolic value,

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

since the Marinids, having achieved the consolidation of their territorial base, were still involved in promoting the creation of their dynastic memory and wanted to benefit from the Hafsids' caliphal legitimacy. However, as Abu Sa'id 'Uthman was on his way to receive the Hafsid princess, he died in 731/1331.

The great expansion of the Marinids and their decline

Abu '1 Hasan represents the apogee of the Marinid policy of expansion, 13 and also a turning point in the construction of the legitimising ideology of the dynasty. He continued his father's policy of renewed Marinid involvement in both the central Maghrib and al Andalus. Again, this initiative had a clearly economic motive. The possible creation of a commercial bridge between 'Abd al Wadid controlled Tlemcen and Sijilmasa (where Abu 'All had been confirmed in his governorship), in combination with 'Abd al Wadid control of the Mediterranean coast, posed a very serious threat to the economic survival of the Marinids. On the other hand, the request by the sultan Muhammad IV of Granada for help against the Christians offered the chance for a new intervention in al Andalus. One of the first strategic steps taken by the Marinid sultan was the construction of a powerful war fleet to fight against not only the Castilians in the area of the Straits but also the 'Abd al Wadids along the Maghribi coast.

Abu '1 Hasan's success in controlling the Sus and Dra' valleys was due to the help of the Ma'qil Arabs, and in reward they were granted territorial concessions which turned them into virtual lords of the region. Abu '1 Hasan then gained control of the coast of the central Maghrib in 732/1332 and launched an offensive against Tlemcen, this time with Hafsid assistance. After a siege, Tlemcen fell in 737/1337, and the amir Abu Tashfm I was executed. This victory was to some extent made possible thanks to the 'ulama 1 of Tlemcen, who largely favoured Abu '1 Hasan as the new champion of Islam. Abu '1 Hasan presented himself as the protector of the Hafsids and ultimately the true lord of the Maghrib. Thus, Tunis became his next objective.

Meanwhile, Abu '1 Hasan's intervention in al Andalus had got underway. The Marinid conquest of Gibraltar in 733/1333 was merely a prelude to the crushing defeat of Marinid forces at the Rio Salado (741/1340) by Christian

troops, a disastrous reverse from which Marinid interest in al Andalus never fully recovered. Thereafter Marinid attention was limited almost exclusively to North African affairs. There, Tunis, the seat of the caliphate, was conquered in 748/1347, the final culmination of Marinid expansion. But the weakness of Abu 'l Hasan's position in Tunis soon made itself manifest. He did not gain popular support in Tunis as he had in Tlemcen, and then the Arab tribes allied

113

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

to him, frustrated by his inability to meet their expectations of reward, revolted. Abu 'l Hasan was defeated in the battle of Qayrawan in 749/1348, and when he attempted to put together a new force his efforts were to no avail. The first obstacle was the Black Death, whose effects reached Tunis in the spring of 749/1348. The second and more serious obstacle was the revolt led by his son Abu 'Inan Faris, whom Abu 'l Hasan had left in charge of Tlemcen. Abu 'Inan Faris seized the opportunity presented by his father's travails to proclaim himself sovereign and return with great haste from Tlemcen to Morocco in order to pre-empt any other possible claimants to the throne. As a result, the 'Abd al Wadid and Hafsid princes quickly recovered their former possessions in Tlemcen, Bougie and Constantine. Thus, in his efforts to prevent his father from reacting militarily or returning to Morocco, where he probably still enjoyed considerable prestige, Abu 'Inan Faris brought about the loss of all the territories that had been so recently conquered.

Abu '1 Hasan made several attempts to return to Morocco, but all ended in failure, as he was unable to smash the great coalition that had arisen to oppose him in the central Maghrib between Maghrawa Berbers and Abd al Wadids, aided by his son Abu 'Inan. Having sought refuge in Sijilmasa, he managed to put together an army with which, despite the defection of his Arab allies the Banu Suwayd, he seized control of Marrakesh. At last he confronted his son's forces on the banks of the Umm al Rabi c a in 752/1351, but was defeated. He was obliged to abdicate in his son's favour just before dying in 752/1351.

Abu 'Inan first had to deal with the territorial fragmentation that he had himself caused, enabling the 'Abd al Wadids to re establish themselves as a power ready to challenge the Marinid goal of hegemony over the entire region. By 752/1351 Abu 'Inan concentrated his efforts in the eastern Maghrib, where the c Abd al Wadids were extending their rule towards Hunayn and Oran at the expense of the Maghrawa principalities in the region. After a swift campaign, Abu 'Inan defeated the 'Abd al Wadid troops at Anghad in 753/1352. This victory opened the way to Tlemcen, where he executed the Zayyanid princes Abu Thabit and Abu Sa'id.

Abu 'Inan then returned to Fez. The decision not to remain in Tlemcen but to withdraw to his capital provoked the immediate revolt of Bougie and the region of Constantine. Abu 'Inan managed to regain control of Bougie in 754/1353, and later obtained the submission of Constantine, but these uprisings are an indication of the structural difficulties facing Abu 'Inan in attempting to establish his rule over the territory. Even at the moment when Abu 'Inan was busy with the pacification of Bougie, a Marinid pretender, Abu '1 Fadl, who

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

had taken refuge at the Nasrid court of Granada, disembarked in southern

Morocco assisted by a Castilian fleet and started an uprising among the Sasakiwa, which Abu 'Inan managed to suppress between 754/1353 and 755/1354-

In 758/1357, Abu 'Inan achieved the conquest of Tunis, but once more it was short lived owing to disturbances caused by the Riyah Arabs. Abu 'Inan's

own troops began to defect, and the rumour spread that he was going to be

replaced by a rival Marinid. This prompted Abu 'Inan to return to Fez, where

he put down the opposition by executing a number of Marinid shaykhs. The

following year, Abu [Inan resumed his campaign against Ifriqiya and attacked

Constantine and the Dawawida Arabs. He then returned to Fez gravely ill, a

circumstance exploited by his vizier al Hasan ibn c Umar al Fududi, who pro

claimed al Sa'id successor to the throne, bypassing the presumed heir Abu

Zayyan. When Abu c Inan had recovered his health, al Fududi had him strangled, in 759/1358.

The expeditions of Abu 'l Hasan and Abu 'Inan against Tlemcen and Tunis

had brought out the tension between the autocratic intentions of the two rulers and the centrifugal tendencies of the oligarchy of the Marinid shaykhs,

defenders of their own dominant position at a local level. This group had fuelled opposition to the programme of expansion and had received the brunt

of the brutal repression that followed. Furthermore, the fiscal measures adopted by Abu 'l Hasan, which were intended to abolish illegal taxes and

abuses, were directed against the privileges of this oligarchy, while at the same

time other measures which favoured the scholars, the Sharifs and the Sufis

brought about the rise of a new social elite which supported the caliphal

aspirations of Abu 'l Hasan. To a great extent, the assassination of Abu 'Inan on the orders of his vizier al Fududi represents the revenge of the old tribal oligarchy, which thereafter increased its hold on matters of state through a system characterised by the weakness of the sultan's role and the establishment of strong family solidarities around the viziers, who were able to maintain their grip on power by virtue of a network of nepotism and patronage. The chief families that made up this system were the al Fududi, al Qaba'ili and al Yabani. At the same time, if thus far al Andalus had been the target of North African invasions, by the end of Abu c Inan's reign it was the Nasrids who had a tendency to intervene in North African politics. 15 Soon enough, the protagonists of this assault on North Africa from Iberia were no longer Muslims, but the Christian Portuguese and Spaniards.

A lack of sources for the the latter part of the eighth/ fourteenth century and all of the ninth/fifteenth leaves many gaps in our knowledge of the history of

115

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the last Marinids and the Wattasid dynasty that followed, a history that revolves around complex palace intrigues. Al Sa'id I was assassinated in 760/1359 and was succeeded by Abu Salim, a Marinid pretender backed by Peter I of Castile. Abu Salim had the vizier al Fududi murdered and even managed to reconquer Tlemcen briefly in 761/1360. However, he was shortly assassinated in his turn in a conspiracy led by his vizier 'Umar ibn Abd Allah

al Fududi and the captain of the Christian guard, who put in his place first an elderly man, Abu 'Umar Tashfin, and then Abu Zayyan Muhammad. Five years later, in 768/1366, this man was also murdered by his vizier and replaced with another Marinid prince, 'Abd al 'Aziz. This rapid succession of sultans disguised a virtual carving up of the country among a small number of local lords: the Arab Ma c qil held sway over southern Morocco and provided support for the descendants of Abu 'All in Sijilmasa; the Rif area was dominated by the Marinid prince Abu Hassun, with the backing of the Nasrids; and Marrakesh was under the control of 'Amir, the powerful amir of the Hintata Berbers. 1

The sultan 'Abd al 'Aziz reacted to this slide into political and territorial fragmentation. He recovered control over Marrakesh, helped the Nasrids to retake Algeiras in 770/1369 and even conquered Tlemcen before his death in 774/1372. Following the brief reign of his son al Sa'id II (and his vizier Abu Bakr ibn Ghazi), the rule of his successor Abu 'l 'Abbas Ahmad marks the high point of Nasrid intervention in Morocco. For after he was put in place and then deposed in 786/1384 with Nasrid help, Abu 'l 'Abbas Ahmad was returned to power in 789/1387 once again helped by the Nasrid sultan. Abu 'l 'Abbas Ahmad managed to carry out several campaigns against Tlemcen and forced Abu Tashfin II to become his vassal in 791/1389.

In this obscure period of pretenders, sultans and viziers, the cruel war that broke out between Abu Sa'id 'Uthman III and his uncle Abu Hassun terribly affected the region around Meknes, as witnessed by Leo Africanus nearly a century later. Abu Sa'id 'Uthman III died in perhaps 823/1420 after a palace plot in which virtually all of his descendants were slaughtered. At this point, an amir of the Banu Wattas named Abu Zakariyya' had 'Abd al Haqq, then a one year old boy, proclaimed sultan, thus forcing aside one of Abu 'Inan's

descendants, who had been imposed by the amirs of Tlemcen. 'Abd al Haqq's minority initiated a Wattasid regency during which three viziers, Abu Zakariyya', 'Ali and Yahya, succeeded each other, until the now adult sultan had the last of these murdered, along with all the other Wattasids he could lay hands on, in 863/1458. Finally, 'Abd al Haqq himself had his throat cut in Fez in 869/1465 during a revolt that brought the Idrisid Sharif Muhammad ibn

116

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

'Imran al Juti to power in the capital. 17 His brief six year reign ended in 876/1472, when Muhammad al Shaykh al Wattasi, who had managed to survive 'Abd al Haqq's massacre, entered Fez, thus ushering in the Wattasid period of Moroccan history.

The Wattasid/*

The dynasty of the Zanata Banu Wattas, who also belonged to the Banu Marin, is sometimes regarded as simply the final episode of the Marinid period. Their assumption of power seems to have resulted merely from the collapse of their predecessors' rule. In fact, the territorial base of the Wattasids was limited to Fez and the surrounding area, while other big cities like Marrakesh, Tetouan and Xauen constituted independent principalities. This territorial disintegration coincided with an increasing Portuguese presence on the Moroccan coast beginning in 818/1415, when Ceuta was conquered, and between 876/1471 and 919/1513 Tangier, Arzila, Agadir, Safi and Azemmur fell.

On the Mediterranean coast, the Spanish took Melilla in 903 / 1497. Such moves by the Iberian kingdoms reflected not so much an interest in territorial expansion as a desire to control strategic points on the coast from which to secure the gold trade. Nonetheless, from these points the invaders made incursions into the interior with the help of allied tribes, usually Ma'qil Arabs. Certain regions of Morocco, such as Dukkala, were particularly affected by the Portuguese presence. Traditional historiography has interpreted the rise of the Sa'di Sharifs, with the support of the mystical brotherhoods, as a sort of proto national resistance to this Christian occupation. The underlying causes, however, lay in the disintegration that Moroccan society underwent in the late eighth/fourteenth and early ninth/fifteenth centuries. In addition to economic factors deriving from the eastward shift of trade routes or the decline of centres of artisan production like Fez, the social turmoil may have been caused by a great movement of the population in which the tribes of nomadic Arabs and Berbers, who had until the eighth/fourteenth century been kept at the fringes of the territory, moved into the central plateau, homeland to ruling Marinids, from the south to the region of the Gharb. Certainly the preponderance of Bedouins and Arabs in the Wattasid period would explain the Arabisation of the Wattasid army and state administration during the reign of Muhammad al Shaykh. In addition, the depredations of the Ma'qil Arabs, who had initially been allied to the Portuguese, provoked a popular reaction to not only the Christian invaders but also these Arabs themselves, who were now at any rate allied with the Wattasid sultans as well. It was this reaction that to a

The New Cambridge History of Islam

large extent fuelled the Sa'c did movement in the Dra c valley, a movement whose rise to power culminated in the capture of Fez in 961/1554.

Mannid intervention in the Iberian Peninsula 19

Between 633/1236 and 646/1248, Fernando III of Castile conquered Cordoba, Jaen and Seville, and in what was left of al Andalus the breakdown of Almohad authority gave rise to a period of intense turbulence which finally resolved itself in the creation of the Nasrid emirate of Granada. In this context, the intervention of the Banu Marin in the Iberian Peninsula reflected several interests. First, economic rivalry had converted the western Mediterranean into a political, military and commercial web of alternately co operating and competing forces, in which Castilians, Aragonese, Marinids, Nasrids, Ceutis, Genovese and [Abd al Wadids were all involved, resulting in battles, betrayals and pacts the latter as often as not between Muslims and Christians. Secondly, the Iberian Peninsula represented for the Marinids a good opportunity to strengthen their claim to political legitimacy through the fulfilment of jihad, even if its actual practice often failed to match the intended ideal. Furthermore, the Marinid sultan and disaffected members of the sultan's family might simultaneously be doing their own intervening in Andalusi affairs. For the dissidents, the Nasrid rulers devised a particular title, 'shaykh alghuzat', sometimes erroneously translated as 'chief of the volunteers of the Faith' but more properly meaning 'chiefs of the raiders'. These men often became fully integrated members of the Nasrid army, and played a highly active role in the stormy political history of the kingdom of Granada. At the same time, the Nasrids used the Marinid pretenders by sending them against Fez whenever it suited their own political interests. 20

The first great moment of Marinid intervention in the Peninsula corresponds to the rule of the sultan Abu Yusuf, who mounted as many as five different expeditions between 673/1275 and 684/1285. If the first campaign was a call for help from the Nasrid sultan, pressed by both the Castilian army and domestic unrest, Abu Yusuf's fourth expedition was at the behest of King Alfonso X of Castile, who needed help in putting down a revolt led by his son Sancho IV. The net territorial result of these campaigns, with the Nasrids constantly switching their alliances back and forth between Muslims and Christians and simultaneously exploiting internal dissent within the Marinid camp, was that Marinid possessions in the Peninsula were essentially confined to small enclaves around Tarifa and Algeciras. When the Marinids shifted their attention largely to North African affairs, Tarifa was captured by the Castilians in 691/1292 and then Ceuta was lost to Nasrid rule in 705/1306, an event that

118

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

coincided with the Nasrid instigated revolt of the shaykh al ghuzat 'Uthman ibn Abi '1 [Ula\ one of the earliest of many Nasrid interventions in Moroccan affairs. This prompted a military response from the Marinid Abu '1 Rabi', who, thanks to help from Aragon, managed to recover Ceuta in 709/1309. The complexity of the diplomatic web that the Nasrids had woven about themselves allowed them to overcome the combined threat of Castilians, Aragonese and Marinids, but momentarily it also enabled the Marinids to regain some of their territorial losses in al Andalus.

An agreement between Abu '1 Hasan and Muhammad IV of Granada led to the conquest of Gibraltar in 733/1333. After a four year truce with the Castilians,

which allowed Abu '1 Hasan to concentrate on his campaign to take Tlemcen, he resumed his offensive on the Peninsula. Beginning in 738/1338, various Marinid expeditions challenged the Castilians, while Abu '1 Hasan's navy took control of the Straits and destroyed the Castilian fleet at Algeciras in 740/1340. However, an expedition led in person by Abu '1 Hasan was defeated by a combined force of Castilians, Catalans and Portuguese at the Rio Salado, as we have seen. Further humiliation was inflicted by the loss in 744/1344 of the port of Algeciras, the base for all Maghribi operations in the Peninsula. These defeats signalled the virtual liquidation of Marinid policy in al Andalus. There remained only the occasional episode, such as the taking of Gibraltar by a Marinid force in 814/1411, only to be driven out again barely three years later. During the reign of Muhammad V in Granada, the leadership of the ghuzat troops passed into the hands of the Nasrid sultans, who then initiated their inverse policy of direct intervention in Morocco.

The economic and territorial foundations of the Marinid state

In the medieval Maghrib, ethnicity was linked to the notion of space rather than to the idea of territorial frontiers. Individual identity was associated with belonging to a particular clan or tribe, and the great state formations were less interested in the establishment of fixed frontiers than in control over economic and political resources, such as mines, trade routes or tribute. States and local communities created a political space of negotiation, domination or disobedience which in the Maghrib crystallised in the stereotypical opposition between the bilad al makhzan ('the land under the control of the sultan's administration') and the bilad al siba ('the land of rebellion'). As described by Ibn Khaldun, these North African states derived from the development of local

'asabiyyas (roughly, 'clan solidarities'), which, at their moment of greatest strength, revealed the underlying tension between the centralising aspirations of dynastic leaders and the fragmenting force of their ethnic base. Tribal

119

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

narratives, which use genealogy to refer to the tribe's own past, constitute a representation of the relations between various groups which are fluid and changing by nature, and not a rigorously precise account of their chronology and past history. In general terms, the genealogical accounts view the establishment of the Marinid and Wattasid dynasties as the return to hegemony of the Zanata Berbers, after being forced into an inferior position by their rivals, the Sanhaja Almoravids, and the Masmuda, the tribe to which Ibn Tumart, founder of the Almohad movement, belonged. From this perspective, the seventh/thirteenth century was the century that saw the rise to dominance of the Zanata c asabiyya of the central Maghrib, along with groups like the Banu Ifran, the Maghrawa and the Banu Tujin. By the same token, the constant rivalry between Marinids and c Abd al Wadids was explained as part of a historical feud between two closely related clans of the Zanata. However, the Almohad caliphate had been established by a Zanata Berber, c Abd al Mu'min, so that the rise to political prominence of the Zanata was already a century old. 2,2

The situation in the seventh /thirteenth century Maghrib must also be seen

in the light of the presence of large nomadic tribes of Arabs, employed as mercenaries by the Almohads²³ and in large part responsible for a great process of 'Bedouinisation'. Furthermore, that presence determined the very character of Marinid territorial rule,²⁴ the result of an alliance between the Marinid shaykh elite and various associated groups, particularly Bedouin tribes, both Arab and Berber. The basis of this alliance was the system of territorial concessions (*tqta c s*), which involved dividing up the territory and with it the right to tax its populations. This system was by no means unique to the Marinids, and was put into practice in areas under Abd al Wadid and Hafsid rule, as well as in many other parts of the Muslim world. In the case of the Marinids, it was Abu Yahya who introduced this system that in fact implied the divisibility of power and the virtual impossibility of total territorial unification. Unquestionably, the dividing up of lands and power made considerable sense in a tribal tradition based on the division of resources among peers, but in Marinid practice it became one of the main tools of political action. Ultimately, the system gave rise to the privatisation of power and the fragmentation of property. The advantages this alliance had for co rulers were stronger than supposed tribal rivalries, as demonstrated by the case of the Ma c qil Arabs, who were competitors of the Marinids during their initial northward expansion but allies when it came to establishing Marinid hegemony over southern Morocco.

In general, this system was based on a predatory exploitation of the sedentary population through taxes that were often outside Islamic law.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

Abu '1 Hasan attempted to correct this, and presented his rule as one marked by fiscal reform, fairness and justice. However, his attempt to abolish illegal

taxes and stamp out the abuses and injustices committed by his predecessors should also be seen as part of a political programme tending towards dynastic authoritarianism and the creation of a caliphate. This was a policy, in short, which attacked the foundations of the Marinid oligarchy, and it was doomed to failure. The Marinid system had enabled the Arabs to go from being mercenaries and subordinates in the Almohad period to achieving the status of partners in power. In this way, without introducing material or technical transformations into agriculture, the system contributed above all to the formation of a new ruling elite. It has been observed, however, that the great affluence afforded by African gold permitted the Marinid sultans to restrict their territorial concessions to high dignitaries or tribal shaykhs only, with rewards for the rank and file paid out in coin or gold. This may have introduced a lower limit in the size of the parcels into which territory could be divided, particularly in comparison with what happened in other parts of the Muslim world. 15 The Marinid system of rule, then, implied the creation of an oligarchy that was based on ethnicity and therefore retained a powerful centrifugal tendency. Yet this system clashed with the great developments taking place in the region, developments which implicated the states of not only North Africa but also the Iberian Peninsula and which explain the Marinid attempts to expand their dominion. The internal contradictions of their system of rule meant that these attempts were ultimately bound to fail.

These great regional developments were closely tied to control over the trans Saharan trade, which is one of the keys to the history of medieval North Africa. 2 This trade involved many people and a variety of goods, but its driving force was the gold coming out of deepest Africa. Initially, this gold was exchanged for salt, and this salt gold exchange constituted the basis of trans Saharan commerce a fact which explains the strategic importance of the salt mines located in North Africa. The trade served to satisfy the growing need for

gold among North African dynasties and this demand tended to favour the regularisation of the trade.

From a very early date, this traffic had been organised along two routes: one more westerly, for which Sijilmasa represented the gateway to the southern desert, and another further east, which found its outlet on the Mediterranean at Tunis. The history of the Almoravids and their southward expeditions can be understood to a great extent as an attempt to control the western trade route. It was largely thanks to the Almoravids that the trade network crossed the Straits of Gibraltar and became systematically involved in the economy of al Andalus.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The sixth/twelfth century also saw the opening of new reception points for the western route, like Marrakesh, Sus, and the Atlantic ports of Morocco and Tlemcen, linked to Sijilmasa by a route that passed through Fez for this was the period when the capital of the central Maghrib began to enjoy great prosperity.

Under the Almohads, the North African commercial network was for the first time brought under unified control, and this favoured the stabilisation of the two main routes. At the same time, the definitive opening of the African trade to the countries of Europe led European merchants, particularly Catalans and Genovese, to set up commercial bases in the North African ports. A new international dimension was added to the African trade and the attention of the European powers focused on the importance of these ports as a way to increase their control over this trade. From a general perspective,

during these centuries control of the Mediterranean passed from the hands of Muslim merchants to Christians. 27 It was also the period that saw the rise of the kingdom of Mali.

Marinid expansion reveals the importance of the trade routes as well as their relationship with space. As Kably has observed, this expansion had as its initial objective the establishment of a territorial base from which the Marinids could seize control of the smaller regional centres and commercial networks. 2 This initial base included the northernmost starting points of the route in the Gharb and the Rif, incorporated the cities of Fez, Meknes and Taza, extended south towards Fazaz and Tadla, and could count on a port (Sale), a mining centre and one of the principal hubs of the trans Saharan trade, Sijilmasa (disputed control over which led to the the dynasty's first clash with the Abd al Wadids). Having consolidated this initial base, the Marinids sought to broaden their control to include other maritime outlets like Ceuta in the north and the routes to the central Maghrib with their desert staging points in the south. As this strategy increasingly made the dynasty a force on the international stage, with involvement in Tunis and al Andalus, the contradictions of an internal system dominated by a tribal oligarchy grew more evident, as we have noted, so that ultimately the oligarchy was able to undermine the great plans of Abu 'l Hasan and Abu 'Inan and even assume power itself through the great vizier families in the latter part of the eighth/fourteenth century.

The Marinid eastward push can also be explained in terms of an attempt to control the African trade, as there was a gradual eastward displacement of the trade routes, caused by various factors, among them the insecurity that fol

lowed the decline of the Almohad control along the routes, now harassed by marauding Ma c qil Arabs, the rise of the Marinids themselves, and a desire on the

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

part of the sultans of Mali to diversify their gold markets. The heightened importance of the eastern trade routes coincided, furthermore, with the appearance of Egypt as a real player in this trade, beginning in 720/1320. This increased traffic meant greater prosperity for Tunis, at the Mediterranean outlet of the eastern route, and that city was able to develop close commercial relations with European merchants, especially the Aragonese and Genovese. 29 This eastward displacement of the trade routes also explains the Marinid prolonged conflict with the c Abd al Wadids, as well as their diplomatic overtures to Egypt and Mali, where Ibn Battuta was sent as an ambassador in 753/1352. In the course of the middle ages the demand for precious metals increased enormously, both for domestic Maghribi consumption and for export to meet European monetary needs. 30 For this reason the rich mines that existed in Morocco were also exploited intensively in this period, sometimes to exhaustion. 31 The Marinid experience proved that it was impossible for a single political player to control the entire network involved in the trans Saharan trade (hence the exceptional nature of Almohad success in this respect), and that it was therefore essential to come to terms with the other parties implicated in the trade, especially the Bedouin tribes who ended up settling all along the caravan routes.

Apart from Egypt's involvement in the trans Saharan trade, in the

ninth/ fifteenth century a new foreign element began seriously to affect the situation in Morocco, this time in the north and west. One of the first steps taken by Portugal on its road to becoming a world power was the occupation of North African ports, part of its search for direct access to the sub Saharan trade, starting with Ceuta in 1415 and proceeding south along the Atlantic coast. This occupation had multiple consequences for Morocco, but one of them was serious damage to its economy. Nevertheless, the western trade routes continued to be operational, and southern Morocco seems to have enjoyed some prosperity, perhaps linked to the mining and trading of copper. The diminished revenue from trans Saharan trade was responsible for the development of a local sugar industry of some importance. 32

Marinid religious policies

At first the Marinids were a purely pragmatic group who seized the opportunity provided by the decline of the Almohad empire. But the more the Marinids became a powerful political player with a dynastic character and a claim to be the successors of the Almohads, the more the need to create a political ideology became pressing. This obsession determined the religious policy of the Marinids and gave rise to a series of actions intended to enhance

123

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the dynasty's legitimacy, of which a good example is Ibn Marzuq's book *al Musnad*, a work devoted to promoting the figure of Abu 'l Hasan. 33

One aspect of this desire for legitimacy was a preoccupation with the appropriate choice of ruling titlature, a problem connected with the inheritance of the Almohad caliphate. This precedent was an underlying theme throughout the history of medieval Morocco, and aspiration to the institution of the caliphate waxed and waned according to the respectively shifting fortunes of Hafsids, 'Abd al Wadids and Marinids. Needless to say, the dispute over political legitimacy in the Muslim west had been profoundly affected by the crisis of the caliphate in the Muslim east that followed the sack of Baghdad in 656/1258. It is highly significant that in 657/1259 the Sharifs of Mecca acknowledged for the first time a western caliphate, that of the Hafsids in Tunis. 34 The Marinids had also initially acknowledged the authority of the Hafsids and conducted the early campaigns that liquidated the remains of the Almohad empire in their name. As they consolidated their political position and expanded, however, the political language of the Marinids underwent a transformation. Thus, during the increasingly authoritarian reigns of Abu '1 Hasan and particularly Abu 'Inan, Marinid caliphal aspirations became correspondingly more apparent. Abu 'Inan replaced the title used by his Marinid predecessors, amir al muslimin ('Prince of the Muslims'), with a new one, amir al mu'minin ('Prince of the Believers'), as a sign of this aspiration.

Another factor in this search for legitimacy was the resort to jihad, essentially after Alfonso X's attack on Sale in 659/1260. It allowed the Marinid sultans to present themselves as defenders of the Faith and is omnipresent in the justifications for Marinid military intervention in al Andalus, even though the reality of these interventions particularly the pacts that resulted from them was hardly consistent with this ideal. Nevertheless, the desire to portray themselves as the champions of Islam in the west led the Marinids to take a number of specific initiatives, such as the establishment of diplomatic ties with the Mamluks of Egypt, 35 whom the Marinids duly acknowledged as defenders of the caliphate after their victory over the Mongols in 658/1260. 36

While Abu Ya'qub cultivated relations with the Mamluk sultan Muhammad Ibn Qalawun, he was also visited by the Sharif of Mecca, Labida ibn Abi Numayy. Following this, and perhaps because of their rivalry with the Mamluks, the Sharifs, who had previously acknowledged the Hafsids, now granted the Marinids the bay'a. 37 This official recognition of the Marinids on the international scene coincides with their having begun systematically to organise the annual pilgrimages to Mecca. The first organised pilgrimage, in 704/1304, was sponsored by Abu Ya'qub, and the expedition took with it a

124

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

richly adorned copy of the Qur'an with a brocaded cover for the Ka'ba, a gift which highlights Marinid attempts to link their dynasty with Islam's holy sites.

Though the Marinids were of indisputably Berber descent, the sultans manipulated their genealogy by Arabising family names and even concocting an Arab origin for themselves in order to enhance their claim to be defenders of Islam. 38 Marinid sources established a link between their ancestor 'Abd al Haqq and the Almoravid amir Yusuf ibn Tashfin, a reflection of the Marinids' interest in depicting themselves as the heirs of the Almoravids. More rarely, individual Marinid sultans claimed to have a sharif ancestor, particularly during the final years of the dynasty. 39

The Marinids also developed an important relationship with the Sharif I elite, thus making a key contribution to one of the most important political

developments of the middle ages in the Muslim west: the transformation of Sharifism into a political ideology. 40 This phenomenon reached its climax with the development of the Idrisid cult, particularly after the discovery of what was claimed to be the tomb of Idris II in Fez in 847/1437. Idris II, the founder of Fez in 192/808, was the son of Idris I, a descendant of the Prophet's daughter and his son in law 'All. Idris I had arrived in the Maghrib in the second/eighth century and became the founder of the Idrisid dynasty of Morocco. The Idrisids were thus the ancestors of the oldest branch of the Moroccan Sharifs, and the discovery of Idris II's tomb is related to the prominence achieved by the Sharif elite in ninth/fifteenth century Morocco, and perhaps also to the attempt by the Marinid rulers to control this elite by patronising the cult of Fez's founder. It was a vain attempt, however, since in 869/1465 the sultan [Abd al Haqq was deposed and assassinated in a Sharif inspired coup, and rule over the capital city passed to a powerful Sharif family of Idrisid origin, the Jutis. Though Juti domination was short lived, Fez thereafter remained not only the capital of the Malik! c ulama' but also an Idrisid sanctuary.

Sharifi ideology brought together several different trends, such as the institutionalisation of Sufism and the development of a religious model based on the veneration of the Prophet Muhammad. One of the clearest manifestations of this cult of the Prophet is the festival celebrating his birth, called the mawlid. 41 Though this festival was already celebrated on a popular level, the various political powers of the Maghrib began to make it official as part of a clearly ideological operation intended to harness the rising Sharif ideology to their own pursuit of political legitimacy. In the Maghrib, the mawlid was first celebrated officially by the c Azand rulers of Ceuta in 648/1250, and thereafter

the practice spread throughout North Africa. Among the Marinids, although the mawlid had been celebrated by Abu Yusuf, it was his son Abu Ya'qub

125

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

(whose mother belonged to a Sharif 1 family) who in 691/1292 instituted it as a festival to be officially celebrated throughout the realm. Among the Abd al Wadids, the official celebration of the mawlid almost certainly began during the reign of Abu Hammu Musa II, in 760/1359, right after a brief incursion by the Marinids. This is probably related to the Abd al Wadids' claim to be of Sharif! extraction. As for the Nasrids, the oldest reference to the official celebration of the mawlid in Granada comes from 734/1333, during the reign of Yusuf I. Marinid numismatics clearly show the way that political theology began to shape the concept of mawla, a term that encompasses references to the Prophet, the Sharifs, God and the mystical lexicon in general. 42

Marinid religious policies also included two key phenomena in the history of medieval Morocco: the founding of the great medieval madrasas and the development of organised Sufism.

One of the most long lasting symbols of medieval Moroccan culture is the madrasa. This institution was given its initial impetus in the west by the Marinid dynasty with the erection of the Madrasa al Saffarin or al Ya'qubiyya, founded by Abu Yusuf in 675/1276, while he was engaged in building his new courtly city at Fas aljadid. Thereafter madrasas sprang up in all the cities of

Morocco, although the greatest concentration of these buildings was in Fez, the unchallenged cultural centre of the Maghrib at the time. A significant feature of the Marinid madrasa, which distinguished it from the madrasas of the Muslim east, was its exclusively official nature, there being a complete absence of privately founded madrasas. 43 Besides the specific conditions which the Maliki doctrine imposed on the creation of religious endowments (waqfs) and which prevented founders from having any real control over their foundations (the factor which has been used to explain the relative absence of madrasas in al Andalus, for example), this lack of privately founded madrasas in Marinid Morocco seems rather to have been the result of a prolonged and conscious effort on the part of the dynasty to maintain control over the educational system and through it the scholarly elite. In the case of Fez, attention has been drawn to the tensions that were present in the city at the time the first madrasa was founded, for the founding coincided with the construction of the new palatine city of Fas al Jadid, as well as the anti Jewish pogrom of 674/1276, events occurring against a backdrop of traditional hostility between the citizens of Fez and their new rulers. Hence the construction of the madrasa has been interpreted as an attempt by the first Marinid sultans to defuse the city's opposition to their rule while at the same time facilitating the arrival and settlement of loyal 'ulama' of Zanata origin. 44 It is certainly true that the Marinids managed to overcome the

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

initial opposition of the Maliki establishment and began to work with them, particularly during the reign of Abu Ya'qub and thereafter. 45 This

co operation at first enabled the Malikis to draw the Marmids into accepting Malikism, which by that time had become a form of orthodoxy in a state of confrontation with what remained of Almohad mahdism. For their part, the Marinid sultans managed, through the founding of madrasas, to create a monopoly over education and all fields in any way related to it, such as the official form of preaching or the judicature, since appointment to all such positions was under the direct control of the sultans. In this fashion they were able to sponsor the creation of an elite dedicated to the construction of Marinid political legitimacy. 46

The madrasa created a cultural model 47 represented by the Maliki l alim of Fez, trained in all the religious sciences but most particularly in law, a scholar who was the repository of a body of knowledge based on works such as Sahnun's Mudawwana and Khalil ibn Ishaq's Mukhtasar. With his strict Malikism and hypertrophied memory, 48 the 'alim of Fez was by no means an isolated or local phenomenon. Fez played an enormous role in the shaping of the scholarly culture of the entire Maghrib, largely by virtue of the fact that the 'ulama' of other regions would travel to Fez to pursue their studies. This facilitated, on a regional scale, the establishment of strong ties between the scholars of Fez and Tlemcen throughout the middle ages, despite the mutual hostility of their rulers. To some extent, the Marmids were able to use this scholarly culture to propagate their image as defenders of religion when they attempted to legitimise their eastward expansion. It is no accident that the man who wrote the longest eulogy of Abu 'l Hasan was Ibn Marzuq, member of a distinguished family of Tlemcen that was representative of this city's important intellectual tradition during the [Abd al Wadid period. 49

The institutionalisation of Sufism 50 took place in the Maghrib between the seventh/thirteenth and tenth /sixteenth centuries. Sufism had arrived at the same time as the beginning of the cult of saints sometime in the fifth/eleventh or sixth/twelfth century. Thereafter, what had started out as an individual expression of piety turned into an organised movement which was institu

tionalised around great mystical brotherhoods. These brotherhoods played a crucial role in Moroccan history, not only because they provided their initiates with a framework for socialisation, an ethical code and a body of doctrine and ritual, but also because they were involved in the management of material resources, participated in the organisation of agriculture and trade and, last but not least, entered the political arena by serving as arbiters of tribal

127

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

disputes and acting as either allies or competitors of the sultans even on occasion aspiring to political rule.

Although Sufism was by no means a uniquely Maghribi phenomenon, it quickly acquired special features there. Indeed, one of the most important mystical ways (turuq, sing, tanqa) to come out of medieval Islam was founded by the Moroccan Abu 'l Hasan al Shadhili (d. 656/1258), whose influence spread throughout the Muslim world. The importance which al Shadhili placed on his Sharifi ancestry is indicative of the relationship that existed between the development of Sufism, the rise of the cult of the Prophet Muhammad and the formation of the Sharifi ideology. Two centuries later, the tradition of the Shadhiliyya was given a new reformulation by Muhammad ibn Sulayman aljazuli, to whom most of the later currents of Sufism are linked one way or another. Beginning in the ninth/ fifteenth century, the Jazulis played a key role in the history of Morocco in general, and in the rise of the Sa'di dynasty in particular.

Although it could be claimed that there existed a certain inherent tension between the urban Malikī cālm and the rural Sufi saint, such opposition cannot be discerned with any degree of certainty. It is true that the 'ulama' engaged in polemical exchanges with the Sufis. Such disputes were of a diverse nature. From an epistemological point of view, they were related to the claim of the religious scholars that legal reasoning should serve as a model for any epistemological operation.⁵¹ On a more material plane, the scholars of religious law also found fault with the ways the mystical brotherhoods financed themselves.⁵² However, there were many individuals who were both scholar and mystic at the same time, which proves that these were not mutually incompatible domains. Be that as it may, what made Sufism important in the Maghrib was the creation of institutionalised brotherhoods and their subsequent conversion into a potent social and political force. In this context, it is understandable that, in addition to supporting the creation of a scholarly elite, the Marinid sultans would also end up providing support to these mystical organisations.

Consequently, in their zeal to build legitimacy, the religious policies of the Marinids ultimately helped to shape two different processes that were occurring simultaneously and actually feeding into each other, namely the development of Sharifism (linked to the veneration of the Prophet and the celebration of the mawlid) on the one hand, and the Sufi brotherhoods on the other. In the Maghrib, the confluence of these two factors often occurred around the figure of a mahdi.⁵³ However, in the end, it was not the Marinids who benefited from the new religious order which all these movements were leading towards, but rather their successors, the Sa'dis.

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

The <Abd al-Wadids of Tlemcen 54

The Banu 'Abd al Wad were Zanata Berbers from the central Maghrib closely related to the Marinids. At the beginning of the seventh/thirteenth century they governed Tlemcen in the name of the Almohad caliphs. As the Almohad empire based in distant Marrakesh crumbled, Yaghmurasan ibn Zayyan, 55 a member of one of the branches of the c Abd al Wadids called the Banu Zayyan (or Zayyanids), managed to found an independent state. The 'Abd al Wadid state was indissolubly linked to its capital Tlemcen, a key strategic location on both the route that connected Ifriqiya and the western Maghrib and the route that took trans Saharan trade to Mediterranean outlets at Hunayn and Oran. The economic and commercial importance of the 'Abd al Wadid capital explains, for example, its long standing relations with European merchants, among them the Catalans. 56 The city's prosperity nourished a lively artistic and cultural scene, even during the more turbulent and unstable moments in its political history that were brought about by its endless disputes with the Marinids of Fez and the Hafsids of Tunis. 57

These conflicts were already in existence at the time of the state's founding, for Yaghmurasan ibn Zayyan was unable to establish sovereignty over his territories definitively until they had first been subjected to a Hafsid occupation in 640/1242, which forced him to recognise the neighbouring regime as the legitimate caliphate, and then an attack by the Almohad caliph al Sa'id, in

646/1248. The death of the latter in an ambush set by 'Abd al Wadid troops finally paved the way to independent rule. However, the long period of confrontation with the Marinids commenced at that time, and this enmity caused the c Abd al Wadid regime to swing its support back to the last Almohad caliphs in their struggle against the sultans of Fez. As mentioned, this enmity is explained in the sources as an ancient tribal feud, but it was clearly based on the ferocious competition for control of the trade routes. The first serious confrontation between the two dynasties took place when 'Abd al Wadid forces came to the aid of the inhabitants of Fez, in the midst of an uprising against their new lord Abu Yahya, and ended in defeat for the 'Abd al Wadids at the battle of Isly in 647/1250. This defeat was the first in a series that took place over the following years, culminating in a battle for control of Sijilmasa in 655/1257, which left that city at least momentarily in Marinid hands. In 662/1263^ thanks to their allies the Ma'qil Arabs, the 'Abd al Wadids managed to recapture Sijilmasa, but only held it until 673/1274, when it fell once more to the Marinids. The hostility between the two dynasties spread even to al Andalus, with the 'Abd al Wadids becoming involved in the

129

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

struggle to dominate the Straits of Gibraltar by making pacts with both Nasrids and Castilians to thwart Abu Yusuf's campaigns in the Peninsula.

The Marinid Abu Ya'qub's decision to capture Tlemcen once and for all led him to mount three expeditions against the central Maghrib. The last of these culmi

nated in the great siege of the city, into which Abu Ya'qub poured all the resources of his state. During the siege, which lasted from 698/ 1299 until Abu Ya'qub's death in 706 / 1306, resistance within the city was led first by Yaghmurasan's son Abu Said 'Uthman I and then by his successor Abu Zayyan I. Meanwhile, the former amir had ceased to recognise the authority of the Hafsid caliphate in 698/1299.

The failure of this siege led to three decades of stability in Tlemcen under the rule of first Abu Hammu Musa I (r. 707 18/1308 18) and then Abu Tashfln I (r. 718 37/1318 37), 58 who assumed power after having murdered his father. The sultanate was then rebuilt and the capital was given a real makhzan and a chancellery. It was also during this period, particularly during Abu Tashfln I's reign, that the conquest of territory at the expense of the Hafsids, who at that time occupied not only Ifriqiya but also Bougie and Constantine, began in earnest. Unsurprisingly, Abu Tashfln I began to reveal his ambition to claim the caliphate by using the title of amir al mifminin, 'Prince of the Believers'. 59 Abu Tashfln I expected to capture Bougie and Constantine, but his actions led the Hafsids to request assistance from Fez. Thus began the period of the great eastward expansion of the Marlnids under Abu 'l Hasan and Abu 'Inan. For the inhabitants of Tlemcen, this period therefore represented a kind of interregnum of Marinid domination between 737/1337 and 760/1359, with the exception of four years of Abdal Wadid rule under Abu Sa'ld 'Uthman II (r. 749 53/1348 52).

The revolt of the Arab tribes of the central Maghrib was one of the reasons for the failure of Abu 'Inan's expansion eastward. It was Dawawida Arabs who returned control of Tlemcen to Abu Hammu Musa II, who was able to re establish his government thanks to an alliance with the Ma'qil and Banu 'Amir

Arabs. However, an attack on Bougie in 767/1366 ended in a crushing defeat for the Abd al Wadids. Shortly after, another Marinid offensive against the central Maghrib led by the sultan Abd al 'Aziz managed to capture Tlemcen in 772/1370 and drive Abu Hammu Musa II from his capital. He was only able to return to it two years later, after reaching an agreement with the Marinids. Finally, the combination of new hostilities with the Marinids and a revolt led by his son Abu Tashfin II put an end to Abu Hammu Musa II's rule as well as his life in 791/1389, after which the new sultan declared his vassalage to the Fez amirs.

As it is for the western Maghrib, the narrative history of the ninth/fifteenth century in the central Maghrib comprises a rapid succession of sultans overlying a complicated tangle of political intrigues which is exacerbated by a notable

130

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

absence of documentary sources. In general terms, however, it is clear that once the Marinid threat from the west had faded, the 'Abd al Wadid domains were subjected to a series of incursions by the Hafsids of Tunis. The caliph Abu Faris carried out a fierce campaign against both the central and western Maghrib in 827/1424 in which he unseated the sultan of Tlemcen Abu Malik ibn 'Abd al Wahid, placing in his stead Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad ibn Abi Tashfin. This Hafsid offensive made similar headway against Marinid power, and the sultan

'Abd al Haqq eventually also rendered homage to Abu Faris. Abu Faris continued to exert a powerful influence over Tlemcen affairs for some time after the campaign, and even conquered it for a second time in 834/1431, setting up a new 'Abd al Wadid sultan, Abu '1 'Abbas Ahmad ibn Abi Hammu.

Later in the century, the caliph Abu 'Amr 'Uthman was prompted to resume Hafsid meddling in the affairs of Tlemcen when his protege, the sultan Abu '1 'Abbas Ahmad ibn Abi Hammu, was deposed by his rival Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad III al Mutawakkil, who rejected the authority of the Hafsids. After several unsuccessful attempts, a Tunisian expedition finally reached the walls of Tlemcen in 871/1466 and forced the 'Abd al Wadid sultan to submit to Hafsid rule once more.

As with the Marinids, the history of the 'Abd al Wadid dynasty during the tenth /sixteenth century was marked by foreign interference. The Spanish took Mars al Kabir in 911/1505, Oran in 915/1509 and Bougie in 916/1510, forcing the sultans of Tlemcen to pay tribute and exercising over them a kind of broad protectorate. Furthermore, the power of the Ottoman Turks began to make itself present in the area, and 'Aruj Barbarossa, lord of Algiers since 922/1516, momentarily occupied Tlemcen in 923/1517. Caught between these two outside influences, the 'Abd al Wadids managed to maintain their autonomy by virtue of complex diplomatic manoeuvres. 2 One of the low points in relations between the sultanate and the Spanish enclave at Oran occurred when a Spanish expeditionary force occupied Tlemcen for twenty two days in 949/1543. The final years of 'Abd al Wadid rule were spent resisting pressure from the Turks and the Sa'di Sharifs, who occupied Tlemcen in 957/1550, an occupation that was merely the prelude to the definitive fall of the city to the Turks in 958/1551 and the consequent disappearance of the 'Abd al Wadid dynasty.

The Nasrid kingdom of Granada 63

In al Andalus, the power vacuum caused by the disintegration of the Almohad caliphate was filled by various local notables, the most prominent being Ibn Hud, who proclaimed himself amir almuslimm in Murcia in 625/1228. At the time,

131

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

al Andalus was rapidly losing ground to the so called 'Reconquista' being carried out by the Christian kingdoms. The main impetus to this southward advance was provided by Fernando III, who had succeeded in unifying the kingdoms of Castile and Leon. In the face of the apparent inability of Ibn Hud to halt the Christian conquest, new local leaders began to challenge his authority. One of these leaders was Muhammad ibn Yusuf Ibn al Ahmar (Muhammad I), who declared independent rule in Arjona in 629/1232 and then began a steady expansion of the territory under his control. In 635/1238 he entered Granada, which he then made his capital. Shortly afterwards, Almeria and Malaga acknowledged his authority, making his state the last redoubt of Islam in the Peninsula.

The Nasrid kingdom of Granada lasted until 897/1492, and its history is largely a story of survival. One of the first actions of the new regime was to reach agreements with the Christian kingdoms, momentarily halting the Reconquista so that the Nasrids could eliminate their Andalus! competitors. Thus, one of

the key moments in the genesis of the Nasrid kingdom was the treaty of Jaen (643/1246), signed by Muhammad I and Fernando III, according to which the sultan surrendered that city to the king of Castile and Leon and also agreed to become Fernando III's vassal. In keeping with the standard formula for pacts of vassalage common in the Peninsula during the medieval period, this meant that Muhammad I would pay tribute (Spanish *parias*) to Fernando III and provide military assistance when necessary. ⁶⁴ This political pragmatism, combined with extraordinary diplomatic skills, largely explains the Nasrid kingdom's survival. With the appearance of the various different regimes in the Maghrib, the Nasrids were able to multiply their opportunities for diplomatic action, converting themselves into key players in the complex manoeuvres for control of the Straits of Gibraltar. Thus, the Nasrids skilfully exploited the military involvement of the Marinids in al Andalus, with all its variations, to help guarantee their survival. To the North African factor should be added the onset of a long period of political turmoil within the kingdom of Castile, which sharply contrasted with the demographic density and economic strength of the kingdom of Granada. These two factors combined to keep the Reconquista at bay for a very long time.

The reigns of Muhammad I and Muhammad II coincided with the first phase of Marinid incursions into the Peninsula under Abu Yusuf. Though the Marinid sultans themselves gained little from these expeditions in terms of territorial acquisitions, the Nasrids were able to use them to consolidate their own territories by pursuing a complicated diplomatic strategy that was not above playing off the Christians against the Marinids, as shown by the co operation between the Nasrid army and the Castilians and Aragonese in the conquest of Tarifa from the Marinids in 591/1292. Nasrid territorial

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

consolidation also involved internal pacification, such as when a serious uprising led by the Banu Ashqilula, governors of Malaga and Guadix, was put down. It was during this period that the Nasrid regime set up its seat of government in the Alhambra complex at Granada, from where the state's administrative apparatus ruled over a territory that was now demographically robust, nourished in large part by a sizeable community of Muslim immigrants from the north.

The reigns of Muhammad III and Nasr were particularly marked by the conflict over control of the Straits, which saw the mobilisation of political and military resources by all the powers of the region, including the Aragonese and the Abd al Wadids. For a few years, from 705/1306 to 709/1309, the Nasrids even ruled Ceuta. It was also a period which saw considerable dynastic infighting between sultans and pretenders, something that would become endemic in the history of Nasrid Granada. Thus, Muhammad III was deposed by Nasr in 708/1309 and murdered in 713 / 1314, Nasr himself being overthrown in that same year by Ismail I.

During the reign of Yusuf I (r. 733 55/1333 54), despite serious military reverses such as the Marinid debacle at the Rio Salado in 741/1340 and the loss of Algeciras in 744/1344 (which spelled the end of Marinid intervention in the Peninsula), an economic boom provided stability to the kingdom and allowed the regime to undertake major projects such as the construction of

the madrasa of Granada. Yusuf I's successor Muhammad V (r. 755/1354–93/1362) was probably the most important of the Nasrid sovereigns. His reign was marked by a strengthening of Granada's international position, thanks to a combination of circumstances. The internal situation in Castile, torn by the civil war between Peter I and Enrique of Trastámara, enabled Muhammad V to stabilise his relations with that Christian kingdom, ushering in a period of political stability on that front that was unusual in Nasrid history. At the same time, the reign of Muhammad V saw an inversion in the political balance relative to the states of North Africa. Whereas previously it had been the Marinids who intervened in Andalusí politics, now the Nasrids began to exert their influence in the politics of Fez. A symbol of this new strength was the fact that Muhammad V himself or a member of his family assumed the role of *shaykh alghuzat*, a military office previously reserved for Marinid princes. Muhammad V took over the last bastions of Marinid power in the Peninsula at Ronda and Gibraltar and used Marinid pretenders who had sought refuge at the court of Granada to intrigue against the sultans of Fez. One of these pretenders, Abu 'l-ʿAbbās ibn Abi Salim, actually seized power in Fez in 776/1374. Muhammad V's international contacts extended as far as the 'Abd al-Wadīds of Tlemcen and the Mamluks of Cairo. The ultimate symbol of this

age of Nasrid splendour is the Alhambra complex at Granada, which largely achieved its definitive form during these years. 65

By contrast, the ninth/fifteenth century was one long slide into decline, beginning with the loss of Antequera in 813/1410, a heavy psychological and military blow for the Granadines. Military pressure from the Christians was not continuous during this period, but the endless intra dynastic squabbles prevented the Nasrid authorities from exploiting the opportunities offered by truces or Christian military inactivity. An important role in the interminable series of conspiracies, dethronements and assassinations was played by the family of the Banu '1 Sarraj, known to the Christian sources as the Abencerrajes', who helped several sultans to gain power, among them Muhammad IX, whose rule saw the commencement of a prolonged period of civil unrest. On top of uprisings of religious inspiration, notably that led by Yusuf al Mudajjan in the city of Granada itself, Muhammad IX also had to deal with a long confrontation with Muhammad VIII. This civil war prompted the intervention of Castilian troops under Juan II, who defeated the Granadine forces at the battle of La Higueruela in 834/1431 and imposed on the kingdom a humiliating truce, as well as a new sultan, Yusuf IV. However, Yusuf IV enjoyed only a few months of power before being ousted by Muhammad IX, who then assumed the Nasrid throne for the third time.

The Castilian advance was relentless over the following years. At the same time, political life within the Nasrid dynasty grew ever more intricate as a consequence of internal splits that weakened the brief attempts to mount a military response to Castile. The Castilians naturally did what they could to exacerbate these domestic conflicts, by setting a high price for truces or backing one Nasrid pretender against another, for the Christian kingdom obviously

stood to benefit from the economic and political weakening of Granada.

The reign of the sultan Abu 'l Hasan Ali(r. 869 87/1464 82 and 888 90/1483 5)

represented one last moment of stability for the kingdom of Granada, a respite

which lasted until Isabel I's assumption of the Castilian throne in 1474 put an end

to a long period of domestic troubles in Castile. Her marriage to Fernando II of

Aragon led to the unification of the two kingdoms, and the new dual monarchy,

known as 'the Catholic Monarchs', unleashed the final offensive against Nasrid

Granada in 887/1482. Amidst the vicissitudes of this long campaign, civil war

broke out in Granada first between Abu 'l Hasan Ali and his son Muhammad XI

(called 'Boabdil' by the Castilian sources), and then between the latter and his

uncle Muhammad XII. With the help of the Castilians, Muhammad XI was the

eventual victor in the civil war, but he was destined to be the last sultan of

Granada. Although he made one last bid to resist the Christian advance by

requesting aid, as his predecessors had done, from the Mamluks of Egypt, he

134

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

could not prevent the inevitable fall of the kingdom. The siege of Granada began

in 896/1491 and culminated in the surrender of the city to the Catholic Monarchs

on 2 RabT I 897/2 January 1492, the last day of al Andalus.

The Mudejars and Moriscos

The end of Muslim rule did not put an end to the presence of Muslims, who could still live as 'Mudejars' and, when forced to convert, as Moriscos. Mudejars (Arabic mudajjan, 'subject', 'tamed') first appeared with the Christian conquest of Toledo in 478/1085. But Mudejars were not only Muslims who stayed when their lands were conquered, but also Muslims who immigrated from al Andalus because of conflict or economic need, benefiting from the statute of free persons granted to them in Christian lands. In some cases, Muslim immigrants were also attracted by the opportunities for employment offered by the Christian nobility in need of manpower. Another section of the Muslim population comprised former slaves who, on regaining their freedom, were under no obligation to convert to Christianity. The presence of such sizeable Muslim populations under Christian rule was an exceptional circumstance whose legitimacy in religious terms was denied by many Muslim scholars, and this moral condemnation had the effect of stimulating a flow in the opposite direction, with many Mudejar Muslims migrating to what was left of al Andalus and beyond. 67

Mudejar communities in the kingdoms of Christian Spain and their living conditions were not homogeneous. For example, after the conquest of Toledo, very few Mudejars remained in Castile, though as the frontier shifted southward the Muslim population grew larger. This was an essentially urban population concentrated in dispersed locations. At first, kings of Castile like Fernando III respected the pacts they had made with the Mudejar communities, but things changed after the 'Mudejar Revolt' of 1264, an uprising which was fomented by the Nasrid sultan. In the kingdom of Aragon, the large Mudejar community was basically a rural population who benefited from the relatively permissive policies of the Aragonese monarchs, though a few violent episodes occurred during the seventh/ thirteenth and eighth /fourteenth centuries.

The Mudejar communities were organised around the 'aljama' (Arabic

aljama c a, 'community'). Initially 'aljama' referred to the council of notables who oversaw the life of the Mudejars in its legal and religious aspects and represented the community before the Christian authorities. The term even tually came to mean the Mudejar community itself and even the quarters where Muslims dwelt. These 'aljamás' were organised around the 'alcaide', equivalent to a judge, who represented the highest legal authority for the

135

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

community and was at first chosen by that community, although from the eighth/fourteenth century it was often the king who appointed him. An important role was also played by the 'alfaqui' as expert in religion and law.

A committee of Muslim notables appointed by the king decided how much

head tax would be paid by each member of the community and saw to its collection. The total amount of this tax was set by the monarch, and by the

ninth/fifteenth century it was becoming increasingly exorbitant. Subject in

different measures to Christian and Muslim law, the Mudejar communities

were endogamous, since it was forbidden to engage in sexual relations outside

the community, and strict rules about what Muslims could wear in public served to reinforce their sense of separateness. Measures like these were intended to emphasise the differences between Christians and the Mudejar

(and Jewish) communities, yet at the same time there was growing pressure to

convert to Christianity. By virtue of the large proportion of Mudejar crafts

men, their influence was strongly felt in areas such as construction and textiles. As for the Arabic language, its use among the Mudejars of Castile

and Aragon gradually disappeared outside of religious functions, though in Navarre and Valencia Arabic continued to be spoken into the Morisco period.

The conquest of Granada had great repercussions for the Muslims. The inhabitants of the former Nasrid territory initially acquired the status of Mudejars. In terms of the density of the Muslim population and their strong sense of identity, the Granadines were by no means similar to the other Mudejars, and despite the initial Spanish policy of peaceful assimilation, the problems of cohabitation soon appeared. The Mudejars of Granada revolted in 1499, and official 'tolerance' vanished with the issuing in 1502 of a royal decree obliging all the Muslims of the kingdom of Castile to convert. By means of this forced conversion en masse, the Mudejars became 'New Christians' or 'Moriscos'. In 1609, another royal decree called for their forced expulsion from the Peninsula.

Political, religious and legal pressures intended to suppress the special features of their identity prompted a great Morisco rebellion in Granada (1568-70) and resulted in the deportation of the bulk of the Moriscos of the former kingdom of Granada to Castile (a tiny elite of the Morisco nobility managed to become integrated into Christian society). Though many of the Morisco converts were undoubtedly now sincere Christians, within the Spanish monarchy suspicions about that sincerity persisted. The great fear was that most Moriscos were continuing to practise Islam in secret and thus constituted a kind of Muslim 'Fifth Column' in the heart of Spain, ready to provide aid to and request aid from the Ottoman empire. At the same time, the implementation of policies of 'purity of blood' in Spain in this period hindered Morisco assimilation.

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

The reconquista and the Morisco community fed a large scale migration from al Andalus to North Africa. 69 These Andalusí communities maintained a separate identity, with different degrees of social integration. 70 One large contingent which came primarily from Extremadura settled in the port city of Sale, established what has been called a 'corsair republic' and had contacts with the Spanish monarchy. A military career or a life among the corsair fleets were common occupations of choice among the Andalusí emigrants. Perhaps it was in Tunis that the Moriscos managed best to integrate, for they ended up playing a key role in the prosperity of that city and also preserved for many years the peculiar traits of their identity, such as the use of aljamiado, Romance written in the Arabic script.

3 Marinids

'Abd al Haqq (d. 614/1217)

'Abu Sa'id 'Uthman I (614 38/1217 40)

Abu Mu'arráf Muhammad (638 42/1240 4)

Abu Yahya Abu Bakr (642 56/1244 58))

Abu Hafs 'Umar (656 8/1258 9)

AbuYusufYa'qub (656 85/1258 86)

Abu Ya'qub Yusuf (685 706/1286 1307)

Abu Thabit Amir (706 7/1307 8)

Abu 'l Rabi' Sulayman (707 9/1308 10)

Abu Sa'id I 'Uthman II (709 31/1310 31)
Abu 'l Hasan Ah (731 52/1331 51)
Abu 'Inan Faris (749 59/1348 58)
Abu Zayyan I (759/1358)
al Sa'id I (759 60/1358 9)
Abu Salim (760 2/1359 61)
Abu 'Amr Tashf in (762f. / 1361)
Abu Zayyan II (763 7/1361 6)
Abu Faris 'Abd al 'Aziz (767 74/1366 72)
al Sa'id II (774 6/1372 4)
Abu 'l 'Abbas Ahmad (775 86/1374 84), first reign
Abu Faris Musa (786 8/1384 6)
Abu Zayyan III (788/1386)
Muhammad al Wathiq (788 9/1386 7)
Abu 'l 'Abbas Ahmad (789 96/1387 93), second reign
Abu Faris 'Abd al Aziz (796 9/1393 6)
Abu 'Amir 'Abd Allah (799 800/1396 8)
Abu Sa'id 'Uthman III (800 23(?)/i398 1420X?)
Abd al Haqq (824? 869/1421? 1465)

4 Wattasids

Muhammad al Shaykh (876 910 / 1472 1505)

Muhammad al Burtuqali (910 31/1505 24)

Abu Hassun (931 2/1524 6), first reign

Abu 1 Abbas Ahmad (932 52/1526 45), first reign

al Nasir al Qasn (953 5 / 1546 8)

Abu 1 Abbas Ahmad (955 7/1548 50), second reign

Abu Hassun (957 61/1550 4), second reign

5 'Abd al Wadids

Yaghmurasan ibn Zayyan (633 81 / 1236 83)

Abu Sa'id 'Uthman I (681 703 / 1283 1303)

Abu Zayyan I (703 7/1303 8)

Abu Hammu Musa I (707 18/1308 18)

Abu Tashfin I (718 37/1318 37)

MARINIDS

Abu Sa'id 'Uthman II and Abu Thabit I (749 53/1348 52)

MARINIDS

Abu Hammu Musa II (760 91/1359 89)

Abu Tashfin II (791 5/1389 93)

Abu Thabit II (796/1393)

Abu 1 Hajjaj Yusuf (796 7/1393 4)

Abu Zayyan II (797 802/1394 9)

Abu Muhammad Abd Allah I (802 4/1399 1401)

Abu Abd Allah Muhammad I (804 13 / 1401 11)

Abd al Rahman ibn Muhammad (813f./1411)
Sa'id ibn Musa (814/1411)
Abu Malik Abd al Wahid (814 27/1411 23)
Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad II (827 31/1423 7; 833 4/1429 30)
Abu 1 Abbas Ahmad (834 66/1430 61)
Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad III al Mutawakkil (866 73/1461 8)
Abu Tashf in III (873/1468)
Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad IV al Thabiti (873 910/1468 1504)
Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad V al Thabiti (910 23/1504 17)
Abu Hammu Musa III (923 34/1517 27)
Abu Muhammad Abd Allah II (934 47/1527 40)
Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad VI (947/1540)
Abu Zayyan III (947 50/1540 3; 951 7/1544 50)
al Hasan ibn 'Abd Allah (957/1550)

138

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

6 Nasrids (Barm 'I Ahmar)

Muhammad I (629 71/1232 73)

Muhammad II (671 701 / 1273 1302)

Muhammad III (701 8/1302 9)

Nasr (708 13/1309 14)

Isma'il I (713 25 / 1314 25)

Muhammad IV (725 33 / 1325 33)

Yusuf I (733 55/i333 54)

Muhammad V (755 60/1354 9), first reign

Isma'il II (760 1 / 1359 60)

Muhammad VI (El Bermejo) (761 3/1360 2)

Muhammad V (763 93/1362 91), second reign

Yusuf II (793 4/1391 2)

Muhammad VII (794 810/1392 1408)

Yusuf III (810 20/1408 17)

Muhammad VIII (El Pequeno) (820 2/1417 19), first reign

Muhammad IX (al Aysar) (1419 27), first reign

Muhammad VIII (El Pequeno) (1427 30), second reign

Muhammad IX (al Aysar) (1430 1), second reign

Yusuf IV (Ibn al Mawl) (1432)

Muhammad IX (al Aysar) (1432 45), third reign

Yusuf V (El Cojo) (849/i445f)

Isma'il III (849 51/1446 7)

Muhammad IX (al Aysar) (851 7/1447 53), fourth reign

Muhammad X (El Chiquito) (1453 4), first reign

Sa'd (1454 5), first reign

Muhammad X (El Chiquito) (1455), second reign

Sa'd (1455 62), second reign

Isma'il IV (1462 3)

Sa'd (1463 869/1464), third reign

Abu 'l Hasan 'All (869 87/1464 82), first reign

Muhammad XI (Boabdil) (887 8/1482 3), first reign

Abu 'l Hasan 'Ah (888 90/1483 5), second reign

Muhammad XII (El Zagal) (890 2/1485 7)

Muhammad XI (Boabdil) (892 7/1487 92), second reign

Notes

1. G. Marcais, *Les Arabes en Berberie du Xle au XVIe siecle*, Constantine and Paris, 1913.

2. Michael Brett, 'The flood of the dam and the sons of the new moon', in M. Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the Medieval Maghrib*, Variorum Collected Studies Series 9, Aldershot, 1999.

139

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

3. A. al Azmeh, *Ibn Khaldun in modern scholarship: A study in Orientalism*, London, 1981;

A. al Azmeh, *Ibn Khaldun: An essay in reinterpretation*, (London and Totowa, NJ, 1982.

4. For example, H. de Castries et al. (ed.), *Sources inedits de l'histoire du Maroc*, Paris, 1905 1961.

5. For all this period, see Ahmed Khaneboubi, *Les premiers sultans merinides*, 1269 iyi:

Histoire politique et sociale, Paris, 1987, 33 9.

6. The most updated and thorough analysis is that by Mohammed Kably, *Societe*,

pouvoir et religion au Maroc a la fin du moyen age, Paris, 1986, analysed by Bernard

Rosenberger, A la recherche des racines du Maroc moderne. A propos du livre

de Mohammed Kably: Societe, pouvoir et religion au Maroc a la fin du moyen age ,

SI, 68 (1988), 147 69. Here I closely follow Kably's book, complementing it with

reference to other studies, among them the classic texts on Moroccan history

such as C. A. Julien, Histoire de l'Afrique du Nord: Des origines a 1830, Paris, 1951.

7. Ambrosio Huici Miranda, 'La toma de Sale por la escuadra de Alfonso X',

Hesperis, 39 (1952), 41 74.

8. Halima Ferhat, Sabta des origines au XVIe siecle, Rabat, 1993, 244f.

9. H. Bressolette and J. Delaroziere, 'Fes Jdid de sa fondation en 1276 au milieu du

XXe siecle', Hesperis Tamuda, 20 1 (1982 3), 245 318.

10. D. Corcos, 'The Jews of Morocco under the Marinides', in Studies in the history of

the Jews of Morocco, Jerusalem, 1976, 1 62, and 'Les Juifs au Maroc et leurs mellahs',

in ibid. 64 130.

11. M. A. Manzano Rodriguez, 'Tremecen: Precisiones y problemas de un largo

asedio (698 706/1299 1307)', AQ, 14, 2 (1993), 417 39.

12. M. Shatzmiller, L'historiographie merinide: Ibn Khaldun et ses contemporains,

Leiden, 1982, 9 25.

13. R. Thoden, Abu'l Hasan 'All: Merinidenpolitik zwischen Nordafrika und Spanien in

denjahrenyio J52 H./1310 1351, Freiburg, 1973.

14. On relations between this tribe and the Marinids, see J. Berque, Antiquites

Seksawa', Hesperis, 40 (1953), 359 417.

15. M. A. Manzano Rodriguez, 'El Magreb bajo el poder de los visires: Los Banu

Fudud', AQ, 16 (1995), 403 19.

16. P. de Cenival, 'Les emirs des Hintata, "rois" de Marrakech', *Hesperis*, 24 (1937),

245 57-

17. M. Garcia Arenal, 'The revolution of Fas in 869/1465 and the death of Sultan

<Abd al Haqq al Marim, *BSOAS*, 41 (1978), 43 66.

18. A. Cour, *La dynastie marocaine des Beni Wattas (1420 1554)*, Constantine, 1920.

19. For what follows, see M. A. Manzano Rodriguez, *La intervencion de los Benimerines en la Peninsula Iberica*, Madrid, 1992.

20. M.A. Manzano Rodriguez, 'Apuntes sobre una institution representativa del sultanato nazari: El say] alguzat', *AQ*, 13 (1992), 305 22.

21. M. Kably, 'Espace et pouvoir au "Maroc" a la fin du "moyen age"', in *Variations islamistes et identite au Maroc medieval*, Paris, 1989, 65 78.

22. M. Fierro, 'Las genealogias de 'Abd al Mu'min, primer califa almohade', *AQ*, 24 (2003), J7 108.

140

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

23. V. Aguilar Sebastian, *Aportacion de los arabes nomadas a la organization militar del ejercito almohade*, *AQ*, 14 (1993), 393 415.

24. Kably, *Societe, pouvoir et religion*, 223ff.; M.J. Viguera, 'Le Maghreb merinide: Un processus de transferement', in *Actes du 8e Congres de l'Union europeenne des*

Arabisants et Islamisants. La signification du haut moyen âge dans l'histoire et la culture du monde musulman, Aix en Provence, 1978, 309-321.

25. M. Shatzmiller. 'The state's domain: Land and taxation', in Shatzmiller, The Berbers and the Islamic state: The Marinid experience in pre Protectorate Morocco, Princeton, 2000, 115-32.

26. J. Devisse, 'Routes de commerce et échanges en Afrique occidentale en relation avec la Méditerranée', Revue d'Histoire Economique et Sociale, 50 (1972), 42-73 and 357-97', B. Rosenberger, 'L'histoire économique du Maghreb', in Geschichte der islamischen Länder: Wirtschaftsgeschichte des vorderen Orients in islamischer Zeit, vol. I, Leiden, 1977, 205-38.

27. O. R. Constable, Housing the stranger in the Mediterranean world: Lodging, trade and travel in Late Antiquity and the Middle Ages, Cambridge, 2003, *icvff*.

28. Kably, 'Espace et pouvoir au "Maroc" à la fin du "moyen âge"', 71.

29. C. Dufourcq, L'Espagne catalane et le Maghrib aux XIII^e et XIV^e siècles: De la bataille de Las Navas de Tolosa (1212) à l'avènement du sultan merinide Abou I Hasan (1331), Paris, 1966.

30. J. Heers, 'Le Sahara et le commerce méditerranéen à la fin du moyen âge', Annales de l'Institut d'Etudes Orientales, 16 (1958), 247-55.

31. B. Rosenberger, Autour d'une grande mine d'argent du moyen âge marocain: Le Jebel Aouam', Hesperis Tamuda, 5 (1964), 15-78.

32. P. Berthier, Un épisode de l'histoire de la canne à sucre: Les anciennes sucreries du Maroc et leurs réseaux hydrauliques. Etude archéologique et d'histoire économique, Rabat, 1966.

33. Ibn Marzuq, Al Musnad al sahih al hasan fi ma'athir mawla. na Abi 'I Hasan, ed.

Maria Jesus Viguera (Algiers, 1981); Spanish trans., *El Musnad: Hechos memorables de Abu I Hasan sultan de los Benimerines*, Madrid, 1977.

34. Serge Gubert, 'Pouvoir, sacre et pensee mystique: Les ecritures emblematiques merinides (Vile XIHe/XVe XVe siecles)', *AQ*, 17 (1996), 391 427.

35. Al Manuni, 'Alaqat al Maghrib bi l Sharq fi 'l 'asr al maririi al awwal', in Waraqai 'an al hadara al maghribiyyafi 'asr BanT Marin, Rabat, 1979, 129 36.

36. V. Cornell, *The realm of the saint: Power and authority in Moroccan Sufism*, Austin, 1998, 127.

37. Khaneboubi, *Les premiers sultans merinids*, 67.

38. M. A. Manzano Rodriguez, 'Onomastica benimerin: El problema de la legitimidad', in M. Luisa Avila (ed.), *Estudios onomdstico biogrdfwos de al Andalus*, vol. II, Granada, 1988, 119 36.

39. Shatzmiller, *L'historiographie merinide*, ch. II, 'Le probleme du mythe des origines', 114 23.

40. H. L. Beck, *L'image d'Idris II, ses descendants de Fas et la politique sharlfienne des sultans marinides (656 869/1258 146)}*, Leiden and New York, 1989, *passim*.

141

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

41. N.J. G. Kaptein, *Muhammad's birthday festival: Early history in the central Muslim lands and development in the Muslim West until the 10th/ 16th century*, Leiden, 1993.

42. Gubert, 'Pouvoir, sacre et pensee mystique'.
43. Kably, Societe, pouvoir et religion, xjo&.
44. M. Shatzmiller, 'Les premiers emirs merinides et le milieu religieux de Fes: Introduction des medersas', SI, 43 (1976), 109 18.
45. Kably, Societe, pouvoir et religion, 2.71.
46. On the judicial system in the Marinid period, see D. Powers, Law, society and culture in the Maghrib, 1300 1500, Cambridge, 2002, 176°.
47. M. Benchekroun, La vie intellectuelle marocaine sous les Merinides et les Wattasides, Rabat, 1974.
48. J. Berque, 'Ville et universite. Apercu sur l'histoire de l'ecole de Fes', Revue Historique du Droit Erancais et Etranger (1949), 64 116.
49. On the scholarly tradition of Tlemcen in the late Middle Ages and early modern period, see Lucette Valensi, 'Le jardin de l'Academie ou comment se forme une ecole de pensee', in H. Elboudrari (ed.), Modes de transmission de la culture religieuse en Islam, Cairo, 1993, 41 64.
50. The most important works on this subject are H. Ferhat, Le Maghreb aux Xlleme et Xllleme siecles: Les siecles de la foi, Casablanca, 1993, and Cornell, The realm of the saint.
51. V. Cornell, 'Faqlh versus faqir in Marinid Morocco: Epistemological dimensions of a polemic', in F. de Jong and B. Radtke (eds.), Islamic mysticism contested: Thirteen centuries of controversies and polemics, Leiden, Boston and Cologne, 1999, 207 24.
52. Francisco Rodriguez Manas, 'Encore sur la controverse entre soufis et juristes au moyen age: Critiques des mecanismes de financement des confreries soufies', Arabica, 43 (1996), 406 21.

53. M. Garcia Arenal, 'La conjonction du sufisme et sharifisme au Maroc: Le mahdi comme sauveur', REMMM, 55 6 (1990), 233 56, and her Messianism and puritanical reform: Mahdis of the Muslim west, Leiden and Boston, 2006.
54. Though it is old, there exists one monograph on the subject: trans. J. J. L. Barges, Complement de l'histoire des Beni Zeiyan, wis de Tlemcen, Paris, 1887.
55. Chantal de la Veronne, Yaghmurasan, premier souverain de la dynastie berbère des Abd al wadides de Tlemcen, Saint Denis, 2002, 17 20.
56. Dufourcq, L'Espagne catalane et le Maghrib aux XIII^e et XIV^e siècles, 145ff.
57. R. I. Lawless, 'Tlemcen, capitale du Maghreb Central. Analyse des fonctions d'une ville islamique', ROMM, 19, 2 (1975), 49 66.
58. A. Dhina, Le royaume abdelouadide à l'époque d'Abou Moussa I^{er} et d'Abou Tachfin I^{er}, Algiers, n.d.
59. A. Dhina, Les états de l'Occident musulman (XIII, XVI et XV siècles), Algiers, 1984, 85.
60. R. Brunschvig, La Berbérie orientale sous les Hafsides, des origines à la fin du XV^e siècle, 2 vols., Paris, 1940, vol. I, 226 7.
61. Ibid. 260 2.
62. C. de la Veronne, Relations entre Oran et Tlemcen dans la première moitié du XVI^e siècle, Paris, 1983.

The post Almohad dynasties, al Andalus and Maghrib

63. The most recent general work on the Nasrid dynasty is M.J. Viguera (ed.), *Historia de Espana Menendez Pidal* (dir. Jose Maria Jover Zamora), vol. VIII 3 and 4. *El reino nazari de Granada (1232 1492)*, Madrid, 2000. Within volume VIII 3, see F. Vidal Castro, 'Historia politica', 47 248, that I follow closely. See also R. Arie, *L'Espagne musulmane au temps des Nasrides (1232 1492)*, Paris, 1973; L. P. Harvey, *Islamic Spain, 1250 to 1500*, Chicago, 1990.
64. M. A. Ladero Quesada, *Granada: Historia de un pais islámico (1232 1571)*, 3rd ed, Madrid, 1989, 127.
65. R. Irwin, *The Alhambra*, London, 2004.
66. M. Garcia Arenal, *La diaspora de los Andalusies*, Barcelona, 2003; A. Echevarria, 'Mudejares y moriscos', in Viguera (ed.), *Historia de Espana Menendez Pidal*, vol. VIII 3, 365 440; Harvey, *Islamic Spain*.
67. P. S. van Koningsveld and G. A. Wieggers, 'The Islamic statute of the Mudejars in the light of a new source', *AQ*, 17 (1996), 19 58.
68. The best synthesis of Morisco history is A. Dominguez Ortiz and B. Vincent, *Historia de los moriscos: Vida y tragedia de una minoria*, Madrid, 1979. See also M. Garcia Arenal, *Los moriscos*, Madrid, 1975, reprinted Granada, 1993.
69. J. E. Lopez de Coca, 'Granada y el Magreb: la Emigration andalusí (1485 1516)', in M. Garcia Arenal and M.J. Viguera (eds.), *Relaciones de la Peninsula Iberica con el Magreb (siglos XIII XVI)*. *Adas del Coloquio*, Madrid, 17 18 diciembre 1988, Madrid, 1988, 409 51.
70. J. D. Latham, *From Muslim Spain to Barbary*, London, 1986.

West Africa and its early empires

ULRICH REBSTOCK

Introduction

The Arab conquest of North Africa was a prelude to a series of developments that reshaped the western part of the ancient world and the way it was viewed. When the general 'Uqba ibn Nafi c al Fihri, who was later to become the glorious eponym of numerous Saharan tribes, reached the Atlantic shores (shortly after 63/682), not only had the 'westernmost' part, al Maghrib al aqsa, been discovered and included into the Islamic cosmos, but also the expansive energies of the advancing Muslim forces had been diverted to the north and south. Within a few decades the largest part of the Iberian Peninsula, al Andalus, was incorporated into the territory of the Umayyad caliphate and brought into direct contact with events along the southern Mediterranean coast and in its hinterland. From there, Muslim traders and pious travellers ventured southwards, at first through areas familiar to them from their Arabian background, and then beyond what was regarded as the confines of the inhabitable world. They explored regions where during a long and changeable process a new geographical and cultural segment arose for the Islamic oecumene. Most of these regions had been unknown to the ancient world. But unlike the Romans, who had shielded their provinces of Mauritania and Africa with a wall (limes) from unpredictable Berber tribes roaming the northern Sahara, the Arabs were concerned not with the protection of a

civilisation but with the spread of a religion that eo ipso ignored boundaries. And, unlike the 'opening conquests' (futiiK) achieved elsewhere by the Muslim armies against states and kingdoms, the Muslim penetration of the Sahara and its fringes neither required nor allowed organised military campaigns. From the very birth to the late fourth/tenth century, the adversaries that Muslim caravans ran into were local rulers, whose authority was restricted either to clusters of oasis settlements, or of nomadic tribal units that interlinked these settlements. Since none of the inhabited regions of the Sahara and downward

144

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa and its early empires

towards the great Senegal and Niger rivers was encompassed by the 400 mm isohyets of annual rainfall at that time, the economic basis of its population rested not on agriculture, but on oasis horticulture, cattle and trade.

It was along the axes of this trade that the first Muslim Arabs and proselytised Berbers advanced southward, setting up temporary trading posts at the routes' intersections and bringing back with them as yet unheard reports of the 'land of the blacks' (bilad al sudari). With these mostly anonymous records, Arabic historians and geographers enriched the knowledge they had inherited from Herodotus and Ptolemy. Until the mid ninth/fifteenth century, when Portuguese captains sailed along the Atlantic coast and up the Senegal and Gambia rivers, the history of the Sahara and the adjacent trans continental

savannah belt remained an Arabic domain, i.e. was written in Arabic and seen from the specific angle of its Arabian compilers. Moreover, until the famous historian Abu 'Ubayd al Bakri finished his *Kitab al masalik wa'l mamalik*, with its section on North Africa, in 460/1068 in Almeria, 1 not only were most of these authors of eastern origin, but they were also merely known for their archival skills of second hand narratives. With his *Rihla*, 'Travels', 2 the Moroccan globetrotter Abu [Abd Allah Muhammad ibn Battuta (d. 770/1368 in Marrakesh), who travelled across the Sahara to the western Sudan between 753/1352. and 754/1353, contributed the first eyewitness account to this narrative historical tradition. 3 Although a great deal of this written tradition sprang from locally reported experiences that were transcribed and fused with the various literary traditions, the historiography of the Sudan essentially remained an external affair for almost a millennium. The so called Timbuktu chronicles, composed within a few decades of the first half of the eleventh/seventeenth century, abruptly ended this autochthonous muteness and added a local and often puzzling perspective to this history. 4 Inevitably, this specific genesis of Sudanic historiography produced a scale of historicity according to which myths and facts could not properly be separated. Only recently has the poor archaeological and epigraphic evidence of West Africa been seriously perceived as a valid source to counterbalance, or even decipher, the puzzles left behind by the literary text tradition. 5

Early contacts and settlements

The militant occupation of the urban centres in North Africa went along with a cumbersome spread of the Islamic faith and the Arabic language. Without the particular mixture of cooperation and resistance of the local Berber population, however, this goal could not have been accomplished, nor as

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the earliest records attest the penetration of the Sahara. From the Nafusa mountains in Libya to Sijilmasa in the Moroccan west, various heterodox Islamic communities (predominantly Kharijis of Ibadi or Sufri faith and dominated by Berber tribes) had installed independent regimes. From their centres, traders followed well known routes into the Sahara and established regular relations that suggest temporary Muslim settlements in and even south of the Sahara at a very early stage. In the east, the oasis of Zawila in the Fezzan (Fazzan), to where 'Uqba had already advanced, attracted Ibadi merchants from 144/761 onwards and developed into a small state that existed until the end of the sixth/twelfth century. From Zawila, a forty day trip led through the oasis of Kavar, across the central Sudan, to the kingdom of Kanem (Kanim) at Lake Chad (Kuri), from where both the Nile (of Egypt) and the 'Nile of Ghana' (the Niger) were thought to issue. In the second half of the third/ninth century, not only was Kavar inhabited by Muslims of partly Berber origin, but also Ibadis had come to live in Kanem long enough to grasp the local language, probably Kanuri, and even to try their missionary skills on the local rulers. A century later, the defeated Hawwara followers of Abu Yazid Makhlad ibn Kaydad, who had rebelled against the Fatimids, withdrew en masse to the central Sahara. Abu Yazid was born in Tadmakkat ('This is Mecca'), around 272/885. This town, which is situated in the mountains of Ifoghas (Adrar n Ifoghas) at the northern end of the Tilemsi valley that reaches the Middle Niger at the city of Gao (or Gaogao, Kawkaw), attracted merchants

from the north and south and developed into a linchpin of trans Saharan trade.

At Tadmakkat, mainly salt mined by slaves in the neighbouring salt pans but

also imported weapons and even horses were traded for gold, black slaves and

leather goods. It linked the northern ports of this trade route, Ghadames (Ghadamis), Wargla (Warjlan), Tahert and Sijilmasa, from where the Mediterranean coast was supplied with sub Saharan goods, with the regions

west and south of the Niger bend. An Ibadi chronicle tells the story of a certain

Tamli al Wisyani who settled in Tadmakkat, amassed a fortune and a treasury

full of gold, and every year sent his zakat (alms) of 5,000 dinars for the poor of

his native town of Tawzar. The earliest unmistakably contemporary source of

the Muslim population of Tadmakkat is Ibn Hawqal, one of the few Arab geographers who himself travelled through the Maghrib and included his experiences in his *Surat al ard* (Picture of the Earth), completed c.

378/988:

As for the Banu Tanamak, the kings of Tadmakka, and the [Sanhaja] tribes

related to them, it is said that they were originally Sudan whose skin and complexion became white because they live close to the North and far from

the land of Kawkaw, and they descend on their mother's side from the

146

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa and its early empires

progeny of Ham . . . They are the rulers, who combine leadership with learning, jurisprudence [fiqh], and political skill, as well as some knowledge

of biographies and they are versed in traditions and history. They are the Banu Tanamak.

Virtually nothing is known of how these Banu Tanamak came into contact

with Islam and its tradition of learning. It was, perhaps, the steady inflow of merchant immigrants from the north that had turned them genealogically white and into Muslims. Tifinaq (Berber script) and Arabic inscriptions on cliffs and tombstones, the earliest of which date back to the year 404/1013f, leave no doubt about the existence of a heterogeneous Muslim community dominating Tadmakkat around the turn of the millennium.

Although dominant in the Arab geographical and historical literature, much less is known of the western parts of the Sahara until the time of al Bakri. The (ancient) myths of gold growing like carrots in the sand or like fruits on trees, the 'silent trade' and its dreadful black agents did not leave much room for credible reporting. The earliest description connects Ghana, this fabulous land of gold, with Sijilmasa at the northern fringe (sahil) of the Sahara. From there, presumably Ibadl merchants also established regular contacts with Sudanic partners of the Senegal valley. Literary and archaeological evidence attributes a major role in these trade relations, between the empire of Ghana and the tribal Berber realms in the western Sahara, to the town of Awdaghusht, situated in the Mauritanian Tagant, not far to the north west of Kumbi Salih, in all probability the capital of Ghana. According to al Bakri, shortly before 360/971 Awdaghusht had been subjugated by a ruler of the Berber tribe Sanhaja, which extended its authority over more than twenty 'black' kingdoms by making them pay the Islamic poll tax (jizya). From the third/ninth century onwards, several of these Sanhaja and their rival Zanata tribes, who controlled the routes through the western Sahara, had adopted Islamic features. The evident laxity of their faith, however, raised questions. At Awdaghusht a first 'clash of cultures' became apparent. Arabs and Berbers lived side by side, both at the expense of thousands of black slaves, under the precarious dependency on the Ghana authorities. Ibn Hawqal, who visited

Sijilmasa, attested to these black African societies being deprived of religion and law and order. Various reports describe how Muslims, although enjoying highly respected consular roles and cultural immunities, nonetheless displayed ugly habits, in respect of both morals and religion. Furthermore, the Maghribi jurist Ibn Abi Zayd al Qayrawam (d. 386/996) declared trade with bilad al sudan to be reprehensible (makruh). These voices echo the rather unorganised dispersion of ruthless, risk taking Muslim traders in the oases

147

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

of the Sahara and the trading centres of the southern sahil, where by the beginning of the fifth/ eleventh century small Muslim communities, more or less disconnected, had come into existence. Their domestic and religious bonds to their native towns remained strong, their proselytising efforts poor. Survival in such an isolated diaspora demanded concessions. The Almoravid movement that set out around 426/1035 to combat these concessions was to do much more than that.

The Almoravid reform movement and the rise of 'Islamic' kingdoms

The splendid successes of the Almoravid movement in North Africa and the Iberian Peninsula have somehow covered up its Saharan origin and far reaching repercussions on the Islamisation of the Sudan. Within forty years (Awdaghusht was taken in 446/1055. and Ghana c. 468/1076) the veiled Sanhaja

camel riders, the dreaded mulaihihamun of the Arabic sources, brought the western Sahara under their control and then disappeared from the West African map as abruptly as they had appeared. This short lived political success and its lasting impact on the modes of Islamic self articulation in the Sudan cannot be explained without the characteristic fusion of nomadic mobility and religious austerity that the movement was based upon. Wondrous stories are told about how Sanhaja pilgrims were transformed by North African Maliki scholars into rigid believers and ideological leaders. [Abd Allah ibn Yasm, son of a Jazula mother of Ghana, was one of them. He managed to unite a confederation of Sanhaja tribes, among them partly Islamised and neophyte Gudala, Lamtuna, Jazula and Masufa, under a reformist message that was vividly depicted in the following description of his newly founded headquarters at Aratnanna: all dwellings of the ribat (hence 'al Murabitun') were to be of equal height; lying, drinking and music were forbidden; neglect of prayer and improper behaviour were punished with the whip and the bride price was made affordable for everybody. Religious and social reform went hand in hand. Its legal reference was the Maliki school of law; its operational field was West Africa. The Almoravid movement set off what ended ultimately in the complete orientation of the Sudan towards the Maliki rite. Later reported 'conversions' to Islam, in reference to the people of Gao around 471/1078?., may simply refer to conversion from Ibadism to Malikism.

Abd Allah himself set the example for another central notion in West African Islam. He withdrew to the desert, refrained from consuming meals of legally doubtful origin, and wore the suf, the woollen garment of the Sufis.

West Africa and its early empires

Thus the figure of 'al Murabit' entered the scene. The maraboutism of both medieval and modern Islam in Africa tells the story of the thorough Africanisation with a French accent of this figure.

Even when the short political adventure of the Almoravids ended, their influence continued to work. Their Sahaja followers, Judala in the south, Masufa in the east, entered regions that had hitherto come into contact with

Islam only superficially, or not at all. South of the Senegal river, the king of Takrur

together with his people, the sedentary Tukulor and the adjacent nomadic

pastoralist Fulbe, converted to Islam. So did the king of Malal, who was fascinated

by the magical powers of a passing Muslim scholar (mallam), although his

Mandingo speaking common subjects were not. Both kingdoms formed part of

Ghana which did not recover from the Almoravid attack. All that can be gathered

from the hearsay stories collected over the next two centuries and combined with

the earlier reports in the Arabic sources points to a slow expansion of the Muslim

faith among the Fulbe, Malinke, Bambara and Dyula populations in the regions

between the rivers of Senegal, Volta and Upper Niger. Islam was thus imported

into the areas from where the much coveted gold and cola nuts were exported.

The rise of the empire of Mali in the late seventh/ thirteenth century must be seen

in the light of this steadily expanding system of economic and social relations

between the savannah and forest regions in the south of Mali, and the growing

trading centres of Walata, Timbuktu, Gao, Tadmakkat and Takadda along the

southern fringe of the Sahara. To the west of Timbuktu were the Sanhaja tribes of

Madasa and Masufa, and to the east the Tuareg Berbers who controlled the salt

mining and organised the profitable exchange of goods with their Sudanic counterparts. Trade and religion intermingled. Profit depended on legal security, communication and the mutual acceptance of cultural norms. The prosperity of the empire of Mali rested on the integration of Islamic norms and the consequent opening up to the wider Islamic world.

Mali and Timbuktu

Although merely a lonesome lantern in the enduring darkness of the history of Islam in West Africa, the *Rihla* (Travels) of Ibn Battuta furnishes essential clues to the understanding of what happened before and after his visit of Mali in 753 4/1352 3. He set off from Sijilmasa, crossed the western Sahara and after passing through Walata (Iwalatan) entered Mali at Zaghari, 'a big village inhabited by traders of the Sudan called Wanjarata with whom live a company of white men who are Kharijites of the IbactI sect called Saghanaghu. The whites who are Sunnis of the Maliki school are called by them tun ['white man' in Mandingo].'⁷

149

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Later, when telling anecdotes of his visits to Gao and Timbuktu (Tunbuktu), he takes up the point of the cohabitation of black and white Muslim communities. Among the latter, odd habits regularly stir his bewilderment: women and men, even a judge (qadi), behave indecently; instead of the paternal, the maternal line dominates hereditary rules; Muslim dignitaries

address the kings at court rituals, and pagan poets, side by side with a khatib, a 'spokesman', take part in Muslim festivals. His observations in the Sudan are illuminating. After all, he spent more time down south at Kabara (Diafarabe?), Zagha (Diakha?) and Niani at the upper course of the Niger, than anywhere else in Mali. 'The Sudan', he wrote,

possess some admirable qualities. They are seldom unjust, and have a greater abhorrence of injustice than any other people. Their sultan shows no mercy to anyone guilty of the least act of it. There is complete security in their country . . . They do not confiscate the property of any white man who dies in their country, even if it be uncounted wealth . . . They are careful to observe the hours of prayer, and assiduous in attending them in congregations, and in bringing up their children to them. If any one of them possesses nothing but a ragged shirt he washes it and cleanses it and attends the Friday prayer in it. Another is their eagerness to memorize the great Koran . . . Among their bad qualities are the following: The women servants, slave girls and young girls go about in front of everyone naked, without a stitch of clothing on them . . . Then there is their custom of putting dust and ashes on their heads as a mark of respect . . . Another reprehensible practice among many of them is the eating of carrion, dogs, and asses.

On his way back via the oasis of Tuwat to Sijilmasa, Ibn Battuta left Timbuktu on a camel's back (100 mithqal, about 430 g of gold, for a horse was too much for his purse) with a caravan that transported 600 slave girls to Takkada and Air. From there, different desert routes led north to Ghadames, the Libyan coast and, probably, even east through Kanem to Egypt.

The geo political orientation of Mali allowed, for the first time in West African history, a direct flow of goods and ideas from the rainforest regions to

the Mediterranean and vice versa. This might explain the 'Rex Melly' on the Mappa Mundi of 739L 1 1339 drawn by the Mallorcan cartographer Angelino Dulcert. A few years later, the venerated poet architect Abu Ishaq Ibrahim 'al Sahili' from Granada was buried in Timbuktu, next to a merchant from Alexandria. The Mansa kings of Mali maintained diplomatic relations with the Moroccan Marinids and the Mamluk sultans of Egypt. A century later, facing the ascent of the Songhay, they even requested assistance from the Portuguese. Mali claimed a seat in the concert of powers and did so by posing

150

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa and its early empires

as an Islamic dynasty and rule. In the external Arab sources less in the Rihla this portrayal is mirrored by the gleam of the gold trade, and pilgrimage transferred to the Islamic heartlands. When in 724/1324 Mansa Musa I (d. 737/1337), the fourth imperial pilgrim of Mali after Barmandana, Uli and Sakura, went to perform the pilgrimage (hajj) rituals in Mecca he left his country with one hundred camel loads of gold. He returned in debt. But his generosity made the exchange rate of gold in Egypt drop 12 per cent for a period of twelve years.

Musa's reservation to prostrate himself before Sultan Malik al Nasir while whispering 'I make obeisance to God who created me' 9 reflects the status Islam had gained in Mali, both at court and among the population. Alongside pagan office holders, Muslims, whether white or black, were installed as khatib

or qadi. The continuous extension of Mali authority over the Middle Niger the 'Zaas' (or Zuwas), the local Songhay kings of Gao, were finally subdued by the end of the seventh/thirteenth century and the Tukolor of Takrur as well soon afterwards incorporated fairly diverse Muslim communities into the empire. Oral traditions circulated among the Wolof in which their king, Jolof, was converted to Islam by the Almoravids. The first Europeans to set foot in this region were impressed by Muslim counsellors and diviners at their courts. Most of them were foreigners: Znaga (i.e. Sanhaja), Arabs, Tukolor and Mandinke. These two latter groups represented a diffusely growing black Islamic population under the rule of Mali. Among the Tukolor, conversion to Islam was closely connected to the Torodbe (sing. Torodo), zealous Muslims of different social status and ethnic origin. Their particular social and religious function regrouped them into family clans who later contributed decisively to the spread of Islam among the rural populations along the Niger, some distance into Hausaland. Quite similarly, the Mande speaking Dyula of Soninke origin from the Volta basin were organised in clerical lineages. Their urban based lifestyle, however, focused on trade and teaching. Owing to their activities during the second half of the eighth /fourteenth century, the route from the Arkan forests, one major source of the Mali gold, was opened to Jenne (old Zuburu) and thus connected to the trans Saharan trading centres on the Niger.

This shift immediately affected the fate of Walata and Timbuktu, roughly equidistant from Jenne and the salt pans of Taghaza, and of Gao and Kukiya, the old Songhay capital and eastern terminus of this spreading network. The riverbanks, where Sanhaja and Tuareg clans had started to settle, were becoming the main arena of distribution. There, local and long distance trade fused, attracting groups from every direction and creating a new type

The New Cambridge History of Islam

of political, economic and spiritual centre. Timbuktu was the first town to profit from this. Originally not more than a nomads' summer camp, it appears in 776f. /1375 as 'Tenbuch' on the Catalan Atlas of Charles V, drawn by Abraham Cresques. Traders from Walata, Mali's northern entrepot, moved east to Timbuktu. From Jenne, Soninke merchants from Diakha and Kabara turned north to the river, where lucrative contacts were expected.

The story of the subsequent rise of Timbuktu, from a Masufa settlement to the most glorious medieval centre of Islamic learning in the Sahel belt, is almost exclusively told by external sources. Their very nature purports a perspective that conveys more mysteries of an 'Islamic city in Africa' than it reveals of historical details sufficient to make possible an internal view of the organisation of the city and its development. 10 Certainly, trade was its backbone. With the exchange of gold, slaves, salt, horses and weapons, fabulous fortunes were accumulated. And soon, 'much business [was] done there in selling coarse cloth, serge and fabrics like those made in Lombardy', as the Florentine traveller Benedetto Dei records from his visit in 874¹⁴⁷⁰." Even more crucial than trade seems to have been the common subscription to the tradition of Islamic learning, which contributed more to the integration of the city than any other factor. By the ninth/fifteenth century, Timbuktu was compactly built. The Main Mosque, Jingerebir, probably founded by Mansa Musa after his return from pilgrimage in 725f / 1325, played a major integrative role, equalled only by the Sankore ('white lords') Mosque constructed later, in

the second half of the ninth/ fifteenth century, in the northern quarter of the city. The denominations of the mosques, the main forums for interaction among Muslim inhabitants and scholars alike, seem to imply again an ethnic segregation. Early references to immigrant scholars and their families, however, suggest a rather geographical set up. From the west, mainly from Walata, Soninke, Sanhaja and Fulbe may have settled in one ward, while later incoming Sanhaja, Tuareg and Barabish were drawn to the Sankore quarter despite the fact that there, initially, 'black' scholars, presumably Soninke and Wangara, set the tone. The city's growth under Malian rule rested considerably on that ethnic and linguistic intermixture, and on the Islamic institution of judgeship, the qada\ which ultimately became the main administrative and political function. Patrician families of scholar notables and merchants of different origins alternately provided the office holders. The Malian sovereignty, as represented by the Timbuktu koy ('king'), was reduced to military defence, namely against Mossi attacks from the south. By 836f./i433, Timbuktu, at that time led by the Sudani scholar and qadi Muhammad Modibo al Kabari, or by one of his pupils of the Aqit family, and assisted

152

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa and its early empires

by the powerful Tuareg chief Akil (Akillu) of the Maghsharen, revoked the

Malian supremacy. Until 873/1468 the city preserved a partial independence.

The alliance between the military 'over lordship' of the Tuareg and the spiritual authority of the qddx clans of the Masufa Aqit and the Tuareg

And Ag Muhammad combined power, wealth and learning. The quasi autonomous existence of the 'city state' of Timbuktu was abruptly ended by

the inexorable rise of the Zaas. Sometime at the beginning of the century, they had moved their royal residence from Kukiya to Gao. This strategical move was directed against the already weakening supremacy of the Mansas. A series of conquests followed that ultimately amended the entire stretch of river constituting the Middle Niger, inhabited by predominantly Muslim populations, and the trade passing through its western reaches including the 'golden' axis Jenne Timbuktu to the Songhay heartland south of Gao. In 872/1468f. Timbuktu was violently taken by the Songhay king Sunni 'All Beri (reigned c. 868 98/1464 92). The city now entered the first of two sharply different phases under Songhay sovereignty, as distinguished by the principal sources for this period, the Timbuktu chronicles. While Sunni 'All's reign is depicted as harsh, alien and near pagan, Askiya al Hajj Muhammad's and his successors' rule (c. 898 999/1492 1590) is described as the era of a new order in which Islamic legitimacy and authority was rightfully secured, by accommodating Muslim scholars and clergies in and outside Timbuktu, the cradle of our chronicles' authors.

The Songhay empire and the Africanisation of Islam

The rise of the Sunni Zaas and Askiyas of Gao was accompanied by a complex shift of emphasis in Sudanic Islam. Geographically it moved eastwards, thereby establishing new proximities to regions that hitherto had been only superficially touched by Islamic influences, in particular the vast hinterland between the Lower Niger, the River Benue and Lake Chad. More significant, however, was the shift, albeit ephemeral, of Islamic self understanding from uniting socio culturally fragmentised minorities, to its assertion as an imperial ideology. In retrospect, both processes are captured in the repercussions of the trip of a certain Muhammad ibn 'Abd al Karim al Maghili (died c. 910/1505[^]).

He was a Berber preacher, whose missionary zeal led him from the Algerian oasis of Tuwat to Takkada, Katsina, Kano and Gao, shortly before the end of the century. Wherever he passed through, he, or the pupils he left behind, propagated a twofold call (da c wa), in letter and in spirit: the contemporary kings and rulers of the Sudan had come to abuse Islam and rely on the political

153

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

and spiritual support of venal scholars ('ulama 1 al su 1). Restoration of an Islamic order and individual salvation from hell could only be brought about by re erecting justice Qadl), purifying and renewing religious practice (tajdid), and leading a jihad against rebels (bughat), false believers and apostates (murtadduri), and all unbelievers (kuffaf). The details of this 'reformist' prop agenda that centred around the concept of jihad are contained in a catalogue of 'replies' al Maghili composed for Askiya Muhammad at his court in Gao. 12

Al Maghili's project may be summarised as an 'Islamic revolution from above'. All written sources more or less support the impression that Askiya Muhammad's coup d'etat in 898/1493 against Sunni 'All, as well as the former's subsequent Islamic reform policy, was associated with this project. In actual fact, the transition from Sunni All's, the 'mixer's', rule to the 'just government' of al amir al hajj Muhammad Askiya, seems to have been less drastic. In Gao, and especially in its sister city Saney, as well as in Kukiya, small Muslim

communities had been settling for almost half a millennium. Local Arabic epigraphy witnesses their growing importance for, and intermingling with, the old Songhay dynasties. Islamic insignia, both at court and in architecture, are well attested. In contrast, the thinness of the Islamic veneer beyond the urban centres, south and east of the riverside territories where the first Askias rapidly expanded their empire, caused severe conflicts for the ruling elites. Perhaps the most sensitive conflict for the implementation of an Islamic government arose from the necessary distinction between Muslim and non Muslim. According to Islamic law, the *sharVa*, the former could not be enslaved. Slave trade, however, had become increasingly important for the Songhay economy. Therefore, Islamicity had to be defined in terms of orthodoxy. The laxity our sources ascribe to Sunni 'All and his government did not disappear under the Askias. Pagan shrines continued to be venerated and rapacious governors to be accused of unjust taxation; Askias themselves were accused of leading an immoral life or only poorly concealing their deficient Islamic faith. The legally sanctioned specific treatments of different types of believers and unbelievers respectively allowed for an inherently Islamic social stratification. At the top were the much cherished and powerful groups of Muslim scholars, often identical with, or related to, local governors and rich merchants. At the bottom, the slowly progressing Islamisation of the Sudanic peasantry offered much prey for this policy. In an angry report on the problem of the illegal enslavement of 'black' (sudan) African people, Ahmad Baba al Timbukti (963 1036/1556 1627), the most prominent descendant of the Aqit family, still refers to the propaganda al Maghili had spread. Two centuries later, the leader of the Fulbe jihad in Hausaland, 'Uthman dan Fodio,

West Africa and its early empires

even copied the 'Replies' of al Maghili into his proper jihad treatise *The Lamp of the Brethren* (*Siraj al ikhwan*).¹³ The role the 'ulama? of Timbuktu, Jenne and Kabara was entrusted with by the Askiya administration reflects the Islamisation of the Songhay state. Power was disputed in terms of Islamic norms. Al Maghili's propagation of the mujaddid ('renovator') and his mission, and his distinction between 'good' and 'bad' Muslims, were grounded in the collective self image of constituting a sovereign part of the Islamic umma. It fostered the bacillus of the 'dubious' Muslim, the most motivating force in the future expansion of Islam, indigenous in the Sudan; and it provided ambitious leaders of dubious couleur with sharp tongued 'reformist' arguments.

Kanem-Bornu and Hausaland

Al Maghili had also visited Kano. He ordered King Rumfa to cut down the sacred tree under which the city's mosque had been erected: the symbolic end of the symbiosis of Islam and traditional religion, of Muslim leadership and divine kingship. At about the same time, Mai (king) 'All Ghaji ibn Dunuma (reigned c. 874 909/1470 1503) of Bornu who, on his way to Mecca, met with al Suyuti in 889/1484 in Cairo, took the title khalifa as did Askiya Muhammad a few years after him and launched a reformist campaign against 'dubious' believers in the western neighbourhood.

Quite obviously, the long lasting isolation of these regions from the west had come to an end. The occasional appearance of Islamic titles, Arabised proper names and contemporaneously with the ascent of the Askias of Gao 'reformist' ideas point to the increasing integration of the Chad region into the Islamic traffic of the Niger bend, from the seventh/thirteenth century

onwards. Oral traditions, on the other hand, claim that Kanem, situated at the north eastern end of Lake Chad, became Muslim in the sixth/ twelfth century under the legendary Arab hero Sayf ibn Dhi Yazan, who was, in fact, the hero of a mythical romance of later Mamluk times. A few remarks of Arab geographers, among them the Andalusí Ibn Sa'id (d. 685/1286), confirm the presence of Muslim scholars at the court of the 'Sayfids' at Njimi, but also their tensions with the non Muslim traditionalists. In a letter to the Mamluk sultan of Egypt, a Kanemi max complains in 794/i39if. that Arabs were enslaving his Muslim citizens. Frictions like these may have led to the exodus of the max and his followers to the south western end of the lake, and to the foundation of Bornu around its capital Ngazar(ga)mu (Birnin Gazargamu).

The thriving state of Bornu was facing a pagan south, Bagirmi, which was their hunting ground for slaves, and the territory of the Hausa states in the

155

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

west. About the time of the rule of 'All Ghaji, some of the Hausa city states (birni, pi. birane) extracted tribute to Ngazargamu. In Kano and Katsina, kings (sarki, pi. sarakuna) ruled over a feudal society. Excluded from both military service and court rituals, Muslim immigrants, Fulbe Torodbe (Hausa: Toronkawa) from Mali, had settled in rural enclaves and introduced a modest tradition of Islamic learning in the region. The Hausa chronicle, moreover, tells

the story of Abu Bakar, the son of a Wangara trader from Mali, who became the religious teacher of the Kano Prince 'Umar ibn Kanjeji (r. 813 24/1410 21). Returning from a longer stay in Bornu, this Abu Bakar convinced his royal pupil to abdicate and withdraw from his sinful courtly life to a life of repentance (tawba). 14 Politically as well, Kano remained under the domination of Bornu. From there the trade routes led up north and from there government patterns were imitated. As in Bornu, the rulers of Kano kept state councils and highly decorated eunuchs; but they also welcomed shurafa\ Muslims of noble (Arab) blood, and other Muslim immigrants. Anecdotes belonging to the first half of the tenth/ sixteenth century allow us to conclude that Islamic nomenclature was officially accepted at the kings' courts. Individual conversions seem to have occurred at the upper level and at the fringes of the society, but the majority adhered to the traditional religious belief and performed the sacred rituals.

Thus, the Sahelian world was, by the late ninth/fifteenth century, almost entirely ruled over by rulers who, to varying degrees, took advantage of the legitimacy of Islamic institutions and legal norms provided for their pursuit of authority. The Islamic features we hear about have demonstrative functions and served as promising ingredients in a traditional ceremonious despotism. But they undoubtedly prepared the way for the syncretistic practices that were spreading among the populations and nourishing the coming Muslim reform movements.

Notes

Abu 'Ubayd al BakrI, *Kitab al mughrib fi dhikr bilad Ifnaiya wa'l Maghrib*, Paris,

1965.

Ibn Battuta, *Tuhfat al nuzzar* fiharaHb al amsar wa 'ajaHb al asfar, ed. C. Defremery

and B. R. Sanguinetti, *Voyages d'Ibn Batoutah*, vol. IV, Paris, 1858 and reprints

[generally referred to as *Rihla*].

Two excellent compilations of the Arabic sources for the medieval history of the

Sudan and West Africa facilitate the access to this material: J. M. Cuoq, *Receuil des*

sources arabes concernant l'Afrique occidentale du VI^e au XVI^e siècle (bUM al sudan),

Paris, 1975; *Corpus of early Arabic sources for West African history*, trans. J. F. P. Hopkins,

ed. and annot. N. Levtzion and J. F. P. Hopkins, Cambridge, 1981.

156

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

West Africa and its early empires

4. 'Abd al Rahman ibn 'Abd Allah al Sa'di, *Ta'fikh al sudan*, ed. and trans. O. Houdas, Paris, 1964; Mahmud Ka'ti ibn al Hajj al Mutawakkil Ka'ti, *Ta'fikh alfattash* (Paris, 1964); [Notice historique], untitled anonymous chronicle, partially trans. O. Houdas in Mahmud Ka'ti, *Ta'fikh alfattash*, 326-41.

5. P. F. de Moraes Farias, *Arabic medieval inscriptions from the Republic of Mali: Epigraphy, chronicles and Songhay Tuareg history*, Oxford, 2003, xxxiii bti.

6. *Corpus of early Arabic sources*, 50-1,

7. Ibn Battuta, in *Corpus of early Arabic sources*, 287.
8. Cited from E. W. Bovill, *The golden trade of the Moors*, London, 1978, 95, and *Corpus of early Arabic sources*, 296 7.
9. Reported by the sultan's chief of ceremony (mihmandar), in *Corpus of early Arabic sources*, 270.
10. E. N. Saad, *Social history of Timbuktu: The role of Muslim scholars and notables 1400 1900*, Cambridge, 1983, 2 3.
11. Bovill, *Golden trade*, 112.
12. J. O. Hunwick (ed. and trans.), *Shari'a in Songhay: The replies of al MaghilT to the questions of Askiya al Hajj Muhammad*, New York, 1985.
13. Cf. U. Rebstock (ed. and trans.), *Die Lampe der Briider (Sirag al ihwan) von 'Utman b. Fudi: Reform und Gihad im Sudan*, Walldorf Hessen, 1985.
14. H. R. Palmer, *Bornu, Sahara and Sudan* (New York, 1970), i84f

157

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

PART II

EGYPT AND SYRIA

(ELEVENTH CENTURY UNTIL
THE OTTOMAN CONQUEST)

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al-Sham, from the Fatimid
conquest to the fall of the Ayyubids
(359 658/970 1260)

ANNE-MARIE EDDE

The geo-political background

The old divisions of administrative or military government created by the Umayyads and completed by the 'Abbasids were still being used in the middle of the seventh/

thirteenth century by the Aleppo writer c Izz al Din ibn Shaddad to describe

Bilad al Sham: these were Jordan, Palestine, Damascus, Hims and Qinnasrin. 1

The border areas, called ' L awasim, between Antioch and Samosata formed the northern limit of this area, a border which changed over the centuries. The

Euphrates was, in theory, the eastern border, but from the fourth/tenth to the seventh/ thirteenth century, northern Syria and Upper Mesopotamia (Jazira)

remained closely linked. Politically the two areas were often under the same

power or different branches of the same family, while, economically, a highly

important trade route linked Baghdad to the Mediterranean through the Euphrates valley to Aleppo and then Antioch. Other routes went from Mosul

to Aleppo passing through the western part of Upper Mesopotamia.

Southern Syria and Palestine were also linked to Baghdad by the Euphrates

route as far as Rahba, then across the steppe to Palmyra, Damascus and the

Palestinian coast. Damascus was closely linked to Egypt by the Mediterranean

coast and the south of Palestine. When the settlement of the Franks in this area made this route difficult, it was temporarily replaced by the Sinai route, allowing access from Syria to Egypt via Transjordan. Bilad al Sham therefore extended on the coast as far as al 'Arish on the Egyptian border, while inland Ayla on the Red Sea was usually considered to be its furthest limit.

All this area was geographically diverse with, from the west to the east, the coast and its fortified ports, the double chain of mountains and its depressions, and finally the area where the arid steppe meets the large cities of the interior (Damascus, Hims, Hama, Aleppo). This geographical variety often explained

161

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the different kinds of political development. At times the ports fulfilled the role of border points or commercial hubs open on to the Mediterranean. This was where Fatimid domination lasted longest. Then, in the first half of the sixth/twelfth century, this same coastal fringe, easily accessible to Crusader reinforcements, was to become the most resistant nucleus of the Latin states. The mountains, which were more inaccessible, attracted Christian and Muslim ascetics. Throughout the fifth/eleventh century to the seventh/thirteenth they were a place of refuge for groups such as Maronites, Druzes and Isma'ilis, who guarded their independence, and who were at times rebellious. The cities in the interior and the steppe, for their part, battled to retain their autonomy. They avoided occupation by the Franks, and remained open to Iraq

and Mesopotamia, the source of armed reinforcements and commercial goods.

From the beginning of the Fatimid domination to the fall of the Ayyubids, Bilad al Sham was never controlled by one single political power.

Whereas the

Fatimids dominated southern Syria, Palestine and the coast as far as Tripoli

from the end of the fourth/tenth to the end of the fifth/ eleventh century, Fatimids, Byzantines and Mirdasids were locked in a struggle for power in

northern Syria. From 463/1071 the Saljuq Turks held a large part of Syria, but

never managed to establish themselves long term on the coast and in Palestine. Jerusalem changed hands between the Saljuqs and the Fatimids,

whereas the main ports on the coast remained theoretically under Fatimid

domination until the beginning of Frankish rule. The latter, who moved into

the area from 491/1098, radically changed the political map of the region. Bilad

al Sham was divided into three parts of varying importance. The Saljuqs and

their epigones held on to the great cities of the interior; the Fatimids held on to

Tyre and Ascalon only, and had to relinquish them to the Franks in 518/1124

and 548/1153; at the height of their power, the Franks controlled the territories

to the north and north east of Aleppo, on both sides of the Euphrates, and all

of the coastal cities from Antioch to Gaza, Palestine and Transjordan as far as

Ayla. From the middle of the sixth/twelfth century onwards, the Franks lost

more and more of their territories, first to the Zangids and then to Saladin,

until by the end of the century all they controlled was a slim coastal strip from

Antioch to Ascalon.

The population of this area reflected both its ancient heritage and the various migrations accompanying the Byzantine, Fatimid and Saljuq conquests. The descendants of the Syrian populations prior to the first/seventh

century and those of the Arab conquerors had often mixed over time and it

was not always easy to distinguish them. The Arabs were still dominant

amongst the Bedouins who still led a nomadic or semi nomadic lifestyle:
the

162

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

Banu Kilab in northern Syria played a vital political role in the fifth/
eleventh
century; the Banu c Uqayl, who had a great influence in the middle of the
fourth/tenth century in central and southern Syria and in the Jordan
valley,
then disappeared from these areas to regroup in northern Syria and
Jazira; the
Banu Kalb, settled between Palmyra and Hims in the fourth/tenth
century,
were also present in the oasis of Damascus and the Hawran plain a
century
later; the Banu Tayy, to whom belonged the influential Banu '1 Jarrah
family,
were numerous in Palestine. The inhabitants had mixed feelings towards
these Bedouins: they accused them of brigandage and treachery, but
often
called upon them to run their cities, fight alongside them, lead them into
the
desert or sell them livestock.

Berbers arrived with the Fatimids. They were associated with the armies
they fought in and were generally fairly poorly accepted by the
inhabitants.

Westerners (Maghariba from North Africa and al Andalus) who left their
country to settle in Syria in the sixth/twelfth and seventh/ thirteenth
centu

ries, pushed out by the Christian Reconquista or simply attracted by the
intellectual life of the cities of the Near East which they passed through
on

their pilgrimage route, were a different story. Mainly holy men and
scholars,

they contributed actively to the cultural and religious life of the Syrian
cities.

Even more numerous were the Kurds and Turks who moved into Syria from the fifth/eleventh century under cover of the Saljuq invasion, and particularly in the sixth/twelfth and seventh/ thirteenth centuries. In northern Syria and Upper Mesopotamia there were many Armenian Christians, who had arrived either in the wake of the Byzantine conquests at the end of the fourth/tenth century, or following Philaretos, a Byzantine general of Armenian origin, who governed the Amanus region independently in around 1078. The Saljuq invasion of Greater Armenia in 456/1064 also caused many Armenians to go into exile in the northern Syria area and above all in Cilicia. Finally a small colony of Armenians had settled in the fifth/ eleventh century in Jerusalem where they still had a bishop in the sixth /twelfth century. The Franks were to enjoy significant support from all these groups of Armenians.

There were a small number of Nestorians in Damascus and Aleppo until they disappeared from Syria in the sixth/twelfth century. The Maronites were still living in the mountains of Lebanon, but there were many more Melkites and Jacobites. The fact that they lost many of their bishoprics in the fourth/ tenth century did not stop them from continuing to play an important role in the Syrian administration until the end of the fifth/ eleventh century and the Mirdasids employed several Christian viziers. But at the time of the Crusades the Christian communities living in Muslim territory saw their situation

deteriorate progressively and the existence of the Latin states had much to do with this. Sometimes suspected of complicity with the Franks and subject to reprisals following violence towards Muslims, the Christians were also victims of the strong Sunni reactions of the sovereigns who, like Nur al Din or Saladin, wished to apply religious law more strictly, and particularly the regulations concerning the Christians and the Jews. In spite of these crises, however, they were able to live in relative peace until the end of the Ayyubid period. The arrival of the Mamluks, on the other hand, was to mark the beginning of a much more difficult period for them.

There have been many studies on the sources available for this period, and it will suffice here to refer to them. 2 Not many archives have come down to us, apart from a few decrees, diplomas, legal certificates and various items of correspondence. 3 The famous documents from the Geniza in Cairo, which provide so much information on Egypt and the medieval Mediterranean, contain scattered information on Syria Palestine, particularly on the coastal ports. 4 Documents have also been discovered in the Great Mosque in Damascus, now kept in Istanbul, of both a public and a private nature, and are still in the process of being published. 5 Local searches and new archaeological digs (including under the sea in some coastal ports) regularly provide their share of archaeological, epigraphic and numismatic discoveries. At present, however, it is largely narrative sources, of which a great many have survived, that provide most of our knowledge about medieval Bilad al Sham: universal chronicles, dynastic and regional histories, biographical dictionaries, accounts of journeys and geographical works, legal, administrative, political and religious treatises. Most of these were written by Muslim authors, but

Christian sources have also come down to us in Arabic, Syriac or Armenian, not to mention the numerous Latin sources covering the period of the Crusades.

The Fatimids in Syria-Palestine: a struggle for domination

Fatimid domination in Damascus lasted a little over a hundred years, from 359/970 to 468/1076. In Aleppo, where the Fatimids were confronted by the Byzantines, they only managed to gain control in 406/1016, and sustained their domination for less than fifty years. Throughout Bilad al Sham they came up against numerous Arab tribes who allied themselves by turn with the Egyptians or their enemies, according to their interests, much of the time resorting to banditry and the pillage of caravans. There was, however, an

164

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

important difference distinguishing the Arab tribes of northern Syria and Upper Mesopotamia from those in southern Syria and Palestine. The former tried to establish states which respected the traditional Islamic institutions (for example the Banu Numayr in Harran and Edessa, the 'Uqaylids in Mosul and especially the Mirdasids in Aleppo) whereas the latter, who included the Banu 'l Jarrah from the tribe of the Banu Tayy, were drawn more into pillage and plunder than administration.

From 359/970 to the end of the reign of al-Aziz in 386/ '996

The conquest of Syria Palestine was difficult from the start. Yet it was a divided country. Southern Syria was under the control of a governor representing

sentencing the small Egyptian dynasty of the Ikhshidids (323 58/935 69), and northern Syria was still under the domination of the Hamdanids (332 94/944 1004). The death of the prince of Aleppo, Sayf al Dawla, in 356/967, the weakness of his successors and the disappearance of the Ikhshidids, swept away by the Fatimids, had weakened Syria which was from that time onwards eyed as much by the Byzantines from the north as by the Arab tribes and the Qarmatis of Bahrayn in the south. The intervention of the latter, the weaknesses of the Egyptian army and probably a lack of preparation go a long way to explain the difficulties for the Fatimid conquest. In 359/969 a first expedition commanded by Ja'far ibn Falah succeeded in seizing Ramla, Tiberias and Damascus. But the Ikhshidid governor of Damascus called upon the Qarmatis, supported by the Buyids of Baghdad, who took on Ja'far and killed him in 360/971. In 363/974 a second expedition was sent to Syria but the Fatimids were unable to establish a lasting presence in Damascus, which was finally given up to a Turkish adventurer called Alptegin in 364/975.

The Fatimids, then, did not only meet resistance on religious grounds. The peoples of southern Syria and Palestine were indeed mainly Sunni, as was the Ikhshidid governor, but their Qarmati allies were descended from the same Isma'ili family as the Fatimids, and the Buyids who supported them were Twelver Shi'is who recognised the authority of the Sunni caliph of Baghdad. Rather than reflecting ideological opposition, Syrian resistance was a political conflict between an Arab and Persian east and an Arab and Berber North Africa, between well established local militias and an army seen as foreign.

Damascus and Palestine were nonetheless of great importance for the Fatimids. Even though hopes of using them as a base for the conquest of Iraq were gradually dwindling, control of this area remained no less essential to hold back the expansion of the Byzantines towards the south and to counter any

attack from the east. Nor were they lacking in economic resources. For this

165

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

reason, the caliph al 'Aziz (365 86/975 96), advised by his powerful Jewish vizier, a convert to Islam named Ya'qub ibn Killis (d. 380/991), was very interested in this region. His vizier's recommendations reveal in outline Fatimid policy at this time: do not take action against the Byzantines as long as they do not attack, settle for a declaration of vassalage from the Hamdanids in northern Syria, and do not spare the Arab tribes in Palestine. In southern Syria, al 'Aziz's troops succeeded in taking back Damascus in 368/978 from Alptegin, a Turkish governor who had taken power there, but none of the Fatimid governors was able to bring order to the area.

In northern Syria, the Fatimids encountered even greater difficulties, coming up against the resistance of the Hamdanids of Aleppo and particularly from their Byzantine protectors. The latter had begun their great offensive in northern Syria in the middle of the fourth /tenth century and were trying to regain control of the lands lost more than three centuries before. From 351/962 to 371/981, they led several expeditions against northern Syria. They pillaged Aleppo in 351/962 and took Antioch in 358/969. The treaty and tribute they imposed on Aleppo had serious economic consequences for the whole area and all the Fatimid expeditions failed to make them withdraw.

So by the death of the caliph al 'Aziz in 386/ 996, the Fatimids had succeeded

in maintaining their domination in Syria as far as Tripoli, which had resisted all the Byzantine attacks, but inland they still had not taken Syria north of Hims.

All their attempts in that area had met with the resistance of the Hamdanids, who, in spite of their Twelver Shi'i beliefs, seemed to prefer the Byzantine protectorate to Egyptian domination.

From the accession of al-Hakim to the death of al-Zahir
(386 427/996 1036)

The first fifteen years of the reign of al-Hakim were marked in southern Syria and Palestine by several revolts by the urban populations and the Arab tribes.

The conflict was no doubt inflamed by the internal divisions which arose in Egypt following the death of al-'Aziz, between Orientals, led by Barjawan the

tutor of the young caliph, and the Berbers under the command of Ibn 'Ammar, who each wished to install a governor from their side in Damascus. The chiefs of the Damascene militias exploited this to take power in 387/997, but in the following year they were all arrested and exterminated by the new commander in chief of the Fatimid army in Syria,

Jaysh ibn al-Samsama. This fierce repression put the activities of the urban militias on hold for more than twenty years and led the Damascenes to say that the death of Jaysh soon afterwards was a punishment from God.

166

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bilad al-Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

In Tyre too, the Fatimid troops came up against the hostility of the disinherited population. In 388/998, the poor of the city and the *ahdath*, led

by a sailor by the name of 'Allaqa, massacred all the Fatimid troops in the city.

The rebels called for help from the Byzantines, who sent a squadron, but in

vain. Allaqa was defeated and sent to Cairo, where he was flayed alive, and a

new governor of Tyre was appointed.

In the early years of the fifth/ eleventh century, Damascus had a series of Fatimid governors who were dismissed almost as soon as they were appointed

by a caliph who feared above all that they would take too much power.

From

392/1002 to 401/1011 there were about a dozen. In Palestine between 401/1011

and 404/1013, the Fatimid army had to face a large scale revolt by the Banu

'l Jarrah Arabs and their chief Mufarrij ibn al Jarrah. In 401/1011, the latter had

the Fatimid governor of Tiberias and Ramla captured and decapitated, and

pillaged Palestine with his men. He even attempted to install an anti caliph in

the shape of the Hasanid amir of Mecca, Abu 'l Futuh al Hasan ibn Ja c far.

Al Hakim recovered the situation by dividing his enemies. The revolt had lasted two years, but it ended in the Fatimids' favour.

The reign of al Hakim also marked the beginning of Fatimid domination in

northern Syria. In 399/1008, the death of Lu'lu 1 , regent of Aleppo, who had rid

himself of the Hamdanids in 394/1004, opened a new period of instability in

northern Syria during which power was disputed between his son, al Mansur,

and the Arabs from the Banu Kilab tribe, led by Salih ibn Mirdas, founder of

the future dynasty of the Mirdasids. Al Mansur, defeated by the Arabs, took

refuge in Byzantine territory in 406/1016. The Fatimids used this as an opportunity to take control of Aleppo. There was de facto power sharing between the Kilabids, who controlled the fiat country and the Fatimids who

ran the cities. The Byzantines, who were tied to the Fatimids by a ten year

truce, left well alone and even allowed the resumption of trade.

As regards religion, cities such as Aleppo, Tripoli or Tyre had a large Shi'i population, but, like his predecessors, al Hakim did not attempt to impose the Isma'ili doctrine on the whole population. Even if the qa'is of Damascus were essentially Shi'i, throughout the whole Fatimid period they tolerated Sunnism and applied Shari' law to the population. There was therefore no great expansion of Shi'ism in Damascus, and the extent of Twelver Shi'ism in Aleppo was due more to the Hamdanids' religious policy than the Fatimids'. This stance avoided clashes between Sunnis and Shi'is, in contrast to the situation in Baghdad at the same time, and the revolts in Syria Palestine against Fatimid power rarely had religious or ideological origins.

167

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

There is fairly little known about the consequences in Bilad al Sham of al Hakim's persecutions of Jews and Christians. Palm Sunday processions were banned in Jerusalem as everywhere else, and the most spectacular measure, without a doubt, was the destruction of the Holy Sepulchre in 400/1009. Other synagogues or churches were destroyed in Damascus and Palestine. Like their fellow believers in Egypt, the Christians and Jews of Bilad al Sham recovered their goods and rights at the end of the reign of al Hakim when he allowed them to practise their religion freely again.

Another consequence of the reign of al Hakim was the settling of Druzes in several areas of Syria and Mount Lebanon. From 407/1017 the members of this sect attempted to substantiate the divine nature of al Hakim, who they said had disappeared in 411/1021 but would return one day. Persecuted in Egypt,

they found refuge in the south of Lebanon in the Wadi Taym Allah at the foot of Mount Hermon, in Jabal Summaq in the north of Syria and in Hawran south of Damascus. In 423/1032, the Druzes of Jabal Summaq proclaimed their faith openly and indulged in all kinds of excesses until the Byzantines of Antioch and the Mirdasids of Aleppo joined forces to quell them, but the lack of sources about them gives us very little opportunity to trace their history in this period.

The disorder which followed the death of al Hakim in Cairo partly explains the political development of Bilad al Sham in the reign of his successor al Zahir (411 27/1021 36). The Arab tribes began to cause trouble in Palestine and launched more raids in 415/1024. The Banu Kilab, Banu Kalb and Banu Tayy acting in concert swore to chase the Fatimids out of Syria Palestine and to share the region out between them. The Cairo authorities, short of money, did not have the means to come to the aid of their governor of Palestine, the Turk al Dizbiri. Damascus, under siege, organised its resistance, directed by the civilian elites and the militias, allowing al Dizbiri to get the better of the Arabs. But this did not mean an end to the rebellion. In 420/1029, the Banu Tayy and the Banu Kilab suffered another defeat, and the following year Hassan ibn aljarrah suggested to the Byzantine emperor that they form an alliance to attack Syria. When the expedition resulted in a Byzantine defeat north west of Aleppo, the whole of the Banu 'l Jarrah tribe returned to northern Syria and settled in the area of Antioch. Hassan did not return to Palestine until 433/1041 after al Dizbiri was thrown out of Damascus.

While the southern tribes were pillaging the countryside and cities, in northern Syria, Salih ibn Mirdas, leading the Banu Kilab, was gaining a completely different reputation for the Arabs. Often praised for his courage and military skills, the Aleppans soon saw him as the only one capable of

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

bringing order back to their city. The Fatimid governor had been assassinated in 413/1022 and Salih settled definitively in Aleppo in 416/1025, founding the small Mirdasid dynasty which governed northern Syria and the Middle Euphrates area more or less continuously for fifty years. In 416/1025, Salih controlled a considerable part of Syria and the Euphrates valley. But four years later his alliance with the Banu 'l Jarrah against the Fatimids was to cost him his life in the battle of al Ughuwana, on the eastern shore of the lake of Tiberias. His two young sons Nasr and Thimal shared his lands between them. It soon became clear, however, that the Mirdasids could only stay in power by putting themselves under the protection of one of their powerful neighbours, the Byzantines or the Fatimids. In 422/1031, Nasr, now ruler of Aleppo but unsure about the loyalty of his brother, opted to put himself under the protection of the Byzantines. The signing of this treaty allowed the Byzantines to regain Edessa and Jazira and to tighten their hold on the Syrian coast.

The reign of al-Mustansir, 42J 87/1036 94

Between the accession of al Mustansir and the arrival of the Saljuqs, northern Syria was governed most often by the Mirdasids, who alternated between acknowledging Byzantine rule and Fatimid rule, sometimes both at once. When the Fatimids felt Aleppo escaping their grasp, they attempted to re establish direct administration there but were unable to maintain it for very long. By the end of the reigns of al Zahir and Roman III (d. 1034) there

was a willingness on the part of all the parties, Byzantine, Fatimid and Arab, to negotiate on the question of Aleppo which had been a stumbling block, the two major powers having laid claim to it. In 428/1038, agreement was reached after the emperor Michael IV had advised Nasr to recognise Fatimid sovereignty. A thirty year truce was signed between the Fatimids and the Byzantines, who also obtained the right to rebuild the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem.

However, the alliance that same year of the Mirdasids of Aleppo with the Banu Numayr of Harran worried the Fatimid governor al Dizbiri, who had just restored order in southern Syria and in Palestine and did not want to see too strong an Arab force re form in northern Syria and Jazira. Nasr was killed in a battle and al Dizbiri entered Aleppo where he found a warm welcome from a population hostile to the alliance between Mirdasids and Byzantines. It was, however, a short lived victory. Suspected of personal ambition by the powerful Fatimid vizier al Jarjara'i who was worried to see a Turk assuming too much importance in Syria, he was dropped by his army in 433/1041 and forced to leave Damascus. He died shortly afterwards in Aleppo, and nine

169

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

months later the Aleppans opened their gates to Thimal, Nasr's brother, who received a certificate of investiture from the Fatimid caliph while at the same time obtaining the gratitude of the Byzantines, who granted him the title of magistros in exchange for an annual tribute.

In the following years the Fatimids posed Thimal more problems than the Byzantines, with whom he renewed a ten year truce in 439 1 1047L The Fatimid decline gathered pace after the death of the vizier aljarjaral in 436/1045. In that year, al Mustansir confirmed the investiture of Thimal in Aleppo, but their relations remained strained. In 440/1048 and 442/1050, two attempted Fatimid expeditions failed, but the new investiture accorded to him by the caliph finally allowed Thimal to govern his principality peacefully until 449/i057f. The growing difficulties of the Fatimids (the split with the Zirids, the failure of al Basasiri in Baghdad, the instability of the viziers) and the Byzantines, exposed to the first Turcoman raids, should have strengthened the autonomy of the Mirdasids, had they not themselves been weakened by family divisions, particularly after the death of Thimal in 454/1062. For the first time the Turcomans entered northern Syria as free men, called to the aid of one or another group. Faced with the powerful Saljuq Turkish army, the days of the little Arab Mirdasid dynasty, weakened by divisions, by rivalries with other Arab tribes and by the Turcoman pillages, were now numbered.

While northern Syria was slipping away from the Fatimids for good, their authority in southern Syria and in Palestine had been weakening progressively since the death of al Dizbiri. The future vizier Badr al Jamah", a freedman of Armenian origin, was appointed governor of Damascus for the first time in 455/1063, but very soon met with the opposition of the Damascus militias. At that time, only the cities of Sayda, Acre, Cesarea and Ascalon were firmly held by the Fatimids. Elsewhere, insurgent groups were multiplying. Ibn Abi c Aqil, a qadi and merchant, made himself ruler of Tyre in 462/1070. The same year the qadi Ibn 'Ammar took control of Tripoli while in Damascus the Fatimid authority was still having difficulty in gaining the upper hand. Everything seemed poised for the area to fall into the hands of the Turcomans, whose

numbers in northern Syria were constantly increasing.

Overview of the Fatimid period in Bilad al-Sham

Syria was not keen to accept Fatimid domination, which explains the period of great instability which followed. The Fatimids came up against strong popular resistance, particularly in Damascus, led by armed militias of young people (ahdath), put under the direction of a chief (ra'is) who was often a member of the civilian elite but also sometimes, as in the case of al Qassam al Tarrab, one

170

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

of the people. There has been much debate about the nature of these popular uprisings, which also occurred in Aleppo, Tyre and Tripoli. They were in any case very different from the communes in the west. These militias were chiefly made up of disinherited young men, sometimes peasants come into the city, mixed with gangs of ruffians and bandits, and found it all the easier to impose their rule, the weaker the central authority. Their main aim was to defend their city against a foreign invader, but as they were also guilty of numerous acts of pillage, they often lost the support of the urban elites who disapproved of the trouble they caused.

The failure of the Fatimids in Syria is also explained by their wavering towards the Byzantines and the muddled organisation of their administration.

Each time a governor took too much power or independence, he was considered a threat and eliminated by the Egyptian authorities, which made

it impossible to install a power capable both of opposing Byzantine expansion and of controlling the urban militias and the Arab tribes. The behaviour of the Bedouins, who were very unreliable and more interested in pillaging than in installing true political authority, did not help matters. This situation of permanent political fragility also played its part in making Palestine a place of refuge for opponents of the Fatimids.

In attempting to maintain its autonomy between its two powerful neighbours, the Byzantines and the Fatimids, northern Syria held on to its individuality. Under the domination of the Hamdanids and then the Mirdasids, it tried to develop its links with the Jazira and went in search of a protector, whether Christian or Muslim. The Fatimids never succeeded in imposing their authority in a lasting way and even when prayers were said in the name of the Fatimid caliph, this acknowledgement was most often little more than a pure formality. For their part the Byzantines were anxious to extend their control in northern Syria, in Jazira and on the coast south of Antioch. They never aimed to govern Aleppo directly but settled for imposing their protectorate on it. They often responded to the rebels' calls to Fatimid authority, but this never stopped them from trading or signing truces with their enemies when it was in their interest. The truce signed in 429/1038 opened up a new period in their relations with the Fatimids, which from that time became less contentious.

The numerous expeditions by both Byzantines and Fatimids had repercussions on patterns of population and economic development. They played a part in the depopulation of the limestone massifs to the south east of Antioch and made it a sort of frontier zone covered in fortresses and small forts. Economic life did not, however, grind to a halt in the whole of Syria as trade quickly flourished again following the truces. In the middle of the

The New Cambridge History of Islam

fifth/ eleventh century, the travel writings of Ibn Butlan and most of all Nasir i Khusraw give a very prosperous image of Syria. There are descriptions of cities where trade and craftsmanship flourished, well supplied with water, with multi storey dwellings, surrounded by orchards and cultivated land. Cereals, olive trees, fig trees, date palms, sugar cane and various fruit trees are most often mentioned. Some products were exported, such as figs from Ramla, oil from the Jerusalem area, bitumen from the shores of the Dead Sea, copper cauldrons from Damascus and snow from Mount Lebanon, transported by ship to Egypt. The documents from the Geniza also mention sumach, oak apples and dried fruit being exported from northern Syria to Egypt. All these goods were sent by sea or by the coastal route rather than via the interior of Palestine, for reasons of security. Muslim, Jewish and Christian pilgrims also travelled to Jerusalem and Hebron, to the great profit of the Muslim authorities who made large amounts of money in taxes from this. 7

The Saljuq domination in Syria and its consequences

The Saljuq Turks moved into Bilad al Sham in increasing numbers between 457/1064L and 478/1085f, bringing in their wake significant changes in population, political customs and religious institutions. But they never managed to impose a unified political power. Divisions very quickly gained the upper hand and created a situation favourable to the settling of the Franks in the area.

The stages and modalities of the conquest

The weakening of the Fatimids and the divisions of the Mirdasids in northern Syria created conditions favourable to the establishment of the Saljuqs, who

progressed in ways they had already used in Iran and Anatolia. Renowned for their warrior qualities, the Turks were often called upon by princes or amirs wanting to fight their rivals. The first free Turks to enter Syria in this way were Turcoman chiefs, usually dissenters, who moved temporarily into northern Syria with their troops before going on to plunder Byzantine territories, pillaging everything in their path. Sometimes the Muslim princes who called on their services granted them iqta's which allowed them to settle long term in the area. Others returned regularly to plunder the countryside and villages, as far as the outskirts of Antioch. The Christian and Byzantine populations were the first to suffer this, but the Muslims did not escape the pillaging.

The Turcomans worked their way down in the same way into southern Syria and the coast, exploiting the Fatimids' inability to defend their possessions

172

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

and the wish for independence of the cities they controlled. In 463/1071, Badr aljamali himself entrusted a Turcoman called Atsiz with the task of fighting the Bedouins of Palestine. Believing himself to have been poorly rewarded for this, Atsiz then occupied the whole of Palestine but without rejecting the Fatimid khutba and from there launched raids in the direction of Damascus.

The year 463/1071 incontestably marked a turning point in Saljuq progress, not only because the Turcomans succeeded in occupying Palestine, but also and above all because the army of the sultan Alp Arslan was victorious in the

decisive battle of Manzikert against the Byzantines, a victory which was to open the doors of Anatolia to the Saljuqs. In northern Syria, Mahmud ibn Nasr decided it would be wise to recognise Saljuq sovereignty in 462/1069[^] and from then on prayers were said in the name of the Abbasid caliph al Qa'im.

Some weeks before the battle of Manzikert, Alp Arslan obtained complete submission from Mahmud who was therefore left in Aleppo. But the end of his reign he died in 467/1074^f notable for his cruelties, was not glorious and his sons no longer had the strength to stand up to the Turks.

When Alp Arslan's successor, the sultan Malikshah, handed over Syria as an

apanage to his brother Tutush, in 471/1078, the latter started by seizing Damascus and Palestine. Badr aljamali had been recalled to Egypt in 466/1073^f by the caliph al Mustansir who had made him a vizier, and Damascus, exhausted by years of poverty and famine caused by the ravages

of the Turcomans, was no longer able to resist. Atsiz, who had returned to the

'Abbasid khutba in 465/ 1072[!]. , seized the city in 468/1076 but, two years later,

fearing a counter offensive from Badr aljamali, decided to hand it to Tutush.

The latter took the opportunity of installing himself there and eliminating Atsiz. He then distributed the iqta's between his amirs. Jerusalem was handed

to the Turcoman Artuq and was passed on to his two sons Suqman and Ilghazi in 484/1091.

From 471/1078 to 473/1080^f, northern Syria was once again pillaged by Turcoman troops. In 473/1080, Muslim ibn Quraysh, ruler of Mosul, entered

the starving city of Aleppo without a struggle. An Arab and a Shi'i, he had recognised the caliph of Cairo at the beginning of his career, but in 458/1066

had accepted the alliance offered by Alp Arslan. With him, Aleppo passed even

more closely into the Saljuq sphere of influence. However, his ambitions would soon lead him to seek an alliance with the Fatimids in an attempt to

seize Damascus, something which he never managed to achieve.

The year 479/1086 saw the arrival in northern Syria of Malikshah, in an

attempt to restore order in a region where everyone was trying to expand at

173

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

his neighbour's expense. In 477/1084 Antioch had fallen into the hands of the Saljuq prince of Anatolia, Sulayman ibn Qutlumush. He had got into conflict with Muslim of Aleppo and killed him in battle in 478/1085, before himself being killed by Tutush who wanted to seize Aleppo. But faced with his brother, Tutush had no choice but to concede. Malikshah then appointed Yaghi Siyan governor of Antioch, and put his faithful mamluk, Aq Sunqur, an ancestor of the Zangids, in charge in Aleppo. After the difficult years northern Syria had experienced, Aq Sunqur's government, which brought order and security, was unanimously appreciated.

The consequences of the Saljuq occupation

The establishment of the Saljuqs in Bilad al Sham had important demographic, political and religious consequences. The Turks were far from unknown in Syria. Already under the Fatimids, Turks had been governors of Damascus and the elite of the Egyptian army included many Turk soldiers, originally slaves. But with the Saljuqs, for the first time, free Turks settled in large numbers in the country.

How many Turks settled in Palestine? This is difficult to say. The numbers of Turks of an age to fight in Damascus in the first half of the sixth /twelfth century has been estimated at around 4,000 to 6,000, excluding the Turcomans

living outside the urban centres, who were often accompanied by their wives and children. The number of Turks in Syria continued to grow in the sixth/seventh/twelfth/thirteenth centuries. In Aleppo, they first settled in the southern suburb of al Hadir, towards the end of the Mirdasid period. Their numbers increased considerably during the reigns of Zangi and, particularly, Nur al Dm. The assimilation of these new additions to the population, mainly consisting of warriors, occurred only very gradually. For example, Zangi had his camp south of the city of Aleppo, withholding the right to build permanent housing, for fear of destabilising a population already weakened by the difficult conditions imposed by the Franks. Under the reign of Nur al Dm, they were allowed to settle long term to the south west of Aleppo, in a suburb called al Yaruqiyya, after their chief Yaruq, and at the beginning of the seventh/thirteenth century a third suburb populated mainly by Turks appeared, called al Zahiriyya after the Mamluk settlement which grew up there. In addition to these groups of settled Turks there were also Turcoman tribes who throughout the sixth/twelfth and seventh/thirteenth centuries continued to live more or less nomadic lives in the steppes to the east and south of Aleppo.

The Turks were not the only ones to accompany the Saljuq expansion. Many Iranian and Iraqi scholars and administrators moved into Bilad al Sham

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

in their wake, where they contributed in no small degree to the resurgence of

Sunnism. Despite nearly a century of Fatimid domination in Damascus, and the appointment of ShTi qadls, Sunnism and particularly Shafi'ism had held strong amongst the population. All the Saljuqs had to do was reinforce it. From the time they took over Damascus, many jurists and specialists in hadith came from the eastern provinces to teach in the great mosque of the Umayyads and in the new law colleges (madrasas) introduced by the Saljuqs. Iranian influence was apparent in the area of Sufism but also in administration. Tutush had an Iranian vizier, Abu 'l Qasim ibn BadT al Isfahan!, whose brother, Abu 'l Najm, was successively vizier of Ridwan in Aleppo then of Tughtegin in Damascus. In this city, at the time of the Saljuqs and the first Burids, all the viziers were of Iranian origin.

In addition to the madrasas, the Saljuqs introduced new political customs, starting with the Turkish conception of power according to which all the members of the Saljuq family had the right to govern. This is why the apanages granted to the sultan's brother were important: Tutush is a good example of this. It was at this time that the atabegs appeared, military chiefs who acted as tutors to the sons or nephews of the sultan, who administered his territories and tried to prevent any kind of rebellion against the sultan. The atabegs fairly soon tended to assume a lot of power. After the death of the sultan, an atabeg often married the mother of his pupil and founded his own dynasty. This was the case, for example, in Damascus with Tughtigin, the atabeg of Duqaq, the son of Tutush, who founded the dynasty of the Burids (497 549/1104 54) after the death of Duqaq. Finally, in all their territories, the Saljuqs strengthened and widened the system of iqtcs which allowed for the amirs to be remunerated through the granting of fiscal revenue attached to an area or region. With this came the custom of giving the holders of iqta 1 greater and greater concessions, with correspondingly increasing powers.

One of the frequently discussed questions of contemporary Western histor

iography is the fate of non Muslims under the Saljuqs. On the eve of the Crusades, Christian propaganda had it that the Christians were massacred by the Turks, to encourage Western knights to go to the aid of their brothers in the East. Should it be deduced that the situation of the Christians changed with the arrival of the Saljuqs? Most historians today now agree that the flood of Turcomans into the area led to pillaging and massacres, of which the Christians were the first victims, but definitely not the only ones. It is true that at a time of invasion and anarchy, when the state responsible for their protection was disappearing, the dhimmis were the most vulnerable. Occasionally they were also victims of the dispoilments of other Christian

175

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

communities. But once the period of invasion had passed and order re established, the Christians regained their normal status of dhimmi under the Saljuqs. In Aleppo, this return to normal is borne out by descriptions of the Arab governor, a vassal of the Saljuqs, Muslim ibn Quraysh, and by the often quoted account of Matthew of Edessa about the meeting in 1090 1 between Malikshah and the Armenian catholicos. The Armenians, like other Christians in the eastern part of the empire, were probably not unhappy to escape Byzantine religious harassments once and for all. If the arrival of the Saljuqs seems to have put an end to the important role of the Christians at the head of the Syrian administration, it was less to do with any sort of religious persecution than because the new leaders were often accompanied by Iraqi or

Iranian administrators. In fact, it was above all the Crusades, from the sixth/twelfth century onwards, that brought about a worsening in the position of the dhimmis before the arrival of the Mongols, which brought even worse consequences for them.

Rapid division

Divisions soon appeared in Saljuq Bilad al Sham, heightened by the political system (the importance of apanages, the growing power of the atabegs, very powerful muqtas). The system established by Malikshah in 479/1086 did not last long. His death in 485/1092 was followed by numerous struggles in the eastern provinces of the empire. Tutush, believing that he had a claim to power, got into conflict with his nephew Barkyaruq (r. 485 98/1092 1105). He seized Aleppo and a large part of the Jazira in 487/1094, but after his death in 488/1095 Syria broke away for good from the central Saljuq power in the East and his two sons Duqaq in Damascus and Ridwan in Aleppo, although officially vassals of the sultan, in reality governed independently. They constantly fought over power in Syria while in Antioch the governor Yaghi Siyan played on their rivalry to govern in his own way. When Ridwan fell out with his atabeg, Janah al Dawla, the latter declared himself independent in Hims. All these divisions within the Saljuq family itself allowed the amirs and local civilian elites to maintain their independence in cities such as Tripoli (Ibn 'Ammar) or Shayzar (Ibn Munqidh).

In Upper Mesopotamia, there were also deep divisions: the Artuqid Turks governed Saruj and Mardin. In Mosul, another Turkish amir, Karbuqa, had taken power. Small Armenian domains had also grown up in the north of Syria and Upper Mesopotamia: Thoros in Edessa and Gabriel in Malatya. All these circumstances made Bilad al Sham and Jazira all the riper for the settlement of the Franks.

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

Bilad al-Sham and the first Crusades

The arrival of the Crusaders was perceived in the East as one episode among others in the long series of wars in Bilad al Sham. Arab authors never wrote a separate history of the Crusades: rather they included the account of conflicts with the Franks in their chronicles or local histories in the same way as other significant events of the period. 9 Even though it took them some time to understand the true motivation of the Crusaders, the Muslims could not have been unaware that their own political and religious divisions were depriving them of any means of resistance. Some scholars and jurists attempted to remind them of this when the Franks arrived. But it was several decades later with the arrival of the Zangids that this unity began to take shape and bear its first fruit in the jihad. Bilad al Sham on its own could not hope to regain its lost territories, and the only possible reinforcements had to come from the east (Upper Mesopotamia, Iraq or Iran) or from Egypt. If the first successes were due to the concerted efforts of northern Syria and Upper Mesopotamia, it was the Syro Egyptian unity achieved in 564/1169 by Nur al Din's troops that allowed Saladin to find the financial and human resources for his jihad.

The settling of the Franks and the first Muslim reactions

The Crusader armies arrived at the gates of Antioch in autumn 490/1097, after passing through Constantinople and, not without difficulty, crossing Anatolia.

Baldwin, brother of duke Godfrey of Bouillon, made his way towards Upper

Mesopotamia, where the Armenian prince Thoros handed over Edessa to him in Rabi' I 491/February 1098. Several days later, Thoros was killed in an uprising incited most probably with the approval of Baldwin, who seized power and founded the county of Edessa.

After a siege of more than seven months, Antioch was taken by the Franks on 29 Jumada II 491/3 June 1098 and handed over to the Norman, Bohemond of Taranto, who became the first prince of Antioch. In Muharram 492/December 1098, the area of Ma'arrat al Nu c man fell into their hands and the inhabitants were put to the sword. The Crusaders then took nearly six months to reach the walls of Jerusalem, which was besieged and captured in a blood bath on 23 Sha'ban 492/15 July 1099. The massacres carried out in the holy city were deeply imprinted in Muslim memories. The Jews were not spared, many being burnt alive in the synagogue. The two holy places of Islam, the Dome of the Rock and al Aqsa Mosque, were converted one into a church and the other into a royal residence, subsequently a Templars' residence from 1118 onwards. Godfrey of Bouillon with the title of Advocatus (Defender) of the Holy

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Sepulchre installed a Latin patriarch in Jerusalem. He died the following year and was succeeded by his brother Baldwin of Edessa, who had himself crowned king of Jerusalem in Safar 494/December 1100.

The First Crusade was over, but the Latin states took several more years to

expand and establish themselves. With the support of the fleets from Genoa, Pisa and Venice and the help of the Crusaders who continued to arrive in the East, the Westerners gradually seized all the coastal cities between Antioch and Jaffa. Cesarea and Arsuf (494/1101), Acre (497/1104), Beirut and Sayda (503/1110) were annexed to the kingdom of Jerusalem, which also extended into the interior particularly to the south of the Dead Sea where the Franks took control, as far as Ayla, of the route towards the Red Sea. In the north the count of Toulouse, Raymond of Saint Gilles, took Tortosa in 495/1102, but died in 498/1105 without taking Tripoli, which did not fall to the Franks until 502/1109. His son Bertrand claimed his inheritance and took charge of the principality of Tripoli, the fourth and final Latin state in the region. In 503 /mo he seized the Krak des Chevaliers which guarded the road to the Hims breach and was to become one of the most powerful fortresses in the area. Bohemond and especially his nephew Tancred extended the principality of Antioch towards Cilicia in 494/1101 and Latakia in 496/1103: this area was at the time under Byzantine control, and was to become a bone of contention between Franks and Byzantines for many years.

The pressure on Aleppo increased, as it was threatened simultaneously by the Franks of Edessa and Antioch. The principality of Edessa expanded progressively north of Aleppo and finished by covering, on both sides of the Euphrates, a large territory which included, at its largest, fortified sites as important as Mar'ash, Bahasna, Samosata, 'Ayntab, Tall Bashir, al Rawandan, Edessa, Saruj and al Bira. The Franks of Antioch, for their part, expanded along the eastern bank of the Orontes and thus came as far as the gates of Aleppo, forcing the city to pay them a heavy tribute in 504/1104.

The Franks' success was unexpected, given their lack of numbers and unfamiliarity with the terrain. It can mainly be explained by the deep divisions

between Muslims mentioned above. For this reason the Fatimids exchanged embassies with the Crusaders at Antioch and used the opportunity of the Saljuqs' difficulties in northern Syria to recapture Jerusalem in Ramadan 491/ August 1098. The Saljuqs themselves were divided between those of Iran and Iraq, and those of Anatolia. The first group fought amongst themselves over the succession to the throne and the second were taken up by their struggle against the dissident Turks, the Danishmendids, living in the north of Cappadocia. Many rivalries also set the Syrian and Mesopotamian governors

178

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

at odds. While Antioch was being taken by the Franks, when the amir of Mosul, Karbuqa, arrived to help at the gates of the city, Ridwan, suspecting him of having his sights set on Aleppo, refused to join forces with him and Karbuqa had difficulty imposing order over his own Turcomans. Equally, when the Franks were working their way towards Jerusalem, the governors of the regions they passed through often preferred to negotiate and pay them a tribute than to unite and fight them.

Very few contemporary Arab sources covering these events have survived, making it difficult to discover the first Muslim reactions to the arrival of the Franks. The earliest chronicles to relate the events of the First Crusade date from the middle of the sixth /twelfth century, at a time when the spirit of the jihad was having a revival. Some lamentations by poets such as al Abiwardi

(d. 507/1113) and Ibn al Khayyat (d. 517/1123) have survived, denouncing the Frank invasion and calling on Muslims to respond. More important was the treatise written in Damascus by a lawyer called al Sulami (d. 500/1106). Even though this little work did not reach the audience its author hoped for, it certainly reveals the reaction of a section of the Damascus religious circles in the wake of the First Crusade. In it, the Crusade is put into context within the movement of Christian expansion in the Mediterranean, particularly in the Iberian Peninsula and Sicily. The author explains the success of the Franks as resulting from Muslim divisions, the lack of enthusiasm for the jihad but also the decline of religious observances. He advocates therefore a return to Islam to regain victory, an idea which was to spread and influence the politics of Nur al Dm several decades later.

Yet the reactions of the states were still weak or ineffective in spite of the losses and the now well established status of Holy City which Jerusalem enjoyed. Al Sulami's contemporaries do not seem to have perceived as clearly as he did the true motives of the Franks. They occasionally confused them with the Byzantines against whom their jihad had waned over the centuries. The local princes were only concerned to preserve their power. The atabeg Tughtegin was the one who fought most bravely against the Franks to preserve supplies to Tripoli and communications with Egypt and Arabia. As a result he acquired the image of a brave fighter in the eyes of his contemporaries and he even became a legendary figure in the Frankish epic literature of the thirteenth century CE under the name of Huon de Tabarie. His activity was limited, however, and he himself was forced to sign truces requiring the sharing of harvests in the territories west of Damascus. He failed to save either Tripoli in 502/1109 or Tyre in 518/1124 and did not hesitate, in 508/ni4f for instance, to ally himself with the Franks against other Muslims

The New Cambridge History of Islam

when his own interests were at stake. As for the Fatimids, after having vainly launched several expeditions against the Franks both by land and by sea, they attempted nothing more after 510/1117. Greatly weakened and with only a meagre fleet, they were probably not too unhappy to see the Turkish threat recede thanks to the presence of the Franks.

As for the Abbasid caliph of Baghdad and the Saljuq sultan the only ones capable of mobilising the necessary forces for the jihad they seemed little interested in Syrian affairs and much too occupied in settling their internal conflicts. The Aleppans sent them a diplomatic mission to Baghdad in 504/1111, but they only gained inadequate help. The sultan's army sent to Syria under the command of the governor of Mosul largely failed because Ridwan of Aleppo refused to join forces with it. Another expedition was organised in 508 / 1115, led this time by the governor of Hamadhan, but it was no more successful as it came up against a Frankish Muslim alliance of Roger of Antioch, Tughtegin of Damascus, Lu'lu' of Aleppo and the Turcoman amir Ilghazi.

All these conflicts had their consequences for the people. The eastern Christians usually preferred to stay in areas under Frankish occupation, and some were employed as interpreters or secretaries. Many Muslims, on the other hand, and a certain numbers of Jews, were massacred in the first conquests, if they had not been imprisoned or enslaved. Others fled towards Damascus, Aleppo, Egypt or even the less important Syrian cities. This immigration happened off and on until 518/1124. After this there was a sort

of *modus vivendi* between the Muslims and their new masters, particularly on the land where they were more numerous than in the cities, continuing to cultivate their land and pay tribute to the Franks. But immigration did not stop completely, as shown in the well known example of the Palestinian Banu Qudama family who left Frank dominated Nablus in the middle of the sixth/twelfth century for Damascus. Some scholars and administrators found administrative or religious posts in the court of the Burids in Damascus. Amongst them were an ancestor of the historian Abu Shama (d. 665/1268) and poets mainly from Tripoli or Ma'arrat al Nu'man. On the whole they helped to strengthen Sunnism in Damascus, but there were also some Shi'is from Tripoli and Jabala. Many of these refugees never returned to their country of origin. 10

Towards territorial unity and the rise of the jihad under the Zangids

The Turcoman amir Ilghazi, ruler of Mardin and Mayyafariqin, two important cities in Upper Mesopotamia, came to power in Aleppo in 512/1118, marking a turning point in the revival of the jihad. Until then Aleppo had been reduced to

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

relying on its own resources as all the reinforcements arriving from the East were seen as suspect by its leaders. In taking control of Aleppo, Ilghazi began an alliance between northern Syria and Upper Mesopotamia which gave him his first great victory in 513 / 1119 following the battle the Franks called the Field of Blood (*Ager Sanguinis*). Ilghazi drew great prestige and a new image of

fighter for the faith, but he was still not completely successful. The Turcomans in his army who had come with him from Jazira were hoping only to go back there once they had got their plunder, thus ruling out any prompt action against the Franks. The core of Ilghazi's states was still in Upper Mesopotamia, Aleppo being only a dependency in his eyes, and he himself was more of an adventurer than a man of state capable of mobilising a population in favour of the jihad.

After his death in 516/1122, Aleppo went through another period of instability which lasted until Zangi ibn Aq Sunqur, the son of the former Saljuq governor of Aleppo, came to power. During the first years of his reign, Zangi (r. 522 41/1128 46) devoted much time to disputes over the succession to the Saljuq sultanate and the struggle against the Artuqids of Upper Mesopotamia who could at any moment cut off the route between Aleppo and Mosul. But from 1130 he turned his attention towards Damascus, still ruled by the Burid dynasty. Buri (r. 522 6/1128 32), the eldest son of Tughtigin, had succeeded his father. Damascus then went through a period of great political instability marked by the assassination of many leaders, which could have been to Zangi's advantage. But his two attempts to take the city in 529/1135 and 534/ii39f resulted in failure. Unur, the amir of Damascus, agreed only to recognise him as sovereign and to mention his name in the khutba, but this did not prevent him, in the interests of preserving his independence, from calling for the help of the Franks on several occasions.

The capture of Edessa by Zangi in 539/1144 marked a new stage in the history of relations between Franks and Muslims. Since Ilghazi's victory over the Franks in 513/1119, propaganda in favour of the jihad had been increasing, as shown in the honorary titles given to the governors of Aleppo, praising their action as combatants in the jihad. Zangi embodied the hopes for Muslim reconquest and showed that victory was possible as long as the sovereign

acted with zeal and determination. In 539/1144, the conditions were right for him. On the Frankish side, there was deep enmity between Raymond of Antioch (r. 1136–49) and the count of Edessa Jocelin II (r. 1131–49). In the kingdom of Jerusalem, the king Foulques died in November 1143. Baldwin III was still a child and his mother Melisende was regent. On the Byzantine side, John Comnenus, who had planned a campaign against Aleppo with the help of

181

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Antioch, died in 1143 and his son Manuel was too involved in ensuring his succession to go off immediately to war.

After a four week siege, Zangi seized Edessa on Christmas Eve 1144. The consequences of this victory were immense. In terms of land, the whole eastern part of the county fell into the hands of the Muslims, thus ensuring the security of communications between Aleppo and Mosul. In terms of ideology, Zangi gained great renown and received many gifts and honorary titles from the caliph. The propaganda for the jihad increased and took a new turn as the Muslims realised that the reconquest of all the territories, and especially of Jerusalem, was now possible, while in the West the fall of Edessa led to the Second Crusade.

The death of Zangi, in 541/1146, came too soon for him to realise his ambitions. Mosul and the territories of Jazira came back to his eldest son Sayf al Din while Aleppo and northern Syria fell to Nur al Din (r. 541–69/1146–74). Although the younger, the latter quickly imposed himself as leader of the

Zangid family and continued his father's work. He succeeded in reunifying Aleppo and Damascus, then Syria and Egypt by putting an end to the Fatimid caliphate. More than his father, he displayed his religious zeal and his wish to restore Sunnism, thus joining the efforts of the military men to those of the religious classes, and the pursuit of the jihad to re establishment of religious law.

Propaganda for the jihad developed greatly under his rule and was expressed in various forms: sermons, narrative texts, treatises praising the merits of Jerusalem, inscriptions on monuments and even the construction in Aleppo of a minbar which would be placed in the Aqsa Mosque in Jerusalem on the day it was reconquered. The image of the sovereign fighting for the faith and anticipating martyrdom as an example to the people spread everywhere.

On the ground, the year 543/1148 saw the offensive of the Second Crusade, led by the king of France Louis VII (r. 1137-80) and the German emperor Conrad III (r. 1138-52). Rather than attack Nur al-Din's growing forces in northern Syria, the Crusaders made the mistake of besieging Damascus in the hope of cutting off relations between Syria and Egypt, and in doing so deprived themselves of their best ally in the area. The amir of Damascus succeeded in negotiating cleverly by waving the spectre of the arrival of Nur al-Din and exploiting the divisions between the Franks of the West and the Franks of the East. Thus the Crusade ended in a fiasco, after only four days of combat, and before Nur al-Din had even had time to get there.

The following year, Nur al-Din attacked the principality of Antioch and was the victor at the battle of Inab (Safar 544/June 1149) in which Raymond of Antioch was killed. The truce he concluded with the Franks eased the military

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

pressure on Aleppo by pushing back the border between the two states towards the Orontes. In Jazira he finished what his father had started by, in 541/1146, taking back Edessa, where the Armenian population had been in revolt following the death of Zangi. The Armenian Christians and Jacobites had been spared the first time around, but this time they were massacred. With the help of the Saljuq sultan of Anatolia, Nur al Din then reconquered in 545/1150 of the rest of the county of Edessa on the western bank of the Euphrates.

Nur al Din was aware that the jihad could only have a chance of succeeding once Muslim reunification had been achieved, and made this his prime objective. Damascus fell into his hands in 549/1154 and became his new capital. Then the anarchy which prevailed within the Fatimid dynasty allowed him to contemplate the conquest of Egypt. This was undertaken by one of his Kurdish officers Shirkuh, assisted by his nephew Salah al Dm (Saladin). Egypt was a tempting prize for Muslims and Franks alike. The former saw it as an opportunity to put an end to the ShTi caliphate in Cairo and to find reinforcements for their jihad, while the latter wanted to avoid above all being caught in a vice between Nur al Din's states and to get their hands on the very rich economic potential of the country.

The Muslims, led by Shirkuh, and the Franks, under the command of Amalric, king of Jerusalem, faced each other there between 559/1164 and 564/1169, over three successive campaigns. Finally in Rabi' II 564/January 1169, Shirkuh entered Cairo. He was chosen by the Fatimid caliph al 'Adid (r. 555 67/1160 71) as his vizier, but as he died two months later he was replaced by his nephew Saladin who governed Egypt on behalf of Nur

al-Dimashqi. In 567/1171 while the caliph was on his deathbed, Saladin re-established the 'Abbasid khutba, thus putting an end to two centuries of Fatimid domination and unifying Egypt and Syria under the same political and religious authority.

The revival of Sunnism

The Saljuqs strengthened Sunnism in Syria, but this did not mean that Shi'ism had disappeared. On the one hand, there was still a majority of Twelver Shi'is in Aleppo, and on the other, a new extremist Isma'ili sect appeared, called the Batinis, but also known as the Assassins (Hashishiyya). The latter opposed the Isma'ili Fatimids as well as the Twelvers and the Sunnis. The sect had grown up following a problem of succession to the Fatimid caliphate after the death of al-Mustansir in 487/1094, and followed a policy of assassinating religious or political figures to achieve its aims. In Aleppo they found firm support from

183

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Ridwan. Chased out of Aleppo after Ridwan's death in 507/1113 by the Aleppans who were very hostile to their activities, they managed to settle around 521/1127 in Damascus where they were tolerated by Tughtegin. But there too they were massacred in a violent popular uprising in 523/1129. From then on the Assassins carried out their activities from their fortresses in the mountain areas between the Orontes and the coast.

One of the ways in which the Sunni elites tried to combat Shi'ism and any other doctrine opposed to theirs was in a policy of founding madrasas.

The

Shi'is in Aleppo had understood this when they violently opposed the building

of the first of these in their city between 516/1122f. and 522/1128. When

Nur

al Din took power in 541/1146 there was still only this one Shafi'i madrasa in

existence. He and members of his entourage had a great number built in most

of the cities of Syria and Jazira. At his death, there were eight in Aleppo and

about twenty in Damascus. Other establishments more specifically aimed at

teaching the traditions of the Prophet (dar al hadith) were also founded.

In the wake of the Saljuqs, Sufism had grown in a spectacular fashion.

The

great master of Sufism, al Ghazali (d. 505/1111) spent several months in Damascus between 488/1095 and 490/1096f. and one of the zawiyas of the

Umayyad Mosque was named al Zawiya al Gharbiyya or al Ghazaliyya to commemorate his stay in the city. In Damascus a more popular form of Sufism was developing, very well illustrated by the figure of shaykh Arslan,

who died towards the middle of the sixth/twelfth century. A cobbler by trade,

this shaykh was initiated into the mystic way and settled with his disciples in a

Sufi lodge (khanqah) built for him by Nur al Din. Many miracles were attributed to him and after his death his tomb, outside Bab Tuma, was revered, as it still is today. Nur al Din, it was said, was a great admirer of his

and is said to have wished to be buried with one of his relics.

More and more institutions accommodating Sufis were established in Syria.

They were already known in Damascus where two of this kind of establish

ment (duwayrat) were in existence even before the arrival of the Saljuqs. But

it was mainly in the sixth/twelfth and seventh/thirteenth centuries that they developed under Iranian influence under the name of khanqa or ribat.

In Aleppo, the people were at first very suspicious at seeing them built, perceiving them as a threat, a Persian innovation linked to reinforcing Sunnism. This also explains their growth under the rule of Nur al Din.

The

Iranians continued to play a leading role in this until the middle of the seventh/ thirteenth century. Thus, in Damascus, the role of leader of the Sufis was taken practically without interruption by the Iranian family the Banu Hamawayh al Juwayni, from the end of the sixth/twelfth to the beginning of

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

the eighth/fourteenth century. The Sufi establishments which saw such growth under the Ayyubids also acted as charitable institutions, housing the poorest people (such as single women and those without income who had decided to devote themselves to prayer and worship), who received food and shelter.

Saladin and the Ayyubids of Syria

The Ayyubids inherited the traditions of their predecessors, but also had to adapt to a new regional context. The jihad reached its height with the victories of Saladin over the Franks. Yet his successors, divided and threatened by the advance of the Khwarizmians and the Mongols, often came to terms with the Frankish power, accepting to deal with them to help them resist their rivals. The Franks did not succeed, however, in regaining the advantage, even when Jerusalem was returned to them in 626/1229. Their two Crusades against Egypt failed and the Latin states, greatly weakened by their own divisions, political crises and the decline in Crusader spirit in the West, did not last long after the collapse of the Ayyubid dynasty in Syria.

Franks and Muslims in Syria from 569/1174 to 658/1260
Just before his death, Nur al Dm, concerned at the growing power of Saladin in

Egypt, had been preparing an expedition against him. Saladin (r. 569/1174–93), who had already subjugated Upper Egypt, made safe the route between Egypt and Syria and taken control of the Red Sea trade route by occupying Yemen, appeared as the most powerful amir of his states. The divisions amongst the Syrians after the death of Nur al Din and the tender age of the prince succeeded in him would allow Saladin, in autumn 570/1174, to seize Damascus, Hama and Baalbek fairly easily and to obtain a few months later his official investiture by the caliph of Baghdad, al Mustadi, over Egypt and Syria, excluding northern Syria which was left to Nur al Din's son. When the latter died in 577/1181 it was a godsend for Saladin, who, having occupied part of Jazira, seized Aleppo in 579/1183. He did not manage to get hold of Mosul, as he had hoped, but in 582/1186 there was an agreement under which c Izz al Dm, the Zangid prince of the city, agreed to help him militarily in his jihad.

Strengthened by all this support, Saladin now focused on the jihad. The c ulama' encouraged him with various treatises on the jihad, armour and military tactics, or with books extolling the virtues of Jerusalem or the Sham. In the spring of 583/1187 the situation was fairly well in his favour. Truces had been signed in 576 / 1180 with the Saljuqs of Anatolia and in 581 / 1185

185

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

with the Byzantines which, for the time being, eliminated the worry of being

attacked in northern Syria. The succession crisis in the kingdom of Jerusalem after the death of the leprous king Baldwin IV (1185) and his young nephew Baldwin V (1186) was also helpful to his cause. Guy of Lusignan, husband of Sibyl, the heiress to the throne, had been crowned against the advice of a section of the nobility, including Raymond III of Tripoli, and the kingdom emerged weakened by these disputes.

By attacking a caravan travelling from Cairo to Damascus, Reynald of Chatillon, the prince of Kerak, provided Saladin with the *casus belli* he had been waiting for. The fighting between Franks and Muslims took place on the Hattin plain west of Tiberias on 25 Rabi c II 583/ 4 July 1187." The Muslims, who had the advantage of numbers, surrounded the Franks, far from water, in terrific heat. The king and nearly all the nobility were taken prisoner and this resounding victory, by depriving the kingdom of Jerusalem of almost all its knights, allowed Saladin to seize the major part of the Frank lands. After Acre, Jaffa, Sayda, Beirut and Ascalon, Jerusalem was taken on 27 Rajab 583/2 October 1187. The people's lives were spared, and those who could pay their ransom fled the city for the coast, while the Aqsa Mosque and the Dome of the Rock were reconverted into Muslim monuments. After having failed at Tyre, in 584/1188 Saladin retook a large number of fortified places in the county of Tripoli and the principality of Antioch, and gave himself an opening to the sea by taking Latakia. The Franks held on to Antioch and Tripoli and one or two fortresses such as Krak des Chevaliers, Tortosa and Margat. In the kingdom of Jerusalem, the fortresses of Kerak, Montreal and Beaufort also surrendered to Saladin.

This disaster led immediately in the West to the Third Crusade (1189 92), led by three great sovereigns. The German emperor, Frederick Barbarossa (r. 1152 90) died en route in Cilicia and his army dispersed, but the arrival of the kings of England and France, Richard the Lionheart (r. 1189 99) and Philip II Augustus (r. 1180 1223), allowed the Franks to retake Acre in Jumada II 587/ July 1191 after a long two year siege. The treaty signed between Saladin and

Richard the Lionheart in Sha'ban 588/September 1192 left the Franks a thin coastal strip linking Tyre to Jaffa, but most of Palestine eluded them. Only the freedom to make pilgrimage to Jerusalem was maintained. Saladin had failed to obtain from the neighbouring states and, most of all, the caliph the support he was hoping for and at his death in 589/1193 left the treasury completely empty, but emerged despite this with a positive image. His role in the fall of the Fatimids and his many victories against the Franks made him a legendary figure, in the West as much as in the East.

186

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

The Crusades continued to arrive in the Holy Land with more or less success. In 593/1197, the emperor Henri VI could not complete his expedition, but his army retook Jubayl (Byblos), Beirut and Sayda. Later, after the Fourth Crusade was diverted towards Constantinople (1204), the Crusaders focused their efforts on Egypt. They had understood that there lay the heart of Ayyubid power, and that this was the source of reinforcements in Syria. To take Egypt would be to take Syria in a vice, but it would also assure control of an area of important economic potential. There was a first attempt with the Fifth Crusade between 614/1217 and 618/1221 and a second in 647 8/1249 50 led by Louis IX. In both cases, after having taken Damietta, the Crusaders came up against strong Muslim resistance and their expedition ended in failure.

For her part, Syria felt much more concerned by the negotiations taking place between the sultan of Egypt al Kamil (r. 615 35/1218 38) and the emperor Frederick II (r. 1215 50) over Jerusalem. After the death of Saladin, Ayyubid divisions had quickly returned to the fore. His brother al c Adil (r. 596 615/1200 18) succeeded in imposing his sovereignty over his nephews before redistributing all the territories between his own sons, with the exclusion of the principality of Aleppo which was the only one to remain in the hands of Saladin' s descendants until 658/1260. The death of al c Adil was followed by a conflict between his sons. Al Mu'azzam, in Damascus, was looking for the support of former Turkish soldiers from Central Asia, the Khwarizmians. Worried at the prospect of facing these pillaging hordes, al Kamil turned to Frederick II in 623/1226 with the suggestion of handing Jerusalem back to him in exchange for his assistance against al Mu'azzam. The emperor, for his part, was in a difficult position, having been excommunicated by the pope in 1227, and was therefore open to negotiation. His Sicilian upbringing and his interest in the Muslim world also drew him towards it. The death of al Mu'azzam at the end of 624/1227 did not put an end to negotiation and the treaty of Jaffa in 626/1229 allowed the Franks to retake Jerusalem, with the exclusion of the Temple area or al Haram al Sharif which remained under Muslim administration. A strip of land linking Jerusalem to the coast and several other places such as Lydda, Bethlehem and Nazareth were handed over to the Franks and a ten year truce was signed.

There were strong reactions from both sides. From the Frank point of view, the Church disapproved of this agreement signed by an excommunicated sovereign which left a part of Jerusalem in Muslim hands while pieces of land around the city, which had once belonged to the Church, were not returned. The Muslims, for their part, were disheartened to have to give up Jerusalem

The New Cambridge History of Islam

which Saladin had fought so hard for. Emotions were particularly strong in Jerusalem and Damascus. They ran even higher when, during the negotiations, al Nasir Da'ud, who had succeeded his father al Mu'azzam in Damascus, was besieged by the troops of al Kamil and his brother al Ashraf. In Rajab 626/June 1229, Damascus surrendered and al Kamil shared out the lands again, keeping the lion's share for himself: in addition to Egypt, his control now extended into Muslim Palestine and part of Upper Mesopotamia; al Ashraf inherited Damascus while al Nasir Da'ud kept only Transjordan.

Until his death in 635/1238, al Kamil succeeded more or less in having his authority respected, but after him no Ayyubid sovereign was able to achieve this. His son al Salih Ayyub succeeded him in Cairo but did not manage to get his uncle al Salih Isma'il in Damascus to obey him. Both were looking for an alliance with the Franks and in 638/1240 al Salih Isma'il even handed Jerusalem back to them just a few months after it had been reconquered by al Nasir Da'ud. Al Salih Ayyub then called on the Khwarizmians, who took back Jerusalem from the Franks in 642/1244 in a bloodbath. A few months later, the Franks in alliance with the Ayyubids from Damascus suffered their greatest defeat since Hittin near Gaza (La Forbie), allowing the sultan of Cairo to seize Damascus in 643/1245 and to reoccupy part of Palestine and then to rid himself of his bothersome Khwarizmian allies by crushing them in Syria in 644/1246.

The Muslim recapture of Jerusalem brought about another Crusade, chiefly French, headed by King Louis IX (r. 1226–70). As this was taking place in

Egypt, al Salih Ayyub died in Sha'ban 647/November 1249 and his son al Mu'azzam succeeded him. But on 28 Muharram 648/2 May 1250, some weeks after the battle of al Mansura during which Louis IX was imprisoned,

al Mu'azzam was assassinated by his father's mamluks who took the throne.

This mamluk revolution of course had important repercussions in Syria Palestine. The Ayyubid prince of Aleppo, al Nasir Yusuf, immediately seized

Damascus in Rabi [11/ July 1250 and tried to march on Egypt, but was severely

beaten by the Turks in Dhu 'l qa c da 648/ February 1251. These divisions

helped the Franks and Louis IX who, after his liberation in Safar 684/May 1250, had gone back to the kingdom of Acre. Before returning to France in

652/1254, he managed to achieve from the Mamluks the liberation of prisoners

still held in Egypt, a ten year truce and a territorial status quo in Palestine.

The Abbasid caliph, very concerned about the Mongol threat to Baghdad, made many efforts to reconcile the Ayyubids and the Mamluks, but in vain.

In 651/1254, the assassination of Aqtay, leader of the Bahri Mamluks in Egypt,

led to the arrival in Syria of numerous Bahri Mamluks, including the future

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

sultan Baybars. Al Nasir Yusuf gave them the warmest of welcomes, and could

have used the opportunity of their coming together for another attempt to

overthrow the Mamluks of Egypt, but the desertion of his own troops, his hesitation, his inertia in response to the impatience of Baybars and his men, in

addition to the rivalry between him and his cousin al Mughith from Kerak,

weakened him even further. During this time the Mongol threat was becom

ing more apparent with the fall of Baghdad in 656/1258, and when in 657/1259 an agreement was finally made between al Nasir, al Mughith and Baybars, it was too late to save the dynasty.

The foundations of political power

Ayyubid territory covered a large area including Egypt, Yemen (until 626/1228), Syria Palestine and a part of Jazira. Under the reign of Saladin, Egypt was the area providing the most money and troops, but he himself only lived there very infrequently, spending most of his time on military campaigns in Upper Mesopotamia and above all in Syria. After his death, a sort of family consortium was established, with, in each important city, an Ayyubid prince who recognised the sovereignty of the sultan of Cairo. Before his death, Saladin had planned for this power sharing between his three older sons and his brother al A.dil, but in reality it was the latter who quickly took control and redistributed all the lands, with the exception of the principality of Aleppo, amongst his own sons.

This system of a family confederacy had advantages, especially in difficult times, when support was available from other members of the family. But in giving several members of the family fairly wide powers over a given area, it also encouraged personal ambition and divisions. The greatest of these, the ones setting al Mu'azzam of Damascus against his two brothers al Ashraf of Jazira and al Kamil of Egypt in the years 621 4/1224 7 lay behind the rapprochement between al Kamil and Frederick II. There were also very serious consequences for the rivalries following the death of al Kamil (635/1238) between al Salih Ayyub and his uncle al Salih Isma'il of Damascus, leading the former to ally himself with the fearsome Khwarizmians, while the latter sought the help of the Franks. There is no doubt that these incessant rivalries played an important part in the decline of the dynasty.

The concept of family power did not in any sense mean that the authority of the head of the family was not recognised. It was a sovereignty embedded in a greater hierarchical system with, at the top of the pyramid, the 'Abbasid caliph of Baghdad, the only one who could guarantee the legitimacy of power. Since the middle of the fifth/ eleventh century, the caliph had recognised the

189

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

political legitimacy of the Saljuqs by granting them officially the title of sultan. The disappearance of this dynasty from Iraq and Iran in the final years of the sixth/twelfth century led very quickly to a devaluation of this title. Already under the reign of Saladin, some chroniclers and biographers had become accustomed to giving him the title. The first Ayyubid sovereigns to use it officially at the head of their titlature were al c Adil in Egypt and al Zahir in Aleppo. Then very quickly the Ayyubid princes of secondary cities such as Baalbek, Basra or Baniyas adopted it too. The title of sultan was now devalued and no longer the prerogative of the head of the dynasty, who continued to affirm his authority via other symbols, notably by having his name used on coinage and in the Friday khutba and by reserving to himself the right to mint gold coins. With the exception of a dinar issued by Saladin in Damascus in 583/ 1187 to celebrate the victory over the Franks, no Ayyubid dinar minted in Syria has yet been discovered.

The Ayyubid armies included many footsoldiers, but it was the cavalry which constituted their real strike force. Figures given for Egypt vary between 8,500 and 12,000 horsemen and recent studies have shown that the principal cities of Damascus and Aleppo could provide between 3,000 and 5,000 horsemen each. In any case, the whole army was never mobilised on the battlefield, as garrisons had to be left in the cities and fortresses and sometimes troops were dispersed over several fronts at a time. It was then necessary sometimes to call on supplementary forces, most often Arab Bedouins and Turcomans, paid, variously, in iqta's, out of booty or by taxes raised specifically for the purpose. These Bedouins were however difficult to control and often proved to be fairly unreliable and a nuisance once the danger had passed.

The means of payment for the regular army was the iqta whose value was measured by the number of men the holder could arm. Until the end of Saladin's reign the practice followed under Nur al Din of making the iqta a hereditary concession was in force. The lands taken back from the Franks gave the sovereigns a large supply of iqta's to meet the needs and ambitions of the amirs. This is how great emiral families possessing powerful fortresses were made up. Saladin's successors made it their business to retake control of these territories to entrust them in iqta' to members of the Ayyubid family, or to administer them in the name of the sultan by a governor (wall) or a deputy (nd'ib), that is, people closely dependent on royal power.

The Ayyubid armies were made up mainly of Turks, freed slaves or free men, of which the number had greatly increased since the beginning of the Saljuq era. The Kurds, originally free, were also well represented in the Syrian army from the sixth /twelfth century, and continued to arrive under the

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

Ayyubids. The most striking example were the Qaymariyya, who played a very important role under the reign of al Nasir Yusuf. Other Kurds, such as the Shahrazuriyya, arrived in 656/1258 but proved to be much less reliable. Even though the Turks were in the majority, there was not the same large scale recruitment of mamluks as in Egypt, and the Kurds continued to play an important role which became even more significant after 648/1250 with the gradual dwindling of the power of the great Turkish families of the time of Nur al Din and the rallying of a number of Turkish contingents to the mamluks of Egypt. The important role at the head of the state played by the non Turkish mamluks should not be overlooked, as with the two amirs of Armenian origin, Tughril and Shams al Dm Lu'lu 1 who organised the regency of Aleppo and were close counsellors of the sovereigns, the former from 613/1216 to 628/1231 and the latter from 634/1236 to 648/1251.

The feeling of belonging to a particular ethnic group was real and was occasionally expressed in outright hostility. When the Turks took power in Cairo in 648/1250, rivalries only increased. Damascus was handed over to al Nasir Yusuf by Kurdish amirs in the garrison, rivals of the Turks, and the pillage of Turkish property by Kurdish soldiers which followed the animosity between them. What is more, the divisions within the army were not simply between Turks and Kurds: there were other rivalries, either amongst the Mamluk Turks, or between them and the Armenians.

The civil and military institutions of the Ayyubids in Syria were, as in Egypt, inherited from the Fatimids, the 'Abbasids and the Saljuqs. There were the same offices of wall, hajib, ustadar, atabeg, shihna and other palace officials. New military institutions, set to develop in the Mamluk period, also appeared,

revealing a real continuity between the two dynasties, even though in the Mamluk period the fluidity of the institutions tended to give way to a much more formalised system.

The Ayyubid viziers in Syria occasionally played an important political role, but their power always remained subordinate to the sovereign, who could dismiss them at any time. Saladin never had a vizier and even his closest advisor, the qadi al Fadil, who was in charge of the administration of Egypt, never held this title. His successors in Egypt were not that fond of this institution and the only important vizier in Cairo was the very unpopular Safi '1 Din ibn Shukr (d. 622/1225). Damascus, on the other hand, had many important viziers from Diya' al Dm ibn al Athir (589 92/1193 6) to Jamal al Dm ibn Matruh (644 7/1246 9), but it was in Aleppo that there was the greatest continuity to the vizierate, where there were six successive viziers

191

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

from 592/1196 to 658/1260, the most important being the great scholar Ibn al Qifti, who was vizier twice.

Economic development, religious life and urban elites

From the time the Fatimids moved into Egypt, the Red Sea trade route to the Indian Ocean had superseded the Persian Gulf route which had made Baghdad's fortune. By adding Yemen to his Egyptian possessions and denying the Franks access to the Red Sea, Saladin was asserting his wish for tight control over the trade in spices and various precious goods from the Far East and the Indian Ocean. Egypt was the main beneficiary, but some of these goods were also redistributed towards Syria.

In addition to Egypt, Ayyubid Syria traded with all its other neighbours. The Syrian traders met Iraqi or Russian traders in the Byzantine markets of Trebizond on the shores of the Black Sea, at Sivas in the north east of Saljuq Anatolia or at Antalya on the south coast. Trade with the Latin states and the Italian cities was even more extensive. Throughout the sixth/ twelfth century, Pisa, Genoa and Venice had developed their commercial links with Egypt above all. In the seventh/thirteenth century the Italians entered the markets in Syrian cities such as Damascus and Aleppo where they sold chiefly textiles, copper, silver and saffron and bought spices, cotton and fabrics. The Venetians signed commercial treaties with the Ayyubids of Aleppo which were renewed several times during the first half of the seventh/thirteenth century and obtained significant privileges in relation to commercial taxes, their personal safety and the safety of their goods, their premises, justice and minting coins.

Despite the conflicts, the trade between the Frankish coast and the city of Damascus was never lastingly interrupted. A record of customs charges from the city of Acre tells us that around 1245 there came from 'Pai'enime', that is Muslim countries, goods from the Far East and Arabia (spices, incense, medicinal drugs), and from Iraq, Syria and Egypt (perfumes, silks, various fabrics, dyestuffs, cotton, ivory, ceramics, salted fish from Egypt, sugar). In the kingdom of Acre shoes, pottery, salt, sugar, vegetables, fruit, olives and oil were produced. From the West came wheat, wine, dried fruit, salted pork, textiles from Flanders and Champagne, hemp, copper, iron and saddles. Some of these products were sold on the spot; others were re exported to Muslim countries.

Commercial prosperity led to the development of markets and commercial premises in all the cities of Syria. In the sixth/twelfth and seventh/thirteenth

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

centuries many caravanserais, providing traders with well protected stopping places, were built or restored on the route from Damascus to Aleppo. At the entrance to cities, other caravanserais accommodated dealers who sold their products there to the market (suq) traders. In the seventh/thirteenth century the markets expanded, both in Damascus and in Aleppo, extending outside the city walls. Population growth, unfortunately difficult to quantify, led to the development of new suburbs which, little by little, acquired their own markets and great mosques.

The establishment of princely courts in the main cities of Syria Palestine contributed to urban growth. The fortifications of Damascus and Aleppo had already been restored by Nur al Din, who also endowed each of these cities with law courts and various religious monuments. The Ayyubids actively pursued this building programme. Al c Adil in Damascus and Basra, al Mu'azzam in Jerusalem, al Zahir in Aleppo, al Mansur and al Muzaffar in Hama and in Ma'arrat al Nu'man, al Amjad in Baalbek, al Mansur in Hims were all great builders. The fact that the princes were surrounded by a dominant military class encouraged the growth of markets to meet their needs, for example for arms and horses, and the construction of hippodromes required for training and parades. In the sixth/twelfth and seventh/thirteenth centuries Aleppo alone had five hippodromes, all in the suburbs apart from the one in the citadel.

The same continuity between Zangids and Ayyubids can be seen in the policy of religious building. The madrasas, a good number of which had already been built under Nur al Din, continued to multiply, with a mausoleum for the founder often being added. Saladin founded the first of the

Jerusalem madrasas within the St Anne convent in 588/1192 and seven others were built during the reigns of his successors. In the middle of the seventh/thirteenth century there were ninety madrasas in Damascus and forty five in Aleppo. Shafi'i and Hanafi law schools strongly predominated in Syria, but there were also several Maliki and Hanbali madrasas. The first madrasas were often constructed within existing buildings such as renovated old houses or former churches. Many grew up around the Great Mosque and the citadel so as to be near the religious and political heart of the city, while in the seventh/thirteenth century there were more and more burial madrasas outside the walls, near the cemeteries and the fast growing suburbs. The cities were also filling up with mosques, very many oratories (masajid) and institutions teaching the hadith.

Establishments for Sufis grew up in a similar way to the madrasas. New schools of thought influenced both by ancient philosophy and ideas of Iranian

193

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

origin spread within the Sufi institutions. Most were controversial and were occasionally roundly condemned by orthodox Sunni. This kind of disapproval could have lethal consequences, as in the case of the Iranian al Suhrawardi, who founded an illuminating theosophy, and was executed in Aleppo in 587/1191 on Saladin's orders. The famous Andalusian Sufi Ibn al 'Arab!

(d. 638/1240) spent the last ten years of his life in Damascus. His tomb, on the slopes of the Qasiyun, became a very popular place of pilgrimage. Shihab al Din al Suhrawardi (d. 632/1234), who was the caliph's ambassador to Syria several times, had also some influence on the development of Sufism in this area.

The first Sufi orders (ṭarīqa, pl. ṭuruq) following a certain number of rules and rituals, under a hierarchical system of authority, began to be organised in Damascus at the beginning of the Ayyubid period. The well known order of Qadiriyya, founded in Baghdad by 'Abd al Qadir al Jilam (d. 561/1166) became established in Syria, especially in Baalbek, around the Yunim family. Two branches of the equally famous Rifa'iyya, founded by the Iraqi Ahmad al Rifa'i (d. 578/1182), also spread in Damascus. One of them, the Haririyya, very soon became suspect in the eyes of the Sunni orthodoxy, but a larger group was the Qalandariyya, whose strange practices were influenced by Buddhism. This order was introduced to Damascus in around 616/1219. It declined under the reign of al Ashraf, renowned for his pietism and hostile to any slightly excessive form of mysticism, to recover around 655/1257. The Sunni 'ulama' were even more suspicious of the movement called the 'enamoured of God' (muwallahun), whose theological and speculative positions were at least as worrying as their eccentric and excessive practices.

Besides these often controversial mystical movements, there were many ascetics and pious individuals who were completely orthodox and respected by all the population. These men, from very different backgrounds, advocated detachment from worldly goods, and individual retreat, and often lived near a well known sanctuary or the tomb of a pious person.

The decline of the Ayyubid dynasty

The Ayyubid dynasty in Syria survived ten years longer than the one in

Cairo. It fell in 658/1260 under attack from the Mongol invasion. As early as 642/1244, the Mongols entered northern Syria, coming as near as twelve kilometres to the north of Aleppo. Al Nasir Yusuf tried, as many other sovereigns had done, to play the diplomatic card. Several times, he sent embassies to the Great Khan to try to negotiate, but in vain. In the final

194

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

weeks of 657/1259, the Ayyubid cities of Upper Mesopotamia fell one after another and in Safar 658/January 1260, Hileggii, brother of the Mongol Great Khan, began to besiege Aleppo. He took the city supported by Hethum, sovereign of Lesser Armenia, and some Franks from Antioch. Hama and Hims surrendered as soon as they learnt of the fall of Aleppo. Al Nasir, abandoned by a number of his troops who were critical of his inaction and had joined the Mamluks of Egypt, had fled towards Gaza. Betrayed by one of his servants, he was handed over to the Mongols, sent to Tabriz and executed a few months later when the Mongols learnt of their defeat at the hands of the Mamluks in Syria.

Damascus, abandoned, surrendered to Kitbugha, Hileggii's general, on 17 Rabi' I 658/ 2 March 1260. Al Mughith of Kerak came and submitted, and was able as a result to continue to rule his lands under Mongol authority and Kitbugha completed the conquest of Muslim Palestine. A few weeks later, the Christians of Damascus, emboldened by the complete religious freedom granted them by the Mongols and probably believing that Islam was in its final days, gave full vent to their joy and publicly humiliated the Muslims. They were to reap severe repression for this following the Mamluk victory.

In mid Sha'ban 658 / 26 July 1260, then, the Egyptian sultan Qutuz had begun to head for Syria, leading troops which included some of al Nasir's former mamluks together with Arab and Turcoman contingents. Their victory

at 'Aynjalut in Galilee on 25 Ramadan 658/3 September 1260 and the death on the battlefield of Kitbugha allowed them rapidly to take possession of Syria Palestine. At the end of 658/1260, another offensive allowed the Mongols to reconquer Aleppo, but having been defeated a second time by Mamluk troops near Hims, they left Syrian lands in Jumada I 659 /April 1261 and withdrew to the east of the Euphrates.

There were subsequent raids, but Bilad al Sham slipped away from them for good, and came under Mamluk domination for several centuries. The whole area was reunified under the authority of the sultan in Cairo. Very soon, power was represented in Syria Palestine by lieutenants of the sultan of mamluk origin, based in Damascus and Aleppo, while Hims, Hama and Kerak were governed by completely docile Ayyubid princes. The small Ayyubid dynasty in Hama managed to survive until 742/1342, but in 661/1263 the cities of Hims and Kerak returned to the direct control of the Mamluks who continued their conquest of Bilad al Sham until 690/1291 and took back from the Franks all the cities and fortresses still held by them.

195

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

7 The Ayyubids: the House ofSaladin

Shadhi ibn Marwan

Najm al-DIn Ayyub

(d. 568)

1

Asad al-DIn Shirkuh

(d. 564)

(see table 10)

I

al-Adil Muhammad

(d. 615)

(see table 8)

Salah al-DIn Yusuf

(d. 589)

I

al-Afdal

M

(d. 622)

I

al-Zafir

Khadir

(d. 627)

al-Mu'ayyad al-Salih

Muhammad (d- 638)

I

al- Aziz
'Uthman

(d. 595)

al-Mansur
Muhammad

I

al-Zahir
Ghazi

(d. 613)

I

al-Mu'ayyad
Mas'ud
(d. 606)

al-Aziz
Muham.

(d. 634)

— I —

al-Salih
Ahmad

(d.'651)

al-Mu'izz
Ishaq

(d. 625)

I

wife of

al-Mansur
b. al-Aziz

r

i

al-Zahir
Da'ud

(d. 632)

T

T

al-Ashraf al-Muhassin
Muhammad Ahmad

(d. 605) (d" 634)

1

I I

al-Mu'azzam Nusrat al-Din

Turanshah Marwan

(d. 658) (d. 654)

Ibn al-Zahir Asad al-Din Abu Bakr
(d. 638) Arslanshah (d- 657)

(d. 658)

Taj al-Muluk
(d. 648)

. I

A'isha

wife of al-Mansur II

of Hama

al-Muzaffar
Mahmud

I —

al-Zahir

Ghazi

(d. 658)

Zubala

al-Aziz
Muhammad

— I

al-Nasir

Yusuf

(d. 658)

^~1

Ala' al-Din

wife of al-Muzaffar
of Hama

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

8 The Ayyubids: the House of al 'Adil

I

al-Salih
Ayyub

(d. 647)

⌋J

— I —

al-Adil II
Abu Bakr

(d. 645)

1

al-Mughith al-Mu'azzam
'Umar Turanshah

(d. 642)

(d. 648)

1

al-Mas'ud
Yusuf

(d. 625)

al-Mughith
'Umar

(d. 661)

I

al-Kamil Muhammad

(d. 635)
(married to the daughter of Saladin)

_L

Shadhi b. Marwan

i

Najm al-Din Ayyub

(d. 568)

I

al-Adil Muhammad

(d. 615)

I

1

al-Ashraf
Musa

(d. 635)

— r~

Fatima
m. al-Aziz
of Aleppo

al-Ashraf
Musa

—\

Ashura

m. al-Nasir
Da'ud (Karak)

1 1

Ghaziya wife of al-Sa'id
m. al-Muzaffar Abd al-Malik

(Hama)

I

al-Zahir
Shadhi

1

al-Mu'azzam
isa

(d. 624)

al-Nasir
Da'ud

(d. 656)

J

1

al-Awhad
Ayyub
(d. 607)

— I —

al-Fa'iz
Ibrahim

(d. 616)

r

al-Amjad Hasan

(d. 670)

(d. 681) (married to the daughter of al-'Aziz of Aleppo)

al-Mu'azzam
Isa

— I -

al-Aziz
Uthman

fd. 630)

⏟

al-Mughith
'Umar

(d. 606)

al-Mughith
Mahmud
(d. 630)

1

al-Sa'id
Hasan

(d. 658)

1

al-Zahir

Ghazi

(d. 630)

— I —

al-Muzaffar

Ghazi

(d. 645)

r- 1

— i —

al-Hafiz

Arslanshah

(d.639)

— I 1 1 1 1 1 -

al-Salih al-Amjad Shams al-Din al-Mu'izz Ghaziya Dayfa

"I I I

al-Kamil al-Ashraf al-Afdal al-Sa'id

Muhammad Musa Aji 'Umar

(d. 658) (d. 642)

Isma'Il

(d.648)

I

Abbas

(d.669)

1

Mawdud Ya'qub (d. before 600) (d. 640)

I

al-Mansur al-Sa'id

Mahmud Abd al-Malik (married to the daughter of al-Ashraf Musa)

1

al-Jawad Yunus

I ^i~_ 1

wife of Qaysarshah wife of al-Aziz wife of al-Mansur I
b. Qilij Arslan II daughter of Saladin f Hama

1

wife of Kayqubadh
b. Kaykhusraw

I

wife of al-Nasir
Yusuf of Aleppo

The New Cambridge History of Islam

9 The Ayyuhids of Hama

Shadhi ibn Marwan

I

Najm al-Din Ayyub

I

Shahanshah
(d. 543)

I

I
Taqi 1-Din 'Umar

(d. 587)

I

al-Mansur Muhammad

I -L" 1

al-Nasir al-Muzaffar Mahmud

Qilij Arslan (d. 642)

(married to the daughter of al-Kamil)

I

al-Mansur Muhammad II

(d. 683)

(married to the daughter of al-'Aziz of Aleppo)

I

al-Muzaffar Mahmud

I

'Izz al-Din Farrukhshah

(d. 578)

I

al-Amjad Bahramshah

I ' 1

al-Muzaffar

Taqi 1-Din

'Umar

al-Sa'id

io The Ayyuhids ofHims

r

al-Mujahid Shirkuh

(d. 637)

L

T

Shadhi b. Marwan

i

Asad al-Din Shirkuh

i

Nasir al-Din Muhammad

(d. 581)

I

1

wife of al-Afdal son of Saladin

al-Mansur Ibrahim al-Salih Isma'il

(d. 644) (d. 658)

I

al-Ashraf Musa

(d. 662)

al-Zahir

Da'ud

al-Afdal

Musa

1

al-Mas'ud

Notes

'Izz al Din Ibn Shaddad, *alA'laq alkhathira fi dhikr umara' al Sham wa'ljazira*,
ed. D. Sourdel, Damascus, 1953; ed. S. Dahan, 2 vols., Damascus, 1956
63;
ed. Y. 'Abbara, 2 vols., Damascus, 1978; ed. A. M. Edde, BEO, 32 3 (1980
1),
265 402 and trans. *Description de la Syrie du Nord*, Damascus, 1984.

B. Lewis and P. M. Holt, *Historians of the Middle East*, Oxford, 1962, 59
117;

C. Cahen, *La Syrie du Nord a l'epoque des croisades et la principaute
franque*

198

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bilad al Sham, from the Fatimid conquest

d'Antioche, Paris, 1940, 3 100; H. L. Gottschalk, *al Malik al Ka.mil von
Egypten und
seine Zeit*, Wiesbaden, 1958, 1 19; N. Elisseeff *Nural Din, un grand
prince musuhnan
de Syrie au temps des croisades*, 3 vols., Damascus, 1966, 1 85; R. S.
Humphreys,
From Saladin to the Mongols: The Ayyuhids of Damascus, 1193 12.60,
New York, 1977,
393 9; M. C. Lyons and D. E. P.Jackson, *Saladin: The politics of Holy War*,
Cambridge,
1982; T. Bianquis, *Damas et la Syrie sous la dominationfatimide* (359
468/969 1076), 2 vols.,
Damascus, 1986 9, 22 34; J. M. Mouton, *Damas et sa principauti sous les
Saljoukides et les
Bourides*, 468 \$48/1076 1154, Cairo, 1994, 4
9; A.M.Edde., *La principauteayyoubided'Alep*
(579/1183 658/1260), *Freiburger Islamstudien* 21, Stuttgart, 1999, 18 30;
Y. Lev, *Saladin in*

Egypt, Leiden, 1999, pp. 1 52. On the sources of the Crusades, cf. K. M. Setton (general ed.), *A history of the Crusades*, vol. VI, H. W. Hazard and N. P. Zacour (eds.), *The impact of the Crusades on Europe*, Madison, 1989, and H. E. Mayer, *Geschichte der Kreuzzüge*, 10th edn, Stuttgart, 2005; English trans. *The Crusades*, 2nd edn, Oxford, 1988.

3. These documents have come down to us either directly or through being copied into works by authors careful to preserve the contents. Cf. al Qalqashandi, *Subh al a'shafisina'at al insha'* ed. M. 'Abd al Rasul Ibrahim, 14 vols., 2nd edn, Cairo, 1963; H. A. Hein, *Beitrdge zur ayyubidischen diplomatik*, dissertation, University of Freiburg im Breisgau (1968). More than 800 letters have been collected by Ibrahim al Hafsi, *'Rasa'il, Correspondance officielle et privee d'al Qadi al FadiT*, Ph.D. thesis, 4 vols., University of Paris IV Sorbonne (1979).

4. S. D. F. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society: The Jewish communities of the Arab world as portrayed in the documents of the Cairo Geniza*, 6 vols., Berkeley, Los Angeles and London, 1967 93, index.

5. D. Sourdél and J. Sourdél Thomine, 'Nouveaux documents sur l'histoire religieuse et sociale de Damas au moyen age', *REI*, 32 (1964), 1 15; D. Sourdél and J. Sourdél Thomine, *A propos des documents de la grande mosquée de Damas conservés à Istanbul. Resultats de la seconde enquête*, *REI*, 33 (1965), 77 85; D. Sourdél and J. Sourdél Thomine, 'Une collection médiévale de certificats de pèlerinage à La Mèkke conservés à Istanbul. Les actes de la période seljoukide et bouride (jusqu'à 549/1154)', in *Etudes médiévales et patrimoine turc*, Paris, 1983, 167 273; D. Sourdél and J. Sourdél Thomine, *Certificats de pèlerinage d'époque ayyubide: Contribution à l'histoire de l'idéologie de l'islam au temps des croisades*, Paris, 2006.

6. B. Poree, 'La contribution de l'archéologie à la connaissance du monde des croisades

(XIIe-XIIIe siècle): L'exemple du Royaume de Jérusalem', in M. Balard (ed.), *Autour de la première croisade*, Paris, 1996, 487-515; *The new encyclopedia of archaeological excavations in the Holy Land*, ed. E. Stern, 4 vols., Jerusalem, 1993; M. Rosen Ayalon, *Art et archéologie islamiques en Palestine*, Paris, 2002; on the discovery of a Crusader treasure in Rusafa, see Thilo Ulbert, *Die Basilika des heiligen Kreuzes in Resafa Sergiopolis*, vols. II and III, Mayence, 1986 and 1990; see also André Raymond and Jean Louis Paillet, *Balis II: Histoire de Balis et fouilles des îlots I et II, Damascus*, 1995; J. Matthers et al., 'Tell Rifa'at 1977: Preliminary report of an archaeological survey', *Iraq*, 40, 2 (1978), 119-62; G. Hennequin and al 'Ush Abu l Faraj, *Les monnaies de Balis, Damascus*, 1978; A. Negre, 'Les monnaies de Mayadin. Mission franco-syrienne de Rahba Mayadin', *BEO*, 32-3 (1980-1), 201-52; S. Berthier (ed.),

199

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Peuplement rural et aménagements hydroagricoles dans la moyenne vallée de l'Euphrate, fin Vile-XIIIe siècle, Damascus: IFEAD, 2001; Janus Bylinski, 'Qal'at Shirkuh at Palmyra. A medieval fortress reinterpreted', *BEO*, 51 (1999), 151-208; J. Gonnella, 'The Citadel of Aleppo', *Electronic Journal of Oriental Studies*, 4, Proceedings of the 11th International Congress of Turkish Art, Utrecht, August 23-28, 1999, ed. M. Kiel, N. Landman and H. Theunissen, 22 (2001), 1-24; B. Michaudel, 'Le château de Saone (Sahyun, Qal'at Salah al Din) et ses défenses', *Archéologie*

Islamiaue, 11 (2001), 201 6; K. Beddek, 'Le complexe ayyoubide de la citadelle de Salah al Din: bain ou palais', *Archeologie Islamiaue*, 11 (2001), 75 90; G. King, *Archaeological fieldwork at the citadel of Horns, Syria, 1995 1999*, *Levant*, 34 (2002), 39 58; S. Gelichi, 'II castello di Harim (Idlib Siria). Aggiornamenti sulla missione archeologica: la campagna di scavo 2000', in *Le missioni archeologiche dell'Universitd di Ca' Foscari di Venezia: III giornata di studio, Venice, 2003*, 176 85; E. al Ajj, S. Berthier et al., *Etudes et travaux a la citadelle de Damas (2000 2001): un premier hilan*, BEO, Supplement au tome 53 54, Damascus, 2003.

7. Cf. Nasir i Khusraw, *Safar nama*, trans. W. M. Thackston, *Naser e Khusraw's Book of travels*, New York, 1985, 10 38 and Ibn Butlan in G. Le Strange, *Palestine under the Moslems: A description of Syria and the Holy Land*, London, 1890; repr. Beirut, 1965, 363, 370 5; Goitein, *A Mediterranean society*, vol. I, 213.

8. Cf. Michel le Syrien, *Chronique syriaque*, ed. and trans. J. B. Chabot, 4 vols., Paris, 1899 1914, vol. III, 158, 173, 182; *History of the Patriarchs of the Egyptian Church*, ed. and trans. A. Khater and O. H. E. K H. S. Burmester, 4 vols., Cairo, 1970 4, vol. II, part 3, ed. 198 and trans. 304; *Matthew of Edessa, Armenia and the Crusades: Tenth to twelfth centuries. The Chronicle of Matthew of Edessa*, trans. A. E. Dostourian, Lanham, New York and London, 1993, 149, 156 7. On Muslim ibn Quraysh, see Ibn al c Adim, *Zubdat al halab min ta'rlkh Halab*, ed. S. Dahan, 3 vols., Damascus, 1951 68, vol. II, 73 92; Ibn al Athir, *al Kamilfl'l ta'rlkh*, 13 vols., Beirut, 1965 7, vol. X, 140; EI2, art. 'Muslim ibn Kuraysh' (M. Sobernheim).

9. The lost work by Hamdan ibn ' Abd al Rahim al Atharibi on the history of Aleppo and the conquest by the Franks is an exception. See Ibn al Adim, *Bughyat al talab fi ta'rlkh Halab*, ed. S. Zakkar, n vols., Damascus, 1988. vol. VI, 2926 32 and

C. Hillenbrand, *The Crusades: Islamic perspectives*, Edinburgh, 1999, 32.

10. E. Sivan, 'Refugies syro palestiniens au temps des croisades', *REI*, 35 (1967), 135-47;

H. E. Mayer, 'Latins, Muslims and Greeks in the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem',

History, 63 (1978), 175-92; B. Kedar, 'The subjected Muslims of the Frankish Levant',

in J. M. Powell (ed.), *Muslims under Latin rule 1100-1300*, Princeton, 1990, 135-74.

11. B. Z. Kedar (ed.), *The Horns of Hattin*, Jerusalem and London, 1992.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate (358/969-1171)
and the Ayyubids in Egypt

(567/648-1171/1250)

YAACOV LEV

Egypt and the historiography of the
Fatimid-Ayyubid period

Egypt conquered by the Fatimids in 358/969 was rich agricultural land with

winter crops (wheat, barley, beans and flax) and summer crops (watermelons,

cotton and sugar cane). Egypt's arable lands were dependent on the Nile whose flow governed the country's life cycle. The annual rise of the Nile used

to begin during June/July and intensified during August. The beginning of the

rise made it possible for boats loaded with grain to sail towards the capital and

the rising water of the Nile also made the canal of Alexandria navigable. The

Nile usually reached its plenitude of 16 cubits as measured at the Cairo's Nilometer during late August or early September. The new agricultural year

began during September or early October when the seeds needed for planting cereals were delivered to the fellahin. Egypt was a wheat producing country and bread was the staple of its population. However, since the Tulunid period, flax became Egypt's main cash crop and its cultivation spread throughout the fourth seventh/tenth thirteenth centuries and constituted one of Egypt's main exports. Flax was not only exported; there was also a strong local demand for it. Egypt had a long tradition of textile manufacture and its production centres such as Tinnis, Damietta and Bahnasa enjoyed high international reputation.

Although any demographic assessments are riddled with difficulties, the population of Egypt is generally estimated by modern scholars at 2.6 million at the beginning of the first/ seventh century. Medieval demographic assessments were higher and, for example, the tax collector on behalf of the Umayyad caliph Hisham (r. 105 25/724 43) maintained that there were 10,000 villages in Egypt and 5 million people. 1 The exact size of Egypt's

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

population on the eve of the Fatimid conquest is unclear, but there were Muslims, Copts and a small Jewish minority, while the number of villages was 2,395, of which 1,439 were in the Delta region. 2 This region comprised Egypt's agricultural heartland and we must assume that the estimate of 10,000 villages for the mid second/ eighth century was exaggerated. At the time of the Fatimid conquest, the Islamisation of Egypt was, however, only partial. Substantial Islamisation occurred during the fourth/ tenth century in the wake of a harsh suppression of Coptic uprisings, which had been sparked by oppressive taxation. Nevertheless, the Egyptian countryside (rif) remained mostly Coptic. The Coptic Church was a powerful institution and a big landowner. The power of the Church was also derived from the fact that

Egypt was predominantly a rural country with a low degree of urbanisation.

Alexandria was the main Mediterranean port and Fustat was the capital city and the administrative and commercial centre. Fustat was a Muslim town with a Christian and Jewish population whose safety and freedom of religious worship were generally maintained.

Our ability to reconstruct Egypt's history under Fatimid and Ayyubid rule is seriously hampered owing to the fact that much of the rich historiography

of the fourth seventh/tenth thirteenth century has not survived.

Importantly,

al Maqrizi (766 845/1364 1442), who claimed Fatimid ancestry and showed

great interest in Fatimid history, quotes some of the original works by historians of the Fatimid period. 3 Al Maqrizi, in three of his works a chronicle

devoted to Fatimid history (Itti'az al hunafa 1), a topographical historical work

dealing with Fustat and Cairo (known as Khitat) and a biographical dictionary

(alMuqaffa) quotes extensively from the writings of Ibn Zulaq (306 86/918

96), al Musabbihi (366 420/977 1029), al Quda'i (d. 454/1062), Mubashshir ibn

Fatik (fi. in the fifth/ eleventh century), Ibn al Ma'mun al Bata'ih (d. 588/1192)

and Ibn Muyassar (d. 677/1278). Ibn Zulaq's biographical dictionary of Egyptian judges (qadls) is also quoted by Ibn Hajar al 'Asqalani (773 852/ 1372 1449), who is our principal source for Isma'ili qaals who served in Cairo

during the Fatimid period. Fragments quoted by later authorities are, however,

a poor substitute for the loss of the original works. The surviving section of

al Musabbihi's chronicle Akhbar Misr epitomises the extent of the lost data. It

was a huge work of 13,000 folios dealing with the Muslim history of Egypt rich in

information, and its obituaries mirror people from all walks of life. 4

Al Maqrizi's writings are also indispensable for Saladin's rise to power in Fatimid Egypt, his rule and the Ayyubid period. Al Maqrizi was familiar with

Qadi al Fadil's lost chronicle, Mutajaddidat, and quoted it in Khitat and his

history of the Ayyubid Mamluk period (Kitab al suluk). Qadi al Fadil's

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

Mutajaddidat, as al Musabbihi's chronicle, was a very detailed and informative work that recorded events on a daily basis. For the political and military history of Ayyubid Egypt after Saladin, the most important source is Ibn Furat's history. Although the published text is marked by lacunas, Ibn Furat (735 807/1334 1405) made extensive use of Ibn Nazif al Hamawi (fl. first half of the seventh/ thirteenth century) and Ibn Khallikan (608 81/1211 82). 5 Although Ibn Wasil's chronicle (604 97/1208 98) is an indispensable source for the Ayyubids of Syria, it offers less information on the Ayyubids of Egypt.

The survival of some Arabic Christian historical works dealing with the Fatimid Ayyubid period adds significantly to Arabic Muslim historiography.

The most important work is that of Yahya ibn Sa'ad al Antaki who was a Melkite Christian and fled from Egypt for Antioch in 404/1013 during al Hakim's persecutions of the non Muslims. His chronicle is an important source for al Hakim's rule and Fatimid Byzantine relations. The History of the Patriarchs of Alexandria is the most important source for the history of the Coptic Church and also provides some information on the history of the Fatimid Ayyubid period. This work is made up of a series of biographies of the Coptic Patriarchs and has a complex textual history.

It must be said that our knowledge of Fatimid and Ayyubid institutions, especially administrative offices, is quite extensive since historians of the Ayyubid Mamluk period such as al Makhzumi (d. 585/1189), Ibn Mammati (542 606/1147 1209), Ibn Tuwayr (524 617/1130 1220) and al Qalqashandi (756 821/1355 1418) have discussed them in detail. Some original Fatimid and Ayyubid documents have survived and supplement information derived from

literary sources. The epigraphic evidence for the Fatimid Ayyubid period is also quite extensive and provides sometimes unique information, especially on legitimisation of political power.

The Fatimid imams in power (358-466 / 969-1073)

The conquest of Egypt had been a Fatimid goal since the inception of their rule in 297/909 in Tunisia and was motivated by the desire to supplant the 'Abbasids, whom they considered as unworthy usurpers.

Earlier attempts to conquer Egypt in 301/914 and 306/919 failed owing to poor Fatimid military performances and massive 'Abbasid military and naval intervention. The campaign of 358/969 was launched only after extensive logistic preparations along the route from North Africa and Egypt were completed and Fatimid propagandists (du'at) in Fustat had secured local support for the new regime. Eventually, the Fatimid conquest

203

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

of Egypt was achieved without much bloodshed and reflected both the vast

military and financial resources that were available to the Fatimid general

Jawhar and the disintegration of the Ikhshidid regime. In 358/969, the 'Abbasid caliphate, which was under Buyid tutelage, remained passive and

later attempts to fight the Fatimids by proxy, the Qarmatis of Bahrayn, failed. Although the immediate impact of the Fatimid conquest on Egypt was minimal, in the long term the country underwent many changes under

the rule of the Fatimids.

The period of Fatimid rule in Egypt can be divided into two distinctive phases: before and after the civil war of the 450s /1060s and the early 460s /1070s

which also marked a transition from civilian to military rule. During the first phase, the imam was the source of political authority and he ruled through his court, the vizier and the heads of the administrative offices. Although the army was the main buttress of the regime and many corps were stationed in Cairo to protect the palace complex and the regime, the amirs played no political role in the state. During this period, the political scene was dominated by a number of powerful civilian viziers. Late medieval historians portrayed the vizier Ya'qub ibn Killis (d. 380/990) as the creator of the Fatimid administrative system but his contribution seems to have been exaggerated. They had been captivated by Ibn Killis's friendly relations with al 'Aziz, and his fabulous riches and influence. A more realistic depiction of the vizier's powers is provided by Ahmad al Jarjara's letter of appointment as vizier in 418/1027. The document sets forth what can be described as the ideological framework of, or the justification for, the post of the vizier, invoking the biblical Qur'anic precedents of Moses, Aharon and Joseph. Clearly specified in the document are the duties of the vizier, who was responsible for fiscal matters and the governing of the provinces. The document also states that the vizier has to act as mediator between the circles supporting the regime, provincial governors, scribes of the administrative offices and finally the subjects. The just treatment of the subjects in the capital and provinces is proclaimed as one of the vizier's duties, including, if necessary, by dismissal of oppressive governors. 7

The vizier was the head of the administration whose structure is revealed through the names of the administrative offices. These were created according to three criteria: function, geography and persona. There were central offices such as the Office of Army or Office of Taxes while other offices were

entrusted with inspection duties. To what extent the administrative duties of the central offices were coordinated with offices that were responsible for certain geographical regions remains unknown. Separate offices administered the private properties and incomes of the imam as well as those of other

204

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

members of the royal family, including women. The existence of the Office of Army did not prevent the creation of offices which dealt with certain military corps, and the demarcation line between the different offices and administrative duties is far from clear. Overlapping among the various administrative offices must have been widespread.

Our knowledge of the Fatimid provincial administration is very restricted. For example, in the 410s /1020s in the town of Ramla, a provincial capital in Palestine, there resided several Fatimid officials: the governor, the military governor, the chief of the secret police and intelligence, the fiscal administrator, the audit and the chief of Fatimid propaganda. To what extent there was a clear distinction between the responsibilities of the governor and the military governor remains vague.

The structure of the Fatimid army is vaguely attested to in the sources, but its ethnic composition and the status of the troops are widely referred to. In the mid fifth /eleventh century the army was tens of thousands strong

and made up of a bewildering assortment of corps, some of which were manned by free born troops while others by military slaves. Africans, Berbers, Turks and Bedouins served in the army, which consisted of infantry and cavalry and other small specialised units such as nafta hurlers and troops employed during siege operations. Most of the infantry regiments were manned by African military slaves while the Turks served as cavalry. 9

The foundation of Cairo played an important role in the successful consolidation of the Fatimid rule in Egypt. It was a fortified town and its fortifications saved the Fatimids during the Qarmati invasion of 361/976. Cairo served as the seat of the Fatimid imams throughout the whole period of their rule in Egypt. The palace complex was huge and formed a city within a city in which lived and worked several thousand people. But Cairo was more than a palace city; it rapidly became a thriving urban centre. The Fatimid rulers owned vast commercial properties in Cairo, which were rented on a monthly basis. Cairo had an unmistakable Isma'ili character. The imposition of Isma'ilism on Egypt was a gradual process that took place between 358/969 and 366/976. It involved the Isma'ilisation of the rites of the Festival of Breaking of Ramadan, the introduction of the Shi'i formula of the call to prayer (adhan) and the appointment of Isma'ili judges who accorded to the Fatimid law superiority in cases of inheritance. Even after the Isma'ilisation of the religious life in Fustat, Cairo retained a more distinctive and profound Isma'ili character and, in this respect, differed from Fustat. The Isma'ili character of Cairo was enhanced by the teaching of

205

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Isma'ilism (majalis al hikma) which took place only in Cairo at the palace and the Azhar Mosque. 10 The population of Cairo during the fourth fifth/tenth eleventh centuries consisted of classes associated with the regime, of

which the military was the largest group. Others were administrators and merchant suppliers of the court. These groups were more favourably disposed to the religious propaganda of the regime than the Sunni population of Fustat, where Sunni Islam and learning flourished. Beyond the confines of the court and Cairo, Isma'ilism won only a limited following in Egypt.

Al 'Aziz's reign (365 86/975 96) was a period of internal stability and saw the establishment of the Fatimid rule in Damascus and Palestine but these

achievements were seriously threatened during al Hakim's rule (386 411/996

1021). Al Hakim's religious policies brought about social unrest and the propagators who proclaimed his divinity were killed and expelled. These turbulent years witnessed both the decline of families which had long been

associated with the Fatimids and the erosion in the position of the Kutama

Berbers as the mainstay of the Fatimid regime. The Fatimid rule was saved

through a coup d'etat staged by al Hakim's sister, the princess Sitt al Mulk, who

brought about both al Hakim's demise and the coronation of his son al Zahir

(r. 411 27/1021 36).

The sliding of the Fatimid state into the devastating civil war of the 450s/1060s and the early 460s /1070s was a result of a struggle for dominance between the blacks and the Turks in the army. This conflict was about position and remuneration and was fuelled by ethnic animosities, different

social status of the troops (African military slaves versus Turkish free born

warriors) and different military specialisation (African infantry versus Turkish cavalry). The civil war caused large scale devastation: sections of the capital were destroyed, the treasures stored at the palace complex were

looted, and members of the royal family fled Egypt. State institutions such as

the administration and the tax collection system, the judicature and the army

crumbled. In the midst of the havoc al Mustansir (r. 427 87/1036 94), the ruling Fatimid imam, contacted Badr aljamali, the governor of Acre, and commanded him to restore order in Egypt. In winter 466/1073, Badr arrived

with his private army in the Mediterranean port of Tinnis and began a ruthless campaign against the various elements that had seized power in the provinces. The restoration of order had an immediate positive effect on

the agricultural output and the flow of taxes. In 469/1076, three years after his arrival to Egypt, Badr defeated the invasion of Egypt led by Atsiz ibn Uvaq, a Turkish chieftain from Syria.

206

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

The Fatimid state under military rule
(466-567/ 1073-1171)

Badr al Jamali was the first person in Fatimid history who rose to a position of power through an independent power base, i.e. his army. According to the standard medieval Islamic administrative terminology, he is described as a vizier of the sword in contrast to his civilian predecessors, the viziers of the pen. But the full scope of his powers is revealed by his titles. He was addressed as the Most Illustrious Lord and bore the following titles: Helper of the Imam, Sword of Islam, Commander of the Armies, Protector of the Qadis of the Muslims and Guide of the Propagandists of the Believers (i.e. Isma'ilis). This assortment of titles became the standard titulature of the Fatimid military viziers of the sixth/twelfth century.

Badr succeeded in both restoring the power of the Fatimid state and the expansion of his independent military power base. The economic recovery was quick and impressive and upon his death in 487/1094 he left 6.4 million dinars in cash, while the total cash reserves of the state were as high as 12,200,550 dinars. Economic prosperity continued under the rule of Badr's

son al Afdal (487 515/1094 1121), whose annual tax revenues stood at 5 million dinars, and it is said that this was achieved without resorting to oppressive methods while maintaining the prosperity of the rural areas. 11 Badr rebuilt Cairo and surrounded the town with new walls and he partially re-established Fatimid rule in Syria. Parallel with the efforts to revive the economy, Badr created his own corps of military slaves and welcomed the emigration of Christian Armenian military elements to Egypt. His policy towards the Armenians might be explained by ethnic affiliations, since Badr was a Muslim Armenian who rose to eminence through military slavery. But other factors may have been at work too. The creation of a slave corps was, however, a slow process, and Badr's slave corps was no more than 700 men strong while the recruitment of free born Christian Armenians was a much faster and cheaper way to create a sizeable army of 7,000 troops. One of the main advantages of the Armenians as military manpower was their ability to fight as both cavalry and infantry. 12.

The strength of the personal power base created by Badr was revealed upon his death: Badr's amirs rallied behind their master's son, al Afdal, and stopped any attempt of al Mustansir to regain full powers. A precedent of a hereditary military vizierate was established, and al Afdal, in his capacity as the new military ruler of the state, determined the succession to the throne upon al Mustansir's death in 487/1094. Al Afdal established al Mustansir's youngest

son as the new imam, under the reigning title al-Musta'li (487-495 /1094-1101).

His self-interest was obvious, since al-Musta'li was married to Badr's daughter,

but his intervention created a schism within the Fatimid movement.

According to the Isma'ili political doctrine, the imamate is passed by nass,

i.e. an explicit designation from the imam to his son. Nizar, al-Mustansir's

eldest son, claimed to have been given the nass by his father and stirred up a

rebellion in Alexandria. He was defeated, and died in obscure circumstances,

but his followers, the Nizari Isma'ilis, claimed that he passed the imamate to

his grandson. In contrast with Sunni Islam, where brute force was the ultimate

arbitrator into political disputes, in the Shi'i-Isma'ili Islam, because of the pivotal role of the imam in the religious and political system, successional disputes turned in perpetual schisms. The tutelage of the Jamali house of military viziers exacted a heavy political price from the Fatimids.

In 495/1101, upon al-Musta'li's death, he was succeeded by his five-year-old

son al-Amir, who eventually in 515/1121 managed to bring about al-Afdal's

demise. Al-Afdal's twenty-seven years of military rule were marked by his failure to deal with the Crusades. Although the Fatimids were aware of the

advance of the armies of the First Crusade, al-Afdal failed to comprehend their

intentions for a long time. When he realised that he would have to fight the

Franks, his military moves were slow and the Fatimid army that arrived in

Ascalon, shortly after the fall of Jerusalem in Sha'ban 492 /July 1099, suffered a

humiliating defeat. Although the Fatimids fought the Crusaders in Palestine

during the first decade of the sixth/twelfth century, their actions lacked determination and overall strategy. The Fatimids were unable to avert the

fall of the coastal towns of Palestine and Syria and their navy was no match for

the European fleets that supported the Crusades. 13

Following the defeat of 492/1099 at Ascalon, al-Afdal initiated a programme

of military reforms, which involved the initiation of a military training programme modelled after the institution of military slavery. Al-Afdal established seven barracks (hujras), where young boys were trained. These, however, were not slaves, but sons of soldiers and civilian employees of the Fatimid state. 14

In the long term, al-Afdal's military reforms failed to improve the performances of the Fatimid army and the hujariyya troops are mentioned in the context of court ceremonies and not combat. The Fatimid army of the second half of the sixth/twelfth century was a large force composed of cavalry and tens of thousands of black infantry and was scorned by the Franks for its poor fighting capabilities. 15 Although militarily weak, the army - or more exactly its officer corps - was deeply involved in politics. Al-Amir was the last Fatimid ruler who ruled independently for some years. In 515/1121, after the

208

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

assassination of al-Afdal, al-Amir appointed a new vizier, al-Ma'mun al-Bata'ihl, whom he arrested and executed in 519/1125. For five years between 519/1125 and 524/1130, al-Amir held the reins of power in his hands, being the last Fatimid ruler who exercised political authority.

Al-Amir's assassination in 524/1130 plunged the Fatimids into a second schism. Al-Amir's amirs became involved in his succession. They ignored the nass conferred by their master on his infant son, Abu 'l-Qasim al-Tayyib, and his designation of him as the heir to the throne. They proclaimed al-

Amir's cousin, c Abd al-Majid, as the new ruler who was to act as guardian for al-Amir's son yet to be born (al-Tayyib's fate is not alluded to in the sources any more). 'Abd al-Majid was, however, deposed in a military coup led by Abu 'All Kutayfat, the only surviving son of al-Afdal. For less than a year, he ruled the Fatimid state, but he was assassinated in 526/1131. The demise of Kutayfat paved the way for the restoration of 'Abd al-Majid to the throne and the declaration that he was a legitimate imam in his own right. He ruled under the regnal title al-Hafiz until his death in 544/1149. 1 Both the Nizari and Tayyibi Isma'ilis disputed al-Hafiz' s claim to the imamate, the latter believing that al-Tayyib was living in concealment in Yemen.

The predominant position of Isma'ilism in Fatimid Egypt was slowly eroded during the rule of Badr and al-Afdal and later Kutayfat, who, in 525/1130, nominated four chief judges, belonging to the Shafi'i, Maliki, Imami and Fatimid schools of law, and empowered them to handle inheritance cases according to their school. Kutayfat' s policy was the continuation of that of Badr and Ma'mun al-Bata'ih who exempted the Sunms from being subjected to the Fatimid law of inheritance. The four judges nominated by Kutayfat were removed following his demise, but whether the Fatimid law regained its superior position in cases of inheritance remains unclear. However, religious life in Fatimid Egypt during the sixth/twelfth century was marked by contra-dictions. Parallel with the process of the de-Isma'ilisation of the legal system there was a marked involvement of the regime in the celebration of Muslim religious festivals, including the introduction of new ones. The most important festival initiated by the Fatimid regime was the mawlid al-nabi, Muhammad's Birthday. Other mawlid celebrations in Fatimid Egypt included those of 'All, Fatima, Hasan, Husayn and the reigning Fatimid imam (al-Amir, for example, celebrated his mawlid in 517/1123). The festival of Muhammad's Birthday was later also adopted by the Sunms and its Fatimid origin was

conveniently forgotten. Although diluted beyond recognition and divested of their Isma'ili content, some religious practices of the Fatimid period left their mark on later periods. The North African traveller Ibn Jubayr, who visited

209

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Egypt a decade after the overthrow of the Fatimids, left a detailed description of the acts of veneration performed at the shrine of Husayn in Cairo. The cult of Husayn was introduced to Egypt by the Fatimids but, it seems, the people venerated him as a member of the Prophet's extended family (ahl al-bayt) rather than as a Shi'i figure. The adoption and spread of certain Fatimid religious practices was a result of a receptive mood of the masses, which infused them with a new and different content. 17

Throughout the 420S-450S/1030S-1060S, the Fatimid state saw the rise and fall of several military viziers and a steady decline of its international prestige. Fatimid Egypt became the 'sick man on the Nile' - an economically prosperous and politically weak country coveted by its powerful neighbours the Franks and Nur al-Din of Damascus. From 560/1164 to 565/1169 the Franks and Nur al-Din fought on Egyptian soil in an attempt to conquer Egypt, or at least to prevent their adversary from gaining any advantage in it. In 565/1169 the armies of the Crusader kingdom of Jerusalem withdrew from Egypt and Nur al-Din's expeditionary force led by Shirkuh and later Saladin achieved supremacy in Egypt.

The rise of Saladin and Ayyubid rule in Egypt

In 565/1169 Saladin was nominated as Fatimid vizier, but the safeguarding of Fatimid interests was not his priority. From the position of vizier and with the co-operation of key Fatimid administrators he began to undermine the position of al-'Adid, the last Fatimid ruling imam (555-67/ 1160-71). Saladin fought and destroyed the regiments of black infantry that were stationed in Cairo, dispossessed Fatimid amirs of their fiefs (iqto's) and urban properties and established law colleges (madrasas) that symbolised Sunni Islam. Members of Saladin's family profited immensely from the establishment of the Ayyubid rule in Egypt. Saladin's father was granted the revenues of Alexandria and the Buhayra province while Saladin's brothers Turanshah and Buri received the revenues of Upper Egypt and the Fayyum district. Saladin rendered the Fatimid state defunct and obliterated its Isma'ili character even before the death of al-'Adid in 567/1171.

Saladin and his Ayyubid successors brought Egypt back to the Sunni fold and the main instrument of their policy was the establishment of law colleges whose teachers and students were supported through pious endowments (waqfs). The reinstitution of Sunni Islam went without hindrance and was much facilitated by the fact that during the whole period of Fatimid rule in Egypt Isma'ilism was merely the state religion of the country, being professed

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

by the ruling family and people at the court but with little following among the population. In political and military terms, the main difference between

the Fatimid and Ayyubid periods lay in the internal distribution of power within the state, the formation of a new ruling elite and the creation of a new army totally unrelated to the old Fatimid military tradition. Although the Fatimid imams relinquished political power during the sixth/twelfth century, the Fatimid ruler had, until the very end of the dynasty, considerable liquid resources at his disposal and the court played a key political role. 1 The military dictators in the Fatimid state failed to establish an independent legitimacy and their absolute powers were presented as rooted in a delegation of authority by the imam. For example, in inscriptions on buildings and fortifications commissioned by Badr al-Jamali, he is referred to as the client (fata) of al-Mustansir, and al-Mustansir's patronymic (nisba) was bestowed on him. The terminology of patronage was employed to describe the supposed subordination of Badr to the Fatimid ruler. In reality, Badr's title Amir al-Juyush (Commander of the Armies) became his patronymic and his military slaves and properties were designated by it. 19 Nonetheless, the fact that Badr consented to be publicly depicted as the fata of al-Mustansir is very revealing. The military viziers of the sixth/twelfth century, including al-Afdal, failed to create networks of people with enduring loyalty and vested interests in the existence of their regimes. Saladin managed to legitimise his rule and to create 'a functioning political system', to use an expression coined by R. Stephen Humphreys. 20 The Ayyubid political system was marked by considerable sharing of powers between the sultan and his high-ranking amirs and top administrators. Saladin's dismantling of the palace complex and the dispersal of the court were more than symbolic acts since they signified a real political change in the state.

Saladin's beginnings were marred by many difficulties and the question of political legitimisation posed a serious problem. The political authority of the Fatimid imam, also referred to as the Commander of the Believers, was perceived as religiously sanctioned and he considered himself to be the divinely

chosen ruler. These concepts prevailed throughout the Fatimid period and are neatly illustrated by the *rasa'il* (epistolary writings) of Ibn al-Sayraf (464-542/1071-1147), which reaffirmed the notion that Fatimid imams are God's deputies. 2,1 Saladin and the Ayyubids did not claim direct explicit divine authority. They claimed to enjoy God's assistance and derived their legitimacy from their commitment and participation in the holy war, their support of the Abbasid caliph and the services rendered him, and being champions of justice. The Ayyubid sultan al- c Adil (the Just), for example, was referred to as al-mu'ayyad

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

(literally, supported and by implication divinely supported), the sultan of Islam and the Muslims who commands armies, fights the unbelievers and is the friend of the Abbasid caliph. 12 Justice was a common Muslim political and ethical value and the only concept shared by the two different Fatimid and Ayyubid systems of political legitimisation.

Until the death of Nur al-Din in 570 / 1174, Saladin had to suppress any desire to manifest openly his political ambitions. He ruled Egypt independently, but avoided any public rift with Nur al-Din, his formal overlord. Upon Nur al-Din's death, Saladin made his ambitions known and sought justification for his impending war against Nur al-Din's heirs in Syria. Saladin in his drive for legitimacy sought to receive Abbasid authorisation and presented his future campaigns in Syria as a necessary preparatory stage for wars against the Franks. He presented himself as an 'Abbasid servant and warrior of the holy

war. Although Saladin fought other Muslim potentates for many years of his reign, his achievements in the holy war - the victory at Hattin and the conquest of Jerusalem - won him fame and he became a legend in his own lifetime. Only rarely is the non-mythical Saladin discernible. 23

Saladin's personal contribution to the creation of the Ayyubid state was immense and his personal charisma made it a viable political entity. In the absence of strong central administration, the assignments of iqta's 'became the most crucial factor for maintaining the Ayyubid state order', to quote Sato Tsugitaka's observation. 24 The power to distribute iqta's lay with Saladin and he had to balance three conflicting tendencies: the wish to have his sons inheriting his rule, the need to secure the co-operation of his brothers and the extended family, and the need to reward loyal amirs. Territorial expansion was vital for maintaining internal stability and satisfying the urge of the ruling elite for wealth, power and status. When faced with conflicting interests between his familial personal concerns and the demands of his extended family and the expectations of the amirs, Saladin 'subordinated money to men', as it has been aptly put by Malcolm Cameron Lyons and D. E. P. Jackson. 25 This policy left him in penury but made the others indebted and earned him fame as generous. The extent of Saladin's political achievement is reflected by the fact that his state did not collapse under the onslaught of the Third Crusade and displayed cohesion in face of repeated military defeats.

Saladin's engagements in Syria and his wars against the Franks kept him away from Egypt for long periods of time, and in 578/1182 he left Egypt and never returned to it. Until 579/1183 Egypt was ruled by Saladin's elderly brother al-Malik al-'Adil. Between 579/1183 and 582/1186, Saladin's nephew Taqi al-Din 'Umar ruled Egypt as regent for Saladin's son al-Afdal. In 582/1186,

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

the government of Egypt was again in the hands of al-'Adil who acted as regent for al-Malik al- c Aziz c Uthman, another son of Saladin. At the time of Saladin's death in 589/1193, al-'Adil was serving as governor of Jazira and Diyar Bakr, and al-Malik al- c Aziz with the support of Saladin's corps and amirs became the master of Egypt. He died in 595/1198 and the nominal rule of his son, a nine -year-old boy, lasted only a year. In 596/1199, al- c Adil conquered Egypt and was declared sultan of Syria and Egypt. He died in 615/1218 and his son al-Kamil became the new sultan of Egypt. Al-Kamil was deeply involved in the affairs of Ayyubid Syria and was frequently absent from Egypt. Following al-Kamil's death in 635/1238, Egypt was ruled by his son al-Malik al-Salih Najm al-Din Ayyub, who gained control of the country in 637/1240 and ruled until 647/1249. Al-Salih Ayyub died at a crucial moment in the history of Ayyubid Egypt on the battlefield of al-Mansura, while fighting the Crusade of Louis IX. His widow, Shajar al-Durr, with the help of the commander-in-chief of al-Salih Ayyub's army and a small number of other people, concealed his death and sent for Turanshah, al-Salih Ayyub's son, who was in Hisn Kayfa on the Upper Tigris. Against all odds, their actions were successful: Turanshah arrived at al-Mansura and saw the defeat of Louis IX. However, Ayyubid rule in Egypt was doomed, because of the animosity aroused between Turanshah and al-Salih Ayyub's military slaves, who killed him and invested Shajar al-Durr as the sultana of Egypt. 2

Army and military institutions

As epitomised by the events that ultimately brought Ayyubid rule in Egypt to its end, army and military institutions played a crucial role in the history of

Ayyubid Egypt and the history of the Ayyubid confederacy as a larger political entity. The creator of the Ayyubid army was Saladin and the army he built was an exclusively cavalry force composed of Turks and Kurds. It was a small and expensive army that was maintained through the *lata 1* system. For Saladin's military build-up in Egypt we have three different accounts: the first concerns a military review held in Cairo in 567/1171, the second refers to Saladin's army on the eve of its defeat at the battle of Mont Gisard (573/1177) and the third comes from the year 577/1181. In 567/ 1171, Saladin displayed his army made up of former Fatimid elements and new regiments in Cairo in front of Byzantine and Frankish emissaries. The army consisted of 167 *tulbs*, of which 147 were present at the parade. The *tulb* was a tactical formation whose strength varied from 70 to 100 to up to 200 horsemen. In all, 14,000 cavalry paraded and most of the troops belonged to the *tawashT* category while the rest were

213

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

qaraghulams. The archbishop William of Tyre, a renowned historian of the Outremer, writes that Saladin brought 26,000 troops to the battle of Mont Gisard, but only 8,000 of these were *tawashi* and the rest were lightly armed *qaraghulams*. The most instructive set of figures refers to Saladin's budget of 577/1181. The military pay roll included 8,640 cavalry of which 6,976 were

tawashi and 1,535 qaraghulams, while the officer corps consisted of in amirs. For the upkeep of the army 3,670,000 dinars were allocated and additional sums were paid to Bedouins. The army, including the Bedouins, was paid through the iqtac system. 2,7

In comparison to the Fatimid period, the Ayyubids of Egypt and Syria made a very limited use of infantry and their standing army (Qaskar) was made up of cavalry only. For example, al-Mu'azzam [Isa had a small high-quality cavalry force of 3,000 while the Ayyubid rulers of Egypt had between 7,000 and 12,000 cavalry at their disposal. An insight into the military resources that were available to the Ayyubid rulers of Egypt is offered by al-Nuwayri's account of al-Malik al-'Aziz's campaign into Syria in 590/1194. Al-Nuwayri (677-733/1279-1333) writes that al-Malik al-'Aziz left Cairo with a force of 2,000 cavalry made up of 1,000 troops of the halqa (to be discussed below) and another regiment of 1,000 troops commanded by twenty-seven amirs. He left an unspecified number of troops in Cairo and sent a garrison of 700 cavalry commanded by thirteen amirs to Alexandria and Damietta. 2 Ayyubid armies were regularly augmented by nomads and occasionally by volunteers. The military role of the Bedouins was restricted, and therefore other nomadic groups such as Kurds, Turcomans and Khwarizmians were preferred. Volunteers for the holy war and other irregulars fought in the battles of Saladin as well as other Ayyubid rulers and were called up by al-Kamil to fight the Fifth Crusade, although their military value was negligible.

In contrast with the Fatimid period, the institution of military slavery played a minor role under the Ayyubids and the servile component in the Ayyubid armies was small. Surprisingly, the only contemporary sixth/twelfth-century description of military slavery is provided by William of Tyre. According to him, this institution utilised three sources of manpower: young prisoners-of-war, slaves bought in the slave markets and the offspring

of slave -mothers. William of Tyre says that they were trained in the art of war, and adult military slaves (mamluks) received pay and large possessions, according to their merits. The military role of the mamluks was to protect their master during battle, and victory depended largely on the military performance of the mamluks? 9

214

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

In many ways, the period of Saladin's rule was not conducive to a flourishing of the institution of military slavery. Some of the conditions necessary for its proper functioning such as reliable sources for the supply of slaves and economic prosperity were lacking. Saladin spent most of his time on battlefields and had little time to supervise the training of his mamluks. The location of the installations associated with military slavery remains unknown. It seems that only the halqa can be described as a unit composed of military slaves. It was Saladin's private corps and its existence is attested from a very early period of Saladin's rule. 30 William of Tyre alludes to its presence at the battle of Mont Gisard by saying that 1,000 elite troops served as Saladin's bodyguard. They, like Saladin himself, wore a distinctive yellow silk costume over their armour. However, on other occasions, Saladin's halqa was treated like any other military division and performed the task of advance guard in rotation with other units. During the siege of Jaffa (588/1192), the halqa performed poorly and aroused the anger of the Kurds. 31

To what extent other Ayyubid rulers cultivated military slaves is an open question. The Ashrafiyya corps, for example, is sometimes referred to as composed of military slaves (mamluks), but this characterisation cannot be accepted without some reservations. The political involvement of the Ashrafiyya, after the death of the sultan al-Kamil, is well known and al-Salih Ayyub who became the sultan of Egypt saw them as a threat to his rule. In 638/1240, a year after he gained control of Egypt, al-Salih Ayyub took actions against the amirs of the Ashrafiyya and deprived them of their iqta's. In fact, al-Salih Ayyub was the founder of the most famous slave corps in Ayyubid history: the Bahri regiment. The Bahriyya was composed of Turkish military slaves and was designated to serve as the mainstay of al-Salih Ayyub's regime. It numbered only 800 to 1,000 mamluks and was stationed at the citadel on the Jazira island opposite Cairo, which was built by al-Salih Ayyub as the seat of his government. They fought well in the battle of Mansura but felt threatened by Turanshah, who unwisely alienated them. 32

Al-Nuwayri quotes in his chronicle a text described by him as the political testament' of al-Salih Ayyub. The text is cast in the form of advice-instructions given by al-Salih Ayyub to his son Turanshah and its authenticity is questionable, but it may provide explanations for some of al-Salih Ayyub's policies. Turanshah was advised to trust the mamluks of his father, while the Turkish amirs were accused of allowing the entry of simple people with no military training into the ranks of the army. Perhaps al-Salih Ayyub's cultivation of military slaves was motivated by both political and military reasons. His aim was to create a corps politically loyal to him and, at the same time, to improve

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the fighting capability of his army. But his advice was not entirely consistent, as in the same breath he recommended that his son treat the amirs well and to expand their iqta's in exchange for their commitment to increase the number of the troops they were obliged to provide for the sultan. Political reliance on military slaves had its price, however. 33 The death of al-Salih Ayyub deprived them of their master and as complete outsiders they had to fend for themselves as best as they could. Perhaps they could somehow relate to Shajar al-Durr, who was of Turkish extraction as they were and presented continuity and stability in contrast to an uncertain future under Turanshah.

The amirs were not the only ones criticised by al-Salih Ayyub. The officials of the Office of Army were accused of obstructing the payment of the amirs by dividing their iqta's among widely scattered locations. Al-Salih Ayyub characterised these officials as Copts who deliberately sought to undermine the strength of the army. These accusations reflected an anti-Coptic mood characteristic of Ayyubid Egypt, a period which saw the circulation of vicious anti-Christian propaganda. The truth of these accusations is hardly an issue. What is significant is the light they throw on the importance of the iqta' as the main financial tool for maintaining the army. The existence of military iqta', i.e. granting to amirs the right to collect taxes in the rural areas in lieu of salary, is well known and attested to by a variety of sources. In Fatimid Egypt, however, military iqta' was only one form of maintaining the army. Usually, the army received cash payments in several instalments over the year and in

other cases black slave troops were settled on land. Saladin expanded the system of military iqta' and eventually it became the main, if not the only, way of maintaining the army. This trend is exemplified by the use of the word khubz (bread) as synonymous with military iqta'. In contrast to the Fatimid period, in the Ayyubid period the muqta' (the holder of the iqta') did not pay the tithe. The value of the iqta' was calculated according to the annual average income (Hbra) of the fief, and paid in dinar jayshi, a monetary unit of account. The amtrs, according to the value of their iqta', were obliged to maintain a certain number of cavalry troops. 34

In Ayyubid Egypt, members of the Ayyubid family held vast territories designated as an iqta' al-khassa and they were also entitled to collect non-agricultural taxes. In 565/1169, Saladin's father was granted Alexandria, the Buhayra province of the eastern Delta and Damietta as iqta', while Saladin's brother Turanshah received as iqta' the towns of Qus and Aswan in Upper Egypt and 'Aydhab on the Red Sea. Turanshah's iqta' yielded annual average income of 266,000 dinars but this was apparently insufficient for his needs since later he received additional iqta's in Egypt. 35 Saladin skilfully manipulated

216

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

the iqta' system to serve his goals. He weakened the Fatimid army by depriving the Fatimid amirs of their iqta's while distributing them among his men. He

could achieve this only through the co-operation of Fatimid administrators in two of the relevant offices that dealt with iqta c in the Fatimid period: the Office of Army and Office of the iqta\ What was the extent of Saladin' s own iqta's in Egypt remains unknown and the data concerning the revenues derived from Egypt under Saladin' s rule are sparse and difficult to work with. Qadi al-Fadil says that in 585 / 1189 the annual estimated revenue of Egypt from Alexandria to 'Aydhab was 4,600,035 dinars. Apparently, this sum refers to provinces under the xc0 system since he uses the term Hbra and says that there were additional sources of income. 36 However, it would be highly misleading to assume that Saladin controlled the vast majority of the revenues of Egypt. Three years later, in 588/1192, the income of the office of the sultan's Private Purse (diwan al-khass) was only 354,444 dinars. Al-'Adil's income from Egypt was higher. In 578 / 1183, when he left the governorship of Egypt in favour of Taqi 'l-Dm the annual income from his iqta' was 700,000 dinars. In 615/1218, when al-'Adil died, there were 700,000 dinars in his treasury and an additional sum was kept at the fortress of Kerak. 37

The military iqta' had profound repercussions on the administrative and military structure of Ayyubid Egypt. In order to derive incomes from their iqta's, the amirs, including the sultan, had to employ administrative staff. What could have been the relations between the state administration and the private administrative manpower of the amirs is not mentioned in the sources. Perhaps the division of the amirs' iqtcfs among several locations was an attempt of the state administrators to maintain power in their hands and to keep the amirs and their staff dependent on them. The pattern of military campaigns was also influenced by the iqta 1 system. From the point of view of the muqta c his presence on the iqta' during harvest time was essential. Therefore campaigning was possible only after the harvest during the summer

months and must have ended soon enough to allow the amirs to return to their iqta's. The Franks faced the same problems and constraints.

Egypt and the wars of the Crusades

During the Fatimid period, Egypt's involvement in the wars of the Crusades was limited and sporadic, it posed no economic burden, and the ideology of jihad played no role in the Fatimid policy towards the Franks. Between 492/1099 and 504/1110, the Fatimids lost Jerusalem and all of the Mediterranean coastal towns except for Tyre and Ascalon. In 517/1123, the Fatimids invaded

217

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Palestine with ground and naval forces and suffered a humiliating defeat at the battle of Yabne (Ibelin) while their fleet was defeated by a Venetian squadron off the coast of al-Arish. The failure at the battle of Ascalon in 492/1099 and the two defeats in 517/1123 had a debilitating effect on the Fatimid will to fight the Crusaders. In 549/1154, owing to internal power struggles in Cairo, the Fatimids lost Ascalon to the Franks and their ability to send armies to Palestine was impaired. In 552/1157 and again in 553/1158, the Fatimid military vizier TalaT ibn Ruzzik launched naval and ground incursions against the Franks, but rejected Nur al-Din's exhortations to wage with him holy war against the Crusader kingdom of Jerusalem. TalaV ibn Ruzzik preferred to pay the Franks

a modest annual tribute of 33,000 dinars and to maintain a truce with them.

The invasions of Egypt by the Franks between 560/1164 and 565/1169 were a result of attendant circumstances. The vizier Shawar in his private bid for power managed to involve both Nur al-Din and the kingdom of Jerusalem in the affairs of Egypt and to bring them to fight on Egyptian soil for his interests.

The lure of Egypt cast a powerful spell on the Crusader kingdom and the Franks needed few external inducements to get involved in Fatimid internal affairs. The Franks gathered economic information and possessed a list of Egyptian villages with the incomes derived from them. On the eve of the 564/

1168 invasion of Egypt, Amalric, the king of Jerusalem, made various promises to his vassals and allies concerning future grants of fiefs in Egypt. 38 The rulers of both Damascus and Jerusalem considered the conquest of Egypt to be a

feasible undertaking within their reach. The ultimate failure of the Franks was a reflection of their restricted military resources and tactical mistakes.

On 2

Safar 564/5 November 1168, the Franks conquered Bilbays and massacred the

population. Contemporary Jewish sources tell a grim story of the fate of the

survivors, who were put on sale in the slave -markets of Palestine. 39 The conduct of the Franks inspired awe and brought Shawar to take irrational

steps: on 9 Safar 564/12 November 1168 he set Fustat on fire. He was afraid that

the Franks advancing from Bilbays would use Fustat as a springboard for the

conquest of Cairo. Cairo, however, was a fortified town and considerable military forces were stationed in it, and an all-out attack on the town was beyond Amalric's military means. Amalric found himself in a difficult situa-

tion. While fighting against Cairo, he faced the appearance of Nur al-Din's

sizeable force to the rear. The withdrawal of Amalric from Egypt (Rabi c II 564/

January 1169) paved the way for the eventual Ayyubid takeover.

One of Saladin's lessons from the Frankish invasions of Egypt between 560/1164 and 565/1169 was the need to fortify the capital, Fustat-Cairo. In 566/1171, the damaged walls of Cairo were repaired and Saladin embarked on an

218

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

ambitious project of encircling the capital by a single wall. The works began in 572/1176 and were never completed, but in 604/1207 the building of the citadel was finished. The citadel was built on the Muqattam Hill on a site which contained small mosques and tombs that were destroyed, but the stone needed for the construction work was procured from dismantling small pyramids at Giza. The citadel became the seat of the Ayyubid and Mamluk sultans of Egypt. Another concern of Saladin was the defence of Egypt's towns on the Mediterranean coast. In Safar 565 /October 1169, a Byzantine fleet supported by the ground forces of the kingdom of Jerusalem converged on Damietta. The Byzantine fleet was composed of galleys, ships adapted for amphibious landing of cavalry on beaches and transport ships. The siege came to nothing, because of deep mistrust between the Franks and the Byzantines, and severe winter storms aggravated the situation of the besieging forces. In Dhu'l-Hijja 569/July 1174, the Normans of Sicily attacked Alexandria. According to Arabic sources, the Norman fleet brought an expeditionary force of 50,000 men and a great quantity of equipment, including catapults which shot black stones brought from Sicily especially for that purpose. The defenders, however, put up a strong and effective resistance and the siege was

discontinued after two weeks. 40 These two sieges demonstrated the vast naval resources that were available to the Christian Mediterranean powers. In spite of his previous unfamiliarity with the sea and maritime issues, Saladin understood Egypt's naval needs and was ready to invest in the defence of the coastal towns and rebuilding the navy. During his reign, fortification works were carried out in Alexandria, Damietta and Tinnis and warships were constructed in Cairo. Ibn Abi Tayy (575-630/1179-1232), the historian of Aleppo, claims that Saladin's naval programme began in 572/1176 and involved the building of galleys and recruitment of naval personnel. Al-Maqrizi writes that Saladin established the Office of Navy and allocated several sources of revenue for its needs. 41 Saladin's greatest naval achievement was the rebuilding of the navy in Egypt, and his fleets raided Christian shipping off the Palestine coast and were also involved in siege operations against coastal towns. However, the overall performance of Saladin's navy was poor. It suffered a humiliating defeat off Tyre in 583/1187 and found itself trapped inside the port of Acre during the protracted battle for the town (585-7/1189-91). 42 With the fall of Acre numerous ships and galleys were lost.

Al-Salih Ayyub was very aware of the importance of naval power when fighting the Crusades and in his political testament he urged his son to pay attention to the navy. However, his own testimony reveals that the navy was seriously underpaid and its manpower was of poor quality. 43 During the

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Ayyubid period no effective navy existed and therefore the coast of Egypt was exposed to Christian sea-borne attacks. Tinnis, for example, was abandoned in 585/1189 and eventually destroyed in 624/1227, and Damietta was conquered during the Fifth Crusade of St Louis.

In Rabi 1 1 615/May 1218, the army of the Fifth Crusade established a bridge-head west of Damietta, but it conquered the town only in 616 / 1219. The death of Sultan al-'Adil in Syria in Jumada II 615/August 1218 sparked off a rebellion against al-Kamil by one of his leading amirs, the Kurd Ibn al-Mashtub, whose aim was to install al-Kamil's younger brother al-Fa'iz Ibrahim as the Ayyubid sultan of Egypt. Al-Kamil abandoned his military camp opposite Damietta and fled south to the small town of Ashmun Tannah. The flight of the sultan scattered his forces and the Crusaders seized the Muslim camp with its supplies and equipment. The situation was saved by the timely arrival of al-Kamil's brother, al-Mu'azzam [Isa, with reinforcements from Syria. Al-Mu'azzam restored order in al-Kamil's camp: he exiled both Ibn al-Mashtub and Fa'iz Ibrahim to Syria and ordered the demolition of the walls of Jerusalem.

It was a desperate and highly unpopular move, driven by al-Mu'azzam's fear that the Franks might conquer the town and entrench themselves in it.

The fall of Damietta brought al-Kamil to renew his offer of a peace treaty by which he would grant the Franks extensive territories in Palestine, including Jerusalem, for the return of Damietta. The papal legate Pelagius of Albano - who waited in vain for the arrival of Frederick II - rejected al-Kamil's peace offer. Only in 618/1221 did the Crusaders begin advancing south from Damietta towards Cairo, but their advance came to a halt opposite al-Mansura, while Muslim forces blocked their means of retreat to Damietta.

Eventually, the army of the Fifth Crusade surrendered and left Egypt on 19 Rajab 618/30 August 1221.

Al-Kamil's readiness to cede Jerusalem, which was not disputed by al-Mu'izz, set the precedent for the way al-Kamil dealt with the threat of Frederick II's Crusade in 626-7/1228-9. Frederick II's advanced force landed in 625/1227 in Acre and in the same year al-Mu'izz died, leaving Damascus under the rule of his young and inexperienced son, al-Nasir Da'ud. Al-Kamil was trying to attain two parallel goals: to negotiate a peace treaty with Frederick II and to dislodge al-Nasir Da'ud from Damascus. During the summer of 626/1228 al-Kamil seized Jerusalem, Hebron and Nablus from al-Nasir Da'ud, and in 627/1229 he reached an agreement with Frederick II. Al-Kamil ceded Jerusalem, except for the Temple Mount (al-Haram al-Sharif), and territories along the route from Acre to Jerusalem. Al-Nasir Da'ud tried to create a popular outcry against the agreement, which was also resisted by the

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

population of Jerusalem that felt forced to leave the town. On the whole, al-Kamil's appeasement policy towards Frederick II paid off. He avoided military confrontation with him and was able to dislodge al-Nasir Da'ud from Damascus (summer 627/1229). 44 Although the territories held by the Franks in Palestine stretched from Tripoli to Jaffa, they lacked depth and the position of the Franks in Jerusalem was untenable. In 637/1239, al-Nasir Da'ud, who lost Damascus but received in compensation Transjordan, restored Muslim rule in Jerusalem. 45 The desire to regain Jerusalem was the driving force behind the Crusade of St Louis, whose army took Damietta in 647/1249. The fall of the town started the chain of events that ultimately brought about

the demise of Ayyubid rule in Egypt.

The wars of the Crusades exacted a heavy price from the Ayyubid rulers of Egypt who, on the whole, were more successful in defending Egypt than their Fatimid predecessors. This relative success was a result of historical circumstances and the strength of the Ayyubid army. The Christian campaigns against Damietta were an attempt to make the most of the European naval advantage, but also reflected the lack of a secure territorial base in Palestine and the absence of adequate local military resources. Everything had to be brought from Europe: troops, equipment and supplies. Saladin's victory at Hattin and the collapse of the kingdom of Jerusalem had long-term consequences and made any attempt to recreate the kingdom and hold Jerusalem impossible.

Ayyubid armies proved to be far more capable of resisting the Franks than the Fatimid army ever had been, and this was due to Saladin's military policy that was continued by other Ayyubid sultans. When the military aspects of the wars of the Crusades are considered, it must be emphasised that the Crusader and Muslim armies of the Fatimid-Ayyubid period were constructed differently. The main military asset of the Franks was the close co-operation between infantry and cavalry and the cavalry charge delivered by the knights. The Fatimids maintained a large army of tens of thousands whose main task was to keep the regime in power. To achieve this aim, black slave infantry was instrumental, but the army as a whole was ridden by ethnic animosities and this led to lack of cohesion. Fatimid armies that fought the Crusaders performed poorly and were easily defeated. The Muslim armies of the Zangid and Ayyubid period fought as mounted archers capable of shooting massive volleys of arrows. The different styles of warfare of the Franks and the Muslims were well understood by the Zangid sultan Nur al-Din (541-70/

1146-74) of Syria, who is quoted as saying that the arrows of the Turks were the only effective weapon against the knights and their way of fighting.
46 The

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Ayyubid armies, although small, were cohesive when fighting the Franks and were, on the whole, successful.

Egypt's international trade and economy

The Fatimid conquest of Egypt was a turning point in the development of Egypt's position as a Mediterranean trading power. During the North African phase of the Fatimid state (298-358/909-69), trade relations were established between the Fatimids and Amalfi, and Amalfitian merchants followed the Fatimids to Egypt. 47 In 386/996, for example, 160 Amalfitians who stayed in Cairo were killed in riots and goods worth 90,000 dinars were looted from them. The Fatimid authorities made every effort to return the looted goods to those who survived the riots and to punish the looters. They put to death Muslims suspected of rioting and looting. The way the Fatimids dealt with the riots reveals that they were protecting vital state interests and strove to convince the Amalfitians that the regime would protect them. The executions were instrumental in conveying this message to both the Amalfitians and the local population. 48 In the long term the Fatimid damage-control effort proved successful and throughout the fifth/ eleventh century Italian and Byzantine merchants regularly visited Egypt.

The massive presence of Amalfitian traders and the high estimate of the

goods looted from them can be understood as indicating that by the end of the fourth/tenth century the trade links between Egypt and India were already established. Two accounts from the period of al-Mu'izz and al-Aziz support this assumption. Al-Mu'izz during his rule in Egypt (363-5/973-5) ordered the purchase of a high-quality Abnus wood and his order was handled by a merchant named al-Sawadiki, who carried it out through his commercial connections in Hijaz and Aden. The quantity requested by al-Mu'izz is not specified, but it took more than two months to supply the wood that was shipped from Aden to al-Qulzum. The Abnus wood grows in Ethiopia and India and was known in Antiquity to both the Jews and the Greeks, and it is quite possible that the wood requested was supplied from Ethiopia. The second report, however, is far more explicit about the commercial ties between Egypt and India. In 385/995, al-Aziz received aloewood (Cud, used for medical purposes) as a present from India. 49 As scant as the evidence of the Arabic sources is, it points out that towards the end of the fourth/tenth century Egypt's trade with India was a commercial reality, and this throws new light on the significance of the Fatimid conquest of Egypt. During the North African period of the Fatimid state a local commercial

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

system emerged: it connected southern Italy, Sicily and Tunisia, and within this system Amalfi played an important role. The Amalfitians followed the Fatimids to Egypt where they got access to spices and Indian goods, and the result was expansion of trade in both volume and value. What began as a restricted local system turned into a truly Mediterranean trade system. Another point that must be considered is the role of Byzantium in the fourth /tenth-century Mediterranean trade. As has been pointed out by David Jacoby, Egypt's trade with Byzantium during the fourth/ tenth century

prior to the Fatimid conquest was far more extensive than usually assumed. 50

The Fatimids in Tunisia maintained complex relations with the Byzantines, which involved military and naval confrontations as well as diplomatic contacts. The Fatimid conquest of Egypt and their expansion into Palestine and Syria turned northern Syria into a zone of confrontation between the Fatimids and Byzantium. Although throughout the Middle Ages war and commerce were not mutually exclusive, the hostilities between the Fatimids and the Byzantines were not conducive to making the Byzantines the main Fatimid trading partners. The small town of Amalfi, unlike Byzantium, neither posed a threat to the Fatimids nor challenged their expansion in the eastern Mediterranean and this facilitated Fatimid-Amalfi trade relations. Amalfi benefited from its position as a promoter of trade with the Muslim world and, at the same time, maintained good trade relations with Byzantium. 51

From the fifth/ eleventh century onwards the Mediterranean trade of Egypt vastly expanded and Italian and Byzantine merchants became the main trading partners of both the Fatimids and the Ayyubids. Egypt served as a land bridge for the trade between India and the Mediterranean, and spices and other Indian products attracted Muslim, Jewish and Christian merchants to Alexandria and Cairo. The period of the Mediterranean trade during the fifth/ eleventh to seventh/thirteenth centuries is well known through the Jewish documents of the Cairo Geniza and the monumental studies of S. D. Goitein and other Geniza scholars, notable among them Abraham L. Udovitch, Menahem Ben-Sasson, Norman A. Stillman, Moshe Gil, Sabih 'Awdah and most recently Miriam Frenkel. The documents known as the Cairo Geniza have their origin in the Jewish tradition, which regards writings in which the name of God appears as sacred and requiring to be buried. The Geniza chamber of the synagogue in Fustat served as the burial room for every kind of writing which originated within the Jewish community of Fustat, including letters of traders written in Judaeo-Arabic, and occasionally Arabic. The contribution of the Arabic sources must not be ignored. The most important are the administrative texts of al-Makhzumi and Ibn

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Mammatl, which have been studied by Claude Cahen, Hassanein Rabie and Richard Stefan Cooper. These texts provide information on the taxes levied on traders in Egypt and reflect the economic significance of this trade for the Fatimid-Ayyubid rulers.

It is impossible to provide a full picture of the Mediterranean trade here, but three points must be emphasised, (i) The Fatimid expansion in Palestine and Syria also integrated the ports of the eastern Mediterranean in the Fatimid trade network. The testimony of the Geniza documents and the account of the Persian traveller Nasir-i Khusraw (439/1047) provide compelling evidence for the commercial importance of towns such as Tripoli and Tyre which were frequented by Muslim and Christian merchants and the Fatimid rulers maintained there their own commercial interests. 52 (2) The sixth/twelfth to thirteenth-century commerce of Alexandria was very extensive. The volume and the significance of the commercial traffic that went through Alexandria is mirrored by the presence of 3,000 European and other merchants in the town in 608/1211 and the close commercial relations that al-'Adil maintained with a Venetian merchant. 53 (3) For Egypt's Mediterranean trade to prosper, the parties involved had to be flexible and frequently they were faced by difficult dilemma. Arabic sources reflect full awareness of the role of Genoa in the fall of the coastal towns of Palestine and Syria during the Crusades and Qadi al-Fadil in a

letter to Baghdad in 570/1174 referred to the Italians as both enemies and trading partners. Europeans also had to make their choices: much to their dislike, they supplied Egypt with essential naval and military supplies, including military slaves. 54

The focus on trade should not obscure the fact that the wealth of the country depended on the agricultural output. In normal years Egypt's agriculture produced great annual surpluses of grain and flax for export. How the household grain-economy of the Fatimid regime worked is known from al-Maqrizi's *Khitat*. Al-Maqrizi, in his account, combined information derived from Ibn al-Ma'mun (d. 588/1192) and Ibn Tuwayr. State lands were scattered all over Egypt and grain for the use of the court was shipped to Cairo, but other shipments went to Alexandria, Tinriis and Damietta. From these towns grain was shipped to Tyre and Ascalon. Tyre until its fall to the Crusaders in 518/1124 received 70,000 irdabbs of grain annually, while Ascalon (lost to the Crusaders in 548/1153) received 50,000 irdabbs. In Cairo the regime stored 300,000 irdabbs of grain in several granaries (*makhazin*). The regime allocated its grain to the employees of the state and the court, to those who were entitled to state-sponsored charities, to the black corps of the army and navy, and to the royal Guest House. One should add the grain sent annually to

the Holy Cities of Arabia to this list. The grain intended for consumption by the ruler and his family was ground at special mills operated by slave-girls of the palace. The Fatimids maintained a fleet of Nile boats that shipped grain and wood needed by the regime to Cairo. Altogether the Fatimids had one million irdabbs of grain at their disposal. 55

What the accounts of Ibn al-Ma'mun and Ibn Tuwayr describe is how the household grain-economy of the Fatimid regime worked. Parallel to this system operated the grain free market. However, the household grain-economy of the Fatimid regime and the free market were not strictly separated systems. The grain policy of the regime, as exemplified by the operation of the matjar, had a profound impact on the free market. This term is widely attested to during the Fatimid-Ayyubid period and is generally understood as meaning the Office of Commerce. In the Fatimid period, the matjar bought grain on the free market and later sold it for profit. In 444/1052, the vizier al-Yazuri urged al-Mustansir to abolish the grain purchases carried out by the matjar, arguing that these were not always profitable. He advised that the matjar practice should be implemented in respect of non-perishable goods such as wood, soap, iron, lead and honey. 56 The Ayyubid grain policy must have been similar to that of the Fatimids and, as borne out by the writings of al-Qalqashandi, the realities of the Mamluk age closely resembled those of the Fatimid-Ayyubid period. 57

Large segments of the urban population, if not the majority, lived at subsistence level. Bread was the staple and for many the only food available.

When freshly harvested grain arrived at the grain ports of Cairo it was taxed.

The taxation of grain is widely documented for the whole period of the middle ages. In the free market several professional groups operated: at the highest level we find the wheat merchants (qammahun) and brokers (samasir).

The millers (tahhaniiri) and flour merchants (daqqaquri) were buying wheat from the wheat merchants and brokers and selling it to people of the upper and middle middle class (if such terms can be used to describe medieval society). People of these classes often tried to buy the wheat needed for their annual household consumption and they had the means to bake bread for themselves. Other segments of the population - the lower middle class, the working class and the vast urban underclass - were dependent for their supplies of bread on the bread vendor (khabbaz) or the oven-owner (farran). This dependency had serious drawbacks since the wheat market was almost always a buyer's market and prices of wheat and bread fluctuated sharply, while buying bread on the streets from the khabbaz was regarded as socially demeaning.

225

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

In the period under discussion, two large-scale famines occurred in Egypt: one in 414-15/1024-5 and the other between 595/1198 and 597/1200. When the Nile failed to reach its plenitude the effect was twofold: on the year it occurred - the current year - and of course on the next one. The shortages that occurred in the current year came about as the result of buying for the future or hoarding in preparation for an impending shortage. The actions of the government during the current year were of critical importance. The abolition of taxes on grain, temporarily, could have been an effective tool to combat rising prices of grain and bread. Medieval regimes, however, were

very reluctant to abolish taxes on grain. For example, in 415/1025 taxes on grain were lifted only at the height of the famine, but this was too late to have any real effect on prices. 58 Another tool in the hands of the government was the declaration of maximum prices (tas'if) for grain, flour and bread. This policy was usually implemented more readily, yet was ineffective and brought sales to a standstill. The most effective tool the government had to combat rising prices and shortage was the selling of grain from its own stocks and forcing people of the ruling class to do the same. These steps were taken during the famine of 595-7/ 1198-1200 when Sultan al-'Adil distributed grain to the poor, and his example was followed by amirs and people of means. 59

Famines were dreaded and horrific and caused immense human suffering. During the two famines of the Fatimid-Ayyubid period people were reduced to cannibalism. The wider demographic impact of famines is, however, far more difficult to assess. The cumulative effect of the 595-7/ 1198-1200 famine was devastating and signs of depopulation in the capital and the rural areas were visible, but it cannot be said that this famine was responsible for the economic deterioration, not to say ultimate decline, of Ayyubid Egypt.

The matjar continued to function throughout the Ayyubid period and its two main aims were to secure a steady supply of strategic materials, such as timber and iron for the state, and levy taxes on European merchants which sold goods to the state. This office also monopolised the sale of alum to European merchants. This monopoly was motivated by the need to pay for strategic materials and the desire to minimise the outflow of gold from the Treasury. ° The operation of the Office of Commerce throws some light on the economic policies of the Fatimid and Ayyubid regimes. Both regimes were deeply involved in the economic life of the country and used their political

authority to secure the supply of agricultural products (grain and fodder) and manufactured goods (textiles and weapons) that the state needed. The production of inscribed textiles (tiraz) and luxurious fabrics came under close governmental supervision and partial monopoly. Certain inscribed textiles

226

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

were produced for the exclusive use of the Fatimid regime, which also supervised their delivery to Cairo. Textiles played an important role in the ceremonial and social life of the court, and the Fatimid regime bestowed garments on the employees of the state on a regular basis. The intervention of

the Fatimid and Ayyubid regimes in Egypt's international trade aimed at maximisation of state incomes through taxation and the procurement of strategic materials that were available only through foreign traders.

Parallel

with the intervention of the rulers in trade they as well as other members of

the ruling establishment were engaged in trade as private people seeking private gains. Furthermore, the ruling elite was also capable of coaxing the

merchants into providing tax collection services for them.

Pious endowments, learning and welfare

The payment of zakat, the obligatory alms-tax, constitutes one of the five Pillars of Islam, but zakat did not evolve into any kind of social leveller and its

handling by the state was a dismal failure. Data on its collection and distribu-

tion in medieval Islam is limited and we lack information concerning zakat for

the duration of the Fatimid period in Egypt. The earliest concrete information

we have in Egypt dates from the beginning of Saladin's rule. In 570/1171, shortly after the demise of the Fatimid dynasty, Saladin ordered the distribution of zakat among those who were entitled to it, such as the poor, travellers and insolvent debtors. Most of the accounts referring to zakat in the Ayyubid period deal with the way it was collected and not the way it was distributed, leaving the impression that it turned into yet another form of taxation.

In contrast to the paucity of information concerning zakat, the sources contain abundant references to sadaqa, voluntary charity, and pious endowments (waqf/hubs, pi. awqaf/ahbas). The notion of charity was deeply embedded in the ethics and religious thought of medieval Islam. The distribution of sadaqa by the Fatimid and Ayyubid rulers, especially on the occasion of religious festivals, is well attested in the sources. Sadaqa had many faces. On the personal level, the dispensation of charity was a way to implore God for deliverance and served as expiation for sins committed. Rulers used sadaqa as an expression of gratitude to God for victories and, on the public level, the distribution of charity was often politically motivated. Sadaqa must be discussed in conjunction with waqf since every pious endowment was by definition a charity dedicated for the sake of God. Legally, a property set apart as a pious endowment was considered as inalienable in perpetuity and became the property of God.

Some of the earliest surviving examples of pious endowment deeds (waqfiyyat) are from Egypt and the pious endowment institution is relatively well

documented for pre-Fatimid Egypt and throughout the Fatimid-Ayyubid period. For example, one of the most renowned charitable persons of the fourth/tenth century was the vizier Abu Bakr al-Madharal, who owned extensive rural properties. He turned some of them into a pious endowment

for the Holy Cities of Arabia. His endowment can be regarded as the forerunner of the waqf al-Haramayn of the later middle ages. 2 The earliest

known Fatimid pious endowment is the waqf created by al-Hakim in 401/1010

for the Azhar Mosque in Cairo as well as other congregational mosques in the

capital, including a teaching institution, dar al-hikma, that had been established

in 365/975. The properties endowed were urban commercial properties in the

capital city. In 405/1014, al-Hakim set up another pious endowment in support

of Qur'an reciters and muezzins at the congregational mosques of Fustat-Cairo, the filling in of cisterns, the upkeep of a hospital and the provision of

shrouds for the dead. The properties endowed were a mixture of urban commercial sites and rural estates and this combination was not fortuitous.

The aim was to assure the longevity of the foundation by diversifying and spreading the properties between urban and rural locations and thus to provide a steady flow of revenues. The urban commercial properties gener-

ated income all year round, while rural lands only generated income after the

harvest. Al-Hakim was also the first known ruler in Egypt who financed a teaching institution through the pious endowment system. In dar al-hikma

there were several groups of scholars with different specialisations and the

institution was provided with books from the palace library. Scholars could

copy books there while the cost of paper, ink and the drinking water provided

for the users was defrayed by al-Hakim. 63

It was Saladin, however, who institutionalised the use of the pious endow-

ment system for the support of law colleges (madrasas) as a state policy. Saladin's first law colleges in Fustat were established when he served as Fatimid vizier and were part of his policy to restore Sunni Islam in Egypt

and undermine the Fatimid state. Other Ayyubid rulers and members of the military and civilian elite emulated Saladin's policy. Most of the Ayyubid law colleges were founded in the capital and, as a result, many urban properties in Fustat and Cairo and even some agricultural lands were tied up in pious endowments set up for these institutions. Others were built in the Fayyum, but there is little evidence for setting up of law colleges in other towns or regions of Egypt. Saladin clearly preferred Shafi'i jurists and Ash'ari theology but, during the Ayyubid period, law colleges were also built for the Malikis,

228

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

Hanafis and even Hanbalis. 64 Although the study of charitable institutions in medieval Islam is in its infancy, some waqf-supported charitable institutions are known to exist in pre-Fatimid Egypt and others were set up during the Fatimid-Ayyubid period. For example, Abu Bakr al-Madharal built in the Qarafa, the area of the cemeteries around the capital, a lodge (ribat) for ashraf women (i.e. the descendants of Hasan and Husayn, the two sons of 'Ali and Fatima), which was supported by a pious endowment. This indicates that it provided not only housing, but also food to its occupants. 65 Sharifs (ashraf) were also the beneficiaries of a pious endowment set up by the Fatimid vizier TalaT ibn Ruzzik in 556/1160. The most impressive charitable institution in pre-Fatimid Egypt was, however, the hospital built in 261/875 by Ahmad ibn

Tulun in Fustat. A rich pious endowment was dedicated for its upkeep and it was designated for the exclusive use of the civilian population, with no entrance given to Ahmad ibn Tulun's military slaves. In the Tulunid hospital there was a special ward for the mentally sick and for some time the ruler used to visit the hospital and personally supervise its administration. The subsequent history of the hospital is poorly recorded, but it must have enjoyed support and funding from the Fatimid rulers. After Ahmad ibn Tulun, Saladin was the most enthusiastic founder of hospitals in Egypt. In all, he built three hospitals in Alexandria, Cairo and Fustat, the largest being the Cairo hospital. It had three wards: for men, women and the mentally sick. The hospital was well stocked with medicines, physicians conducted two daily rounds and the ancillary staff carried out their orders. The hospital in Fustat operated in the same way but was smaller. Other charitable institutions, if they existed, are not referred to in the sources. 67

Charitable services were not necessarily dependent on formal institutions.

Pious endowments were also set up to finance charitable services such as ransom of prisoners-of-war and distribution of food. Muslim rulers saw the release of Muslim prisoners held by Christian powers such as Byzantium and the kingdom of Jerusalem as their duty. During the fourth/tenth century, regular exchanges of captives took place between the 'Abbasids and the Byzantines, and Fatimid and Ayyubid rulers stipulated the release of Muslim prisoners as part of the agreements they signed with Byzantium. Saladin liberated many thousands of Muslim prisoners in the towns he conquered from the Franks after Hattin and, in Jerusalem, set free 3,000 captives, whom he provided with clothes. Individuals were also involved in the ransom of captives and Abu Bakr al-Madharal, for example, paid for the ransom of captured Muslims who were brought for ransom to Alexandria in 343/954.

Qadi al-Fadil went a step further and set up a special pious endowment for the

The New Cambridge History of Islam

ransom of captives. He dedicated to that purpose the revenues of a caravan-serai that he owned in Cairo.

Under medieval conditions, feeding the poor and providing water for the public were the two most essential social services that charitable people could and did provide. A prophetic tradition says that 'offering water is a charity that brings the greatest reward'. Fittingly one of the oldest known water supply projects is the well constructed in Fustat by the vizier Ja'far ibn Fadl during the mid-fourth /tenth century. The whole project, which involved a well and seven cisterns, was endowed for public use and is known from an inscription quoted in late literary sources. Food distributions were provided by some of the top-ranking Fatimid courtiers and amirs. Shaqiq al-Mulk, for example, was a eunuch of the Fatimid ruler al-Hafiz (r. 525-44 /1130-9) and his Treasurer. He used to distribute food according to a list he had prepared among the people who lived in the Qarafa cemetery and Muqattam Hill. Even more impressive were the food distributions of Husam al-Din Lu'lu' a former Fatimid amir and Saladin's admiral. Every day he distributed cooked food and 12,000 loaves of bread in the Qarafa, supervising the entire operation personally. 69

The interplay between political, social and religious aspects of the support given by the state to the religious class and the poor is nicely illustrated by the Fatimid budget of 517/1123. In that year 468,790 dinars were spent on the army,

military activities and the maintenance of the court. The internal breakdown of these expenses remains unknown, but the budgetary items are specified and these include payments for naval and land campaigns against the Franks, salaries to certain military corps, stocking the treasuries of the palace, meat for the palace kitchen, and clothes and goods for the court. In addition, there was expenditure on festivities and processions, including the distribution of charity and support for converts to Islam, payments for the hosting of foreign visitors to the court, the expenses for dar al-tiraz and dar al-dibaj (i.e. the production and storage of fabrics and garments used by the court) and payments for governors when they assumed new posts. Another 98,197 dinars were spent on military expeditions and the maintenance of border towns, while the fabulous sum of 767,294 dinars was allocated to cover the expenses of the court of the vizier al-Ma'mun al-Bata'ihi, this money being used to pay the vizier and his family and various groups of people employed at his court. It seems that the salaries amounted to 200,000 dinars annually and a certain sum (16,628 dinars) was dedicated for regular payments to very different groups of people: people of noble lineage and poor men and women and beggars. 70 The Fatimid practice of state-sponsored charity to selected groups of the poor went back to Muhammad ibn Tughj al-Ikhshid (323-34/935-46),

230

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

the semi-independent ruler of Egypt, who was the first to pay them *rawatib*.

Usually, this term refers to salaries paid to state employees, but in this

context it must be understood as meaning state charity paid on a regular basis. During the rule of Kafur (355-7/966-8), these payments rose to the fabulous, apparently exaggerated, sum of 500,000 dinars. 71 Saladin's budget

of 517/1181 comprised two types of payments: to the army and to qadis, jurists and mystics. Qadis were state employees, who received monthly salaries, but other jurists and mystics received, it seems, payments from the state without being formal state employees. Many jurists and mystics were affiliated with waqf-supported law colleges and lodges for mystics and,

it would appear, did not need direct state support. Saladin's budget nicely

illustrates the nature of medieval Islamic charity which, being either state

sponsored or given by individuals, preferred the learned and mystics over the poor. The same is true for waqf-supported institutions: the vast majority

of these were founded to maintain learning and the mystics rather than for charitable causes.

The wider context against which the question of sadaqa and waqf must be

examined is that of the Islamic state and its obligations, or lack of obligations,

to its subjects. S. D. Goitein, for instance, has characterised medieval Islamic

states as indifferent to the needs of the 'faltering individual'. 72 As a broad

generalisation, this reflects Islamic medieval realities well. However, Muslim

rulers did support the religious class and occasionally distributed charity to the

poor. In the specific cases of the Fatimid and Ayyubid rulers of Egypt, they

used the wealth of the country to buttress their rule through maintaining army and court. But they also used it to uphold Islamic values and, to a certain

extent, to diffuse social tension and relieve social misery.

Conclusions

The Fatimid and Ayyubid rulers left a permanent imprint on Egypt. The most lasting Fatimid contribution to the medieval history of Egypt was the

integration of Egypt into the Mediterranean trading system and linking it with the Indian Ocean trade. The Fatimids also built Cairo, but the Ayyubids

endowed it with Sunni institutions. The Sunni character of Egypt was

shaped by Saladin and the Ayyubids while Isma'ilism remained only a transient episode in the history of Muslim Egypt. The shaping of the Islamic Sunni identity of Egypt under the Ayyubids did not mean that a full Islamisation of the population was achieved. This happened only in the Mamluk period.

231

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

ii Fatimids, 297-567/909-1171

1 297/909 'Abd Allah ('Ubayd Allah) ibn Husayn, Abu Muhammad al-Mahdi

2 322/934 Muhammad, Abu '1-Qasim al-Qa'im (son of 1?)

3 334/946 Isma'il, Abu Tahir al-Mansur (son of 2)

4 341/953 Ma'add, Abu Tamim al-Mu'izz (son of 3)

358/969 Caliphs in Egypt

5 365/975 Nizar, Abu Mansur al- Aziz (son of 4)

6 386/996 al-Mansur, Abu 'All al-Hakim (son of 5)

7 411/1021 All, Abu 1-Hasan al-Zahir (son of 6)

8 427/1036 Ma'add, Abu Tamim al-Mustansir (son of 7)

9 487/1094 Ahmad, Abu 1-Qasim al-Musta'li (son of 8)

10 495/1101 al-Mansur, Abu 'All al-Amir (son of 9)

524/1130 Interregnum; rule by al-Hafiz as regent but not yet as caliph; coins were issued in the name of al-Muntazar (the Expected One)

- 11 525 / 1131 'Abd al-Majid ibn Muhammad, Abu 'l-Maymun al-Hafiz
- 12 544/1149 Isma'il, Abu al-Mansur al-Zafir (son of 11)
- 13 549/1154 'Isa, Abu 'l-Qasim al-Fa'iz (son of 12)
- 14 555-67/ Abd Allah ibn Yusuf Abu Muhammad al-'Adid
1160-71 Ayyubid conquest

Notes

1. Al-Kindi, *Fada'il Misr*, ed. I. A. al-'Adawi and 'A. M. 'Umar, Cairo, 1971, 55; J. C. Russell, 'The population of medieval Egypt', *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*, 5 (1966), 69-82.
2. Al-Maqrizi, *Al-Mawa'iz wa'l-ihibar fi dhikr al-khitat wal-athar*, ed. A. F. Sayyid, 3 vols., London, 2002, vol. I, 197 (hereafter referred to as *Khitat*).
3. N. Rabbat, 'Who was al-Maqrizi? A biographical sketch', *MSR*, 7 (2003), 1-21, at 6-9;
P. E. Walker, 'Maqrizi and the Fatimids', *MSR*, 7 (2003), 83-97.
4. A. F. Sayyid, 'Lumieres nouvelles sur quelques sources de l'histoire fatimide en Egypte', *Al*, 13 (1977), 1-41.
5. Ibn al-Furat, *Ta'rikh Ibn al-Furat*, ed. H. M. al-Shamma', Basra, 1969, vol. IV, pt 2, 137, 145, 147, 153, 157, 160, 165, 175, 177, 178, 182, 197, 202-5, 208, 222, 229, 230, 240, 248, 258, 260 (quoting Ibn Naaf); 141, 147-8, 175, 183, 185, 210, 230 (quoting Ibn Khallikan);
A. Hartmann, 'A unique manuscript in the Asian Museum St. Petersburg: The Syrian chronicle at-Ta'rikh al-Mansuri by Ibn Naaf al-Hamawi, from the 7th/ 13th

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

century', in U. Vermeulen and J. Van Steenbergen (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras*, Leuven, 2001, 89-101. For Ibn Khallikan's use and characterisation of the Mutajaddidat, see *Wafayat al-a'yan*, ed. I. 'Abbas, Beirut, 1968-71, vol. I, 258.

6. J. Den Heijer, 'Coptic historiography in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and early Mamluk periods', *Medieval Encounters*, 2 (1996), 67-98.

7. Ibn al-Qalanisi, *Dhayl ta'rikh Dimashq*, ed. H. F. Amedroz, Leiden, 1908, 80-2.

8. *Ibid.* 60-1, 67.

9. Naser-e Khosraw, *Book of travels (Safarndma)*, trans. W. M. Thackston Jr., New York, 1986, 48-9.

10. Al-Maqrizi, *Musawwadat Kitab al-mawd'iz wa'l-i'tibdr fi dhikr al-khitat wa'l-dthdr*, ed. A. F. Sayyid, London, 1995, 91-2. H. Halm, 'The IsmaTli oath of allegiance ('ahd) and the sessions of wisdom (majalis al-hikma) in Fatimid time', in F. Daftary (ed.), *Medieval Isma'lli history and thought*, Cambridge, 1996, 91-115.

11. Al-Maqrizi, *Itti'az al-hunafd' bi-akhbdr al-dHmma al-Fatimiyyin al-khulafd'*, ed. M. H. M. Ahmad, 3 vols., Cairo, 1971-3, vol. II, 30; vol. III, 62, 64, 70, 72.

12. Al-Maqrizi, *Musawwadat*, 385. For a wider perspective on al-Mustansir's reign, see H. Halm, *Die Kalifen von Kairo*, Munich, 2003, 348-420, esp. 400-20.

13. J. France, *Victory in the east: A military history of the First Crusade*, Cambridge, 1994, 325-7, 358, 361-5; M. Brett, 'The battles of Ramla (1099-1105)', in Vermeulen and

De Smet (eds.), *Egypt and Syria*, 39-53, including English translation of the relevant Arabic accounts.

14. Ibn Muyassar, *Akhbar Misr*, ed. A. F. Sayyid, Cairo, 1981, 143; Ibn al-Ma'mun, *Akhbar Misr*, ed. A. F. Sayyid, Cairo, 1983, 76.

15. Y. Lev, *Saladin in Egypt*, Leiden, 1999, 150.

16. S. M. Stern, 'The succession to the Fatimid imam al-Amir', *Oriens*, 4 (1951), 193-202.

17. Ibn al-Ma'mun, *Akhbar Misr*, 60; Ibn Jubayr, *Rihla*, ed. W. Wright, 2nd edn rev. M.J. De Goeje, Leiden, 1907, 45-7, 50.

18. Ibn Furat, *Ta'rikh Ibn Furdt*, ed. H. M. al-Shamma 1, Basra, 1967, vol. IV, pt 1, 85.

19. S. Sharon, 'A new Fatimid inscription from Ascalon and its historical setting', *Atiqot*, 26 (1995), 61-86, at 74.

20. R. S. Humphreys, *From Saladin to the Mongols*, Albany, 1977, 15.

21. Al-Maqrizi, *Khitat*, vol. I, 437, 492-3.

22. S. M. Stern, 'Two Ayyubid decrees from Sinai', in S. M. Stern (ed.), *Documents from Islamic chanceries*, Oxford, 1965, 11-12, 18-25.

23. H. Mohring, 'Zwischen Joseph-Legende und Mahdi-Erwartung: Erfolge und Ziele Sultans Saladins im Spiegel zeitgenossicher Dichtung und Weissagung', in Y. Lev (ed.), *War and society in the eastern Mediterranean, y* -y centuries*, Leiden, 1997, 177-2.25-

24. S. Tsugitaka, *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, Leiden, 1997, 46.

25. M. C. Lyons and D. E. P. Jackson, *Saladin: The politics of the Holy War*, Cambridge, 1982, 368.

26. Anne-Marie Edde, 'Saint Louis et la Septieme Croisade vus par les auteurs

arabes', *Cahiers de Recherches Medievales* (XIIIe-XVe s.), 1 (1996), 65-92.

233

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

27. Al-Maqrizi, *Khitat*, vol. I, 232-3 (quoting Qadl al-Fadil's *Mutajaddiddt*); William of Tyre, *History of deeds done beyond the sea*, trans. and annot. E. A. Babcock and A. C. Krey, 2 vols., New York, 1943, vol. II, 430-1; H. A. R. Gibb, 'The armies of Saladin', *Cahiers d'Histoire Egyptienne*, 4 (1951), 304-20; repr. in his *Studies on the civilization of Islam*, Boston, 1962, 76-8.
28. Al-Nuwayri, *Nihayat al-arab fi funun al-adab*, vol. XXVIII, ed. M. M. Amin and M. H. M. Ahmad, Cairo, 1992, 444; Anonymous, *al-Bustan al-jdmi'*, ed. C. Cahen, *BEO*, 7-8 (1937-8), 113-58, at 155; Ibn al-Muqaffa', *History of the Patriarchs of the Egyptian Church*, ed. and trans. A. Khater and O. H. E. Khs-Burmester, Cairo, 1968-1970, vol. III, part 2, 131 (text), 221 (trans.).
29. William of Tyre, *History of deeds done beyond the sea*, vol. II, 431.
30. Ibn al-Furat, *Ta'rikh*, vol. IV, pt 2, 1.
31. Ibn Shaddad, *al-Nawddir al-sultaniyya wa'l-mahdsin al-Yusufiyya*, ed. J. al-DIn al-Shayyal, n.p., 1964, 147, 152, 155; Anne-Marie Edde, 'Quelques institutions militaires ayyoubides', in Vermeulen and De Smet (eds.), *Egypt and Syria*, 161-8.
32. Ibn Wasil, *Mufarrij al-kurub fi akhbdr banl Ayyub*, ed. H. Rabie and S. Ashour,

Cairo, 1977, vol. V, 274-5, 277-8, 379; al-Maqrizi, *Kitab al-suluk li-ma'rifat duwal al-muluk*, ed. M. M. Ziyada, Cairo, 1956, vol. I, part 2, 341; al-Nuwayri, *Nihayat al-arab fi funun al-adab*, vol. XXIX, ed. M. Daya' al-Din al-Rayyis and M. M. Ziyada, Cairo, 1992, 276-7, 360, 362.

33. Al-Nuwayri, *Nihayat al-arab fi funun al-adab*, vol. XXIX, 341, 349, 351; al-Nuwayri's text has been published with French translation and notes by C. Cahen and I. Chabbouch, 'Le testament d'al-Malik as-Salih Ayyub', *BEO*, 29 (1977), 97-115.

34. Tsugitaka, *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, ch. 3; H. Rabie, 'The size and value of the iqtd' in Egypt, 564-741 A.H.1169-1341 A.D.', in M. A. Cook (ed.), *Studies in the economic history of the Middle East*, London, 1970, 129-39.

35. Al-Maqrizi, *Itti'az*, vol. III, 317, 322.

36. Al-Maqrizi, *Khitat*, vol. I, 270.

37. Al-Maqrizi, *Kitab al-suluk*, vol. I, pt 1, 82, 194.

38. H. E. Mayer, 'Le service militaire des vassaux de Jerusalem a l'etranger et le financement des campagnes en Syrie du Nord et en Egypte au XIIe siecle', in his *Melanges sur l'histoire du royaume latin de Jerusalem*, Paris, 1984, 148-50.

39. S. D. Goitein, 'Geniza sources for the Crusader period: A survey', in B. Z. Kedar and others (eds.), *Outremer: Studies in the history of the crusading kingdom of Jerusalem* presented to Joshua Prawer, Jerusalem, 1982, 315-16.

40. Al-Bundan, *Sana al-Barq al-Shami*, ed. R. Şesen, Beirut, 1971, 170-1, 174.

41. Abu Shama, *Kitab al-rawdatayn fi akhbdr al-dawlatayn*, ed. I. al-Zaybak, 5 vols., Beirut, 1997, vol. II, 449; al-Maqrizi, *Suluk*, vol. I, pt 1, 107-8; *Khitat*, vol. III, 614-15.

42. D. Ayalon, 'The Mamluks and naval power', in his *Studies on the Mamluks of Egypt (1250-1517)*, London, 1977, 4.
43. Al-Nuwayri, *Nihayat al-arab fi funun al-adab*, vol. XXIX, 349-50.
44. Humphreys, *From Saladin to the Mongols*, 162-3, 169-70; J. H. Pryor, 'The Crusade of emperor Frederick II: The implications of the maritime evidence', *American Neptune*, 52 (1992), 113-32.

234

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Fatimid caliphate and the Ayyubids in Egypt

45. J. Drori, 'Al-Nasir Dawud: A much frustrated Ayyubid prince', *Al-Masaq*, 15 (2003), 161-87, at 171.
46. Lev, *Saladin in Egypt*, 147.
47. B. M. Kreutz, *Before the Normans: Southern Italy in the ninth and tenth centuries*, Philadelphia, 1991, 80-3.
48. Al-Maqrizi, *Khitat*, vol. III, 619-22; C. Cahen, 'Un texte peu connu relatif au commerce oriental d'Amalfi au Xe siècle', *Archivio Storico per le Province Napoletane*, N.S. 34 (1953-4), 1-8.
49. Al-Maqrizi, *Itti'az*, vol. I, 227, 287.
50. D. Jacoby, 'Byzantine trade with Egypt from the mid-tenth century to the Fourth Crusade', *Thesaurismata*, 30 (2000), 25-77; 'The supply of war materials to Egypt in the Crusader period', *JSAI, David Ayalon in Memoriam*, 25 (2001), 102-32.

51. M. Balard, 'Amalfi et Byzance (Xe-XIIe siecles)', *Travaux et Memoires*, 6 (1976), 85-96.
52. Naser-e Khosraw, *Book of travels*, 13, 16.
53. Ibn Nazif, *al-Ta'rikh al-Mansuri*, ed. AbuT'Id Dudu, Damascus, 1981, 65-6; al-Maqrizi, *Suluk*, vol. I, pt 1, 175.
54. A. S. Ehrenkreutz, 'Strategic implications of the slave trade between Genoa and Mamluk Egypt in the second half of the thirteenth century', in A. L. Udovitch (ed.), *The Islamic Middle East, 1000-1900: Studies in economic and social history*, Princeton, 1981, 335-47; A. E. Laiou, 'Exchange and trade, seventh-twelfth centuries', in A. E. Laiou (editor-in-chief), *The economic history of Byzantium*, Washington, DC, 2002, vol. II, 723, 728.
55. Al-Maqrizi, *Musawwadat*, 246-8.
56. Al-Maqrizi, *Itti'az*, vol. II, 225; for French translation, A. F. Sayyid, *La capitale de l'Egypte jusqu'a l'epoque fatimide*, Beirut, 1998, 618-19.
57. Al-Qalqashandi, *Subh al-a'sha*, ed. M. H. Shams al-Din, 14 vols., Beirut, 1987, vols. III, 522-3; IV, 33, 61.
58. Al-Musabbihl, *Akhhar Misr*, ed. A. F. Sayyid and T. Bianquis, Cairo, 1978, 75.
59. Ibn al-Dawadari, *Kanz al-durar wa-jami' al-ghurar*, vol. VII, ed. c Abd al-Fattah 'Ashur, Cairo, 1972, 133, 136, 140, 148, 149.
60. C. Cahen, *Makhzumiyyat* (Leiden, 1977), index under *matjar*.
61. Al-Maqrizi, *Kitab al-suluk*, vol. I, pt 1, 44-5.
62. Al-Nuwayn, *Nihayat al-arab fi funun al-adab*, vol. XXVIII, 52-3.
63. Al-Maqrizi, *Itti'az*, vol. II, 105-6; *Musawwadat*, 300-1. For a French translation of al-Azhar's waqf, see G. Wiet, *Corpus Inscriptionum Arabicarum, Egypte*, Cairo, 1929, 103-13.

64. G. La Viere Leiser, 'The restoration of Sunnism in Egypt', Ph.D. thesis, 2 vols., University of Pennsylvania (1976), vol. II, 402-4; Lev, Saladin in Egypt, 124-32.

65. Al-Maqrizi, *Kitah al-muqajfa al-kabir*, ed. M. Yalaoui, 8 vols., Beirut, 1991, vol. VI, 235-6.

66. C. Cahen (with Y. Ragib and M. A. Taher), 'L'achat et le waqf d'un domaine égyptien par le vizir TalaT ibn Ruzzik', *AT*, 14 (1978), 59-126.

67. Al-Maqrizi, *Musawwadat*, 318-19; A. F. Sayyid, *La capitale de l'Egypte jusqu'à l'époque fatimide*, Beirut, 1998, 57-8.

235

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

68. Al-Maqrizi, *Kitab al-muqaffa*, vol. VI, 246; Lev, Saladin in Egypt, 24.

69. Sayyid, *La capitale*, 71-3; Y. Lev, 'Charity and social practice in Egypt and Syria from the ninth to the twelfth century', *JSAI*, 24 (2000), 472-507, at 487-8.

70. Ibn al-Ma'mun, *Akhbar Misr*, 70-1.

71. Al-Maqrizi, *Khitat*, vol. I, 267-8.

72. S. D. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society: The Jewish communities of the Arab world as portrayed in the documents of the Cairo Geniza*, 6 vols., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967-93, vol. II, 91.

236

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria: the
Turkish Mamluk sultanate (648-784/
1250-1382) and the Circassian Mamluk
sultanate (784-923 /1382-1517)

AMALIA LEVANONI

The Turkish era of the Mamluk sultanate

The Mamluks' rise to power: a decade of trial and error

The Arabic term mamluk literally means 'owned' or 'slave', and was used for the white Turkish slaves of pagan origins, purchased from Central Asia and

the Eurasian steppes by Muslim rulers to serve as soldiers in their armies. 1

Mamluk units formed an integral part of Muslim armies from the third/ninth

century, and Mamluk involvement in government became an increasingly familiar occurrence in the medieval Middle East. The road to absolute rule lay

open before them in Egypt when the Mamluk establishment gained military

and political domination during the reign of the Ayyubid ruler of Egypt, al-

Salih Ayyub (r. 637-47/1240-9). 2

Al-Salih Ayyub's army, including his elite bodyguard, the Bahriyya, was mainly composed of Qipchak Turkish mamluks. Al-Salih took great care to

reserve most iqta's (tax revenues from land assignments) for his mamluks and

to confer the most prominent positions upon his confidants. Shajar al-Durr, 3

al-Salih's Turkish slave -girl and later his wife, was one of his regime's stalwarts without holding any formal position in government. The common background of al-Salih's mamluks and the power they accumulated during his lifetime, coupled with the personal loyalty they felt towards him rather than to the Ayyubid house, enabled Shajar al-Durr to run the kingdom upon his death in 647/1249, during the Crusader invasion led by King Louis IX of France, and to install his son Turanshah on the throne. Turanshah's attempts to consolidate his hold on power proved futile and brought about his murder and the eventual removal of the Ayyubid dynasty from Egypt in 648/1250. The Mamluks' victory over the Franks at al-Mansura, achieved in the absence of an

237

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Ayyubid ruler to lead them in battle, gave them a claim to both the traditional title of 'protectors of the faith' and rule in Egypt as devoted followers of al-Salih Ayyub's legacy. The Bahriyya mamluks chose to put Shajar al-Durr on the throne. Her rule, however, was problematic since political and ruling tradition in most Islamic regions, especially in the Arabophonic ones, denied females any formal position in government. Her accession had already aroused technical problems as well as vociferous ideological protests. Since Shajar al-Durr could not fulfil the role of atabak al-'asakir, commander in chief of the army, the oath of allegiance was jointly administered to her and atabak al-'asakir, indicating the army's share in government. Normally, one of the prominent Bahriyya amirs would have filled this post, but fear of

power struggles among them led them to choose Aybak al-Turkmanl, a middle -ranking and non-Bahri amir, for the post. As one commentary has it, Shajar al-Durr married Aybak in order to make him worthy of his exalted role. This arrangement was kept in place for about three months. When the Ayyubid legitimists took Damascus, the Mamluks attributed the opposition to their relinquishing the sultanate to a woman and decided to put Aybak on the throne. When other Syrian provinces joined the Ayyubids, Aybak was replaced, after ruling for only four days, by an Ayyubid minor, al-Ashraf Musa. The Mamluk victory in the battle of Kurat (648/1251) marked the end of the Ayyubid struggle over Egypt and brought about the caliph's recognition of the Mamluks' de facto position. Power struggles among the Mamluks, which had temporarily been put aside, now erupted in full force. Al-Mu'izz Aybak, decisively assisted by Shajar al-Durr, emerged victorious.

During Aybak's five-year reign, Shajar al-Durr continued to run the country while never allowing him to intervene. When she learned about Aybak's intentions to marry the daughter of Badr al-Din Lu'lu' (r. 607-57/ 1211-59), ruler of Mosul, she arranged for his murder (655/1257). With Aybak's death, his Mu'izziyya household was the strongest military household in Egypt and from it emerged his real successor, al-Muzaffar Qutuz. After deposing Aybak's fifteen-year-old son, al-Mansur 'Ali, in 657/1259, Qutuz prepared to wage a holy war (jihad) against the invading Mongols. 4

During the 630s /1230s and 640s /1240s the Saljuq sultanate of Anatolia (al-Rum), Lesser Armenia in Cilicia, the northern Crusader principality of Antioch, and Georgia accepted Mongol suzerainty, a relationship that endangered Syria. The threat was realised when in 658/1260, two years after conquering Baghdad, Hülegü (d. 664/1265), Chinggis Khan's grandson, led the Mongol army, reinforced by Georgian, Armenian and Anatolian Saljuq contingents, across the Euphrates into Syria.

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

In the wake of the Mongol invasion of Syria, an influx of civilian and military refugees poured into Egypt. Fugitives from the defeated Ayyubid armies, and Turcomans and Kurds who had arrived in Syria earlier in flight from the Mongols, all joined the Mamluk army. Qutuz decided to meet the Mongols on Syrian soil and marched, at the head of the Muslim army, north to Acre, the seat of the attenuated Latin kingdom of Jerusalem to secure the Franks' neutrality. The battle took place in 658/1260, near Ayn Jalut. 5 The victory left the Mamluks without any real competitor for hegemony in the central part of the Muslim world and strengthened their popular legitimacy as protectors of Islam.

Qutuz then advanced to Damascus to arrange appointments in Syria that symbolised Mamluk control over the region. Yet Qutuz would not reap the fruits of his victory, for he was murdered on his way back to Cairo by a group of Mamluk amirs. Baybars, who played a leading role in the murder, was elected as the new sultan in a council of magnates (a'yan al-umara 1) assembled immediately after the murder. Mamluk sources mention various reasons for the murder. It appears that the main reason was the old inter-factional power struggle between the Bahri and Mu'izzi mamluks.

The formative years of the Mamluk state
During al-Zahir Baybars' reign (658-76/1260-77) the foundations of the Mamluk state were laid. Future generations would consider him as the true founder of the Mamluk sultanate and the institutions he and his immediate

successors established as the classic Mamluk order. 7 Whether the Mamluk sultanate's institutions were originally Ayyubid or a new Mamluk creation is still an open question. As was the case with previous regimes supported by armies in the Muslim world, the Mamluk sultan required two levels of legitimacy, the traditional in the Muslim community and the political within the Mamluk elite. Since their seizure of power, the Mamluks had not received an investiture diploma from the Abbasid caliph in Baghdad, who was traditionally considered the source of legitimacy for new regimes. The renewal of the Abbasid caliphate in Cairo might have rewarded Baybars not only with recognition of his personal position in power, but also by reinforcing the Mamluk sultanate's position as the centre of the new Muslim world. An 'Abbasid refugee who appeared in Syria as early as Qutuz's reign was pro-claimed the new caliph with the title of al-Mustansir, while the new caliph appointed Baybars as sultan over the sultanate territories and all future conquests (659/1261). Al-Mustansir was sent off with a small expeditionary force to recover Baghdad from the Mongols. Inevitably, he and most of his

239

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

army were slaughtered upon crossing the Euphrates by Mongol troops. Another claimant to the caliphate was appointed in 660/1262; to prevent his involvement in politics, he was held in confinement in Cairo. Further encroachment on the position of the shadow caliphate in Cairo came in the mid-eighth/fourteenth century, when the ceremonial exchange of the bay'a

(oath of allegiance) between the ascending sultan and the caliph was abandoned, and henceforth it was only the caliph who gave the sultan his oath of allegiance. Devoid of any ruling power, the caliph nevertheless played an important ceremonial role in legitimising the Mamluk rule by regularly participating in the sultans' ascension ceremonies and religious festivals held under Mamluk patronage. The 'Abbasid caliphate in Cairo came to an end with the Ottoman conquest of the Mamluk sultanate in 923/1517. 9

With the establishment of the 'Abbasid caliphate in Cairo, Abu Numayy, the sharif of Mecca, recognised the Mamluk sultanate protectorate over the Hijaz and withdrew his recognition of the Hafsid caliph of Tunis. The Mamluks' role as guardians of the holy places, Mecca and Medina, in addition to hosting the 'Abbasid caliphate in Cairo, symbolised the Mamluk sultanate's position as the supreme representative of orthodox Islam. The Mamluks linked themselves to Islamic institutions also through patronage. They established mosques and colleges for the instruction of Islamic legal sciences (madaris, sg. madrasa), and other centres and lodges for the instruction and worship of Sufism (khanqahs, ribats and zawiyas) which represented popular Islam. 10 The building of religious foundations, preferably in the centre of Cairo, that included the donor mausoleum and often charity institutions for the vast population, became the custom with Mamluk sultans. Religious endowments (waqf, pl. awqaf) were established for legally transferring rural or urban assets of commercial value for the maintenance of such religious and charitable foundations. The religious institutions supplied cadres of religious scholars (c ulama >) and Sufis who were responsible for shaping the normative Muslim codes of conduct and held posts in the religious bureaucracy and the education and judicial systems that granted legitimacy to rulers. Sufi orders enjoyed massive support from the Mamluks owing to the latter's reverence for their shaykhs, and probably because Sufism was an easier religious denominator for integrating the multi-ethnic Egyptian and Syrian masses

under their rule. 11 The Mamluks gradually popularised the orthodox institutions of Islam by stipulating their desire for an orthodox curriculum in the Sufi lodges (khanqahs) they had founded, and invited c ulama\ preferably foreigners of the Hanafi madhhab, to teach in them, and Sufis to instruct in the madrasas. Consequently, both orthodox and Sufi institutions underwent a process of

240

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

moderation, and by the end of the eighth/fourteenth century differences between the functions of the khanqah, the madrasa and the Friday mosque were blurred. Instruction in Sufism already took place in the madrasas, and mosques and khanqahs functioned as centres for both Sufi rituals, public recitations of the Qur] an and Prophetic tradition (hadith), and the celebrations of Muslim festivals under Mamluk patronage. By the end of the ninth/fifteenth century small mosques scattered all over Cairo functioned as places for prayer, teaching and Sufi rituals. 12

Since the Mamluk elite avoided passing their status to their descendants, it remained a small group of newly purchased slaves with a non-Muslim background within a community with a deep-rooted Arab-Muslim culture. The 'ulama' and fuqaha\ by contrast, especially of the Shafi'i legal school who controlled the Egyptian and Syrian judicial system, were recognised as the normative representatives of the indigenous culture. In order to overcome what may have been perceived as social inferiority, the Mamluks used their ethnic culture as a symbol of their unique status separating them, as a military

ruling elite, from the civilian population. They spoke Turkish and preserved their original names, their dress and at least part of their diet. The influence of the Mongol culture, which in certain respects resembled the Turkish one, was also evident, at least in the formative period of the Mamluk sultanate when thousands of Mongol warriors, the Wafidiyya, entered Egypt with their families. 13 While scholars agree that the Mamluks directed a distinct judicial system that was not based on the shari'a, or Islamic religious law, they hold different opinions on the question of whether Baybars based it on the Yasa, the Mongol legal code founded by Chinggis Khan. 14

The Ayyubid judicial system in Egypt, in which the Shafi'i school (madhhab) enjoyed absolute dominance, remained unchanged until Baybars' rise to power. In 663/1265 Baybars granted representation to the other three Sunni schools, the Maliki, Hanafi and Hanbali, by nominating a chief judge (qadT) to each of them. The Shafi'i chief qadx henceforth enjoyed only a symbolic supremacy over his counterparts in the judicial system. Baybars intended to increase the prestige of the Hanafi madhhab to which the Mamluks belonged. 15 Baybars, however, was unsuccessful in abolishing the absolute control of the ShafTi school over the waqfs in Egypt and Syria which had amassed considerable wealth.

The Mamluk sultan, like his Ayyubid predecessors, presided over a form of administrative justice, dar aVadl, which rested on his discretion, and dealt with matters of state (siyasa) and injustice of office-holders in the state administration. 1 In theory, the sultan's secular justice in dar al J adl and the qadi's

The New Cambridge History of Islam

religious justice in the shafi court were discrete. In practice, however, no real separation existed, for the chief qadis advised the sultan on siyasa matters in the shaffa spirit, while they had to adhere to his siyasa decisions and relied on his army for the enforcement of their verdicts. 17

The sultan was also the highest authority in the administrative system the Mamluks had inherited from the Ayyubids. Traditionally, the Chancery bureau (diwan al-insha 1) was staffed by learned religious Arab civilians, owing to their command of the Arabic language. The access of the senior secretary, katib al-sirr, in diwan al-insha' to information included in the sultan's correspondence made him eligible to deal with state postal services (band) which also dealt with espionage within the sultanate. The financial administration included three bureaux. The diwan al-mal, or finance bureau, dealt with land taxes and other sources of state revenues, the diwan al-khass, or the sultan's private purse, managed the sultan's revenues and income from his private estates, and the diwan al-jaysh (the army bureau), managed the distribution of ic0 and payment of salaries to the army. 1 The financial and fiscal bureaux were traditionally staffed by Coptic clerks, owing to their professionalism passed from generation to generation, and often new converts from among them served as viziers (wazirs). While during the Fatimid and Ayyubid periods the vizier's responsibility extended over almost all state bureaux, during the Mamluk period central administrative positions lost their importance to Mamluk administrative posts in the sultan's household. Thus, Baybars limited the vizier's responsibility to fiscal matters only and his functions overlapped those of the ustadar (majordomo), whose authority was extended from the management of the sultan's household to include state financial matters. Similarly, the functions of the sahib al-insha 1 overlapped

those of the dawadar (official pen case bearer) whose duties also included the sultan's correspondence, postal service, foreign relations and espionage.

While during the Ayyubid period the army had been at the beck and call of the ruling house, in the Mamluk state the Mamluk army became the ruling elite and the sultan came from within its ranks. It was necessary, therefore, for the sultan to establish clearly defined rules for the normative organisation of the army, guaranteeing the sultan's sway over the mamluks, especially his erstwhile colleagues. The army Baybars inherited included different Mamluk factions and numerous refugees for whom the Egyptian army had provided a haven. Baybars created a new framework for a single army subordinate to the central government that comprised three main parts: the royal mamluks among whom were the sultan's new recruits, the amirs' soldiers and the halaa, a non-Mamluk (including the subgroup of the mamluks' children, awlad

242

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

al-nas) and masterless veteran Mamluk corps. The royal mamluks were to gain exclusive status, the amirs' mamluks remained under the direct command of their masters, but were to be placed at the state's disposal whenever necessary, and the halqa, although secondary in status, came under the sultan's direct control. 19 Turcomans and Bedouins served as auxiliary forces in the Mamluk

army; they took part in campaigns both as cavalry and infantry. In peacetime they carried out military duties of guarding frontier zones, maintaining the royal postal system, and supplying relay horses to the mamluk army. 20

Baybars increased the number of mamluks in the army and introduced the supply of uniform equipment for the mamluks funded by the sultan's treasury. He maintained the army at a very high level of professionalism and readiness through intensive training and frequent inspections of his troops. 21

Mamluks were usually purchased at a young age. Upon arrival in Cairo, they were quartered in the Citadel barracks and divided into peer groups by age and ethnic origin. Education consisted of two principal stages: religious studies, which continued until adolescence, followed by a period of rigorous military training that only came to an end when the mamluk had attained a high level of military skills. At the end of the training period, *kuttabiyya*, the mamluk underwent an emancipation ceremony and was brought into his master's military service. The mamluk's career began with a number of low-level offices with a modest salary that gradually increased over time. Senior mamluks were granted *iqta* c s and juniors received monthly salaries. Baybars introduced a new rank stratification and linked it with the *iqta*' system. 22 The rank hierarchy included, in ascending order, amir of ten, amir of forty or *tablkhana* and, at the top, amir of one hundred. Each rank indicated the normative number of mounted soldiers included in the amir's retinue and the corresponding size of *iqta* he received. 23 Some of the amirs of one hundred, who were also members of the royal council that managed affairs of state, were also appointed commanders of one thousand, a force of some one thousand mounted soldiers in campaigns (*tulb*, pi. *atlab*). A hierarchical stratification of offices in the sultan's court and household administration and governorships was also developed.

In addition to the Islamic legitimacy that Baybars sought for his reign,

which was skilfully fostered around his image as a jihad warrior and normative Muslim ruler, he also had to gain royal legitimacy within the military elite. Since he had no Mamluk household of his own to support his rule upon his ascent, he sought support among his Salihi peers by granting them significant representation in government. Even when Baybars' household had already been established, only a few of his mamluks were appointed to key positions.

243

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

With his rivals, however, he was ruthless, regardless of their factional affiliation. 24 Baybars' authority in Syria was precarious, as over a decade was to pass until unassailable Mamluk control was consolidated. Separatists who sought independent rule in Syria were gradually removed and replaced by Baybars' governors. From the 670s /1270s Syria's assimilation into the sultanate was absolute, so much so that during the eighth/ fourteenth and ninth/ fifteenth centuries, Syrian urban centres, especially Damascus and Aleppo, served as bases for organising factional struggles over rule in Cairo. 25

A significant sector with the potential for disorder were the nomads in Egypt and Syria that included indigenous Arab tribes, the Turcomans whose numbers increased in Syria as a result of the Mongol invasion, and the Kurds whose power was waning under the new Mamluk order. Baybars had to deal

first with the Bedouins' anarchism that had prevailed unhindered in Upper Egypt since al-Salih Ayyub's death in 647/1249. A rebellion was successfully quelled in Qus but the Bedouins' defeat was not decisive as they were to challenge the Mamluk government again and again throughout the Mamluk period. Bedouin unrest in Syria could cause the sultanate great harm, especially because it might have encouraged the Mongols and their Armenian and Frankish allies to invade Syria. Furthermore, the Bedouins held the trump card of deserting to Mongol territory. This threat, however, became pointless with the signing of the peace treaty between the Mamluks and the Mongol Ilkhans in 723/1323. The policy of securing co-operation with the Bedouins through the political patronage of powerful chiefs had existed earlier in Syria, but the Mamluks formalised this policy when Qutuz appointed c Isa ibn Muhanna (d. 684/1285), the head of the most powerful Bedouin tribe in the area, as amir aVarab (leader of the Bedouins) in Syria and granted him iqta's. Baybars confirmed 'Isa's position and further strengthened the Bedouins' position as a functional group in the Mamluk regime system by entrusting their chiefs with the duties of guarding the Syrian frontier with the Mongols, patrolling the roads, and securing the royal postal system and the espionage linked to it. The Turcomans who roamed in Syria and Palestine were integrated into the Mamluk military machine, mainly as troops guarding the coast against possible Frankish attack. Therefore they were settled along the shore from Gaza to Lesser Armenia. 2

The strategic importance of Syria as a frontier zone with the Mongol Ilkhans dictated Baybars' policy towards the Crusader dominions along the Syro-Palestinian littoral, which threatened the free passage of the Mamluk army and smooth communication between Egypt and Syria. There was also the theoretical danger of Frank-Mongol co-operation against the Mamluks.

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

Another interest of the Mamluks was to cripple Europe's lucrative independent commerce with the Levant and channel it to Egypt under the Mamluk government's direct control. Baybars conducted intensive military campaigns against the Crusaders with the goal of eliminating the Frankish presence in the region. Owing to the fear of support reaching the Franks from the sea or of a renewed Crusader attack from that direction - as was to take place in 670 / 1271 when Lord Edward, son of King Henry III of England, led a Crusader force to Acre and gained limited co-operation with the Ilkhanid Mongols - Baybars had the outer shore cities destroyed and their harbours rendered useless. 27 Cesarea, Arsuf and Haifa (665/1265), Jaffa and Antioch (667/1268), and Ascalon (669/1270) were all demolished. On the other hand, Safed (666/1266) and the important strongholds of Shaqif Tirun (Cave de Tyrus), Hisn al-Akrad (Krak des Chevaliers) and Hisn 'Akkar (Bibelaca), all located inland, were repaired and manned with Muslim garrisons. These inland strongholds served as the military rearguard in the Mamluk war against the Mongols and as part of the regime's inspection bases against insubordinate elements in Syria.

Baybars' foreign and overseas alliances were patterned to gain support against the Ilkhanid Mongols and the Crusaders, as well as to ensure the sultanate's commercial interests in the international trade system. The long-distance lucrative trade system stretched from western India, the outlet of

both Indian and Chinese produce, to Europe, as far as the Low Countries and the Baltic. The Mongol conquest in Asia revived the transcontinental trade routes between China and Europe via Anatolia, and left the Mamluk sultanate, for about a century, with only marginal profits from the major commercial network. 1 Baybars, and all subsequent Mamluk governments, invested considerable efforts in maintaining Mamluk influence in eastern Anatolia in order to disrupt the caravan route through Iran, and protect Mamluk control of the eastern and western shores of the Red Sea to secure the Indian trade.

Baybars exploited internal rivalries in Europe to his advantage, mainly the confrontations between the papacy and the House of Hohenstaufen. In 660/1261 he resumed the traditional commercial relations that Egypt previously had with Sicily. Friendly relations with Manfred, the Hohenstaufen ruler of Sicily, who was at odds with the pope for his support of Charles of Anjou's candidacy for ruler of Sicily, might have served Baybars in disrupting the sea-links of the papacy and France with Lesser Armenia and the Mongol Ilkhanate. In 662/1262 a commercial agreement was reached with James of Aragon (610-75/ 1213-76) and in 665/1266 another with King Alfonso X of Castile (650-83/1252-84). Trade relations were maintained with Marseilles and the Italian maritime cities of Venice and Genoa that dealt with the import of

delegation from Charles of Anjou which signified European recognition of the Mamluk sultanate as a great power in the Middle East and signalled the weakening of European support of the Crusaders. 29

The tripartite alliance Baybars forged with the Golden Horde and Byzantium, driven by their common enmity towards the Mongol Ilkhanate, was decisive for the Mamluk sultanate. Michael Palaeologus (r. 651-81/1253-82), the Byzantine emperor, viewed the Mongols' control of eastern Anatolia as a threat to his eastern territories. The Ilkhans' alliance with Lesser Armenia also linked them to the conflict between the papacy and Byzantium over the Church's sphere of influence. Berke Khan (r. 654-65/1256-66), the ruler of the Golden Horde who had recently converted to Islam, had an open conflict with Hülegü as early as 660/1260. Consequently he sought a strategic peace with Byzantium in order to recover the income he had lost because of the interruption of trade with Iran. The alliance with the Mamluk sultanate was to harass the Ilkhans with the threat of a pincer movement on two fronts. This strategy undoubtedly weakened the Ilkhans' motivation for a large-scale invasion of Syria. The Mamluk army was also reinforced by the considerable addition of manpower of the Wafidiyya, the Mongol and Turkish soldiers who had deserted the Ilkhanate for Egypt in two waves, in 662-4/1262-4 and 665-6/1266-7; the former were under Berke Khan's orders. 30 The alliance with the Mamluk sultanate that was continued by Berke's successor, Mongke Timur (r. 666-79/1267-80), allowed the Mamluks the purchase of strategic commodities, but more vital were the Turkish slaves from the Qipchak steppes. Since the overland slave trade routes through the Caucasus and eastern Anatolia were controlled by the Ilkhanids, Egypt depended overwhelmingly on the maritime routes. As part of the friendly relationship, Michael Palaeologus agreed to allow passage through the Bosphorus for Genoese vessels carrying Mamluk slaves from the markets in the Crimea, then part of the Golden Horde territories, to Egypt. 31

In spring 676/1277, Baybars took advantage of a Turcoman revolt against the Mongols in the Taurus highlands to invade eastern Anatolia. He defeated a Mongol army near Elbistan and marched on Kayseri where he was enthroned,

but he chose to withdraw before Mongol reinforcements arrived because he could not rely on the fickle alliance he had with the local Turcomans and Saljuqs. The same policy was adopted in 675/1276, when Baybars exploited inter-dynastic conflicts in Christian Nubia to interfere and draw it under Mamluk influence, thus renewing Nubian-Egyptian commercial relations that had been disturbed in 671/1272.

246

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

After about two years behind the nominal rule of Baybars' sons, Baraka (Berke) Khan and Salamish, Qalawun took power in 678/1279. Al-Mansur Qalawun's reign was the continuation of Baybars' with regard to the consolidation and development of the Mamluk political and military systems. The acceleration of the militarisation process in the administration was yet another aspect of institutional development during Qalawun's reign. For the first time the vizierate was assigned to a Mamluk amir, Sanjar al-Shuja'i in 682/1283. Mamluk patronage of Islamic institutions was manifested during Qalawun's rule by the persecution of Christians and the erection of his monumental complex in Fatimid Cairo. This included Qalawun's mausoleum, a madrasa and a hospital for the Muslim population of Cairo and its environs. 32

In 680/1281, the Ilkhan Abagha despatched a large force headed by his brother, Mongke Timur, into Syria that was routed by the Mamluk army near Hims. 33 Ahmad Tegiider, Abagha's brother who ascended the throne in 681/1282, sought peace with the Mamluks but was rejected outright by Qalawun, probably because his reign was still too insecure to withstand the

army's disapproval of his peace policy. The victory over the Mongols enabled the Mamluks to resume the war against the Christians. Between 684/1285 and 689/1290, Qalawun initiated military campaigns against Crusader outposts, ignoring the truces signed with some of them before the battle of Hims, and by the end of his reign only Acre survived. Consecutive Mamluk campaigns in 682/1283 and 683/1284 were mounted against Lesser Armenia, officially because of its participation in the battle of Hims alongside the Mongols, but practically it was for its wood and iron, and to disrupt the Genoese-Ilkhanid commercial alliance conducted through the Armenian port of Ayas. In 684/1285 Qalawun concluded a truce with King Leon II that guaranteed an annual tribute and secured the safe passage of slave imports from the Golden Horde to Egypt through Armenian land. Earlier, in 680/1281, when this land route was still closed, Qalawun concluded a treaty with Michael VIII of Byzantium to secure the slave trade through the Bosphorus. 34

Genoese-Mamluk relations were based on mutual dependence on the slave trade from the Black Sea regions to the Mamluk sultanate. Genoa gained supremacy in western trade in the Levant and the Mamluk sultanate augmented the vital manpower for its Mamluk system. However, the Genoese penetration into the Mongol Ilkhanate and the introduction of an alternative route between India and Europe through the Persian Gulf created competition with Mamluk lucrative trade. To overcome this competition, Qalawun reacted with restraint and issued a general proclamation of aman (safe conduct) in 687/1288, which offered the European merchants security, fair

The New Cambridge History of Islam

treatment, port facilities and commercial incentives. In 689/1290 Qalawun re-established friendly relations with the Genoese, granting them a stronger commercial position in Egypt. 35 Qalawun also put the rivalries between the Byzantines and the Ilkhanids, and the Genoese and the Venetians, to his full advantage. Qalawun's intervention in Nubia and Yemen was designed to guarantee the sultanate's trade in the Red Sea as part of the overall notion he had inherited from Baybars of the sultanate's position as a great power in the Middle East and as the intersection between Europe and South-East Asia.

Mamluk factional power struggles

Qalawun's son, al-Ashraf Khalil, ascended the sultanate in Ramadan 689/September 1290. About six months later, he captured Acre, the last Crusader port and capital of the Latin kingdom of Jerusalem, and brought the Crusader presence in the Levant to an end. After Acre's fall, enthusiasm for Crusading in Europe decreased and the naval cities had a strong interest to resume their commercial contacts with the Mamluks. In 690/1291, al-Ashraf concluded a treaty with Venice, and in 692/1293 with Alfonso III, king of Aragon, which allowed the import of war materials.

In spite of his military achievements, al-Ashraf did not prove himself a talented and prudent politician with regard to Mamluk factionalism. When, in 693/1293, he designed a land survey, in which Qalawun's high-ranking amirs were to lose their wealth and positions, they murdered him (693/1293). Seventeen years of political unrest followed al-Ashraf's assassination. The conspirators failed to place their candidate, Baydara, on the throne. Kitbugha, one of Qalawun's Mongol mamluks and head of the loyalist faction that included the Wafidiyya troops, installed Qalawun's eight-year-old son,

Muhammad, as nominal sultan. About a year later, Kitbugha took the sultanate but unrest jeopardised his reign because of the army's intolerance towards the predominance of the non-Mamluk Wafidiyya. After an attempt on his life in 696/1296, Kitbugha retired to Syria, and Lajin, his vice-regent, took the sultanate.

Al-Mansur Lajm's reign was dominated by his conflict with his supporters on the grounds of the cadastral survey (rawk) he initiated in Egypt. 36 The halqa and the amirs shares in the cultivated lands of Egypt were reduced to half in this rawk, from twenty to ten twenty-fourths, while the sultan's share of four twenty-fourths remained untouched. One twenty-fourth was kept as a reserve to compensate those who were dissatisfied with their new allocations and the remaining nine twenty-fourths were assigned for the establishment of a new halqa that was planned to support Lajm's rule. Lajin was murdered before he

248

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

completed his rawk, and the surplus iqtcCs were divided among the magnates.

Al-Nasir Muhammad was brought back from exile in Kerak and reinstated in the sultanate (698/1299). His precarious position under two senior amirs, Salar al-Mansuri and Baybars al-Jashinkir, pushed him to abdicate in 708/1308 to Kerak.

Despite the internal unrest, the magnates did not relinquish Mamluk

strategic interests in Anatolia, combining political manoeuvres with military operations to achieve them. The Mamluks supported separatists and anarchists against the Mongol garrison in the area. They provided assistance to the Mongol general, Sulamish, who in 699/1298 rebelled against the Ilkhan Ghazan and in 705/1305 a few hundred of the Mongol troops garrisoned in Cilicia were encouraged to defect to Egypt. The successful offensive against the Mongols in Anatolia, however, was set back because of the internal strife within the sultanate where military elements of Mongol origin were involved. In 698/1298, the vicegerent of Damascus, Qibjaq, who actually was the son of a silahdar (arms bearer) from the Ilkhanid court, defected with other prominent amirs to the Ilkhan territory out of fear for their lives from Lajm's vicegerent, Mankutamur. With Qibjaq's inspiration, Ghazan invaded Syria in 699 / 1299. While encamped in Gaza, the Mamluk army was shaken by a plot by the Mongol Oirat (uwayratiyya) Wafidiyya to murder the sultan and reinstate al- c Adil Kitbugha. The rebellion was quelled and hundreds of Wafidiyya soldiers were killed. When the Mamluk army arrived at Wadi al-Khaznadar, it was too exhausted to engage in combat and retreated in disarray to Egypt, leaving Syria open to Ghazan's army. However, as in previous occupations of Syria, the Mongol army soon retreated, leaving Qibjaq and a Mongol general, Qutlushah, as joint governors of Damascus (699/1300). From his new position, Qibjaq negotiated his return to the Mamluk sultanate. In 702/1302 two groups of Mamluk amirs defected to Ghazan and once again encouraged him to conquer Syria. In April 703/1303 he sent his general Qutlushah into Syria, but his army was routed at Marj al-Suffar, near Damascus. With Ghazan's death in spring 704/1304, real danger from the Mongols no longer existed.

The Mamluks' policy towards the Copts, the local Christians in Egypt, was a matter of criticism and conflict between the 'ulama' and the masses, and the Mamluk ruling elite. Many Copts were employed as clerks in the financial bureaux of the sultans and amirs. The latter were willing to overlook the financial power and influence the Copts accumulated in their service, because

they benefited from their professional skills in the tax collection from their
iota's and the management of their income from other sources. Coptic

249

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

office-holders aroused general discontent because they were identified with
unpopular Mamluk tax policies, and because of the wealth they amassed under Mamluk patronage. In 700/1301 the two powerful amirs, Baybars al-Jashinkir and Salar, were pushed to implement an unprecedented discrim-
inatory policy against the Copts. The direct trigger for this policy was the criticism the Maghribi vizier, who arrived in Cairo, waged against the Mamluks for their liberal policies towards the ahl al-dhimma. In fact, a year
earlier, the Mamluks were defeated by the Mongols in the battle of Wadi al-Khaznadar. The public riots, incited by the 'ulama\ forced the two amirs to
issue a ban on employing Copts. As a result, many individuals converted to
Islam for appearance's sake in order to retain their offices; mass conversion did
not occur. The new converts not only did not sever their connections with the
Christian community, but also interceded with their Mamluk patrons on their
co-religionists' behalf. The Copts were further used as a bridge in the diplo-
matic relations between the Mamluks and the Europeans that were vital for
Egypt's transit trade. 37

Al-Nasir Muhammad's third reign, the 'Golden Age'
of the Mamluk sultanate

When al-Nasir Muhammad attained the sultanate for the third time in 709/1310, the Crusader principalities and a serious threat of a Crusade from

Europe no longer existed. Since their defeat at Marj al-Suffar, the Mongols had not made any serious attempt to attack Syria. As early as Baybars' occupation of Kayseri in 676/1277, the Mamluks understood that nurturing Mamluk influence through inter-state politics rather than a direct rule in eastern Anatolia was a more realistic policy. In 723/1323 a treaty was concluded between al-Nasir Muhammad and Abu Sa'id, the Mongol Ilkhan. Their relations, however, remained cold until 728/1328, when the two countries opened commercial and cultural exchange relationships. With the collapse of the Ilkhanate, after Abu Sard's death (735/1335), a new state system emerged in Anatolia that enhanced the Mamluks' strategy of interference and led to the establishment of buffer zones of Turcoman principalities against invaders and nomad incursions which would remain intact until the end of the sultanate in 923/1517. The Saljuq vassals of the Ilkhanids were ousted from central Anatolia by the Qaramanids and their principality created an outer buffer zone for the Mamluk sultanate. In 738/1337, Qaraja ibn Dhu TQadir (or Dhu al-Qadr), the leader of the Turcoman clan of Bozoq, seized Elbistan, which was under Ilkhanid protectorate, and obtained a certificate recognising him as vicegerent from al-Nasir Muhammad. Cilicia was captured in 760/1359 and became a

250

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

sultanate province. In 780/1378, the Turcoman Yiiregir-oghlu Ramadan founded the principality of Ramadan and acknowledged Mamluk suzerainty. The Dhu '1-Qadirid and Ramadanid principalities were the immediate neigh-

bours of the Mamluk sultanate and served as its inner buffer zone. 3

Al-Nasir Muhammad invested efforts to secure Mamluk control in the Hijaz. Al-Nasir renovated the Ka'ba, regularly supplied grain to the Hijaz and on three occasions during his reign, in 713/1313, 720/1320 and 732/1332,

carried out the hajj, demonstrating Mamluk commitment to the Holy Places.

Expeditionary armies were repeatedly despatched to put an end to the feuds

between the Sharifs of Mecca and Medina to secure Mamluk formal suzerainty

against the rival posturing of Ilkhan Oljeitii and the Rasulids of Yemen for a

symbolic presence in the Holy Places. Mamluk relations with Yemen remained ambivalent and tenuous after the failure of a Mamluk attempt, in

725/1325, to intervene in succession feuds. Mamluk expeditions were sent to

Nubia in 715/1315 and 723/1323, with the purpose of checking on the Bedouins

in the region, since a permanent Mamluk prefect and a garrison were only

maintained in the Red Sea port of Aydhab. In Tripoli and Tunis support was

extended to the Hafsids Abu Zakariyya 1 Yahya in exchange for nominal Mamluk domination. Expanding Mamluk influence from Arabia to North Africa contributed not only to the Mamluk sultanate's position as a great power in the Muslim world, but also to the consolidation of Egypt's place in

the long-distance trade. It secured the flow of African gold, with which the

Mamluks paid for the Indian lucrative commodities.

To secure Egypt's place in the Mediterranean trade, al-Nasir sent several expeditions to Lesser Armenia in order to enforce the tribute payment that

had been agreed upon in 696/1297, and, more important, to disrupt Mongol

long-distance trade to Europe via Anatolian ports. During the 738/1337 expedition, Sis, the Armenian capital, was laid waste, but Lesser Armenia was not

conquered until 777/1375. Envoys were exchanged between al-Nasir Muhammad and the king of Aragon with the purpose of bringing Catalan merchants to Egypt. They were granted commercial privileges, and pilgrims

were allowed access to Christian shrines. The papal trade sanctions against the

were allowed access to Christian shrines. The papal trade sanctions against the

sultanate were circumvented by clandestine trade with Genoa and Pisa through Cyprus.

Al-Nasir Muhammad's long and prosperous reign might be explained not only by the sultanate's peaceful foreign relations, but also by policies he adopted to secure a strong rule for himself. Upon assuming control in Cairo, al-Nasir eliminated both the Mansuri amirs who had limited his authority during his earlier reigns and the disaffected Mansuri amirs in Syria who

251

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

supported him, with only a handful managing to escape. He bestowed their positions, ranks and part of their wealth on his trusted mamluks from the small corps he had been able to amass during his second reign and exile in Karak. Al-Nasir skilfully used this early period of transition to implement his plan for a general land survey (rawk), since his own amirs were not yet firmly established to reject it, as the magnates had previously done with Lajm's in 697/1298. Egypt's land survey (715/1315) was preceded by a pilot survey in the province of Damascus (713/1313). According to this tax reform, two major taxes were to be levied by the muqta c and were only applied to cultivated lands, the kharaj, the tax on agricultural produce, and the jizya or jawali, the annual poll-tax imposed on the non-Muslim inhabitants of the sultanate. Whereas Lajm did not change the sultan's share in Egypt's cultivated lands during the cadastral survey of 697/1298, al-Nasir increased it from four twenty-fourths to ten twenty-fourths. The remaining fourteen twenty-fourths were

reserved for the amirs and halqa soldiers. Since the sultan had already controlled the monopoly on emeralds and natron, and the matjar (the state commercial office), he became involved in import and export activities in the Mediterranean and the Red Sea, and great dealings in grain, sugar and textiles based on the crops produced on his landed estates. 39 The abolition of the post of na'ib al-saltana, the vicegerent, in 726/1326 and the suspension of the vizierate during the periods 714-23/ 1314-23 and 731-40 /1331-9 transferred the financial responsibilities and power from the state to the sultan.

While the rawk immediately reinforced the sultan's power, it had far-reaching ramifications for the army. Although the iqta's they received were formally worth the same as their previous holdings, in practice their income was reduced because it was no longer based solely on the kharaj tax and was divided in various locations in Upper and Lower Egypt in order to curb the muqta's influence and his regional power. The amirs had to employ more clerks in their bureaux to collect the taxes, and to add insult to injury the Coptic peasants exploited the decentralisation of tax collection and evaded it by moving from village to village, declaring that they had already paid it elsewhere. Muslim circles, especially the Shafi'i 'ulama\ criticised the new tax system as a conspiracy instigated by Coptic clerks in order to help their co-religionists and ruin the Muslim government. The halqa troops' iqta\ which had already been reduced in the rawk of 697/1298, became insufficient for livelihood and was regarded as supplementing income only. Elderly and disabled were already among the halqa ranks during al-Nasir's time. Under his successors, the ajnad al-halqa resorted to relinquishing their iqta's for payment (nuzul) and artisans and peddlers and even children took their

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

place. Having more or less acclimatised to the dismal situation of the halqa, sultans in the ninth /fifteenth century routinely preferred to offer their soldiers the choice of a 'substitute' payment (badal) instead of going on expeditions.

Al-Nasir's expanded resources were generously utilised to build a great Mamluk household, to maintain patronage relationships with influential sectors both inside and outside the Mamluk elite, and to develop public and private projects that legitimised his royal authority. Al-Nasir's Mamluk household had been significantly increased through the systematic purchase of new recruits, preferably Mongols and Turks, at high prices in order to encourage their import to Egypt. In order to buy his mamluks loyalty, he showered them with material plenty upon their arrival, while earlier sultans, particularly Baybars and Qalawun, had based the training of their mamluks on stringent discipline, military professionalism and slow, hierarchical advancement. For the first time, royal estates were given as iqta' to new recruits lodged in the Citadel barracks in order to supplement their income. To compensate the prominent amirs for their reduced income as a result of the 715/1315 rawk, al-Nasir granted them generous unrecorded grants, gifts and additional iqta's on an individual basis. The size of the iqta' of his amir Bashtak was kept secret in order not to arouse the envy of Qawsun, his rival. This reward policy characterised the informal patron-client relationship on which al-Nasir sought to achieve autocratic rule. In addition to his prodigal generosity, al-Nasir's relationship with his amirs and office-holders was characterised by suspicion and manipulation which often culminated in sudden arrests and executions. Tankiz

al-Husami was appointed governor of Damascus in 712/1312 and, in effect, served as governor-general of Syria for about twenty-eight years. Nevertheless, in 741/1340 he lost the sultan's favour and was put to death. Baktamur al-Saqi (cupbearer), whose house was frequented by al-Nasir, where meals were cooked and served personally by Baktamur's own wife, was granted far-reaching authority that almost equalled the sultan's. In 732/1332 he and his son died under suspicious circumstances on their way back from the hajj.

Al-Nasir Muhammad's expenditure on his personal household was unprecedented. His royal harem was enormous, including an inordinate number of white concubines (jawarl) and muwalladat, women of mixed extraction. Al-Nasir's generous remuneration policy towards the Bedouins of the Banu Muhanna in northern Syria was part of the efforts to bribe them into severing their relationship with the Ilkhanate and remain under Mamluk authority. Al-Nasir surpassed his predecessors in the exorbitant prices he paid for the prime Arabian horses they supplied. 40 A special bureau, established to oversee al-Nasir's building expenditures, reveals the extent of his ambitious plans for

253

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the irrigation system and construction in Cairo. Al-Nasir had all government buildings in the Citadel and most of the hippodromes in Cairo demolished and replaced by new ones. New palaces for some of his amirs, wives and slave-girls were built in Cairo. Al-Nasir's amirs, of whom only a few were mobilised for

expeditions, were granted land in Cairo and its environs and exempted from land and commercial taxes to encourage their involvement in construction and business. The markets, bathhouses, mills and tenement buildings that had been constructed in the western areas of Cairo became the centres of flourishing quarters. 41

Signs of a deep crisis were already noticeable during the last decade of al-Nasir's reign. Royal expenditures exceeded revenues and threatened to spin out of control. State intervention in private enterprise that had been introduced by the nazir al-khass, Karim al-Dm al-Kabir, as early as 710/1310, became routine under Sharaf al-DIn Ibn Fadl Allah, known as al-Nashuw, who was appointed to the post in 731/1331. Under al-Nashuw, compulsory sales and purchases (tarh and rimaya), confiscation from office-holders who were accused of channelling state resources into their pockets, and profits from coin debasement, randomly complemented and often even surpassed the sultan's treasury income. Merchants were compelled to buy from the government commodities such as wood, iron, beans and clover at prices of its own choosing, thus promoting the royal monopoly on commerce. The abolition of tax exemption on trade and production that al-Nasir had granted his amirs and the regulation of non-competitive, fixed prices on grain diminished the magnates' competition with royal enterprises. The magnates who maintained patronage relationships with the financial administration officials, the 'ulamff and the large population brought about the end of al-Nashuw's career by execution in 740/1339. 42

The turbulent reign of the Qalawunids

Al-Nasir Muhammad's descendants ruled from 741/1341 until 784/1382, but on the whole the magnates, who had accumulated great wealth and power during his reign, held the real power. Most of the twelve Qalawunid sultans who reigned during this period were inexperienced and were placed on

the throne directly from the harem. All but one, al-Salih Isma'il who died of illness, were deposed by the amirs and seven were murdered after their deposition. Al-Nasir Hasan (r. 748-52/1347-51; 755-62/1354-61) and al-Ashraf Sha'ban (r. 764-78/1363-77) were the only Qalawunid sultans who succeeded in recruiting Mamluk households of their own, owing to their comparatively long reigns. Yet their mamluk households were smaller than those of the

254

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

dominant amirs' and each of them was murdered when he attempted to rule autonomously. During his second reign, al-Nasir Hasan tried to counterbalance the amirs' power by encouraging the advancement of Mamluks' sons (awlad al-nas) to prominent emirates and province governorships. 43 When the amirs' position was threatened and the entire mamluk system was jeopardised, Hasan was murdered by his favourite Mamluk Yalbugha al-'Umari. Yalbugha acted as vicegerent between 762/1360 and 768/1366 until he himself was murdered by his own recruits. Al-Ashraf Sha'ban carried out a land survey in 777/1376, in which members of the Qalawunid House, the asyad, were allocated rich iqtas in Upper Egypt and the vicinity of Cairo. 44 Al-Ashraf's redistribution of state resources contributed to the general dissatisfaction in the army and led to his murder.

In spite of their attempts, the grand amirs who held power behind the Qalawunids could not seize the sultanate because, in their bid for power, they bribed the low-ranking mamluks with money and privileges to encourage

them to shift their allegiance from one faction to another. However, the extensive mobility of mamluks between the factions left the amirs uncertain regarding the support they had organised for their rule. 45 The incessant factional struggles among the magnates over control of the sultanate and the frequent reshuffling of their short-lived coalitions led them to exploit their senior positions to accumulate wealth rapidly. 46 Mismanagement on their part and general weakness of the government damaged the Mamluk sultanate's economic and military capacity, thereby undoing al-Nasir Muhammad's achievements within a generation, and aggravating the problems he left for his descendants. When the country was simultaneously hit by natural disasters, such as plagues, floods and droughts, recovery was further hindered and the sultanate entered into a prolonged period of general stagnation. Against this background, the positive trade balance which the sultanate had with Europe during this period was of great importance to the Mamluk economy. Formal Venetian trade with the sultanate was restored in 745/1345, and other European cities soon followed suit. The renewal of European commerce with the sultanate was the result of political changes in the Black Sea area, namely the disintegration of the Mongol Ilkhanate and the rise of the Turcoman states (begliks) in Anatolia under Mamluk influence.

In spite of the positive trade balance and al-Nasir Muhammad's improvement of Egypt's agricultural infrastructure, the sultan's revenues fell drastically while the sultanic household expenditures increased significantly. For almost fifteen years after al-Nasir Muhammad's death, his harem maintained an informal say in government when allowances were granted through

The New Cambridge History of Islam

women's and eunuchs' mediation. They also gained access to the sultan's treasury and other private enterprises such as sugar presses and flour mills.

The Mamluk magnates were prepared to allow the Qalawunid sultans to squander a portion of the sultan's revenues on the harem as long as they could

control the rest. 47

Another sector that depleted the country's resources was the Bedouins, whose constant disruptions went unhindered, while the government failed to

impose its authority upon them. Immediately after al-Nasir's death, the rivalry

between al-Fadl and al-Muhanna over the imrat aVarab erupted into open

conflict, thereby rendering highways in Syria unsafe. 4 In 753/1352 the clans of

Muhanna ibn [Isa numbered no, and each held the title of amir and an iqta\ In

the Sharqiyya, an eastern province in the Nile Delta, the Tha'aliba tribes abandoned their responsibility for the barid maintenance and joined the al-'Aa] id Bedouins, the recalcitrant rebels of the region. Feuds over domina-

tion in Upper Egypt between Bedouin tribes, traditionally divided into Qaysis

and Yemenis, increased between 744/1343 and 754/1353. The Yemeni tribes of

'Arak, Juhayna and Bali disrupted communications and harassed government

functionaries in their attempt to gain mashyakha (Bedouin headmanship) in

Upper Egypt. Upper Egypt was under the de facto control of Muhammad ibn

Wasil al-Ahdab, chief of the 'Arak tribe, and the local Mamluk functionaries

became dependent on him for the collection of the kharaj. In 754/1353, when

the grand amirs despatched a large expeditionary force against the Yemeni

tribes, their power was temporarily curbed, but this did not change their growing prominence in Upper Egypt. Al-Ahdab, who extricated himself unharmed from the Mamluk attack, came to terms with the government and received the mashyakha in Upper Egypt. The alliance of the Mamluk

government with the Yemeni tribes immediately led to rebellions of the Qaysi tribes, the former allies of the Mamluks. Consequently, around 760/1360, the 'Aydhab-Qus route was abandoned and Upper Egypt was no longer under firm control of the Mamluks. 49

The bubonic plague, called the Black Death, which raged from 748/1347 to 750/1349, decimated between one quarter to one third of the Egyptian and Syrian populations, as it had in Europe. 50 Sixteen outbreaks of major epidemics that occurred in Egypt, and fifteen in Syria, from the 760s/ 1360s until the end of Mamluk rule, prevented any demographic and economic recovery. It was at the height of the Black Death and the economic crisis that the Mamluk magnates decided to call off all rivalries and set up a ruling body intended to represent a consensus amongst them. The new majlis al-mashura (consultative council) consisted of nine amirs led by a tenth who held the office of ra's nawba

256

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

(head of guards). Although mutual suspicions remained among the magnates, the majlis al-mashura set precedents for the restoration of a strong sultanate. The majlis kept the Qalawunid sultan in check: he was allowed a grant for his daily expenditures, all of the slave -girls were expelled from the Citadel and salaries of most of the officials were cut by a minimum of one half or two-thirds. When, in 754/1353, the economic crisis deepened, the amirs were forced

to relinquish the state's management to only one of them. As no one other than Amir Shaykhu, who held then the atabakiyya (command in chief of the Mamluk army) dared to assume the responsibility, the majlis members agreed to obey his decisions unconditionally. In order to bolster his special position, Shaykhu was given the title of al-amir al-kabir (the great amir). It was only then that Shaykhu was able to take the large action described above, against the sultan's harem and the Bedouin tribes in Upper Egypt. During the following year, 755/1354, attacks against the Copts erupted throughout Egypt. Under pressure from the ulama' and the masses, employment of Coptic clerks was forbidden, but more significant was that 25,000 faddans (a land measure of approximately one acre) of waqf lands belonging to the Egyptian Churches were confiscated by the government (these drastic actions drove the Copts to mass conversion, thereby representing a significant stage in the ongoing process of conversion to Islam in Egypt). In 779/1377, Aynabak, who at this point held the post of atabak al-Vasakir and al-amir al-kabir, decided to make his official residence in the Citadel, the government seat, thus signalling the al-amir al-kabir's position in power. It was while serving as al-amir al-kabir and atabak al-Vasakir that Barquq gained independence from factional coalitions and built wide support for his rule. When he lodged his recruits in the Citadel and was the first al-amir al-kabir to mint coins bearing his emblem (rank), as sultans customarily did upon their ascent to power, in effect the House of Qalawun came to an end.

The Circassian era of the sultanate

Al-Zahir Barquq, the formation of the Circassian state

Barquq's seizure of power in 784/1382 symbolises the restoration of the non-dynastic Mamluk sultanate, and the move from a Turkish to a Circassian sultanate. Mamluks of Circassian (Abkhaz) origin formed the majority in the army and the sultans were drawn from their numbers (except for two who were Albanian or Greek). Contemporary Mamluk sources attribute to Barquq a deliberate policy of bringing about a change in the army's ethnic

257

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

composition by purchasing Circassian mamluks in great numbers and advancing them in preference to the Turks. The new Circassian regime was criticised by contemporaries, above all by the moralist historian al-Maqrizi, who held them responsible for the breakdown of the traditional Islamic order, misrule and mismanagement. Despite the importance that contemporaries attributed to Barquq's policies and ethnic animosities to explain the political and social changes in the sultanate, neither the actions of a sole actor nor an ethnic factor could generate such developments. They were rather the result of ongoing processes that took place in the sultanate.

The introduction of Circassians into the Mamluk army began as early as Qalawun's reign, with the establishment of the Burjiyya corps. After Qalawun's death, they were active partners in the Mamluk coalitions that twice installed al-Nasir Muhammad in the sultanate, and Baybars al-Jashinkir in 708/ 1308. 51

With his death, al-Nasir Muhammad left a large Mamluk household that

had been systematically manned with Mongol and Turkish recruits. During al-Muzaffar Hajji's rule (747-8/1346-7), the Circassians regained their dominance but were purged from the army by the Mongols and Turks who installed al-Nasir Hasan on the throne. The Circassians re-emerged in the 750s/1350s, when they formed the majority in the household of Yalbugha al-'Umari, who was not a Circassian himself. The Yalbughawīyya included over 1,800 recruits (julban) and it was from their ranks that Barquq rose to power and deposed the Qalawunid house, which under these circumstances became the symbol of the diminishing Turkish hegemony over the sultanate.

In contrast with the increasing influx of the Circassians into Egypt, the number of Turks steadily declined from the late 740s /1340s. The Middle East, like Europe, suffered a drastic depopulation during the Black Death. Its effects on the Mamluk elite were far more severe than those suffered by the local population that was more immune to diseases.⁵² The population of the Golden Horde, the Turkish mamluks' homeland, dwindled in the second half of the eighth/fourteenth century, inter alia in the wake of the Black Death. Succession struggles in the Golden Horde among the Jochids' descendants of Chinggis Khan since the middle of the eighth/ fourteenth century and struggles for domination between the Jochids and the Timurids at the end of the century further depleted the Golden Horde's resources and population. Mamluk sources reveal that during the early ninth/fifteenth century the Golden Horde became a wilderness and that export of Turkish mamluks was forbidden. Circassians, by contrast, continued to reach Egypt without difficulty both as free men and as Mamluks.

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

Barquq's rise to power was the symptom of another process being undergone by the Mamluk sultanate, namely the growth of the low-ranking mamluks' power. Breaches of discipline and public protests, especially of the

ruling sultan's new Mamluk recruits, appeared, although sporadically, during al-Nasir Muhammad's reign, when they became used to material plenty.

53

During the forty years of rule of his Qalawunid descendants, the Mamluks

already possessed the power to lodge their own claims for a share in political

decision-making together with the amirs. Barquq's meteoric rise from the service of the asyad, the ruling sultan's relatives, to the highest rank of muqaddam alf (commander of the one -thousand horsemen in the battlefield)

and active partner in the bipartite rule behind al-Mansur 'All, within a mere

four months (Muharram-RabT II 779 /May-August 1377), is a reflection of the

power accumulated by the mamluks and the subsequent political chaos.

54

Barquq was among the Yalbughawiiyya mamluks who were imprisoned after the assassination of their master, Yalbugha al-'Umari, in 767/1365.

In

778/1377 he was in the service of Amir Qaratay, who together with Aynabak

was involved in the rebellion against al-Ashraf Sha'ban and his assassination.

This rebellion was initiated by low-ranking mamluks, and both Qaratay and

Aynabak themselves had been until recently low-ranking mamluks. In Safar

779/June 1377, Barquq and an Ashrafi mamluk, Baraka (Berke), were granted

an emirate of forty for their part in murdering Qaratay. About two months

later, Barquq and Baraka conspired against Aynabak and played an active role

behind the scenes in the rule of al-Mansur 'All. In 783/1381, Barquq managed to

rid himself of Baraka and monopolised power as al-amir al-kablr and atabak

aVasakir, and in 784/1382 seized the sultanate.

In order to build a broad support for his rule, Barquq carefully promoted the mamluks from the rival Ashrafiyya faction (Baraka's followers) and his own peers from the Yalbughawiiyya, while putting the advancement of his own mamluks in abeyance. The sources show that Barquq, unlike his predecessors, revived the practice of the first sultans of graduating only one intake of mamluks at a time after a long training period. Barquq's conciliatory policy, however, left his rivals with the power to pose a credible threat to his rule, which indeed materialised in 791/1389, when Timurtash al-Ashrafi, called Mintash, and Yalbugha al-Nasiri, the governors of Tripoli and Aleppo respectively, rebelled. In spite of the force of some 2,000 mamluks he owned (he purchased about 5,000 mamluks during his reign), Barquq was ousted and the Qalawunid al-Salih Hajji (now with the new regnal title of al-Mansur) was reinstated in the sultanate. During this rebellion, Barquq's previous painstaking efforts to restrict the julban's power were hampered. When many of his

259

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

veteran supporters deserted him to join the rebels, Barquq had no choice but to man the vacant posts with new recruits. Furthermore, upon his return to power (792/1389), after about eight months of confinement in Karak, he quickly rid himself of the majority of his veteran opponents, replacing them with his own mamluks. In order to secure the purchase and maintenance of his julban, in 797/1395 Barquq established an office, diwan al-mufrad ('Special Bureau', the bureau established especially for payment of the sultan's

matnluks), based on the lands previously held by the Qalawunids. The formation of this office was yet another step in the enhancement of the julban's power, for it formalised their status. As will be shown, new political and military measures would be necessary to curb their power.

Concomitant with the improvement in the julban status was the growing involvement of the common people, al J amma, in Mamluk inter-factional struggles for rule. As early as 741/1341, during the power struggle that erupted immediately after al-Nasir Muhammad's death, the commoners were called by rival amirs to fight alongside the mamluks in their street scuffles. 55 With the increasing shifting of the low-ranking Mamluks among the factions, the amirs sought the commoners' support to keep the power balance. Barquq cultivated good relations with the l amma to gain their support. In 781/1379, Barquq prevented his ruling partner, Baraka, from forcing the rabble into corvee or meting out punishment on criminals and inciters among them. In the same year, the 'amma stood firmly behind Barquq when Inal al-Yusufi, one of the grandees, rebelled, and they did so again in 782/1380, during Barquq's struggle against Baraka, which left him as the sole power behind al-Salih Hajji. A turbulent marginal group within the L amma were the harafish, living on the fringes of the urban lumpen proletariat. They were miserably poor, vulgar Sufi beggars (ju'aydiyya) and manual labourers of works that the decent members of the community avoided for religious and social reasons. The early Mamluk sultans distributed alms for their relief, while during al-Nasir Muhammad's reign they were occasionally rounded up for forced labour such as digging canals. During Barquq's reign, the harafish gained recognition, and during the ninth/fifteenth century, through Mamluk patronage, they gradually became organised into groups in Sufi orders, headed by shaykhs responsible for maintaining discipline and distribution of alms among them. 5

As Sufism became the main vehicle for the integration of the various groups of the population under Mamluk patronage, the traditional positions of the professional [^]ulama' and faqihs, especially the Shafi'is, as the leading upper class and agents of orthodox Islam, were eroded. High positions were no longer reserved exclusively for them and persons from the lower classes could

260

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamhiks in Egypt and Syria

now rise to prominent positions through patronage relations and the practice of payment for nomination. The position of the shar'l qadis was impinged upon when the jurisdiction of the hajibs (chamberlains, or doorkeepers), who acted as delegates of the sultan, was extended to deal with matters beyond the military elite and the diwans, to matters traditionally dealt with according to the sharVa? 7 In Barquq's time, the hajibs' number was increased from three to five, and at one point during the ninth/fifteenth century reached eighty-six. By the middle of the ninth/fifteenth century, senior amirs such as the dawadar acquired judicial knowledge and acted as judges. The platforms stationed at the gates of the grand amirs' houses 'sold' justice to the larger population. The influential among the julban employed military police to maintain order in their courts and enforce their decisions. Al-Ashraf Qansuh al-Ghawri (906-22/1501-16) tried on two occasions to centralise the judicial system and ban all courts but the shar% but was soon compelled to yield to the amirs' pressure

and leave these courts active.

For some contemporary chroniclers, Barquq's reign also marked the beginning of the sultanate's economic decline and signalled the move to copper currency as its salient characteristic. Indeed, in 783/1381 Barquq ordered the minting of a copper coin but it was rejected by the market and it was only during Faraj's reign that the Mamluk monetary system moved to copper in all business dealings. Scholars studying the Middle Eastern monetary system have identified changes in the global trading pattern and their effects on the bullion supply as the main reason for the crisis. Silver, and to a lesser extent other precious metals, reached the Levant in both bullion and currency from western Europe in exchange for manufactured goods, raw materials and Indian luxury commodities. Gold reached Egypt from the Sudan and then was siphoned off to India and the Black Sea area in exchange for luxury goods and white slaves. During the second half of the eighth/ fourteenth century, this trade balance was changed. The supply of gold from the Sudan diminished because most of it reached Europe through Morocco and Tunisia. At the same time, silver disappeared from the market because of mining problems in Europe. In order to overcome the bullion shortage, the Mamluk sultans tried to implement reforms in copper currency and fix a single exchange rate to reduce uncontrolled inflation, but market trends proved stronger and eventually went beyond their control. Only in 886/1486, during Qaytbay's reign (872-901/ 1468-96), did the crisis come to an end when silver, copper and other metals returned to the market as a result of renewed mining in Europe. 58

Bullion flow and long-distance trading patterns, significant as they were, do not explain the decline in the domestic economies that were, in fact, the main

The New Cambridge History of Islam

source of government income. Land revenues in Egypt dropped dramatically during the Circassian period. In 715/1315, during al-Nasir Muhammad's land survey, land revenues reached 9,428,289 dinar jayshi (a monetary unit of account), rising to 9,584,284 in 777/1376, while during the Ottoman conquest they totalled only 1,800,000 dinars. Bedouinisation of rural areas in Lower and Upper Egypt might be one of the reasons behind this reduction in the area of cultivated land. 59 As mentioned earlier, Mamluk authority in Upper Egypt was tenuous because of the Qaysi and Yemeni Bedouin strife over hegemony in the region. ° Of the 2.4,000 faddans that had been cultivated at Luxor according to al-Ashraf Sha'ban's survey in 777/1376, only 1,000 were cultivated in 786/1384. In order to keep the Arab tribes in check, Barquq moved a fraction of the Berber Hawwara tribe from the Delta to Girga in Middle Egypt. This move gave the Mamluk government a respite during which order was restored in Upper Egypt, until the Hawwara consolidated their power and again jeopardised Mamluk control in the area. The Mamluk government's decentralised system made it difficult to contain the Bedouins. Lower Egypt was divided into eight provinces (excluding Alexandria) and Upper Egypt into seven, each headed by a Mamluk amir of forty or ten, according to province size. When Barquq was acting as al-aniir al-kabir and atabak aVasakir, he had already tried to restore order by uniting the Egyptian provinces under three constituencies, each under the control of an amir of one hundred. Alexandria, Damanhur and Asyut were the centres of their niyabat (governorships). However, this system was quickly abandoned during the civil war that

broke out after his death.

Plague depopulation in Egypt was another factor affecting the destruction of agriculture. The lack of inheritance of the iqta¹ which resulted in its frequent transfer between different muqta^ls, the scattering of iqta's in various locations, and the filtering role played by the office-holders in the fiscal administration, all barred the peasants' demands for a better distribution of wealth between the landlords and themselves. ² Another reason for the suffering of the peasantry in Egypt was the neglect of the irrigation system as a result of the practice of purchasing appointments that was formalised in 745/1344. Prefects in Egypt did their utmost to recoup the money they had paid for the post, with interest. The dams' maintenance was thrust upon the villagers in the form of corvee, but since the government failed to provide instructions and the necessary technical facilities, the irrigation system collapsed. The sultans' efforts to repair it during the second half of the ninth/fifteenth century met with the opposition of the fief-holders, who claimed the responsibility for its maintenance and levied taxes for this purpose, but in practice they forced the

262

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

responsibility onto the farmers. ⁶³ The impoverished farmers deserted their villages for the city, only to swell the numbers of penniless unemployed there. ⁶⁴ Their influx into the cities kept urban population constant. The steady migration of refugees from the eastern parts of the Muslim world after the

Mongol invasions of the seventh/thirteenth and late eighth/fourteenth centuries also enhanced the cities' populations and created a heavy burden on the state's resources.

There was no real change in the Mamluk sultanate's foreign policy during Barquq's reign. In Anatolia, the Turcoman states (begliks) continued to manoeuvre between Mamluk suzerainty and autonomous rule in order to secure their existence, while the Mamluks would not let them slip from their sphere of influence. In 789/1387, Timur Leng's conquests in the east had already included Mesopotamia. Barquq reacted with preparations against the Mongol invaders and with a request to form a common front with the Ottomans and the Golden Horde. In 796/1394 Barquq offered asylum to the Jalayirid ruler of Iraq, who fled before Timur, and led an army to al-Bira on the Euphrates to confront Timur. Timur, however, withdrew, and Barquq died before Timur resumed his plans to invade Syria.

After Barquq's death in 801/1399, the sultanate was plunged into twelve years of civil war over power during which time Barquq's achievements in restoring order in the sultanate collapsed. Mamluk authority in Upper Egypt was nonexistent during the civil war. The Ottomans seized the opportunity to invade the sultanate's territory in south-eastern Anatolia, while in 803/1400 Timur Leng invaded Syria. Al-Nasir Faraj, Barquq's eleven-year-old son, set out for Syria to expel the Timurid forces, but a rebellion fomented by a rival faction compelled the prominent amirs in the force to return to Egypt with Faraj, leaving Damascus to Timur's depredations. In 803/1400, Timur left Syria and turned to Anatolia where he defeated the Ottoman sultan, Bayezid I, in Ankara (805/1402).

Faraj's rule (801-8 /1399-1405; 808-15/ 1405-12) was prolonged because of the deep schisms inside the rival Mamluk factions. Many contenders for rule were eliminated in the long inter-factional struggles which were centred in Syria. Faraj survived six campaigns against rebellious amirs of different fac-

tions. Only in 812/1410 did Shaykh al-Mahmudi, the future sultan al-Mu'ayyad, and his rival Nawruz al-Hafizi, the governor of Damascus, become allies and together they defeated and later executed Faraj (815/1412). In order to win broad support for their bipartite rule, they divided the realm. The sultanate was nominally given to the caliph al-Musta'm but Nawruz retained Syria, and Shaykh, acting as atabak al-Vasakir, acquired Egypt. After about seven months,

263

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

this settlement was broken by Shaykh, who deposed al-Musta'm from both the sultanate and the caliphate and seized the sultanate.

Al-Mu'ayyad Shaykh, the establishment of a new factional order

Al-Mu'ayyad's rise to power in 815/1412 confirmed the Mamluks' rejection of dynastic succession. Henceforth, sultans handed down the sultanate to their descendants by will, but with the knowledge that after a very brief interregnum the young prince would be deposed. His replacement by one of the veteran amirs was generally smooth and without bloodshed. The only attempt made by a sultan's son to establish an effective rule, when the rejection of the dynastic principle had long since become tradition, was that of al-Nasir Muhammad, Qaytbay's son (901-4/ 1495-8). Like al-Nasir Faraj, he was brutally killed by his father's mamluks.

Al-Mu'ayyad had to cope with the political disorder inside the sultanate and to rehabilitate its position in the international state order. Al-Mu'ayyad led his first expedition (817/1414) to Syria to quell the rebellion of the governors headed by his former ally and rival, Nawruz al-Hafizi, who did not recognise the caliph's deposition and al-Mu'ayyad's usurpation of the sultanate. In the following year, a second campaign was led to suppress the revolt of the Syrian governors that al-Mu'ayyad himself had appointed. After Syria, al-Mu'ayyad directed his efforts to the restoration of Mamluk authority in eastern Anatolia, where a new political structure had emerged in the wake of the Timurid invasion. Although Timur's invasion contained the Ottoman advance into eastern Anatolia, it brought the Timurid clients, the Turcoman Aq Qoyunlu (White Sheep) to Diyar Bakr, and the Qara Qoyunlu (Black Sheep) to Mesopotamia, in close proximity with the Mamluk sultanate. The threat of a new Timurid invasion pushed the Ottomans to substitute their emerging conflict with the Mamluks over Anatolia with interference in the politics of the Turcoman principalities to bring them under their protection, while the Mamluks stuck to the pre-Timurid state order in Anatolia. Trapped between the two great powers, the Qaramanid and Dhu al-Qadirid begliks manoeuvred to obtain gains from the situation. Thus, Mehmed Beg of Dhu al-Qadir took advantage of the anarchy that broke out in the sultanate after Barquq's death, and placed his principality under Ottoman protectorate. Upon his accession, al-Mu'ayyad restored the Dhu al-Qadirid allegiance to the Mamluks, while the Ottoman sultan Mehmed I conquered Konya and reinstated the Qaramanid ruler Mehmed Beg as his client. Qaraman's formal annexation was avoided in order to satisfy Timur's son, Shah Rukh (807-50/1405-75), and the Mamluks,

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

who insisted on preserving their outer buffer zone untouched. In 822/1419, al-Mu'ayyad invaded the Qaramanid territories; Kayseri surrendered and Mamluk suzerainty was recognised again. The Mamluks proceeded to Konya, Nijde and Laranda, but then it was decided to retreat to Aleppo. This pragmatic decision, much like Baybars' in 675/1277, indicates that the Mamluks recognised their power limits and remained careful not to risk their forces in expeditions far from their Syrian bases.

Domestically, the sultanate was in poor condition; the state institutions in the provinces did not function, the currency was unstable, epidemics of plague, which reoccurred in 818-19 /1415-16 and in 824/1420, caused grain riots. 5 In 820/1417 the ustadar (majordomo) Fakhr al-Dmibn Abi '1-Faraj, who was responsible for diwan al-mufrad, personally went with his clients and halqa soldiers to levy the revenues in Lower Egypt, and led a punitive expedition to Upper Egypt against the unruly Bedouin tribes. The population was compelled to purchase the booty at prices of the government's choice. Confiscation of the property of office-holders, merchants and other sectors was frequently implemented to replenish the treasury.

Al-Mu'ayyad's ambition to reform the army, much as Barquq's, did not materialise. His attempts to balance the Circassian element in the army by importing Turkish slaves succeeded only to a very limited degree. The ranks of the amirs were filled with Turks, mainly with Barquq's veteran mamluks, and the majority of the army remained Circassian. The enhancement of the julban's political position, which had eroded the amirs' authority under the

Qalawunids, was checked from al-Mu'ayyad's reign onward, by a separation that was maintained between the amirs' level and the sultan's new recruits. The Circassian sultans mainly filled the amirs' ranks with veteran mamluks who had not grown up in their own households and it was from among them that the new sultan also came to power. This might explain the ninth/fifteenth-century phenomenon of mamluks reaching a ripe old age while still holding senior positions. The julban, on the other hand, were hardly promoted to emirates even after a long service under their master, the ruling sultan, and therefore they lacked experienced leadership to lead them to hold on to power upon his death. In 824/1421, upon al-Mu'ayyad's death, his young and inexperienced julban were expelled from the Citadel and left to their own devices in Cairo. The julban of al-Ashraf Barsbay (r. 825-42/1422-38), al-Zahir Jaqmaq (r. 842-57/1438-53), al-Ashraf Inal (r. 857-65/1453-60) and al-Zahir Khushqadam (r. 865-72/ 1461-7) were all expelled from the Citadel without resistance because they displayed no political sophistication in their negotiations with the veteran mamluks. After their expulsion, most of the julban were

265

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

absorbed into the amirs' households, where they acquired their military and political experience, and achieved seniority in the Mamluk system. It was during their service in the amirs' households that personal relationships between the julban and other veteran mamluks were formed in addition to those acquired during their training period in the Citadel barracks. These

relationships were later important in the make-up of the amirs' stratum of the Circassian regime. Furthermore, these relationship networks also integrated the amirs' households into the factional fabric of the coalitions that supported the government.

The departure of the Circassian Mamluks from the early pattern of Mamluk factionalism, whereby the rise of new recruits triggered the fall of their predecessors, created co-operation between old and new generations of Mamluks in politics. Consequently, fragments of factions, yesterday's allies and rivals, and individuals from the amirs' households, could unite in coalitions (*hizb*, pl. *ahzab*) through connections that crossed the old factional boundaries. This pluralistic make-up of the Circassian political coalitions for rule called for bilateralism as a unifying force. Typically of bilateral political systems, symbols were utilised to create factional cohesion, the sultan's sobriquet being one of them. The precedent of using the sobriquet of the faction's founder as a unifying symbol was set with Tatar's rise to power in 824/1421, when Barquq's Zahiriyya split into sub-factions, with each cohering around a contender for rule. Tatar took the sobriquet 'al-Zahir', after his master al-Zahir Barquq, to enhance his legitimacy for rule. In 841/1438 al-Ashraf Barsbay's mamluks adopted his *laqab* as their factional symbol. All coalitions for power established subsequently were divided into two factions, the Zahiriyya and the Ashrafiyya, each claiming old patron-client ties going back to two ancestors, al-Zahir Barquq and al-Ashraf Barsbay, respectively. This system of bilateral factionalism might well be the reason for the relative peaceful nature of Mamluk politics during the Circassian period. The sultans were chosen in negotiations, whereby the opposition faction was not deprived of a relative share in government and state resources. For example, in 865/1461, negotiations between the Ashrafiyya and the Zahiriyya resulted in an agreement on the rise to power of Khushqadam, the Zahiriyya candidate,

and the reinstatement of Janim al-Ashrafi, the Ashrafiyya candidate, to his post as naHb of Damascus.

Al-Ashraf Barsbay: state monopoly on trade and industry

Barsbay, who was one of the stalwarts of Tatar's short regime and the guardian of his minor son, ascended the sultanate in 825/1422. 6y Barsbay's reign

266

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamhiks in Egypt and Syria

marked the institutionalisation of the state monopoly on local industry and the lucrative transit trade. State monopoly on trade was made possible when the ancient transcontinental trade routes from China through Iran were diverted to the Red Sea as a result of the new political circumstances in Asia in the wake of Timur Leng's invasion. In 832/1428, a monopoly on spices was imposed in Alexandria, when Barsbay compelled European merchants to buy spices from his agents at a fixed quantity and a fixed price. Domestically, a state monopoly was placed on textiles, sugar and other commodities. The long-term results of this policy were disastrous to Mamluk commerce. The Karimi merchants, who since the sixth/twelfth century had been involved in long-distance trade and enjoyed state protection, were restricted and eventually disappeared when traders became state officials acting as the sultan's commissioned agents. The increase in the sultan's revenues from trade was temporary, as the demand for spices soon fell because of the monopoly's harsh fiscal policies.

Consequently, the Mamluk rulers resorted to the old practices of the sale of offices, confiscation of fortunes and compulsory purchase, which were effective in leading the treasury to immediate recovery.

To protect the lucrative Mamluk trade, Barsbay took action in the Mediterranean against the Catalan and Genoese pirates. He conducted three campaigns against Cyprus for its part as a Frankish haven for the pirates. In 829 / 1426, the island was conquered and its king was reduced to a vassal paying an annual tribute. Barsbay adamantly opposed Shah Rukh's repeated attempts to provide the *kiswa*, the Ka'aba cover, for fear that the Timurid ruler of Iran might gain a formal foothold in the Hijaz, in close proximity with Mamluk commercial interests.

Encroachment upon the Mamluk sphere of influence in eastern Anatolia came from the Turcomans of the Aq Qoyunlu. In response, Edessa was ravaged in 833/1429, and in 836/1432 Barsbay personally led another expedition to Amid, the Aq Qoyunlu capital, but failed to conquer the city. Beyond Barsbay's achievement of the Aq Qoyunlu recognition in Mamluk sovereignty, these expeditions marked the beginning of the Mamluk military decline.

From al-Zahir Jaqmaq to al-Ashraf Qaytbay: social conservatism and economic stagnation

Barsbay died in the plague of 841/1438. Jaqmaq, Barsbay's old friend from their training period and guardian of his son, al-'Aziz Yusuf, ascended the sultanate. Jaqmaq's piety, most probably coupled with his mature age, determined his conservative and orthodox rule, as was to be the case with the three Mamluk

The New Cambridge History of Islam

sultans that followed him, Inal, Khushqadam and Qaytbay. As their main goal was to preserve the status quo in the state socio-political order, all these sultans were adherents of Sunni orthodoxy (according to the Mamluks' interpretation) and classical Mamluk tradition. Qaytbay was much admired by both the civilian and the Mamluk elites as a benevolent and just ruler, and a true adherent to tradition. 69

Adherence to Mamluk classical tradition was demonstrated by recognition of the veteran mamluks' seniority, which eventually led to the bilateral factional system discussed earlier. This system called for a wider network of patronage relationships and the necessity for securing formal and informal income to maintain them. It is in this context that the ninth/ fifteenth-century phenomenon of transferring state lands, iqta's, to private hands and turning them into waqfs should be perceived. From Barsbay's reign onward, the sultans were the greatest beneficiaries of these transactions and it was with the covert incomes from waqfs that they covered extra expenses and fostered their patronage relations with the amirs and the julban. Barsbay was the first to use a large-scale sale of state land to augment his private income. Jaqmaq secured his long rule of almost fifteen years by his prodigal awards to those around him. During his rule not only were iqta 1 land sales increased, 70 but waqf lands were also transferred to the sultan's treasury. 71 On his death in 857/1453, he left behind empty coffers. Inal was about seventy-two years old when the sultanate was imposed on him by the Ashrafiyya amirs who manipulated him. During his reign, the sales of iqta 1 lands were tripled, while most of them went to the amirs. During the four-month reign of Ahmad, InaTs son,

negotiations between the Ashrafiyya and Zahiriyya resulted in an agreement regarding the elevation of the Zahirī candidate, Khushqadam. Upon his ascension, veteran Ashrafiyya amirs were granted posts and larger iqta's, while the whole army was bribed to support his rule by iqta's and grants. The wholesale disposal of the iqta's taken from InaTs julban was hastily implemented in order to satisfy the mamluks. When these were not enough, the waqfs of Inal and his supporters were given out. Qaytbay's waqfs, which were very likely originally iqta lands too, were manipulated to increase unlisted income in his personal treasury, from which he met his mamluks' wage demands. 72.

The growing frustration among the julban over the sparse representation in the amirs' ranks and the lengthy period they had to wait until they could change their position in the power structure led them frequently to vent their frustrations in unruly actions. Jaqmaq was too feeble to suppress his julban's disorder. During InaTs reign, the julban's disrespect towards the sultan, the

268

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

amirs and the officials escalated. In 859/1455 they looted the amirs' granaries, dismounted the jurists and other officials, took their horses and mules and seized commodities from shops in the market. Ibn Iyas mentions that their crimes did not stop until 865/1460 when many of them died in the plague, to his and the population's relief. 73 From the outbreak of the war against the Ottomans in 886/1481, riots and outrages by julban, joined by veteran mamluks, became common scenes in Cairo. In 891/1486, when Qaytbay

could not meet the mamluks' demands for increased wages, confiscations of property of office-holders, craftsmen and merchants were implemented as emergency measures with 'ulama' support. In 894/1489 and again in 896/1490, Qaytbay threatened to abdicate and retire to Mecca in order to obtain the agreement of the chief qadis, the 'ulama' and the amirs to extort extra money from the producing sectors in order to meet the mamluks' demands.

The weak government invited Bedouin unrest. During Khushqadam's reign, five expeditions were sent to the province of al-Buhayra to suppress the Labid tribe. In 890/1485, when the Mamluks had their hands full fighting against the Ottomans, the Hawwara, the Berber tribe that Barquq had moved from the Delta, sacked the Fayyum and acted as the true rulers of Upper Egypt. The Bedouins in Syria became unmanageable, wreaked havoc and rendered communication between Egypt and Syria impossible. The government was too weak to impose real Mamluk authority and the Mamluk punitive expeditions were designed to play the Bedouins against each other in order to preserve Mamluk order.

After Barsbay's reign, it became increasingly difficult to protect Mamluk trade from Frankish piracy based in Rhodes, while the Mamluk protectorate on Cyprus was tenuous. In 844/1440 and 847/1443, Jaqmaq sent expeditions to attack Rhodes via Cyprus which failed and compelled the Mamluks to conclude a peace treaty with the Knights of St John. Mamluk hegemony in Cyprus was threatened after the death of John II (862/1456), when his son James, who was the Mamluks' protege, was defeated by his sister Charlotte who ascended the throne. The Mamluks easily took Nicosia but retreated when the siege on Cerines was prolonged, leaving James with a contingent that was too small to tip the balance in his favour. In 863/1459 the Franks had attacked the sultan's coastal cities and caused destruction. In reaction, a Mamluk contingent

was sent in 865/1461 to install James on the Cypriot throne under Mamluk suzerainty. The small Mamluk contingent that was stationed in Cyprus, however, encouraged James to clash openly with the sultan's representative in Famagusta in 868/1464. The government's hesitation to send reinforcements from Cairo led to the Mamluks' defeat and the transfer of the city into

269

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

James's possession. The Mamluks' efforts were diverted from Cyprus when, in the same year, conflicts with the Ottomans over the hegemony in Anatolia broke out.

Disregard of the new state order that emerged in South-West Asia in the wake of Timur's invasion and the rise of the Ottomans made the Circassian sultans cling to the buffer-zone strategy in eastern Anatolia that had been established about a century earlier. As long as the Ottomans were occupied with their conquests in Europe, the Mamluks could preserve the pre-Timurid state order at a reasonable price. It became more difficult after 868 / 1464, when Mehmed the Conqueror resumed Ottoman expansionist ambitions towards Anatolia. In the same year, Arslan, the Dhu al-Qadirid ruler, who sought Ottoman protection, used the death of Ibrahim Beg of Qaraman and the ensuing internal disputes to restore Kayseri, which had been lost to the Qaramanids in 839/1435. The Qaramanid ruler, Ishaq, responded by encouraging the Aq Qoyunlu Turcoman chief, Uzun Hasan, to expel the Dhu al-Qadirids. After the occupation of the Qaramanid principality, Uzun Hasan held effective control over the Qaramanid Beg he installed on the throne,

while Mamluk suzerainty remained formally untouched. This arrangement paved the way for a Mamluk-Aq Qoyunlu alliance against the Ottomans. However, Hasan's conquest of Elbistan, the Dhu al-Qadirid capital, in 869/1465, aroused Khushqadam's mistrust and prevented co-operation. Consequently, Khushqadam turned to the Ottomans and offered them an alliance, a request that was not welcomed. Instead, the Ottomans chose to regain Kayseri and drive Hasan's vassal out. In 874/1470, Qaraman was formally annexed by the Ottomans. The struggle over the Dhu al-Qadir principality followed when, in 870/1466, Arslan was murdered, probably at Khushqadam's instigation. The two contenders for rule who were supported by Khushqadam failed to consolidate their positions in power, while Shah Suwar, a third candidate who allied with the Ottomans, was assisted in his rise to power by their troops. Khushqadam's attempts to restore control over the Dhu al-Qadirid beglik were rejected by Mehmed II. 74 When Khushqadam died in 872/1467, news arrived that the Mamluk army in Syria had been defeated by Shah Suwar while defending Aleppo. This defeat primarily stemmed from the amirs refusal to leave Cairo, for struggles over the sultanate were anticipated when Khushqadam became gravely ill. After Qaytbay's ascent to the sultanate, subsequent campaigns were conducted between 872/1468 and 876/1472 until Shah Suwar was captured and brought to Cairo, where he was executed. However, this Mamluk achievement was temporary, since the defeat of Uzun Hasan, the Aq Qoyunlu chief, in 878/1473 in Bashkent (today's Bashkoy) by

the Ottomans and his subsequent death paved the way for the installation of a new pro-Ottoman ruler in Dhu al-Qadirid Elbistan. The large number of casualties, excessive expenditures and prolonged military efforts that the superior Mamluk army had to invest in subduing the local Turcoman army of Shah Suwar inflicted a blow on the prestige of the Mamluk sultanate as a great power. 75

The Mamluk-Ottoman conflict over Anatolia was accelerated when in 886/1481 Qaytbay gave asylum to Jem (Cem), Bayezid II's (r. 886-918/1481-1512) brother and rival for the throne. While previously the Ottomans only provided support to their Dhu al-Qadirid clients, from 889/1484 they joined them in their fight against the Mamluks. Qaytbay skilfully led sixteen campaigns between the first Ottoman invasion of Cilicia, the gateway to Syria, in 890/1485, and their defeat in 894/1489 at the battle of Agha Cayiri. He forced the mighty Ottomans to come to terms with the Mamluks in the peace treaty of 896 / 1491 and respect pre-war Mamluk hegemony in eastern Anatolia. The Ottomans ceded the territories they had taken beyond the Taurus range, while the Mamluks were obligated to turn them into waqfs, dedicated to the two Holy Cities. The treaty saved the Mamluks' prestige and granted them renewed, free access to the Black Sea slave markets and the metal mines of silver, copper and iron, and the salt mines, including saltpetre, a major ingredient of gunpowder. Nevertheless, this treaty exposed again the Mamluk anachronistic status quo strategy built around mutual recognition of spheres of influence, and the preference for negotiations for resolving conflicts within the Muslim world, which prevented the Mamluk sultans from recognising the technological and economic stagnation in the sultanate and the necessity for introducing reforms into their armies.

Al-Ashraf Qansuh al-Ghawri: a late attempt at reforms
The growing frustration among the Mamluk factions over the extensive period of Qaytbay's rule, accompanied by the ensuing lack of opportunities to change their position in the political power structure, erupted into intensive

factional struggles that brought four sultans to the throne in the interregnum between his death (901/1496) and the appointment of his eventual, more than sixty-year-old successor, al-Ashraf Qansuh al-Ghawri (906/1501). 76 Uncompromising methods of exiling and eliminating potential rivals were used to secure al-Ghawri's supreme power. Three individuals were his confidants and the mainstays of his regime. The first was Tumanbay, his nephew, who served as dawddar. The second was Sari '1-Din ibn al-Shihna, a Syrian juristconsult, who held the Hanafi qadiship for more than a decade, during

271

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

which he provided his patron with the legal techniques for manipulating the waqfs to create a concealed reserve from the unlisted income for his exclusive use. The third of al-Ghawri's confidants was al-Zayrii Barakat ibn Musa, who rose from obscure origins to serve as bailiff. Under him, confiscation was extended from the wealthy civilian sector, to the Mamluk elite who had previously been untouchable and the general populace who had so far escaped persecution due to their poverty. 77

The ongoing deterioration for over fifteen decades in the producing sectors of the sultanate peaked into economic stagnation. As was mentioned earlier, Egypt's agricultural potential was no longer fully exploited. Craftsmen and merchants concealed their resources instead of improving their production and output. Egypt's industry remained traditional, with only minor changes in

production techniques, oriented towards limited-scale production of sophisticated luxury goods, whereas in Europe new technologies and mechanisation resulted in wide production of plain and low-priced goods for domestic and foreign markets. 78 Products for which Europe once had been dependent on Middle Eastern expertise, such as silk, cotton and sugar, were now widely produced in Europe and then sold in Middle Eastern markets at lower prices. The decline of production in Egypt was also the result of the gradual transfer of industry from private to government hands which suppressed the motivation of the producing sectors to improve quality and production methods. 79

The maritime revolution in Europe had transformed the global trade order. In 905/1498, Vasco da Gama appeared in the Indian Ocean, in 907/1500 an Egyptian fleet was attacked off Diu, the north-western Indian harbour, and in 909/1503 a Portuguese squadron sailed close to the Red Sea gateway, while European piracy continued to threaten Mamluk commerce in the Mediterranean. Al-Ghawri adopted an aggressive stance in his dealings with European aggression against Mamluk trade, and allied with the Ottomans, who since 909/1502 had been worried by the growing power of the Safavids and their relations with the Europeans. From 912/1507, an armed force was present in the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean. The alliance with the Ottomans enabled the Mamluks to obtain timber and iron for the construction of ships, and, from 917/1511, guns, powder, sailors and craftsmen skilled in shipbuilding.

In 914/1508, al-Ghawri began establishing an artillery corps, and in 917/1511 a corps of harquebusiers, known as the 'Fifth Corps' in the sources, was recruited from outside the Mamluks' cadres. This corps was manned by black slaves, sons of Mamluks, local artisans and foreigners, and paid largely from unofficial resources that had mainly come from waqf manipulation.

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

Although al-Ghawri's innovations were minor in scope, he immediately met stiff opposition from the Mamluk elite who vigorously defended Mamluk military tradition, which was their ethos and status symbol. In 920/1514, riots fomented by recruits and veteran mamluks accused al-Ghawri of fostering the new corps at their expense. Confronted with the Mamluk opposition, the economic constraints caused by the collapse of agriculture, and the diversion of the transcontinental commerce from Egypt, al-Ghawri only gradually instituted his reform, insufficiently to cope with the challenges created by the new regional and world order.

Upon Bayezid's death in 918/1512, his son, Selim I, seized power in Constantinople and soon led his army into Anatolia to curb the expansionist ambitions of the Safavid Shah Isma'il. The two linked their aspirations for expansion with the ideology of universal Muslim hegemony. Al-Ghawri still perceived these new developments in Anatolia in terms of the old status quo in the Muslim world. He estimated that the Safavids could be contained within the former Aq Qoyunlu borders, without Mamluk intervention. Selim defeated Isma'il at Chaldiran near Tabriz in 920/1514, and displayed displeasure to the Mamluks and their vassals, the Dhu al-Qadirids, for their neutrality. In 921/1515 the Dhu al-Qadirids were invaded and defeated by Selim. On 25 Rajab 922/24 August 1516, the two armies, Ottoman and Mamluk, engaged in battle at Marj Dabiq, north of Aleppo. The Ottoman army included infantry

and cavalry equipped with artillery and harquebuses. Al-Ghawri's army, on the other hand, was composed of sultanic mamluks (julban and veterans), amirs' sayfiyya troops, and reservists - no harquebusiers from the 'Fifth Corps' took part in the battle. The veterans were deployed on the front line and bore the brunt of the battle, while the recruits demonstrated incompetence. The veterans interpreted their deployment as a deliberate scheme to spare the recruits and began to desert the battlefield. When it was reported that Qansuh al-Ghawri had died of a heart attack, the rest of his army fled the battlefield. The Mamluks' defeat in this battle clearly exposed the problematic structure of the Mamluk army and its obsolete military skills and armaments. Selim easily conquered Syria and entered Damascus without resistance. Tumanbay, al-Ghawri's nephew and viceregent, who was proclaimed sultan in Cairo, made preparations to meet the Ottoman invasion of Egypt. On 29 Dhu al-Hijja 922/23 January 1517, a second defeat was inflicted on the Mamluk army at Raydaniyya, north of Cairo. Tumanbay was captured and hanged, while Khayrbak, the former Mamluk viceroy of Aleppo, was appointed as first Ottoman governor of Egypt. Egypt and Syria became separate provinces of the Ottoman empire.

273

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Conclusion

A variety of theories have been offered to explain the success of the Mamluk

institution in the medieval Muslim world. The prevalence and longevity of the Mamluk system led some scholars to characterise it as an outgrowth of Islamic civilisation. Bringing in slaves with a pagan background from outside the borders of Islam has been explained by the proscription of taking Muslims into bondage. Its dominance in Islamic armies has been explained by the shortage of military manpower because Muslims preferred urban, commercial life. The preference of Muslim rulers for purchasing mamluks of nomadic origins, mainly from Central Asia and the Eurasian steppes, for their reputation as skilled horsemen and archers, has been explained by technological developments such as spurs which brought about a change in the army's make-up - from an infantry -based army to one consisting of skilled cavalry-men. The Mamluks' involvement in politics has been explained by the avoidance of the educated Muslim elite of political activity because it did not fall into line with Muslim ideals.

The constant recruitment of new mamluks into the Mamluk elite and the non-transfer of their rights to their descendants led to problems like schism in their political system, lack of large popular legitimacy and decentralisation of government that threatened the stability of the Mamluk rule. Since the Mamluk sultanate's foundation, the Mamluks adopted mechanisms that regulated their tendency towards schism and set the rules for reshuffles in government without damaging the state's socio-political structure by unbridled violent struggles. The sultan's legitimacy for rule within the Mamluk elite depended upon the support of his veteran peers (khushdashiyya) and others who cohered as an interest group. His ascent to power was arranged in an agreement whereby the dominant amirs undertook to support his rule, while he was committed to protect their position and economic interests, considered as their inherent rights or moral economy, against rival factions. Infringing this exchange relationship on the sultan's part justified his deposition, regularly with bloodshed, and the appointment of a new sultan. To secure his position and reinforce his authority over the Mamluk oligarchy, the Mamluk sultan not

only cultivated formal and informal patronage relationships with the veteran amirs, but also fostered a new Mamluk household of his own that would, in the course of his rule, provide loyal mamluks to be placed gradually in key positions instead of the veterans.

The cyclical process of the rise of new Mamluk factions triggering the fall of their predecessors, which was characteristic of the Mamluk political system

274

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

during the Turkish period, prevented the development of a consistent attitude towards the idea of dynastic rule. The question of whether the Mamluks intended to establish a dynastic rule is still open. However, there is agreement

among scholars of Mamluk history that when the Mamluks did install sultans' sons in the sultanate, they had no intention of relinquishing power to them.

Since the Mamluk state foundation, Mamluk sultans had routinely handed

down the sultanate to their descendants by will, but after an inter-factional

struggle over rule the young prince was replaced by an amir chosen from among the magnates. Al-Nasir Muhammad's third reign was exceptional because, although he was enthroned by his father's amirs, he succeeded in

establishing an effective rule for himself based on the Mamluk guard he had

recruited during his earlier reigns. The Qalawunid rule lasted over forty years

after al-Nasir's death, primarily because the Mamluk pattern of conflict management was destabilised and lost its moderating mechanisms. The incessant competition over rule among prominent amirs, mainly those who

were promoted rapidly to emirates during this period, and their encouragement of the low-ranking mamluks by bribes and privileges to transfer their allegiance from one faction to another, eroded the amirs' authority and spawned schism. The rise of the Circassians in 784/1382 signalled the departure from the early pattern of Mamluk factionalism. The veteran mamluks' seniority in the Mamluk political system was recognised and consequently the sultan's dependence on the Mamluk oligarchy increased significantly. The Circassian sultans filled the amirs' ranks with veteran mamluks, while their own recruits (julban) were hardly promoted during their reign. The julban's lack of military power and experience prevented them from holding onto power on their master's death. After their dispersion from the Citadel, they were absorbed into the amirs' households where a co-operation was created between old and new generations of mamluks, from both the sultans' and the amirs' households, in the formation of coalitions for rule. The pluralistic make-up of the Circassian coalitions for rule called for bilateralism as a new factional order and for new symbols of cohesion, one of which was the sultan's sobriquet (laqab). From the 820S/1420S the mamluks were divided into two main factions, the Zahiriyya and Ashrafiyya, each claiming old patron-client ties going back to two ancestors, al-Zahir Barquq and al-Ashraf Barsbay. Bilateralism reduced schism and enabled the Mamluk oligarchy to enact peaceful settlements for government reshuffles. Negotiations between Ashrafiyya and Zahiriyya amirs resulted in agreements on the rise of mature older Mamluk amirs to the sultanate, and on the proportional division of rank and state resources between both factions.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Despite their long years of reign, the Mamluk elite had remained a small group of new immigrants from a slave and non-Muslim background within

a community with a deep-rooted Arab-Muslim culture. To overcome their socio-cultural inferiority, the Mamluks used their ethnic culture as a symbol of

their unique status which separated them as a ruling elite from the civilian population. Yet, the Mamluks succeeded in creating an organic state. They secured their rule by maintaining a fabric of hierarchical patronage relationships that bound all important groups of society to the Mamluk elite. They controlled the country's economy by the *iqta* system and their involvement in commerce and industry. Through the *iqta* system they cultivated an informal network of patronage with the urban and rural state administration, mainly staffed with Copts and Coptic converts. They also supported the influential merchants who had been involved in long-distance trade by providing them with state protection both within and without the sultanate. However, the stationing of the Mamluk elite in urban centres and the absence of significant external threats to the sultanate since the 720s/ 1320s led to their increasing involve-

ment in commercial life, which encroached on the merchants' position. In the ninth/fifteenth century the Mamluks shunted the merchants aside by establishing a state monopoly on trade, and gradually they were compelled

to act as the sultan's commissioned agents. The industry in Egypt was similarly transferred from private to government hands. The monopoly increased the dependence of the large population on the Mamluk government, but at the same time the Mamluks paid the price of the growing stagnation in the sultanate economy.

The Mamluks created popular legitimacy for their rule by perpetuating their image as protectors and patrons of Islamic institutions. They gained great prestige in the Muslim world as guardians of the holy places in Mecca

and Medina and by hosting the 'Abbasid caliphate. At the early period of the

sultanate, Mamluk patronage of Islam included the establishment of religious

foundations, both orthodox and Sufi, with charity endowments for their maintenance. The madrasas they established supplied cadres of *ulama* responsible for the educational and judicial systems that accorded formal legitimacy to their rule, while the Sufi orders which practised popular Islam

supplied popular support to their regime. Later, the Mamluks led to the popularisation of orthodox institutions by inviting Sufis to instruct in madrasas and nominating 'ulama' to teach in khanqas, and by introducing an orthodox curriculum into khanqas. Consequently, both orthodox and Sufi institutions underwent moderation and differences between them became

276

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

indistinct. Concomitant with the moderation of the religious institutions was the weakening in the power of critical learned scholars of the Mamluk government.

It was against the background of the erosion of the Shafi'i 'ulama' and fiqhah's dominance over the Egyptian judicial institutions that tension and rivalry over legitimacy and hegemony arose between the Shafi'i learned scholars and the Mamluk elite. While the Shafi'i madhhab enjoyed absolute dominance in the Ayyubid judicial system under the Mamluks, with Baybars' reform of 665/1265 it became one of the four schools of law. This reform increased the prestige of the Hanafi 'ulama' who generally legitimised their Mamluk patrons' policies. When Baybars also tried to include in this reform the division of the waqf in Egypt and Syria among the four madhhabs, he met strong opposition from Shafi'i legists. Mamluk sultans would repeatedly try to get hold of the great fortunes of the waqfs. From Barsbay's reign onward, legal techniques were used to transfer state lands, iqta' into private hands and turn them into waqfs. It was through the waqf manipulation that the Mamluk sultans funnelled public funds to their families and gained covert incomes to maintain their patron-age relations in the army and the large population. Further erosion in the legists' situation came when the popularisation of the religious institutions

and the judicial system brought persons from lower classes to prominent positions, such as the vizierate, hisba, 2 and qadiships, through patronage relations and the practice of payment for nomination. The position of the sharH qadxs was impinged upon when during the Circassian period the jurisdiction of the Mamluk hujjab was extended to deal with sWafx matters and senior amirs and even julban held 'private' courts for disputes among the civilians.

Although the Mamluk army was one of the most highly trained among contemporary armies, its relatively small size imposed a de facto restriction on the Mamluks' ability to maintain an effective central government, particularly towards the Arab and Turcoman nomad populations. Throughout their autonomous rule, the Mamluks did not succeed in effectively subjugating the Bedouins to the central government for any length of time. The Mamluks tried to secure order among the Bedouins through exploiting inter-tribal conflicts over hegemony, and political patronage of powerful chiefs who were appointed to imrat aVarab in exchange for duties such as guarding frontiers, securing the royal postal system and supplying relay horses to the Mamluk army. In the Delta region the Mamluks managed to settle the Bedouins in villages, thus bringing about indirect control over them through

*77

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

powerful families. The settlement of the Bedouins coupled with Bedouin anarchism in different regions of Egypt and Syria constituted a decisive factor in agricultural decline in the Mamluk state, and the conversion of tilled areas into pastureland.

The small size of the Mamluk army was a decisive factor also in Mamluk expansionist policy, particularly when part of it always remained in Cairo

and the provincial cities to prevent anti-government uprisings. Beyond their conquests of the Crusading principalities in Syria and Palestine and limited areas in the Upper Euphrates, the Mamluks maintained a perceptive strategy of spheres of influence under their protectorate rather than direct rule over territories far from their home bases. During the reigns of

Baybars, Qalawun and al-Nasir Muhammad, in which Mamluk rule flourished, included in Mamluk government spheres of influence were Nubia and Cyrenaica. In 725/1325 al-Nasir Muhammad's attempt to expand the Mamluk spheres of influence to Yemen, which was of particular importance in the transit trade between the Far East and Europe, failed, mainly

for reasons of distance and geographical constraints. On two occasions, in

676/1277 and 822/1419, the Mamluks avoided the posting of a garrison in

the territories they had occupied in Anatolia, and chose to withdraw to Syria. Following the collapse of the Mongol Ilkhanate of Persia in the 740S/1340S, the Mamluks exploited the vacuum formed in the state order in

South-West Asia to establish buffer zones of Turcoman states (begliks) - the

Dhu TQadirid, Ramadanid and Qaramanid principalities - in eastern Anatolia under their aegis. This sphere of influence was to prevent nomad incursions, and to ensure import of strategic materials and a free overland passage of mamluks from the slave markets in the Black Sea area.

Conservatism led the Mamluks to stick to their buffer-zone policy and disregard the new political make-up that had emerged in eastern Anatolia

in the wake of the Timurid invasion and the rise of the Ottomans in western Anatolia. As long as the Timurids acted as a great power in Anatolia, the Ottomans limited their expansionist aspirations to bringing the Turcoman principalities under their protection. With the defeat of Aq Qoyunlu, the Timurid clients, in Bashkent in 878/1473, the Mamluk-Ottoman conflict over Anatolia became overt. Although Qaytbay's campaigns against the Ottomans forced them to restore pre-war Mamluk sovereignty in Anatolia, they exposed the Mamluks' anachronistic strategies and the technological and the sultanate economic stagnation. The Mamluk praetorian regime barred new technologies that had changed the

means and patterns of production in Europe and the global trade order.

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

Thus, the Mamluks rejected the use of firearms lest their ethos of chivalry which was also their status symbol might be harmed. 3 When firearms were introduced in the sultanate towards the end of Mamluk rule, it was too late and too small in scale to tip the balance against the Ottomans, who gained through their deployment the position of a great power in the new state order. 84

12 The Turkish Mamluk sultans

Shajar al-Durr (648/1250)
al-Mu'izz Aybak (648-55/1250-7)
al-Mansur 'All (655-7/1257-9)
al-Muzaffar Qutuz (657-8/1259-60)
al-Zahir Baybars (658-76/1260-77)
al-Sa'id Baraka Khan (676-8 / 1277-9)
al-'Adil Salamish (678/1279)
al-Mansur Qalawun (678-89/1279-90)
al-Ashraf Khalil (689-93 / 1290-3)
al-Nasir Muhammad (693-4/1293-4)
al-'Adil Kitbugha (694-6 / 1294-6)
al-Mansur Lajin (696-8 / 1296-9)
al-Nasir Muhammad (698-708/1299-1309)
al-Muzaffar Baybars (708-9/ 1309-10)
al-Nasir Muhammad (709-41 / 1310-41)
al-Mansur Abu Bakr (741f. / 1341)
al-Ashraf Kujuk (742-3 / 1341-2)
al-Nasir Ahmad (742f / 1342)
Al-Salih Isma'il (743-6/1342-5)
al-Kamil Sha'ban (746-7/1345-6)
al-Muzaffar Hajji (747-8 / 1346-7)
al-Nasir Hasan (748-52/1347-51)
al-Salih Salih (752-5 / 1351-4)
al-Nasir Hasan (755-62/1354-61)
al-Mansur Muhammad (762-4 / 1361-3)
al-Ashraf Sha'ban (764-78 / 1363-77)
al-Mansur 'All (778-83/1377-81)
al-Salih/ al-Mansur Hajji (783-4/1381-2)
(791-2/ 1389-90)

The New Cambridge History of Islam

13 The Circassian sultans

al-Zahir Barquq (784-91/ 1382-9)

(792-801/ 1390-9)

al-Nasir Faraj (ibn Barquq) (801-8 / 1399-1405)

al-Mansur Abd al-Aziz (ibn Barquq) (808/1405)

al-Nasir Faraj (808-15/ 1405-12)

al-Musta'in (caliph and sultan) (815/1412)

al-Mu'ayyad Shaykh (815-24 /1412-21)

al-Muzaffar Ahmad (ibn Shaykh) (824/1421)

al-Zahir Tatar (824/1421)

al-Salih Muhammad (ibn Tatar) (824-5 / 1421-2)

al-Ashraf Barsbay (825-42 / 1422-38)

al-'Aziz Yusuf (ibn Barsbay) (842/1438)

al-Zahir Jaqmaq (842-57/1438-53)

al-Mansur 'Uthman (ibn Jaqmaq) (857/1453)

al-Ashraf Inal (857-65/1453-60)

al-Mu'ayyad Ahmad (ibn Inal) (865/i46of.)

al-Zahir Khushqadam (865-72/1461-7)

al-Zahir Yalbay (872/i467f.)

al-Zahir Timurbugha (872E / 1468)

al-Ashraf Qaytbay (873-901/1468-95)

al-Nasir Muhammad (ibn Qaytbay) (901-4/ 1495-8)

al-Zahir Qansuh (904-5 / 1498-9)

al-Ashraf Janbalat/Janbulat (905-6 / 1499-1501)

al-Adil Tumanbay (906/1501)

al-Ashraf Qansuh al-Ghawrl (906-22 /1501-16)

al-Ashraf Tiimanbay (922/i5i6f.)

Notes

1. David Ayalon, 'Aspects of the Mamluk phenomenon: The importance of the Mamluk institution', *Der Islam*, 53, 2 (1976), 196-225 and 'Aspects of the

Mamluk phenomenon: Ayyubids, Kurds and Turks', *Der Islam*, 54, 1 (1977), 1-32.

2. Amalia Levanoni, 'The Mamluks' ascent to power in Egypt', *SI*, 72 (1990), 121-44.

3. Amalia Levanoni, 'Sagar ad-Durr: A case of female sultanate in medieval Islam', in U. Vermeulen and J. Van Steenbergen (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras*, Leuven, 2001, 209-18.

4. Amalia Levanoni, 'The consolidation of Aybak's rule: An example of factionalism in the Mamluk state', *Der Islam*, 71, 2 (1994), 241-54.

280

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

5. Reuven Amitai-Preiss, *Mongols and Mamluks: The Mamluk-Ilkhanid war, 1260-1281*, Cambridge, 1995, 31-3; Reuven Amitai-Preiss, 'Ayn Jalut revisited', *Ta] rih*, 2 (1991), 119-50.

6. Amalia Levanoni, 'The Mamluk conception of the sultanate', *IJMES*, 26 (1994), 373-92, at 376-7.

7. Peter Thorau, *The Lion of Egypt: Sultan Baybars I and the Near East in the thirteenth century*, trans. P. M. Holt, London and New York, 1992.

8. David Ayalon, 'From Ayyubid to Mamluks', *REI*, 49, 1 (1981), 43-57; Stephen Humphreys, 'The emergence of the Mamluk army', *SI*, 45 (1977), 147-82.

9. P.M. Holt, 'Some observations on the Abbasid caliphate of Cairo', *BSOAS*, 47

(1984), 50-4; David Ayalon, 'Studies on the transfer of the Abbasid caliphate from Baghdad to Cairo', *Arabica*, 7 (1960), 41-59.

10. Jonathan Berkey, *The transmission of knowledge in medieval Cairo: A social history of Islamic education*, Princeton, 1992, 44-94, 128-46; T. Emil Homerin, 'Saving Muslim souls: The khanqah and the Sufi duty in Mamluk lands', *MSR*, 3 (1999), 65-73.

11. Leonor Fernandes, 'Mamluk architecture and the question of patronage', *MSR*, 1 (1997), 107-20.

12. Leonor Fernandes, *The evolution of a Sufi institution in Mamluk Egypt: The Khanaqah*, Berlin, 1988; Leonor Fernandes, 'Change in function and form of Mamluk religious institutions', *AI*, 21 (1985), 73-93; Berkey, *The transmission of knowledge*, pp. 56-60.

13. David Ayalon, 'The Wafidiyya in the Mamluk kingdom', *IC*, 25 (1951), 89-104.

14. David Ayalon, 'The Great Yasa of Chingiz Khan. A re-examination', *SI*, 38 (1973), 107-56; Robert Irwin, *The Middle East in the Middle Ages*, London and Sydney, 1986, 119-20; J. Sauvaget, *La poste aux chevaux dans V empire mamelouk*, Paris, 1941, 13; Donald P. Little, 'Notes on Aitamis, a Mongol Mamluk', in Ulrich Haarmann and Peter Bachmann (eds.), *Die islamische Welt zwischen Mittelalter und Neuzeit: Hans Robert Roemer zum 65. Geburtstag*, Wiesbaden, 1979, 387-401.

15. Joseph H. Escovitz, *The office of Qadi al-Qudat in Cairo under the Bahrl Mamluks*, Berlin, 1984, 53-61, 235-9; Fernandes, 'Mamluk architecture', 109-10; Leonor Fernandes, 'Between Qadis and Muftis: To whom does the Mamluk sultan listen?', *MSR*, 6 (2002), 95-108.

16. Jorgen S. Nielsen, *Secular justice in an Islamic state: Mazalim under the Bahri Mamluks*, London, 1972.

17. Irwin, *The Middle East*, 41.

18. Hassanein Rabie, *The financial system of Egypt A. H. 364-J41/A.D. 1169-1341*, London, 1972; Sato Tsugitaga, *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, Leiden, 1996.

19. Amalia Levanoni, *A turning point in Mamluk history: The third reign of al-Nasir Muhammad Ibn Qalawun (1310-1341)*, Leiden, 1995, 5-27.

20. David Ayalon, 'The auxiliary forces of the Mamluk sultanate', *Der Islam*, 65 (1988), 13-37.

21. David Ayalon, 'Notes on the Furusiyya exercises and games in the Mamluk sultanate', *Scripta Hierosolymitana*, 9 (Jerusalem, 1961), 31-62; Hassanein Rabie, 'The training of the Mamluk Faris', in V.J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.), *War, technology and society in the Middle East*, London, 1975, 153-63.

281

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

22. Levanoni, *A turning point*, 8-10.

23. David Ayalon, 'Studies on the structure of the Mamluk army', *BSOAS*, 15 (1953), part I, 203-28; part II, 456-9.

24. Irwin, *The Middle East*, 44-5.

25. Thorau, *The Lion of Egypt*, 134-9.

26. M. A. Hiyari, 'The origins and development of the amirate of the Arabs during the seventh /thirteenth and eighth /fourteenth centuries', *BSOAS*, 38 (1975), 509-25; Amitai-Preiss, *Mongols and Mamluks*, 47, 70, 73.

27. Reuven Amitai-Preiss, 'Mamluk perceptions of the Mongol-Frankish rap-prochement', *Mediterranean Historical Review*, 7 (1992), 50-65.
28. Stephen Humphreys, 'Egypt in the world system of the later Middle Ages', in Carl F. Petry (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Egypt*, vol. I: Islamic Egypt, 640-1517, Cambridge, 1998, 453-6.
29. P. M. Holt, *Early Mamluk diplomacy (1269-1290): Treaties of Baybars and Qalawun with Christian rulers*, Leiden, 1995, pp. 23-7.
30. Ayalon, 'The Wafidiyya in the Mamluk kingdom'.
31. E. Ehrenkreutz, 'Strategic implications of the slave trade between Genoa and Mamluk Egypt in the second half of the thirteenth century', in A. L. Udovitch (ed.), *The Islamic Middle East, 700-1900: Studies in economic and social history*, Princeton, 1981, 335-45.
32. Linda Northrup, *From slave to sultan: The career of al-Mansur Qalawun and the consolidation of Mamluk rule in Egypt and Syria (6J&-689 A.H./12J9-1290 A.D.)*, Stuttgart, 1998, 119-25.
33. Amitai-Preiss, *Mongols and Mamluks*, 179-201.
34. Holt, *Early Mamluk diplomacy*, 23-5, 118-28.
35. Northrup, *From slave to sultan*, 155-6.
36. P.M. Holt, 'The sultanate of al-Mansur Lachin (696-8/1296-9)', *BSOAS*, 36, 3 (1973), 521-32.
37. Donald P. Litde, 'Coptic conversion to Islam under the Bahri Mamluks, 692-755/1293-1354', in Donald P. Litde, *History and historiography of the Mamluks*, London, 1986, 558-9, 565.
38. Shai Har-El, *Struggle for domination in the Middle East: The Ottoman-Mamluk war*,

14&5-1491, Leiden, 1995, 35, 47.

39. Rabie, The financial system, 53-6.

40. Levanoni, A turning point, 53-9, 68-72, 173-92.

41. Nasser Rabbat, The Citadel of Cairo: A new interpretation of royal Mamluk architecture, Leiden, 1995, 235-43; Levanoni, A turning point, 156-73.

42. Amalia Levanoni, Al-Nashuw episode: A case study of "moral economy", MSR, 9, 1 (2005), 1-14.

43. David Ayalon, 'Studies on the structure of the Mamluk army - II,' BSOAS, 15 (1953)» 456-9; Levanoni, A turning point, 42-52.

44. Ulrich Haarmann, 'The sons of mamluks as fief-holders in late medieval Egypt', in T. Khalidi (ed.), Land tenure and social transformation in the Middle East, Beirut, 1984, 141-69.

282

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria

45. Levanoni, A turning point, 81-106.

46. Ibid. 133-42.

47. Ibid. 184-95.

48. Ibid. 173-84.

49. Jean-Claude Garcin, 'The regime of the Circassian Mamluks', in Petry (ed.), The Cambridge history of Egypt, vol. I, 314-16.

50. Michael Dols, 'The general mortality of the Black Death in the Mamluk Empire', in A. L. Udovitch (ed.), *The Islamic Middle East, 1000-1900: Studies in economic and social history*, Princeton, 1981, 397-428; Michael Dols, 'The second plague pandemic and its recurrence in the Middle East, 1347-1894', *JESHO*, 22 (1979), 162-89.

51. David Ayalon, 'The Circassians in the Mamluk kingdom', *JAOS*, 69, 3 (1949), 135-47-

52. David Ayalon, 'The plague and its effects upon the Mamluk army', *JRAS* (1946), 67-73-

53. Levanoni, A turning point, 53-67.

54. Ibid. 118-32.

55. Ibid. 109-14.

56. William M. Brinner, 'The significance of the Harafish and their "sultan"', *JESHO*, 6 (1963), 190-215.

57. Robert Irwin, 'The privatisation of "justice" under the Circassian Mamluks', *MSR*, 6 (2002), 63-70.

58. J. L. Bacharach, 'The dinar versus the ducat', *IJMES*, 4 (1973), 77-96; J. L. Bacharach, 'Circassian monetary policy, copper', *JESHO*, 19 (1976), 32-47; Boaz Shoshan, 'From silver to copper: Monetary changes in fifteenth century Egypt', *SI*, 55 (1982), 97-116; Boaz Shoshan, 'Exchange-rate policies in fifteenth century Egypt',

JESHO, 29 (1986), 28-51; E. Ashtor, *Les metaux precieux et la balance de payments du Troche-Orient a la basse epoque*, Paris, 1971; Warren Schultz, 'The monetary history of Egypt', in Petry (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Egypt*, vol. I, 318-38.

59. E. Ashtor, *A social and economic history of the Near East in the middle ages*,

London, 1976.

60. A. S. Tritton, 'Tribes in Syria in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries', BSOAS, 12 (1948), 567-73-

61. Garcin, 'The regime of the Circassian Mamluks', 314.

62. Stuart J. Burch, 'Thirty years after Lopez, Miskimin, and Udovitch', MSR, 8, 2 (2004), 191-201.

63. Levanoni, A turning point, 137-9, 167-71.

64. Ashtor, Social and economic history, 285-8; Ira M. Lapidus, Muslim cities in the later middle ages, Cambridge, 1984, 21-2, 28.

65. Boaz Shoshan, 'Grain riots and the "moral economy": Cairo, 1350-1571', Journal of Interdisciplinary History, 10 (1980), 459-78; Ira Marvin Lapidus, 'The grain economy of Mamluk Egypt', JESHO, 12 (1969), 1-15.

66. Amalia Levanoni, 'The sultan's laqab: A sign of a new order in Mamluk factionalism?', in Michael Winter and Amalia Levanoni (eds.), The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society, Leiden, 2004, 79-115.

283

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

67. Ahmad Darrag, L'Egypte sous le regne de Barsbdy, 825-&41/ 1422-1438, Damascus, 1961.

68. Lapidus, Muslim cities, 126-8.

69. Carl F. Petry, Protectors or praetorians? The last Mamluk sultans and Egypt's waning

as a great power, New York, 1994, 16-17; Doris Behrene-Abouseif, 'Al-Nasir Muhammad and al-Ashraf Qatbay - patrons of urbanism', in U. Vermeulen and D. De Smet (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras*, Leuven, 1995, 276-84.

70. c Imad Badr al-Din Abu Ghazi, *Tatawwur al-haydt al-zira'iyya fi Misr zamdn al-Mamdllk al-Jarakisa*, Cairo, 2000, 21; Adam Sabra, 'The rise of a new class? Land tenure in fifteenth-century Egypt: A review article', *MSR*, 8, 2 (2004), 203-10.

71. Ibn Taghri Birdi, *al-Nujum al-zdhira*, Cairo, 1963-72, vol. IX, 131-2; al-Sakhawī, *al-Daw' al-lami' li-ahl al-qarn al-tdsi'*, Beirut, n.d., vol. X, 234.

72. Petry, *Protectors or praetorians?*, 190-210.

73. Ibn Iyas, *Bada'i' al-zuhurfi waqd'i' al-duhur*, Cairo, 1982-4, vol. II, 324, 363.

74. Har-El, *Struggle for domination in the Middle East*, 80-8.

75. Petry, *Protectors or praetorians?*, 42-9.

76. Carl F. Petry, *Twilight of majesty: The reign of the Mamluk sultans al-Ashraf Qdytbay and Qdnsuh al-Ghawri in Egypt*, Seattle, 1993, 167-73.

77. Petry, *Protectors or praetorians?*, 20-3, 173-6.

78. Nikki R. Keddie, 'Material culture, technology and geography: Toward a historic comparative study of the Middle East', in J. R. Cole (ed.), *Comparing Muslim societies: Knowledge and the state in a world civilization*, Michigan, 1992, 40-1.

79. E. Ashtor, 'Levantine sugar industry in the later Middle Ages - an example of technological decline', *IOS*, 7 (1977), 226-80.

80. Petry, *Protectors or praetorians?*, 25-6.

81. David Ayalon, 'Preliminary remarks on the Mamluk military institution in

Islam', in V. J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.), War, technology and society in the Middle East, London, 1975, 44; Daniel Pipes, Slave soldiers and Islam: The genesis of a military system, New Haven and London, 1981, 54-102; Patricia Crone, Slaves on horses: The evolution of the Islamic polity, New York, 1980, 57, 63.

82. Jonathan Berkey, 'The muhtasibs of Cairo under the Mamluks, toward an understanding of an Islamic institution', in Winter and Levanoni (eds.), The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society, 245-76.

83. For another opinion on this issue, Robert Irwin, 'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom reconsidered', in Winter and Levanoni (eds.), The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society, 117-39.

84. I am grateful to my colleagues Prof. Michael Winter, Prof. Reuven Amitai and Prof. Butrus Abu Manneh for reading the early version of this chapter and their valuable comments on it.

284

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Western Arabia and Yemen
(fifth /eleventh century to the
Ottoman conquest)

ESTHER PESKES

Western Arabia

From the second half of the fourth/tenth century the c Abbasid caliphate's hegemonic monopoly in western Arabia eroded. The economic and geo-strategic importance of the Red Sea intensified in the wake of the 'Abbasid state's decline, and the basic significance of the Hijaz as goal of the pilgrimage (hajj) and original abode of Islam widened. In the period under study the region became an object of imperial strategies in which Abbasids, Fatimids, Ayyubids, Rasulids, Mamluks and others had their share. Within this frame-work of supra-regional power politics the foundation and consolidation of the Sharif! emirates of Mecca and Medina, the main local political actors in western Arabia, took place.

In Mecca, descendants of the Prophet Muhammad by his grandson al-Hasan took over power during the sixties of the tenth century CE. Thus began Sharif! government there for nearly a millennium to come. The rise of the emirate coincided with the beginning of Fatimid rule in Egypt and it was to the Fatimids that the Sharifs had to pay allegiance at first. Early Sharif! ambitions for independence culminated in the proclamation as caliph by the ruling Sharif in 401/1010. 1 Yet, the Fatimids could not dominate Mecca, and in 455/1063 their Yemeni vassals, the Sulayhids, temporarily controlled the city to install a pro-Fatimid reign after the end of the first Hasanid clan in governance, the Musawis. 2 During the latter part of the fifth/ eleventh century and the sixth/twelfth century until the Ayyubid occupation, Sharifs of the then ruling clan of Hawashim, not least for economic reasons, switched loyalty between the Fatimid and 'Abbasid caliphates. 3 Under Qatada ibn Idris (r. c. 597-617/ 1201-20) from whom all later ruling Sharifs, the Banu Qatada, descended, the Meccan emirate reached a high degree of independence before being reduced to a battlefield between the Ayyubids and the newly established Yemeni Rasulids

The New Cambridge History of Islam

for twenty years. 4 In the second half of the seventh/thirteenth century the

Sharifs returned to factual control of the city under Muhammad Abu Numayy ibn Hasan (r. 654-701/1256-1301). Yet, during these years the Mamluks, at first challenged by hegemonic ambitions on the Rasulid part, took initial steps to lay the foundation of what later on developed into a solid grip on the Meccan Sharifs. 5 Ilkhanid attempts at gaining influence in

Mecca did not pose a lasting threat, and infra-Sharifi struggles and a decrease of Rasulid ambitions facilitated the unfolding of Mamluk influence

from the first decades of the eighth/fourteenth century. The Mamluks further incorporated the Sharifate into the Mamluk state structure by appointing the ruler of Mecca to the newly created office of vice-sultan of the Hijaz in 811/1408, and shortly afterwards placed the Meccan port of Jedda under direct Mamluk governance. 7 Even though constant rivalries within the clan of Sharifs and imperial ambitions of other foreign rulers ever

made Meccan politics unpredictable at best, the Mamluks did not lose control until their destruction in 923/1517. The transition to Ottoman rule

was a smooth one, with the reigning Sharif Barakat II (r. as main ruler 909-31/1504-25) simply accepting a new suzerain.

By the time the Mamluks vanished from western Arabia, Mecca had long established itself as the predominant regional power over against its main

rival, the emirate of Medina. Other than the Meccan Sharifs, the rulers of Medina were of Husaynid descent. The Husaynids actively took over leadership in the seventies of the tenth century CE, shortly after the Meccan Sharifate was founded. Like their Meccan counterparts, the new Medinese rulers soon had to pay allegiance to the Fatimids. 9 Compared with

Mecca, information on the political history of Medina up to the Ayyubid era

is scarce. Thus, the Medinese emirate's position during the Fatimid-'Abbasid

contest for supremacy in western Arabia is hard to ascertain. 10 But encroach-

ments on the Husaynids' power emanated from Meccan ambitions also. Since the two emirates' establishment, rivalries had begun which led to Mecca's temporary control of Medina at least thrice during the fifth/eleventh

century. The first Medinese attempt to subdue the Meccan Sharifate does not seem to have taken place before the end of the Fatimid dynasty.

Qasim

ibn Muhanna (r. c. 566-590/ c. 1170-94), from whom all later rulers descended, succeeded in occupying Mecca temporarily in 571/1176."

Other

than Mecca, Medina stayed outside the radius of Rasulid ambitions. In the

seventh/thirteenth century, when rule over Mecca was often contested between Egypt and Yemen and the Sharifs themselves, the Husaynids sided with Ayyubids and Mamluks and in 687/1288 succeeded in ruling

286

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Western Arabia and Yemen (to the Ottoman conquest)

Mecca for some months. 12 Yet, in the long run the Medinese Husaynids, as

disunited as the Hasanids and subject to frequent Mamluk interference in

domestic politics, could not match their Meccan rivals. In consequence, they

were formally subdued to Mecca by the Mamluks at the beginning of the ninth/ fifteenth century.

Even though Sunm suzerainty was firmly established from the latter part of the sixth/twelfth century, the Sharifs of Mecca and Medina - Zaydis in the former, Imami Shi c is in the latter - only reluctantly gave up their denominational orientations. In the course of the eighth/ fourteenth century

Sunnism finally became dominant in the religious institutions of the two cities, but Shi'i tendencies amongst the ruling Hasanids and Husaynids were existent up to the ninth/fifteenth century. 13 None of the emirates could ever rule the Hijaz as a whole. At certain times their actual power did not extend much beyond their cities' and the seaports' territories, the countryside being under tribal influence. This was due not least to a chronic

economic weakness which made them dependent on external support. Despite continuous problems arising from indirect control of the holy places, the Mamluks stationed small military contingents in Mecca and Medina only in the first half of the ninth/fifteenth century. 14 Yet, they

never aimed at abolishing the rule of the Prophet's descendants, which had become accepted as legitimate by consensus of the wider Islamic world.

Yemen

Until the Ayyubid conquest (569/1174)

At the beginning of the fifth/ eleventh century, Yemen was split up between

several political forces none of which was strong enough to overcome regional competitors. The Ziyadid dynasty, based at Zabid in Tihama, had upheld the c Abbasids' claim to rule Yemen since the third /ninth century. Yet, their actual sway at the beginning of the fifth/ eleventh century did not

extend much beyond the western coastal plain. 15 For reason of internal weakness, the Ziyadids faded away in the first two decades of the fifth/ eleventh century and made room for the Najahids of Abyssinian extraction.

In the highlands, the situation was marked by the eclipse of the Yu'firids, rulers of indigenous origin from San'a' to al-Janad until 387/997. The Yu'firids, temporarily nominal followers of the 'Abbasids, had for a century

been contending with the emerging Zaydi imamate, centred in and around

Sa'da. Despite their rival's extinction the Zaydis could not assert themselves

287

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

as the paramount power in upper Yemen. By the middle of the fifth/ eleventh century, the Isma'ili Sulayhids were taking over as their basis approximately the Yu'nrids' region.

Najahids, Zaydis and Sulayhids were to determine politics in Yemen in the fifth/ eleventh century. The Zaydis, the senior of the three groups, were

bound together by a common political vision, namely the imamate of a

politically active imam of Hasanid or Husaynid descent. But they were profoundly disrupted concerning the realisation of imamate theory. The competitors for the imamate were local descendants of the first Zaydi imam in Yemen, al-Hadi Yahya ibn al-Husayn (d. 298/911), but also claimants newly arriving from the Hijaz. And besides, a pretender coming from the Zaydi imamate in Daylam laid claim to rule. 1 The infra-Zaydi struggle for power resulted at times in violent warfare, but also in a severe dogmatic split when al-Husayn ibn al-Qasim al-'Iyam (d. 404/1013) claimed to be the rightful imam in 401/1010 and furthermore declared himself to be the 'Rightly guided one' (mahdī). A mahdist movement named 'al-Husayniyya' emerged demanding rule in al-Husayn's name. 17 Besides, Yemeni Zaydism still had to struggle with a sect called 'al-Mutarrifiyya' founded around the middle of the fourth/tenth century. 1 All this weakened Zaydism as a political force. For some time at the beginning of the sixth/twelfth century, the Yemeni Zaydis in the absence of their own competent candidates even acknowledged the Zaydi imam at the Caspian Sea. 19

The second party relevant in Yemeni politics during the fifth /eleventh century was the Najahids at Zabid. Najah (d. 452/1060), a slave of Abyssinian extraction and provincial governor under the Ziyadids, 20 took over the remnants of the Ziyadid realm in 407/1016. In 412/1021, he struck coins in his name and successfully petitioned the 'Abbasid caliph for recognition, thus continuing the Ziyadids' political standing as nominal vassals of the Sunni caliphate. But unlike the Ziyadids, the Najahids, who had died out by 554/1159, could never extend their rule beyond Tihama. And more than once their command even in this dominion was challenged by the Sulayhids. Additionally, fraternal strife for power weakened the dynasty from the second decade of the sixth/twelfth century and slave viziers of mostly Abyssinian extraction took over control of court politics. 21

The third political force was the Sulayhids, named after 'All ibn Muhammad al-Sulayhi (d. 459/1067). 22 A Yemeni from the highlands south-west of San'a' and born as a Sunni, al-Sulayhi first propagated the Isma'ili doctrine of Fatimid mould by 439/1047 23 and established his rule rapidly. Before 441/1049 24 he had already conquered San'a' from the

Western Arabia and Yemen (to the Ottoman conquest)

Zaydis and took it for his capital. In 452/1060 he drove the Najahids out of Zabid for the first time. 25 At the same time Aden, which had been controlled by the local Banu Ma'n, together with the surrounding region including the coastal strip of Hadramawt, surrendered. 2 By 455/1063, al-Sulayhi ruled over wide parts of Yemen in the name of the Fatimid caliph, who allowed him to extend his hegemonic ambitions briefly even to the governance of Mecca. 27 Al-Sulayhi's son al-Mukarram Ahmad (d. c. 480/1087) 2 and other male members of the house continued Sulayhid reign under the supervision of al-Mukarram's wife al-Sayyida Arwa bint Ahmad al-Sulayhi, known as al-Sulayhiyya (d. 532/1138), 29 who later ruled on her own. The seat of power was transferred to the newly erected Dhu Jibla and governance of San c a] delegated, alongside a Sulayhid, to the leader of a local Hamdan tribal grouping. 30 At Aden governors of Hamdan (Yam) extraction, from whom the Zuray'ids later descended, replaced the rebellious Banu Ma'n. 31 For a short time the Sulayhids still controlled the main parts of Yemen against Najahids and Zaydis whom they at times had driven out even of their stronghold of Sa'da. 32 Yet, by the end of the century the Hamdan governors of San'a' had seceded, only to be followed by their counterparts at Aden. Thus came into being the Hamdanid sultans of San c a' and the Zuray'ids of Aden as independent political powers. This fragmentation of power deepened further during the infra-Fatimid schism after 524/1130 in which al-Sulayhiyya and the Zuray'ids took different sides. 33 When Sulayhid power vanished at the death of al-Sulayhiyya, the strife for supremacy in the northern highlands was fought between a still divided but recovering Zaydi party and the Hamdanid sultans of San'a'. 34 In the south, the Zuray'ids, now official propagandists of the Fatimid caliphate in Yemen, took over Dhu Jibla and the remnants of Sulayhid power. 35

But soon the situation changed fundamentally. The Najahids and their vassals in northern Tihama, the Sulaymanid Sharifs who had risen to importance there by the end of the fifth/ eleventh century, 36 came under pressure of a new political force led by 'All ibn Mahdi (d. 554/1159). A native of Tihama of local tribal extraction and originally belonging to the Hanafi legal school (madhhab), Ibn Mahdi appeared around 531/1137 propagating ideas of unspecific extremist tendencies. 37 After violent attacks on the region of Zabid, the Najahids in 554/1159 fell victim to Mahdid superiority, and acknowledgement of the 'Abbasid caliphate's suzerainty vanished from Yemen. 3 The Mahdids rapidly extended their bloody warfare from their capital Zabid to the Sulaymanid territories and those under Zuray'id rule. 39 This was the political situation when the Ayyubids arrived in Yemen in 569/1174.

289

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

From the Ayyubid invasion until the Ottoman conquest

Beside the political turmoil brought forth by the Mahdids and the Ayyubid ambition to extinguish their Fatimid foes beyond Egypt, Yemen's geo-strategic importance and function as hinge in the trade between Egypt and India seems to have been the main stimulus for the Ayyubids' invasion of Yemen. Led by Saladin's brother Turanshah (r. 569-77/ 1174-81), the Ayyubid army started its campaign in northern Tihama in Ramadan 569 /April 1174 and within five months took Zabid, Aden, and the lower, and parts of the upper, highlands. 40 Mahdid rule was put to an immediate end. The last exponents of Zuray'id power and the Hamdanid sultans surrendered during the governance of Tughtakm (r. 577-93 /1181-97),

respectively shortly after his death. 41 Ayyubid rule itself, which had already ended in 626/1229, was troubled. Problems resulted partly from the reluctance of the Ayyubid governors to spend their time in Yemen, partly from internal strife for power and individual political ambitions. 42. In all, a centralised administration was established, the Ayyubid fief-system imported and the country put under an increased fiscal pressure. 43 The Ayyubids' rapid success in the elimination of factional strife and the centralisation of power had a decisive influence on the country's political and religious structure. At this very point of history the familiar denominational bipartition was inaugurated, dividing Yemen into the coastal plain and the lower highlands in the south with a mainly Sunni-Shafi'i and the upper highlands with a mainly Zaydi population. The Zaydi party still remained divided for centuries to come and constant rivalry of imams did not allow for the establishment of central-state-like structures. 44 But the Ayyubids had definitely crushed the Zaydis' local Hamdanid rivals while themselves not being able lastingly to control the northern highlands. The region of San'a 1 and the city itself became the much contested area where the two spheres of influence intersected. 45

Under the Ayyubids' successors, the Rasulids (r. 626-858/1229-1454), the final Sunnification of coastal plain and southern highlands was further consolidated. The first members of the Rasulid clan had come to Yemen in the Ayyubid army. Their reign began when the last Ayyubid in Yemen left the country in 626/1229, appointing Nur al-Din 'Umar al-Rasuli (d. 647/1249) as his deputy. 46 Al-Rasuli exploited the temporary political vacuum to establish himself as independent ruler and as early as 629/1232 challenged the Ayyubid hegemony even in Mecca. By 632/1235 he had achieved official recognition on the 'Abbasid caliphate's part. The Rasulids

soon turned into truly Yemeni rulers, with Ta'izz and Zabid as the main seats of power. Their governance could draw largely on structures of administration the Ayyubids had initiated. Hence under al-Muzaffar Yusuf I (r. 647-94/1250-95) the Rasulid parts of Yemen were already merged into

a political, economic and cultural unit capable of partaking as independent

power in supra-regional politics. 47 In this early phase of its history, the Rasulid state recurrently contested with the Ayyubids, then with the Mamluks for supremacy in the Hijaz, 48 and in 677-8/1278-9 incorporated

the south Arabian coast including the port of Zafar into its dominion. 49 Apart from this, the Rasulids left a deep imprint on the religious and educational infrastructure of Yemen and its wider cultural history. A multi-

tude of colleges (madrasas) spread throughout the country and served as centres of the Sunni madhhab out of which the Shafi'i madhhab prevailed as

the most influential. 50 From the beginning of the eighth/fourteenth century

onwards the Rasulid dynasty showed signs of political weakness, such as the

passive bearing of a Mamluk military intervention in 725/1325 demonstrating

Egypt's regional supremacy, 51 internal struggles for power and troop revolts, and the incapacity to control the tribes especially in Tihama as well as setbacks in the confrontation with the Zaydi party. It was due to a combination of such factors and an economic decline that the Rasulids faded

out in civil-war-like circumstances during the last years of their reign and made way for a former loyal vassal, the Tahirid clan from the southern highlands.

The Tahirids (r. 858-923 / 1454-1517) took over the Rasulid institutions and followed their former masters' political course, without, however, showing any ambition for other than supremacy in Yemeni politics. 52 A constant struggle with the Zaydis led to the first more than ephemeral Tahirid occupation of San'a 1 in 910/1505. 53 Yet, the Tahirids could not enlarge this success much further. In 923/1517, Mamluk troops, since 521/1515 despatched to the southern Red Sea in order to fight off the Portuguese, marched upcountry towards San'a and killed the Tahirid sultan, who had been reluctant to support the Egyptians. This was the end of the Tahirid sultanate. 54 Until the Ottoman invasion in 945/1538, the

coastal plain and southern highlands were left without a central government for the first time since the sixth/twelfth century. The Zaydis extended their territories towards the south, while members of the Tahirid clan still held power in Aden and its hinterland and remaining Egyptian Mamluks who displayed loyalty towards the Ottoman sultan

established themselves in Zabid. 55

291

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

14 Yemen

Najahids (Zabid)

Najah, al-Mu'ayyad Nasir al-Din (412-52/ 1021-60)

Sulayhid interregnum in Zabid

Sa'id ibn Najah (473-5 /1081-3)

Sulayhid interregnum in Zabid

Sa'id ibn Najah (479-82/1086-9)

Jayyash ibn Najah (482-98 /1089-1105)

Fatik I ibn Jayyash (498-503 /1105-9)

al-Mansur ibn Fatik I (503-18 / 1109-24)

Fatik II ibn al-Mansur (518-31/ 1124-37)

Fatik III ibn Muhammad (531-53/ 1137-58)

Sulayhids (San'a', Dhu Jiblah)

'All ibn Muhammad al-Sulayhi (439-59 / 1047-67)

Ahmad ibn All al-Sulayhi (459-C.480/1067-C.1087), during his last years in joint rule

with his wife

al-Sayyida Arwa bint Ahmad ibn Ja'far al-Sulayhi (-532/1138)

Hamdanids (San'a') (independent rule)

1. Banu Hatim (first line)

Hatim ibn Ghashim (492-502 /1099-1109)

Abdallah ibn Hatim (502-4 /1109-11)

Ma'n b. Hatim (504-10 /1111-16)

2. Banu 1-Qubayb

Hisham ibn al-Qubayb (510-18 /1116-24)

al-Humas ibn al-Qubayb (518-27/1124-33)

Hatim ibn al-Humas (527-33 / 1133-9)

3. Banu Hatim (second line)

Hatim ibn Ahmad (533-56 / 1139-61)

AH ibn Hatim (556-94 /1161-98)

Zuray'ids ('Adan) (independent rule)

Abu 1-Su'tid ibn Zuray' (504/1110-?), together with his cousin

Abu 1-Gharat ibn al-Mas'ud (504/1110-?)

Muhammad ibn Abl 1-Gharat (?-?)

AH ibn Muhammad ibn Abi '1-Gharat (?-?)

292

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Western Arabia and Yemen (to the Ottoman conquest)

Saba' ibn Abi '1-Su'ud ibn Zuray (?- 533/1139)

'All ibn Saba' ibn Abi 1-Su'ud (533-4/ 1139-40)

Muhammad ibn Saba' ibn Abi 1-Su'ud (534-c. 548 / 1140-c. 1153)

'Imran ibn Muhammad ibn Saba' (c. 548-61 /c. 1153-66)

Rule of slave viziers in the name of 'Imran's minor sons (561-71 / 1166-75)

Mahdids (Zabid)

'All ibn Mahdl (531-54/ 1137-59)

Mahdi ibn 'All (?) (554-9/ 1159-63), possibly in joint rule with his brother

Abd al-Nabi ibn 'All (c.559 or earlier to 569/C.1163 or earlier to 1174)

Rasflids (Zabid, Ta'izz)

al-Malik al-Mansur 'Umar ibn 'All b. Rasul (626-47/1229-49)

al-Malik al-Muzaffar Yusuf ibn 'Umar (647-94/1249-95)

al-Malik al-Ashraf 'Umar ibn Yusuf (694-6/1295-7)

al-Malik al-Mu'ayyad Dawud ibn Yusuf (696-721 / 1297-1321)

al-Malik al-Mujahid All ibn Dawud (721-64/ 1321-63)

al-Malik al-Afdal al-'Abbas ibn 'All (764-78/1363-76)

al-Malik al-Ashraf Isma'il ibn al-'Abbas (778-803/1376-1400)

al-Malik al-Nasir Ahmad ibn Isma'il (803-27/1400-24)

al-Malik al-Mansur 'Abdallah ibn Ahmad (827-30 / 1424-27)

al-Malik al-Ashraf Isma'il ibn Abdallah (830-1 / 1427-8)

al-Malik al-Zahir Yahya ibn Isma'il (831-42/1428-39)

al-Malik al-Ashraf Isma'il ibn Yahya (842-5 / 1439-42)

Rival Rasulids' claims to rule (845-58/1442-54)

Tahirids (Zabid, al-Miqrana, Juban)

al-Malik al-Zafir 'Amir ibn Tahir ibn Ma'uda (858-64/1454-60), in joint rule with his

brother

al-Malik al-Mujahid All ibn Tahir ibn Ma'uda (858-83/1454-78)

al-Malik al-Mansur 'Abd al-Wahhab ibn Dawud ibn Tahir (883-94/1478-89)

al-Malik al-Zafir Amir ibn Abd al-Wahhab ibn Dawud (894-923 / 1489-1517)

Zaydi imams (Sa'da and/or other places in highland Yemen)

al-Hadi ila 1-haqq Yahya ibn al-Husayn (284-98 / 897-910)

al-Murtada Muhammad ibn Yahya (298-301/ 910-13)

al-Nasir li-DTn Allah Ahmad ibn Yahya (301-22/913-933)

al-Mansur Yahya ibn Ahmad (322-45/933-56)

period without imam(s)

The New Cambridge History of Islam

al-Da'I ila 'l-Haqq Yusuf ibn Yahya (358-403/968-1012), rivalled by

al-Mansur al-Qasim ibn 'All al-'Iyanl (389-93/998-1002), and his son

al-Mahdi al-Husayn ibn al-Qasim (401-4 /1010-13)

period without imam(s)

Ja'far ibn al-Qasim in the name of his brother al-Mahdi al-Husayn (al-Husayniyya) (413-?

after 437/1022-? after 1045), rivalled by

Abu Hashim al-Hasan ibn 'Abd al-Rahman (426-31/1035-9), and

al-Nasir Abu 'l-Fath al-Husayn al-Daylaml (437-44/1045-52)

period without imam(s)

Hamza ibn Abl Hashim al-Hasan (458/1066)

al-Qasim ibn Ja'far ibn al-Qasim (al-Husayniyya) (459-60 / 1067-8)

Muhammad ibn Ja'far Dhu 'l-Sharafayn (al-Husayniyya) (? - 478/1085)

Ja'far ibn Muhammad ibn Ja'far (al-Husayniyya) (478 / 1085-?)

Abu Talib Yahya ibn Muhammad ibn al-Mu'ayyad bi-llah (Daylam) (da'wa 511/1117)

All ibn Zayd ibn Ibrahim ibn Ahmad ibn al-Hadi (531 / 1136)

al-Mutawakkil 'ala llah Ahmad ibn Sulayman ibn Muhammad ibn 'All ibn Ahmad ibn

al-Hadi (532-66/1137-70)

period without imam(s)

al-Mansur bi-llah 'Abd Allah ibn Hamza (583-614 / 1187-1217)

al-Nasir li-DIn Allah Muhammad ibn 'Abd Allah ibn Hamza (614-23/ 1217-26)

period without imam(s)

al-Mahdi li-DIn Allah Ahmad ibn al-Husayn ibn al-Qasim (646-56/1248-58)
al-Mansur bi-llah al-Hasan ibn Badr al-DIn (658-70/1259-72), rivalled by
Yahya ibn Muhammad ibn Ahmad ibn 'Abd Allah ibn al-Hasan Siraj al-DIn (659/1261-?)

al-Mahdi li-DIn Allah Ibrahim ibn Ahmad ibn Badr al-DIn (670-4/1272-6)

al-Mutawakkil 'ala llah al-Mutahhar ibn Yahya (674-97/1276-98)

al-Mahdi li-DIn Allah Muhammad ibn al-Mutahhar ibn Yahya (697-728/1298-1328)
 al-Mu'ayyad bi-llah Yahya ibn Hamza ibn 'All (c. 729-47 1 c. 1329-47)
 al-Mujahid li-DIn Allah 'All ibn Muhammad (750-73/1349-72)
 al-Nasir li-DIn Allah Muhammad ibn 'All ibn Muhammad (773-93 / 1372-91)
 al-Mahdi li-DIn Allah Ahmad ibn Yahya ibn al-Mufaddal (793-4 / 1391-2)
 (imprisoned by rival

faction)

al-Hadi li-DIn Allah Abu '1-Hasan All ibn al-Mu'ayyad ibn Jibrll (794-836/1392-1433)

period of strife between several rival claimants for the imamate and their descendants

al-Hadi li-DIn Allah 'Izz al-DIn ibn al-Hasan ibn al-Hadi ibn 'All ibn al-Mu'ayyad (896-900/

1491-5)

al-Nasir li-DIn Allah al-Hasan ibn 'Izz al-DIn (900-29/1495-1523), rivalled by

al-Mansur bi-llah Muhammad ibn AH ibn Muhammad al-Wushall p-920 / 1514)

294

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Western Arabia and Yemen (to the Ottoman conquest)

Notes

i. Taqi '1-Din Muhammad al-Fasi, SJti/3' al-ghardm bi-akhbdr al-balad al-hardm, Mecca, 1956, vol. II, 194-5.

2. Ahmad al-Siba'i, Ta'rikh Makka. Dirdsdt fi'l-siydsa wa'l-'ilm wa'l-ijtimd' wa'l-'umran, 4th edn, Mecca, 1979, vol. I, 201-2; see above, p. 289.

3. Ibid. vol. I, 202-9.

4. See above, p. 291, and Richard T. Mortel, 'Prices in Mecca during the Mamluk

period', JESHO, 32 (1989), 279-334, at 280.

5. Ibid. 281.

6. al-Fasi, *Shifd'*, vol. II, 204, 244, 246; al-Siba'i, *Ta'nkh*, 2.66-9; Charles Melville, 'The year of the elephant. Mamluk-Mongol rivalry in the Hejaz in the reign of Abu Sa'id (1317-1335)', *Studia Iranica*, 21 (1992), 197-214.

7. al-Fasi, *Shifd'* 227; Mortel, 'Prices in Mecca', 286 and Richard T. Mortel, 'The mercantile community of Mecca during the late Mamluk period', *JRAS*, third series, 4 (1994), 15-35, at 16. Yanbu', the seaport second in importance, belonged to the Medinese sphere of influence: Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje, *Mekka*, vol. I: *Die Stadt und ihre Herren*, The Hague, 1888, 76, 101.

8. Ahmad ibn Zayn al-Dahlan, *Khuldsat al-kalmdfi baydn umara' al-balad al-hardm*, Cairo, 1305, 50-1.

9. Richard T. Mortel, 'The origins and early history of the Husaynid amirate of Madina to the end of the Ayyubid period', *SI*, 74 (1991), 63-78, at 64-6.

10. 'Abd al-Basit Badr, *al-Ta'rikh al-shamil lil-Madina al-munawwara*, Medina, 1414/1993, vol. II, 363-8 identifies a pro-'Abbasid leaning.

11. Mortel, 'Origins', 67-9.

12. Abd al-Rahman al-Sakhawi, *al-Tuhfa al-latifa fi ta'rikh al-Madina al-sharifa*, Beirut, 1993, vol. I, 244-6; Richard T. Mortel, 'The Husaynid amirate of Madina during the Mamluk period', *SI*, 80 (1994), 97-123, at 101-2.

13. Mortel, 'The Husaynid amirate', 118 and Richard T. Mortel, 'Zaydi Shi'ism and the Hasanid Sharifs of Mecca', *IJMES*, 19 (1987), 455-72, at 467-8.

14. Dahlan, *Khuldsa*, 42; Mortel, 'Prices in Mecca', 286 and Mortel, 'The Husaynid amirate', 114-15.

15. For the extension of their rule see Najm al-Din 'Umara al-Yamani, *Ta'rlkh*

al-Yaman al-musammad al-Mujid ft akhbdr San'a' wa-Zabid, San'a', 1985, 53-5; 'Abd al-Rahman ibn al-Dayba', Bughyat al-mustafidfta'rikh madlnat ZabTd, San'a', 1979, 40; Joseph Chelhod, 'Introduction a l'histoire sociale et urbaine de Zabid', Arabica, 25 (1978), 48-88, at 54-9.

16. Wilferd Madelung, Der Imam al-Qdsim ibn Ibrahim und die Glaubenslehre der Zaiditen, Berlin, 1965, 193-6, 204-6.

17. Ibid. 198-201 and Wilferd Madelung, 'The Sirat al-amirayn al-ajallayn al-sharifayn al-fadilayn al-Qasim wa-Muhammad ibnay Ja'far ibn al-Imam al-Qasim ibn 'All al-'Iyanl as a historical source', in Abdelgadir M. Abdalla et al. (eds.), Studies in the history of Arabia, Riad, 1979, vol. I, pt 2, 69-87.

18. Madelung, Imam, 201-4.

295

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

19. Ibid. 207-10.

20. 'Umara al-Yamanl, Ta'rikh, 75; Ibn al-Dayba 1 , Bughya, 42 and Qurrat aVuyun bi-akhbar al-Yaman al-maymun, Beirut, 1988, 237.

21. Ibn al-Dayba 1 , Bughya, 55, 57-64 and Qurra, 249-55.

22. For a discussion of his date of death see 'Umara, Ta'rikh, 104 n. 7 and Ayman Fu'ad Sayyid, Ta'rikh al-madhahib al-diniyyafibildd al-Yaman, Cairo, 1988, 124-5.

23. 'Umara, Ta'rikh, 88-98; Ibn al-Dayba', Qurra, 174.

24. Ibn al-Dayba', Qurra, 176; 439/1048 according to [Yahya ibn al-Husayn], Ghdyat al-amanlfi akhbar al-qutr al-yamani, Cairo, 1968, vol. I, 249.

25. Ibn al-Dayba', Qurra, 176.
26. Gerald Rex Smith, *The Ayyubids and early Rasulids in the Yemen (567-694/11J3-1295)*, vol. II, London, 1978, 63.
27. 'Umara, Ta'rikh, 99; Taj al-Din 'Abd al-Baqi ibn 'Abd al-Majid, *Bahjat al-zaman fi ta'rikh al-Yaman, San'a'*, 1988, 76; Ibn al-Dayba', Qurra, p. 176; Sayyid, *Madhahib*, 120-1; Husayn ibn Fayd Allah al-Hamdari, *al-Sulayhiyyun wal-haraka al-fdtimiyya fi'l-Yaman*, 3rd edn, Beirut, 1986, 88-93.
28. For differing versions of the date of his death see 'Umara, Ta'rikh, 119 n. 1.
29. For her name 'Arwa' see 'Umara, Ta'rikh, 86 n. 9; for the date of her death Ibn al-Dayba', Qurra, 199; for an evaluation of her reign in the light of Fatimi politics see Samer Traboulsi, 'The queen was actually a man: Arwa Bint Ahmad and the politics of religion', *Arabica*, 50 (2003), 96-108.
30. Smith, *Ayyubids*, 70.
31. Ibid. 63-4.
32. Madelung, *Imam*, 206-7.
33. Heinz Halm, *Die Schia*, Darmstadt, 1988, 234; Sayyid, *Madhahib*, 186; al-Hamdani, *al-Sulayhiyyun*, 182-92.
34. Madelung, *Imam*, 210-11; Smith, *Ayyubids*, 71-2, 78-80; Ibn al-Dayba', Qurra, 207-11, 214-16.
35. Smith, *Ayyubids*, 67.
2,6. Ibid. 52-6.
37. Ibid. 57 and Gerald Rex Smith, 'The political history of the Islamic Yemen down to the first Turkish invasion (1-945/622-1538)', in Werner Daum (ed.), *Yemen: 3000 years of art and civilisation in Arabia Felix*, Innsbruck and Frankfurt, 1988,

129-39, at 135-6; 'Umara, Ta'rikh, 184-91.

38. Smith, Ayyubids, 32 for the Najahids' Friday sermon.

39. Ibid. 33, 55, 60-2.

40. Badr al-Din Muhammad ibn Hatim, *Kitab al-simt al-ghall al-thaman fi akhbar al-muluk min al-ghuzz bil-Yaman*, London, 1974, 16-19; Smith, Ayyubids, 51.

41. Smith, Ayyubids, 67 and Gerald Rex Smith/The early and medieval history of San'a', ca. 622-953/1515 [sic]', in R. B. Serjeant and R. Lewcock (eds.), *San'a': An Arabian Islamic city*, London, 1983, 61; Ibn al-Dayba', *Qurra*, 276-84.

42. Ibn 'Abd al-Majid, *Bahja*, 129-39; Ibn al-Dayba', *Bughya*, 69-79 and *Ibn al-Dayba'*, *Qurra*, 265-98; Chelhod, 'Introduction', 67-8.

43. Ibn al-Dayba', *Bughya*, 75 and Ibn al-Dayba', *Qurra*, 282; Chelhod, 'Introduction', 70.

296

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Western Arabia and Yemen (to the Ottoman conquest)

44. For the development from the fifth /eleventh to the tenth /sixteenth century from a Zaydi viewpoint see 'Abd al-Wasi' ibn Yahya al-Wasi'I, *Ta'rikh al-Yaman*, 2nd edn, *San'a'*, 1990, 193-222; cf also Nahida Coussonnet, 'Les assises du pouvoir zaydite au XI^ele siecle', in Michel Tuchscherer (ed.), *Le Yemen, passe et present de l'unite*, Aix-en-Provence, 1994, 25-37.

45. Smith, *San'a'*, 62-4; Ibn 'Abd al-Majid, *Bahja*, 134-5, 137; Ibn al-Dayba', *Qurra*, 286-94.

46. For the Rasulids' background see Gerald Rex Smith, 'The Ayyubids and Rasulids - the transfer of power in 7th/i3th century Yemen', IC, 43 (1969), 175-88 and Smith, Ayyubids, 83-90.

47. Daniel Martin Varisco, 'Texts and pretexts: The unity of the Rasulid state under al-Malik al-Muzaffar', in Tuchscherer (ed.), Yemen, 13-23.

48. 'Ali ibn al-Hasan al-Khazraji, al-'Uqud al-lu'lu'iyya fi ta'rikh al-dawla al-rasuliyya, San'a' and Beirut, 1403/ 1983, vol. I, 556°. passim and Ibn al-Dayba', Qurra, 30iff. passim; Mortel, 'Prices in Mecca', 280-1, 283-4.

49. G. R. Smith, 'The Rasulids in Dhofar in the VIth-VIIIth/XIIIth-XIVth centuries', JRAS (1988), 26-44; Salim ibn Muhammad al-Kindi, Ta'rikh Hadramawt al-musammd bil-'Udda al-mufida al-jami'a li-tawdrikh qadima wa-haditha, San'a' 1991, vol. 1, 90.

50. Isma'il al-Akwa', al-Maddris al-islamiyya fi'l-Yaman, Damascus, 1980; Wilferd Madelung, 'Islam in Yemen', in Daum (ed.), Yemen, pp. 174-7.

51. al-Khazraji, 'Uqud, vol. II, 37-8.

52. For their background see G. R. Smith, 'The Tahirid sultans of the Yemen (858-923 /1454-1517) and their historian Ibn al-Dayba', JSS, 29 (1984), 141-54.

53. For this conflict see G. R. Smith, 'Some observations on the Tahirids and their activities in and around San'a' (858-923/1454-1517)', in Ihsan Abbas et al. (eds.), Studies in history and literature in honour of Nicola A. Ziadeh, London, 1992, 29-36.

54. On the Egyptians and their co-operation with the Zaydis see 'Abd al-Rahman ibn al-Dayba', al-Fadl al-mazid 'aid Bughyat al-mustafid, Kuwait, 1982, 276-89.

55. [Yahya ibn al-Husayn], Ghdyā, vol. II, 657-90; 'Abd al-Samad ibn Isma'il ibn 'Abd al-Samad al-Mawza'I, Dukhul al-'uthmdniyyin al-awwal ila 'l-Yaman, Beirut, 1986,

22-5; Chelhod, 'Introduction', 80-1.

297

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

PART III

MUSLIM ANATOLIA AND THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

10

The Turks in Anatolia before
the Ottomans

GARY LEISER

Byzantium and the Turks before the
Turkish invasion

From Constantinople the Byzantine emperors looked across the Bosphorus to Anatole, Greek for 'the land of the rising sun'. Anatole, or Anatolia, roughly the present area of Asiatic Turkey, was the heartland of the Byzantine empire in the eleventh century CE. Favoured with a wealth of natural resources, several natural harbours on the Black Sea, the Aegean and the Mediterranean,

and many well-watered fertile valleys, Anatolia gave rise to countless villages and small towns as well as numerous large cities, most of which were connected with the major trade routes of the Middle East. All this ensured that it was the richest and most populous part of the empire. Indeed, for Muslims the word for Byzantium, 'al-Rum' (Rome), was virtually synonymous with Anatolia.

Byzantium had long been familiar with Arabs and Islam, but distance had precluded much knowledge of the Turks. Byzantium had made diplomatic contact with Central Asian Turks as early as the sixth century CE and later the movement of Turkic peoples across the steppes north of the Black Sea brought them to the empire's borders in eastern Europe. The first major encounter with Muslim Turks occurred in the third/ninth century. When the caliph al-Mu'tasim (r. 218-27/833-42) made an attempt to capture Constantinople in 223/838, he amassed several armies consisting mostly of Turks and directed them towards Ankara, which he conquered along with Amorium. Al-Mu'tasim had recruited them from Central Asia. Furthermore, by the third/ninth century, various groups of Turks were also serving the Byzantine emperors as mercenaries and guards.

None of this experience, however, prepared Byzantium for the shock of the Turkish invasion at the end of the eleventh century CE. A harbinger of it, not recognised of course at the time, occurred between 406/1016 and 412/1021

when several thousand Saljuq Turcoman horsemen plundered part of eastern Anatolia. At that time, the Armenian Bagratid dynasty at Ani ruled much of that region, which included Abkhazia along the Black Sea coast and Georgia as well as the lands of the Armenians. Nevertheless, Armenian family and dynastic quarrels, dissension between Armenians and Georgians and their common resentment of Byzantium because of its annexation of part of their territory, not to mention Armenian religious doctrinal differences with Byzantium, undermined military co-operation.

Under these conditions, the Christian forces in eastern Anatolia were ill prepared to fend off the Saljuq raiders. Led by Chaghri-Beg, a grandson of Saljuq himself, they swept across northern Iran from Khurasan in search of booty and, it seems, a potential homeland for their kin, the Turcoman tribes caught between the Qarakhanids to the north of Khurasan and the Ghaznavids to the south. While Chaghri's brother Tughril-Beg vanished into the desert of Khurasan with most of the Turcomans, Chaghri headed for the frontier of al-Rum. His appearance there was completely unexpected. The great mobility of his horsemen, combined with their strange clothing and long hair, caused consternation and fear among the local inhabitants. Chaghri defeated all the Georgian and Armenian forces that he encountered between Tiflis and Lake Van. Finally, laden with booty, he returned to Khurasan and reported on the lack of resistance to an invasion and settlement of their people. As a result of this raid Armenian defences collapsed. This aided the Byzantine annexation of remaining Armenian territory, ending its role as a buffer with the Muslim world. And thousands of Armenians immigrated to Cappadocia. 1

In 431/1040 Tughril and Chaghri defeated the Ghaznavids at Dandanqan, which marked the beginning of the establishment of the Great Saljuq empire and opened the way to the large-scale immigration of the Oghuz Turcoman

tribes into the Middle East. Some of these tribes soon reached the Byzantine frontier and began raiding Anatolia. In 440 / 1048 they conquered Erzurum and went as far as Trebizond and central Anatolia. They plundered Malatya around 449/1057 and Sivas in 451/1059. In 457/1064, Alp Arslan (r. 455-65/1063-73), Chaghn's son and Tughn's successor as sultan of the empire, invaded Georgia and also took the Armenian towns of Ani and Kars. In 460/1067 another Saljuq army sacked Kayseri, Niksar and Konya. The next year, Turkish raiders reached the Bosphorus.

Byzantine defences in Anatolia were clearly ineffective, partly a result of almost continuous civil strife in Byzantium between the bureaucratic and military parties since the death of the emperor Basil II in 1025 CE. In 1068 CE the general Romanus Diogenes became emperor and, in a series of military

302

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Turks in Anatolia before the Ottomans

expeditions, attempted to put an end to the growing Turkish danger. In March 463/1071 he set out from Constantinople to capture the fortified towns of Manzikert (Malazgird) and Akhlat north of Lake Van, dominating major invasion routes from the east. At that time Alp Arslan was campaigning in northern Syria. On learning of the emperor's approach, he turned to meet him. In August their two armies clashed at Manzikert in one of the great battles of history. Alp Arslan defeated and captured the emperor, and then released him after dictating peace terms. The bureaucratic party in Constantinople, however, deposed Romanus even before he returned to the capital. This led to a series of civil wars that greatly facilitated the coming Turkish invasion. 2

The Turkish invasion and the rise of the Saljuq sultanate of Anatolia

We have no evidence that, after this victory, Alp Arslan ordered a systematic military conquest of Anatolia. Indeed, his attention was immediately drawn to Transoxania where he faced a crisis with the Qarakhanids. Nor does his son and successor Malikshah (r. 465-85/1073-92) seem to have planned the conquest of that region, although he, like other Great Saljuq rulers, encouraged many of the troublesome Turcoman tribes to move to the western frontier. In any case, the routes into Anatolia were now open and the Turcomans began to surge along them. The Turkish invasion of Anatolia began, in fact, not as a traditional military invasion with specific military objectives but as a nomadic invasion as the tribes sought booty and pastures for their flocks. This was the start of the Turkification and Islamisation of Anatolia, although most of the Turks were then only superficially Muslims, a process that would take many centuries to complete. This nomadic invasion was especially devastating to the Byzantine village populations who were exposed and undefended. Consequently they increasingly abandoned their lands. This, in turn, undermined both the Byzantine administrative structure in Anatolia and the Church, which was deprived of its property and revenues. ³ The Turkish invasion of Cappadocia in 467/1074 also forced the Armenian emigres there to move to the south-eastern corner of Anatolia and northern Syria where, with other immigrants from Armenia, they established the kingdom of Cilician Armenia.

The most important Turcoman chief to appear in Anatolia after Manzikert was Sulayman ibn Qutulmish, a member of the Saljuq family. He fled to that region after his father was killed in a struggle with his kinsmen. Operating

The New Cambridge History of Islam

independently, he took advantage of the confusion in Anatolia to move west and seize Nicaea (Iznik) and its environs as early as 467/1075. He soon became involved in internecine Byzantine political struggles and at the same time overran much of western and central Anatolia. His growing power aroused the ire of Malikshah, who sent an army into Anatolia but failed to subdue him. Subsequently the emperor Alexius I Comnenus (r. 1081-1118) concluded a treaty with Sulayman acknowledging his suzerainty over the territory under his control. Sulayman then boldly intervened in Syria, but the Saljuq ruler of that region killed him in battle in 479/1086 and captured his son, Qilij Arslan. That might have been the end of Sulayman's budding state had Qilij Arslan not escaped in 484/1092 following the death of Malikshah.

Qilij Arslan (r. 485-500 /1092-1107) returned to Nicaea and regained control of his father's state. This guaranteed Sulayman's fame as the founder of the Saljuq sultanate of Anatolia. Qilij Arslan's forces and allies began to occupy the Aegean ports and islands offshore. He also extended his authority further to the east, focusing on Malatya. However, a rival Turcoman chief, Danishmend Ghazi (d. 497/1104), had established a centre of power in north-central Anatolia around such cities as Tokat, Amasya and Sivas and thus threatened the sultan's eastern ambitions. Contemporary with Danishmend, other Turcoman leaders founded additional principalities in eastern Anatolia:

those of the Artuqids (494-812/ 1101-1409) centred at Amid (Diyarbakir); of the Shah-i Armanids (493-604/ 1100-1207) at Akhlat; of the Mengiichekids (before 512 to mid-seventh century /before 1118 to mid-thirteenth century) at Erzincan; and of the Saltuqids (late fifth century to 598 /late eleventh century to 1202) at Erzurum. The Danishmendids were by far the most powerful. A clash was averted by the sudden appearance of the First Crusade, which made temporary allies of the rivals. Qilij Arslan annihilated the People's Crusade of Peter the Hermit in 489/1096 after it crossed the Bosphorus from Constantinople, but in the following year the Crusader army captured Nicaea and defeated Qilij Arslan at Dorylaeum (Eskisehir). The sultan and Danishmend then joined forces to harass the Crusaders as they marched across Anatolia to the Holy Land. The western frontier of the Saljuq state receded to the east of Dorylaeum, while the sultan, perhaps making Konya a temporary capital, concentrated on shoring up his position further east, taking Malatya in 498/1104. He intervened in the affairs of his Saljuq kinsmen in Upper Mesopotamia and was killed in battle in 500/1107.

By the time of Qilij Arslan's death, the first wave of the Turkish invasion of Anatolia had ended and the political lines were roughly drawn among several new Turkish states, those of the Saljuqs and Danishmendids being the most

The Turks in Anatolia before the Ottomans

important. Qilij Arslan was succeeded by his son Shahanshah (r. 502-10/ 1109-16) who was overthrown by his brother Mas'ud (r. 510-51 /1116-56),

who really developed Konya as the capital. Mas'ud faced a resurgent Byzantium in the west and the two most powerful rulers of the Danishmendid dynasty, Amir Ghazi Gumushtegin (r. 497-529/1104-34) and his son Muhammad (r. 529-36/1134-42) in the east. Amir Ghazi took Malatya, Kayseri and Ankara, subjected both Cappadocia and Cilician Armenia to his authority, attacked the Crusader county of Edessa and fought the emperor John II Comnenus (r. 1118-43 CE) on the Kastamonu-Gangra front.

Mas'ud's fortunes changed with the death of Muhammad and the struggle for succession, which led to the dissolution of the Danishmendid state. The Turks did not follow the principle of primogeniture in succession. Instead, the state was viewed as the common property of the dynasty. Consequently, all members of that dynasty had a right to be the ruler. This custom hampered the unity of most Turkish states, including that of the Saljuqs of Anatolia. Mas'ud took advantage of the dissolution of the Danishmendid principality, taking much of its western region. He also repulsed a Byzantine attack on Konya, but reached an accommodation with the emperor Manuel I Comnenus (r. 1143-80 CE) at the news of the approach of the Second Crusade. Mas'ud drove off the army of Conrad III (r. 1138-52 CE) near Dorylaeum in 542/1147 and forced it to continue its journey by ship; and he compelled that of Louis VII (r. 1137-80 CE) to make a wide detour around western Anatolia. Mas'ud then turned his attention to the east. There he co-operated with Nur al-Din, the Zangid ruler of Aleppo and Damascus (r. 541-69/ 1147-74), against the Crusaders in northern Syria, retook Malatya and invaded Cilician Armenia but died shortly thereafter.

The long reign of Mas'ud laid the basis for the survival of the Saljuq sultanate. The lengthy reign of his son Qilij Arslan II (r. 551-88/ 1156-92) guaranteed it, although near the end of his reign he almost undid his life's work. After seizing Konya and eliminating his brothers, Qilij Arslan had to contend with two alliances directed against him: one between the Danishmendids and Nur al-Din and another between Byzantium and Nur al-Din resulting from Manuel Comnenus' expedition to Cilicia. Qilij Arslan found himself fighting on two fronts, in the east mainly against the

Danishmendid Yaghi-basan (r. 537-59/1142-64) and in the west against the emperor. Having stabilised the frontier in the east, the sultan made a bold and celebrated conciliatory visit to Constantinople in 558/1162 that resulted in an alliance of his own. This broke the ring of forces arrayed against him and he turned his full attention to the east. By 569/1174 he had captured almost all the

305

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Danishmendid territory, aided by the death of Nur al-Din in the same year.

Relations with Byzantium then cooled as Turcoman bands raided western Anatolia. In 572/1176, resolving to put an end to the increasingly powerful

Saljuq sultanate, Manuel Comnenus marched towards Konya. In the pass of

Myriocephalon north of Lake Hoyran (Egiridir), Qilij Arslan utterly destroyed

the emperor's forces in a battle reminiscent of Manzikert. This victory ended

the Byzantine hope of retaking Anatolia. Indeed, henceforth the Greeks referred to it as 'Turcia'. Two years later the sultan annexed the remnant of

Danishmendid territory and for the first time united all of central Anatolia in

one Turkish state, from Kiitahya in the west to Malatya in the east and from Amasya in the north to Cilician Armenia in the south. Only a few coastal areas on the Black Sea, Aegean and Mediterranean remained under

Byzantine control; and Turcoman raiders threatened even these. Then, around 581/1185 and at the height of his power, Qilij Arslan withdrew to Konya and divided the sultanate among his sons and other relatives.

The inevitable struggle for the throne followed. The Crusading army of Frederick Barbarossa (r. 1155-90 CE) compounded this strife. In 586/1190, as

part of the Third Crusade, he marched east across Anatolia, plundering Konya en route, only to drown a few months later in Cilicia. Two years later the sultan was also dead.

The sultanate's survival can be attributed to the weakness of its enemies as much as to its inherent strength. Kaykhusraw I (r. 588-93 /1192-7, 601-8/1205-11) took the throne at Konya as his father's designated successor, but was plunged into war with his brothers. One of them, Sulayman II (r. 593-600/1197-1204) drove him from the throne and managed to reunite most of the state. In 598/1202 he even put an end to the eastern principality of the Saltuqids. Sulayman was briefly succeeded by his young son Qilij Arslan III in 600/1204. But the Turcomans and various members of the ruling class recalled Kaykhusraw, who had taken refuge in Constantinople, and he regained the throne. This coincided with the capture of Constantinople by the Fourth Crusade and its aftermath, which prevented Byzantium from taking advantage of these divisions. Kaykhusraw was therefore able to seize Antalya on the Mediterranean coast in 603/1207, acquiring the sultanate's first major port.

Two Byzantine states emerged from the catastrophe of the Fourth Crusade: the empire of Nicaea in north-western Anatolia and the smaller empire of Trebizond on the eastern Black Sea coast. Their periodic rivalry played into the hands of the Saljuqs. At first relations were strained between Nicaea and Konya. The loss of Antalya and the sultan's intervention in Nicaean affairs led

to war. In 608/1211 near Antioch on the Menderes, the ruler of Nicaea Theodore I Lascaris (r. 1204-22 CE) and Kaykhusraw met in battle. Although victorious, the sultan was killed. Afterwards, little changed on the Nicaean frontier. As for the empire of Trebizond, there the Saljuqs had an important strategic objective, the capture and maintenance of a major port on the Black Sea. For this purpose Kaykhusraw had attacked Trebizond, unsuccessfully, in 602/1205f. The seventh/thirteenth century would witness several periods of conflict between Trebizond and the Saljuqs, who were sometimes allied with Nicaea, over access to the Black Sea. 4

Kayka'us I (r. 608-16 /1211-20) succeeded Kaykhusraw after quickly overcoming his brothers. Leaving Nicaea as a buffer between Konya and the Franks in Constantinople, he turned his attention to the north, south and east. In 611/ 1214 he captured Sinop on the Black Sea, opening Saljuq commerce with Crimea. In 613/1216 he recaptured Antalya, which had revolted in 609/1212, invaded Cilician Armenia and annexed part of its territory. He was less fortunate in the east where his attempt to seize Aleppo from the Ayyubids in 615/1218 failed. Nevertheless, Kayka'us' consolidation of power in Anatolia, his territorial expansion and his opening of trade between the Mediterranean and Black Sea from the ports of Antalya and Sinop gave the sultanate indisputable dominance in Anatolian politics and trade. The stage was set for the growth of Muslim urban life, that is, the florescence of culture, that took place under his brother, the renowned 'Ala' al-Din Kayqubad.

The zenith of the Saljuq sultanate of Anatolia: the reign of c. 615-616 /1218-1219 al-Din Kayqubad I

Building upon the accomplishments of Kayka'us, Kayqubad (r. 616-34/ 1220-37) initiated foreign and domestic policies that brought the sultanate to the height of its power and glory. In 616/1221, he began the conquest of most of the Mediterranean coast east of Antalya at the expense of Cilician Armenia, taking the port of Kalonoros, which was renamed 'Ala'iyya (Alanya) in his honour. He settled many Turcomans in this region and reduced Cilician

Armenia to a minor client state. In the north, he conducted several campaigns against the empire of Trebizond to ensure his possession of Sinop. At that port he built a fleet that he sent against the great entrepot of Sughdaq in Crimea, which he and his successor controlled from about 622/1225 to 637/1239. In addition to undermining the economic life of Trebizond, which was dependent on Black Sea trade, this conquest and his expanded Mediterranean presence steered enormous commercial wealth through the sultanate. It became

307

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the chief transit centre for trade between the steppes of Russia and Alexandria.

In the east he annexed most of Mengiichekid territory, mainly around Erzincan and Kemakh, in 625/1228.

Yet, in the east, Kayqubad faced his greatest challenge. The rise of the Mongols in Central Asia at the beginning of the seventh/thirteenth century

soon had repercussions in Anatolia. The first powerful Muslim state that they swept aside was that of the Khwarazm-Shah 'Ala' al-Din Muhammad (r. 596-617 /1200-20). His son Jalal al-Din Manguberti fled west, living off plunder, with a large army composed mostly of Turks. By 623/1226 he had

reached the eastern border of the sultanate and resolved to conquer Anatolia. Kayqubad held him off diplomatically as long as possible while assembling a coalition of forces from his Christian and Muslim neighbours,

including the Ayyubids. In 627/1230 Jalal al-Din invaded the sultanate. East

of Sivas on the plain of Yassi Chimen, Kayqubad and his allies decisively defeated him. The sultan's frontier in the east subsequently expanded somewhat to approximate that of Byzantium two centuries earlier. He

also incorporated into his service the surviving Khwarazmians who thus represented a minor wave of Turkish immigration into Anatolia. A much larger wave was on the horizon, for the Mongols were not far behind. Turks, Iranians and others who were driven before them were already seeking refuge in Anatolia. Although Kayqubad was then the uncontested master of Anatolia, he was fully aware of the storm that was about to break. He entered into negotiations with the Mongol khan in 633/1236, but died the next year. Only dissension among the Mongols allowed a pause before the storm.

Kayqubad's reign marked a great flowering of Muslim culture in Saljuq Anatolia. Mosques, colleges (madrasas), hospitals and gardens were built in the major cities and a unique architectural style emerged. Noteworthy were the sultan's own palaces of Qubadabad at Lake Beyşehir and Kayqubadiyya near Kayseri, and hunting lodges and gardens near Alanya, and caravanserais along the major trade routes. Ensuring the security and flow of trade, the latter were the largest building projects undertaken by the Saljuqs apart from the fortification of a few major cities.

Iranian emigres strongly influenced a burst of activity in fine arts and literature. Indeed, while Arabic was used for certain official and religious purposes, such as building inscriptions, coinage and pious endowment deeds (waqfiyyas), and for instruction in the religious sciences, Persian flourished as the literary language of the court. 7 Kayqubad himself patronised the family of the young Jalal al-Dīn al-Rumi (d. 672/1273) whose Persian mystical poetry later won undying fame.

The Turks in Anatolia before the Ottomans

At the same time, contact and cultural exchange between the Saljuq sultanate and Byzantium were continuous, affecting their perceptions of each other as well as their respective cultures. It was on the popular level within the Saljuq sultanate, however, that the major cultural synthesis

occurred. Increasing conversion to Islam by the indigenous populations, especially Greeks, intermarriage, slavery and growing physical proximity resulted in a pervasive cultural syncretism between Muslims and Christians.

This syncretism was reflected in all aspects of daily life, such as vocabulary, food, dress, professions, traditions and religious practices. Religious syncretism resulted, for example, in shared holy sites and rituals. 9 And of course, as it blurred the differences between popular Islam and popular Christianity, it further accelerated the conversion of Christians. The wandering mystics, or dervishes, who exercised enormous power over the Turcomans, exploited this in the conversion of Christians.

The Mongol invasion and the collapse of the Saljuq Sultanate of Anatolia

At the death of Kayqubad, a group of powerful military commanders (amirs) brought his oldest son Kaykhusraw II (r. 634-44/1237-46) to the throne, although he was not his father's designated successor. Somewhat weak-willed, the new sultan was initially the creature of one of these amirs, Sa'd al-Dm Kopek Kaykhusraw must have been aware of the looming Mongol threat, but under the influence of Kopek, who eliminated many rival amirs, directed his external policy chiefly towards trying to expand at the expense of the Ayyubids in northern Syria and eastern Anatolia. At the same time, Kopek's heavy-handedness alienated the Khwarazmians in the eastern part of the sultanate and they revolted. The sultan finally put Kopek to death in 636/1239 and in alliance with several Ayyubid principalities crushed the Khwarazmians in 638/1240. Immediately afterwards, a certain Baba Ishaq, taking advantage of this turmoil, proclaimed himself a prophet and instigated a large-scale uprising among the Turcomans that inflamed much of south-central Anatolia. Around the end of 638/1240, with great difficulty and the use of Frankish mercenaries, Kaykhusraw put down this uprising and killed Baba Ishaq. 10

The revolts of the Khwarazmians and Baba Ishaq took a toll on the sultan's troops and resources and diverted his attention at a critical time. In 633/1236 the Mongols invaded Georgia and in 639/1242 struck Erzurum. Kaykhusraw hastily tried to put together a coalition of forces to stop them. Before they

309

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

were all assembled, however, he marched east. In 641/1243 at Kose Dag east of Sivas the Mongols annihilated the Saljuq army. The sultan fled to Cilicia where he soon died, leaving minor sons.

The Saljuq sultanate never recovered from the Mongol onslaught. In return for a large annual tribute the Mongols allowed it to retain a semi-independent existence. Eight of Kaykhusraw's descendants held the Saljuq throne for the remainder of the century. Sometimes ruling jointly, sometimes ruling more than once and almost always ruling as one of several rivals who competed for Mongol favour, this spectacle was symptomatic of the disintegration of the state. Banditry, Turcoman revolts and general insecurity prevailed. In 654/1256 the Mongols invaded Anatolia again and temporarily restored order. Meanwhile, several Turcoman principalities began to take root beyond the direct control of the Saljuqs or Mongols. Most powerful was that of the Qaramanids in south-central Anatolia. They and other factions who resented Mongol domination entered into negotiations with the Mamluk sultan Baybars (r. 658-76/1260-77) and convinced him to invade Anatolia and drive out the Mongols. In 675/1277 Baybars invaded, but an anticipated

uprising in support of him did not materialise, so despite initial successes he withdrew. His ally the Qaramanid Muhammad (r. 660-77/1261-78) did capture Konya in 675/1276 and attempted to replace Persian with Turkish as the official government language.

Mongol revenge was swift. The Ilkhan Abaqa (r. 663-81/1265-82), the ruler of Iran, invaded Anatolia in 676/1277, killed many of the conspirators and their supporters, and took direct administrative control of the sultanate. The Saljuq rulers became mere puppets. The last one, Mas'ud III, disappeared in obscurity around 707/1307. During the same period, more Turcoman principalities similar to that of the Qaramanids began to emerge. Their founders, in flight from the Mongols, represented another wave of Turkish immigration into western Anatolia. The empire of Nicaea could not resist them, for in 1261 CE it retook Constantinople from the Latins and turned most of its attention to the Balkans. Some twenty principalities appeared. The most important were those of the Qaramanids and then the Germiyanids centred on Konya. Among the most obscure was that of the Ottomans, which crystallised in the far north-western corner of Anatolia around Söğüt at the end of the seventh/thirteenth century. By the beginning of the eighth/fourteenth century, therefore, the Saljuq sultanate had vanished. Its territory had become a province of the Ilkhanids surrounded in part by a mosaic of independent Turcoman principalities. The first stage in the Turkish political domination of Anatolia, with its myriad consequences, had passed.

The Turks in Anatolia before the Ottomans

15 The Saljuqs of Anatolia

473/1081 Sulayman ibn Qutulmish

478/1086 Alp Arslan ibn Sulayman, in Nicaea (Iznik)

485/1092 Qihj Arslan I ibn Sulayman, in Nicaea, killed 500/1107

502/1109 Malik Shah or Shahanshah ibn Qilij Arslan I, in Malatya

510/1116 Mas'ud I ibn Qilij Arslan I, in Konya

551/1156 Qilij Arslan II ibn Mas'ud I, c.581/1185 divided the state among his sons and

relatives

588/1192 Kaykhusraw I ibn Qilij Arslan II, first reign

593/1197 Sulayman II ibn Qilij Arslan II

600 / 1204 Qilij Arslan III ibn Sulayman

601 / 1205 Kaykhusraw I, second reign

608 / 1211 Kayka'us I ibn Kaykhusraw I

616 / 1220 Kayqubad I ibn Kaykhusraw I

634/1237 Kaykhusraw II ibn Kayqubad I

644/1246 Kayka'us II ibn Kaykhusraw II

646/1248 Kayka'us II and Qilij Arslan IV ibn Kaykhusraw II, joint rulers

647/1249 Kayka'us II, Qilij Arslan IV and Kayqubad II ibn Kaykhusraw II, joint rulers

655/1257 Kayka'us II and Qilij Arslan IV, joint rulers

657/1259 Qilij Arslan IV

663 / 1265 Kaykhusraw III ibn Qilij Arslan IV

681/1282 Mas'ud II ibn Kayka'us II, first reign

683/1284 Kayqubad III ibn Faramurz ibn Kayka'us II, first reign

683/1284 Mas'ud II, second reign
692/1293 Kayqubad III, second reign
693/1294 Mas'ud II, third reign
700/1301 Kayqubad III, third reign, killed 702/1303
702/1303 Mas'ud II, fourth reign
707/1307 Mas'ud III ibn Kayqubad III
707/1307 Mongol domination

Notes

1. Ibrahim Kafesoglu, 'The first Seljuk raid into Eastern Anatolia (1015-1021) and its historical significance', trans. Gary Leiser, *Mesogeios*, 25-6 (2005), 27-47.
2. Speros Vryonis, *The decline of medieval Hellenism in Asia Minor and the process of Islamization from the eleventh through the fifteenth century*, Berkeley, 1971, ch. 2, 'Political and military collapse of Byzantium in Asia Minor'.
3. Speros Vryonis, 'Nomadization and Islamization in Asia Minor', *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, 29 (1975), 41-71. Cf. Anthony Bryer, 'Greeks and Tiirkmens: The Pontic exception', *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, 29 (1975), 113-48.
4. On Saljuq-Nicaean relations and Saljuq-Trapezuntine relations, see especially Alexis Sawides, *Byzantium in the Near East: Its relations with the Seljuk sultanate of Rum in Asia Minor and the Armenians of Cilicia and the Mongols*, A. D. c. 1192-1237,

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Thessalonika, 1981, and Rustam Shukurov, 'Trebizond and the Seljuks (1204-1299)', *Mesogeios*, 25-6 (2005), 71-136.

5. On the original capture, revolt and recapture of Antalya, see Scott Redford and Gary Leiser, *Victory inscribed: The Seljuk Fetihname on the citadel walls of Antalya*, Turkey, Antalya, 2008.

6. Scott Redford, *Landscape and the state in medieval Anatolia: Seljuk gardens and pavilions of 'Alanya*, Turkey, Oxford, 2000.

7. For an example of the pervasiveness of Persian literary culture in Saljuq Anatolia, see Carole Hillenbrand, 'Ravandi, the Seljuk court at Konya and the Persianisation of Anatolian cities', *Mesogeios*, 2.5-6 (2005), 157-69.

8. Michel Balivet, 'Entre Byzance et Konya: L'intercirculation des idées et des hommes au temps des Seldjoukides', *Mesogeios*, 25-6 (2005), 171-207.

9. Vryonis, *The decline of medieval Hellenism in Asia Minor*, ch. 5, 'Conversion to Islam'.

10. A. Yaşar Ocak, *La revoque de Baba Resul ou la formation de Vheterodoxie musulmane en Anatolie au XHie siecle*, Ankara, 1989.

KATE FLEET

The rise

The origins of the Ottomans are obscure. According to legend, largely invented later as part of the process of legitimising Ottoman rule and providing the Ottomans with a suitably august past, it was the Saljuq ruler Ala 1 al-Din who bestowed rule on the Ottomans. The Saljuqs had however ceased to be the dominant power in Anatolia after their defeat by the Ilkhans, the Mongol rulers of Iran, at the battle of Kose Dag in 641/ 1243. Towards the end of the century the Ilkhans too no longer controlled the region effectively, while the other major regional power, the Byzantine empire, was a mere shadow of its former self, unable to maintain any strong hold over its territories to the east. It was out of this power vacuum that the Ottomans, like the other small Turkish states, emerged towards the end of the seventh/thirteenth century.

By 700/1300 Anatolia was peppered with Turkish states (begliks). In the west, spread out along the Aegean coast running north to south, lay the begliks of Qarasi, along the Dardanelles, Sarukhan, based round Maghnisa, Aydm, with its centre at Tire, and Monteshe, based round Balat. Both Aydm and Monteshe had important trade relations with the Italian city-states, and from early in the eighth/fourteenth century concluded treaties with Venice, the earliest extant with Monteshe dating from 731/1331 and that with Ay din from the same year. 1 To the south, round Antalya, lay Tekke, and inland, Hamid, round Isparta. The Isfendiyarogullan ruled the Black Sea region from their bases in Qastamonu and Sinob. Germiyan, an important state in the early period, was centred on Kutahya, while the most powerful beglik at this time, and one that remained important and constantly troublesome for the Ottomans well into the ninth/fifteenth century, was the state of Qaraman, based round Konya and ruling over a large part of central and southern

Anatolia. To the east, between Ankara and Sivas, lay the state of Eretna.

The small, and initially not particularly significant, Ottoman state was wedged up against the Byzantine frontier in the north-west corner of

313

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Anatolia based round Sogiid. Under its eponymous founder, Othman, who appears on one coin which has apparently survived from the period as 'Othman, son of Ertugrul', 2 the Ottoman state began to expand along the Saqarya river. Byzantine towns, including Bilejik (Bekloma), Inegol and Kopriihisar fell, and by the death of Othman in c. 724 / '1324 the Ottoman state stretched westwards as far as the Sea of Marmara.

In 726/1326, under Othman's son and successor, Orkhan (c. 724-63 / 1324-62), the Ottomans took Brusa (Bursa), their first major capital and the burial place of the early Ottoman rulers, starving it into submission according to the contemporary Byzantine historian Nikephoros Gregoras. 3 Izniq (Nicaea), also under Ottoman siege, fell in 731/1331, and Izmid (Nikomedia), in 737/1337, also reduced by hunger according to Gregoras. 4 Ottoman advance was not merely against the Byzantines. The beglik of Qarasi on the Aegean coast just north of Sarukhan, which appears to have suffered from internal political division, 5 fell to Orkhan, possibly at the end of the 740s /1340s.

The Ottomans under Orkhan thus soon became a force to be reckoned with, Ibn Battuta describing Orkhan as 'the greatest of the kings of the Turkmen and the richest in wealth, lands, and military forces'. They did not merely interest themselves in military conquest, but swiftly developed diplomatic skills and

showed a quick grasp of the economic potential of their growing state. The internal political problems of Byzantium offered them an opportunity which they made good use of. On the death of the emperor Andronikos III in 1341 CE, a civil war broke out between his infant son John V Palaeologos and his mother Anna, on the one hand, and the Grand Domestic, John Kantakuzenos, 'an illustrious flower of his generation' for the Byzantine historian Doukas, 7 on the other. Both the empress Anna and Kantakuzenos pursued an alliance with Orkhan, Doukas commenting that while Orkhan enthusiastically 'responded with great pleasure' to Anna's overtures, her ambassadors did not understand 'who they were summoning for help, and what kind of herb they were grinding to make a plaster for a disease which their sin had brought upon them'. As part of his offer to Orkhan, Kantakuzenos included his daughter. The alliance was sealed, 'this abominable betrothal' took place 9 and Theodora was married to Orkhan. 10 Anna was, under these circumstances, forced to turn her attentions to alternative Turkish allies, and approached Sarukhan, whose troops later deserted her for Kantakuzenos. What interested the Turkish troops was not the Byzantine civil war but the wonderful pillaging opportunities of which they availed themselves as they returned home across Thrace. After a period of civil war, Kantakuzenos entered Constantinople in 1347 CE as the senior emperor, with John V Palaeologos as co-regent.

The rise of the Ottomans

This alliance between Kantakuzenos and Orkhan lasted throughout Kantakuzenos' reign, until his abdication in December 1354 CE. Ottoman

forces were, however, not always reliable allies, those under Orkhan's son Siileyman plundering the plains near Thessaloniki in 749/1348 rather than attacking their intended target, the Serbian ruler Stefan Dusan. Ottoman ability to interfere in internal Byzantine politics continued and after Kantakuzenos' abdication, Ottoman troops supported his son Matthew in his unsuccessful bid to seize the throne from John V Palaeologos. Over the next half century, the Ottomans became a decisive factor in Byzantine inter-factional fighting.

The Ottoman diplomatic, and economic, contacts extended beyond Byzantium to other states further west across the Mediterranean. One of the Latin powers to the west with whom the Ottomans had good relations which were to last throughout the century and well into the next was Genoa. In the winter of 1351-2 CE Filippo Demerode and Bonifacio da Sori were sent as Genoese ambassadors to negotiate a treaty with Orkhan. 11 The importance of their services in concluding a treaty which was so beneficial to the interests of Genoa was noted in a letter written in November 1358 CE by the Doge of Genoa, Simon Bocanegra. 12 According to Kantakuzenos, the reason behind this treaty was the Venetian attack on Pera, the Genoese settlement in Constantinople. With Kantakuzenos supporting the Venetians, the Genoese turned to Orkhan for help. Kantakuzenos described the Ottomans as hostile to the Venetians, 13 and indeed Orkhan gave support to the Genoese in their war with the Venetians, the War of the Straits, which broke out in 1350 CE and continued for the next five years. The Genoese clearly valued an Ottoman alliance highly, for when, in September 756/1355, Orkhan wrote to Genoa, requesting freedom from tax for his agents Filippo Demerode and Bonifacio da Sori, who had been the Genoese ambassadors to Orkhan some years earlier, this request was acceded to, even though it was felt that such a concession would damage Genoese interests, 14 since Orkhan's 'merits and

services' to Genoa were such that any loss would be balanced by the usefulness of an Ottoman alliance. 15 The importance of Genoese-Ottoman relations is further indicated by a clause in the peace treaty between Byzantium and the Genoese which stated that the treaty was not adversely to affect that concluded between Genoa and Orkhan. 1

By the time this treaty had been enacted, an Ottoman presence on European soil had become permanent. In 1354 CE a great earthquake struck and destroyed the walls of Gelibolu (Gallipoli) and other towns in the area which were swiftly occupied by Orkhan's son Siileyman. The Ottomans were to remain in Europe for the next five and a half centuries.

3i5

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Under Orkhan's son and successor, Murad I (r. 761-91/ 1362-89), advance in the west was matched by advance in the east as the Ottomans moved across Anatolia, mopping up the various begliks in their way. Germiyan fell sometime around 777/1375 as a result, according to the account in 'Ashiqpashazade, of a marriage between the daughter of Yakub Beg of Germiyan and Murad I's son Bayezid. 17 Hamid too fell in the same period, again according to 'Ashiqpashazade, as the result of an arrangement, this time a sale concluded between Hiiseyin Beg of Hamid and Murad I. 1

Murad also moved some years later against Qaraman, defeating Qaraman in battle, probably somewhere near Konya, in 788/1386. Following the battle

Murad besieged Konya but did not take it, according to Nesri, owing to the intercession of Murad's daughter, who was the wife of 'Ala' al-Din of Qaraman. 19 Around the same time, Murad conquered Tekke, the beglik based round the important port of Antalya in the south.

Ottoman relations with other Turkish rulers in Anatolia were not all military but were also marital. Bayezid was married to the daughter of Yakub, the ruler of Germiyan, one of Murad's daughters married the Isfendiyaroglu ruler Siileyman Pasha, another married the Qaraman leader, Ala 1 al-Din, while, according to Doukas, Khidir of Sarukhan was also married to a daughter of Murad I while, in the following century, a sister of Murad II was married to the leader of Qaraman, 20 Murad II married the daughter of the isfendiyaroglu ruler and married his son Mehmed II to the daughter of the ruler of Dulqadir. The sons of various Ottoman rulers also made political marriages with the daughters of various Christian rulers, Orkhan marrying Theodora, the daughter of Kantakuzenos in 747/1346, Murad marrying Tamar, the sister of Şisman of Tarnovo, and Bayezid later marrying Olivera, the daughter of Lazar of Serbia, the latter two marriages being made from a position of strength and being designed to ensure Ottoman dominance. Bayezid also married the daughter of the Countess of Salona, thereby gaining a large chunk of territory. Later, Murad II married Mara, the sister of George Brankovic, despot of Serbia. These marriages were designed entirely for political purposes and not for reproduction, which was usually carried on by concubines of the sultan.

The Byzantines had come early to the realisation that calling in the Turks had not been such a good idea. With the Ottomans active in Thrace, John V Palaeologos, unable to do much to stop them, tried unsuccessfully to interest first Serbia and then Hungary in an anti-Turkish alliance. Disappointed by his mission to Buda, John was taken prisoner on his way home by Tsar Şisman of

Tarnovo, to be rescued by his cousin Count Amadeo of Savoy. Indeed, the only active assistance the Byzantines received in their increasingly desperate search

316

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The rise of the Ottomans

for help from the west came from Amadeo, who, with the support of Francesco Gattilusio, the Genoese ruler of Lesbos and brother-in-law of John V, took Gelibolu (Gallipoli) from the Ottomans in August 737/1336. Apart from the efforts of Amadeo of Savoy, help from the west was conspicuous by its absence, for as Demetrios Kydones, advisor to and friend of John V, noted the Franks were very given to promises but refrained from concrete action, while the Turks 'had already begun to laugh'. 21 Ottoman advance continued apace in the Balkans. Probably around 773/1369 Murad took Edirne (Adrianople), which became the second Ottoman capital after Brusa, and in 1371 inflicted a crushing defeat on the Serbian despots Vukasin and Ugljesa at the battle of Cirmen on the Maritsa river. The way into Bulgaria now lay open before the Ottomans. Plovdiv and Zagora fell probably soon afterwards, and Murad appears to have taken over the tsardom of Tarnovo. 22 Ottoman advance was becoming more and more of a menace to the western powers. In 1372 CE Pope Gregory XI proposed an anti-Turkish alliance with the Byzantines, the Latin lords in Greece and the king of Hungary, an initiative which produced no effective result. In 789/1388 Murad campaigned in Bulgaria. Şisman, seeing that his earlier

disobedience in refusing to join Ottoman forces in a campaign against Serbia had been unwise and that his territory was being mopped up, 'wound a shroud around his neck and . . . prostrated himself before the feet of the sultan's horse', 23 a performance he was to repeat not long afterwards as the precariousness of his vanishing kingdom became ever more obvious. 24 While Şisman managed to stay in place, it was now as the vassal of the Ottoman state. It was not only Bulgaria that suffered from Ottoman advance, for the Ottomans also moved into western Thrace and advanced in Epiros and Albania. Despite the initial successes of Manuel, the son of John V, the Ottomans took Thessaloniki in 788/1387. In Serbia too, the Ottomans were successful, taking Nish, and from the mid to late 780S/1380S they began raiding into Bosnia.

While the Ottomans advanced rapidly into the Balkans, their interference in internal Byzantine politics showed similar progress. In 774/1371 Murad I's son Savji and Andronikos, son of John V, revolted against their fathers. The revolt was unsuccessful, Murad blinding Savji, who then disappears from the scene, and John V blinding Andronikos, though not completely, and imprisoning him. Doukas explains Andronikos' action as being either 'because he was powerless and unable to assume a hostile posture against Murad or lacked intelligence'. 25 The result of this revolt was most satisfactory from an Ottoman point of view, for it had the knock-on effect of providing the pope with a convenient pretext for failing to support the Byzantines against the Ottomans, since John V had allied himself with the infidel enemy, and the existence of

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Andronikos plunged the Byzantine state into a civil war which allowed the Ottomans to play the role of power broker in Byzantine politics and to reduce the emperor to the status of an Ottoman tributary. Andronikos, with Genoese and Ottoman help, having escaped from prison, turned on his father and brothers, Manuel and Theodore, entering Constantinople and imprisoning them. He agreed to hand his sister over to Murad in marriage (the sister in fact dying before this marriage happened), apparently paid a considerable tribute, and handed back Gelibolu (Gallipoli). In 1379 CE John V and his other sons escaped and turned to the Ottomans and the Venetians for help. The Ottomans this time backed John V, who once more became emperor.

This level of Ottoman domination in internal Byzantine politics was to continue into the reign of Murad's successor Bayezid, for it was with Ottoman backing that the son of Andronikos IV, John VII, was able to take the throne in 1390 CE, only to come off it again the following year, removed by John V. The level of Ottoman power to determine the outcome of any Byzantine power struggle was recognised by Kydones. 'Everyone admits' - he wrote - 'that whomever the barbarian supports will prevail in the future.' Undeterred, however, political in-fighting went on and the 'old evil . . . the dissension between the Emperors over the shadow of power' continued. As a result the Byzantine rulers 'have been forced to serve the barbarian'.² In 1391 CE Manuel II, crowned as emperor in 1392, served a six-month stint with the Ottoman army.

Murad's reign was brought to an end by the battle of Kosovo in 1389 at which both he and the Serbian leader Lazar lost their lives, Murad being, in various later accounts, stabbed to death by a man posing as a deserter, in what

Doukas describes as 'an unexpected and novel deed'.²⁷ This battle, which came to hold such an important place in Serbian historiography, was not in fact of great significance at the time. It was the battle on the Maritsa eighteen years before - not the battle of Kosovo - that opened up the Balkans to Ottoman invasion.

Murad I was succeeded by his son Bayezid I (r. 791-804 /1389-1402). Under him, the state expanded very rapidly, Germiyan, Sarukhan, Aydm and Monteshe all falling shortly after the beginning of his reign. He campaigned against Burhan al-Din of Sivas and Siileyman of Qastamonu, and in 799/1397 attacked Qaraman, defeating and killing its ruler c Ala' al-Din and conquering the beglik. Bayezid also captured Amasya and Sivas, and took Malatya from the Mamluks. But such sweeping conquests were fundamentally unstable and the shifting and fluid power structures in Anatolia which allowed for a constant switching of alliances rendered any attempt to implement effective Ottoman control extremely difficult.

318

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The rise of the Ottomans

In Europe the Ottomans clashed with Hungary for control of the lower Danube. Serbia was under Ottoman domination and George Stratimirovic and VukBrankovic were brought to heel. Both now served on Ottoman campaigns. With Serbia safely secured, Bayezid turned his attention to Bulgaria and by the mid to late 790s /1390s, Šisman had submitted and Tarnovo fallen.

In 796/1394 the Ottomans laid siege to the Byzantine capital Constantinople. Manuel appealed for help to the West. While the French king Charles VI did send Marshal Boucicault to the city in 1399 CE, no other concrete assistance materialised. In 1399 CE Manuel left Constantinople in search of support among the various powers of Europe.

In the same year as Constantinople went under siege, King Sigismund of Hungary assembled an army, made up of soldiers from England and Germany and a Franco-Burgundian force under the command of John of Nevers, son of the Duke of Burgundy. This force, inspired by Crusading ideals but incapable of effective united action, was shattered at the battle of Nikopolis, on the Danube, 01798/1396. According to Johannes Schiltberger, himself captured at the battle, many died rolling down the steep banks of the Danube or drowned after having had their hands hacked off as they clung to the sides of the vessels in the river by those already on board. 2 Many others were captured and lucratively ransomed by the Ottomans. By the end of early 799/1396 Bayezld controlled the land south of the Danube.

The Ottomans also advanced southwards, raiding in Epiros and Albania. In the Peloponnese, the Ottomans advanced successfully under the Ottoman commander Evrenos. Such activity was of considerable concern to Venice, which lost Argos briefly to the Ottomans in 799/1397 and which feared for its colonies of Modon and Coron. The Ottomans were not only a major military force on land, but were also active at sea. Ottoman naval activity under Murad I and Bayezid was of some concern to both Venice and Genoa, which regularly despatched ships to keep watch on Ottoman movements, and Ottoman ships took part in the siege of Constantinople.

By the end of the eighth/fourteenth century, Ottoman expansion had been enormous. The Ottoman army had become an efficient fighting machine, able

to lay siege effectively and to defeat the enemy in formal battles. Its central forces were the cavalry, the sipahis, who received timars (land holdings) in return for military service, and the infantry, the janissaries, who formed an elite bodyguard for the sultan. But the Ottoman state was by no means merely a military juggernaut rolling inexorably in all directions of the compass. Ottoman territory represented a significant market for Latin powers and the Ottomans had close commercial relations with them, in particular with the

319

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Genoese with whom there were frequent exchanges of embassies. 29 Apparently in contrast to the other Turkish states, the Ottomans seem to have used their economic power in their relations with the city-states. Murad I restricted alum export after his annexation of the important alum-producing area of Kütahya in 782/1381³⁰ and Bayezid imposed restrictions on grain exports, 31 which he forbade altogether in 792/ 1390. That a ban on the export of wood and horses, as well as grain, was in place in 802/1400 is shown by the negotiations conducted between the Venetians and the amir of Aydın. 32 The value the Ottomans placed on trade is also evident in the treaty they concluded with the Genoese in 789/1387. 33

The Ottoman world was also a cosmopolitan and religiously mixed milieu, in which relations were based very much on accommodation as well as conflict, a world in which the frontiers were fluid and a pragmatic approach to survival was paramount. The fluidity of relations between the Ottomans and their Latin neighbours, which so often ran along lines of pragmatism

rather than along any religious or political fault line, is shown clearly by the Venetian Senate's irritation with Neri Acciaiuoli, Lord of Athens, who was allowing Turkish ships to use the port at Megara. 34 Hard and fast lines of religion seem to have been absent in the early Ottoman state. Described by the Ottoman historian Barkan as the 'Turkish colonisers', 35 the dervishes played an important role in Ottoman advance, offering a religion whose spirituality appealed more easily to the conquered populations than a strict, orthodox Islam would have done. The widespread presence of the dervishes is clear from Ibn Battuta's account of his travels in Anatolia, and indeed the earliest apparent extant Ottoman document, dating from 724/1324, is a pious endowment (waqf) document of Orkhan in which he granted lands at Mekeje on the Saqarya river for the endowment of a dervish tekke. 36

The state was also linguistically fluid. Diplomatic relations were conducted in Greek, documents on occasion being translated into Turkish. Arabic remained the language of religion and Persian played a large role in state bureaucracy, as well as being a literary language. Much of the government was carried out by the sultan's slaves, recruited through the levy on captives and from the devshirme, the Ottoman collection of boys from their Christian subjects, a practice which began sometime in the eighth/fourteenth century. From the reign of Murad I, the role played in government by members of the royal family was severely limited, sons of the ruler being sent to govern provinces under the strict control of their father. On the death of the ruler, only one son would emerge from the race for the throne, the remainder being killed.

The rise of the Ottomans

By the turn of the ninth/fifteenth century, Ottoman advance was impressive, in both the east and the west, and even the capital of the Byzantine empire, the seat of that very mischief-making infidel', 37 was under siege.

Various explanations for Ottoman success have been put forward.

According

to the work of the highly influential Ottoman historian Paul Wittek, the Ottoman state was a 'gazi' (ghazT) state, driven on by religious fervour to conquer the lands of the infidels. 3 For Halil inalcik, 'the Holy War or ghaza

was the foundation stone of the Ottoman state'. 39 This theory has come under

much attack and the ghazi element in the early Ottoman state has effectively

been called into question. 40 Quite why the Ottomans, as opposed to any of the

other small states, rose to prominence, may be related to the existence of long-

lasting and successful leaders. The apparent absence of damaging succession

struggles in the first century of the state's existence was clearly of considerable

advantage. The Ottoman leaders were skilfully able to benefit instead from

the faction fighting of those around them, interfering in Byzantine internal

politics and coming to dominate the Byzantine scene, while the European powers were unable to unite effectively or to co-ordinate any action to prevent Ottoman advance. Clearly of great military competence, as Manuel

II himself noted, describing the exceptional dedication and endurance of the

Ottoman army whose strength and discipline had increased through the century, 41 the Ottomans also displayed considerable economic acumen, and

were able to benefit from their commercial relations with the Latin powers.

However, in 805/1402 a whirlwind swept out of the east and Timur, having

defeated the Mamluks in Syria and sacked Damascus, shattered the Ottoman

army at the battle of Ankara. Bayezid fell captive and his sons scattered. The

Ottoman state, which had expanded so rapidly and with such astonishing success, now fractured into fratricidal warfare.

The interregnum

The first of Bayezid's sons to establish himself was Siileyman Chelebi, who made an agreement in Gelibolu in early 805/1403 with the Byzantines, Venice, Genoa and the Hospitallers. Under the treaty, Siileyman, who refers to the Byzantine emperor as 'my father', undertook, in the event of a threat from Timur, to provide galleys and sailors for mutual defence. 42 Siileyman became the most important of the rulers in the Balkans, and the Serbian lords, fighting among themselves and seeking Siileyman's support, did not benefit from Ottoman collapse, Stefan Lazarevic instead continuing to pay tribute, now to Siileyman.

321

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

With Siileyman established in Rumeli (the Europe section of Ottoman territory), his brothers Mehmed and 'Isa fought for control in Anatolia. Mehmed defeated c Isa and took Brusa, only to lose it to Siileyman in 807/1404. Siileyman was by now dominant also in Anatolia and it was to him that the Venetians despatched their ambassador Francesco Giustiniano with instructions to ensure satisfactory commercial conditions for Venetian merchants in the territory of Siileyman, 'emperor of the Turks', and to protest against Turkish attacks against Scutari (Shkoder) and other Venetian possessions. 43 Siileyman also employed a Genoese, Salagruzo de Negro, to build him a tower at Lapseki (Lampsakos), opposite Gelibolu. 44 The importance of

Gelibolu, 'the Muslim throat that gulps down every Christian nation', 45 was recognised by the Ottomans from early on, and Siileyman kept his entire fleet there, protected by a strongly fortified castle with a large garrison. 46

In 811/ 1409, Musa, who had apparently been captured with his father at the battle of Ankara, had been released after his father's death and since then had been in the custody of Mehmed, advanced against Siileyman in Rumeli. He was, according to Neshri, 47 sent off there as a result of an agreement between Mehmed, the Isfendiyaroglu ruler, Mircea of Wallachia and Mehmed of Qaraman, all of whom shared a desire to see the power of Siileyman Chelebi brought down. Musa advanced in Rumeli, where he took Gelibolu in 813/1410. Despite subsequent defeats at the hands of Siileyman, by 813/1411 Musa had triumphed, Edirne had fallen and Siileyman Chelebi had been strangled.

Musa, who swifdy affirmed the treaty made earlier with Venice by his brother Siileyman, 48 did not however stay in power long. Fast becoming unpopular, owing apparently to his policy of killing off wealthy Ottoman lords of Anatolia and seizing their wealth and property, 49 Musa soon began to lose followers. Mehmed, having made a treaty with the Byzantine emperor and thus secured passage for his troops over the Straits on board Byzantine vessels, crossed into Rumeli. After an initial defeat, and a further unsuccessful attack in late 815/1412, Mehmed, supported by troops from the principality of Dulqadir round Elbistan, whose ruler was now his father-in-law, and from the Byzantine emperor, once more crossed the Straits, again on Byzantine ships. In Rumeli he was joined by Stefan Lazarevic and other local lords, as well as by the Ottoman commander Evrenos, and forces from John VII Palaeologos, governor of Thessaloniki. In July 816/1413 Mehmed defeated Musa south of Sofia. Musa fled from the battlefield but was pursued, captured and strangled.

During this internecine struggle the Byzantines sought to increase insta-

bility among the Ottomans by releasing claimants to the throne. After his defeat by Mehmed in 805/1403, 'Isa fled to the Byzantine court. He was soon

322

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The rise of the Ottomans

afterwards released, on the request, according to Neshri, of Siileyman Chelebi for whom a power struggle between his two brothers was convenient. 50 After Musa's defeat of Siileyman in 813/1411, the Byzantines released Siileyman' s son, Orkhan, who had taken refuge at the Byzantine court some time earlier, prompting Musa to attack Silivri, apparently unsuccessfully, and to besiege Constantinople.

The recovery

Once securely on the throne, Mehmed I's (r. 816-24/ 1413-21) initial actions revolved around establishing peaceful relations with Byzantium and with the various Balkan leaders, and in particular with Serbia. Although Mehmed concluded a treaty with the Byzantine emperor, Manuel himself was more interested in attacking the new Ottoman ruler, and approached Venice with this idea in mind. Venice, however, was not interested in any such plan, as it wished to conclude its own peace with the new ruler. At the same time, the Venetians were once more being harassed at sea by Ottoman shipping, and in a battle between Venetian and Ottoman naval forces off Gelibolu, the Ottomans sustained high casualties and lost twenty-seven triremes which the Venetians led off to Tenedos. 51

Mehmed spent 818/1415 successfully campaigning in Anatolia and had, by the end of the year, defeated Qaraman and Jiineyd of Ay dm, who had seized power shortly after Timur had restored the beglik to its former rulers and whose 'cunningness and rapacity', according to Doukas, 52 had driven the local lords to side with Mehmed. Qaraman was, however, by no means crushed, and the following year was once more attacking Mehmed in Anatolia. Mehmed was also beset by the activities of his brother Mustafa, who launched an attack in Thessaly, but was defeated.

In 819/1416 two revolts broke out, one near Izmir led by Borkliije Mustafa and one in north-east Bulgaria under Sheykh Badr al-Dm. The revolt of Borkliije Mustafa indicates the continued fluidity of religious boundaries, for he appears to have preached a vision aimed at both Muslim and Christian. A 'simple-minded Turkish peasant' who 'taught the Turks that they must own no property and decreed that, with the exception of women, everything must be shared in common - provisions, clothing, yokes of beasts, and fields', Borkliije Mustafa 'sought to win the friendship of the Christians', expounding the doctrine that 'anyone among the Turks who contended that the Christians are not God-fearing, is himself ungodly'. Doukas, who apparently received his information from a Christian monk much affected by his teaching, recounted

clergy of the Church, explaining to them his doctrine that the only way for all to be saved is by being in accord with the faith of the Christians'. His disciples, who were to go through life 'adhering to Christian beliefs rather than to Turkish', wore simple tunics, kept their heads uncovered, wore no sandals on their feet and lived in voluntary poverty. 53 Mehmed put Borkliije Mustafa's revolt down with difficulty, killing many of his followers and putting Borkliije Mustafa himself to death.

Sheykh Badr al-Din had apparently been appointed chief qadi by Musa but had been removed from the post by Mehmed and sent off to Izniq. In 819/1416, at the time of the revolt of Borkliije Mustafa, he crossed to Wallachia. It is probable that he was supported by the isfendiyaroglu ruler and by Mircea of Wallachia, both of whom had an interest in seeing Mehmed attacked. Encamped in the forest of Deliorman, near Zagora, he built up a large following. According to 'Ashiqpashazade, he laid claim to the sultanate. 54 His revolt was put down, however, and he was captured and hanged.

In 820/1417 Mehmed attacked Qaraman once more, and once more obtained Qaraman's submission. It would appear that Mehmed of Qaraman had by this time made himself a vassal of the Mamluk sultan al-Mu J ayyad. Mehmed was also successful against the isfendiyaroglu ruler, who sued for peace, granting the revenues of the copper-mining district of Qastamonu to Mehmed. In 823/1420 Mehmed took the Genoese colony of Samsun.

There was also Ottoman advance in Rumeli and in 820/1417 Ottoman forces invaded Albania and took Valona (Vlore), thus gaining access to the Adriatic. In 823/1419 Mehmed made a peace agreement with Venice, setting out territorial arrangements, and guaranteeing safe commerce. 55

In 824/1421 Mehmed I, 'virtuous in character and gentle', a man who 'truly despised warfare and loved peace', 56 died. His successor, Murad II (r. 824-48/

1421-44, 850-5/ 1446-51), described by Jacopo di Promontorio, a Genoese merchant who spent many years in the courts of Murad II and Mehmed II, as a very humane, gentle and liberal man, 57 was immediately faced with two revolts in quick succession, that of his uncle Mustafa and of his brother Mustafa. Mustafa, the brother of Mehmed, known in Ottoman tradition as Diizme (False) Mustafa, had been kept in custody on Lemnos since his unsuccessful attack on Mehmed under an agreement whereby Manuel kept Mustafa against a payment made by Mehmed. Mustafa was now released by Manuel. Having taken Gelibolu, he defeated Ottoman forces under Bayezid Pasha and moved on to capture Edirne. Here Mustafa indulged in 'fatuous conduct', 'behaving ferociously like a prancing and snorting horse', according

324

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The rise of the Ottomans

to Doukas, whose opinion of Mustafa was not high. 58 In winter 825/1421 he crossed the Straits and marched towards Brusa. The two armies faced each other at Ulubad, separated by the Nilufer river. Deserted by Jiineyd, and without giving battle, Mustafa fled westwards to Lapseki, arriving there 'like a plucked jackdaw', 59 and then over the Straits to Gelibolu. Having made a previous arrangement with Giovanni Adorno, the Genoese governor of New Phokaea (Foca), Mehmed had both a fleet ready and waiting to transport him across the water and military support from the Genoese. ° Mustafa fled but was captured and hanged at Edirne.

In June 825 / 1422, extremely irritated by Manuel's action in releasing Mustafa

against him and despite Manuel's attempts to re-establish relations, Mehmed laid siege to Constantinople while Ottoman forces also turned their attention to Thessaloniki. It was at this point that Murad was faced with another revolt. His brother Mustafa laid siege unsuccessfully to Brusa before fleeing to Constantinople. Returning once more to Anatolia, he set himself up briefly in Iznik, but was betrayed to Murad and killed in early 826/1423. With the second revolt disposed of, Murad brought the isfendiyaroglu ruler Miibariz al-Din and Drakul, son of Mircea of Wallachia, into submission, Drakul leaving his two sons as hostages at the Ottoman court. Ottoman forces conducted offensives in Greece where, in May 826/1423, they destroyed the Hexamilion.

With the Ottomans once more in the ascendancy, the co-emperor, John VIII, set off to Europe in the summer of 826/1423 on another of the endless, and fruitless, Byzantine searches for support. While he was away his envoys concluded a treaty with Murad in February 1424 CE under which the Byzantine emperor paid a large tribute and handed over cities on the Black Sea. The Byzantine city of Thessaloniki, under Ottoman siege since the summer of 825/1422, proved unable to resist. In order to avoid its falling into Ottoman hands, the Byzantines ceded the city to Venice, which took over control in September 826/1423. The Venetians were very anxious to make peace with Murad, and tried unsuccessfully to negotiate. Aware, however, that such efforts were unlikely to be successful, the Venetians also made other plans, including the releasing of an Ottoman pretender, called Isma'il, whom they had in custody in Negroponte, and investigated the possibility of an alliance with the amirs of Qaraman, Monteshe and Aydrn. Venice too proved incapable of saving Thessaloniki which fell to the Ottomans in March 833/1430. In the same year Murad made a treaty with his 'brother the Doge' which secured peaceful relations and commerce, and guaranteed various territorial arrangements.

Thessaloniki was not the only Ottoman success in Rumeli. The Ottomans attacked Wallachia in 828/1425 and invaded Serbia the following year. After the

325

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

death of Stefan Lazarevic in July 830/1427 and the passing of control to his nephew George Brankovic, both the Ottomans and Hungary were active in the region, the Hungarians seizing Belgrade and the Ottomans Golubats. Hungarians and Ottomans arranged a peace in 831/1428. In 834/1430 Ioannina went under direct Ottoman rule. By 837/1433 the Ottomans had successfully put down a rebellion in Albania and the Albanian lord John Kastriote had become an Ottoman vassal. In 842/1438 Murad led a campaign in Transylvania. The campaigning of 842/1438-39 resulted in direct Ottoman rule over northern Serbia.

While Ottoman forces made progress in Rumeli, Murad was faced with other problems in Anatolia. Jiineyd, who had deserted Mustafa at Ulubat, was now back in power in Ay dm, and in 827/1424 the Ottomans set out against him. Jiineyd appealed for help to the Venetians, who, although interested in the proposal, prevaricated, still hoping to come to an agreement with Murad. The Ottomans, with Genoese assistance, defeated Jiineyd, who was killed, together with his entire family. Monteshe seems to have fallen at the same time, though the circumstances are obscure. Germiyan also fell, sometime in the mid 830S/1420S. Several years later, in 840/1437, Murad marched against

Qaraman, forcing Ibrahim to sue for peace. In summer 847/1443 Ibrahim, apparently at the instigation of the Byzantine emperor, attacked but fled before the Ottoman forces sent against him and once more sued for peace.

Early in the 840s /1440s, the Byzantines descended yet again into dynastic strife, this time a struggle between the emperor John VIII and his brother Demetrios. Demetrios called in the Ottomans, who, obligingly, laid siege to Constantinople from April to August 846/1442. They also unsuccessfully attacked Limnos.

Hungary, too, was suffering at this time from internal troubles for, on the death of King Albert II in 1440 CE, a succession dispute broke out. This offered a golden opportunity for Ottoman attack and in 845/1441 Ottoman forces moved into Transylvania, but were defeated by the voyvoda John Hunyadi. In 846/1442, Hunyadi was again successful against the Ottoman army sent into Wallachia. Hunyadi's victories, although not of great significance militarily, had a considerable psychological effect, inspiring a certain confidence that the Ottoman menace could be halted. A Christian alliance was set in motion. Earlier, in 1439 CE at the Council of Florence, John VIII had accepted the union of the churches in return for a Christian attack against the Ottomans. In early 1442 CE, Pope Eugenius sent his Apostolic Legate, Cardinal Giuliano Cesarini, to arrange peace in Hungary, which he did by the autumn of 1442 CE. By the summer of 1444 CE a fleet consisting of papal, Venetian and Burgundian ships had set sail for the Dardanelles.

Shortly after the unsuccessful attack against Ottoman territory in Anatolia launched by Ibrahim of Qaraman, Vladislav I, the king of Hungary, George Brankovic, the despot of Serbia and John Hunyadi, the voyvoda of Transylvania, crossed the Danube. There ensued a devastating campaign which continued through the winter of 1443-4 CE, leaving Serbia devastated before Hunyadi, Vladislav and Brankovic retreated back to Belgrade.

In 848/1444 the Treaty of Edirne was concluded between the Ottomans and Vladislav, Brankovic and Hunyadi. Despite this treaty, Vladislav was also committed to the plans for a crusade, the joint papal- Venetian- Burgundian fleet having reached the Dardanelles by August, and had undertaken to cross the Danube at the beginning of September on a campaign against the Ottomans. With the joint fleet approaching the Dardanelles and Hungarian forces poised to cross the Danube once more, Ibrahim launched an attack against the Ottomans, forcing Murad to cross back into Anatolia, taking with him, however, only the janissary forces and leaving the bulk of his army in Rumeli. Yet again, Ibrahim sued for peace without entering battle and the Treaty of Qaraman was concluded in the late summer of 848/1444.

It was at this point that Murad abdicated, unexpectedly, and placed his young son Mehmed on the throne. The reason for his decision is not clear but it was perhaps related to the death in 847/1443 of his son 'Ala' al-Din.

Very shortly afterwards, Vladislav, together with Hunyadi and Cardinal Cesarini, but without Brankovic, who preferred to stay out of the campaign, crossed the Danube. Murad, called back into service to face this force, crossed the Dardanelles successfully, with Genoese help, and in November 848/1444 the two armies met at the battle of Varna. The encounter was hard fought: 'such was the confusion that father could not recognise son, nor son father, and the angels in the heavens and the fishes in the seas were struck by the awesomeness of the battle ... heads rolled like pebbles on the battle field'.² The outcome was an Ottoman victory, and a dead king of Hungary, for Vladislav was killed on the battlefield. Murad now returned to retirement. With the unsuccessful crusade on the Danube in 849/1445, which did not result in any effective Ottoman defeat, the danger of a crusade passed.

Meanwhile, the Despot Constantine continued military action in southern Greece. George Scanderbeg, the son of John Kastriote who had stayed at the Ottoman court as a hostage and who, in 842/1438, had been appointed by Murad to the governorship of Kruje which he used as his base in his rebellion against the Ottomans in 847/1443, continued to elude Ottoman control.

327

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

In 850/1446 the janissaries revolted. Mehmed was forced to recall Murad, a decision which both Doukas and Neshri ascribe to the grand vizier, Khalil Chandarli. 3 Mehmed's brief reign was over.

Between 849/1446 and 851/1447 Murad turned his attention to Mistra and Albania, and by early 850/1447 Constantine, the despot of Mistra, was an Ottoman vassal. The following year, Ottoman forces moved against Scanderbeg, who withdrew. Hunyadi, who had escaped from the battlefield at Varna in 848/1444, now began once more to assemble forces for an all-out assault on the Ottomans. While he obtained the support of the pope Nicholas V, the voyvoda Dan of Wallachia, and Scanderbeg, the Venetians were unwilling to become involved. Scanderbeg, busy with his activities against the Venetians in Albania, did not actually join Hunyadi's forces, which crossed the Danube into Serbia in the late summer of 852/1448. In October the two armies met on the plain of Kosovo. Hunyadi fled the battlefield and the Ottomans emerged victorious.

In the last few years of his reign, Murad directed activities in Greece, taking Arta in 852/1449, and attacking various islands in the Aegean and Negroponte. He also campaigned against Scanderbeg, who managed to survive and keep hold of his stronghold, Kruje. At the beginning of Muharram 855/February 1451, Murad died.

Within less than half a century, the Ottoman state had managed to rise phoenix-like from the ashes of Timur's victory. Once more, the Ottomans dominated vast swathes of territory stretching both eastwards and westwards.

The commercial importance of their territories attracted foreign merchants who were active within Ottoman lands. Relations remained close with Genoa, which on various occasions gave support to the Ottoman rulers. Venice too sought to maintain peaceful relations with the Ottomans, forced to do so in order to ensure the safety of her territories in the region, and because of the commercial interests of her merchants. With an expanding territorial base and a growing economy, the Ottoman state also developed an increasingly complex bureaucracy, which registered in great detail the lands conquered and their productivity. With the conquest of Constantinople by Mehmed II in 857/1453, the Ottoman state extinguished the Byzantine empire and gained a truly imperial capital.

Notes

1. These treaties are published in Elizabeth A. Zachariadou, *Trade and crusade: Venetian Crete and the Emirates of Menteshe and Aydin*, Library of the Hellenic Institute of Byzantine and Post-Byzantine Studies n, Venice, 1983, 187-239. For Umur of Aydin see I. Melikoff-Sayar, *Le destan d'Umur Pacha (Diisturname-i Enveri)*: Texte, translation et notes, Paris, 1954; Miikrimin Halil, *Diisturnamei Enveri*, Turk Tarih Enciimeni Kiilliyani, Adet 15, Istanbul, 1928.

The rise of the Ottomans

2. Ibrahim Artuk, 'Osmanli Beyliginin Kurucusu Osman Gazi'ye ait sikke', in
Osman Okyar and H. Inalcik (eds.), *Social and economic history of Turkey (ioyi-1920)*, Ankara, 1980, 27-33.
3. Gregoras, *Nicephori Gregorae Byzantina Historia*, ed. L. Schopeni, Bonn, 1829, vol. I, VIII, 15, p. 384.
4. *Ibid.* vol. I, XI, 6, p. 545.
5. Asikpasazade, *Die altosmanische Chronik des Asikpasazade*, ed. Friedrich Giese, Osnabriik 1972, bab 35, 36, pp. 41-2; *Asikpasazade Tarihi*, ed. Ali, Istanbul, 1332 H, 43-5.
6. Ibn Battuta, *The travels of Ibn Battuta A.D. 1325-1354*, trans. H. A. R. Gibb, 2 vols., Cambridge, 1958-62, vol. II, 451-2. For another account of Anatolia in this period see al-'Umari, 'Notice de l'ouvrage qui a pour titre Masalek alabsar fi memalek alamsar, *Voyages des yeux dans les royaumes des differentes contrees (ms. arabe 583)*', ed. E. Quatremere, in *Notices et extraits des mss. de la Bibliotheque du Roi*, vol. XIII, Paris, 1838, 334-81.
7. Doukas, *Historia Byzantina*, ed. I. Bekker (Bonn, 1843), p. 199; Doukas, *Ducae Historia Turcobyzantina (1341-1462)*, ed. B. Grecu, Bucharest, 1958, 41; Doukas, *Decline and fall of Byzantium to the Ottoman Turks*, ed. H. J. Magoulias, Detroit, 1975, 64. Doukas's grandfather lived through the civil war and fled Constantinople for Aydin where he was well received. There 'he adopted his foreign residence

for his homeland, and esteemed and honored the foreigner and barbarian as one crowned by God', Doukas, ed. Bekker, 23; ed. Grecu, 47; ed. Magoulas, 66.

8. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 31; ed. Grecu, 57; ed. Magoulas, 71.

9. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 34; ed. Grecu, 59; ed. Magoulas, 73.

10. Kantakuzenos, Ioannis Cantacuzeni Eximperatoris Historiarum, ed. L. Schopeni, vol. II, Bonn, 1831, section III, subsection 95, pp. 585-9.

11. 1358.xi.20 = Archivio di Stato di Genova [hereafter ASG], San Giorgio Manoscritti Membranacei IV, f. 304r; L. T. Belgrano, 'Prima serie di documenti riguardanti la colonia di Pera', Atti della Societa Ligure di Storia Patria, 13 (1877-84), no. 21, 99-317, at 129.

12. 1358.xi.20 = ASG, San Giorgio Manoscritti Membranacei IV, f. 304r.

13. Kantakuzenos, Historiarum, vol. III, 228.

14. 1356.iii.21 = ASG, San Giorgio Manoscritti Membranacei IV, f. 304V; Belgrano, 'Prima serie', no. 17, 125-6.

15. 1356.iii.21 = San Giorgio Manoscritti Membranacei IV, ff. 304v-305r; Belgrano, 'Prima serie', no. 18, 126-7.

16. 1352. v.6 = Liber Jurium Reipublicae Genuensis, ed. E. Ricotto, Monumenta Historiae Patriae 9, Turin, 1857, vol. II, no. CCIII, 602.

17. Asikpasazade, ed. Giese, bab 50, p. 52, ed. Ali, 56-7.

18. Asikpasazade, ed. Giese, bab 53, 54-5; ed. Ali, 59-60.

19. Nesri, Gihanumd: Die altosmanische Chronik des Mevldna Mehemed Neschrl, ed. Franz Taeschner, Leipzig, 1951, Band I (Doc. Menzel), 63; Nesri, Mehmed Nesn Kitdb-i Cihan-Numd, Nesri Tarihi, ed. Faik Resit Unat and Mehmed A. Koymen, Ankara, 1987), vol. I, 232.

20. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 18, 205; ed. Grecu, 39, 257; ed. Magoulías, 63, 174.

329

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

21. R.-J. Loenertz (ed.), *Demetrius Cydones Correspondence*, vol. I, *Studi e Testi* 186,

Vatican City, 1956, letter 93, pp. 126-7. I should like to thank Julian Chrysostomides for providing me with this reference.

22. Colin Imber, *The Ottoman Empire 1300-1481*, Istanbul, 1990, 30.

23. Neşri, ed. Taeschner, 69; ed. Unat and Koymen, I, 250.

24. Neşri ed. Taeschner, 80; ed. Unat and Koymen, I, 256.

25. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 44; ed. Grecu, 71; ed. Magoulías, 79. Doukas adds about John, 'he was very stupid'.

26. R.-J. Loenertz (ed.), *Demetrius Cydones Correspondence*, vol. II, *Studi e Testi* 208,

Vatican City, 1956, letter 442, p. 407.

27. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 15; ed. Grecu, 37; ed. Magoulías, 61.

28. Johann Schiltberger, *The bondage and travels of Johann Schiltberger, a native of*

Bavaria, in *Europe, Asia and Africa, 1396-142J*, trans, and ed. Commander

J. Buchan Telfer, London, 1879, 4.

29. See the entries for embassy-related expenses for the 1390s in the account books

of the comune of Pera, ASG, San Giorgio, Sala 34 590/1304, and ASG, Antico

Comune 22.

30. 1384.vii.22 = G. Thomas (ed.), *Diplomatarium Veneto-Levantinum*, 2 vols., Venice, 1890-9, vol. II, no. 116, p. 194.
31. Kate Fleet, 'Turkish-Latin relations at the end of the fourteenth century', *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 49, 1 (1996), 131-7.
32. 1400.iii.19: F. Thiriet, *Regestes des deliberations du Senat de Venise concernant la Roumanie*, 3 vols., Paris, 1958-61, vol. II, doc. 988, pp. 12-13; H. Noiret, *Documents inedits pour servir a l'histoire de la domination venetienne en Crete de 1380 a 1483*, Paris, 1892, iio-ii; N. Iorga, *Notes et extraits pour servir a l'histoire de croisades au XVe siecle*, 3 vols., Paris, 1899-1902, vol. I, 102.
33. Kate Fleet, 'The treaty of 1387 between Murad I and the Genoese', *BSOAS*, 56 (1993) 33- For Ottoman-Genoese trade, see Kate Fleet, *European and Islamic trade in the Early Ottoman state: The merchants of Genoa and Turkey*, Cambridge, 1999.
34. 1385.VU.7 = J. Chrysostomides, *Monumenta Peloponnesiaca: Documents for the history of the Peloponnese in the 14th and 15th centuries*, Cambridge, 1995, no. 29, p. 62.
35. 'Kolonizator Turk Dervişleri', Omer Lutfi Barkan, 'Osmanlı İmparatorlugunda Bir İskan ve Kolonizasyon Metodu Olarak Vakıflar ve Temlikler I İstila Devirlerinin Kolonizator Turk Dervişleri ve Zaviyeleri', *Vakıflar Dergisi*, 2 (1943), 279-353.
36. I.H. Uzuncarşili, 'Gazi Orhan Bey Vakfiyesi', *Belleten*, 5, 19 (1941), 277-88.
37. Aşıkpaşazade, ed. Giese, bab 60, p. 60; ed. Ali, p. 65.
38. Paul Wittek, *The rise of the Ottoman Empire*, Royal Asiatic Society Monograph 23, London, 1938.
39. Halil İnalcık, 'The emergence of the Ottomans', in P. M. Holt, Ann K. S. Lambton and Bernard Lewis (eds.), *The Cambridge history of Islam*, vol. I:

The central Islamic lands, Cambridge 1970, 283.

40. Rudi Paul Lindner, *Nomads and Ottomans in medieval Anatolia*, Bloomington, 1983; Rudi Paul Lindner, 'Stimulus and justification in early Ottoman history',

330

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The rise of the Ottomans

Greek Orthodox Theological Review, 27 (1982), 207-24; Colin Imber, 'What does ghazi actually mean?', in Cigdem Bahm-Harding and Colin Imber (eds.), *The balance of truth: Essays in honour of Professor Geoffrey Lewis*, Istanbul, 2000, 165-78; R. C. Jennings, 'Some thoughts on the Gazi-thesis', *Weiner Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes*, 76 (1986), 151-61; Kate Fleet, 'Early Ottoman self-definition', in Jan Schmidt (ed.), *Essays in honour of Barbara Flemming*, vol. I, *JTS*, 26, 1 (2002), 229-38; Heath Lowry, *The nature of the early Ottoman state*, Albany, 2003.

41. J. Chrysostomides, Manuel II Palaeologos funeral oration on his brother Theodore, *CFHB* 26, Thessaloniki, 1985, 158-60.

42. 1403 = Thomas, *Diplomatarium Veneto-Levantinum*, no. 159, p. 292.

43. 1406.iii.30 = Thomas, *Diplomatarium Veneto-Levantinum*, no. 162, pp. 287-301 ('musulmanum Zalabi imperatorem Turchorum').

44. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 88; ed. Grecu, 123; ed. Magoulias, 106.

45. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 155; ed. Grecu, 199; ed. Magoulias, 144.

46. Ruy Gonzalez de Clavijo, Narrative of the embassy of Ruy Gonzalez de Clavijo to the court of Timour at Samarcand A. D. 1403-6, trans, and ed. Clements R. Markham, London, 1859, 27-8.
47. Nesri, ed. Taeschner, 130; ed. Unat and Koymen, II, 476.
48. 1411. viii.12 = Thomas, *Diplomatarium Veneto-Levanticum*, no. 164, pp. 302-4.
49. Nesri, ed. Taeschner, 133; ed. Unat and Koymen, II, 488.
50. Neşri, ed. Taeschner, 117; ed. Unat and Koymen, II, 432.
51. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 109-11; ed. Grecu, 147-9; ed. Magoulias, 118-19.
52. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 106; ed. Grecu, 143; ed. Magoulias, 116.
53. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 111-15; ed. Grecu, 149-51, 153; ed. Magoulias, 119-21.
54. Asikpasazade, ed. Giese, bab 78, pp. 81-2; ed. Ali, 92.
55. i4i9.xi.6 = Thomas, *Diplomatarium Veneto-Levanticum*, nos. 172 and 173, pp. 318-30.
56. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 203; ed. Grecu, 253; ed. Magoulias, 173; Doukas, ed. Bekker, 228; ed. Grecu, 285; ed. Magoulias, 189.
57. Franz Babinger (ed.), *Die Aufzeichnungen des Genuesen Iacopo de Promontorio-de Campis iiber den Osmanenstaat um 1475*, Munich, 1957, 80.
58. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 166; ed. Grecu, 211; ed. Magoulias, 151.
59. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 177; ed. Grecu, 223; ed. Magoulias, 158.
60. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 164-5; ed. Grecu, 209-n; ed. Magoulias, 150-1. Doukas composed the letters sent by Adorno to Murad.
61. 1430. ix.4 = Thomas, *Diplomatarium Veneto-Levanticum*, no. 182, pp. 343-5 ('lo mio fradello el Doxe', p. 344).
62. Gazavdt-i Sultan Murad b. Mehemmed Han. *Izladi ve Varna Savaslan* (1443-1444)

tjzerinde Anonim Gazavdtname, ed. Halil Inalcik and Mevlud Oguz, Turk Tarih Kurumu Yayinlan 18. Dizi - Sa. 1, Ankara, 1978, f. 57a; 'Anonymous, The holy wars of Sultan Murad son of Sultan Mehmed Khan', in Colin Imber, The Crusade of Varna, 1443-4% Aldershot, 2006, 99.

63. Doukas, ed. Bekker, 222; ed. Grecu, 277; ed. Magoulias, 185; Nesri ed. Taeschner, 173; ed. Unat and Koymen, II, 654, 656.

33i

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

12

The Ottoman empire
(tenth/ sixteenth century)

COLIN IMBER

Introduction

During the course of the tenth/ sixteenth century, the Ottoman empire emerged as a world power, both in terms of its real military and political strength, and in terms of the claims of the Ottoman dynasty to universal sovereignty. During the previous century, Mehmed II (r. 855-86/ 1451-81) had consolidated Ottoman control of much of Anatolia and the Balkan Peninsula through conquest and through the removal of local dynasties or their absorption

into the Ottoman ruling establishment. This process of assimilation continued during the reign of Mehmed's son Bayezid II (r. 886-918/1481-1512). Ottoman territory as it stood at the end of Mehmed II's reign remained the core territory of the empire during the tenth/ sixteenth century and later. Viziers and other members of the military-political class were usually of Rumelian origin, that is from the Balkan Peninsula. It was only during the late tenth/ sixteenth and eleventh/ seventeenth centuries that men of Caucasian origin emerged as rivals to the Rumelians in the contest for political office. The legal-religious elite tended to come from Anatolian Turkish families, as did the secretaries that manned the sultan's chancellery. It was also Rumeli and Anatolia that furnished the majority of troops and crews for the imperial army and imperial fleet, and provided most of the materials and cash to support military and naval enterprises. Furthermore, the conquest of Constantinople in 857/1453 and its subsequent rebuilding had provided the empire with a permanent capital situated between Rumeli and Anatolia.

The reign of Bayezid II saw a temporary halt to large-scale conquest. 1 This was partly a reflection of the sultan's own pacific temperament, and partly a reaction to the strains of the thirty years of continuous warfare during his father's reign. The period was, however, crucial in shaping the empire that was to develop during the tenth/ sixteenth century. Bayezid himself acquired a posthumous reputation as a saint, and his personal piety was probably a factor

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

in encouraging a consciousness of the Ottoman empire as an orthodox Islamic polity and of the sultan as defender of orthodox Islam. Both Mehmed II and Bayezid II encouraged this tendency through their endowment of mosques and colleges (medreses) for training religious scholars ('ulema). The Eight Medreses of Mehmed II were to remain the most prestigious institutions of learning in the Ottoman empire until the nineteenth century CE, rivalled only by the medreses of the Suleymaniye, completed in 964/1557. However, Bayezid's most distinctive legacy to the tenth/ sixteenth century was the codification of secular law. His reign witnessed a systematic codification of the laws governing the related areas of fief-holding, taxation and fines and other penalties, areas of law which in practice lay outside the scope of the sherVat. The first general code for use throughout the empire appeared c. 905f/i500, and underwent several recensions between the beginning of the century and 947/1540. It remained in use until the early eleventh/seven-teenth century.

Bayezid's reign was most significant in the religious and legal sphere. However there was another development which looked forward to the tenth/ sixteenth century. In the war with Venice between 904/1499 and 909/1503 an Ottoman fleet for the first time successfully operated outside the Aegean. The fleet operations of Mehmed II's time were confined to the Aegean and relied for their success on overwhelming numbers of vessels. The successes of Bayezid's reign - the conquest of the ports of Kilia and Akkerman in Moldavia and the acquisition of strategic fortresses in the Peloponnese - although unspectacular, presaged the emergence of the Ottomans as a naval power during the tenth/ sixteenth century. 2.

However two significant developments which were to define the character of the Ottoman tenth/sixteenth century occurred outside the Ottoman domains. In western Europe by 1527-8 /1521 the Habsburg monarch Charles V combined in his person the roles of king of Spain, duke of Burgundy and Holy Roman Emperor, while his brother Ferdinand ruled the Habsburg lands in Austria with the title 'King of the Romans'. This accumulation of power in the Habsburg family created a dynastic power to rival the Ottomans and led to conflict between the two dynasties in central Europe and in the Mediterranean and North Africa. It also led to an ideological competition between Suleyman I 'the Magnificent' (r. 1520-66) and Charles V, with Suleyman's title clearly intended to outshine his rival's. The early tenth/sixteenth century also saw the rise of the Safavid dynasty in Iran. This led to more than military and territorial rivalry between the Ottoman empire and Iran. The Safavid adoption of Twelver Shi'ism and the Safavid shah's claim,

333

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

through headship of the Safavid Order, to quasi-divine status created an internal threat to the Ottoman empire, with many of the Ottoman sultan's subjects, particularly in central Anatolia and Iraq, professing loyalty to the Safavid shah. The sultans countered this threat by periodic persecutions, but equally by counter-propaganda which portrayed the Ottoman sultans as the sole defenders of Sunni Islamic orthodoxy against Safavid heresy. This development strengthened the self-image of the sultans as righteous Sunni rulers,

and with it the influence of the orthodox 'ulema in the empire. Political events thus gave an impetus to an Islamising tendency already apparent during the reign of Bayezid II. The Ottoman-Safavid rivalries of the tenth/ sixteenth century also had long-term consequences. The Sunm-Shi'I split, with Twelver ShPism as the dominant religion in Iran and Sunm Islam as the dominant religion to the west of Iran, dates from the conflicts of this period.

Furthermore, the location of the current western border of Iran reflects, more or less, the outcome of the Ottoman-Safavid conflicts of the tenth/ sixteenth and early eleventh/ seventeenth centuries.

Before the reign of Suleyman I 'the Magnificent'

(906-26/1500-20)

The tenth/ sixteenth century was a period when the personality of the reign- ing sultan was a major factor in determining the politics of the empire. By the

beginning of the century, Bayezid II was old and apparently ailing. The war

with Venice and her allies between 904/1499 and 909/1503 had added impor-

tant fortresses in southern Greece and Albania to his possessions, but this was

his last offensive war. His reaction to the rise of the Safavids in Iran was extremely cautious. Despite their laying claim to Trebizond and occasionally

threatening the Ottoman frontier, Bayezid's response was simply to deport

known Safavid sympathisers to the Peloponnese and to attempt to close his

eastern border to Safavid infiltrators. The infirmities of old age, which perhaps explain Bayezid's inertia in the face of the danger from the Safavids,

gave rise to another crisis. Fearing his imminent death, his sons began manoeuvring to secure the succession. In 915/1509, his son Qorqud fled to

Egypt, presumably to secure an alliance with the Mamluk sultan in the inevitable struggle for succession, while another son Selim, governor of Trebizond, complained that Bayezid favoured his brother Ahmed.

In 917/1511 the two crises converged. Having returned from Egypt Qorqud

faced a rebellion in the area of his governorship in south-western Anatolia. Its

leader was a certain Shah Qulu ('Slave of the Shah'), whose family allegiance to

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

the Safavids and whose own messianic claims added a religious fervour to the uprising. Qorqud retreated before the rebels who advanced northwards as far as Brusa (Bursa). The crisis ended when an army under the grand vizier Khadim c Ali Pasha and Prince Ahmed pursued Shah Qulu and his followers across the frontier to Iran. Khadim 'All lost his life in the final battle.

The Shah Qulu rebellion discredited Bayezid and the claims to the throne both of Qorqud who had retreated before the rebels and of Ahmed who had not distinguished himself during the campaign. The third brother, Selim, took no part in these events, but in the meantime pursued his own claims by travelling to the Crimea and, with the support of the khan, invading Ottoman Rumeli. His father temporarily placated him with the governorship of Silistra on the Danube, nearer to the capital than his former seat of government in Trebizond. His attempt shortly afterwards to march on Istanbul and remove his father by force was a failure, and gave his brother Ahmed the opportunity to claim the throne during his father's lifetime. It was the janissaries who determined the succession. In 918/1512, a janissary rebellion in his favour enabled Selim to prevent Ahmed from entering the capital and to force his father's abdication.

Selim I (r. 918-26/ 1512-20) did not share his father's pacific temperament, and the course of his reign was markedly different from Bayezid's. By 919/1513

he had defeated and killed both of his brothers, Qorqud and Ahmed, and executed the male members of their families apart from Ahmed's son Prince Murad, who fled to Iran. With his throne secure, he reversed his father's conciliatory attitude towards the Safavids. In 920/1514, in his first military campaign after the civil war, he routed the Safavid Shah Isma'il at Chaldiran and temporarily occupied his capital, Tabriz. In the following two years, he conquered Amid, Urfa, Mardin and the other Safavid cities and territories in south-east Anatolia and, through his agent Idris of Bitlis, secured the allegiance of the Kurdish tribal leaders of eastern Anatolia. In 921/1515 Selim extended his territory and influence in this area through the annexation of the principality of Dulqadir around Elbistan and through securing the allegiance of the Ramadanoglu dynasty of Adana.

The Ottoman occupation of these areas gave Selim an extended border with the Mamluk domains in Syria, leading the Mamluk sultan Qansuh al-Ghawri to seek an alliance with Shah Isma'il in order to counterbalance this threatening Ottoman presence. This provided Selim with an impetus and a justification for his next campaign. In 922/1516, unable to continue his march eastwards to campaign against Isma'il for fear of a Mamluk attack across the border, Selim chose instead to attack Qansuh al-Ghawri in Syria, securing a

Qansuh's co-operation with the 'heretic' Isma'il provided the justification for the war with a fellow Sunm Muslim. In the winter following his victory, Selim took his army across the Sinai Peninsula to Egypt. The defeat and death of Qansuh's successor, Tumanbay, at Raydaniyya outside Cairo gave Selim control of all the former Mamluk domains in Syria, Egypt and the Hijaz. 4

This was Selim's last campaign. In 924/1518 he planned a further campaign from Syria, presumably against the Safavids, but mutiny in his overstretched army thwarted his ambition. In the last full year of his life, however, the Ottoman domains expanded further when Khayr al-Din Barbarossa, an Anatolian pirate who had established himself as ruler of Algiers and Tunis, voluntarily accepted the Ottoman sultan as overlord. Khayr al-Din's motive was presumably to acquire a protector against the power of Spain and other Christian enemies. 5 Selim himself began naval and military preparations for what Lutfi Pasha (grand vizier, 946-8/ 1539-41) was later to describe as 'the conquest of Europe'. 6 His death in 926/1520 prevented the fulfilment of this ambition.

In 922-3 /1516-17, Selim had in a single campaign almost doubled the size of the Ottoman empire. Egypt in particular was to become an important source of revenue, remitting its surplus to the treasury in Istanbul and, perhaps equally significantly, an important source of foodstuffs for the capital. The new territories also brought new problems. The need to defend the sea lanes between Egypt and Istanbul - the only practical route for regular trade and communications - required the development of the Ottoman fleet. The acquisition of Egypt and the Hijaz also gave the Ottomans an outlet to the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean, and with it the need to protect traditional trade routes between India and South-East Asia and the Mediterranean, particularly against disrup-

tion by the Portuguese. The acquisition of the Hijaz also gave the sultan the responsibility of protecting the pilgrimage routes to Mecca by sea and land.

The conquests also brought a new status. The incorporation of the former Mamluk territories made the Ottoman empire the world's greatest Islamic power. Furthermore, the acquisition of the three holy cities of Mecca, Medina and Jerusalem gave the Ottoman sultan primacy among all Islamic rulers, emphasising the tendency, reinforced by rivalry with the Safavid 'heretics', of the Ottoman sultans to present themselves as the defender of Islamic orthodoxy.

Suleyman I 'the Magnificent' (r. 926-74/1520-66)

It is customary to think of the reign of Suleyman I as the high point of the Ottoman empire, as much in its material and literary culture as in its political

336

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

and military fortunes. This was an image which the sultan himself did much to cultivate with his patronage of the arts, the embellishment of his capital city and his adoption of increasingly grandiose titles intended to reflect his successes on the battlefield and the defeat of his Habsburg and Safavid rivals.

At the time of Siileyman's accession, however, rival powers underestimated his capabilities. He faced a revolt in Syria, where a former Mamluk governor, Janbirdi al-Ghazali, hoped to establish himself as an independent ruler, and

later in Egypt. The expected success of Janbirdi's rebellion seems also to have

encouraged the Hungarian king Lajos to treat the sultan's ambassadors disrespectfully, providing Siileyman with the pretext for his first campaign. 7

In 927/1521, having suppressed the revolt in Syria, he attacked Hungary, capturing the fortress city of Belgrade. In the following year, using the fleet

which his father had created in the last year of his reign, he besieged and captured Rhodes, expelling the Knights of St John. Both victories had a strategic and symbolic significance. Belgrade was the most important Hungarian bastion against an attack from the south, and its conquest made

possible an Ottoman invasion of the kingdom. Rhodes, too, was strategically

placed to command the sea lane between Istanbul and Egypt, making its possession essential to the security of communications and trade between

Egypt and the capital. Symbolically, these victories created Siileyman's reputation as a warrior, since his most renowned ancestor, Mehmed II 'the Conqueror'

(r. 855-86 /1451-81) had failed in his assaults on both Belgrade and Rhodes.

The conquest of Belgrade was a preliminary to an invasion of Hungary.

This came in 932/1526, when Siileyman defeated and killed King Lajos at the

battle of Mohacs, precipitating a conflict that was to preoccupy him through-

out his reign. Following the departure of the Ottoman army, the Hungarian

Estates elected John Szapolyai as king, an appointment which Siileyman later

confirmed. However, the Habsburgs also had a claim to the kingdom through

the marriage of Charles V and Ferdinand's sister to King Lajos. In 934/1528,

Ferdinand occupied the Hungarian capital and expelled John Szapolyai, precipitating Siileyman's second invasion of Hungary. In 935f /1529, the sultan

reoccupied Buda, replaced Szapolyai on the Hungarian throne, and in September advanced to besiege Vienna. In mid-October, the Ottoman army

withdrew. Disengagement did not, however, end the war. In 936f /1530, Ferdinand again besieged Buda, this time unsuccessfully, and occupied the

western part of Hungary, drawing Siileyman into a third Hungarian cam-

paign. Hostilities ended with an Ottoman-Habsburg truce which confirmed Szapolyai as king but recognised the division of the kingdom between Szapolyai and Ferdinand.

337

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Peace with the Habsburgs in the west was a signal for war with the Safavids in the east. It is probable that events within his realms had already alerted Siileyman to the danger from the Safavids, even though they did not furnish the pretext for hostilities. The defeat of Shah Qulu's rebellion in 917/1511 had not put an end to religiously inspired revolts. In 925 / 1519 Selim had suppressed the rebellion in central Anatolia of a messianic leader apparently with Safavid sympathies. The reason for the sultan's hurried departure from Hungary after the battle of Mohacs was another rebellion in Anatolia, but the most serious uprising came in 934^1528, again in central Anatolia and again gathered around a messianic figure, remembered as Qalenderoglu. There were further disturbances in south-east Anatolia in the same years. The extent to which the Safavids inspired these rebellions is not clear, but fear of Safavid sedition continued to haunt the Ottomans. Qalenderoglu's was the last major rebellion of a religious-millenarian character, but for the rest of the century the sultans maintained informers throughout Anatolia to report on the activities of Safavid sympathisers and to secure their arrest and execution. 9

However, it was incidents on the border that gave the pretext for war

between the two powers. In 934/1528, the Safavid governor of Baghdad had

offered the city to the Ottomans, forming the basis of an Ottoman claim. In

936/1530, too, the Safavid governor of Azerbaijan had defected to the Ottomans. These favourable prognostications led Siileyman to launch a campaign against Iran in 939/1533, following the truce with the Habsburgs.

In 940f. /1534, the grand vizier Ibrahim Pasha occupied the Safavid capital

Tabriz with no resistance. Later in the same year, Baghdad too surrendered to

Siileyman and Ibrahim Pasha. From Baghdad the army returned to Tabriz,

and finally to Istanbul in 943/1536, after adding Van, Bitlis and Erzurum to the empire.

During the sultan's absence, hostilities had again broken out with the Habsburgs, but in the Mediterranean rather than in central Europe. In 941/

1535, perhaps in emulation of Siileyman's role as a war leader but also no doubt

with a view to safeguarding his Italian realms against attacks from North Africa, Charles V personally led a successful sea-borne expedition against Tunis. In the following year, the outbreak of war with Venice extended the

conflict. The war was to bring into existence a new set of alliances. The growth of Habsburg power challenged the Ottomans in central Europe and on

the Mediterranean, but presented a greater threat to France which found itself

ringed by Habsburg territories in Spain, the Low Countries, Franche Comte

and Italy. For the French king Francis I, the Ottoman sultan provided a counterweight to Charles V and in 942f /1536 Francis and Siileyman formed

an alliance. In 9431"/ 1537, after the outbreak of the Ottoman war with Venice, they planned a joint attack on the kingdom of Naples. Nothing came of the plan. Francis did not invade Italy, and Siileyman, while launching raids on the coast of Apulia, unsuccessfully besieged the Venetian island of Corfu with the main body of his army. In the following year, Khayr al-Din Barbarossa, the former pirate and ruler of Algiers whom Siileyman had appointed admiral in 940/1533, captured most of Venice's island possessions in the Aegean, prompting Venice to construct an alliance with Charles V, Ferdinand and the pope. The allies were at first successful. In 945/1538, after a Spanish force had captured Kotor on the Dalmatian coast, their combined fleet trapped Khayr al-Dm's ships in the Gulf of Prevesa. The ensuing battle was Khayr al-DIn's most renowned victory, which he followed with the recapture of Kotor. Peace with Venice followed in 947/1540, giving the sultan Monemvasia and Nauplion in the Peloponnese and the former Venetian islands in the Aegean. The war brought to an end the period of continuous Ottoman conquest, a point that the Habsburg ambassador Ogier Ghiselin de Busbecq was to make about twenty years later:

It is now about forty years since Siileyman captured Belgrade, slew King Louis, and reduced Hungary, and so secured the prospect of possessing himself not only of this province but also of territory farther north. In this hope he besieged Vienna; then, renewing the war he captured Guns and again threatened Vienna, but this time only at a distance. But what has he achieved by his mighty array, his unlimited resources, his countless hosts? He has with difficulty clung to the portion of Hungary which he had already captured. He who used to make an end of mighty kingdoms in a single campaign, has won, as the reward for his expeditions, some scarcely fortified citadels and unimportant towns which he has gradually torn away from the mass of Hungary.

He has looked upon Vienna, it is true, but it was for the first and last time. 10

Busbecq's paragraph draws attention to the obstacles to further Ottoman expansion. In the west and the Mediterranean, Siileyman encountered the power of the Habsburgs who possessed resources, although perhaps not yet a military organisation, on a level with his own. In the east the mountains of eastern Anatolia, Azerbaijan and the Caucasus formed a barrier between his territories and those of the Safavids. Furthermore, although Shah Tahmasb, with memories perhaps of Chaldiran, was unwilling to encounter the Ottomans in battle, his use of scorched earth and harrying tactics prevented the Ottomans permanently occupying Safavid territory. The doubtful allegiances of the semi-autonomous Kurdish tribes in the borderlands between the two empires again made the conquest of the region difficult. For wars on

339

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

either front, the distance of the borders rendered campaigns of conquest conducted in a single year an anachronism.

For the rest of Siileyman's reign, the mere preservation of the Ottoman position in Hungary became, as Busbecq was to observe, the sultan's major preoccupation. The death of King Szapolyai in 947/1540, leaving an infant son, precipitated a crisis. In order to enforce his own claim, Ferdinand immediately laid siege to Buda. The operation failed, but he returned in the following year, this time provoking a major Ottoman expedition. Following Ferdinand's

withdrawal, the sultan did not restore the infant king and his guardian, the bishop of Varad, Martinuzzi, but instead converted central Hungary to an Ottoman province. Transylvania, the eastern part of the old kingdom, came under the authority of Martinuzzi, ruling on behalf of the infant king and his mother. However, the shift of his allegiance towards the Habsburgs complicated the Ottoman position in Hungary. In 949/1542, Ferdinand besieged Buda, again unsuccessfully.

Events in Hungary were part of a wider Ottoman conflict with the Habsburgs. While Ferdinand tried to assert the Habsburg claim to Hungary, his brother Charles V reopened the war in the Mediterranean. In the hope of repeating his victory at Tunis, in 948/1541 Charles V led a naval expedition against Algiers. The campaign ended in disaster and was a factor in persuading Siileyman to accept the French ambassador's proposals for joint action against the Habsburgs. The result of these negotiations was a collaboration in the western Mediterranean between the French fleet and the Ottoman fleet under Khayr al-Din Barbarossa. As a Franco-Ottoman force stormed Nice in 950/1543, Siileyman led an army into Hungary, capturing a string of Habsburg fortresses to the west of the Danube. Once again, however, the war ended in stalemate. A peace between Francis I and Charles V put an end to Franco-Ottoman co-operation and, after two more years of indecisive warfare in Hungary, Charles V and Ferdinand sent an ambassador to Istanbul to open peace negotiations. The outcome was a five-year truce concluded in 954/1547 and based on the territorial status quo. However small the Habsburg concessions were in reality, for the sultan the treaty had a great symbolic significance. By its terms, Ferdinand paid Siileyman an annual tribute for the territory in Hungary that came under his rule and, in the Turkish text of the treaty, Charles V drops the title 'Emperor' and is simply 'King of Spain'. To Siileyman, the tributary status of the Habsburgs vindicated his claim to

universal sovereignty and Charles' titular concessions vindicated his claims to the title 'Emperor'. Furthermore, the peace in Hungary and on the Mediterranean freed him to confront his enemy in the east.

340

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

The rebellion of Shah Ismail's son, Alqass Mirza, against his brother, the Safavid Shah Tahmasb, fired Siileyman's ambition to eliminate his Safavid rival altogether. In 955/1548 Alqass took refuge in the Ottoman court, and in the same year Suleyman launched a campaign against Tahmasb. In July, he occupied Tabriz without resistance but, as in his earlier campaign, he was unable to remain in possession of the city for more than a few days. The campaign ended in 956/1549, having added Van - conquered previously but again lost to the Safavids - to his empire. Perhaps the most significant result of the war was that Siileyman's absence in the east had provided an opportunity to the Habsburgs in the west. Frustrated in his ambition to achieve recognition as ruler of Hungary, Martinuzzi, as guardian of the boy-king of Transylvania, John Sigismund, transferred his allegiance from Suleyman to Ferdinand and in 957/1550 forced John Sigismund's mother, Isabella, to give up the crown. At the same time, and probably not coincidentally, Charles V's Genoese admiral, Andrea Doria, launched an offensive in the Mediterranean, capturing the corsair strongholds of Mahdiyya and Monastir on the Tunisian coast.

These events reactivated the Franco-Ottoman alliance. It was the French ambassador who had alerted Suleyman to the situation in Transylvania, and it

was the French king, Henry II, who proposed an alliance whereby the Ottomans would attack Transylvania, while the French invaded Habsburg Italy through Piedmont. Lack of co-ordination and a truce in 959/1552 between

Charles V and Henry II ensured that the alliance achieved nothing.¹¹ Nonetheless, the crisis in Transylvania - Ferdinand continued to press his claim following the murder of Martinuzzi in 958/1551 - and the Habsburg offensive in the Mediterranean provoked an Ottoman response. An Ottoman

invasion of Transylvania in 959/1552 resulted in the occupation of Temesvar

and Lipova, but did not result in the reinstatement of the king and his mother.

On the Mediterranean, as a reprisal against Andrea Doria's successes, the

sultan ordered the admiral Sinan Pasha, in co-operation with the French fleet,

to attack Malta, a base for piracy against Muslim shipping. When the assaults

on the island failed and the French did not appear, a detachment of the fleet

sailed for Tripoli on the North African coast, which like Malta was also a base

for the Knights of St John. Tripoli fell to the Ottomans in August 958/1551.¹²

By 961/1553, despite the situation in Transylvania, Suleyman felt secure enough to launch his final campaign against the Safavids, advancing as far as

Nakhichevan but without securing any territory or fortresses. The failure of

this campaign, together with the unresolved problem of Transylvania, seems

to have persuaded the sultan that talking was preferable to war.

Negotiations

at Amasya between Suleyman and Shah Tahmasb concluded with a treaty in

962/1555 establishing the borders between the Safavid and Ottoman empires.

In subsidiary negotiations between the sultan and the Habsburg ambassador

Busbecq, Ferdinand refused to abandon his claim to Transylvania, until an

Ottoman siege of the Hungarian fortress of Szigetvar persuaded him to change his mind. In 963/1556, the king John Sigismund and his mother Isabella were reinstated on the throne of Transylvania.

Two events in the late 960s /1550s changed the strategic balance between

the Ottoman and Habsburg empires. First, in 964/1556, Charles V abdicated.

His son Philip II inherited his territories in Spain, Italy and the Netherlands,

but not the Holy Roman empire, leading to a split in the Spanish and Austrian

branches of the dynasty. Second, in 968/1559 Philip II and Henry II of France

concluded a peace at Cateau-Cambresis, removing the possibility of a Franco-

Ottoman alliance and undermining Ottoman influence in the politics of west-

ern Europe. The new situation allowed Philip to respond to a new phase of

war in North Africa, where Spain and the Ottoman empire vied for control of

strategic coastal fortresses. In 963/1556, the Ottoman admiral Piyale Pasha

had attacked the Spanish fortress of Oran, and in 964/1557 occupied Bizerta.

Philip's response, after the treaty of Cateau-Cambresis had removed the French threat, was to occupy Djerba off the Tunisian coast. It was, however, a short-lived victory. Piyale Pasha retook the fortress and island in

the following year.

It was war in the Mediterranean, which aimed largely at gaining control of

coastal fortresses, that absorbed most of Siileyman's naval resources, but the

conquest of Egypt and the Hijaz in 923/1517 and success in gaining control of

Basra in the 950s/ 1540s also gave the Ottomans an outlet to the Indian Ocean

through the Red Sea and the Gulf. However, by this time the Portuguese had disrupted the old routes through the Gulf and the Red Sea, as they

attempted to divert trade, especially in spices, round the Cape of Good Hope to Lisbon. In pursuit of this goal, they occupied Hormuz, allowing them to control the passage between the Gulf and the Indian Ocean. In 923/1517, they unsuccessfully attacked Jedda on the Red Sea coast. While the existence of a memorandum of 931/1525, from a certain Selman ReTs to the sultan, outlining the opportunities for seizing control of trade in the Indian Ocean, indicates an awareness of the dangers from the Portuguese and the possibilities for commercial and territorial expansion, the sultan did not respond until the 940S/1530S. It was in this decade that the Portuguese almost succeeded in gaining a monopoly of the spice trade. In 945/1538 a fleet equipped at Suez set sail to besiege the Portuguese fort of Diu in Gujarat. The siege failed, but during the course of the operation an Ottoman force

342

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

occupied Aden and gained a foothold in Yemen. In the following decades the Ottomans maintained a squadron at Mocha to guard the entrance to the Red Sea, primarily, one may assume, against Portuguese incursions. In the Gulf, however, the Portuguese successfully prevented the operation of Ottoman shipping beyond the Straits of Hormuz. An attempt to capture Hormuz in 959/1552 was a failure. The effect of Ottoman operations in the southern seas was to create a land frontier against the Portuguese. However, Portuguese domination of the ocean prevented the Ottomans gaining territories beyond this frontier or preserving the old monopoly of the Red Sea and the Gulf as routes for trade between South and South-East Asia to Europe and the Middle East. 13

The comparative failure against the Portuguese was not the greatest problem to preoccupy Siileyman during his final years. After the death of his wife Khurrem in 965/1558, when his own demise seemed imminent, the rivalry over the succession between her two sons Selim and Bayezid broke out into open warfare and the declared rebellion of Bayezid. Bayezid's flight to Iran allowed Shah Tahmasb to extract a favourable peace treaty from Siileyman in 969f / 1562.

The agreement with Tahmasb coincided with the conclusion of an eight-year peace with Ferdinand. Siileyman's aim in securing the two treaties was probably to free his resources for a campaign in the Mediterranean. Ferdinand's death in 971/1564, and the desire of his son, Maximilian, to reactivate the Habsburg claim to Transylvania, did not alter his intent. In 972f. /1565, he sent a small expedition under the governor-general of Temesvar into Transylvania, but reserved the bulk of his forces for the fleet. The campaign of 972f. /1565 aimed to expel the Knights of St John - whom Siileyman had already defeated at Rhodes and Tripoli - from Malta. Since the island occupies the strait between the eastern and western Mediterranean basins, a fleet based there was in a position to prevent, or at least disrupt, the passage of shipping between the two. Its control was therefore essential for any power that wished to dominate the entire Mediterranean. However, the attack failed. As if in compensation for the failure, in 974/1566 an Ottoman force occupied the Genoese island of Chios in the Aegean.

It was also perhaps the need to compensate for the defeat at Malta as much as the need to counter Maximilian's claims in Hungary that persuaded Siileyman to lead his last expedition. In 974/1566, at the age of seventy-two, he accompanied the army to Hungary to lay siege to Szigetvar in the south-west of the country. He died two days before the fortress capitulated.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

After Suleyman I (974-1015 / 1566-1606)

The death of Suleyman I marked a change in the configuration of the empire.

Notably, the era of rapid conquest had passed and, although the empire expanded further before 1590, the costs of conquest were to become unsustainable.

Suleyman was also the last Ottoman sultan who regularly led his

army in battle, although the increasingly unrealistic notion that the first duty

of the sultan was as a leader in war was to persist. He was also the last of a line

of sultans whose personalities had to some degree shaped the course of the

empire's history, and each of whose reigns had marked a distinctive era.

It was

perhaps for this reason, in addition to his success on the battlefield, that later

generations of Ottomans looked back with nostalgia on his reign and, especially

in the troubled eleventh/ seventeenth century, regarded the re-establishment of Siileymanic institutions as the model for reform.

However, in the changed circumstances of the empire a return to the days

of rapid conquest and warrior sultans was in practice unimaginable. The distance between the capital and the frontiers had the effect of

prolonging

campaigns. Furthermore, it became increasingly difficult to maintain order

along the extended borders, particularly along the eastern and southern fringes of the sultan's domains, where hostile terrain and uncertain

political

loyalties rendered effective control difficult. These were the problems that

confronted the grand vizier Soqollu Mehmed Pasha at the accession of his

father-in-law, Selim II, in 974/1566.

In the west, he faced a war with Hungary, while in the south he faced the rebellion of the Marsh Arab Ibn c Ulayyan, who had cut communications between Baghdad and Basra. In the far south, the Ottomans had lost control

of Yemen, and with it control of the entry to the Red Sea. The Hungarian war ended with the conclusion of an eight-year peace in 976/1568. The pacification of Ibn 'Ulayyan required a river-borne campaign and the bestowal of an Ottoman governorship on the rebel leader. The reconquest of Yemen under Qoja Sinan Pasha took three years between 976/1568 and 978/1570. 14

It was evidently an assessment of the geographical obstacles to Ottoman control of existing frontiers and to further expansion that led Soqollu to seek ways to overcome these difficulties. In 976/1568 he ordered the construction of a canal between the Mediterranean and the Red Sea, presumably in order to facilitate the transport of men and materials to Yemen. The plan did not materialise, but Soqollu nonetheless, in 976¹⁵⁶⁹, pursued a similar scheme to construct a canal between the Don, which flows into the Black Sea, and the Volga, which flows into the Caspian. The aim was undoubtedly to enable the

344

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

transport of war materials to the Caspian, avoiding the mountain barriers and other obstacles on the land route. This facility would make it possible to counter the immediate threat from the Russians, who had occupied Astrakhan near the mouth of the Volga; to communicate more easily with the lands to the east of Iran; and to attack Iran from the north, bypassing the Caucasus, Kurdistan and Azerbaijan. The plan, however, was a failure. In 977/1569, an attack on Astrakhan failed and the canal remained incomplete.

The planned canals had the potential to change the strategic and also perhaps the commercial situation of the Ottoman empire. The schemes were not, however, revived. The next assault was on Cyprus, and although

this was perhaps intended as the first part of a strategy to conquer the Mediterranean islands from east to west, Cyprus, a Venetian colony, lay

within the area of the Mediterranean already bounded by Ottoman territories.

There were two obstacles to attacking Cyprus. First, the war would be in breach of an existing treaty with Venice, and second, Soqollu Mehmed Pasha

apparently opposed the plan on the grounds that it would push Venice into

constructing an anti-Ottoman alliance. By arguing from Hanafi law that peace

treaties with infidels are valid only so long as they benefit the Muslim community, the chief mufti Ebu'l-su'ud removed the objection to breaching

the treaty, while the war party, which included the sultan and the two viziers

Lala Mustafa Pasha and Piyale Pasha, overruled Soqollu. The attack began in

977/1570 and opened with the capture of Nicosia. Famagusta fell a year later,

but the war also produced the consequence that Soqollu had feared.

Venice

had enlisted the aid of Spain, the Knights of St John and the pope and, in October 979/1571, the allied fleet destroyed much of the Ottoman fleet off

Naupaktos in the Gulf of Corinth. As winter was approaching, the allied fleet

did not pursue its advantage, but returned to its home bases. 1 Over the winter

of 979/1571-2, the Ottomans constructed a new fleet and by the end of the year

Cyprus was secure in Ottoman possession. In 981/1573 Venice formally ceded

the island. In the following year, a fleet under Qoja Sinan Pasha and the admiral Uluch 'Ali expelled the Spaniards from Tunis.

In 981/1574, Selim II died, but his successor, Murad III (r. 981-93/1574-95),

retained Soqollu Mehmed Pasha as grand vizier. A four-year interval of peace

followed. In 987/1579, however, Soqollu was assassinated and his rivals, who

had perhaps engineered the murder, came to power. This event coincided

with the outbreak of war with the Safavids. In 984/1576, Shah Tahmasb had died and, in the years following his death, the Uzbeks had invaded Iran from the east. The war party around the sultan saw this as an opportunity and declared war in 986/1578. Soqollu opposed the war, presumably foreseeing a

345

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

prolonged conflict in hostile terrain, a situation which the Don-Volga project had aimed to circumvent. However, opposition ceased with Soqollu's death.

The war did not end until 998/1590, when Shah 'Abbas I ceded the territory in the Caucasus, Azerbaijan and western Iran which the Ottomans had gained in the previous two years. 17

With the treaty of 998/1590, the Ottoman empire reached its maximum size. However, Ottoman success in the war was due largely to Shah 'Abbas'

preoccupation with his eastern front and, between 1011/ 1603 and 1015/ 1606, he

was to recover all the territory lost to the Ottomans. Furthermore the hostilities placed an unprecedented strain on the empire's resources.

This

manifested itself in a rise in lawlessness and brigandage in the provinces, increasing indiscipline and desertion in the army, and a deficit in the treasury

that was to become chronic. The government attempted to plug the deficit in

993-4/1585-6 by debasing the silver aqche, provoking a riot among the janissaries and other household troops when they received their salaries in this coin. 1

The victor in the last years of the hostilities, Ferhad Pasha, was clearly

aware of the costs of conflict, and this is probably why he opposed the war that threatened with the Habsburgs following a series of border incidents in Bosnia. However, the war party, led by the grand vizier Qoja Sinan Pasha, prevailed, and 1001/ 1593 saw the start of a war in Hungary that ended inconclusively in 1015/ 1606. After a few initial successes, the Ottomans suffered serious setbacks. Most dangerous were the defections of Michael, voyvoda of Wallachia, and Stephen Bathory, king of Transylvania. Sinan Pasha's expedition against Wallachia in 1003/1595 ended in disaster. In the same year the Austrians captured Esztergom and other important fortresses. Then 1004[^]/1596 saw an apparent reversal of fortune. Following the capture of Eger in northern Hungary, the Ottoman army won a victory at the battle of Mezo-Keresztes. This did not, however, reflect the superiority of Ottoman arms. The Austrian troops had advanced as far as the Ottoman camp and were intent on plunder when the Ottomans launched an unexpected counter-attack. Four years later, in 1008f./1600, the Ottomans captured Kanizsa, but only after the relieving Austrian army had withdrawn, fearing that the flight of the Ottoman troops was intended to lure them into a trap such as they believed had been laid for them at Mezo-Keresztes. In the final years of the war an anti-Habsburg revolt in Transylvania and Lala Mehmed Pasha's recapture of Esztergom in 1014/ 1605 marked a revival in Ottoman fortunes. In 1015/ 1606, the war ended inconclusively. The conflict had shown that, although the Ottomans could still maintain an army in the field for more

Coinciding with the war in Hungary, the last decade of the tenth/sixteenth century also saw increasing unrest in the Ottoman provinces, and in particular in Anatolia. This was not a new phenomenon. Anatolia had witnessed several rebellions since the beginning of the century, and unrest was chronic: in 965L I 1558, for example, the rebel prince Bayezid was able to find enough supporters there to muster an army to fight his brother and father. Ottoman chronicles, however, date the beginning of the rebellion to 1005/ 1596, when the Ottoman commander Jighalazade Sinan Pasha dispossessed the cavalrymen who had deserted on the field of Mezo-Keresztes. Deprived of their fiefs, these men joined Qara Yaziji, a rebel leader in Anatolia who resisted all forces sent against him and continued to plunder the countryside even after the government had attempted to appease him by appointing him governor of Amasya and then of Chorum. The rebellions in Anatolia did not end with the defeat of Qara Yaziji, but continued for almost a decade, forming the melancholy backdrop to the opening of the eleventh/ seventeenth century. 19

The monarchy

In the troubled era of the late tenth/ sixteenth and early eleventh/ seventeenth centuries, it became customary for critics to contrast present ills with past glories, and with the reign of Suleyman I (r. 926-74/1520-66) in particular. While these writers present an idealised picture of the Suleymanic period and an over-simple explanation of the subsequent 'decline', they were undoubtedly right to highlight the contrast between past and present.

The most striking contrast was perhaps in the sultanate itself. Since the dynasty's inception, the primary function of the sultan, at least in the eyes of his subjects, had been as a leader in war. The sultans down to Suleyman, with

the partial exception of Bayezid II whom his subjects criticised for his failure to lead his armies, had all been war leaders. Suleyman himself, by dying on the battlefield, achieved the double distinction of 'ghazi and martyr'. From the time of Selim II, the sultans relinquished this role. Ottoman subjects, however, continued to regard the presence of the sultan on the battlefield as having a totemic significance. It was for this reason that the remedy for the military disasters of 1003 / 1595 was found in insisting that the sultan, Mehmed III, accompany the army to Hungary in the following year, and the presence of the sultan on the battlefield was seen as the cause of the victories of 1005 / 1596. 'If, after this', commented the chronicler Ibrahim Pechevi, 'our sultan had

347

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

been brought to Buda, all the border fortresses would have been abandoned and would have passed under the control of the Muslims. If he had spent the winter in Belgrade and said: "Our goal is Vienna," it is certain that the Austrian infidels ... would have been subjected to tribute.' 20

The sultan's loss of a military role after the mid-sixteenth century reflected in part the personalities of the sultans who succeeded Suleyman but, more significantly, it reflected a change in the nature of warfare and in the political structure of the empire. Until the mid-century, military campaigns had normally lasted a year: Suleyman's campaign against the Safavids between 939 / 1533 and 943 / 1536 had been as much a royal progress which served to

consolidate Ottoman rule in the territory incorporated into the empire during his father's reign²¹ as it had been a military campaign. It had been normal too for the viziers and the chancellery to accompany the sultan on campaign. In the last decades of the century, however, wars became prolonged, and it was simply not practical for the sultan and his government to be absent for long periods on frontiers remote from the capital. Furthermore, government had become more complex. The expansion of the empire during the first half of the century had increased the volume of government business, and in these circumstances a peripatetic sultanate was no longer feasible. In another respect, too, the sultanate changed during the course of the century, emphasising a tendency which had already become pronounced at the end of the nineteenth/fifteenth. This was the withdrawal of the sultan from public view.²² Following an assassination attempt in 897/1492, Bayezid II had been reluctant to appear in public. This set a precedent for the sultans who followed him, although the presence of Selim I and Süleyman I among the army on campaign made them more visible than their successors. When, from the time of Selim II, the sultans no longer went to war, they appeared in public only on ceremonial occasions. At the same time - unless this is simply the impression given by the chance survival of documents - their role in government seems to have changed, the tendency from the time of Murad III (r. 981-1003/ 1574-95) being to communicate with viziers and other holders of public office by written notes rather than face to face. It was probably in part this increasing invisibility of the sultan that led reform writers of the eleventh/seventeenth century to see the supposed influence on public affairs of favourites in the inner palace as a cause of the empire's decline, a phenomenon whose beginning they date to the reign of Murad III.

The sultan's public appearances were not, however, the main determinant of his public image. What would have been more impressive were the

institutions which the sultans and other members of the royal family had

348

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

founded in the capital and, to a lesser extent, in provincial cities. Of these, the most impressive were the imperial mosques in Istanbul, 23 which, with their associated institutions, dominated the skyline of the old city. Their effect lay not only in the magnificence of the buildings themselves, but also in their location on the city's hills. This is particularly apparent when looking from the largely Christian town of Galata across the Golden Horn to the largely Muslim city of Istanbul. From the viewpoint of the Galata tower, the mosques of Mehmed II (874~/1470), Bayezid II (9iof./i505), Selim I (928/1522), Prince Mehmed, the deceased son of Suleyman I (completed after 949f /1543) and Suleyman I (964/1557) appear, together with the Hagia Sophia (Ayasofya) which was both their architectural and imperial model, to dominate the city from their positions on its hills. Furthermore, from their situation on the radius of the observer's field of vision, they seem to dominate the Christian enclave of Galata. In this way their location alone symbolises the ascendancy of the Ottoman dynasty, and the ascendancy, through the dynasty, of Islam over infidelity. Other imperial mosques constructed during the tenth/sixteenth century had similar symbolic functions. The mosque of Suleyman's daughter Mihrimah (970s /1560s) dominates a hill near the Edirne gate, and would have been the first sight of the city for travellers, and for armies returning from wars in Europe. Mosques of the second rank in the capital

were usually the foundation of viziers or other imperial servants and, again by their siting, could serve to magnify the glory of their masters. Most notably, the mosque of the grand vizier Riistem Pasha (968/1561) situated in the market of Eminonu below the great mosque of Suleyman I symbolises the relationship between vizier and sultan.

The imperial and vizieral mosques in Istanbul and other Ottoman cities became, during the tenth/ sixteenth century, the visible and public symbols of the greatness of the dynasty. The more specific claims not only to dynastic legitimacy but to universal dominion are also evident in the titles which the sultans adopted during the course of the century. Before the tenth/sixteenth century, Ottoman claims to legitimacy were relatively modest. They claimed, first of all, the title of ghazi, with the connotation of 'warrior' or, more specifically, 'warrior of the faith'. This not only gave the sultans military and religious credentials, but also, by presenting their wars against Christians as jihad, gave them a legal tide to the rulership of lands conquered from Christian dynasties. The crystallisation in the late ninth/fifteenth century of a story relating how the last Saljuq sultan appointed the first Ottoman sultan as his legal successor justified the Ottoman claim to be the legitimate rulers of former Saljuq territories in Anatolia. The early ninth /fifteenth century also

U9

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

saw the creation of a genealogy tracing Ottoman descent in the senior line

from Oghuz Khan, the legendary ancestor of the western Turks. This served to 'prove' Ottoman superiority over the neighbouring Turkish rulers of Anatolia and Azerbaijan and to nullify Timurid claims to suzerainty over the Ottoman dynasty. Finally the ninth/fifteenth-century Ottoman chroniclers created a series of tales showing how God, through dreams, had promised sovereignty to the dynastic founder, Othman (Osman), and his descendants. They also, through tales of Othman's marriage to a dervish's daughter, created a spiritual genealogy to match the physical descent from Oghuz Khan. 24

These elements conferring legitimacy on the dynasty were drawn from folk tales and popular religion. They remained embedded in Ottoman historiography during the tenth/ sixteenth and later centuries but, during the tenth/ sixteenth century, became overlaid with more grandiose claims to sovereignty which reflect the changed position of the empire. Three factors encouraged this change. First, Selim I's acquisition of the Holy Cities allowed his successors to adopt the title 'Servant of the Two Holy Sanctuaries'. Second, competition with the Habsburgs led to an inflation in Ottoman claims. Charles V's election as Holy Roman Emperor in 1519 allowed him to assert universal Christian sovereignty and was undoubtedly a stimulus to Siileyman I's parallel claim, from the 1520s /1540s, to the title of caliph, implying universal Islamic sovereignty. From 1547/1547, Siileyman also began to describe himself as 'Caesar' or 'breaker of Caesars', suggesting that, following the 1547 treaty, he regarded the imperial Roman title as having passed to the Ottoman dynasty. This remained an important element in Ottoman-Habsburg rivalry during the eleventh/ seventeenth century. The Ottoman claim to the caliphate remained in place until the end of the empire's existence. 2,5 Third, the rise of the Safavids in Iran and their appeal to many of the sultan's subjects made it essential for the Ottoman dynasty to counter Safavid claims. In addition to the

publication of fetwas pronouncing the Safavids to be heretics, the sultans, 26 or rather their propagandists and in particular Ebu'l-su'ud (chief mufti, 952-82/1545-74), asserted that it was only the rule of the Ottoman dynasty that upheld orthodoxy and the sharfa against heresy and false belief. From the mid-century the sultan is described as 'the one who makes smooth the path for the manifest sharfa'. It was undoubtedly the threat from the Safavids that made this increasing emphasis on the Ottoman sultan as an enforcer of orthodoxy a matter of urgency, leading to actions such as the decree of 944/1537 requiring the construction of a mosque in every village where none existed and enforcing public prayer, or the elaboration of a spy network to

350

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

report on heretical and, above all, pro-Safavid activities. 27 However, the personal piety of some of the sultans, especially of Bayezid II and of Siileyman I, must also have played a role. So too did the growing influence of the 'uletna as the empire emerged as the centre of learning in the Sunni Islamic world.

The changing role of the sultan in the government of the empire therefore reflected the changing political situation of the empire, as did the increasingly grandiose public image of the dynasty. At the same time, the internal structure of the dynasty - which affected the politics of the empire at large - changed during the tenth/ sixteenth century. One thing, however, which remained constant was the rule that succession to the throne was open to all the sultan's

descendants in the male line. In 918/1512, Selim I succeeded to the throne after deposing his father in a coup, and subsequently defeating and executing his brothers. In 926/1520, as an only son, Siileyman I succeeded unopposed. However, his own sons began the succession struggle as soon as the infirmities of their father's old age became apparent. In 960/1553, Siileyman executed his son Mustafa on suspicion, presumably, of plotting a coup. The common assumption was that Mustafa's execution was the result of a conspiracy between Siileyman's wife Khurrem, his daughter Mihrimah and his son-in-law, the grand vizier Riistem Pasha, to engineer the succession in favour of one of Khurrem's sons. When Khurrem herself died in 965/1558, the contest for succession between her two sons, Selim and Bayezid, broke out into open warfare. By presenting himself as an obedient son, Selim gained his father's support, defeating his brother at Konya in May 966/1559. Bayezid escaped to Iran, forcing Siileyman to open negotiations with Shah Tahmasb. It was only in 970/1562 that Tahmasb, after using the threat which Bayezid presented to his father's throne as a bargaining tool to extract from Siileyman a permanent treaty of peace and a large sum of money, allowed an Ottoman executioner to enter Bayezid's prison cell and to execute him and his sons.

The execution of Bayezid allowed his brother Selim to succeed unopposed to the throne in 974/1566. It was after Selim's death in 981/1574 that the mode of succession, quite accidentally, began to change. Selim II had one adult son, Murad, who was serving as governor at Maghnisa. His remaining five sons were children. The grand vizier Soqollu Mehmed Pasha therefore summoned the adult Murad as the only viable candidate for the throne. His reign opened with the execution of his five brothers. Like his father, at the time of his death

in 1003/1595, Murad III had only one adult son, Mehmed, again serving as governor in Maghnisa. Mehmed's nineteen brothers were still young children or infants, and Mehmed's first act as sultan was to order their execution. These

351

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

events led to two developments. First, since both Murad III and Mehmed III were elder sons, their accessions to the throne established a precedent for the informal establishment of primogeniture as the principle of succession in the eleventh/seventeenth century. Second, public revulsion at the massacre of the young princes in 981/1574 and 1003/1595 led to the abandonment of fratricide as the means of securing the throne. However, the basic principles of the succession remained the same throughout the century. The reigning sultan's sons, on reaching the age of puberty, left the capital to serve as governors in the provinces. On their father's death, the succession went to the son who secured the throne and eliminated his brothers. The formal change in the mode of succession came in 1011/1603 with the accession of Ahmed I. The thirteen-year-old Ahmed had not left the palace for a governorship, probably because he was too young, and he did not execute his brother Mustafa. From this time onwards, princes remained in the palace and, following the precedent of Murad III and Mehmed III, it was normally the eldest that succeeded to the throne. 2

The accession of these two sultans as eldest sons came about in part through a change in the structure of the imperial family which, in other aspects too, affected the wider politics of the empire. It had been the practice of the dynasty, from the eighth/fourteenth century, to reproduce itself through concubines. It had been customary too to limit each concubine to a single son, with each mother and son forming the centre of a household within the imperial family. In the 1540s /1530s, by manumitting and marrying his concubine, Khurrem, Suleyman I broke with this custom.

Not only did Suleyman have more than one son by Khurrem, including his successor Selim II and the rebel prince Bayezid, but Khurrem also continued to live in the palace after the departure of her sons to their princely

governorships. Selim II and Murad III each also favoured a single concubine. Selim's favourite, Nurbanu, produced a single son, Murad, who was already an adult before Selim, presumably as an insurance for the survival

of the dynasty, produced his five other sons through different concubines. His son Murad followed this pattern. Mehmed, his son by his favourite, Safiye, was fully grown before he produced his nineteen other sons in the last years of his reign. Both Nurbanu and Safiye, by outliving their consorts

and remaining in the palace during their sons' reigns, became powerful political figures. The English ambassador, Henry Lello, described Safiye as

'the Queene mother who wholly ruled the great Turk her sonne'. 29 Again this presaged the situation in the following century, when at times the queen mothers became dominant political figures. 30

352

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

Ottoman government and institutions

The vision of the tenth/ sixteenth century as the apogee of Ottoman fortune

and as the 'classical age' of Ottoman institutions is not entirely fanciful. The mid-century in particular was a period of benign economic conditions,

with a growing population, expanding agricultural production ³¹ and a stable currency. Warfare was profitable, bringing gains in territory and producing slaves and other plunder from which both the troops and the treasury benefited. An annual surplus in the central treasury was a symptom of this state of affairs. Politically, it was the era when the international power of the empire was at its greatest: in the 1540s/ 1530s rulers as distant as the king of France and the sultan of Gujarat looked to Süleyman for alliances. The arts of the period from the mid-century onwards reflect the confidence of power. Of these, architecture was the most visible. It was the imperial architect Sinan (d. 996/1588) who, during the second half of Süleyman's reign and later, created the mature style of Ottoman architecture, most clearly visible in the mosques of Süleyman in Istanbul and of Selim II in Edirne. The second half of the century also saw the artistic peak of ceramic production at İznik, with the finest products of the İznik kilns made visible to the public in the tile-clad walls of the mosques of Rüstem Pasha (968/1561) and Sokollu Mehmed Pasha (979/1571) in Istanbul, both by Sinan. The same period saw the creation of a distinctive Ottoman style in imperial textiles, while manuscript production and miniature painting also flourished, particularly under the patronage of Murad III. ³² It was during this period too that Ottoman literature achieved its 'classical' form, both verse and prose writers having mastered the literary prototypes in Arabic and, especially, Persian. In Islamic education and scholarship, the empire became the centre of the Sunni Islamic world. The Süleymanic period saw, for example, the completion of two of the classics of Hanafi fiqh, the *Multaqa 'l-Abhur* of Ibrahim of Aleppo (d. 956/1549) and *al-Bahr al-rd'iq* by the Egyptian Ibn Nujaym (d. 970/1563).

Another feature of the central decades of the tenth/ sixteenth century which, for later generations, heightened the impression of stability and grandeur was the presence of commanding figures in the government, who

remained in office for years or even decades. Ibrahim Pasha, grand vizier from 929/1523 until his execution in 943/1536, was the dominant figure of the first half of Siileyman's reign; Siileyman's son-in-law Riistem Pasha, grand vizier from 956/1549 to 960/1553 and again from 963/1556 until his death in 969/1562, dominated the second half. One of Riistem's successors as grand vizier, Soqollu Mehmed Pasha, held office from 974/1566 until his assassination in

353

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

987/1579. Siileyman's chancellor (nishdnji) Jelalzade Mustafa, remained in his post from 940/1534 until 964/1557, having already served as head of the chancery clerks (re'Is iil-kiittdb) from 931/1525. The most imposing legal figure of the mid-century was Ebu'l-su c ud, who served as chief mufti from 952/1545 until his death in 982/1574, having already served on the sultan's imperial council as military judge (qadfaskef) of Rumeli from 943/1537 and as qddi of Istanbul from 939/1533. These men are remarkable not only for their longevity in office, but also for their role in the development of the institutions of the empire.

It was the apparent corruption of these institutions in the decades after the death of Siileyman I, and especially from the 990S/1580S, that reformers in the first half of the eleventh/ seventeenth century saw as the cause of the empire's declining fortunes. The 'decline' was apparent most obviously in lack of

success on the battlefield, a rapid turnover in the vizierate and other offices of state, and the regular sale of offices, symptoms of decay which had not been apparent in the days of Siileyman and earlier.

The institution which the eleventh/ seventeenth-century reformers, quite justifiably, saw as the basis of Ottoman success was the system of timdrs, the military fiefs which supported the cavalrymen who made up the larger part of Ottoman armies. The sultan's private infantry corps, the janissaries, were more famous, but limited in the mid-century to 10,000 to 12,000 men as against 40,000 or so timar-holding cavalrymen. 'Troops,' the grand vizier Lutfi Pasha (held office 946-8 / 1539-41) remarked with reference to the janissaries, 'should be few, but they should be excellent.' 33

A timdr was a military fief, consisting usually of one or more villages or parts of villages, together with the surrounding agricultural land and pasture. The timar-holder resided on the timdr and had the right to collect a specified parcel of taxes. He did not own the land, which remained at the disposal of the sultan, nor was the fief heritable by his heirs. The sons of timar-holders inherited only the right to a fief, but not specifically to the father's holding. The timar-holder's right to collect the taxes from his timdr was conditional on the performance of military service. Failure to appear on campaign could result in the loss of the timdr. Conversely, a timar-holder could, in recognition of service, receive increases in his income by the allocation of extra lands to those he already held. The timar-holder also had an obligation to provide his own horse, weapons, tent, and a certain number of armed retainers, the exact number being dependent on the yield of his timdr. The size of the cavalryman's holding also determined his 'rank' in the army on the battlefield.

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

Timars were not the creation of the tenth/ sixteenth century. Revocable military fiefs were a feature of the late Byzantine empire and its successor principalities, and both the basic principles of Ottoman fief-holding and the specific terminology of the system suggest that the timar was a Byzantine inheritance. Similarly, a particular form of timar, where the income was shared between a cavalryman and a private owner, seems to have been a direct inheritance from the practices of the Anatolian Saljuqs. This form of timar, which persisted into the tenth/ sixteenth century, was peculiar to those parts of the Ottoman empire that, in the pre-Ottoman period, had lain within the borders of the Saljuq domains. 34 The means by which the sultans kept timars under their control also pre-dated the tenth/ sixteenth century. From - probably - the late eighth/ fourteenth century, the central government kept land and tax registers for every district (sanjaq), which recorded each timar within the sanjaq, including the name of the timar-holder, his estimated annual income and the level of his obligations in providing armed retainers, tents and armour. The practice of making registers continued during the tenth/ sixteenth century, but with a further development. From the reign of Bayezid II it became the custom to preface the land and tax register of each sanjaq with a law-book (qanunname) which laid out the rates of taxation applied in the sanjaq, together with other statutes concerning the status of peasants on the land and the rights and obligations of timar-holders. Criminal statutes also appear in the law-books, since it was the timariots and other fief-holders in the area who were responsible for the maintenance of law and order and the imposition of punishments, and who were also the recipients of fines. The earliest of these local law-books forms the preface to the survey register of the sanjaq of Kiiidavendigar (Brusa) of 892/1487. From that time, until

the late tenth /sixteenth century, the compilation of a new law-book accompanied each new land and tax survey.

The compilation of the law-books represents an attempt to systematise fief-holding and, at the same time, to extend sultanic control. The same tendency is evident in a series of decrees issued during the 1490s /1530s, laying down rules to establish the value of timars to which the sons of existing timariots were entitled, and forbidding governors-general to confiscate timars without reference to the sultan's imperial council. At the same time, the procedures for accession to a timar became very tight. A candidate required an initial decree of entitlement, and then a memorandum from the governor-general of the province nominating him to a vacant timar. This he or his agent had to take to the land registry in Istanbul, which, after confirming the timar's existence and value in the register, would, if satisfied, issue a patent in the sultan's name. 35

355

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

One purpose of these rules was certainly to extend the sultan's control over fief-holding, but also to restrict entry into the timar-holding class, a point which Lutfi Pasha emphasises when he writes: 'If one of the re'aya, having by outstanding service shown himself worthy of a timar, as a special mark of favour becomes a cavalryman, his relatives and his father and mother should not enjoy protection.' 36 The effect of these restrictions on timar-holding was to

create what was in effect a closed military class, and it was to this phenomenon that the eleventh/ seventeenth-century reform writers looked back as the recipe for Ottoman success in arms. The truth, however, is probably that the need to restrict entry to the timar-holding class arose from increasing competition for a limited number of vacant timars, rather than from a wish to create the warrior elite of the eleventh/seventeenth-century imagination.

The mid-tenth /sixteenth century also saw another development which affected the perception, if not necessarily the reality of timar-holding. In 948/ 1541, the sultan annexed the central part of the old kingdom of Hungary to form the new province of Buda, at the same time introducing the timar system into the territory. There was, however, no systematic definition of the principles of the system. The law-books contained innumerable statutes relating to the details of timar-holding, both locally and empire-wide, but nowhere defined its legal basis. When, with the formation of the province of Buda, it became necessary to do so, the task fell to Ebu'l-su'ud. The document remained the basic statement on Ottoman land law until 1274/ 1858. Ebu'l-su'ud distinguished between ownership of the land and ownership of trees and buildings above the land. These were the property of private owners, whereas the land itself was the property of the treasury which, in Islamic legal theory, is the property of the Islamic community. Timar-holders did not, therefore, own the land which made up their fief. Nor did the peasants own the land which they worked, although it was heritable in the male line, so long as it was kept under cultivation. Ebu'l-su'ud, like Hanafi jurists before him, used legal fictions to explain how the land, which in legal theory is a commodity in private ownership, had passed into the ownership of the treasury. A more important legal fiction, however, was his redefinition of the taxes which the peasants paid.

In particular, he defined the tithe as *kharaj-i muqaseme*, a proportional tax of up to 50 per cent paid on crops. This redefinition allowed him to refute any claim that tithes levied at a rate of more than one-tenth were excessive. The new definition also in principle gave the sultan or his agents discretion to collect 'tithes' at any rate up to 50 per cent. EbuTsu'ud's work had the effect of systematising the land law which underpinned the *timar* system while simultaneously giving it an Islamic guise and asserting the control of the sultan.

356

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

It was the *timar* system that in the tenth/ sixteenth century and earlier formed the basis of Ottoman provincial government in Anatolia, Rumelia, Syria and parts of Iraq. The function of the system was first to provide cavalrymen for the army, and then to provide a local force for the maintenance of law and order. These functions are reflected in the higher levels of administration. The holders of higher-valued fiefs which, during the tenth/ sixteenth century, came to be known as *ze'amets*, functioned as 'officers' on the battlefield and also had a police function in the area where they held their fief. The totality of *timars* in a particular region formed a *sanjaq*, whose governor or *sanjaq begi* was also the commander on the battlefield of the *timar*-holders in his *sanjaq*. Similarly, a regional group of *sanjaqs* formed a province, under the rule of a governor-general or *beglerbegi* who, on the battlefield, was also commander of the troops from his province. A *timar*-holder could, through the patronage of

his sanjaq governor or other commander, earn increases in the value of his timar, but he could not rise to become a sanjaq governor himself. In a few areas, and especially in eastern Anatolia, where governmental control was weak and local particularism was strong, sanjaq governorships were hereditary. Similarly, in Rumeli the descendants of the powerful marcher lords of the eighth-ninth/ fourteenth-fifteenth centuries seem to have retained hereditary rights to sanjaq governorships, although not to specific sanjaqs. However, the sultans preferred, wherever possible, to appoint governors from among the men of non-Muslim origin who had received their education in the palace, and hence had no source of patronage except the sultan. It was by this means that the sultans, in an era of poor communications, could keep control of the empire. Appointment as a sanjaq governor could in its turn lead to appointment as a governor-general, and then to the vizierate, the viziers, like the provincial governors, having both military and civil political functions. 37

For most of the century this system worked. When calling up an army for a campaign, the survey registers for each sanjaq allowed the government in Istanbul to know the numbers, obligations and names of timar-holders and, when they arrived at the assembly point under their sanjaq begi, to check their names against a muster register. Documents for the call-up, which exist in numbers for the years after 967/1560, inevitably record delays and other hitches in the procedure, but the overall impression is one of remarkable efficiency. It is from the 990S/1580S that symptoms of the 'decline' which the eleventh/ seventeenth-century writers lament, begin to appear.

A problem with timar-holding was that, for those with lower-value fiefs, the obligations could be disproportionately high, and it is probably this that explains the appearance of timar-holders as participants in the Shah Qulu

The New Cambridge History of Islam

rebellion of 917/1511, Prince Ahmed's attempts in 918/1512 to recruit timariots to his cause by offering them posts in the janissaries, and the willingness of others to join Prince Bayezid's rebellion in 965-6/1558-9. In the 990S/1580S, their situation deteriorated. The war with Iran meant prolonged campaigns in difficult terrain, with the obligation to over-winter at the front and, in these circumstances, absenteeism, desertion and mutiny increased. 38 It was, however, events on the battlefield during the 'Long War' of 1001-15 / 1593-1606 that caused the major changes. During the conflict, the Ottomans confronted a new form of warfare, in which fixed entrenchments and a combination of pike and shot began to play a major role. In these circumstances, the importance of cavalry, which the Umax system supported, diminished, while the use of infantry increased. Faced with this new situation, the Ottoman government expanded the numbers of foot-soldiers by more than doubling the size of the janissary corps and by recruiting volunteers who knew how to use firearms. A way of raising the money to pay these troops was to convert former timar-holdings into tax-farms, a logical step given that the demand for cavalry was not as great as in previous eras. This fall in the number of timars in turn affected the structure of provincial government, of which the timar system had formed the base. The full force of the changes did not, however, become apparent until the eleventh/seventeenth century.

The same forces brought about further institutional changes during the same era, particularly in the forms of recruitment into the sultan's service.

Here too the mid-tenth/sixteenth century left for later generations the appearance of being a 'classical age'. The sultans ruled the empire as far as possible through their own household, although not through members of their own family. The rule that any male member of the dynasty in the male line was eligible for the sultanate ensured that each succeeding sultan secured his throne through fratricide. Only the sultan's sons were entitled to provincial governorships and those who were unsuccessful in the contest for succession would not survive their father's death. Princes descended in the female line were not, it appears, entitled to office above the rank of sanjaq governor. In the absence, therefore, of family members, the sultans employed others who had grown up in the imperial household to fill the highest offices. During the ninth/fifteenth and early tenth/ sixteenth centuries many of these were members of former ruling dynasties in the Balkan Peninsula who, by conversion and education at the Ottoman court, became part of the Ottoman ruling establishment. In the early part of the century, the two grand viziers Hersekzade Ahmed Pasha and Duqakinzade Ahmed Pasha were representative of this class. From the eighth/ fourteenth century, however, sultans had

358

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

employed men who had entered their service as prisoners-of-war or as formerly Christian boys levied within Ottoman territory, mainly but by no means exclusively in the western Balkan Peninsula. Most of these men, after a period as farm labourers in Anatolia and after a craft apprenticeship, entered

the janissary corps. Others, however, received an education in the palace. Of these, the men who did not leave the palace to join one of the six elite cavalry corps might eventually 'graduate' from palace service to provincial governorships. The most successful might then graduate from the governorship of a sanjaq to become governor-general and then vizier. This was the normal pattern of progression to the vizierate after the execution of Ibrahim Pasha in 943/1536, whom Siileyman had promoted - as was his prerogative - directly to the grand vizierate from his office as page of the Privy Chamber.

Most of the viziers of the tenth/ sixteenth century had entered the sultan's service through the levy of boys. A few such as Jighalazade Sinan Pasha (d. 1014/ 1605) had been captives presented to the sultan. The majority of janissary recruits had entered the sultan's service in the same way, and it was the regularity of the system throughout most of the century that left an impression of classical perfection on later generations. At the end of the century, two factors seem to have produced a change in methods of recruitment. First, during the war in Hungary from 1001/ 1593 to 1015/ 1606, in order to adapt to new forms of warfare, the Ottomans needed to increase infantry numbers and one way to do this was to expand the janissary corps. With this expansion, the janissaries no longer formed a military elite and the old method of recruitment broke down. At the same time, the levy lost its importance as a form of recruitment for high office. Another impetus for change was the importance which the empire's frontier in the Caucasus acquired during the conflict with the Safavids between 986/1578 and 998/ 1590. The region was the scene of much of the fighting, and an area where both sides sought allies and recruits. It was from the period of this war that men of Caucasian origin began to play a conspicuous role in the governments of both the Ottoman and Safavid empires, and that the Caucasus became a new area of recruitment into the sultan's service.

During the ninth/ fifteenth century, therefore, and even more markedly during the tenth/ sixteenth, the military-political offices of sanjaq governor, governor-general and vizier became the preserve of men of non-Muslim origin. This was not the case with the other institutions of the empire. Members of the sultan's scribal service were typically graduates of medreses who, with appropriate patronage, might find a scribal position in a great household and finally in the employment of the sultan's government.

359

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Similarly, the defterddrs ('treasurers') appear to have been Muslims by birth. This was an office whose importance increased during the century. In the first decade of the century a single treasurer sat on the sultan's imperial council. By the end, there were four, administering the revenues of Anatolia, Rumeli, Istanbul and 'the Danube'. The increased number reflected the pressing need to find extra revenue in a period of high inflation, when the treasury was in permanent deficit, a reversal of the financial situation in the first half of the century. The instances in the last two decades of the century of defterdars receiving appointments as governor-general is also an indication of their enhanced status and the urgent need to find extra revenue. Previously these positions would have been open only to members of the political-military class. 40

Careers in the 'learned institution', comprising mainly the judiciary and medrese teachers, were also the preserve of men of Muslim birth. The network of medreses was the foundation of this system. Although each medrese was an independent institution with its own endowment, by the end of the ninth/

fifteenth century there was a recognised hierarchy of institutions with the Eight Medreses around the Mosque of Mehmed II in Istanbul occupying the most prestigious position. After their completion in 964/1557, the medreses grouped around the Siileymaniye mosque achieved a status equal with these. There is evidence that, during the tenth/ sixteenth century Siileyman I and, after him, Mehmed III attempted to control the syllabus of the different grades of medrese, the grade being determined essentially by the size of the endowment and therefore the salary of the teacher.

The usual career for a medrese graduate was as a medrese teacher or as a judge (qadi), appointments to these posts being under the patronage of the two military judges (qadVaskers), of Rumeli and Anatolia, who sat on the sultan's imperial council. For most graduates, a career as a teacher would be a series of humdrum appointments in the lower-grade medreses, the higher grades becoming during the course of the century more and more the preserve of a small number of learned families. Similarly, a graduate who opted for a career as judge could generally expect a lifetime of small-town appointments, with a period out of office between each appointment. The judges were, however, in many ways the most important figures in the empire's administration. Their courts not only dealt with legal business requiring arbitration, but also acted as notarial offices, recording property sales, marriages, inheritance and other mundane but essential business. At the same time, the judges carried out administrative tasks on behalf of the sultan. The judges and their courts also acted as a counterweight to the executive power of the governors-general, the sanjaq governors and other provincial office-holders.

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

By the tenth/ sixteenth century there was a recognised judicial hierarchy, with the judges of the present and former capitals of the empire - Istanbul, Brusa and Edirne - occupying the top ranks. With the conquest of Syria, Egypt and the Hijaz in 922-3 /1516-17, the judges of Damascus, Cairo and Mecca came to enjoy the same status. However, a development of the tenth/sixteenth century, which became even more marked in the centuries which followed, was that these positions - the 'great mollaships' - became closed to ordinary small-town judges, and became the monopoly of the members of a few learned families, who had previously served as professors at one of the Eight Medreses of Mehmed II. Towards the end of the century, some small-town judgeships received the designation of 'great mollaships' as a way of appeasing disappointed candidates for the highest offices. It was from among the judges of the great cities that the two military judges who sat on the imperial council were chosen.

The appointment to judgeships and professorships in medreses was ultimately at the discretion of the two military judges of Anatolia and Rumeli, but holders of high judicial office had the right to nominate candidates who would wait 'in attendance' on one of the military judges in Istanbul until receiving a post. During his tenure of office as military judge of Rumeli between 943/1537 and 952/1545, Ebu'l-su c ud rationalised the system of appointment, without in any way undermining the principle of patronage, by specifying the number of

candidates the holders of particular offices could put forward, and how often.

A striking development of the tenth /sixteenth century was the emergence of the mufti of Istanbul as the senior figure in the Ottoman legal-religious hierarchy. The obscurity of the office in the ninth /fifteenth and early tenth/sixteenth centuries is explained perhaps by the fact that the mufti had no executive power, and no place on the sultan's council. In Islamic legal theory, however, a mufti, as the intermediary between God's law and the daily affairs of the world, enjoyed a high status, and this was perhaps a factor in the elevation of the office in the Ottoman empire. However, what was more important was the prestige of two of the office-holders, Kemalpashazade (held office 931-40/1525-34) and Ebu'l-su c ud (held office 952-82/1545-74). Ebu'l-su c ud in particular was important not only as the empire's supreme legal authority, but also for his institutional innovations. The most important of the mufti's daily functions was to issue fetwas, whether for the sultan, for office-holders or for members of the public, and it was his reorganisation of the fetwa office that allowed the muftis from his own time until the twentieth century CE to issue perhaps a thousand or more fetwas a day, while allowing the mufti at the same time to participate in the politics of the empire. 41

361

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Law in the Ottoman empire 42 was pluralistic in that members of each religious community - for example, Orthodox Christians - had the right to settle their communal affairs internally. However, the Islamic courts admin-

istering Hanafi law covered all parts of the empire and were open to members of all religions, the cases of both Muslims and non-Muslims being settled according to Islamic law. Muslims did not have a similar right to attend a non-Muslim court. Furthermore, it was these courts that heard all cases that involved Muslims and non-Muslims. In these respects Hanafi law was the dominant system of law throughout the empire, and the records make it clear that the Ottoman population, at least in towns and nearby villages, made extensive use of the courts both for litigation and for notarial purposes. In matters of criminal law and the laws of land tenure and taxation, however, Hanafi law was not in force. This was mainly a reflection of the nature of Hanafi law, whose prescriptions in these areas are largely impractical, and in part a reflection of the fact that in these areas Hanafi law did not, in any case, conform to Ottoman practice. What in reality determined the nature of the laws governing these areas was the timar system, and it was in order to regulate the timar system that the body of secular law known as qanun emerged, as a customary law, during the late eighth/fourteenth and ninth/fifteenth centuries. The last decade of the ninth/ fifteenth century saw the compilation of the first written codes of qanun, together with the first efforts to bring the statutes applying in different areas of the empire as far as possible into conformity. The production of new recensions and altogether new codes continued throughout the tenth/ sixteenth century. 43 With the changes in the timar system in the early eleventh/ seventeenth century, the practice was discontinued, creating in the minds of Ottoman observers the impression of a decline from an ideal legal order.

However, of all the factors which impressed later generations that the tenth/ sixteenth century was indeed the Ottoman 'golden age', the greatest was its continuing success in arms. 44 The empire seems to have enjoyed superiority over its rivals in the availability of materials for war. The only items that were in short supply were tin for the casting of bronze cannon, sulphur for the manufacture of gunpowder and, to a lesser degree, hemp for

the manufacture of rope needed for the fleet. However, shortages were never critical, and where they occurred imports made up for local deficits. Similarly, the empire had greater reserves of manpower than its enemies, and possessed the administrative resources to mobilise troops for war. For the entire century timar-holding cavalrymen made up the largest body in the army. These men had a contractual obligation to serve the sultan and, in principle at least, the

362

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

register books allowed the government to estimate the number of men available at any time and to check whether any individual failed to report for the campaign. Similarly, the information available in the register books on the numbers of households in each district facilitated the levies of men, such as oarsmen for the fleet or the infantrymen known as 'azabs, who served only for the length of a single campaign. The tactical abilities of the Ottomans in the field matched the strength of available resources. Their mastery of field warfare, and in particular the tactic of using cavalry to drive the enemy against the artillery in the fortified centre of the line, led to three crucial victories in the first decades of the century: Chaldiran in 920/1514, Marj Dabiq in 922/1516 and Mohacs in 932/1526. Field battles were, however, relatively infrequent in comparison with sieges. 45 By 906/1500, the Ottoman armies were already masters of siege warfare, the most significant development of the early century being the evolution of siege artillery, from consisting primarily of very large cannon to batteries of small and medium-sized pieces. 46 This

followed the pattern which Charles VIII had used so successfully in his invasion of Italy in 1494-5, and which the Ottomans were to experience first hand at the French siege of Mitylene in 1500. 47 At sea too, the Ottomans successfully adopted the techniques (and limitations) of Mediterranean galley warfare, 48 enabling them by mid-century to dominate the eastern Mediterranean and, by virtue of their suzerainty over Algiers, to make incursions into the west. In the long term, however, the failure to master oceanic navigation in the struggle with the Portuguese and to protect the trade routes through the Gulf and Indian Ocean, was perhaps more significant than success in the Mediterranean. Similarly, the changing patterns of warfare on land were eventually to lead to the Ottoman loss of supremacy over European armies. These, however, were problems whose full impact became apparent only in the succeeding centuries.

Notes

1. S. N. Fisher, *The foreign relations of Turkey, 1481-1512*, Urbana, 1948.
2. Palmira Brummett, *Ottoman seapower and Levantine diplomacy in the age of discovery*, New York, 1994.
3. H. Sohrweide, 'Der Sieg der Safawiden in Persien und ihre Rückwirkungen auf die schiiten Anatoliens im 16. Jahrhundert', *Der Islam*, 41 (1965), 95-223.
4. H. Jansky, 'Die Eroberung Syriens durch Sultan Selim I', *Mitteilungen zur Osmanischen Geschichte*, 2 (1923-6; repr. 1972), 169-241.
5. S. Soucek, 'The rise of the Barbarossas in North Africa', *Archivum Ottomanicum*, 3 (1973), 238-50.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

6. Rudolf Tschudi (ed. and trans.), *Das Asafname des Lutfi Pascha*, Leipzig, 1910, 32.

7. For a summary of Siileyman's campaigns in Europe, see G. Kaldy-Nagy, 'Suleimans Angriff auf Europa', *Acta Orientalia* (Budapest), 28 (1974), 163-212.

8. Nicolas Vatin, *L'Ordre de Saint-Jean de Jerusalem: L'Empire ottoman et la Mediterranee orientale entre les deux sieges de Rhodes*, Louvain, 1994, 341-60.

9. Sohrweide, 'Der Sieg'.

10. *The Turkish letters of Ogier Ghiselin de Busbecq*, ed. E. S. Forster, Oxford, 1968, 240-1.

11. Gilles Veinstein, 'Les preparatifs de la campagne navale franco-turque de 1552 a travers les ordres du Divan ottoman', in *Etat et societe dans l'Empire ottoman*, Aldershot, 1994, VI.

12. Stephane Yerasimos, 'Les relations franco-ottomanes et la prise de Tripoli en 1551', in Gilles Veinstein (ed.), *Soliman le Magnifique et son temps*, Paris, 1990, 529-44.

13. Salih Ozbaran, *The Ottoman response to European expansion*, Istanbul, 1994.

14. J. Richard Blackburn, 'The collapse of Ottoman authority in Yemen, 968/1560-976/1568', *Die Welt des Islams*, 19 (1979), 119-76.

15. Maria Pia Pedani, 'Some remarks on the Ottoman geo-political vision of the Mediterranean in the period of the Cyprus war', in C. Imber, K. Kiyotaki and R. Murphey (eds.), *Frontiers of Ottoman studies*, vol. II, London, 2005, 23-35.

16. Michel Lesure, *Lepante: La crise de l'Empire ottoman*, Paris, 1972.
17. Bekir Kutukoglu, 'Les relations entre l'Empire ottoman et l'Iran dans la seconde moitié du XVI^e siècle', *Turcica*, 6 (1975), 128-45.
18. Şevket Pamuk, *A monetary history of the Ottoman Empire*, Cambridge, 2000, 141-2.
19. William J. Griswold, *The great Anatolian rebellion*, Berlin, 1983.
20. Ibrahim Pechevi, *Tarih-i Pecevi*, Istanbul, 1866, repr. Istanbul, 1980, vol. II, 202.
21. Leslie Peirce, *Morality tales: Law and gender in the Ottoman court of Aintab*, Berkeley, 2003, 31.
22. Konrad Dilger, *Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des osmanischen Hofzeremoniells im 15. und 16. Jahrhundert*, Munich, 1967.
23. Godfrey Goodwin, *A history of Ottoman architecture*, London, 1971.
24. Colin Imber, 'The Ottoman dynastic myth', *Turcica*, 19 (1987), 7-27.
25. Colin Imber, *Ebu's-su'ud: The Islamic legal tradition*, Edinburgh, 1997, 98-111.
26. Elke Eberhard, *Osmanische Polemik gegen die Safawiden im 16. Jahrhundert nach arabischen Handschriften*, Freiburg, 1970.
27. Colin Imber, 'The persecution of the Ottoman Shi'ites according to the *Muhimme Defterleri*, 1565-1585)', *Der Islam*, 56, 2 (1979), 245-73.
28. Nicolas Vatin and Gilles Veinstein, *Le Serail ébranlé*, Paris, 2003, ch. 2.
29. Orhan Burian (ed.), *The Report of Lello, third English ambassador to the Sublime Porte*, Ankara, 1952, 2.
30. Leslie Peirce, *The imperial harem: Women and sovereignty in the Ottoman Empire*, Oxford, 1993.

31. See for example Machiel Kiel, 'Central Greece in the Suleymanic age: Notes on population growth, economic expansion and its influence on the spread of Greek Christian culture', in Gilles Veinstein, *Soliman le Magnifique et son temps*, Paris, 1992, 399-424-

364

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire (tenth /sixteenth century)

32. Gulru Necipoglu, 'A kanun for the state, a canon for the arts', in Veinstein (ed.), *Soliman le Magnifique*, 195-216.

33. Tschudi (ed. and trans.), *Das Asafname*, 36.

34. Irene Beldiceanu-Steinherr, 'Fiscalites et formes de possession de terre arable', *JESHO*, 29 (1976), 233-322.

35. Klaus Rohrborn, *Untersuchungen zur osmanischen Verwaltungsgeschichte*, Berlin, 1973.

36. Tschudi (ed. and trans.), *Das Asafname*, 43.

37. I. Metin Kunt, *The sultan's servants: The transformation of Ottoman provincial government, 1550-1650*, New York, 1983.

38. Palmira Brummett, 'Subordination and its discontents: The Ottoman campaign, 1578-80', in Caesar Farah (ed.), *Decision making and change in the Ottoman Empire*, Kirkville, 1993.

39. Colin Imber, 'Ibrahim Pegevi on war: A note on the "European military revolution"', in Imber, Kiyotaki and Murphey (eds.), *Frontiers*, vol. II, 7-22.

40. Klaus Rohrborn, 'Die Emanzipation der Finanzbürokratie im osmanischen Reich', *ZDMG*, 122 (1972), 118-39.
41. R. C. Repp, *The Mufti of Istanbul*, Oxford, 1986.
42. Uriel Heyd, *Studies in old Ottoman criminal law*, ed. V. L. Menage, Oxford, 1973;
Haim Gerber, *State, society and law in Islam: Ottoman law in comparative perspective*, Albany, 1994.
43. C.J. Heywood, 'The evolution of the Ottoman provincial law code (sancak kanunname)', *Turkish Studies Association Bulletin*, 15 (1991), 223-51.
44. Rhoads Murphey, *Ottoman warfare, 1500-1700*, London, 1999.
45. V.J. Parry, 'La maniere de combattre', in V.J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.), *War, technology and society in the Middle East*, London, 1975, 218-56.
46. Gabor Agoston, *Guns for the sultan: military power and the weapons industry in the Ottoman Empire*, Cambridge, 2005.
47. N. Vatin, 'Le siege de Mitylene, 1501', in N. Vatin, *Les Ottomans et l'Occident*, Istanbul, 2001, 9-29.
48. Colin Imber, 'The navy of Suleyman the Magnificent', *Archivum Ottomanicum*, 6 (1980), 211-82.

365

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

13

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political

households' (eleventh-twelfth/
seventeenth-eighteenth centuries)

SURAIYA FAROQHI

Marking off the period

In this chapter I shall briefly discuss the relations of the Ottoman rulers with neighbouring potentates and, at much greater length, the empire's domestic affairs. 1 The latter discussion will highlight politically active households within the ruling group; for not only has this been a favourite research topic during the last thirty years or so, such households were also at the core of many early modern polities from England all the way to Mughal India. Another central theme to historians throughout the early modern world is military change and the political repercussions of the latter. I shall discuss this question in conjunction with another major research topic, namely decentralisation on the one hand and recentralizing measures on the other. A discussion of the attempts by Ottoman authors to master intellectually the new situations that they encountered will conclude our chapter.

At the beginning of the eleventh/ seventeenth century the Ottomans were still embroiled in the Long War with the Habsburgs of Vienna. Peace was concluded in 1015/ 1606, with the Ottomans gaining a few fortresses and both sides exhausted to the point of agreeing to an ambiguous peace treaty. 2 The document issued by the Habsburg chancery stated that, by immediate payment of a lump sum, the emperors would be absolved from future tribute payments for 'royal' Hungary. By contrast the document emitted by the Ottoman side stated that this was merely a down payment on the tribute of future years, which was to resume at a later date. As both sides wanted a cessation of hostilities, this arrangement held up until 1073/ 1663.

On the Iranian border, in 1012/1603 Shah Abbas reconquered Tabriz, which had been in the sultans' hands since 998/1590, and defeated a major Ottoman force near Lake Urmia in 1014/1605. Another event marking the beginning of our

366

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

period was the defeat of Janpuladoghlu 'All Pasha, the governor of Aleppo, who had rebelled with the intention of forming a state of his own (before 1016/1607). 3 While thus the years between 1011/ 1603 and 1016/ 1607 were a time of serious troubles, 1008/ 1600 itself was not unusual in any way.

In the same vein, no momentous events took place in the year 1214/1800. From the Ottoman historian's viewpoint, 1188/1774 would have been more meaningful, as this was the date of the peace of Kuchiik Kaynarja that ended a war with Russia which had turned out disastrously for the Ottomans. Through this peace Tsarina Catherine II for the first time managed to have Russian power in the Balkans and the Black Sea region recognised by treaty; moreover this war and the losses it occasioned resulted in a long-term depression from which the Ottoman economy continued to suffer well into the mid-thirteenth/ nineteenth century. 4 1188/ 1774 thus has, with good reason, been considered to mark the end of a period. Another possibility is 1213/ 1798, the year in which Napoleon occupied Egypt. But quite apart from the fact that the Napoleonic occupation

was so ephemeral, we will here deal with the Ottoman empire in itself, and for that reason a date that has acquired highly contested symbolic connotations to both French and Egyptian authors is best avoided. 5 Last but not least, there is the dethronement of Selim III in 1222/ 1807, which meant the temporary end of military change, which was only taken up again by Mahmud II (r. 1223/1808-1255/1839) in the early i24os/mid-i82os. Thus though the year 1214/1800 was situated midway in a chain of highly dramatic events, it does not qualify as 'unusual' any more than 1008/ 1600. But if we choose to regard periodisation as largely a matter of convenience, cutting out a 'slice' of two hundred years seems as good a solution as any.

Political relations with the outside world

It is impossible to cover the relations of the Ottoman sultans with their neighbours in just a few pages and I shall select but a small number of issues that to the present author appear to be of special significance. We have come to a better grasp of the implications of the fact that the Ottoman empire maintained relations both to its western and to its eastern neighbours, although throughout, eastern concerns are less well documented. Resident embassies were introduced at the very end of our period, but in the tenth/ sixteenth and early eleventh/ seventeenth centuries, down to the war over Crete, Ottoman envoys of albeit usually rather low rank appeared in Venice with relative frequency. Other courts came to be visited more often during the twelfth/ eighteenth century, presumably because after the disadvantageous peace

The New Cambridge History of Islam

treaties of Karlowitz (1110/1699) and Passarowitz (1130/1718) it seemed advisable to observe the international scene, Iran included, more attentively than had been true in the past.

Beginning with the embassy of Yirmisekiz Mehmed Chelebi to France in 1132-4/ 1720-1 ambassadors were expected to write reports that were preserved in the archives, and after some years official chroniclers might be permitted to include them in their works. 7 As the success of such missions was gauged through the ceremonial with which the ambassador was received at the foreign court in question, such formalities occupy a good deal of space in embassy reports. But apparently ambassadors also were encouraged to report on the success with which they had presented the public image of the sultan, as well as on novelties of possible interest to rulers and viziers back home. Thus French garden culture, libraries on Habsburg territory, poetry sessions at the late Safavid court and new palaces erected by tsars and tsarinas all found their way into ambassadorial reports of the twelfth/ eighteenth century.

Throughout the eleventh/ seventeenth century, hostilities occurred most often with the Habsburgs, the shahs of Iran, the kings of Poland and the republic of Venice. From hindsight we know that conquering and above all retaining large-scale territories at the expense of the first two rulers was difficult if not impossible; but this was not the perspective of the contemporary Ottoman court. While the Long War, terminated in 1014f /1606, only netted the sultans a few fortresses, the 1094/ 1683 siege of Vienna came very close to succeeding, and if it had done so, a new Ottoman province would probably have been carved out of Lower Austria. On the Iranian front the eleventh/ seventeenth century commenced with Shah 'Abbas I reconquering Baghdad and most of Iraq for the Safavids. But the campaigns conducted by

Murad IV resulted in the old frontiers being re-established, and the resultant treaty of Qasr-i Shirin (1049/ 1639) delineated a frontier that was to remain normative to the end of our period. On the Polish-Ottoman front the most important gain was the fortress town of Khotin on the Dnestr, long disputed between Poland and the Ottoman vassal principality of Moldavia. In 1085/ 1674 this town was conquered by the sultan's armies, and the empire retained this important fortress even after the short-lived (1083-1110/ 1672-99) province of Kamanicha/Podolia had reverted to Poland. But the major gain was surely Crete, the last important Venetian possession in the eastern Mediterranean, conquered 1055-80/1645-69 and soon 'Ottomanised' by the establishment of numerous pious foundations. 9 A Venetian attempt at balancing this loss by the occupation of the Peloponnese (in Ottoman: Mora) failed after just a few years. 10 Thus in spite of the numerous works in which

368

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

observers both domestic and foreign commented on 'Ottoman decline', medium-level powers even with some outside help typically were not able to defend themselves against a determined Ottoman conqueror. 11

In the 1100S/1700S the great novelty was the appearance of the Russian empire as a major contender in the Black Sea and Caucasus regions. In 1121-3/ 1710-11 tensions over the fate of the Swedish king Charles XII, in exile on Ottoman territory after his defeat in the battle of Poltava, resulted in an Ottoman-Russian war. While the current prince of Moldavia, the famous scholar and

musician Demetrius Cantemir, deserted his overlord the sultan to seek protection from Tsar Peter I, in 1123/ 1711 the Russian army was encircled by the Ottomans near the River Prut, and the tsar obliged to give up the fortress of Azow and promise not to intervene any further in Polish affairs. Cantemir duly followed the tsar to St Petersburg. In the early 1130S/1720S the imminent collapse of the Safavid dynasty prompted the Russian emperor to intervene in the Caucasus: this campaign was preceded by an agreement with Sultan Ahmed III (r. 1115-43/1703-30). 12 Serious Ottoman-Russian conflict was probably avoided by Tsar Peter's death soon afterwards.

For in northern Iran and in the Caucasus, often but not invariably an Iranian dependency, the Ottoman sultan also had plans for expansion, once Shah Soltan Husayn had been driven out of Isfahan by the Afghan invasion (1134/ 1722) and his successor had ceded the Caspian provinces to Russia. In 1137/1724 the sultan's armies occupied Tabriz, but the treaty concluded in 1144/1732 restored the city to Nadir Shah of Iran; in the long run the protracted struggles for the Safavid succession thus did not result in any important territorial gains for the sultans. 13

An Ottoman-Habsburg-Venetian war (1126-31/ 1714-18) lost the Ottomans Belgrade, although they reconquered the Peloponnese; Belgrade was more-over regained in 1139/1739 and from now on formed the Ottoman border fortress vis-a-vis the Habsburg domains. On the whole, the years between 1130/1718 and 1182/1768 were a period of political and economic recuperation, as the wars of this period, apart from the conflict in western Iran, were relatively short. However, the Ottoman government made only limited and sporadic attempts to catch up with the increased firepower of contemporary European and especially Russian armies.

But in 1182/ 1768 the first Polish partition was regarded by Ottoman policy-makers as a major violation of their own interests and resulted in a declaration

of war against Russia, now a much stronger force than in Tsar Peter's time, as a greater mobilisation of resources had been achieved as a result of the reorganisation effected by Catherine II. 14 Russian armies soon occupied

369

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Moldavia and Wallachia, while the anarchy behind the front made it impossible regularly to supply the Ottoman troops. In 1183/ 1770 a Russian naval detachment landed in Mora and destroyed the Ottoman fleet before the Anatolian port of Cesme. Peace negotiations, in which the diplomat and 'political intellectual' Ahmed Resmi played a major role, proved extremely difficult. 15 The peace of Kiichiik Kaynarja (1188/1774) allowed Russian ships in the Black Sea, hitherto reserved for the supplies needed by the Ottoman state apparatus and the population of Istanbul. Moreover the sultan was forced to accept the 'independence' of the Tatar khans, a move completely unacceptable to the Crimean aristocracy. In the following years the attempts of Khan Shahin Giray, who owed his position to the support of Catherine II, to establish himself as an absolutist ruler under Russian patronage failed, and the tsarina annexed the Crimea in 1197/ 1783. This was the first Muslim territory the Ottomans were obliged to give up, and a sizeable number of Tatar aristocrats emigrated to the sultans' territories including even Shahin Giray himself after his dethronement. However, the latter's numerous enemies soon persuaded Abdulhamid I (r. 1187-1203/ 1774-89) to have him killed.

Throughout these wars and crises, the Ottoman empire and France had never been opponents. This centuries-long entente was due to the rivalry

between the French crown and the Habsburgs which involved a multitude of territories all over Europe. However, the support that the French ruler had unofficially permitted some of his noblemen to give the Venetians in the war over Crete, and even to the Habsburgs in the conflict of 1071-5/ 1661-4, had for a while resulted in a significant cooling off of relations. 1 Moreover in the mid-twelfth/ eighteenth century there occurred a renversement des alliances that now linked France and the Habsburg dominions against England supported by Prussia. This new constellation made the Franco-Ottoman entente appear less necessary to French diplomats, and Ottoman military weakness, apparent in the war of 1182-8/ 1768-74, must have confirmed this tendency. 17

After France had lost most of her transatlantic colonies to Britain in the course of the twelfth/eighteenth century, a current within public opinion suggested 'compensation' in the eastern Mediterranean region, that is, at Ottoman expense. Against this background there occurred the Napoleonic invasion of Egypt, followed by a three-year occupation of this province; French naval weakness then permitted an Ottoman reoccupation. This attack resulted in the first Franco-Ottoman war in history, and thus the upheavals occasioned by the French Revolution and its aftermath also led to a complete

370

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

reversal of the accustomed pattern of alliances maintained by the Ottoman sultans.

Throughout the eleventh /seventeenth and twelfth/ eighteenth centuries

British power and ambitions affected the Ottomans only in a limited fashion:

there was occasional friction between the British and the 'rulers' of the three

North African provinces, who in the Ottoman perspective were merely governors with some claim to autonomy. These potentates generally demanded that European states conclude separate treaties with them in order to be protected from corsair attacks, while otherwise recognising the

sultans' suzerainty. As the British had already acquired their own capitulations

by the close of the tenth/ sixteenth century, the North African power constellation at times resulted in disputes over the validity and limits of these

'privileges granted by the sultan's bounty' as they were viewed on the Ottoman side. In the twelfth/eighteenth century British naval power greatly

increased. But as the Mediterranean had become a relatively minor venue for

the commerce of London merchants, this did not affect political relations with

the sultans until the Napoleonic invasion. However, many Greek merchants,

at this time still Ottoman subjects, in the later twelfth and early thirteenth

(later eighteenth) centuries acquired British lettres de marque and thus were

able temporarily to eliminate their French commercial competitors from Mediterranean trade. Problems of a different kind resulted from the fact that

British consuls and ambassadors, just like their European colleagues, employed numerous local non-Muslims and thus granted them foreign 'protection'. 1

But on the whole the interface of the Ottoman and British empires through-

out the twelfth/eighteenth century remained limited. Thus the preponderance

of the 'British connection' in Ottoman foreign affairs during the following century was not part of a *longue durée* setup but rather a novel development

of the Napoleonic and post-Napoleonic periods.

'Political households' as building blocks
of the Ottoman ruling establishment

While largely informal, politically active households were very powerful organisations, and arguably during this period they surpassed most formally

recognised institutions in importance. Such non-royal households had existed in the tenth/ sixteenth century as well, yet after 1008/ 1600 their role grew significantly. For by this time, the Ottoman central government was transferring some of the enormous military expenditure necessitated by the

371

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

confrontation with Habsburgs and Safavids away from its own treasury to the responsibility of its provincial governors. These latter dignitaries were now expected to maintain large households with sizeable numbers of armed men to be used for policing and also as auxiliary forces on the battle fronts. 19 Such establishments placed their possessors in advantageous positions when it came to competing for high office, and correspondingly a period in official employment provided opportunities to shore up the relevant dignitaries' domestic power-bases. Viziers were now often selected from among those administrators with the best-appointed households, and being raised in such a setup, whether as a son, as a free servitor or else as a slave and later freedman became the royal road to political power.

Mutatis mutandis the structure of the sultans' palace was imitated by the heads of lesser households who practised similar methods for inculcating absolute loyalty to themselves. Just like the sultans in Istanbul, twelfth/ eighteenth-century Cairo or Baghdad magnates acquired slaves from the Caucasus and the lands further north, who were made to convert to Islam and trained in the use of arms. Such freedmen, with few ties to their natal families or clans, were thrown back upon the loyalty to their owners or former

owners, in whose households they might with luck rise to prominence through a hierarchy of domestic offices. In other cases poor but free Albanian migrants were taken into household employment: in such cases, the head of the household demanded the loyalty these mountain-dwellers would otherwise have owed to their clan elders. Yet in quantitative terms long-time members of a given 'political household' were often outnumbered by the many armed men hired on a short-term basis only.

How a household, judiciously composed, might serve the political and personal aims of a high dignitary becomes apparent from a recent study of the domestic arrangements of the grand vizier Qara Mustafa Pasha, executed after the failed siege of Vienna. 20 His household, however, remained in place even after his death in 1095/ 1683 although Mustafa Pasha's sons - one of whom was later to have a political career - seem to have been quite young at that time. When some of the former grand vizier's properties were returned to his heirs on condition that the debts of the deceased be paid, it was the senior household officers who sold off possessions and procured the necessary funds. Equally noteworthy is the cosmopolitan makeup of this domestic unit, perhaps assembled by the former grand vizier in order to staff the administration of a future Ottoman province in central Europe. Domestic officers included a German-speaking former Habsburg subject from Tyrol and a Pole, probably of gentlemanly background. The grand vizier's physician was Alexander

translator to the sultan's council. 2,1 Another close associate of the grand vizier, killed before Vienna, was said to be of French background, while one of the household pages was a Spaniard. Maurocordato apart, all these men had become Muslims, and all except the Spanish page apparently were loyal even to the dead vizier's memory.

The household: an organisation encompassing both men and women

Households in their political, economic and social dimensions have become a favourite topic in recent historiography not only because of the role they played in governing the empire, but also because, in this context, some information has become available on the activities of elite women; the household has thus become a privileged site for gender studies, Ottoman style. In the capital the heads of the most powerful households were often linked to the dynasty as damads or husbands to imperial princesses. Such a marriage usually necessitated a restructuring of the damad's household. Not only would the latter be obliged to support the princess, often much too young to fulfil the role of a wife, in the style appropriate to her rank; a monogamous life-style also would be expected, and this would mean divorcing a wife or wives as well as freeing and marrying off concubines. All this might involve financial losses and also the breakdown of crucial intra-elite alliances to say nothing of personal ties, and presumably certain powerful household heads were offered the position of damad for just this reason.

Ewliya Chelebi's accounts give us a few glimpses of what marriage to a princess might be like, seen from the non-royal husband's viewpoint; his relative Melek Ahmed Pasha, at one time grand vizier, was married to Qaya Sultan, daughter to Murad IV (r. 1032-49/1623-40). The image drawn by Ewliya is that of a devoted couple. When the princess died in childbed, her husband was inconsolable. But nevertheless he was soon obliged by Kopriili

Mehmed Pasha, the current grand vizier, to accept marriage to yet another princess, this time older and, given the importance of seniority in the Ottoman palace, higher in rank. In one of the ensuing conflicts between the spouses, Melek Ahmed Pasha apparently claimed that the marriage had taken place with but minimal involvement on his part, thus confirming the impression that such unions might be decided over the heads of the bridegrooms themselves. 2,2

373

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Palace women evidently had even less of a say; and from the testimony of an early eleventh/ early eighteenth-century Englishwoman who encountered several such ladies, we know that a former 'queen', probably a favourite (khasseki) of Sultan Mustafa II (r. 1106-15/ 1695-1703), was much aggrieved by the fact that the reigning sultan had obliged her to take a husband. This presumably amounted to non-recognition of the woman's status as a former favourite, as normally the companions of deceased sultans were not expected to remarry. Not that Ahmed III (r. 1115-43/ 1703-30) had a specific alliance in mind; for the sultana was apparently told to choose her own spouse. 23

Just as in aristocratic households throughout Europe, women from power-ful non-royal Ottoman households also were frequently married off in such a way as to consolidate the strength of the unit into which they had been born.

In late eighteenth- and early nineteenth-century Egypt, household heads forming part of the elite of former military slaves known as mamluks often

gave their daughters in marriage to high-level functionaries within their own domestic setups. By the mid-1600s/ 1700s this custom was also taking root among the Mamluks forming the governing elite in Baghdad. 24 Since it was these officers, rather than the sons of the household head, who typically succeeded to their patron's command, power thus passed from father to son-in-law. 2,5 Moreover the head of a powerful household also oversaw the marriages of the widows of his former retainers, giving them away to other members of his domestic establishment. Thus he retained control of that share in the deceased dignitary's often substantial fortune which the widow inherited according to Islamic law. Both in Cairo and in Baghdad, the weddings of magnates' daughters were sumptuously celebrated in public. These festivities provided occasions for the freedmen of the grandees in question, now themselves in charge of powerful households, to conform to the ethical norms of upper-class Ottomans by demonstrating their continuing allegiance to their patrons.

Some women from wealthy households might dispense charity on a major scale. 2 In Cairo during the late twelfth/ eighteenth and early thirteenth/ nineteenth centuries women from rich Mamluk backgrounds were very prominent among the people establishing pious foundations. Some of the resources involved were inherited. But certain women also had managed to augment their wealth by astute business dealings. Such activities also allowed 'Adile Khatun, daughter and wife of powerful mid-twelfth/ eighteenth-century provincial governors and herself quite a power-broker, to build two mosques in Baghdad. 27 But even among notables of much more modest

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

standing, a few women controlled substantial resources and demonstrated their status by augmenting family foundations.

The sultan's household: universal model and outstanding exception

As we have seen, the sultan's household provided the model for governors, viziers and religious-juridical scholars (c ulema) with an ambition to share in the ruler's power. However, given the at least theoretically absolute dominance of the sultan, his household also had its peculiarities, often of great political significance. Down to the reign of Sultan Siileyman I (r. 926-74/1520-66) it had been customary for any concubine who bore a surviving son to accompany the young prince to his provincial palace, where the latter was expected to learn the art of governing. However, Siileyman's wish to keep his spouse with him resulted in the obsolescence of this rule; moreover the latter's grandson Murad III (r. 982-1003/1574-95) was the last sultan to have received training in the provinces. 2 Now confined to the Topkapi palace, several sultans of the early eleventh /seventeenth century either came to the throne as young boys, or else had mental problems. In consequence strong-willed queen mothers such as Kosem and Khadije Turkhan came to exercise virtual regencies, and the political experience of these royal women was often considerable. 29

In the early 1000s/ 1600s courtiers based in the Harem were able to exert considerable influence because the sultans now resided in that part of the palace, rather than among the pages in the Third Court, as had been the case until the middle of the tenth /sixteenth century. For given the absolute inaccessibility of the Harem even for high-level officials, the latter could only confer with the sultan if he chose to come out to them, or if whoever exercised power in the Harem could be persuaded to have him brought out. Therefore viziers could only survive by forming coalitions with palace

dignitaries, and ferocious rivalries were fought out over the control of the sultan's person, especially if the latter was a minor or of diminished responsibility. Before accepting the position of grand vizier (1066/ 1656) Koprili Mehmed Pasha coped with this situation by having the queen mother swear that he himself and no other would control access to the ruler's person.

Eleventh/ seventeenth- and twelfth/eighteenth-century sultans were also significantly constrained by palace etiquette. This required that the sultan remain all but immobile at his public appearances, and given the extreme

375

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

deference that was shown by court personnel, occasions for normal human interaction were few. Ewliya Chelebi, in his youth a page of Murad IV, reminisced how this young ruler had sought release from these tensions in the company of a few intimates, enjoying sports, horseplay and sometimes rather drastic buffoonery. This behaviour was in dramatic contrast to the fearsome, and indeed often bloodthirsty, comportment of this same ruler in his public capacity. 30 Presumably the lengthy sojourns of the court in Edirne during the later eleventh and early twelfth (late seventeenth) centuries also were connected to the rulers' desire to escape the palace etiquette in the less formal context of what could be defined as an extended sultanic hunt. Later on, when Ahmed III was obliged for political reasons to reside in the capital, he spent quite a bit of time in the Bosphorus villas of his daughters and expressed an obvious preference for domestic as opposed to palatial architecture. 31 But during great festivals, such as the circumcision of his sons in 1132/1720, even this ruler became the centre of elaborate

court rituals.

Mehmed III (r. 1003-12 /1595-1603) and his successors tended to keep their former pages around for considerable periods of time, instead of having them 'graduate' from the palace school when still young and appointing them to provincial offices, as had been customary in earlier times. A well-known instance is the life -story of Venetian-born Ghadanfer Agha, chief of the White Eunuchs, who remained in the palace for many years, sponsoring writers and building a handsome school of law and divinity (medrese). He was finally executed as a result of palace infighting. 32. In addition to dignitaries with access to the sultan on account of their official duties, there were also people who had happened to catch the fancy of the ruler and whose relatives were then promoted by him: thus the husband of a court lady was called to Istanbul from a provincial position by Sultan Ibrahim (r. 1049-58/ 1640-8) and became the new grand vizier. 33

For the most part, Ottoman princes in the eleventh/ seventeenth and twelfth/ eighteenth centuries lived isolated and often quite miserable lives in the section of the palace set aside for them, the so-called 'cage' or qafes. 34 In general, their educations were limited to religion and poetry, with no introduction to the future concerns of a ruler; in some cases profound weakness and depression were the long-term results of this mode of life. 35 An exception, at the very end of our period, was the education of Prince Selim, later Selim III (born 1175/1761), son of Mustafa III (r. 1171-87/ 1757-74). The latter took his son with him to attend meetings of the imperial council as well as military exercises. In a revival of tenth/ sixteenth-century customs, the prince was

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

also allowed to surround himself with a coterie of young well-educated palace servitors, who came to fill important offices once Selim had ascended the throne. 3

Military men: from prebendaries to janissaries and household-based mercenaries

In the early eleventh/ seventeenth century, the mercenary rebellions that had been devastating Anatolia since the 990s /1580s at least, known as the Jelali uprisings, were still in process, although grand vizier Quyuju Murad Pasha had defeated some of the most important chiefs in 1016/1607. 37 In the perspective of present-day historians, military change was the major reason for this unrest; from the viewpoint of many peasants who became mercenaries, a spate of bad harvests in the years around 1008/ 1600 must have been the last straw. At this time cavalry financed through tax-allotments or prebends, in other words by predetermined sums of money to be collected from specified revenue sources (timars), was rapidly losing its earlier importance as the main striking force of the Ottoman army. Now a large musket-wielding infantry took over that crucial role. 38 These infantrymen needed to be paid in cash, thus costing more money than the central treasury possessed. One way of augmenting revenues was to transform timars, which yielded the central treasury no income, into tax-farms, which regularly brought in hard cash. Costs were cut at the same time by hiring mercenaries (lewend, sekban) for single campaigns only.

Bands of mercenary irregulars served not only in the armies put together by

the Ottoman central administration, but also, as we have seen, as short-term retainers in the households of provincial governors. Mercenaries thus often roamed the countryside searching for someone to hire them. If the band was sufficiently large, it might even be worth the leaders' while to cause significant disturbances, for this might induce the administration to limit damages by incorporating the relevant band into the regular army. 39 As most mercenaries were anxious to find themselves regular sources of income, in the eleventh/seventeenth century they quite often rebelled in order to achieve parity with the members of the regular army (qui). Or else they might put pressure on the governor who had hired them to resist deposition, in other words to rebel in his turn. As to the leaders of such bands, once incorporated into the military establishment, they often found out that their positions were anything but secure, and some were executed on one pretext or another shortly after having achieved a command upon the frontier.

377

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

In the course of the Jelali rebellions the Ottoman government began to station larger garrisons in provincial cities; these were either sent out from Istanbul or Anatolia or else recruited locally (in Damascus: yerliye). Many-soldiers in Cairo and Aleppo were affiliated with the janissaries or gunners' corps (qui); there was also significant growth in the janissary units stationed in

the capital itself. Owing to the treasury's notorious lack of funds, these men were paid mere pittance, especially as their pay was scarcely adjusted when- ever the currency was devalued. As a result, janissaries and other soldiers, many of whom had already made money exploiting the possibilities of the urban market in the tenth/ sixteenth century, stepped up these activities; the results often resembled black-marketeering rather than 'regular' trade. From Cairo to Vidin on the Danube during the 1600s/1700s and 1800s/1900s artisans joined the military corps in large numbers in order to benefit from tax exemptions. In exchange a percentage of the craftsmen's estates went into the coffers of the paramilitary units to which the deceased had once belonged. 40 In consequence most military corps came to be urban militias rather than regular soldiers, although a number of these men did regularly join the sultans' armies.

Those soldiers living in barracks rather than in their own homes developed a strong esprit de corps, strengthened by references to flags and more or less mythical stories. Especially in Istanbul and Cairo this social cohesion permitted them to play an often dominant political role. 41 In the Egyptian setting dominance implied control over provincial resources while in Istanbul the fate of grand viziers and sultans depended on at least minimal acceptance by the local janissaries. For, through their links with the city's artisans, the soldiers were able to muster forces that the palace guardsmen - even if they remained loyal - were not able to counter. As certain lower-level religious scholars might be willing to throw in their lot with the rebels, such revolts could be legitimated in religious terms without too much difficulty.

Even a bid for political power on the highest level was once attempted by a former mercenary-cum-bandit. 42. After first fleeing from the Habsburg front in

Hungary, Yegen 'Othman plundered villages in central Anatolia; ultimately he managed to re-enter the regular army. He rose to be a commander of all mercenaries in the sultan's service, prudently remaining neutral in the military rebellion that deposed Mehmed IV. Almost by default he was appointed commander-in-chief by the new sultan Siileyman II (r. 1099-1102/ 1687-91). Later on, in spite of much opposition - he was suspected of aiming for the grand vizierate - Yegen c Othman Pasha was sent out to dislodge the Habsburgs from Belgrade. His irregulars sacked Ottoman towns and villages

378

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

along the army route until he himself was killed in 1100/1689: this ended the mercenaries' attempt to dominate the empire.

Military techniques

Once on foreign territory, eleventh/ seventeenth-century Ottoman armies tended to concentrate on the conquest of fortresses. Pitched battles were rarer in this period than they had been in the 900s/ 1500s, and were to become once again in the twelfth/ eighteenth century. Shah c Abbas I of Iran countered this strategy by scorched-earth tactics; the latter even included the destruction of certain strong fortifications on Iranian soil. 43 Given the wide earth-filled walls and trace italienne form of seventeenth-century CE European fortified towns, the Ottoman strategy presupposed specialisation in the under-tunnelling of walls and skilful handling of explosives. The eleventh/ seventeenth-century travelogue writer Ewliya Chelebi dwelt at length on the competence of Ottoman soldiers in this branch of the military art, the

conquest of Candia (1080/1669) forming a prime example. Explosives experts also showed their mettle in the second siege of Vienna (1094/ 1683); they had all but destroyed the Carinthia gate when Qara Mustafa Pasha's besieging army was routed by the troops of King Jan Sobieski of Poland. 44

Cannons and handguns were in ample supply and, until the Russo-Ottoman war of 1182-8/ 1768-74, so was gunpowder. 45 Only at the very end of our period did the foreign experts employed by Selim III decide that gunpowder produced by horsepower-driven mills was inferior in quality to that produced with the aid of waterpower, and a new-style manufacture was built to accommodate this technology. 46 It has often been claimed that the Ottomans were dependent on outsiders for the manufacture of their weaponry; but hiring outside specialists was standard practice in seventeenth-century CE western and central Europe as well. If weapons experts from Istanbul or Cairo did not operate in Europe, Ottoman gunners were highly sought after in India and southern Asia. Nor is it true that the sultans' soldiers relied excessively on large unwieldy guns; the latter were manufactured mainly as demonstrations of power, while in battlefield situations commanders relied on small and medium-sized guns just as much as their opponents. The variety of gun types used by Ottoman soldiers, who frequently needed to supply their own weapons, did cause problems, and the same thing applied to the many varieties of gunpowder. 47 But standardisation was an elusive goal for European arms producers as well, for both in western/

central Europe and in the Ottoman empire a multiplicity of small workshops accounted for the bulk of production. 48

Before about 1111/1700, the supply system of the sultans' armies functioned reasonably well by the standards of the time, with taxpayers required to bring grain and fodder to previously determined stopping points. Payment, if it occurred at all, was typically much lower than in the open market, with transportation costs also falling on the producers. When supplies owed by given groups of taxpayers were not needed, the latter were usually charged substantial sums of money instead. After 1182/1768 it was to a large extent the disruption of this system that led to Ottoman defeat by the armies of Tsarina Catherine II, and the resulting attacks by hungry and underpaid soldiers on towns and villages in the line of advance alienated many people who had previously been the sultans' loyal subjects.

Naval power was less central than it had been in the tenth/ sixteenth century, as the major enemies of the Ottomans before 998/1590, namely the Spanish Habsburgs, by now were little interested in carrying on the struggle. Major naval warfare occurred during the early stages of the Veneto-Ottoman war over Crete, when the Venetians instituted a blockade of Istanbul that the Ottoman navy broke only with difficulty, after a fortuitous explosion had destroyed the ship of the Venetian commander; otherwise battles were mainly on land. In the second half of the eleventh/ seventeenth century the Ottoman navy, like its Mediterranean counterparts, slowly but surely abandoned war galleys, with their great manoeuvrability but low firepower, in favour of units consisting entirely of sailing ships. 49 In the wars of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, Ottoman sea power was again of limited significance: best known is a major defeat in the Russo-Ottoman war of 1182-8/ 1768-74, when before Cheshme, Russian ships virtually annihilated the Ottoman fleet (1183/1770).

Household politics, destabilisation and
the c Mfemfl-janissary-artisan alliance at the
Ottoman centre

Against this background we must view the major domestic events of the times, in other words discuss Ottoman political history. Sultan 'Othman II (r. 1027-31/ 1618-22) apparently intended to form a new corps of provincial soldiers to balance the power of the janissaries in his capital. In any event this intention was attributed to him by the latter soldiers, who rebelled, deposed the young sultan and killed him, thus denying the sacral character of the ruler that had been central to the Ottoman sultanate at least since Mehmed the

380

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

Conqueror (r. 855-86/1451-81). 50 On the other hand the revolt of Abaza Mehmed Pasha (1032/ 1622), governor of Erzurum in eastern Anatolia, was apparently directed against the janissary-dominated government in Istanbul, with the express intention of avenging the death of 'Othman II. 51 For the most part Ottoman chroniclers sided with the qui, describing the young sultan as thoroughly misguided and under the influence of 'evil councillors'; presumably most of them personally stood to lose, had the plans of c Othman II come to fruition. However a minority, including the well-known author Pechuylu (Pechevi) Ibrahim and an anonymous Jewish writer, took the side of Mehmed Pasha and his supporters. 52

Murad IV, who instituted a reign of terror in an effort to re-establish central control, sought an alliance with a faction of Istanbul's lower-level L ulema, the so-called Qadizadeliler. 53 Basing themselves on the teachings of the influential scholar Birgili Mehmed Efendi, these men demanded the abolition of all customs that had entered the life of the Muslim community since the time of the Prophet Muhammad and his immediate successors. The Qadizadeliler were particularly hostile to Sufism and dervishes; however, Murad IV generally drew the line when it came to the destruction of dervish lodges. This alliance with the Qadizadeliler seems to have paid considerable dividends in terms of legitimacy - the massive killings ordered by the sultan were often welcomed by contemporary authors, including a Balkan Christian who felt that the sultan had punished the oppressors of his own community. 54 In a modified fashion, the Qadizadeliler 'ideology' was taken up again under Mehmed IV, when Sheykh Warn was influential in the palace as the ruler's teacher. This time the Mewlewis or dervish followers of Mawlanajaleddm Rumi bore the brunt of official hostility because of their use of music and dance in rituals. 55 It was only Sheykh Warii's promotion of the fateful Vienna campaign that ended his political power; although even earlier the hostility of the grand vizier Kopriliis Mehmed Pasha had significantly weakened the influence of the Qadizadeliler.

Koprulii Mehmed Pasha had taken office in 1066/ 1656, in the early stages of the war over Crete. He managed to procure a virtual monopoly of power as a result of his success in breaking the Venetian blockade and also by his calculated use of extreme violence against political rivals. His son and successor Fadil Ahmed Pasha completed the conquest of Crete and for half a century the Kopriliis maintained the most powerful of vizieral households. However,

this position was undermined by Merzifonlu Qara Mustafa Pasha, a son-in-law to the Kopriliis, for having lost Hungary to the Habsburgs after the failed siege of Vienna Qara Mustafa Pasha, as we have seen, was deposed and executed. Presumably in a bid to counter Koprili power, Mustafa II allowed

381

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

his former tutor Feydullah, whom he promoted chief of the 'ulema hierarchy (sheykh til-islam), to dispense widespread patronage and form one of the great households of the time. Even so, Koprulii power was not easily evinced, and the household continued to produce grand viziers into the early twelfth/ eighteenth century.

Opposition against Feydullah's over-ambitious household strategies combined with Mustafa II's loss of legitimacy following the disadvantageous peace of Karlowitz (1110/1699) to produce a broad coalition against the ruler and his sheykh til-islam. 56 In addition, rumours that the capital would be transferred to Edirne worried Istanbul artisans anticipating the loss of their clientele. While Feydullah's opponents certainly were concentrated among the religious-cum-legal establishment, his attempts to influence military strategy and tactics meant that he had enemies among the officers as well. Thus an 'ulema-janissary-artisan alliance was formed that brought about the assassination of the sheykh til-islam and his eldest son, and later on the deposition of the sultan himself. Having come to the throne as a result of the 'Edirne event' (Edirne

watfasi) Ahmed III had to promise that he would make Istanbul his permanent residence, and this fact contributed to the rising power of the bureaucracy during the following decades. 57

Yet this bureaucracy was not in a position to stabilise the throne: for less than thirty years later, another c w!ema-janissary-artisan alliance brought down

Ahmed III and his grand vizier Damad Ibrahim Pasha as well. 58 In this instance

a planned campaign to Iran that failed to materialise was the focus for dissatisfaction among the petit peuple of Istanbul. According to Ottoman practice, artisans had been ordered to equip some of their colleagues to follow

the army on the march, but now it seemed that the money had been squandered for no good reason. 59 In this context the luxury of the court became an issue as well, but the details are not well understood.

Discontent

may have been exacerbated by the fact that some of the newly introduced

luxuries were of foreign origin. Moreover, as the Ottomans were forced to

evacuate Tabriz in 1142/ 1729, it was evident that the sultan's armies had not

been very successful during recent years. ° Whether these matters were grist

for the mill of the descendants of the Qadizadeliler oppositionists of the previous century, who probably had not disappeared from the scene altogether, has not as yet been investigated. As to the soldiers, one of whom led

the rebellion and gave it his name (Patrona Khalil Hsyani) they may well have

been discontented because the war in Iran had not provided them with many

opportunities for booty or promotions, but this is also a matter needing further study. From quite a different angle, a contemporary author felt that

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

the sultan had kept his grand vizier in office for too long; therefore the latter's competitors had despaired of ever seeing their own turn arrive and thus become inclined to throw in their lot with the rebels.

Just after the end of our period, in 1222/ 1807, the Qabaqchi Mustafa rebellion that brought down Selim III forms yet another example of the 'wtota-janissary-artisan alliance in action. 2 However, in this case the complicity of high-level dignitaries, especially the sheykh ill-islam Ataullah Efendi and the substitute to the grand vizier (sadaret qaymaqami) Kose Musa Pasha, was much more obvious than earlier comparable activities of people within the Ottoman ruling establishment. In 1115/ 1703 and 1143/ 1730 it had usually been 'ulema currently out of office, and not high-level dignitaries, that had been willing to throw in their lot with the rebels.

Sultan Selim had alienated the janissaries and allied militias by his Nizam-i Jedid or 'new model' army, ultimately designed to replace the established military corps. His supporters were much given to factionalism among themselves, and in addition there were the struggles between adherents of the sultan's reforms and the opponents of the latter within the ruling establishment. All these factors led to a good deal of street-fighting and arson on the part of the janissaries, and these criminal activities alienated most of Istanbul's inhabitants from the ruler and his 'unbeliever-inspired' novelties. In 1222/ 1807 a revolt broke out among the janissary auxiliaries; when Selim III gave in to the mutinying soldiers, Ataullah Efendi and Kose Musa Pasha encouraged the latter to step up their demands and ultimately press for the replacement of the sultan himself. Sultan Selim could not bring himself to use the 'new model' soldiers to crush the rebellion, which therefore ended with the abolition of the Nizam-i Jedid, his own dethronement and the short-lived sultanate of Mustafa IV (r. 1222-3/ 1807-8). The deposed ruler was murdered shortly

afterwards. 63

Forestalling rebellion and limiting social

differentiation: sultanic power as it was legitimated

in everyday life

It is well known that success in war, especially against the infidels, was a major legitimating factor. Yet in the period under discussion only c Othman II, Murad IV, Mehmed IV and Mustafa II participated in military campaigns. Of these four, only Murad IV could claim successes that were both significant and long-term; yet whether contemporaries thought that he should have gone to war against the infidels, rather than against Iran, remains unknown. That no ruler

383

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

of the twelfth/ eighteenth century undertook to play the role of a warrior sultan may have been linked to the fact that by now the fortunes of war were

considered too uncertain to risk the empire's major symbol on the battlefield.

By contrast, the flag of the Prophet Muhammad, perhaps easier to remove and

protect, was given increasing prominence; such features also indicate that a

sedentary monarch now relied more on symbols and ceremonies than on battles in order to stabilise his rule.

Moreover, quite a few eleventh/ seventeenth-century authors believed that

another significant means of imperial legitimisation, namely the construction

of mosque complexes with their attendant charities, was only permitted to

those rulers who could boast major conquests over the infidels. Thus Mehmed

III had preferred not to build in his own name even though he had been present at the Ottoman victory of Mezo-Keresztes/Hachova against the Habsburgs (1005/1596). After all, the Long War continued throughout his reign, no end was in sight by his death in 1012/ 1603, and no important territorial gains had as yet been made. The Sultan Ahmed Mosque (completed

1025/1616) became the subject of public debate for similar reasons, as the

young sultan had no claim, however tenuous, to victories over the infidels.

However, a religious figure close to the ruler, presumably with the latter's

encouragement, vehemently denied that by initiating such a costly venture

Ahmed I had committed the sin of pride. 64 Instead the author claimed that

virtues such as generosity could never be practised to excess, and that the

sultan's bounty should be made visible to his subjects. A certain Jac fer Efendi,

evidently a member of the entourage of Mi'mar Mehmed, the architect of the

Sultan Ahmed Mosque, also praised this new building in poetry and prose: the

ruler and Mi'mar Mehmed, his former page, were celebrated for having created an image of paradise on earth, as well as a monument to (so far largely

non-existent) victories against the Shi'ite heretics. Moreover, it was also decided

to shore up the Ka'ba, which by the early eleventh/ seventeenth century was

in a bad state of repair, by a set of decorated iron braces, and this venture also

was well publicised. 65

Both a lack of funds and concern about public criticism may have prevented

Mehmed IV from constructing major charities in his own name, instead of merely allowing his mother to do so; and most other rulers of the eleventh/

seventeenth century avoided such large projects altogether. However, after

the peace of Passarowitz/Pasarofcha (1130/1718) Ahmed III not only decided to

restore pious foundations that had fallen into disrepair, but also established a new mosque complex, in the name of his mother. Later rulers resumed the custom of founding charities in their own names. Located at the very hub of

384

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

the city, the Nuruosmaniye begun by Mahmud I (r. 1143-68/ 1730-54) and completed by c Othman III (r. 1168-71/ 1754-57) is situated at the entrance to Istanbul's Grand Bazaar, while the Laleli Mosque founded by Mustafa III can be reached from the business area in a few minutes. Certainly the charities of Abdilhamid I (r. 1188-1203/ 1774-89) were less lavish, but he also established a pious foundation in the busy district of Eminonu; and in spite of extreme financial stringency his successor Selim III built a substantial mosque complex in the vicinity of his newly built barracks near Uskiidar. While public debates about these buildings have not as yet become known it makes sense to assume that twelfth/ eighteenth-century sultans believed that the sponsoring of mosques, schools and libraries contributed substantially to their legitimacy.

In the early twelfth/ eighteenth century, no attempt was made to present the current sultan Ahmed III as a war hero, even though during his reign there was fighting both on the western and the eastern fronts. Instead the Ottoman ambassador to Iran described his ruler as a wise and indeed a bookish person, who regularly consulted with his officials and spent one day a week in the

library he himself had endowed. The piety of Ahmed III was demonstrated by the regular lectures on the part of well-known religious-cum-legal scholars that the sultan organised and attended in person, a custom that other rulers of the period followed as well. 67

Further away from Istanbul the sultans demonstrated their piety by paying out substantial amounts of money to safeguard the pilgrimage to Mecca and Medina, for ever since 923/1517 the two Holy Cities of the Hijaz were situated within the Ottoman confines. Public revenues financed the soldiers protecting the caravan, and supplies were sent to the inhabitants of Mecca and Medina from pious foundations in Egypt: these subsidies made it possible for the pilgrims to purchase their needs at affordable prices. In addition money and grain were remitted to the Bedouins who nomadised near the hajj routes leading to Cairo and Damascus in exchange for the protection that the latter extended to the pilgrims. However, the payment from Egypt decreased significantly from the late eleventh/ seventeenth century onward, as local power-holders appropriated larger shares of the available revenue. In consequence hajjls and candidate hajjis were now more likely to be attacked en route. 69 Apart from the physical consequences for the pilgrims themselves, who were robbed and sometimes killed, this situation could also lead to legitimacy crises: for protecting the pilgrimage was one of the major services to the Islamic community from which the Ottoman sultans derived their claim to leadership among the rulers of the Muslim world. There was thus a tendency on the part of Ottoman officialdom to treat Bedouins who attacked

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the hajj caravans as the archetypal enemies, in other words as unbelievers. By contrast the tribesmen regarded themselves as entitled to attack if they had not been paid the money to which they believed they had a legal claim.

Another way of legitimising the sultan was to show that he was actively concerned with the physical survival of his subjects, especially but not exclusively those of the capital. This could be achieved by publicising the fact that the ruler and also his grand vizier visited the markets in disguise. That this was really practised, and not just dreamt up by chroniclers, is apparent from twelfth/ eighteenth-century collections of documents bearing notes in the sultans' handwriting: Abdilhamid I, Selim III and in the early thirteenth/ nineteenth century also Mahmud II all referred to the deplorable conditions they had personally witnessed in the streets of Istanbul. Typically the rulers in question responded to these experiences in strongly worded commands to their grand viziers demanding that the abuses in question cease forthwith; Mahmud II also went on inspection tours in his Balkan provinces. If it was impossible actually to improve conditions, at least the government had demonstrated its concern with the problems involved.

Other sultanic orders responded to complaints from modestly placed people such as artisans and students in schools of law and divinity (medreses). Craftsmen might complain about rivalry from competing guilds or about disrespect for traditional standards: often such complaints were not referred back to the qadi's courts, but were decided by the administration itself. For after about 1163/ 1750 the latter had a much greater amount of information at its disposal, and evidently believed that it was capable of resolving many local problems without recourse to the men on the spot.

70
As the sultans and their administrations involved themselves only when a complaint had been addressed to them directly, we may conclude that Istanbul's artisans were not much disturbed by this constant intervention on the part of officials, but to the contrary expected and perhaps even

welcomed it. Medrese students petitioned the ruler asking for repairs to the schools in which they were supposed to prepare themselves for careers as judges and teachers; and these petitions, including very mundane details, must have brought results often enough for the stream of complaints to continue with some regularity. 71

A crucial element in this policy of close sultanic involvement in the lives of the Stambouliotes was the enforcing of price controls, especially but not exclusively in the case of bread. Milling and baking had always been tightly controlled. But supervision seems to have increased in the twelfth/ eighteenth century, possibly because Istanbul's grain supplies so often came

386

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

from the Balkans, yet during this period agriculture, especially in Moldavia and Wallachia, was often disrupted by war. In consequence there were veritable famines in the Ottoman capital, particularly during the reign of Selm III. 72 Regulation often involving the sultan in person encompassed even the most insignificant details. 73 State-supervised merchants in charge of importing grain, sea captains commanding ships that carried these food-stuffs to the capital, qadis and adjunct qadis of the Istanbul region, guild elders, millers, bakers, porters and even consumers in general were all supposed to keep watch on one another and bring infractions to the sultan's notice. In quite a few instances, these people in fact conformed to official expectations.

Given the difficulties so often suffered by the ordinary inhabitants of Istanbul, the growing consumption of the wealthier sectors of urban society during the noos/ 1700s became a source of considerable tension; and once

again the sultan's visible interventions might further the legitimacy of his rule. A quantitative study of this tendency towards growing consumption on

the part of the well-to-do has not as yet been undertaken. But a variety of indicators suggest that this was in fact a widespread phenomenon.

Surviving

rooms from this period, which moreover seem to have belonged to Christian notables not of outstanding power or status, show that money was being spent on the decoration of dwellings, not only by the rich but also

by the merely well-to-do. 74 Post-mortem inventories from Brusa (Bursa), featuring silk bedspreads, and velvet cushions and hangings, confirm this impression.

More difficult to pin down is the growing role of fashion among the consumption expenditures of the better-off townsmen and women. 75 But quite obviously the sultans of the twelfth/eighteenth century regarded it as

one of their major tasks to enforce the social order (*nizam-i 'diem*), and limiting

conspicuous consumption among the empire's subjects was an integral part of

this endeavour. 76 Numerous attempts to regulate clothing, at least insofar it

was visible on the street, were promulgated in the twelfth and early thirteenth

(eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries). 77 Certainly such regulation had

not been unknown even in the tenth/ sixteenth century; but in the noos/ 1700s

these attempts gained a stridency they had not possessed earlier on. 78

A twelfth/ eighteenth-century process of social differentiation among the urban population has been well studied with respect to certain towns of south-

eastern Europe, and something similar presumably happened in other parts of

the Ottoman empire as well. 79 It can be assumed that such differentiation

resulted in much dissatisfaction among the petit peuple unable to share in this

The New Cambridge History of Islam

novel bounty. That the pavilions adorning the gardens of the pleasure palace of Sultan Ahmed III were taken down after the latter's deposition (1143/ 1730) may indicate a concern on the part of Mahmud I to dissociate himself from the luxurious life that had aroused so much hostility against his predecessor. And yet the luxury of the sultans was not a novelty, and thus in and of itself probably not delegitimising; but wealth flaunted by people of subject status, especially but not exclusively non-Muslims, must have aroused more negative reactions. Given this situation, the sultans appear to have attempted, in some instances at least, to reverse the processes of social differentiation. Financial stringency apart, this may be a reason why, in the twelfth/ eighteenth century, we frequently encounter the confiscation of the estates of wealthy subjects, quite openly for no other reason than that the deceased had been wealthy. In earlier periods, such confiscations had been limited to the sultan's servitors only.

Socio-economic differentiation often involved making money by commerce, and the sultans' Christian subjects were typically better placed in this respect than their Muslim counterparts, although the twelfth/ eighteenth-century decline in Muslim commercial activity has been greatly exaggerated. This situation may explain why Christian and Jewish males were quite often targeted as people whose conspicuous consumption disturbed the social order. ° Moreover, differently from the luxuries enjoyed by non-Muslim women, those favoured by men could be observed by their neighbours with relative ease. However, even more central to this official attempt - at least - to prevent social differentiation from manifesting itself in public was the clothing of Muslim women. J Here attempts to regulate sartorial details were reiterated most frequently, and those women who refused to obey were threatened with dire punishments that often included their menfolk as well.

While relatively little was said about the clothing-related transgressions of non-Muslim women, the edicts relating to female Muslims often linked the social order to the compliance of the latter with official regulations. All this is especially noteworthy as, at the same time, Ottoman princesses gained at least vicarious visibility through the elaborate villas that they inhabited on the shores of the Bosphorus. 2 More studies will be needed before we can explain why Muslim women of the subject class were thus singled out for special treatment. Misogyny is certainly typical of the ideological discourse of patriarchal societies in general, and was shared by twelfth/ eighteenth-century Muslims, Christians and Jews, but here more specific considerations may have been at work as well. 83 For reasons that are not as yet well understood,

388

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

the discourse on Muslim women, who needed to be kept out of the public eye, played a key role in the legitimisation of twelfth/eighteenth-century sultanlic rule.

The bureaucracy: a counterweight to decentralisation?

If our documentation on the Ottoman polity is so much broader than that available on most other empires of the early modern period, this is due to the fact that Istanbul remained the capital over many centuries, with a large sedentary bureaucracy accumulating records through good years and bad. As, unlike Isfahan or Delhi, Istanbul was never conquered or destroyed, a fair share of this output has survived: yet the number of studies on the workings of this bureaucracy remains surprisingly limited.

84

Most research concerns the qadis' courts and the financial division of the

central administration. 5

It has been suggested that before the mid-nineteenth century CE, the Ottoman bureaucracy was 'patrimonial' in the Weberian sense of the term, in other words, it was but imperfectly separated from the ruler's household and had not yet gained the autonomy it was to acquire in nineteenth-century CE Europe. In addition, the training and professional activity of officials was supposedly oriented towards the workmanlike production of documents, including, for those with intellectual and aesthetic ambitions, the study of literary styles and calligraphy. By contrast, designing policies and seeing to their implementation was not part of the responsibilities of a typical bureau-crat. Whether these were indeed the most salient characteristics of eleventh/seventeenth- and twelfth/ eighteenth-century officials, or whether there were other significant aspects to their activity, will remain for future scholars to decide.

In European and North American scholarship there has been considerable debate concerning the degree of separation between the religious-juridical establishment (Hlmiye) on the one hand, and military-administrative careers on the other. 87 Discussions concerning this issue continue to crop up from time to time. Yet there seems to be a consensus that while career lines certainly existed, and became more highly differentiated with the emergence of the financial administration as a separate service branch in the tenth/sixteenth century, it was quite possible to switch in mid-career, though perhaps less easy in the twelfth/ eighteenth century than in earlier times. However, those who moved between services generally advanced less rapidly than those who had

The New Cambridge History of Islam

persevered in one single branch of officialdom, as the historian and litterateur Mustafa c Ali found out to his chagrin. 89

We still know very little about the way in which the mid-twelfth/ eighteenth-century expansion of the Ottoman bureaucracy affected scribal recruitment. Yet there must have been new opportunities opening up; after all, from the 1160S/1750S onwards there was an exponential growth of written records. In this period official responses to queries from the provinces, that previously had been recorded for the empire as a whole in the Registers of Important Affairs (miihimme defterleri) and in the Complaint Registers (shikayet defterleri), were divided up according to the province (wildyet) from which the query at issue had originated. For most provinces a new register was begun every few years, which meant that the number of recorded edicts was much greater than ever before. In addition the administration of this period also compiled bulky registers of the sultanic commands in the hands of beneficiaries that the latter had to present for confirmation whenever a new ruler ascended the throne. While confirmation had been practised in earlier centuries as well, the manufacture of the new registers and their use in official business must have kept a sizeable number of scribes very busy. In addition, quite a few new bureaux were opened in the financial sector, presumably to provide a counterweight to the decline in central control that unavoidably accompanied life -time tax -farming. However as the sources for prosopographical studies of twelfth/ eighteenth-century scribes have not yet been studied, the relevant changes in employment and promotion patterns are

but imperfectly known.

Officials of a particular kind: religious specialists
in the service of the sultan

When it came to entering the Ottoman bureaucracy and making a
success of
one's career, a certain amount of wealth was essential, as secretaries as
well as
candidate judges and professors often waited for long periods before
obtaining
their positions. However, in the eleventh/ seventeenth century young
men
from the provinces were certainly able to make middle- and even upper-
level
careers for themselves as qadis and medrese teachers. While the sons of
peasants were rarely recorded, merchants, artisans and especially low-
level
men of religion such as preachers, prayer leaders and administrators of
small
pious foundations quite often managed to launch their sons on the career
path
leading to judgeships and professorial positions. Not that these young
men

390

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

had an easy time of it however, for they competed against other
candidates
'with the proper connections' inside the Hlmiye. 9 "

In the twelfth/ eighteenth century 'rising from the ranks' apparently
became more difficult: in an attempt to control future religious-legal
scholars
more tightly, the professors of Brusa and Edirne, who hitherto had been
able

to recommend candidates for appointment, were deprived of that right.
91

Now it was necessary for all provincial students who aimed at anything beyond purely local careers to pursue their studies in Istanbul, which placed an additional hurdle in the path of these young men. At the same time the privileges accorded to the sons of high-level members of the juridical and professorial hierarchies were increased; the result was a growing separation of the lower ranks, particularly those working in the provinces, from those few men who controlled appointments. At the same time, top-level judges and professors typically formed close ties to the sultans and their entourages.

In terms of the centralisation-decentralisation problematic which forms an important aspect of the historiography of the eleventh/seventeenth and twelfth/eighteenth centuries, this 'aristocratisation' of the judicial and professorial hierarchy thus worked for an increase of central control.

Policies practised at the Ottoman centre were often replicated *mutatis mutandis* in the provinces. Thus Husayn ibn 'All, who made himself autonomous ruler (beg) of Tunis about 1117/ 1705, ensured the loyalty of local 'ulema,

of whom there existed veritable dynasties, by according them various privileges. 91 He also furthered certain local saintly lineages (marabouts), but on

the whole Husayn ibn 'All was concerned about the possible independence of

the latter from his own government, and therefore preferred the more easily

controlled institutions of urban Islam. His nephew and successor 'All Pasha,

known to be especially devout, followed the same model, and the 'ulema of

Tunis continued to preach obedience to the begs throughout the twelfth/eighteenth century. However, this attempt at legitimisation sometimes backfired, and rebels might openly challenge the decrees of the 'ulema close to

the palace by declaring their activities illicit. 93

Somewhat different was the role of Orthodox churchmen subordinate to the ecumenical patriarch in Istanbul. While non-Muslim communities did not

as yet possess the highly structured organisation they were to acquire only

after 1255/1839, the patriarchs and rabbis were recognised by the Ottoman

administration and officially instituted by appointment documents (bera'ts).

These dignitaries paid dues on behalf on their respective flocks and, in a broad

sense, were answerable to the government for the behaviour of the latter. 94

In the Orthodox Church bishops often farmed the taxes owed by their

39i

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

respective congregations. When, because of war or pestilence, bishops were

unable to defray the taxes for which they had contracted, priests and con-

gregations might be left to their own devices because their ecclesiastical superior had taken flight. 95

Taxes were also a major reason why the state apparatus and Orthodox churchmen both opposed the endeavours of Catholic missionaries. The latter

attempted to persuade both Orthodox and Gregorian Armenians to become

Catholics, or, failing that, to accept Uniate status, i.e. to recognise the suprem-

acy of the pope while retaining their traditional rituals. From the viewpoint

of Orthodox churchmen this distinction was of no significance: for, just like

'real' Catholics, Uniates also often refused to pay dues associated with the

churches they had abandoned. The Jewish community was less centralised

than the Orthodox, as the different customs of Spanish, Portuguese and other

immigrant congregations were amalgamated only in the course of time. 96

Provincial qadis as an integrative force

Recent studies also have focused on the day-to-day operation of the courts, and especially on the complicated interplay between litigation on the local level and complaints to the central authorities. 97 It has emerged that many people even in the smaller Anatolian towns had a basic understanding of the legal system and thus were able to choose whatever venues best suited their interests. Judicial corruption, of which contemporary writers quite often complained, was not a rare feature, and probably unavoidable since candidates spent long years of waiting in order to obtain rather short-term positions. Some qadis may have helped the contending sides to settle out of court. It was also a recognised tactic for people who had not been able to obtain a favourable judgement from a given qadix to 'try again' under his successor or else apply to the provincial governor or even the sultan's council in the capital. 98 As a result, even though in principle qadis' courts were supposed to provide rapid justice, it was not unknown to have the same dispute crop up again and again over the years.

Group action was another strategy that could bring benefits even to peasant plaintiffs. In at least some of the courts examined, villagers acting collectively, as opposed to complaining as individuals, typically obtained redress for their grievances. 99 Community values and judgements were taken very seriously by the courts, and might determine the outcome of a given case even if there was no specific evidence against the accused. However, forcing local

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

power-holders to abide by the courts' decisions must often have been a thorny problem.

Judicial activities apart, qadis also hired adjuncts and scribes who functioned as notaries for the numerous sales, money-lending ventures, divorces initiated by the wife, manumissions of slaves and other transactions that were brought before them. Although the Hanafi school of Islamic law, to which the Ottomans mostly adhered, privileges oral testimony over written documentation, such records were produced and retained even over centuries. Yet it is still somewhat mysterious how the entries in the qadi registers, which were organised more or less according to date, were actually used by the claimants. We may assume that witnesses testified to having seen the entries in question, but there is very little evidence that this regularly occurred. However, if having transactions recorded in writing had been superfluous, it is unlikely that people would have paid money to have it done; but in fact they applied to the scribes in significant numbers. It has been assumed that entries were meant basically for the information of incoming qadis, who could thus determine the witnesses they should call, or else as a basis for contestants willing to settle out of court. Further research is surely in order. 100

In addition, separate documents certifying the transaction in question (hijjet) were issued to the parties involved; these were apparently recognised by qadis all over the empire. However, this was a matter of courtesy, as no qadi was in a position to give orders to another. 101 If the case was contested in a town that was not the place of issuance, the qadix would probably have regarded the document issued by his colleague that was handed over to him by one of the contestants as equivalent to testimony. 102. Whether he emitted judgement accordingly would then have depended on the evidence presented

by the opponent.

This was the legal situation, but apparently this type of contestation was not common, and the acceptance of documents /*hiijets* emitted by other *qadis* was normal practice. To take an early eleventh/seventeenth-century example: liberated slaves, whenever they left the place in which they were known, needed to carry a *hiijet* of manumission in order to avoid arrest as a fugitive.

However, if they did present such a document to the court, that seems to have

been the end of the matter. We possess the testimony of Johann Wild, a former prisoner-of-war turned petty trader who was shipwrecked on Ottoman

territory: destitute, he was even given some money as alms by the Cypriot

qadi to whom he presented his manumission document. 103 Or was the case so

simple merely because Wild had come to the court of his own accord, and nobody had claimed him as a slave?

393

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Presenting a *qadis* certificate also permitted people who had transferred funds by special financial instruments (*polise*) to recuperate their money in a

distant city. 104 While other instruments for transferring funds had already

been in use from the late ninth/fifteenth century onwards, the *polise*, which

functioned like a modern cheque, was first encountered in the mid-1000s/1600s. These certificates were often used in the economic co-operation of foreign merchants and Ottoman provincial governors. The latter needed to

remit important sums of money to Istanbul, which they did not care to risk on

the road. On the other hand European merchants often made most of their

sales in Istanbul and their purchases in Izmir or Sayda; this meant transferring money from the capital to the provinces. 105 Both sides thus saved money and trouble if the merchant paid the governor's debts to the treasury while receiving money for local purchases from a provincial finance officer, who acted in the debtor's name. But the method, which in spite of the disapproval of certain jurisconsults was also used in transactions between two Muslims, could not have worked if the qadis had not more or less routinely recognised one another's documents. By this practice judges participated in the financial integration of the empire's territories.

Qadis were also the lowest echelon in the Ottoman provincial administration: sultanic commands were typically addressed to provincial governors and qadis only. While judges in charge of a large area might employ adjuncts whom they could send to the villages to hear, among other matters, disputes arising from landholding, the existence of these low-level functionaries was not often acknowledged in official correspondence. As each sub-province was divided into several qadl districts it is tempting to take the qadl as being of lower status than the district governor. But the career lines of governors and qadis were quite distinct, and moreover the patronage which they both needed came from entirely different sources: the governor would depend on the sultan's court and his personal connections to military men and tax-farmers, while the qadl expected preferment from the chief jurisconsult and the army judges (qadfasker) of Rumeli and Anatolia. 10 As both governors and qadis reported directly to Istanbul, there was no hierarchal subordination; more frequently the two officials seem to have served as checks on one another.

Qadis were involved in the collection of certain dues; they also oversaw the administration of pious foundations located in their districts, as their consent was needed before repairs could be undertaken. In such cases the qadis

received a list of the projected expenses for men and materials, and occasionally had these documents entered into their registers. If the expenditure was of

394

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

a certain importance, or if the foundation had been established by a member of the Ottoman dynasty, the local qadi might forward the matter to Istanbul.

We also find qadis intervening in the appointment process of sheykhs to certain dervish lodges; however, at least where the Bektashi order was concerned, they were often eclipsed by the sheykhs of the central lodge in Hajibekdash near Qirshahir. 107 In a similar fashion, at least in those provinces

close to the Ottoman centre, qadis also had a role to play in the appointment process of guild wardens. 10 We also find the twelfth/ eighteenth-century qadis

of Bursa receiving the complaints of guildsmen against their own wardens, and quite often deposing the latter. 109

Official interventions apart, at least some qadis were property owners in the regions where they held office, and established good contacts with certain

provincial dignitaries while making enemies of others. Admittedly qadis were

frequently rotated, partly in order to prevent too many local contacts. But lower-level qadis were moved around within limited regions only, and did not,

to our knowledge, typically get transferred for example from Erzurum to Bosnia. As a result, a qadi in charge of a complicated case might confer with

former colleagues who were now living in retirement not far from the places

where they had officiated in the past. 110 In the provinces former qadis and sons of qadis thus often formed part of the local notability.

Tax-farming: squeezing the sultans' subjects, spreading power and holding the empire together

For an empire run by bureaucrats and relying on a mercenary army, obtaining the necessary cash was a central concern. It can be assumed with good reason, but not actually proven, that the use of currency increased throughout the period under investigation. Given the relative decentralisation typical of the 1000s/1600s and 1700s, moreover, provincial treasuries and taxes collected by governors and locally established tax-farmers gained an importance they had not possessed in the tenth/ sixteenth century. After all, if governors were supposed to form well-supplied households, they had to be allotted the necessary wherewithal.

As financing soldiers and administrators through tax assignments (timar) had been central to the empire's functioning in the ninth /fifteenth and tenth/ sixteenth centuries, so in the period after 1008/ 1600 the most significant institution was tax-farming (iltizam). A tax-farmer contracted to deliver a sum of money agreed upon in advance; this meant that when he received dues in kind, he had to see to their sale. If he collected more than he needed

395

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

to deliver, he made a profit; if by contrast there was a shortfall, he had to

make up the difference. To ensure that salt, alum and other goods in the hands of a tax-farmer soon left his store-houses some of the local inhabitants might be forced to buy set quantities of these goods at monopoly prices. Throughout most of the eleventh/ seventeenth century revenue sources including dues paid by villagers and urbanites, but also state monopolies such as copper or alum mines, were farmed out to the highest bidder, typically for three years. But if, at any point in time, another applicant tended a higher bid the tax-farmer (miiltezim) had to relinquish the revenue source he was exploiting or else consent to top the best outside offer. Tax-farmers who did not honour their commitments faced imprisonment or even execution.

From the central administration's viewpoint, this system had the advantage of providing a relative guarantee that revenues would continuously flow in at, least under normal conditions; for when there was a really major catastrophe, the miiltezim might attempt to obtain a rebate; with what success depended on circumstances. An obvious disadvantage to both the government and the taxpayers was the fact that large sums of money were levied but never reached the treasury. Moreover, owing to the shortness of the contract period, there was no incentive for the tax-farmer who for instance collected a bridge toll actually to keep the bridge in good repair. Even in the case of serious abuse against the taxpayers, government authorities were often rather lenient to the offender, as long as he remitted the money contracted for.

During the major crisis generated by the war of 1094-1110/ 1683-99 against the Habsburgs, the administration attempted to remedy this situation by instituting life-time tax-farms (malikane). Ordinary subjects of the empire who had been accepted as miiltezims as long as they possessed the necessary funds were not allowed to bid for malikanes.¹¹¹ This arrangement supposedly was meant to extend the state's protection over its poor subjects, keeping away mere money-grubbers, but in fact it cemented the privileges of high-level functionaries. The basic assumption was that a life-time tax-farmer would avoid killing the goose that laid the golden eggs; but in practice things often worked out differently.¹¹² High-level dignitaries and palace women who held many malikanes almost never supervised their

revenue sources in person, but employed sub-contractors who did not necessarily hold guaranteed positions and thus had no reason to take long-term considerations very seriously. In addition, tax-farmers had to furnish wealthy guarantors. These were normally money-changers (sarraf)

396

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

who accepted the risks connected with this activity in return for a share in the profits. In a sense these guarantors were the silent partners of the tax-farmers and were well placed to secure their own incomes, obviously at the

' 113

taxpayer s expense.

Life-time tax-farmers paid relatively limited and moreover stable sums of money to the treasury every year, the major payment (mu'ajjele) falling due when the revenue source changed hands. Mu c ajjeles were determined by open bidding; thus, at least under ideal conditions, the down payment, but not the annual rate, should indicate whether the revenue source in question was increasing in productivity or not." 4 While in principle the bidding for a vacant malikane was open to a fairly large group of people, in practice many families of provincial magnates managed to hang on to 'their' tax-farms over several generations. Only if such a family was finally removed from power, as happened with some frequency in the early thirteenth/nineteenth century, was the government able to repossess malikanes on a major scale. In this late period an attempt was made to mobilise the resources of people of more

limited fortune: these could now purchase shares (sehim) in tax-farms that the government had succeeded in repossessing." 5

Thus malikanes created significant problems, both for the state and for the taxpayer, and at one point the central administration in fact attempted to abolish them. But recent work has shown that, for the empire's cohesion, life-time tax-farms were also a positive factor." After all, if a province were to break away and form an independent principality, none of the possessors of revenue sources, who after all had invested important sums of money, could count on receiving their revenues, unless of course they happened to belong to the newly established ruling family. Thus, whenever a provincial magnate showed inclinations towards setting himself up in a separate principality, he could count on the opposition of competing families, and this allowed the sultan's government to keep a hold on its provinces even with quite limited military means. It has therefore become clear that decentralisation could be helpful in keeping an empire together, and that twentieth-century CE scholar-ship has placed too much value on centralisation as a 'progressive' and 'positive' force." 7

Another type of interface between tax collection and politics could be observed at the local level. In the eleventh/ seventeenth and twelfth/ eighteenth century, revenues were typically assessed not on individuals or villages but on entire provinces, and influential people on this level then distributed (tewzf) the load further down the line. This activity was a great opportunity for patronage, and as the gain of one village was the loss of another, tenacious

The New Cambridge History of Islam

enmities were created that local power-holders might use in struggles against their rivals."

Political initiatives 'from below'

Ottoman documentation does not make it very easy to study the mental horizons and political cultures of people outside the elite, and few modern scholars have attempted this task. Normally we are confined to accounts of 'what happened' as seen through the eyes of bureaucrats working for the central administration. This is especially true of the Anatolian nomads whom, from the early twelfth/late seventeenth onward, the administration attempted to settle on the land. Differently from neighbouring Iran, tribal units had virtually no representation in the Ottoman capital, and the chieftains leading the latter, while deemed responsible for keeping order among their fellow tribesmen, had no input into policy decisions made in Istanbul. Sultans and viziers thus viewed themselves as rulers of sedentary folk, and nomads were considered problematic subjects because they were difficult to tax and in addition might interfere with the fields and gardens of agriculturalists.

Certainly researchers of the last thirty years have done much to discount the notion of an 'eternal enmity' between 'the desert and the sown', stressing by contrast the many occasions on which the nomads' sheep and camels complemented the peasants' grains and grapes. But it still remains true that Anatolian villagers frequently complained about damages inflicted by the nomads' livestock, that were all the more serious as the nomads possessed horses and were accustomed to the use of weapons, while peasants were disadvantaged in

both respects. In the dry steppe and in areas bordering the desert, villagers were only able to maintain themselves if given support by the central administration, and in a drastic fashion this was attempted in the midst of the political turmoil and financial crisis occasioned by the Austrian war of 1094-1110/ 1683-99.

The idea was that Turkish-speaking nomads were to be settled in south-eastern Anatolia and today's northern Iraq, where they would both engage in field agriculture and protect the already established villagers from the incursions of desert Bedouins. 119 Attempts were made to win tribal elders over to the project, and official searches were undertaken to locate suitable places of settlement. However, the administration badly miscalculated, as sites deemed suitable for military reasons and therefore preferred were often not usable for agriculture. Moreover, nomads during the first phase of settlement tend to lose their animals so fast that they need grants on which to survive until the transition to agriculture is completed, to say nothing of the fact that many

398

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

tribesmen and women may not have wished to settle. As no aid was forthcoming, hunger soon forced the new settlers to leave their assigned places, and as they now owned few animals they often had no means of survival other than robbery. The first settlement project thus ended in failure, but through-out the thirteenth/eighteenth century the Ottoman administration made

sporadic attempts to settle nomads or at least control their movements.
120

Resistance against these projects on the part of the people concerned is well documented. The government reacted by demanding that tribal units make promises that they would remain in or avoid certain places in the future, with the penalty of paying often very large sums of money in case of contravention. For the 'voice' of the nomads in these matters, however, all we possess are the poems of so-called folk poets (khalq shaHrleri). But the use of these texts as historical sources is difficult because the relevant texts are often very hard to date, and can therefore not be related to concrete events. Information about the attitudes of nomads to official settlement projects survives mainly from the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries CE.

Another instance of a twelfth/ eighteenth-century 'grassroots' movement, about which we are relatively well informed, concerned Arab Christians, especially those residing in Aleppo. Against the resistance of the ecumenical patriarch residing in Istanbul, supported by and large by the Ottoman administration, these people opted out of the Orthodox Church and became Catholics. Certainly Jesuit and Franciscan missionaries had long since been proposing to Ottoman Christians the option of becoming Uniates, and the Maronites of the Lebanese mountain were already in communion with Rome long before the Ottomans appeared in the area. But the Orthodox inhabitants of Aleppo only became interested in this project by the twelfth/ eighteenth century.¹²¹ Several factors were involved: in the twelfth/ eighteenth century the Orthodox Church tended to become more 'Greek', in the sense that non-Greek clerics now had few chances of advancement, a situation highly unsatisfactory to the Arabophone community of Aleppo. The leaders of the latter desired more autonomy and a greater voice in church affairs, and when they

negotiated their change of denomination they evidently were in a better bargaining position with respect to the hierarchy of their new church than they had been vis-a-vis the ecumenical patriarchate. In addition, twelfth/ eighteenth-century Christian merchants were stepping up their activities in Egypt; and for the cohesion of a 'trade diaspora' it made sense to belong to a religion/ denomination not otherwise much represented in the host society. 122 Belonging to the same church as the French, who were their principal trade partners, must also have been an attraction to Aleppine merchants; but

399

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

without the other factors outlined, it is unlikely that the community would have spent so much money and effort on this change.

As another movement initiated by twelfth/ eighteenth-century urban non-Muslims, we can describe the attempts of the latter to acquire real - or more often fictitious - positions as translators (dragomans, beraths) to European embassies. From the Ottoman administration's viewpoint, it was a serious loss of both taxes and prestige to have their non-Muslim subjects 'opt out' of the empire without ever leaving the sultans' territories. Yet repeated prohibitions were not very effective, because foreign consuls and ambassadors wanted the money that Ottoman non-Muslims were willing to pay for these positions and because, even at this late date, large retinues were viewed as sources of prestige. Also the 'capitulations' that regulated the presence of foreign subjects on Ottoman soil, and that in the political crises of the twelfth/ eighteenth

century more and more assumed the character of 'unequal treaties', made it very difficult for Ottoman administrators to proceed against both bona fide and fictitious foreign subjects. From the perspective of well-to-do Christians and Jews, by contrast, to become foreign subjects meant protection from the tax-farmers who in the later twelfth/ eighteenth century tended to make the lives of trading and producing Ottoman subjects extremely difficult. Towards the end of our period certain sultans therefore issued privileges to both Muslim and non-Muslim traders intended to make allegiance to a foreign ruler less attractive; however, this seems to have been a case of 'too little too late'.^{12,3} Thus the problem continued well beyond the period concerning us here, and only ended with the abrogation of the capitulations during the First World War.¹²⁴

Attempts to master new situations intellectually:
the role of political debate

Ottoman political reflection, previously for the most part implicit in historical writings, came into its own during the later tenth/sixteenth century.¹²⁵ A format for writing on state problems was the so-called 'mirrors of princes' in the Iranian tradition that long before Ottoman times already had been rendered familiar to Turkic-speaking literati through adaptations and translations.¹² These works contained maxims of statecraft including variants of the so-called circle of equity, which proposed that rulers could maintain themselves only if they possessed strong armies. As to the latter, they could be financed only if the subjects paid their taxes, and this in turn was predicated on treating the latter with justice (Qadalet). Justice was thus regarded as a key value, which could be defined in Islamic terms as adhering closely to religious

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

law {skeifat). But it was also admitted that certain non-Muslim rulers had been able to perpetuate their rule by exercising justice vis-a-vis their subjects.

On the other hand the flurry of eleventh/seventeenth-century advice literature was closely linked to the manner in which Ottoman officials and ex-officials evaluated the situation of the empire, once great conquests had become more difficult and the period of great warrior-sultans receded into the past. Especially the financial crisis of the early 1590s/mid-1580s and the accompanying devaluation seem to have encouraged certain officials to think that they were now engulfed by an overall decline.¹²⁷ These lamentations were taken at face value by modern historians until quite recently. But now the factional divisions within officialdom have become better known, and we understand that, given this situation, it made sense to emphasise 'decline' if one had 'remedies' to offer and needed to convince the ruler.¹²⁸ Moreover the *laudatio temporis acti* was after all a conventional and powerful trope: this was driven home with special clarity when it emerged that one of the sons of Bayezid II, who lived in the expansive environment of the early tenth/ sixteenth century, also complained about the decline of the age.¹²⁹

In the eleventh/ seventeenth century many texts suggested a return to practices that really or supposedly went back to the time of Sultan Suleyman: this included the renewed assignment of prebends to military men, so that less cash would need to be disbursed by the central treasury. On the other hand rulers were encouraged to limit the number of janissaries and other soldiers

whose salaries were paid in ready money. Moreover the authors of Ottoman political treatises often worried about the entry of 'strangers' (ejanib) into the military establishment and officialdom. Apart from the graduates of theological schools - that remained open to all - as well as young officials recruited through the levy of boys, candidates were only deemed acceptable by most authors of 'advice literature' if their fathers had already been employed in the sultans' service. In this manner the neat separation between taxpaying subjects and tax-exempt servitors of the sultans was safeguarded, to say nothing of the careers that established officials could thus prepare for their sons. Moreover, in accordance with a tradition of misogyny popular among but by no means confined to the Ottoman elite, the political influence of palace women was deplored with particular vehemence. This motif was taken up with special gusto by historians of the thirteenth/nineteenth and early fourteenth/twentieth centuries, and only recent historians of a feminist bent have helped us contextualise this kind of writing. 130

Financial and /or economic problems were also targeted. Thus an Ottoman chronicler of the early eleventh/ seventeenth century participated in the

401

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

debate whether the sultans and their officials should participate in commerce or whether their tax privileges would result in unfair competition and thus

prevent 'ordinary' traders from making the profits which would allow them to defray their taxes. 131 Frequently the sale of offices, which coincided with a growing recourse to tax-farming, was singled out for special condemnation, as were the abuses of local administrators such as qadis, governors and military commanders. In the so-called justice edicts' (addendum) of the late tenth and early eleventh/ late sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries similar complaints were addressed, so that some of the authors in question may well have modelled their writings on actual bureaucratic correspondence. Certainly this applied to 'Aziz Efendi, an eleventh/ seventeenth-century official in charge of relations with the Kurdish princes on the Iranian frontier, who advised his fellow officials to treat these magnates with forbearance. After all, at a time when the armies of Shah Abbas were making progress in Iraq and elsewhere, the Kurdish princes, for the most part Sunnis, were an important element of Ottoman frontier defence. 131

In the second half of the twelfth/ eighteenth century some Ottoman officials discussed questions of reform and recovery in Islamic terms. 133 Others began to pay less attention to precedent and stressed the realities of their own times. In this context the 'circle of equity' apparently lost its previous normative force. 134 Ahmed Resmi referred to the lifespan of empires as conceptualised by the North African historian and social philosopher Ibn Khaldun (732-84/1332-82) in order to justify his own radical critique. Similarly to many of his predecessors, he considered the Ottoman empire to be in its 'decline phase' according to the model devised by Ibn Khaldun, and he stressed that therefore it was imperative to avoid military adventures. Going yet a step further, Ahmed Resmi discussed several sovereigns, by no means all governing empires 'in a state of decline', who in his opinion had overestimated

their own powers and thus spent their subjects' resources to no good purpose; their number included Siileyman the Magnificent, otherwise a paragon of sultanic virtue. Acting in conformity to one's own possibilities was thus espoused as a major political value in itself.

Given the traditional pattern of sultanic legitimisation that had assumed a ruler who constantly enlarged the realm of Islam through his victories against the infidel, such an overriding concern with feasibility in the here and now led to major political problems. After all Mehmed IV and Mustafa II had apparently been deposed mainly because of their glaring military defeats. 135 In consequence late twelfth/ eighteenth-century authors disagreed on the question to what extent military strategies and forms of organisation could be

402

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

borrowed from the infidels without endangering the sultans' legitimacy. Some writers claimed that recourse to the traditional virtues of the Ottoman army would suffice to turn the tide. 136 Others advocated the inclusion of individual borrowed novelties into the military system as it then existed; such a strategy obviously facilitated legitimisation. More difficult from a political viewpoint was the position of the 'radicals' who advocated a wholesale revamping of the Ottoman military machine according to European models. But at least until the final crisis that terminated his reign, they possessed the support of Sultan Selim III, who instituted his 'new model' army in response to their recommendations.

Regenerating the army was so difficult because of the limited financial means at the disposal of the central government. This situation explains why after about 1183/ 1770 writers such as Siileyman Penah Efendi and Raghîb Efendi discussed tax reform as a prerequisite for military revival. 137 Siileyman Penah pointed out that local power structures including the qadls had acquired a vested interest in overtaxing the subjects and suggested that a new central bureaucracy should take over collection. Paying for local expenditures by means of local levies (tewzV), that cornerstone of financial administration during the eleventh/seventeenth and twelfth/ eighteenth centuries, was to be abolished. But probably since the author knew that such a project could only be realised in the long term, he suggested that for the time being the registers concerning the administration of tax-farms should be made publicly accessible and direct taxation reformed by the preparation of entirely new registers. Some fifty years later, after 1241/ 1826, Raghîb Efendi also suggested an improved mode of registration that would make it more difficult for local notables to obtain exemptions and thus result in a greater degree of justice for the subjects in general. But it was only by the mid-thirteenth/ nineteenth century that Ottoman officials adopted the notion of a unified system of taxation applicable to everyone everywhere. This formed part of the greater nineteenth-century CE project of stimulating economic growth by creating a population with uniform rights and duties and differentiated mainly on the basis of property and wealth. 138

Notes

1. I gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Virginia Aksan, who has saved me from many infelicities but cannot of course be held responsible for the final result.

2. Karl Nehring (ed.), Adam Freiherr zu Herbersteins Gesandtschaftsreise nach

Konstantinopel: Fin Beitrag zum Frieden von Zsitvatorok (1606), Munich, 1983, 29-67.

403

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

3. William Griswold, The great Anatolian rebellion 1000-1020/1591-1611, Berlin, 1983.

4. Mehmet Gene, 'Osmanli ekonomisi ve savas/, Yaptt, 49, 4 (1984), 52-61; 50, 5 (1984), 86-93; French version 'L'economie ottomane et la guerre au XVIIIeme siecle', Turcica, 27 (1995), 177-96.

5. Ghislaine Alleume (ed.), L'expedition de Bonaparte vu d'Egypte, special issue of Egypte-Monde Arabe, Cairo, Paris and Brussels, 1999.

6. Maria Pia Pedani Fabris, In nome del Gran Signore: Inviati ottomani a Venezia dalla caduta di Costantinopoli alia guerra di Candia, Venice, 1994.

7. Faik Reşat Unat, Osmanli sefirleri ve sefaretnadmeleri, completed and ed. Bekir Sitki Baykal, Ankara, 1968.

8. EI2, 'Khotin' (Colin Heywood).

9. Irene Bierman, 'The Ottomanization of Crete', in Irene A. Bierman, Rifa'at A. Abou-el-Haj and Donald Preziosi (eds.), The Ottoman city and its parts: Urban structure and social order, New Rochelle, 1991, 53-76.

10. Ekkehard Eickhoff, Venedig, Wien und die Osmanen: Umbruch in Siidosteuroopa 1645-1700, 2nd edn., Stuttgart, 1988, 426-34.

11. Daniel Goffman, *The Ottoman Empire and early modern Europe*, Cambridge, 2002;
Molly Greene, *A shared world: Christians and Muslims in the early modern Mediterranean*, Princeton, 2000.
12. Reinhard Wittram, Peter I, Czar und Kaiser: Die Geschichte Peters des Grossen in seiner Zeit, 2 vols., Gottingen, 1964, vol. I, 384-99, 488.
13. Fariba Zarinebaf-Shahr, 'Tabriz under Ottoman rule (1725-1730)', Ph.D. thesis, University of Chicago (1991); EI2, 'Tabriz' (V. Minorsky and C. E. Bosworth).
14. Hans Uebersberger, *Russlands Orientpolitik in den letzten zweijahrhunderten* vol. I: Bis zum Frieden von Jassy, Stuttgart, 1913, p. 281ff.
15. Virginia Aksan, *An Ottoman statesman in war and peace: Ahmed Resmi Efendi, 1700-1783*, Leiden, 1995, 154-67.
16. Eickhoff, *Venedig, Wien und die Osmanen*, 215-22.
17. Michael Hochedlinger, *Austria's wars of emergence 1683-1797*, Harlow and London, 2003, 331-7.
18. Ali İhsan Bağış, *Osmanlı ticaretinde gayri Müslimler, kapitülasyonlar, berath tiiccarlar ve hayriye tüccarları (1750-1839)*, Ankara, 1983.
19. Rifa'at A. Abou-el-Haj, 'The Ottoman vezir and pasha households 1683-1703: A preliminary survey', *JAOS*, 94 (1974), 438-47; Metin Kunt, *Bir Osmanlı valisini- nin yıllık gelir-gideri Diyarbakır, 1670-71*, İstanbul, 1981.
20. Suraiya Faroqi, 'Als Kriegsgefangener bei den Osmanen: Militär- und Haushalt des Großwesirs Kara Mustafa Paşa in einem Augenzeugenbericht', in Elisabeth Herrmann-Otto (ed.), *Unfreie Arbeits- und Lebensverhältnisse von der Antike bis in die Gegenwart*, Hildesheim, 2005, 206-34.
21. Nestor Camarano, *Alexandre Mavrocordato, le Grand Drogman, son activité diplo-*

matique (1673-1709), Thessaloniki, 1970.

22. Robert Dankoff, The intimate life of an Ottoman statesman, Melek Ahmed Pasha (1588-1662) as portrayed in Evliya Celebi's Book of Travels, introduction by Rhoads Murphey, Albany, 1991, 260-1.

404

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

23. Lady Mary Wortley Montagu, The Turkish Embassy letters, ed. Malcolm Jack and Anita Desai, London, 1993, 113-14.

24. Thomas Lier, Haushabe und Haushaltspolitik in Bagdad, 1704-1831, Würzburg, 2004, 75.

25. Afaf Lutfi al-Sayyid Marsot, Women and men in late eighteenth-century Egypt, Austin, 1995, 41-2.

26. Marsot, Women and men, 57-63.

27. Lier, Haushalte, 86-7.

28. Leslie Peirce, The imperial harem: Women and sovereignty in the Ottoman Empire, New York and Oxford, 1993.

29. Ibid. 238 and elsewhere.

30. Evliya Celebi ibn Dervis Mehmed Zilli, Evliya Celebi Seyahatnamesi, Topkapı Sarayı Bağdat 304 yazması'nın transkripsyonu - dizini, vol. 1, ed. Orhan Şaik Gökay and Yiğit Dağlı, İstanbul, 1995, 103-5.

31. Tülay Artan, 'Arts and architecture', in Suraiya Faroqhi (ed.), The Cambridge

history of Turkey, vol. III, Cambridge, 2006, 408-80.

32. Maria Pia Pedani Fabris, 'Safiye's household and Venetian diplomacy', *Turcica*, 32 (2000), 9-32.

33. Feridun Emecen, 'Ibrahim', *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslam Ansiklopedisi* (Istanbul), 21 (2000), 274.

34. Nicolas Vatin and Gilles Veinstein, *Le sérail ébranlé*, Paris, 2003.

35. *Ibid.* 72-3, 187, 219.

36. Stanford J. Shaw, *Between old and new. The Ottoman Empire under Sultan Selim III, 1789-1807*, Cambridge, Mass., 1971, 12-15.

37. Griswold, *The Great Anatolian rebellion*, 203-8.

38. Mustafa Akdag, *Celâlî İsyanları 1550-1603*, Ankara, 1963; Halil İnalcık, 'Military and fiscal transformation in the Ottoman Empire, 1600-1700', *Archivum Ottomanicum*, 6 (1980), 283-337; Karen Barkey, *Bandits and bureaucrats: The Ottoman route to state centralization*, Ithaca and London, 1994.

39. Mustafa Cezar, *Osmanlı tarihinde Levendler*, İstanbul, 1965; Suraiya Faroqhi, 'Seeking wisdom in China: An attempt to make sense of the Celali rebellions', repr. in Faroqhi, *Coping with the state: Political conflict and crime in the Ottoman Empire*, İstanbul, 1995, 125-48.

40. Andre Raymond, *Artisans et commerçants au Caire, au XVIII^e siècle*, 2 vols., Damascus, 1973-4, and Andre Raymond, *Le Caire des janissaires: L'apogée de la ville ottomane sous 'Abd al-Rahmān Katkhuda*, Paris, 1995.

41. Jane Hathaway, *The politics of households in Ottoman Egypt: The rise of the Qazdaglıs*, Cambridge, 1997, and Jane Hathaway, *A tale of two factions: Myth, memory and identity in Ottoman Egypt and Yemen*, Albany, 2003.

42. Eh, art. 'Yegen 'Othman Pasha' (Hans Georg Majer).

43. Rudi Matthee, 'Unwalled cities and restless nomads: Firearms and artillery in Safavid Iran', in Charles Melville (ed.), *Safavid Persia*, London, 1996, 389-416.

44. Evliya Tchelebi, *La guerre des Turcs, recits de batailles extraits du Livre de voyages*, trans, and annot. Faruk Bilici (n.p., 2000), 45, 171; John Stoye, *The siege of Vienna*, repr. Edinburgh, 2000.

405

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

45. Gabor Agoston, *Guns for the sultan: Military power and the weapons industry in the Ottoman Empire*, Cambridge, 2005, 159, 195.

46. Shaw, *Between old and new*, 142-3.

47. Rhoads Murphey, *Ottoman warfare, 1500-1700*, London, 1999, 14.

48. Agoston, *Guns for the sultan*, 198.

49. Idris Bostan, *Osmanlı bahriye teşkilatı XVII. Yüzyılda Tersane-i Amire*, Ankara, 1992 and Idris Bostan, *Kiirekli ve yelkenli Osmanlı gemileri*, Istanbul, 2005.

50. Vatin and Veinstein, *Le serail ébranlé*, 61-3.

51. Inalcık, 'Military and fiscal transformation', 298.

52. Gabriel Piterberg, 'The alleged rebellion of Abaza Mehmed Pasa: Historiography and the Ottoman state in the seventeenth century', *IJTS*, 8, 1-2 (2002), 13-24; Baki Tezcan, 'The 1622 military rebellion in Istanbul: A historiographical journey,' *IJTS*,

8, 1-2 (2002), 25-43 (guest editor Jane Hathaway).

53. Madeline Zilfi, 'Discordant revivalism in seventeenth-century Istanbul', JNES, 45, 4 (1986), 251-69.

54. Papa Synadinos of Serres, *Conseils et memoires de Synadinos pretre de Serres en Macedoine (XVIIe siecle)*, ed., trans, and commented Paolo Odorico, with S. Asdrachas, T. Karanastassis, K. Kostis and S. Petmezas, Paris, 1996, p. 95.

55. Abdiilbaki Golpinarli, *Mevldna'dan sonra Mevlevlik*, Istanbul, 1953; Marc David Baer, *Honored by the glory of Islam: Conversion and conquest in Ottoman Europe*, Oxford, 2008, 105-38.

56. Rifa'at A. Abou-El-Haj, 'Ottoman attitudes toward peace-making: The Karlowitz case', *Der Islam*, 51 (1974), 131-7.

57. Rifa'at A. Abou-El-Haj, *The 1J03 rebellion and the structure of Ottoman politics*, Istanbul and Leiden, 1984.

58. Mtinir Aktepe, *Patrona isyanx*, Istanbul, 1958.

59. Gilles Veinstein, 'Du marche urbain au marche du camp: L'institution ottomane des orducu', in *Melanges Professeur Robert Mantran*, ed. Abdeljelil Temimi, Zaghuan, 1988, 299-327.

60. Zarinebaf-Shahr, 'Tabriz under Ottoman rule'.

61. Şem'dani-zade Findiklili Stileyman Efendi, *Tarihi MiirH't-tevarih*, ed. Mtinir Aktepe, 2 vols., Istanbul, 1976-8, vol. I, 13-14.

62. Shaw, *Between old and new*, 367-83.

63. Ibid. 379-83.

64. Rhoads Murphey, 'Politics and Islam, Mustafa Safi's version of the kingly virtues as presented in his *ZubdetuTtevarih*, or *Annals of Sultan Ahmed*, 1012-1023 A.H./1603-1614 A.D.', in Colin Imber, Keiko Kiyotaki and Rhoads

Murphey (eds.), *Frontiers of Ottoman studies: State, province, and the west*, London and New York, 2005, vol. I, 5-24, at 8; for a further discussion compare Artan, 'Arts and architecture'.

65. [Ca'fer Efendi], *Risale-i mi'mariyye*, an early-seventeenth-century Ottoman treatise on architecture, trans. and commentary Howard Crane, Leiden, 1987, 58.

66. Suraiya Faroqhi, 'Der osmanische Blick nach Osten: Dîrri Ahmed Efendi über den Zerfall des Safawidenreichs 1720', in Michael Rohrschneider and Arno

406

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

Strohmeyer (eds.), *Wahrnehmung des Fremden: Differenzenerfahrungen von Diplomaten in Europa (1500-1648)*, Münster, 2007, 375-98.

67. Madeline C. Zilfi, 'A medrese for the palace: Ottoman dynastic legitimation in the eighteenth century', *JAOS*, 113, 2 (1993), 184-91.

68. Colin Heywood, 'The Red Sea trade and Ottoman waqf support for the population of Mecca and Medina in the later seventeenth century', in Abdeljelil Temimi (ed.), *Mélanges Professeur Robert Mantran*, 3 vols., Zaghouan, 1988, vol. III, 165-84; Stanford J. Shaw, *The budget of Ottoman Egypt 1005-1006/1596-97*, The Hague and Paris, 1968.

69. Stanford J. Shaw, *The financial and administrative development of Ottoman Egypt 1517-1798*, Princeton, NJ, 1962, 5.

70. Ahmet KaPa et al. (eds.), *Istanbul Kulliyati I*, Istanbul Ahkdm Defierleri. . . , Istanbul, 1997, especially vols. I and VIII.
71. For documentation from the mid-nineteenth century CE see Mtibahat Kiitukoglu, 'Life in the medrese', in Suraiya Faroqhi and Christoph Neumann (eds.), *The illuminated table: The prosperous house, food and shelter in Ottoman material culture*, Istanbul, 2003, 207-17.
72. Shaw, *Between old and new*, 178 and elsewhere.
73. Salih Aynural, *Istanbul degirmenleri ve fxnnlan, zahire ticareti*, Istanbul, 2001, 123.
74. [Antoine Ignace Melling], *Voyage pittoresque de Constantinople et des rives du Bosphore*, d'apres les desseins de M. Melling, repr. Istanbul, 1969, no pagination: 'Interieur d'un cafe public sur la Place de Top-Hane' and the several views of the Bosphorus village of Buyukdere; [Angelos Delivorrias et al], 'Greece' at the Benaki Museum, Athens, 1997, illustrations 702-6; see also the numerous textiles from our period depicted in this publication.
75. Hulya Tezcan, 'Fashion at the Ottoman court', *P-Art*, 3 (2000), 2-49; Lale Gdruniir, 'Fashion mirrored in memory: Nineteenth-century women's costume in the Sadberk Hanim Museum Collection', *P-Art*, 3 (2000), 50-63; Banu Mahir, 'Eighteenth-century Ottoman women's fashion in the miniatures of Abdullah Buhari', *P-Art*, 3 (2000), 64-75; Gill Irepoglu, 'Islamic jewelry: A survey', *P-Art*, 3 (2000), 76-89.
76. Gottfried Hagen, 'Legitimacy and world order', in Hakan Karateke and Maurus Reinkowski (eds.), *Legitimizing the order: The Ottoman rhetoric of state power*, Leiden, 2005, 55-83.
77. Donald Quataert, 'Clothing laws, state and society in the Ottoman Empire,

1720-1829', *IJMES*, 29 (1997), 403-25; Madeline Zilfi, 'Goods in the Mahalle: Distributional encounters in eighteenth century Istanbul', in Donald Quataert (ed.), *Consumption studies and the history of the Ottoman Empire, 1550-1922: An introduction*, Albany, 2000, 289-312.

78. Madeline Zilfi, 'Problems and patterns in the history of Muslim women in the early modern era', in Suraiya Faroqhi (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Turkey*, vol. III, Cambridge, 2006, 226-55.

407

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

79. Nicolai Todorov, 'La differentiation de la population urbaine d'apres des registres de cadis de Vidin, Sofia et Ruse', repr. in Nicolai Todorov, *La ville balkanique sous les Ottomans (XV-XIXe s.)*, London, 1977, no. VII.

80. Madeline Zilfi, 'Ibrahim Paşa and the women', in Daniel Panzac (ed.), *Histoire économique et sociale de l'empire ottoman et de la Turquie (1326-1960)*, Louvain and Paris, 1995, 555-9.

81. Madeline Zilfi, 'Women and society in the Tulip Era, 1718-1730', in Amira Al-Azhary Sonbol (ed.), *Women, the family and divorce laws in Islamic history*, Syracuse, 1996, 290-306.

82. Tulay Artan, 'From charismatic leadership to collective rule, introducing materials on the wealth and power of Ottoman princesses in the eighteenth century', *Toplum ve Ekonomi*, 4 (1993), 53-94.

83. Bruce Masters, 'The view from the province: Syrian chronicles of the eighteenth century', *JAOS*, 114, 3 (1994), 353-62.

84. Ismail Hakki Uzuncarsjli, *Osmanlı devrinin merkez ve hahriye teşkilatı*, Ankara, 1948, views the entire period as a single block, yet notes the new offices of the twelfth /eighteenth century; Carter V. Findley, *Bureaucratic reform in the Ottoman Empire: The Sublime Porte 1789-1922*, Princeton, 1980; Aksan, *An Ottoman statesman*.

85. Klaus Rohrborn, 'Die Emanzipation der Finanzbürokratie im osmanischen Reich (Ende 16. Jahrhundert)', *ZDMG*, 122 (1972), 118-93; Ahmet Tabakoglu, *Gerileme dönemine girerken Osmanlı maliyesi*, İstanbul 1985; Yavuz Cezar, *Osmanlı maliyesinde bunalım ve değişim dönemi (XVIII yüzyıldan Tanzimat'a mali tarih)*, İstanbul, 1986; Cornell H. Fleischer, *Bureaucrat and intellectual in the Ottoman Empire: The historian Mustafa AḤ (1541-1600)*, Princeton, 1986; Madeline Zilfi, *The politics of piety: The Ottoman ulema in the Postclassical Age (1600-1800)*, Minneapolis, 1988; Linda Darling, *Revenue-raising and legitimacy: Tax collection and finance administration in the Ottoman Empire 1560-1660*, Leiden, 1996; Bogac Ergene, *Local court, provincial society and justice in the Ottoman Empire: Legal practice and dispute resolution in Çankırı and Kastamonu (1652-1744)*, Leiden, 2003; Erol Özvar, *Osmanlı maliyesinde malikâne uygulaması*, İstanbul, 2003.

86. Carter Findley, *Ottoman civil officialdom: A social history*, Princeton, 1989, 71-86.

87. Norman Itzkowitz, 'Eighteenth century Ottoman realities', *SI*, 16 (1962), 73-94.

88. Fleischer, *Bureaucrat and intellectual*, 114-15.

89. *Ibid.* 67, 92-5.

90. Denise Klein, Die osmanischen Ulema des 17. Jahrhunderts: Eine geschlossene Gesellschaft, Berlin, 2007.
91. Zilfi, The politics of piety, 59.
92. Muhammad Hedi Cherif, Pouvoir et société dans la Tunisie de H'usayn bin'Ali (1705-1740), 2 vols., Tunis, 1984-6, vol. I, 295-310.
93. Ibid. vol. II, p. 22.
94. Steven Runciman, The great church in captivity: A study of the patriarchate of Constantinople from the eve of the Turkish conquest to the Greek War of Independence, Cambridge, 1968.

408

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Ottoman empire: the age of 'political households'

95. Sofroni von Vratsa, Leben und Leiden des siindigen Sofroni, trans, and notes Norbert Randow, 2nd edn, Leipzig, 1979, 54-60.
96. Minna Rozen, A history of the Jewish community in Istanbul: The formative years, 1453-1566, Leiden, 2002.
97. Ergene, Local court, 44-5.
98. Ibid. 105-7, 176. 187.
99. Ibid. 72.
100. Bogac Ergene, 'Evidence in Ottoman courts: Oral and written documentation in early-modern courts of Islamic law', JA OS, 124, 3 (2004), 471-92.

101. Halil Sahillioglu, 'Bursa kadi sicillerinde ic ve dis odemeler araci olarak "KitabiiT Kadi" ve "Sufteceler"', in Osman Okyar and Unal Nalbantoglu (eds.), Tiirkiye Iktisat Tarihi Semineri. Metinler, tartismalar, S-10 Haziran 1973, Ankara, 1975, 103-44.

102. Sahillioglu, 'Sufteceler', 115.

103. JohannWild, Reysbeschreibung eines Gefangenen Christen Anno 1604, repr. Stuttgart, 1964, 241.

104. Sahillioglu, 'Sufteceler', 139-41.

105. Edhem Eldem, French trade in Istanbul in the eighteenth century, Leiden, 1999, 124-6.

106. Ismail Hakki Uzuncarsili, Osmanh devletinin ilmiye te\$kilati, Ankara, 1965, 87.

107. Suraiya Faroqhi, Der Bektaschi-Orden in Anatolien (vom spdten funfzehnten Jahrhundert bis 1826), Wiener Zeitschrift fur die Kunde des Morgenlandes, Sonderband 2, Vienna, 1981, 95.

108. Halil Inalcik, 'The appointment procedure of a guild warden (kethuda)', Wiener Zeitschrift fur die Kunde des Morgenlandes, Festschrift Andreas Tietze, 76 (1986), 135-42.

109. Suraiya Faroqhi, 'Ortak isliklerle ozel evler arasinda XVIII. ytizyil Bursa' smda isyerleri', trans. Rita Urgan in Engin Yenal (ed.), Bir Masaldi Bursa, Istanbul, 1996, 97-104.

no. Suraiya Faroqhi, 'The life and death of outlaws in (Jorum', in Faroqhi, Coping with the state: Political conflict and crime in the Ottoman Empire, Istanbul, 1995, 171-88.

in. Mehmet Gene, 'Osmanli maliyesinde malikane sistemi', in Osman Okyar and Unal Nabantoglu (eds.), Tiirkiye Iktisat Tarihi Semineri. Metinler, tartismalar,

Ankara, 1975, 231-96.

112. Murat Cizakca, A comparative evolution of business partnerships: The Islamic world and Europe, with specific reference to the Ottoman archives, Leiden, 1996, 167.

113. Ibid. 165.

114. Mehmet Gene, 'A study of the feasibility of using eighteenth-century Ottoman financial records as an indicator of economic activity', in Huri Islamoglu-Inan (ed.), The Ottoman Empire and the world-economy, Cambridge and Paris, 1987, 311-44.

115. Mehmet Gene, 'Esham: ic borclanma', in Mehmet Gene, Osmanli Imparatorlugunda devlet ve ekonomi, Istanbul, 2000, 186-95.

116. Ariel Salzmänn, 'Privatising the empire: Pashas and gentry during the Ottoman 18th century', in Kemal Cicek (ed.), The Great Ottoman Turkish civilisation, 4 vols., Istanbul, 2000, vol. Ill, 132-9.

409

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

117. Ariel C. Salzmänn, 'An ancien regime revisited: Privatization and political economy in the eighteenth century Ottoman Empire', Politics and Society, 21, 4 (1993), 393-424.

118. Halil Inalcik, 'Centralization and decentralization in Ottoman administration', in Thomas Naff and Roger Owen (eds.), Studies in eighteenth century Islamic history, Carbondale and Edwardsville, 1977, 27-52; Evgenii Radusev, 'Les

depenses locales dans l'empire ottoman au XVIIIe siecle', Etudes Balkaniques, 16, 3 (1980), 74-94.

119. Cengiz Orhonlu, Osmanh Imparatorlugunda Asiretleri Iskdn Tesebbiisii (1691-1696), Istanbul, 1963.

120. Yusuf Halacogu, XVIII. Yuzyilda Osmanh Imparatorlugunda iskdn siyaseti ve asiretlerin yerlestirilmesi, Ankara, 1988.

121. Bruce Masters, Christians and Jews in the Arab world: The roots of sectarianism, Cambridge, 2001, 95-7.

122. Philip D. Curtin, Cross-cultural trade in world history, Cambridge, 1984, 1-14.

123. Bruce Masters, 'The sultan's entrepreneurs: The Avrupa tuccan and the Hayriye tiiccaris in Syria', IJMES, 24 (1992), 579-97.

124. Bagis, , Osmanh ticaretinde gayri Muslimler.

T2.5. Cornell H. Fleischer, 'Royal authority, dynastic cyclism and "ibn Khaldunism" in sixteenth-century Ottoman letters', Journal of Asian and African Studies, 18 (1983), 198-220 and Bureaucrat and intellectual; Jan Schmidt, Pure water for thirsty Muslims: A study of Mustafa 'Ali of Gallipoli's Kunhii l-ahbar, Leiden, probably 1992.

126. Halil Inalcik, 'Capital formation in the Ottoman Empire', Journal of Economic History, 29, 1 (1969), 97-140.

127. Cemal Kafadar, 'Les troubles monetaires de la fin du XVIe siecle et la con-science ottomane du declin', Annales ESC, 43 (1991), 381-400.

128. Rifa'at A. Abou-El-Haj, Formation of the Ottoman state: The Ottoman Empire sixteenth to eighteenth centuries, Albany, 1991.

129. Cornell H. Fleischer, 'From ŧehzade Korkud to Mustafa Ali: Cultural origins of

the Ottoman Nasihatname', in Heath Lowry and Ralph Hattox (eds.),
Congress
on the economic and social history of Turkey, Istanbul, 1990, 67-78.

130. Peirce, The imperial harem, 7, 267-73.

131. Metin Kunt, 'Derviş Mehmed Pasa, vezir and entrepreneur: A study
in Ottoman
political-economic theory and practice', *Turcica*, 9, 1 (1977), 197-214.

132. ['Aziz Efendi], *Kanun-ndme-i sultdni li 'Aziz Efendi*, Aziz Efendi's
book of sultanic
laws and regulations..., ed. Rhoads Murphey, Cambridge Mass., 1985.

133. Kemal Beydilli, 'Ktictik Kaynarca'dan Tanzimat'a islahat
dus,unceleri', *Ilmi
Arastirmalar*, 8 (1999).

134. Virginia Aksan, 'Ottoman political writing, 1768-1808', *IJMES*, 25
(1993), 53-69.

135. Abou-El-Haj, 'Ottoman attitudes toward peace-making'.

136. Aksan, 'Ottoman political writing', 63.

137. Alp Yticel Kaya, 'Politique de l'enregistrement de la richesse
economique: Les
enquetes fiscales et agricoles de l'empire ottoman et de la France au
milieu du
XIXe siecle', Ph.D.thesis, Paris, EHESS (2005), 270-93.

138. Ibid. 290.

410

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

14

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

BRUCE MASTERS

Introduction

The conquest of Syria in 922/1516 and of Egypt in 923/1517 by Sultan Selim I (r. 917-26/ 1512-20) decisively altered the balance of power in the Middle East.

The elimination of the Mamluk sultanate and the incorporation into the empire of two of Islam's former imperial capitals, Damascus and Cairo, strengthened the Ottoman dynasty's position as the champion of Sunni Islam at a time when their Safavid rivals were establishing Shi'ism as the religion of state in Iran. The sultan's claim to be the heir apparent of the 'Abbasid caliphs was consolidated by the peaceful submission of Medina and

Mecca to Selim, following the fall of Cairo. The Muslim character of the Ottoman state was further enhanced by the demographic reality that Muslims had become the overwhelming majority of the sultan's subjects for perhaps the first time in the empire's history.

The reduction of Cairo and Damascus to provincial centres administered by a court that was at best forty-five days distant for an imperial messenger

diminished their importance on the world stage and no Ottoman sultan after Selim visited either. Yet Cairo was the political heart of the empire's richest province and Damascus' position as the starting point for the annual

hajj caravan was crucial for the maintenance of the Ottoman sultan's prestige as is demonstrated by one of his imperial titles, the 'Servitor of the Two Holy Cities' (khadim al-haremeyn). Those at court in Istanbul could

not be totally indifferent, therefore, to either city's fate. Damascus and Cairo might hold a less prominent position in the imagined geography of the sultan and his counsellors than the battlefields of central Europe or Iran,

but local political elites in Egypt and the Syrian provinces had always to remember in the first three centuries of Ottoman rule that the sultan in Istanbul was the ultimate arbiter of their fates, however remote he might seem to be.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Whether or not Selim's conquest of Egypt and Syria ushered in a clear break with the political and cultural traditions of the preceding Mamluk era is

a matter of ongoing scholarly debate as echoes of Mamluk institutions and

culture endured in Egypt until the rise of Mehmed (Muhammad) 'Alī (d. 1265/

1849).² The questions of what is the legacy of Ottoman rule over the inhabitants

of the Arab provinces and how the Ottoman centuries should be characterised are equally contested. Nationalist Arab historians have generally

consigned the Ottoman centuries to their people's darkest age, with Ottoman

imperialism prefiguring later Western imperialism in the region.

Although

there has been some recent revisionism of this blanket criticism by scholars

with Islamist political sympathies, the collective historical memory of Arabs

today, as is the case for many in the Balkans, holds that the Ottomans were bad

rulers who contributed nothing to the region's culture and hindered its development in the four centuries they dominated the Arab world.³

Other scholars have been more generous to the Ottomans and they have divided the Arab provinces' history in the early modern period into two distinct phases. Of these, the tenth/ sixteenth century is represented as an

era of Ottoman political consolidation, economic prosperity and good government

that is contrasted to a twelfth/ eighteenth century that was marked by a

loosening of Ottoman political control in the provinces. In the chaos that ensued, local military strongmen arose who threatened the empire's continued

hold over Egypt and gave the sultans cause to worry almost everywhere.

The intervening eleventh/ seventeenth century has received much less scholarly

attention and is typically characterised as a time of political, economic and

cultural stagnation, punctuated by a series of military revolts, none of which

seriously challenged the sultan's authority for long.

Sources

This classification of the Ottoman centuries is a product of the sources that have been used to write the history of the region and is, perhaps, overdue for revision. Until the 1970s, historians of the Ottoman Arab provinces relied almost exclusively on two types of primary sources produced in the empire: local chronicles written in Arabic and European commercial and diplomatic reports that were penned by consuls resident in the region's port cities and in the inland caravan city of Aleppo. Accounts by European and Ottoman travellers were also mined to add anecdotal colour. The chronicles are an especially important source for writing the history of cities such as Damascus and Cairo to which Europeans sometimes ventured but where they were

412

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

seldom in residence. Civic pride compelled individuals living in the Arabic-speaking cities during the Ottoman centuries to record the lives of their notable contemporaries and the events that they considered important. 4 Political turmoil between contending dynasties led their contemporaries in the Lebanese mountains to do the same. These chroniclers usually were drawn from the ranks of the Muslim educated elite, the 'ulama\ but there are extant chronicles written by soldiers, Christians and in one case a barber as well. 5

In contrast to this relative richness of local sources in Arabic for the Ottoman period, the inhabitants of the Turkish-speaking cities of Anatolia

seem to have been largely reticent in their expression of civic pride and left few literary records of their lives or those of their contemporaries. This paucity of local sources led historians of cities elsewhere in the Ottoman empire to research the archives of the Ottoman central administration and the registers of the Islamic courts before historians of the Arab provinces would do so. Influenced by the results of their research, historians of the Ottoman Arab provinces began to examine archives in the Middle East in the latter decades of the twentieth century to explore the history of the Ottoman Arab provinces.

Research by Andre Raymond and Abdul-Karim Rafeq, respectively on Cairo and Damascus, drew attention to the value of the Islamic court records and introduced a generation of scholars to the possibility of employing them to revisit the region's past. 7 Studies based on the Islamic court records have highlighted social and economic issues, adding texture to our understanding of how the ordinary people of the Arab provinces lived. The court records have been especially useful in the examination of women's history, as the male chroniclers were silent as to the conditions of their female relations and European travellers rarely had anything reliable to say about their lives. They have been equally helpful in documenting the lives of peasants, another category of people who were typically ignored by the chroniclers. 9

While the court records have revealed much about the economic and social life in the cities for which they are extant, the role the central government in Istanbul played in the lives of ordinary people in the provinces can sometimes be lost in the richness of detail present in them. Unfortunately, research in the archives of the Ottoman state bureaucracies has not kept pace with the burst of scholarly activity centred on the court records. Several important studies have demonstrated, however, the usefulness of the central state archives in documenting the region's past and that the Ottoman central government was

more aware of conditions in the Arab provinces than the accounts contained in the local chronicles or written by the contemporary European observers might otherwise suggest. 10

4i3

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The implementation of Ottoman rule

The reasons why Selim led his army into Syria in 922/1516 are not entirely clear. 11 Contemporary Ottoman accounts suggest that the Mamluks, based in Cairo, were seeking an anti-Ottoman alliance with the Safavid shah in Iran and that Selim launched a pre-emptive strike to forestall that possibility. 12 All the sources agree that the Mamluk cavalry was no match for their Ottoman opponents when they met at the field of Marj Dabiq, outside of Aleppo. Besides their numerical superiority, the Ottoman army consisted of infantry, as well as cavalry, and the former were armed with artillery and harquebuses. The new armaments provided a stunning tactical advantage that the individual bravery of the mamluk warriors could not overcome. Qansuh al-Ghawri, the Mamluk sultan, reportedly died of a heart attack during the battle and his army fled the field. The remnants of the Mamluk army in Syria offered no serious resistance to Selim, who entered Damascus without a battle. 13

According to a story told over a century later to the Ottoman traveller Ewliya Chelebi (d. 1093/1682), Sultan Selim paused in Damascus uncertain as to whether or not he should pursue the Mamluks to Cairo. In this period of indecision, Muhyi al-Din ibn al-'Arabi (d. 638/1240) visited the sultan in a

dream and informed him that his tomb in Damascus was in disrepair. The saint then promised that he would deliver Egypt to the sultan in return for the restoration of his resting place. 14 Whatever the goad, Selim did advance on Egypt, delivering a second major defeat to the Mamluk cavalry at the battle of Raydaniyya, outside of Cairo, in 923/1517. Later, Selim provided the funds for the refurbishing of the tomb of Ibn al-Arabi and for the construction of an adjoining mosque to honour the saint in the Salihyya quarter of Damascus.

Selim's conquest of Syria, Egypt and the Hijaz greatly increased the prestige of the empire and established it as a major obstacle to the expansion of Spanish power in the Mediterranean Sea and that of the Portuguese in the Red Sea. Through his victories, Selim positioned his son, Siileyman (d. 974/1566), to add Iraq and the North African Mediterranean littoral to the Ottoman empire, thereby extending the Ottoman sultan's nominal control to all the Arabic-speaking lands, except Morocco and the interior of the Arabian Peninsula. The immediate impact of the conquest on the inhabitants of the region was, however, limited. Although Selim was wary of the Mamluks and executed hundreds of them in both Syria and Egypt, Mamluk amirs who had switched sides were confirmed as governors with the appointment of Khayrbak in Cairo and Janbirdi al-Ghazali (d. 926/1520) in Damascus.

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

The retention of former opponents in the governance of the newly won provinces was not unusual for the Ottomans, but it proved to be an unfortu-

nate choice in the case of Damascus. Janbirdi al-Ghazali rose in revolt, upon hearing of the death of Selim, saying that he had pledged his loyalty to the former sultan alone and not to his son. The potential threat of the revolt diminished, however, when Khayrbak in Cairo remained loyal to Siileyman and the Ottoman army returned Damascus to the sultan after minor skirmish-ing. Damascus' residents did not get off lightly, however, as the Ottoman general, Ferhad Pasha, allowed his troops to pillage the town in retaliation for the revolt. Although he limited the looting to one day only, his treatment of the city did little to enshrine the Ottomans in the collective memory of the city's inhabitants.

The sultans would appoint men drawn from their own military to the governorships of Aleppo and Damascus following the revolt, and in Cairo after the death of Khayrbak in 928/ 1522, for the first two centuries of their rule.

But in the coastal province based in the city of Tripoli (currently in Lebanon) briefly constituted in 927/1521 and then definitively established after 987/1579, members of the Turcoman Sayfa clan held the post of governor in the late tenth/ sixteenth and early eleventh/seventeenth centuries. Local people would also be prominent as governors of the province based in the Lebanese port of Sidon after it was established in 1023 /1614. The appointment of Ottomans as governor in the major cities of the Arab provinces was in itself not always foolproof, however, as Mustafa Pasha, who was Sultan Siileyman's brother-in-law as well as governor of Egypt, rebelled in 930/1524 in an attempt to establish himself as an independent sultan in Cairo. Nevertheless, the appointment of career Ottoman military men to the governorships of Cairo, Damascus and Aleppo provided the sultan with usually loyal eyes and ears.

Aleppo remained under the control of the governor of Damascus in the immediate post-Mamluk political reconfiguration of provincial politics in Syria. 15 But its governor was independent and deemed the equal of the governor of Damascus by 940/1534. Thereafter, the two main cities of Ottoman Syria had very different political histories, being only briefly united

under the same governor at the end of the twelfth/ eighteenth century. The provinces governed by both cities were incorporated into the classical Ottoman provincial administration in the aftermath of al-Ghazali's revolt. That required a careful survey of the agricultural lands and their subsequent subdivision into viable tax units (timars). The state then assigned each timar, which might consist of the tax revenues of an entire village and its fields, to a loyal, and often Turkish-speaking, cavalryman (sipahT) in return for his

415

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

military service. In this way, the state exercised a measure of political control, at least in theory, in the empire's diverse and scattered villages by quartering loyal cavalymen in each. These provinces were, in turn, subdivided into districts (sanjaqs) with their own military commander (sanjaq begi) who would summon the cavalymen who were assigned lands in his district when needed to serve under the command of the provincial governor.

The application of the conventional Ottoman patterns of provincial governance drew interior Syria more securely into the Ottoman political and cultural orbit than any of the empire's other Arab provinces. Turkish-speaking Ottomans headed their provincial administrations and these could call on not only the janissaries stationed in provincial capitals but the locally resident sipahi cavalry as well. Egypt also had Ottomans as governors throughout the three centuries of Ottoman rule, but in contrast to Syria its agricultural lands were not surveyed and its revenue sources were farmed out as tax-farms in

large units. The tax-farmers were often former mamluks or Bedouins, who provided out-of-pocket funds necessary to hire the provincial cavalry. As such, a variation of the mamluk system survived in Egypt as former mamluks reproduced the system that had recruited them by establishing their own households, either through the importation of new slaves from the Caucasus region or increasingly through the hire of freeborn Muslim mercenaries, usually Turkish-speaking men from Anatolia or the Balkans. These, in turn, might establish their own households if they proved to be successful military entrepreneurs. 1

In the process of transforming the former Mamluk territories into Ottoman provinces, the sultans appointed judges from the capital to serve as the chief legal authority in each of the major cities. This constituted a break with the past as the Mamluk amirs had left the interpretation of Holy Law to Arabic-speaking legal scholars who were products of local colleges (madrasas). Whereas the Mamluks had patronised the Shafi'i school of Islamic law, the Ottoman sultans enshrined the Hanaf 1 interpretation, historically preferred by Turkish-speaking peoples, as the law of the land and appointed scholars from the capital to administer it. In addition, the Ottoman sultans were not shy about imposing their own writ, *aanun*, wherever it did not directly clash with holy law and even sometimes when it did, in the opinion of the more conservative Arab scholars.

With the conquest of Syria and Egypt, the Ottomans became the rulers of a subject people who were unlike any other they had previously encountered. The inhabitants of Aleppo, Damascus, Jerusalem and Cairo were heirs to a

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

sophisticated, Muslim urban culture that was almost a thousand years old and which, at times, could be at odds with the Ottoman understanding of their shared faith. This disjuncture between the new rulers and their subjects is evident in the two chroniclers of the transition from Mamluk to Ottoman rule, Ibn Iyas of Cairo (d. 930/1524) and Ibn Tulun of Damascus (d. 953/1546). Both men were struck by what they viewed as the impiety of Selim and his army and did not hesitate to label the Ottomans as being 'bad Muslims'.¹⁷ In part, this reaction was a result of a lack of deference demonstrated by the Ottomans to the Arab religious elites. Unlike the Mamluks, the Ottoman sultans, if not all of those who served them, had been born as Muslims and were supported by a clergy trained in the madrasas of Anatolia and the Balkans. These did not feel the necessity to defer to their Arabic-speaking colleagues on matters of faith, and that disrespect of local traditions clearly annoyed both authors and coloured how they, in turn, characterised the religious faith of their new rulers.¹⁸

The question of which version of Islamic law would predominate in the provinces further accentuated the contrast between Syria's experience under Ottoman rule and that of Egypt. Over the course of the first two centuries of Ottoman rule, the leading 'ulama' families in Aleppo, Jerusalem and Damascus switched their loyalties from the Shafi'i to the Hanafī school of Islamic law. In contrast, Egypt's Muslim intellectual elite remained loyal to the older dispensation and the Hanafi court in Cairo largely served the Ottomans and Anatolians who were in the city. During the early Ottoman period, the

madrasa associated with the al-Azhar Mosque in Cairo emerged as the most important institution of higher learning in the Arabic-speaking provinces. The erudition and pronouncements of its savants were, however, largely irrelevant to the Muslim elites in the capital. Similarly, the Egyptian 'uhmd 1 were largely indifferent to intellectual currents in Istanbul.

The same could not be said for the Muslim intellectual elites of Syria who were divided as to their allegiances, as some became increasingly drawn to centres of learning in the capital or in Konya and others remained tied by bonds of family and intellectual tradition to Cairo. The degree of 'Ottomanisation' of the local culture can also be illustrated by the growth of the popularity of the Mawlawi Sufi order and the cult of Ibn al-'Arabi among Syria's Muslim elite. In contrast, the Mawlawi tekke in Cairo tellingly remained largely a curiosity, except for the Ottomans stationed there. This did not mean that Syria's Muslim elites became completely assimilated into the Ottoman imperial culture, as pride in the Arabic literary tradition

417

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

remained strong and Syrian legal scholars only rarely deferred to opinions issued in the capital. Rather the Syrian intellectual elite, much more so than was the case for their contemporaries in Cairo, sought to negotiate a middle ground between a Mamluk past and their Ottoman present.

Such differences as existed between the two political and religious cultures

probably created ambivalence for the sultan's new subjects over their incorporation into the empire but it spawned little open ethnic tension between rulers and the ruled. Although few Arabs entered the higher ranks of the Ottoman legal establishment or army outside their home provinces before the late nineteenth century CE, Ottoman governors and chief judges usually had local Arabic-speaking deputies. These undoubtedly soothed over most misunderstandings that arose and many of the Ottomans stationed in the Arab lands married the daughters of prominent Muslim families, creating familial bonds with those whom they ruled. 19 Local chroniclers in the first century of Ottoman rule often singled out governors and chief judges both for their good governance and for their ability to speak Arabic. But they also noted the short tenure of office for most who were assigned to their cities and a rapacious governor or judge could quickly follow on the heels of a sagacious one. 20

Egypt and Syria in the reign of Suleyman

In the centuries following the rule of Suleyman (r. 926-73/1520-66), known in the West as 'The Magnificent', nostalgia for the good government that was reputed to have existed under his reign transformed the memory of the tenth/sixteenth century into a 'golden age' in the imagination of the succeeding generations of Ottoman historians. The extant historical evidence has so far supported that impression, but it is not clear whether the prosperity that the cities of Syria and Egypt enjoyed after their incorporation into the Ottoman empire was a result of policies the new administration implemented or just good fortune. Peace clearly had benefits for the region and the Ottoman officials were assiduous in negotiating with the Bedouin tribes in both Syria and Egypt to maintain security in the countryside. That peace allowed peasant

farmers to extend cultivation into steppe lands that had been abandoned in the early centuries of Muslim rule and for the trade caravans to pass freely between Iraq and the Mediterranean Sea. 21 The extant Ottoman tax records suggest that the population of Syria grew substantially over the course of Siileyman's reign. 22 Although that conclusion is tentative, it is clear that both Aleppo and Damascus experienced growth in their physical size in the first century of Ottoman rule as new suburbs developed outside the city walls.

418

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

There is much less certainty about conditions in Egypt, although Cairo's physical size, and presumably its population, grew as well. 23

The Ottoman elite sought to leave its mark for posterity in all the major cities of Syria through the construction of major religious and secular build-

ings. This was much less the case for Cairo, where perhaps Ottoman officials were overpowered by the grandeur of the city the Mamluks had handed them.

Sultan Siileyman provided the physical imprint of his dynasty on Damascus

with the construction of the magnificent mosque on the banks of the Barada

river. Designed in 961/1554 by Sinan (d. 996/1588), it was known by subse-

quent generations of Damascenes as the Takiyya in a reference to the Sufi

zawiya that was established in its courtyard chambers. Sultan Selim II (974-82/

1566-74) added the Madrasa Salimiyya to his father's mosque and the complex

thereafter served as the starting point for the annual pilgrimage to Mecca. The

sultans understood that Damascus held religious significance as the official starting point for the hajj. They therefore sought to impress the pilgrims who would gather in the city with the majesty of the House of 'Othman by building a mosque Itekke complex that might rival the city's other cathedral mosque, the Umayyad Mosque, in its grandeur if not in its religious import. In a similar vein, Siileyman also took pains to rebuild Jerusalem's city walls and to provide major refurbishing for the Dome of the Rock, in a nod to that city's place in the spiritual geography of the Muslim faithful; his consort, Khurrem Sultan (d. 965/1558), provided the funds for the construction of an Hmaret or large public soup kitchen to feed the city's poor. 24

The sultans felt no similar compunction to mark their accession in Aleppo, but the city benefited from the largesse and ambitions of lesser members of the Ottoman political elite. The city's current or former governors constructed a series of large mosques that were in the by-then classic Ottoman style of flat domes and pencil' minarets in the tenth/ sixteenth century and these permanently altered the city's skyline. Khusrew Pasha, a former governor in the city who was by then grand vizier, commissioned the first in 951/ 1544, also from the master Sinan. The mosques constructed in the first century of Ottoman rule in Aleppo remain as a physical testimony to the self-confidence of the Ottomans in the remoulding of the city in their own image, but their importance in the city's economic history lay in the commercial infrastructure that their founders built to support their upkeep. Khusrew Pasha paid for a qayariyya consisting of fifty shops, a khan with ninety-five shops, and a covered market street to support his mosque; Duqakinzade Mehmed Pasha established, around 962/1555, three great caravanserais and two marketplaces to support his 'Adliyya Mosque. 25

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The investment in Aleppo's commercial infrastructure by the Ottoman elite reflected the changing patterns of trade that Egypt and Syria experienced in Süleyman's 'golden age'. From the end of the Crusades, Europeans had been trading in the Mamluk territories for both locally produced commodities and the goods of Asia that had been transported to the port cities of the Levant by caravan. This trend increased with the Ottomans, who were eager for the revenues produced by duties on trade. They extended trading privileges, known in the West as capitulations, not only to Venice, the formerly dominant trading partner of the Mamluks, but also to merchants from France, England and eventually the Netherlands. By the start of the eleventh/ seventeenth century, French and English merchants had largely supplanted the Venetians in the Levant trade, with the English establishing dominance in Aleppo and the French in the coastal cities of Lebanon and Egypt.

The two main centres of trade in the Mamluk sultanate had been Cairo and Damascus and the commodity prized by European traders in both was pepper. By the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century, Portuguese shipping had undercut the cost of transporting that spice by the traditional caravan routes across the Middle East. But trade to the West rebounded with the export of Yemen's coffee through Egypt and of Iran's silk through Aleppo. The rise of Aleppo as an international trading emporium came with a corresponding decline in Damascus' importance to trans-regional commerce. The latter city would remain a major centre of trade within the Middle East, through its association with the hajj caravans, but after the middle of the tenth/

sixteenth century it hosted no resident European merchants for three centuries. In contrast, Aleppo flourished in its new role, in no small part because of the investment made by Ottoman governors in its commercial infrastructure. By the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century its population had reached an estimated 100,000 souls, surpassing that of its southern rival and establishing it as the third largest city in the empire, after Istanbul and Cairo. 2, In retrospect, whether or not the tenth/ sixteenth century constituted a 'golden age' depends largely on the perspective of which city's history one chooses to view it from.

Egypt and Syria in the eleventh/ seventeenth century

If the tenth/ sixteenth century acquired the patina of a 'golden age' in the historical imagination of later generations of Ottomans, the eleventh/ seventeenth century, marked as it was by weak sultans and palace intrigues in the capital, was remembered as a period of instability and indecision that

420

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

weakened the empire. Not wanting to place the blame for a time of weakness directly on the shoulders of the sultans themselves, later Ottoman historians coined the phrase 'sultanate of the women' to define the period, thereby shifting the blame for a perceived decline in the empire's fortunes to the sultans' mothers and consorts. 27 Although modern historians of the Ottoman past try to avoid characterising the period as one of decline, it is clear that

Ottoman institutions were under severe stress, in part because of inflation that in turn brought debasement of the coinage. 2

As it became harder for the central state bureaucrats to pay salaries to the increasingly volatile military, they had to arrive at new solutions to pressing problems. Their response was a return to a practice that was already ancient in the Middle East, the conversion of all available sources of revenue into tax-farms. The restraint that the central government could exercise over the farmers was further eroded as the practice shifted from a periodic sale of the tenancies of the tax-farms (iltizam) to a situation where tenancies could be held for the lifetime of the purchaser (malikane). While this method provided a short-term fix to the sultan's coffers, it greatly increased the potential for fiscal corruption in the provinces. Many of the timars disappeared in the process, along with the rural stability that they had provided, to be replaced by malikanes. Although there were still nominal sipahis in Syria in the eleventh/seventeenth century, they rarely appeared when summoned by the governors to war.

The institutions of Ottoman military rule did not change despite these fiscal contractions, however, and that created further problems. Major cities such as Damascus, Aleppo, Jerusalem and Tripoli continued to have janissary garrisons. But local recruits with no bonds of fealty to Istanbul increasingly filled the ranks. As early as 985/1577, an imperial order warned the governor of Damascus that unsuitable locals were registering as janissaries in his city. He was told that if he needed to recruit replacements he should look to the 'capable, strong and brave, young musketeers from Rum (Anatolia)' but he should on all accounts avoid inducting locals or Bedouins. 29 In Damascus, the janissaries were divided into two factions known as the yerliye or 'locals' and the Qapi Qullan, 'servants of the Porte'. The latter presumably were originally

despatched from Istanbul and had devshirme origins.

The division into two factions within the janissary ranks of Damascus was probably more imagined than real, however. From their patronymics given when janissaries appeared in the court records of Damascus and Aleppo, it is clear that their fathers were more often than not Muslims and they could not, therefore, be products of the devshirme. The Ottoman historian Mustafa

421

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Na'ima (d. 1128/ 1716) was, for example, the son and grandson of janissary officers in his native Aleppo. Many of the janissaries appearing in court did, however, have Turkish or Kurdish names or had nicknames that identified them as coming from Anatolia. Further supporting the hypothesis that the Syrian janissaries of the eleventh/seventeenth century were of Muslim origin but not necessarily Arabic-speaking natives, the quarters of both Aleppo and Damascus in which they lived were typically those inhabited by tribal newcomers: Kurds, Turcomans and Bedouins. Over time, however, their descendants continued to fill the ranks of the corps and established local roots and loyalties, even while maintaining the by-then fictive origins of the two competing factions.

Ottoman authority remained more centralised in Egypt in the eleventh/seventeenth century and its governors enjoyed less room for independent action than in Syria. In addition, Egypt was extremely wealthy; its taxes were

one of the main sources of revenue for Istanbul and its rice helped to feed the capital's population. 30 The sultans could simply not let Egypt devolve out of their control, if at all possible. But even so, the various financial crises experienced by the central Ottoman state in the eleventh /seventeenth centuries had repercussions in Egypt and the nature of its military garrison was changing, even while its outward organisation seemingly remained the same.

The wealth of Egypt encouraged Muslim freebooters from the Balkans and Anatolia to migrate there in search of employment. 31 The recruitment of Muslim mercenaries by provincial governors was an empire-wide phenomenon in the eleventh/ seventeenth century, but in Egypt the presence of these armed men did not replace the outward trappings of Egypt's own 'peculiar institution', the mamluks. Rather, local tax-farmers, who were often Ottoman officials stationed in the province, formed their own households with armed retainers. These were recruited either as mamluks in the traditional way, i.e. slaves purchased in the Caucasus region, or increasingly as Muslim mercenaries. In many cases, the founder of the household would marry his daughters to those who were in his service, and men so favoured might succeed to head the household when the founder died. Historians have labelled these cohorts as 'neo-mamliks'. even though most of the military did not, in fact, have slave origins. But the pull of the Mamlukpast remained very strong in Ottoman Egypt and leaders of the more successful households often established the myth that they were, in fact, oimamluk origin even when they were not and they were classified as such by the contemporary chroniclers. 32

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

Challenges to Ottoman authority: the Janbulads and the Ma c ns

With growing uncertainty in the empire over whether or not the sultan was actually in command in Istanbul, the military leaders who governed the Syrian provinces in his name in the eleventh/ seventeenth century did not always obey direct orders. As such, the representatives of the central state's interests in the capital had to attempt to balance contending local groups off against each other so that no one emerged to challenge the sultan's nominal authority. The instability in the military that this balancing act entailed is illustrated by the revolt of Janbulad 'All Pasha (d. 1019/ 1610) in 1015/ 1606, the first direct challenge to the continuation of Ottoman rule in Syria since the revolt of Janbirdi al-Ghazali.

The Janbulad family, with their power-base in the market town of Kilis, served as the hereditary chieftains of the Kurds who lived in the Jabal Kurd, a hilly region straddling what is today the frontier between Syria and Turkey. Husayn, 'All's uncle, had risen to prominence as the defender of the city of Aleppo against the periodic raids that the janissaries stationed in Damascus had launched in the province to collect taxes. Aleppo had at the time no permanent garrison of its own and Husayn rallied his kinsmen and retainers to defend the city and its wealth in 1012/ 1603 while Nasuh Pasha, the actual governor, looked on ineffectively from the city's citadel as the Damascene janissaries ransacked the town. Ingratitude, Sultan Ahmed I (1012-26/ 1603-17) elevated Husayn to the governorship of the province, the first non-Ottoman to be so rewarded.

The relationship between the sultan and the Janbulad clan soured, how-

ever, in 1605 when Husayn was called upon to deliver troops in a campaign against Iran. He arrived late on the battlefield of Urumiyya, where the Ottomans had been defeated, and was executed for treason. 'All raised the clan standard in revolt to avenge his uncle and open warfare erupted in northern Syria and Lebanon as local chieftains weighed whether to stay loyal to the sultan or to back the insurgents. In an attempt to buy time, Sultan Ahmed appointed 'All as Aleppo's governor while simultaneously raising an army to crush him. The armies met in 1016/ 1607 and the Janbulad forces were defeated. 'All surrendered soon afterwards and was appointed to a post in remote Wallachia. He was executed for treason in Belgrade in 1019/1610. 33

Modern historians have assimilated 'All's revolt into the broad upsurge in military mutinies, collectively labelled the Jelali Revolts, that plagued

423

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Anatolia, starting at the beginning of the eleventh century/ end of the sixteenth century and which lasted for several decades. 34 But within a Syrian context, the revolt by the Janbulad clan represented the testing of the sultan's authority by armed clans and tribes in the less accessible peripheral regions of the Syrian provinces, whether mountains or desert. For unlike the Jelalis of Anatolia who were typically armed men looking for employment or plunder, the Janbulads' success was based on the solidarity and loyalty of their armed kinsmen, and 'All, at least, had more ambitious political dreams as he boasted to European merchants that he would soon be 'sultan of Syria'.

Given the tribal nature of his political support, Janbulad 'All's revolt could

be more readily compared to the troubled relationship that existed between Fakhr al-Din ibn Ma'n (d. 1044/1635) and the Ottoman sultans than to his Jelali contemporaries in Anatolia. The Ma'n clan held a position analogous to that of the Janbulads in the Jabal al-Kurd in that they were the paramount chieftains and tax-farmers for the Druze region of the Shuf mountains in Lebanon. The Druze country had remained rebellious throughout the tenth/ sixteenth century, owing to the mountain redoubts the Druze warriors inhabited and the firearms that they were increasingly acquiring from European traders. But in 993/1585, Ibrahim Pasha, governor of Egypt, launched a major military operation into the Shuf that succeeded in temporarily disarming the Druze and compelled them to pay the back taxes they owed the sultan. In the aftermath of the campaign, Fakhr al-Din emerged as the leader of the Ma'n clan and the dominant political player in the clan-based politics of the Lebanese mountains.

Lebanese historians in the twentieth century CE often lionised Fakhr al-Din as the founder of modern Lebanon. 35 While it is apparent from the historical record that he was adept at building coalitions between the Druze and Maronite inhabitants of the mountains and in establishing relations with the Europeans, it is not at all clear that he had ambitions to found a nation. Significantly, he never claimed the title of sultan, being content with the traditional title of amir bestowed on the paramount Druze chieftain. Not daring to dream of independence, Fakhr al-Din sought to play various local competitors off against one another while he deftly balanced the interests of the Ottoman state against those of various European parties that were interested in gaining influence in the eastern Mediterranean.

When Janbulad 'All rose in revolt, Fakhr al-Din sided with him, apparently as a means to eliminate his rival, Yusuf Sayfa, who was governor of Tripoli. But after Murad Pasha crushed the rebellion in 1016/1607, Fakhr al-Din was

able to buy his way back into the sultan's good graces and his son, 'All, was

424

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

appointed to head the district of Beirut and Sidon. 36 By 1023/1614, Fakhr al-Dm

had again earned the sultan's displeasure and went into exile in Tuscany where he stayed until 1027/ 1618. Upon his return, he was able to regain control over the Shuf mountains and extended his authority into the Biqa' valley, Galilee and the Jabal Nablus. His hold over these districts lasted until

the Ottomans once again went to war with Iran in 1042/1633. In order to secure Syria from the possibility of a Druze rebellion, Ottoman troops moved

against Fakhr al-Dm, who was finally captured two years later and sent as a

prisoner to Istanbul where he was executed. 37 Fakhr al-Dm's death did not end

the importance of his clan, as it dominated Lebanese politics for the next fifty

years, but no one after him would again threaten Ottoman hegemony.

The careers of Janbulad 'All and Fakhr al-Dm closely parallel each other and

illustrate several important points about the nature of Ottoman rule in Syria in

the eleventh/ seventeenth century. Local leaders in Syria could make them-

selves indispensable in a century plagued with weak sultans, and even rise to

provincial governorships. But at the same time, those who served the sultan in

the capital were mistrustful of such men's loyalties and sought to eliminate

them when the opportunity arose. Given the success Istanbul enjoyed in crushing all those who rebelled, there was no actual diminution of Ottoman

power in Syria in the period. Istanbul continued to appoint the governors

who controlled the major cities of Aleppo and Damascus. But it was also evident that Ottoman power rested on the willing co-optation of local military leaders to maintain the sultan's nominal authority in the periphery of those two great cities.

The age of the a'yan in Syria

The devolution of political power into the hands of local people who could ensure the flow of revenue to Istanbul and order in the countryside accelerated across the Ottoman empire in the twelfth/ eighteenth century. The Syrian chroniclers of the period collectively labelled their contemporaries who played such mediating roles between the authorities in the capital and the military forces on hand in their native cities as the a c yan, a term Albert Hourani translated as 'notables'.³⁸ Hourani included under this rather loosely defined social category individuals drawn from three disparate origins: those from the ranks of the traditional Muslim intellectual class ('ulama'), leaders of local military units and the 'secular notables', i.e. those who had amassed wealth through tax-farming, trade or the management of pious endowments (waqf).

425

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Hourani's linkage of these three categories of social origins is somewhat artificial, however, as a'yan families who did not gain their prominence from military service never rose to positions of political dominance in their respective cities. A distinction should therefore be made between those who held influence through their wealth or religious authority and those who held it by virtue of the sword. Nevertheless, members of prominent Muslim families

from all three categories intermarried and there were often overlapping identities and loyalties. 39 The continued relevance of the social class of the a'yan in our understanding of Ottoman Syria lies in its utility in distinguishing locally based families, whatever their origins, from Ottomans appointed from the capital. Furthermore, these families continued to exercise authority through the end of the Ottoman empire and into the mandate period, and their identification as a'yan by their contemporaries is crucial in understanding their influence and longevity in local politics. 40 They had, in effect, become Syria's nobility.

Prominent Muslim families had undoubtedly exercised moral and political authority in Syria before the twelfth/ eighteenth century, but the transformation of the Ottoman fiscal system into a network of tax-farms allowed members of some families access to wealth that was unimaginable for their ancestors a century before. The Ottoman authorities in Istanbul legitimated this shift in the balance of power by appointing members of a'yan families to important provincial posts such as the mutasavvm (acting governor) and muhassil (tax collector) in all the Syrian provinces and in some cities as actual governor. Significantly, however, the chief Hanafi judge in the region's major cities remained an Ottoman appointee. By way of contrast, muftis were almost always local and from prominent families. While this pattern of political devolution was present across all the Syrian provinces, the degree to which a'yan families could effectively take control of provincial administration, replacing state bureaucrats and military officers appointed from Istanbul, varied, with Damascus and Aleppo offering two different patterns of a'yan politics that were replicated throughout the smaller provincial centres of the Syrian provinces.

The peace that the Ottoman authorities had brokered with the Bedouin tribes in the Syrian Desert collapsed in the twelfth/ eighteenth century, as raids by the Bedouins on the hajj caravans became an almost annual occurrence.

rence. This was due to the migration of the Anaza confederation out of Arabia into the Syrian Desert, beginning in the preceding century. The 'Anaza proved far less tractable than their predecessors, the Mawali, had been and they forced caravans coming from Iraq to abandon the trans-desert route to Damascus in

426

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

favour of one following the Euphrates river to Aleppo, as the latter route could be more easily garrisoned. There was, however, no alternative for the hajj route and the sultan's prestige suffered as the flow of pilgrims to Arabia was disrupted. To preserve the security of the hajj, Sultan Ahmed III (1115-43/1703-30) broke with two centuries of tradition and appointed Isma'il Pasha al- c Azm as governor of Damascus in 1137/1725, the first local man to serve in that position since Janbirdi al-Ghazali. Isma'il Pasha had formerly proved himself invaluable as governor of the province of Tripoli (Lebanon) from where he had commanded the jarda, a military escort that was sent out with provisions to meet pilgrims returning from the hajj and to escort them to Damascus.

Isma'il Pasha al-'Azm was the first of several highly effective governors from a family whose ethnic origins are uncertain but who had served as tax-farmers in the region surrounding the central Syrian towns of Hama and Ma'arra in the eleventh/ seventeenth century. The c Azms dominated political life in southern Syria for much of the following century, with family members serving as governors of the provinces of Damascus and Tripoli on and off from

1137/1725 to 1197/1783. As'ad Pasha al-'Azm (d. 1171/1758), who ruled Damascus from 1156/1743 until 1170/1757, enjoyed an unprecedented longevity in the position as the governors of the city who preceded him had held their tenure of office for a year or two at the longest. 41

Despite the family's success in dominating the political life of southern Syria, they served as governors only as long as they could effectively balance contending military forces in Damascus and, more importantly, only as long as those with influence at court suffered them. As'ad Pasha's downfall came after he had incurred the enmity of the chief eunuch of the sultan's harem (qizlar aghast), a powerful figure in that age of politically weak sultans. After his removal as governor of Damascus, As'ad was transferred to the governorship of Aleppo, and in the following year he was summoned to Istanbul, where he was executed on charges of abuse of his office and corruption. That disgrace did not end the family's role in the politics of the a'yan in Syria as they still provided governors for all of Syria's provinces in the half-century following As'ad's execution, but none reached a comparable position of power or wealth that he had enjoyed.

Having one family with vested interest in Damascus' well-being provided relief for the city's inhabitants, who had grown accustomed to governors with very short tenures and no interest in them other than as a source of cash with which to purchase their next appointment. The 'Azm governors proved no less greedy, but they used some of the wealth they acquired to support the

The New Cambridge History of Islam

construction of new public buildings and private mansions that helped to boost civic pride. Their construction of madrasas and caravanserais greatly altered the physical face of their adopted city and boosted the city's economic and cultural fortunes. The family entered into the chronicles written in their lifetime as local heroes whose justice, generosity and religiosity were praised as a foil to highlight the authors' general dismay at the rapacity of most of the governors coming from the capital. 42

In contrast to the success in Damascus of the c Azm family, no single family emerged as the dominant power in the politics of the a'yan in Aleppo. Rather, a number of families came to prominence and these contested one another for influence over the fractious armies of the street that had emerged in the city over the course of the twelfth/ eighteenth century. The two dominant factions in Aleppo were the janissaries and the sharifs (those claiming descent from the Prophet's family). The sharifs enjoyed prestige in all Muslim societies, so much so that it was the one lineage that could pass from mother to son, and certain advantages under the Islamic legal code. Although sharifs could be found in every city in the Ottoman empire, those claiming descent from the Prophet's family in Aleppo coalesced into a political faction that contended for power with the janissaries throughout the second half of the twelfth/ eighteenth century and well into the next century. 43

The sharif faction in Aleppo typically drew its membership from the city quarters that lay within the city walls, while the majority of those claiming to be janissaries inhabited the eastern suburbs of the city where the tribal migrants had settled. There were other social differences as well. Besides including

most of the city's 'ulama\ many of those claiming sharif lineage worked in the guilds involved with textile production; the janissaries were typically to be found in service guilds. Additionally, members of the sharif faction often formed commercial partnerships with the city's prominent Christian families and many of the prominent sharif families had leading Christian mercantile families as their clients. In contrast, the janissary faction was usually antagonistic to the city's Christian population and abused them whenever they held the upper hand in the city's power politics. 44 It is, therefore, possible that the two factions represented deeper divisions in Aleppo's society that were based on economic, social and ethnic differences. But at the same time the boundary between the two could be porous and the only local man to ascend to the city's governorship, Qitaraghasi Ibrahim Pasha, started his career as a janissary with Turcoman origins and ended it as a member of the sharif.

In the absence of the emergence of one single family as the dominant one, the Muslim elite of Aleppo remained divided against itself at the end of the

428

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

twelfth/eighteenth century. Each family saw itself in competition with its rivals and drew upon either the janissary or the sharif armies of the street to support its ambitions. The Ottoman governors posted in the city were able to use that mistrust to prevent any alternative centre of power from emerging

even while they could not themselves establish effective control. The result was frequent periods of anarchy when toughs, drawn from the city's poorest quarters and who claimed either sharif or janissary loyalties, ruled the city while members of prominent families, Muslim or non-Muslim alike, stayed locked in their houses. Aleppo provided an extreme example of the failure of a'yan politics to govern effectively. The sultan was able to retain his nominal hold on the city through the appointment of the city's governors from his own men but effective control of the city eluded him.

Military challenges to Ottoman suzerainty in the twelfth/ eighteenth century

The military of Egypt in the late eleventh/seventeenth century was also plagued by bloody competition between factions aligned with two 'neo-Mamluk' households that had emerged after the Ottoman conquest, the Fiqariyya and the Qasimiyya. Within these broadly based confederations there were individual households which might contend with each other as much as they did with their erstwhile rivals. In between the two factions stood the city's governor, who was appointed from Istanbul. This produced a very unstable political balance in Cairo that broke down periodically into the kind of street violence that was so characteristic of Aleppo half a century later.

Within this shifting power balance in Cairo, a household founded by Mustafa Qazdaghli (d. 1115/1704), a janissary who had himself been a client in the Fiqariyya household, emerged as the dominant power by the middle of the twelfth/ eighteenth century. The Qazdaghli household, like those that preceded it, was made up of janissary officers and their retainers who controlled rural tax-farms and customs offices. They also dabbled in trade and offered 'protection' to wealthy merchants in a combination of legal and illicit activities that might be compared to those of the 'crime -bosses' of twentieth-

century USA. In 1160/ 1747, a subordinate of the household named Ibrahim Kahya (d. 1168/ 1754), who like many in the household began his service as a mamluk, emerged from the jostling for power to be the household's unchallenged head. After winning the loyalty of the janissaries, Ibrahim created an alliance with Ridwan al-Jalfi who commanded the A.zab corps, the other military force in the province. The partnership between the two men lasted

429

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

until Ibrahim's death, followed by Ridwan's assassination a few months later, and provided Egypt's inhabitants with a brief period of peace and stability. 45

After Ibrahim's death, one of his matnluks, 'All, who would later be known as Bulut Qapan, 'one who seizes the clouds' (d. 1187/ 1773), came to the fore in the internal politics of the Qazdaghli household and took the title of shaykh al-balad or 'head of the town' in 1173/1760. That title had been used in the Mamluk period to denote the military chief in Cairo and the revival of its use in the early twelfth/ eighteenth century signified a shift in power away from the governor appointed from Istanbul to local strongmen. 'All, having secured his position in Cairo, began to entertain wider ambitions. He replaced the Ottoman governor of Jedda with one of his own mamluhs in 1184/ 1770, challenging the Ottoman sultan's authority as 'servitor of the Two Holy Places'. Later in the same year, he took an even more audacious step and ordered his forces to invade Syria in an act of open rebellion against his nominal sultan.

'All Beg found two willing allies in his invasion of Syria: Zahir al-'Umar (d.1189/1775) and Yusuf al-Shihabi (d. 1204/1790). Both men were powerful local military commanders in the tradition of the Janbulads and the Ma'ns. But the control the central government could exercise in the Syrian provinces was weaker in the twelfth/ eighteenth century than it had been in the previous century. As a result, their freedom of action was proportionately greater than was that of their predecessors. While the al-Shihabi clan, although nominally Sunni, had simply replaced the Ma'ns as the amirs of the Lebanese mountains, Zahir al-'Umar was something of a self-made local hero of the Galilee region whose origins were not dissimilar from those of the Azm clan in Damascus.

Zahir al-'Umar was a member of the large Sunni Zaydarii clan who are presumed to have had Bedouin origins but who had settled in Galilee by the end of the eleventh/ seventeenth century. His father and uncles had controlled tax-farms in the region and Zahir al-'Umar used that base to build alliances with various local groups, including the Shi'I clans of Jabal 'Amil in Lebanon, by offering protection to peasant cultivators from Bedouin raids. By the middle of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, Zahir moved his base of power to the port city of Acre, which he fortified. With most of northern Palestine and southern Lebanon effectively under his control, he entered into extensive trade relations with the French for the export of cotton that enriched his coffers and allowed him to recruit mercenaries from North Africa. 4 In his success in creating a power structure based on an export economy, Zahir al-'Umar's career was similar to that of Fakhr al-Din a century before him, and to those of his contemporary derebeg (lord of the valley) warlords in the

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

Balkans and western Anatolia who also were able to meet growing demands in western Europe for agricultural products from the Ottoman empire.

Unlike the 'Azms, who had worked their way up through the Ottoman provincial system, Zahir al-'Umar's political position was tenuous, despite his growing wealth. His official status in the provincial hierarchy of the empire was as a vassal of the governor of Sidon and he held no higher authority from the sultan. Realising that the sultan could, in fact, move against him at any time, Zahir opted to align himself with All Beg, whose forces took Gaza and Jaffa in 1184/1770. In the following spring, Abu '1-Dhahab (d. 1189/1775), All's mamluk and lieutenant, arrived with a second Egyptian force and defeated the troops raised by the governor of Damascus. Abu '1-Dhahab then entered Damascus supported by the Druze and Maronite Christian retainers of Yusuf al-Shihabi while Zahir occupied Sidon. But just when it looked as if the Ottoman control of Syria might be at an end, Abu '1-Dhahab turned against his former mentor and returned to Egypt with his army. With that betrayal, All Beg fled first to Upper Egypt and then to Acre. After a year in exile, he returned to Egypt to confront Abu '1-Dhahab, confident that the other Mamluk households of Cairo would support him. They did not and 'All Beg was defeated, wounded on the battlefield and taken prisoner by Abu '1-Dhahab. He died a week later and Abu '1-Dhahab assumed the title of shaykh al-balad.

That debacle ended Mamluk ambitions in Syria as Abu '1-Dhahab pledged his fealty to the sultan. This left Zahir al-'Umar a rebel against the sultan with few remaining allies. He sought conciliation with the sultan and in 1188/1774

was surprisingly named as governor of Sidon. But that seems to have been simply a tactical ploy until the sultan could conclude a peace treaty with Russia. In 1189/ 1775, Abu '1-Dhahab invaded Palestine again, taking the city of Jaffa by storm after claiming to be acting at the sultan's request. Zahir fled his stronghold at Acre, which was subsequently occupied by the Egyptian forces. Abu '1-Dhahab's sudden death stalled the Egyptian invasion and Zahir recovered his capital. But at eighty years of age, he was no longer in a position of either physical or political strength and he offered only token resistance to an attack on Acre by the Ottoman navy, during which he was killed by his own men.

After Abu '1-Dhahab's death, the Mamluk households in Egypt again reverted to faction fighting, with no single household able to dominate its rivals. An Ottoman army under Ghazi Hasan Pasha was despatched to Egypt in 1200/ 1786 in an attempt to bring the unruly province back under direct Ottoman control. But the Mamluk amirs simply retreated into Upper Egypt,

43i

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

and when Ghazi Hasan was recalled to Istanbul he was forced to enter into a compromise with the warring households that left the Mamluks in control. 'All Beg had demonstrated that the sultan's suzerainty over Egypt existed in name only and that a commander who could gain control of Egypt's factional Mamluk households or eliminate them altogether could threaten the empire's

hold over Syria and, perhaps, the survival of the dynasty itself.

In the political vacuum created by the death of Zahir al-Umar, Jezzar Ahmed Pasha (d. 1219/ 1804), a Bosnian adventurer who had served in Egypt under Bulut Qapan 'All Beg, became the dominant political personality in southern Syria. Jezzar Ahmed occupied Acre after Zahir al-Umar's defeat in 1189/ 1775 and was elevated by the sultan to the position of governor of the province of Sidon in 1191/ 1777, a position he held until his death. In addition, he occasionally was able to secure the governorship of Damascus, with his longest tenure in that office lasting from 1204/1790 until 1209/1795. But Acre remained his base of operations and seat of power, and even when he held the governorship of Damascus he did not take up permanent residence there.

In a century when the politics of Syria were dominated by the al-Lan, Jezzar Ahmed was a consummate outsider. Having lived in Egypt and risen up the ranks in a Mamluk household there, he sought to replicate a similar patron-client relationship in Acre, with his own mamluks sometimes holding the governorships in Tyre and Tripoli. Having learnt from the career of Zahir al-Umar, Jezzar Ahmed was careful to keep himself in good graces with the sultan and his court. His two main rivals for control of southern Syria were the [Azm family in Damascus and the Shihabi clan in the Lebanese mountains. Although the two often worked together against the encroaching influence of Jezzar Ahmed, the latter usually held the upper hand because of the wealth he was acquiring from a virtual monopoly over the export trade of the territories under his control and the hired men that wealth could obtain; he was even to survive an attempted revolt by his mamluk subordinates in 1203/ 1789.

Jezzar Ahmed's moment in the international spotlight came in 1214/ 1799 when he was able to withstand the siege of Acre by Napoleon Bonaparte, albeit aided by an outbreak of plague that ravaged the French ranks. Napoleon's forces had quickly despatched the Mamluks in Egypt the year

before and he had assumed that the Ottoman empire would offer no stiffer resistance. But Jezzar Ahmed's stubborn refusal to surrender when added to the harassment his forces were suffering from the British fleet in the eastern Mediterranean forced Napoleon to reconsider his plans for empire in the Middle East and he returned to Egypt. Napoleon left Egypt not long after his less than triumphant campaign in Syria but his forces stayed on to occupy

432

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

the country until 1216/1801, when combined British-Ottoman force secured their surrender.

After Napoleon's occupation of Egypt, the country never returned to effective Ottoman control. Under Mehmed 'All, it would again serve as the springboard for another invasion of Syria that might have toppled the 'House of 'Othman' if the British had not intervened to save the dynasty. Jezzar Ahmed Pasha was the last warlord to emerge in the Syrian provinces, and after his death governors appointed from Istanbul were the norm in all the Syrian provinces rather than the exception that they had become in the turbulent twelfth/ eighteenth century. Their presence in the provincial palaces (sarays) did not mean, however, that the region had reverted back to effective Ottoman rule as that would not return until the period of the Tanzimat (1839-76), when a revitalised empire introduced a modernised army and bureaucracy into the Syrian provinces.

Notes

1. Used here to mean 'geographical Syria', the lands that today constitute the modern states of Syria, Lebanon, Israel, Jordan and the Palestinian Territories.
2. Thomas Philipp and Ulrich Haarmann (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society*, Cambridge, 1998.
3. Karl Barbir, 'Memory, heritage, and history: The Ottomans and the Arabs', in L. Carl Brown (ed.), *Imperial legacy: The Ottoman imprint on the Balkans and the Middle East*, New York, 1996, 100-14.
4. Bruce Masters, 'The view from the provinces: Syrian chronicles of the eighteenth century', *JAOS*, 114 (1993), 353-62.
5. Al-Damurdashi, *al-Damurdashi's chronicle of Egypt, 1688-ijff*, ed. and trans. Daniel Crecelius and Abd al-Wahhab Bakr, Leiden, 1991; Hasan Agha al-'Abid, *Ta'nh* Hasan Agha aVAbid, Damascus, 1979; Mikha'il Burayk al-Dimashqi, *Ta'nh al-Sham*, ij2o-iy82, Harissa, 1930; Ahmad al-Hallaq al-Budayri *Hawddith Dimashq al-yawmiyya*, 1154-1175, Cairo, 1959.
6. Suraiya Faroqhi, *Approaching Ottoman history: An introduction to the sources*, Cambridge, 1999.
7. Andre Raymond, *Artisans et commercants au Caire au XVIIIe siecle*, 2 vols., Damascus, 1973-4; Abdul-Karim Rafeq, *Buhuthfi 'l-ta'rikh al-iqtisddl wa'l-ijtima'i li-bilad al-Sham fi 'l-'asr al-hadlth*, Damascus, 1985, and *Dirasat iqtisddiyya wa-ijtimd'iyya ft ta'nh bilad al-Sham al-hadith*, Damascus, 2002.
8. Including, but not exclusively, Antoine Abdel-Nour, *Introduction a Vhistoire urbaine de la Syrie ottomane (XVIe-XVIIIe siecle)*, Beirut, 1982; Amnon Cohen, *Economic life in Ottoman Jerusalem*, Cambridge, 1989; Beshara Doumani, *Rediscovering Palestine: Merchants and peasants in Jabal Nablus*, ijoo-1900,

Berkeley, 1995; Collette Establet and Jean-Paul Pascual, *Families et fortunes*

433

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

a Damas: 450 foyers damascains en ijoo, Damascus, 1994; Bruce Masters, *The origins of Western economic dominance in the Middle East: Mercantilism and the Islamic economy in Aleppo, 1600-1J50*, New York, 1988; Margaret Meriwether, *The kin who count: Family and society in Ottoman Aleppo ijyo-1840*, Austin, 1999; Judith Tucker, *In the house of the law: Gender and Islamic law in Ottoman Syria and Palestine*, Berkeley, 1998.
9. Leslie Peirce, *Morality tales: Law and gender in the Ottoman court of Aintab*, Berkeley, 2003.

10. Amnon Cohen, *Palestine in the iSth century*, Jerusalem, 1973; Karl Barbir, *Ottoman rule in Damascus, lyoS-ijjS*, Princeton, 1980; Amy Singer, *Palestinian peasants and Ottoman officials: Rural administration around sixteenth-century Jerusalem*, Cambridge, 1994; Abdul-Rahim Abu-Husayn, *The view from Istanbul: Ottoman Lebanon and the Druze emirate*, London, 2004.

11. Michael Winter, 'The Ottoman occupation', in Carl F. Petty (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Egypt*, vol. I, Cambridge, 1998, 490-516; Palmira Brummett, *Ottoman seapower and Levantine diplomacy in the age of discovery*, Albany, 1994, 51-87.

12. Evliya Celebi, *Evliya Celebi Seyahatnamesi*, vols. IX-X, Istanbul, 1984, 350-1.

13. A dissenting view to this characterisation of Mamluk attitudes towards gunpowder technology is provided by Robert Irwin, 'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom reconsidered', in Michael Winter and Amalia Levanoni (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society*, Brill, 2004, 117-39.
14. Evliya Celebi, *Evliya Celebi Seyahatnamesi*, vols. IX-X, 360-1.
15. I. Metin Kunt, *The Sultan's servants: The transformation of Ottoman provincial government, 1550-1650*, New York, 1983, 104-8.
16. Jane Hathaway, 'Mamluk households' and 'Mamluk factions in Ottoman Egypt', in Thomas Philipp and Ulrich Haarmann (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society*, Cambridge, 1998, 107-17.
17. Winter, 'The Ottoman occupation', 504-10.
18. Abdul-Karim Rafeq, 'The Syrian 'ulama', *Ottoman law and Islamic Shari'a*, *Turcica*, 26 (1994), 9-32.
19. John Voll, 'Old ulama families and Ottoman influence in eighteenth century Damascus', *American journal of Arabic Studies*, 3 (1975), 48-59.
20. Al-Ansari, *Nuzhat al-khatir wa bahjat al-ndzir*, 2 vols., Damascus, 1991.
21. Masters, *The origins of Western economic dominance*, 116-17.
22. Muhammad Adnan Bakhit, *The Ottoman province of Damascus in the sixteenth century*, Beirut, 1982, 35-90.
23. Andre Raymond, *The great Arab cities in the i6th-i8th centuries: An introduction*, New York, 1984, 5-7.
24. Amy Singer, *Constructing Ottoman beneficence: An imperial soup kitchen in Jerusalem*, Albany, 2002.

25. Heghnar Zeitlian Watanpaugh, *The image of an Ottoman city: Imperial architecture and urban experience in Aleppo in the 16th and 17th centuries*, Leiden, 2004.

26. Andre Raymond, 'The population of Aleppo in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries', *IJMES*, 16 (1984), 447-60.

434

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

27. Leslie Peirce, *The imperial harem: Women and sovereignty in the Ottoman Empire*, Oxford, 1993, p. vii.

28. Halil Inalcik and Donald Quataert (eds.), *An economic and social history of the Ottoman Empire 1300-1914*, Cambridge, 1994, 413-14.

29. Uriel Heyd, *Ottoman documents on Palestine 1552-1615: A study of the firman according to the mihiimme defteri*, Oxford, 1960, 68-9.

30. Inalcik and Quataert, *An economic and social history*, 86-8.

31. Jane Hathaway, *The politics of households in Ottoman Egypt: The rise of Qazdaglis*, Cambridge, 1997, 17-46.

32. Jane Hathaway, *A tale of two factions: Myth, memory, and identity in Ottoman Egypt and Yemen*, Albany, 2003.

33. Mustafa Na'ima, *Tarikh-i Na'ima*, Istanbul, 1866-7, vol. II, 21-2; Abdul-Karim Rafeq, 'The revolt of 'Alili Pasha Janbulad (1605-1607) in the contemporary Arabic sources and its significance', in VIII. Turk Tarih Kongresi: Kongreye sunulan

bildiriler, Ankara, 1983, vol. III, 1515-34.

34. William Griswold, The great Anatolian rebellion 1000-1020/1591-1611, Berlin, 1983;

Karen Barkey, Bandits and bureaucrats: The Ottoman route to state centralization, Ithaca, 1994.

35. Axel Havemann, Geschichte und Geschichtsschreibung im Libanon des 19. und 20.

Jahrhunderts: Formen und Funktionen des historischen Selbstverständnisses, Beirut, 2002.

36. Abdul-Rahim Abu-Husayn, Provincial leadership in Syria, 15J5-1650, Beirut, 1985, 83-7.

37. P. M. Holt, Egypt and the Fertile Crescent, 1516-1922: A political history, London, 1966, 117-20.

38. Albert Hourani, 'Ottoman reform and the politics of the notables', in William

Polk and Richard Chambers (eds.), Beginnings of modernization in the Middle East, Chicago, 1968, 41-68.

39. Meriwether, The kin who count, 31-68; Linda Schilcher, Families in politics:

Damascene factions and estates of the 18th and 19th centuries, Stuttgart, 1985, 107-33.

40. Philip Khoury, 'Continuity and change in Syrian political life: The nineteenth and twentieth centuries', American Historical Review, 96 (1991), 1374-95.

41. Abdul-Karim Rafeq, The province of Damascus 1J23-1J83, Beirut, 1966; Barbir, Ottoman rule in Damascus.

42. Burayk, Ta'rikh al-Sham, 62-3; Muhammad Raghib al-Tabbakh, A'ldm al-nubald 1

bi-ta'rikh Halab al-shahba, Aleppo , 1977, vol. III, 274-7.

43. Herbert Bodman, Political factions in Aleppo, 1760-1826, Chapel Hill, 1963.

44. Bruce Masters, Christians and Jews in the Ottoman Arab world: The roots of

sectarianism, Cambridge, 2001, 126-7.

45. Daniel Crecelius, vol. II: Egypt in the eighteenth century', in M. W. Daly (ed.),
The Cambridge history of Egypt, Modern Egypt, from 1517 to the end of
the twentieth
century, Cambridge, 1998, 59-86.

46. Cohen, Palestine in the 18th century, 30-53, 83-92; Thomas Philipp,
Acre: The rise
and fall of a Palestinian city, 1130-1831, New York, 2001, 30-48.

435

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

15

Western Arabia and Yemen during
the Ottoman period

BERNARD HAYKEL

Introduction

Our knowledge of the political, social and intellectual history of the Hijaz
and
the Yemen during the 'first' Ottoman period (c. 923-1218 /1517-1803) -
that is
during the reign of twenty-one sultans, from Selim I (r. 918-26/ 1512-20)
until
the conquest of the Hijaz by the Wahhabis in the reign of Selim III (r.
1203-22/
1789-1807) - remains fragmentary and has to be constituted mostly from
primary sources such as local chronicles, biographical dictionaries,
travellers'
accounts and the Ottoman and Arabian archives. The secondary
literature, on
the Hijaz in particular, consists of long and detailed lists of discrete
events such
as battles, floods and the internecine disputes between branches of the
local

ruling families as well as struggles with Ottoman administrative and military officials. A systematic and analytically informed history of the Sharifs of Mecca and Medina in the Ottoman period has yet to be written and many lacunae persist. We know very little about the internal politics of the various branches of the family of Sharifs, their relationships with the Yemeni imams or the tribes of the Hijaz and Najd or even with their Ottoman overlords. We know even less about the social and intellectual history of the two Holy Cities and the tribal hinterland of the Hijaz. For instance, the fact that Shi'i sentiment, and perhaps outright sectarian affiliation, remained predominant among many of the Hijazi tribes (e.g. Harb, Juhayna) until the arrival of the Wahhabis in the late twelfth/ eighteenth century is virtually unknown. 1 The sketchy entries in the second edition of the Encyclopaedia of Islam on this region, and especially for this period, testify to how little is known. There is no published chronology of events and a complete list of the Hijazi rulers does not exist in any of the standard works on Muslim dynasties. 2 This is remarkable given the region's religious centrality to all Muslims. The archives (Ottoman and Arab) have yet to be studied fully in any rigorous fashion and research requires knowledge of both Ottoman and Arabic, not to mention tribal law and history. The history

of Yemen, as opposed to the Hijaz, is considerably better known as a result of several studies having been undertaken to detail the Ottoman presence and influence as well as the local Yemeni reaction to it. Excellent published chronologies exist for Yemen and the primary sources here are considerably richer and more numerous, and many of these have now been edited. The difference in the quality of sources between Yemen and the Hijaz is perhaps due to the fact that Yemen was a considerably richer province, hosting several flourishing dynasties and enjoying a rich agricultural tax base. Furthermore, Yemen's strategic location on the trade route between the Indian Ocean and the Mediterranean worlds, with its important entrepot cities of Aden and Mocha, secured for it a reliable and significant source of revenue. The Hijaz, with its ports at Jedda and Yanbu', enjoyed some of the same trading privileges as Yemen, but it has historically remained dependent on Egypt for its finances and even its food supply, and more generally on the support provided by the Sublime Porte (i.e. the Ottoman government). Finally, the emergence of coffee as Yemen's prime export commodity in the eleventh/ seventeenth century further accentuated the difference in internally generated wealth between the two regions.

Ottoman views of, and policies towards, the Hijaz as well as the Indian Ocean are now reasonably well established. This is in good measure due to the work of Suraiya Faruqi on the hajj in the tenth/ sixteenth century and that of Salih Ozbaran, and more recently Giancarlo Casale, on the relations between the Ottomans and the Portuguese over trade and control of the Indian Ocean. With respect to the pilgrimage, the Ottomans took seriously their claim to being the 'Servants of the Two Holy Sanctuaries' and went to considerable lengths to secure the safe arrival and return of the pilgrimage caravans (sing. mahmal) of which there were three in this period: the Egyptian (from Cairo) and the Syrian (from Damascus), by far the most important, followed by the

Yemeni. Assuring the safety of the hajj and providing subsidies for the tribes of the Hijaz, its rulers and the poor folk of Mecca and Medina constituted an important source of legitimacy for Ottoman rule. The Ottomans also engaged in important restoration and building projects in the Holy Cities further to confirm their claims. With respect to the Indian Ocean, the Ottomans, depending on who had the upper hand in Istanbul, alternated in the tenth/sixteenth century between an engaged policy towards the south-east and another which shunned projecting their influence into this maritime world, preferring instead a more restricted and land-based vision of Ottoman power. The Ottomans, ultimately, had to content themselves with keeping the Portuguese out of the Red Sea and the upper reaches of the Persian Gulf

437

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

and endeavoured to secure their gains in Arabia. Yemen remained under their control for nearly a century (945-1045 /1538-1635), and the Hijaz for close to three centuries until the Wahhabis wrested it from them in the early thirteenth/nineteenth century. In the eleventh/seventeenth century the Dutch and the British made their entry into the Indian Ocean world as well as the Red Sea, spelling a definitive end to Ottoman maritime and military dominance in the region.

The Mamluk legacies

In Arabia the Ottomans were the inheritors of three legacies from the Mamluks whom they supplanted in Syria and finally in Egypt in 922/1517. The first involved the effort to repel the Portuguese, who had irrupted into the

Indian Ocean world in 902/1497, and had set up a state (Estado da India) based on commerce and warfare and which threatened to dominate the Indian Ocean as well as the Red Sea and the Persian Gulf. The Ottomans mounted several naval expeditions to dislodge the Portuguese from their strongholds and largely failed. Aden proved to be one of the few successes when it was captured in 945/1538 from a local ally of the Portuguese. The forceful presence of the latter, however, meant that the monopoly that Muslim states and their merchants once enjoyed over the trade of the Indian Ocean was broken forever. The second legacy was the Mamluk occupation of the relatively rich province of Yemen, domination over which meant tax revenues, the effective protection of the Hijaz from the south and control of the trade that crossed into the Red Sea. Here the Ottomans would be confronted with a formidable foe, the Zaydi Shi'ite imams with a tradition of a righteous rule that depicted the Ottomans as falling beyond the pale of Islam. The Zaydis were ultimately able to defeat and expel the Ottomans from the Yemen in 1045/ 1635 and to establish a state that would rule the Yemen until the 1260s /1850s, and then again in the twentieth century CE until 1962, after a second Ottoman occupation (1289-1337/ 1872-1919). Despite their animosity towards the Ottomans, the Zaydis adopted a number of Ottoman ideas and institutions which would make their regime something akin to a Sunni sultanate in the twelfth/ eighteenth century. The third legacy involved the incorporation of the Hijaz into the empire. This meant oversight of the pilgrimage and custodianship of the Holy Cities, all of which bestowed legitimacy on the rule of the House of 'Othman (Osman). The Ottomans also undertook to extend their security over the trade routes from Egypt down to the Hijaz. As it was under the Mamluks, the Hijaz remained by and large dependent on Egypt

Western Arabia and Yemen during the Ottoman period

and was ruled by a semi-independent dynasty of Sharifs in Mecca. These immediately acknowledged Ottoman suzerainty in 923/1517 and the Hijaz officially became part of the empire, but the internecine squabbles of the Sharifs constantly required the intervention of the Sublime Porte, largely in an effort to legitimise the status quo. 4

The Portuguese blockade of the Red Sea

The Portuguese arrived in the Indian Ocean at the end of the ninth /fifteenth century and sought to dominate and divert the trade, in spices and other commodities, from South and South-East Asia away from the Mediterranean-Red Sea route and towards Portugal through the route around the Cape of Good Hope. One of the measures they took to accomplish this was to blockade the Red Sea through naval patrols around Bab al-Mandab as well as the occupation of the islands of Socotra, occupied in 913/1507, and Kamaran. They attempted the same in the Persian Gulf for which they took hold of Hormuz in 921/1515. The Portuguese also attacked Jedda in 923/1517 and developed alliances, with the Christian Negus in Ethiopia among others, to accomplish this goal. The effects were extremely disruptive, and initially successful, so that the revenues accruing to the Mamluks in Egypt from the taxes levied on the trade dropped considerably. This led the Mamluks, with help from the Ottomans, to respond by establishing an alliance with the Tahirid rulers of Yemen - short-lived as it turned out - and by sending two fleets, in 913/1507 and 921/1515, to break the blockade and to eliminate the Portuguese presence.

The effort failed, but the Mamluks established a political presence in Yemen, brought with them firearms which had not been seen in the region before, and began the process of ending the reign of the Tahirids (858-945/1454-1538), the last major Sunni dynasty to rule Yemen. It was left to the Ottomans to break the blockade.

On the occasion of the arrival of an Ottoman fleet in Yemen in 931/1525, Selman Re'is, an Ottoman official based between the Hijaz and Yemen, wrote a report to the Ottoman grand vizier Ibrahim Pasha on what to do about the Portuguese. In it Selman Re'is argued that the moment was ripe to reverse the power of the Portuguese by, among other things, taking control of the Red Sea through the occupation of ports on either side of the Bab al-Mandab strait, in effect establishing a line of defence from the Horn of Africa to the Hadramawt that would keep the Portuguese out. ⁵ This is the line that would ultimately demarcate the two empires' separate spheres of influence. However, because of Ottoman conquests elsewhere (e.g. Iraq), it was not until 945/1538 that the

439

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Ottomans would finally make a concerted effort to defeat the Portuguese and put this plan into effect. In this year a fleet led by the governor of Egypt - and future grand vizier - Siileyman Pasha, who had an active interest in the spice

trade, arrived in Yemen. Aden was captured and its ruler 'Amir ibn Da'ud, who had collaborated with the Portuguese, was executed. The fleet continued to India where it was unable to conquer Diu and thereby failed to establish a permanent Ottoman presence in South Asia in alliance with the sultan of Gujarat. Siileyman Pasha returned to Yemen in 946/1539 and established the organisational structures in Zabid for fully conquering and ruling this province. Yemen then became a base for the Ottoman military, from which they could defend against Portuguese expeditions. With this, the Portuguese blockade of the Red Sea was effectively broken, even though the latter did attempt an unsuccessful attack on Suez in 948/1541. By the early 960s/mid-1550s the Ottomans had also consolidated their position on the western coast of the Red Sea, especially in the ports of Zayla', Massawa and Sawakin in the province of Abyssinia (Habash) where they had earlier supported an initially successful jihad led by Ahmad Gran against the Negus, which was later reversed by Portuguese intervention. Negotiations between the Ottomans and the Portuguese over the terms of the spice trade began in the 950s/1540s, and whilst these proved inconclusive, the second half of the tenth /sixteenth century saw a revival of this trade through the Red Sea and Persian Gulf routes, both of which the Ottomans now effectively dominated. From the 970s/1560s onwards the Ottomans controlled a greater volume of this trade than the Portuguese did, and it is estimated that the tax revenues from these routes reached as high as 500,000 ducats per year or roughly the equivalent of the annual surplus sent to Istanbul from Egypt. At the turn of the eleventh/ seventeenth century, the Portuguese were no longer the dominant power, being replaced by the Dutch, and had given up on the idea of controlling all trade in the Indian Ocean. The Ottomans, too, were no longer able to project their influence into the Indian Ocean and were soon to lose Yemen to the Zaydis, who actively rejected the

Ottoman claim to being the keepers of the Universal Caliphate.

The Ottoman conquest of Yemen

Before the arrival of the Ottomans in Yemen the country's political landscape consisted of a competition between three distinct groups: the Zaydis, the Isma'ilis and the Shafi'i Sunnis. The Ottoman presence led to the progressive unification of the country under their rule, by subduing or co-opting the various parties. Ultimately, however, the corrupt and brutal nature of their

440

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Western Arabia and Yemen during the Ottoman period

rule unleashed Zaydi irredentist rebellions. The Zaydis finally managed to expel the Ottomans in 1045/ 1635 and, along with their tribal supporters from the upper highlands, have dominated Yemen's politics into modern times. The last of the Sunm dynasties was the Tahirid (858-945/1454-1538), whose demise was due to the internecine struggles within the ruling family, the loss of tax revenue due to the Portuguese blockade and most decisively their successive military defeats at the hands of the Mamluks. The latter arrived from Egypt in 921/1515 under the leadership of fleet admiral Husayn al-Kurdi, whom the Tahirids refused to assist. The Mamluks established a political presence, which lasted in increasingly diminished and weakened form until 945/1538, and they recognised the suzerainty of the Ottomans in 923/1517 after news of the defeat of the Mamluk army at the hands of Sultan Selim I. The Mamluks initially conquered many of the towns in the Yemeni highlands, including San c a' in 1517, but their exactions and depredations led to a rebellion

of the Zaydis under the leadership of Imam al-Mutawakkil Yahya Sharaf al-Dm (d. 965/1558) and his son al-Mutahhar (d. 980/1572), who then managed to conquer most of Yemen's territories from the Isma'ilis and Tahirids, and pushed the Mamluks to the coastal town of Zabid where they remained confined until the Ottomans arrived in full force in 945/1538.

Ottoman rule in Yemen involved making it into a province (beglerbegilik) entrusted to a governor (beglerbegi) of whom there were twenty-seven over nearly a century of rule (945-1045 /1538-1635). This period has been broken down by Frederique Soudan, who has written the most exhaustive European-language study of Ottoman Yemen to date, into six stages. The first began with the arrival of Suleyman Pasha in 945/1538 who captured Aden, ended Mamluk rule in Zabid and laid out the plan for wresting the country from Zaydi rule. The second, from 946/1539 to 963/1556, involved campaigns of conquest which saw San'a' fall in 954/1547 to Ottoman forces under the leadership of Ozdemir Pasha who made it the capital of the province. The third stage (963-75/1556-68) saw Ottoman power decline, under the leadership of weak and corrupt governors whose only aim was to enrich themselves by over-taxing the population in order to bid for more important posts elsewhere in the empire. This led to another Zaydi rebellion under the leadership of Imam al-Mutahhar. In one year, 975/1568, the Ottomans lost all the territories in the province to the Zaydi forces and found themselves encircled in Zabid, their last bastion. The fourth stage (976-8/ 1569-71) witnessed the reconquest of the province under the leadership of the able military general Sinan Pasha. The latter finally consolidated Ottoman authority over the country so that his efforts constitute the definitive conquest of Yemen. The

The New Cambridge History of Islam

fifth stage (978-1016 /1571-1607) involved the pacification and administrative organisation of the country under more able governors as well as the end of the Sharaf al-Din dynasty of imams with the passing of al-Mutahhar in 980/1572. The last stage, from 1006/ 1597 to 1045/ 1635, saw Ottomans struggling against yet another Zaydi rebellion, this time led by the Imam al-Mansur al-Qasim ibn Muhammad (d. 1029/ 1620) whose son al-Mu'ayyad Muhammad ultimately defeated and expelled the Ottomans in 1045/ 1635, ushering in the reign of the most powerful Zaydi dynasty ever to rule Yemen. 7

During their stay in Yemen, the Ottomans patronised Sufis (e.g. Ahmad ibn 'Alwan's cult in Yafrus) as well as Isma'ilis against the Zaydis, and ultimately co-opted members of the Sharaf al-Din family, many of whom were sent as hostages to Istanbul. The Sublime Porte found it exceedingly difficult to maintain control over the administrators of this province, in large part because of the great distance from the centre of power. As a result, disputes often emerged between the local Ottoman officials themselves and violence, mutinies and corruption were endemic, most often because the soldiers were not paid on time. So many of these conscripts died in Yemen, because of disease and warfare, that the country acquired the sad sobriquet 'Graveyard of the Turks'. In terms of its tax revenue system, Yemen was deemed a salyane province whereby the governor, after paying all salaries and expenses, had to remit an annual sum to the capital. The actual collection of taxes took place through a system of tax-farming (iltizam). No systematic study has been undertaken of this system or the revenues it generated, and it appears that the Ottoman state was not able to accrue significant revenues from this

province after all the local expenses and salaries were disbursed.

In terms of the administration of justice, the Ottomans gave preference to the Hanafi school of law but also recognised the judgements of local Shafi'i qadls. They appointed judges (none were Turks) to each of the big towns in the province along with a deputy judge who was most often a Yemeni. Important matters were handled by a court in San'a', a practice that the Zaydi imams would later perpetuate. The presence of the Sunni Ottomans strengthened a trend among some Zaydi-born scholars who argued in favour of a Sunni-oriented interpretation of Islamic law. Among these, for example, was Sayyid Muhammad ibn 'Izz al-Din al-Mufti (d. 1050/ 1640), whom the Ottomans appointed as a mufti in San' a' and who issued fatwas (non-binding legal opinions) in accordance with the four Sunni schools of law. The Ottomans, however, did not impose the Hanafi school on the local population, who remained Shafi'i in Lower Yemen and along the coastal plains and Zaydi in the upper highlands. An important institution the Ottomans

442

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Western Arabia and Yemen during the Ottoman period

established was the Yemeni pilgrimage caravan to Mecca. The governor who instituted this was Mustafa Pasha al-Neshshar in 963/1556 and it was to continue until 1039/ 1630. Much attention and money was lavished on this caravan, including subsidies for mounts and foodstuffs for indigent pilgrims, and it was used to pacify regions of northern Yemen through which it had to pass on its way to Mecca. The Qasimi imams would reinstate this institution

but its history has yet to be fully explored. The Ottomans were also builders in Yemen, leaving many fine new and restored buildings, bathhouses and irrigation projects. The most famous of the buildings is perhaps the Bakiriyya Mosque in San'a' which was built by Hasan Pasha in 1005/1596.

Zaydism triumphant

Despite all the Ottoman efforts to claim legitimacy for their rule through Islam, these failed in Yemen and the population felt a profound antipathy towards them. Their unjust practices, especially over-taxation, hostage taking and cruel punishment of prisoners, alienated Yemenis, as did their social habits and alleged loose morals. The Yemenis accused the Ottomans of flouting the edicts of the shari'a by among other things consuming alcohol and engaging in pederasty. This gave the Yemeni imams the grounds on which to declare a legitimate jihad against Ottoman rule. Here is what al-Mu'ayyad Muhammad (r. 1029-54/1620-44), the second Qasimi imam and evictor of the Ottomans, says about them:

They [i.e. the Ottomans] do not belong to those who adhere to the Truth which comes from God. They do not respect God's interdictions . . . rather, they authorize luxurious living, perform evil, drink alcohol in the sight and knowledge of all, and commit abomination amongst the community of Muhammad and in the proximity of mosques. 9

Zaydism, a sect of Shi'i Islam that traces its origins to the Kufan revolt of Imam Zayd ibn 'All in 122/740 against Umayyad rule, established a community in Yemen in the late third/ninth century. It is distinguished by its theology, which includes the doctrine of the imamate with its requirement that righteous rule and religious and secular leadership can only be had through the *ahl al-bayt* (the descendants of the Prophet Muhammad through either of his grandsons, al-Hasan or al-Husayn). Zaydis insist on having a just ruler who

fulfils rigorous qualifications and obligations, and who must make a summons to allegiance (da'wa) and then rise in rebellion (khuruj) against illegitimate rulers. The Sharaf al-Din and Qasimi imams did just that and they managed to

443

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

rally the tribes of Upper Yemen in this bloody struggle against the Ottomans that lasted, with some intermittent truces, until the final expulsion in 1045/1635. The first five Qasimi imams held strongly to an uncompromising vision of Zaydism and based their rule on their personal charisma, learning and deeds. They considered the Ottomans to be 'infidels of interpretation' (kuffar al-ta'wU) because of their alleged theological determinism (ijbaf) and anthropomorphism (tashbih). As such, any territory conquered from the Ottomans could have imposed on it a tax regime of a non-Muslim territory. 10 In effect, this meant that the Shafi'is of Lower Yemen could have their lands expropriated or granted as tax-farms to the northern tribal allies of the imams. The Qasimis established a state over the entirety of geographical Yemen, from Asir in the north, to Aden in the south and reaching Zufar in the east.

By the end of the eleventh/ seventeenth century, however, the Qasimi imamate, beginning with Imam al-Mahdi Muhammad Sahib al-Mawahib (r. 1097-1130/ 1686-1718), would become a dynastic sultanate, acquiring many of the trappings and administrative framework of the Ottoman state the imams had once excoriated. Choreographed royal processions, a standing army,

administrative structures and the institution of a chief judge were some of the patrimonial forms of governance that the Qasimis now adopted. The reasons for this are multiple and involve a decline in the personal qualities of the imams, but also a long-term reduction of the wealth that poured into the state's coffers from the coffee trade. On the economic plane the most important development to take place in Yemen during the Ottoman period was the arrival of coffee, allegedly in 950/1543, and most likely from Ethiopia. 11 Yemen enjoyed a monopoly on this product, which would become its major export commodity in the eleventh/seventeenth century and would lure the Dutch and other Europeans to set up factories in the Red Sea port of Mocha, which now eclipsed Aden and Shihr. The maintenance of the Qasimi state was in good measure due to the revenues accruing from the coffee trade, to the extent that it would be apt to refer to it as the 'coffee imamate'. The Ottomans for their part were able to tax this and other commodities further up the trade route, at Jedda but also in Egypt. 12 The departure of the Ottomans from the lower part of the Red Sea led to the dominance of Gujarati merchants in this region as well as the arrival of a greater number of European merchants and an increase in piracy. The early Qasimis - al-Mu J ayyad Muhammad and al-Mutawakkil Isma'il - ever fearful of the return of the Ottomans to Yemen, led a campaign of correspondence with the Sharifs Muhsin ibn Husayn and Zayd ibn Muhsin of Mecca. This was in order to get the Meccan Sharifs to join the jihad against the Ottomans and to recognise the Zaydi imams, in their capacity

Western Arabia and Yemen during the Ottoman period

as leaders of the House of the Prophet, as the only legitimate rulers of the Muslim umma. The Qasimi effort failed, as did the Ottoman attempts to reconquer Yemen. The Sharifs, for their part, stuck to the Ottomans because they realised that the Yemenis could not supplant the Sublime Porte in the Hijaz in terms of both power and the provision of subsidies. 13

The Sharifs of the Hijaz

The Ottomans went to extraordinary lengths to maintain their sovereign claim over the Hijaz, subsidising at great expense the semi-independent rulers of Mecca, the tribes along the pilgrimage routes and the inhabitants of the Holy Cities. As with the Mamluks before them, they assigned endowments (waqf) in Egypt as well as in Anatolia and Rumeli for the benefit of the Holy Cities, sent an annual subsidy (siirre) with the pilgrimage caravan and required Egypt to send regular shipments of grain to the Hijaz. In addition, they allocated half the customs revenues of Jedda and Yanbu' to the ruling Sharif, who often managed to take the whole sum. The Ottomans also subsidised and protected the pilgrimage caravans and severely punished those tribes who attacked them. In short, claiming a measure of control over the Hijaz was an expensive proposition, and Suraiya Faroqhi has estimated that this amounted to between one half to two thirds of the annual expenses of a major military campaign, such as the one against the Habsburg empire in 1015-16/1606-7. 14

The Ottomans invested this much in the Hijaz for two entwined reasons: the religious obligation to safeguard the pilgrimage and the Holy Cities, and the legitimacy that performing this conferred on their rule. They also wanted to

outshine the Mamluks in terms of generosity and thereby claim greater merit in their capacity as the protectors of the holy sanctuaries.

The Sharifs of Mecca, a family of Hasanids who had ruled Mecca since the fourth/ tenth century, recognised Ottoman suzerainty in 923/1517 when the reigning Sharif Barakat ibn Muhammad sent his teenage son Muhammad Abu Numayy to Egypt to pay obeisance to Sultan Selim I. With this accepted, a relationship began whereby the Ottomans recognised that they needed the Sharifs to rule the Hijaz, mainly because the latter could wreak havoc in the province by effecting tribal rebellions. As a result, the Ottomans, with a few exceptions, legitimated the de facto leader among the fractious Sharifs, whose title was that of amir. They did this by issuing an appointment rescript as well as conferring on him a robe of honour (khil'a). Medina, which was ruled by a Husaynid family of Sharifs until the late eleventh/ seventeenth century, was placed under the control of Mecca's Sharif, who appointed a deputy there and

445

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

in other towns of the Hijaz. Power in Medina was divided among the Sharif or his deputy, the commander of the Ottoman troops in the citadel, the chief qadi and the chief eunuch (agha) of the Prophet's mosque. In terms of administration the Sublime Porte appointed a governor in jedda (sanjaq begi), a judge

in each of the Holy Cities and a number of other minor officials. The commanders of the pilgrimage caravans were also conduits for Ottoman rule in the Hijaz, and their arrival represented a period of tension because they often carried the sultan's orders, or their amirs had their own designs, and were accompanied by a troop of soldiers. As with Yemen, the great distance of this province from Istanbul meant that close supervision of the local officials was difficult to achieve and considerable disorder was often the norm. 15

In the period between the year 923/1517 and the late twelfth/ eighteenth century, thirty -four Meccan Sharifs ruled in the Hijaz. The tenth/ sixteenth century was relatively stable in that it saw the long rule of three Sharifs: Barakat (902-31/1497-1525), Abu Numayy Muhammad (930-60/1524-53) and his son Hasan (961-1010/1554-1601). The eleventh/ seventeenth and twelfth/ eighteenth centuries by contrast were very turbulent with internecine fights between different branches of the Sharifian family, mainly the Dhawi Zayd versus the Dhawi Barakat. Seventeen different Sharifs ruled in the eleventh/ seventeenth century, often jointly and in multiple non-successive periods, and thirteen Sharifs ruled in the twelfth/ eighteenth century. The extent of their power often reached into the Najd, as far as Ha'il, and they sporadically launched raids against the Najd's tribes and settlements. The Sharifs had a standing army of mercenaries comprising several thousand men from the Yemen and the tribesmen from Mecca's hinterland as well as some one thousand slaves who were mainly African. The struggle over succession and rule that broke out amongst the Sharifs often led to urban warfare in the streets of Mecca and with the small garrison of Egyptian soldiers taking sides.

The politics of the Sharifs were messy, involving plots with, or against, various members of the Ottoman administration as well as high imperial competition over the symbolic value of what took place in the Holy Cities. It was the rare occasion when Istanbul, Damascus or Cairo could impose its writ on Mecca. One such occasion was in 1042/ 1633 when the sultan ordered that

Persians (i.e. Shi'is) not be permitted to perform the pilgrimage or to visit the tomb of the Prophet in Medina. During the reign of Sharif Mas'ud ibn Sa'id (r. 1145-65/ 1733-52), Nadir Shah (r. 1148-60/ 1736-47) of Iran wrote to him demanding that his name be mentioned in the Friday sermon and that an Imami ShTi be permitted to lead prayers alongside the imams of the four Sunrii schools of law. Sharif Mas'ud demurred and handed over to the Ottomans the

446

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Western Arabia and Yemen during the Ottoman period

Persian emissary who delivered the shah's letter. This same Sharif was also the first to notify the Ottomans of the threat posed by the Wahhabis. The latter requested repeatedly to be allowed to perform the pilgrimage and were denied this until their wars of conquest of the Hijaz in the late twelfth/eighteenth century.

This period of Ottoman oversight of the Hijaz saw an increase in the number of visitors and permanent residents (sing, mujawif) in Mecca and Medina. Certain scholarly families established themselves and some secondary literature on the scholarly networks of the Hijaz has claimed that many of the worldwide twelfth/eighteenth-century Islamic reform and revival movements have their origin in the study circles of the Holy Cities, especially in Medina. 1 Certain scholars, such as Ibrahim ibn Hasan al-Kurani (d. 1101/1690) and his son Abu Tahir Muhammad ibn Ibrahim (d. 1144/1732), acted like transmission nodes for the spread of reformist ideas throughout the Islamic

world. These ideas involved some of the following: an insistence on the study of the hadith sciences and their application in law, ridding Sufism of its antinomian excesses, advocating independent legal reasoning (ijtihād) and the shunning of imitation (taqlid). More detailed studies of the ideas of the twelfth/eighteenth-century reformist thinkers in their various local settings around the Muslim world must be undertaken before any generalisation about the importance of the Hijaz's study circles can be made. Furthermore, the content of what was studied and transmitted, as distinct from the mere fact that two scholars studied with one another, must also be undertaken before any broad claims are made. A good case in point is Muhammad ibn ʿAbd al-Wahhāb (d. 1206/1792), who studied in these circles in the Hijaz and yet founded a reformist movement that utterly rejected Sufism in all its forms and insisted on a particularly strict theology and religious practice that most scholars of the Hijaz, including some members of his own Hanbali school, found utterly objectionable.

The Wahhabis, the followers of the teachings of Ibn ʿAbd al-Wahhāb, formed a movement that emerged out of the settled towns of southern Najd, the region of al-ʿArid, and sought to bring some measure of stability to the violent and highly unstable situation then prevailing in this region. At its core lay an agreement between the religious reformer Ibn ʿAbd al-Wahhāb and an amir Muhammad ibn Saʿūd (d. 1179/ 1766) of the town of al-Dirʿiyya, a short distance from Riyadh. This movement then spread by military and activist preaching throughout the Najd and ultimately conquered much of present-day Saudi Arabia, including the Holy Cities. Napoleon's invasion of Egypt was a factor in forestalling the Ottomans from sending an army to

The New Cambridge History of Islam

defeat the Wahhabis. Mecca fell to the latter in 1218/ 1803 and Medina one year later. The Wahhabis destroyed many domes over the tombs in these cities and effected changes such as the congregational prayer behind one imam as opposed to the four separate prayer groups behind one of each of the four madhhabs. They also prevented on several occasions the arrival of the Syrian and Egyptian pilgrimage caravans. The total loss of control over the Holy Cities was too much for the Ottomans to tolerate and the Sublime Porte demanded that Muhammad c Ali of Egypt send an army to regain control of the Hijaz. After about seven years of Wahhabi control over the Holy Cities, the Egyptian army began campaigning in earnest against the Najdis, and the first Wahhabi state was defeated when al-Dir'iyya was destroyed in 1233/1818. With this accomplished, a dramatic chapter in western Arabia's history came to an end and a new one began. The Egyptians dominated for a few decades, followed by a concerted effort by the Ottomans to rule both the Hijaz and Yemen in a much more direct and forceful fashion.

Notes

1. Salih al-Maqbali, *al-'Alam al-shamikh*, San'a', 1985, 262.
2. EI2, art. 'Makka' (A.J. Wensinck and C. E. Bosworth).
3. Palmira J. Brummett, *Ottoman seapower and Levantine diplomacy in the age of discovery*, Albany, 1994; John F. Guilmartin, *Gunpowder and galleys: Changing technology and Mediterranean warfare at sea in the 16th century*, London, 2003.
4. As opposed to the Hasanid dynasty in Mecca, Medina was ruled by Husaynid Sharifs who were dependent on the rulers of Mecca for much of the Ottoman period under consideration.

5. Michel Lesure, 'Un document ottoman de 1525 sur l'Inde portugaise et les pays de la mer rouge', *Mare Luso-Indicum*, 3 (1976), 137-60 ; Salih Ozbaran, *The Ottoman response to European expansion*, Istanbul, 1994, 99-109.
6. Giancarlo Casale, 'The Ottoman administration of the spice trade in the sixteenth-century Red Sea and Persian Gulf', *JESHO*, 49, 2 (2006), 170-98; Giancarlo Casale, 'The Ottoman age of exploration: Spices, maps and conquest in the sixteenth-century Indian Ocean', Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University (2004).
7. Frederique Soudan, *Le Yemen ottoman d'apres la chronique d'al-Mawza'T*, Cairo, 1999.
8. Ozbaran, *The Ottoman response to European expansion*, 31, 36-8.
9. Bernard Haykel, *Revival and reform in Islam: The legacy of Muhammad al-Shawkani*, Cambridge, 2003, 33.
10. Al-Mutawakkil Isma'il ibn al-Qasim, *Kitab taftih absar al-qudat ila azhar al-masa'il* al-murtadat, ms. Gharbiyya Library, Him al-kalam, no. 134.
n. Yahya ibn al-Husayn, *Ghayat al-amanifi akhbar al-qutr al-Yamani*, ed. Sa'id'Ashur, 2 vols., Cairo, 1968, vol. II, 689.

12. Andre Raymond, 'Le cafe du Yemen et l'Egypt (XVIIeme-XVIIIeme siecles)',

Chroniques Yemenites, 5 (1995), 16-25 (cf. qr.revues.org/document59.html) and Michel Tuchscherer, 'Des epices au cafe, le Yemen dans le commerce international (XVIIe-XVIIIe siecle)', Chroniques Yemenites, 6 (1996-7), 92-102 (cf. cy.revues.org/documentio3.html) .

13. Francois Blukacz, 'Les relations politiques des imams zaidites du Yemen avec le Hedjaz au XVIIe siecle', memoire de D.E.A., Universite de Paris-Sorbonne (Paris IV) (1993).

14. Suraiya Faruqi, Pilgrims and sultans: The hajj under the Ottomans, London, 1994, 89.

15. This state of affairs is described in detail in Ahmad Zayni Dahlan's history of Mecca, Cairo, 1305/1888.

16. John Voll, 'Linking groups in the networks of eighteenth-century revivalist scholars', in Nehemiah Levtzion and John Voll (eds.), Eighteenth-century renewal and reform in Islam, Syracuse, NY, 1987; Azyumardi Azra, The origins of Islamic reformism in Southeast Asia: Networks of Malay-Indonesian and Middle Eastern 'ulama' in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, Crows Nest, Australia, 2004; Ahmad Dallal, 'The origins and objectives of Islamic Revivalist thought, 1750-1850', JAOS, 113, 3 (1993), 341-59-

NORTH AND WEST AFRICA
(SIXTEENTH TO EIGHTEENTH
CENTURIES)

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

16

Sharifian rule in Morocco (tenth-twelfth/
sixteenth-eighteenth centuries)

STEPHEN CORY

Introduction

The early modern period was a time of transition for Morocco. Located close to western Europe, Morocco could not avoid being impacted by the changes going on in that continent. The country was significantly affected by the completion of the Christian reconquista of Iberia in 897/1492, along with the ongoing struggles and ultimate expulsion of the Moriscos and 'New Christians'. Since the Portuguese launched their first colonial enterprise in Morocco, and Spain later established outposts along the North African coast-line, Morocco would become one of the first Islamic countries to be confronted with European imperial ambitions.

In addition, Moroccan autonomy was threatened from the east by Ottoman expansion into North Africa during the early tenth/ sixteenth century. The Ottomans presented a unique challenge in that, as co-religionists, they appealed to Moroccan leaders in the name of Islamic unity and as defenders of the abode of Islam (dar al-islam) from the Christian Europeans. Nevertheless, Ottoman protection' would include subsuming Morocco into its system, making Moroccan leaders accountable for directives from Istanbul. Such a

situation would grant the Ottomans access to the Atlantic Ocean, and for this reason the Sublime Porte frequently meddled in Moroccan politics leading to periodic open conflicts between the two Islamic states. In the end, the Ottomans were unsuccessful in controlling Morocco and in obtaining their coveted Atlantic port. Nevertheless, the proximity of so many acquisitive world powers profoundly influenced Morocco's political, intellectual and religious development during this period.

Just as important were internal realities that challenged any leader who sought to establish a centralised government. During this period the concept of a Moroccan state, comprising a territory roughly corresponding to its current borders, became widely accepted by most Moroccans. This does not

453

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

mean that Morocco comprised a unified entity, however. Historical and geographical factors contributed to a decentralised system in which family, tribe, spiritual leaders and region were more important for individuals than any conception of a Moroccan identity. Morocco's heavy dependence upon subsistence agriculture, its lack of an established central bureaucracy, the decline of the trans-Saharan caravan trade, the difficulty of collecting taxes and the aforementioned meddling of outside powers all helped create a situation in which Moroccan administrations struggled to fund and maintain the basic services expected of central governments. The rise of Sharifian regimes during this period paradoxically meant that Morocco was ruled by governments with considerable religious legitimacy, yet which were rarely

able to exert effective control throughout most of the country.

Moroccan political history during the tenth/ sixteenth to twelfth/ eighteenth centuries reflects an era in which two dominant sultans - Mawlay Ahmad al-Mansur (r. 985-1011/ 1578-1603) and Mawlay Isma'il (r. 1082-1139/ 1672-1727) - were able to create prosperous and relatively successful states, while several other sultans succeeded in maintaining sufficient central (makhzari) authority to sustain functional governments. Yet these periods of comparative stability were sandwiched around extended interludes of unrest (fitna) during which political authority was divided among a number of competitors, making the establishment of an effective central state a near impossibility. This reality led later historians to posit a division between bilad al-makhzan (lands that sub-mitted to the authority of the central government) and bilad al-siba (lands that resisted this authority), while attributing this situation to influences such as 'tribalism' or a supposed 'maraboutic crisis'. The political instability helps explain how a country located so close to Europe could appear so isolated and backward that contemporary European visitors described Morocco's government as ramshackle, the country as poor and the people as ignorant.

Despite such obstacles, the Sa'di and early 'Alawi dynasties laid the foundations for a surprisingly durable political system, and the 'Alawi state would become one of the few Islamic governments to survive European colonialism in the modern period. Although repeatedly the target of acquisitive designs by powers stronger than itself, Morocco maintained its independence through the end of the nineteenth century CE. Profoundly affected by the demise of al-Andalus, Moroccans nonetheless continued to develop their shared cultural heritage into the modern era. Ruled by leaders who claimed lineal descent from the Prophet Muhammad and who referred to themselves by the caliphal

the Commander of the Faithful (amir al-mu'minīn), Morocco would enter the modern period with a weak central government but a strong sense of its social,

454

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Shanfi rule in Morocco

religious and cultural identity, the parameters of which were forged during the tenth /sixteenth through the twelfth/ eighteenth centuries.

The rise of the Sa'di dynasty

The Sa'di dynasty first arose in the early tenth/ sixteenth century, largely in response to Portuguese incursions from their fortresses along the Atlantic coast. These strongholds had been established during a period of aggressive Portuguese expansion that began with the conquest of Ceuta in 1415/1418.

During the following century, the Portuguese created a series of outposts from Asila in the north to Santa Cruz (modern Agadir) in the south. At the same time, the Moroccan Marinid dynasty was in its death throes, eventually being co-opted by its allies, the Wattasids, who ruled from 1420/1423 in the Marinids' name.

Despite this transition in power, the Wattasids proved to be no more successful in combating the Portuguese than the Marinids had been. Unable to expel the Portuguese militarily, the Wattasids made deals with the foreign invaders to preserve their own authority in northern Morocco. 1 By the late fifteenth/early sixteenth century, the southern portion of the country was controlled by various tribal leaders who acknowledged Wattasid authority in name only, while contending with each other and the Portuguese for regional dominance. Meanwhile, the European states of Genoa, Venice,

Flanders, France, England and Spain competed with the Portuguese for Moroccan trade, exchanging firearms and other European goods for sugar, saltpetre, and mineral resources. Morocco seemed to be overrun by foreign-ers, a fact that was not missed by local c ulama' and Sufi shaykhs.

Faced with this impotence by the ruling house, localised opposition to the Portuguese arose in the south, particularly in the Dukkala and the Sus, where most of the Portuguese economic exploitation was taking place. The Portuguese and a few local allies had committed some notorious abuses, which outraged the inhabitants of Dukkala. 2 Sa c di authority first appeared in the Sus and Dra [regions, both of which had been free of government control for over 200 years. In the absence of a strong central authority, the heads of religious orders and local saint cults played an important role in maintaining the necessary alliances for social co-operation and trade. Several zawiyas allied with regional Sharifian families and became centres of local resistance to the Portuguese.

In the midst of these circumstances, the most influential Susi shaykh, Sidi Mubarak, suggested the people turn to the Sharif Abu Abd Allah Muhammad al-Zaydani of Tagmadart for leadership. In 915/1510 some local tribes took an

455

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

oath of allegiance to the Sharif. He chose the millenarian title al-Qa'im bi amr Allah (One Who Has Arisen by the Command of God). Al-Qa'im's open

reliance upon mahdist and prophetic imagery, along with his initial backing from regional shaykhs, gave the Sa'dis the reputation of being jihad warriors, who utilised religious enthusiasm against the Portuguese in their rise to power.

The growing importance of Sharifian ideology in Morocco was another element in the Sa'di ascent. Indeed, the Sa'dis used their Sharifian identity as a trump card against the Wattasids. Sharifian influence had been increasing since the early ninth/fifteenth century. The movement fed off frustration with the Marmids, who were accused of not properly honouring the shurafa\ and encouragement from the important Jazuliyya Sufi order. In 840/1437, the Wattasids attempted to regain control of these forces when they 'rediscovered' the tomb of the famous Sharifian leader Idris II in Fez. However, this event simply added fuel to the fire. Rising Sharifian power eventually enabled the shuraju 1 to establish a short-lived Sharifian state in Fez during 869/1465, although the Wattasids reconquered the city in 875/1471. 3 Thus, there was an increasing expectation that only Sharifian leadership could restore peace and prosperity to Morocco.

Some historians argue that religious fervour alone was insufficient to catapult the Sa c dis into prominence. Vincent Cornell makes the case that the early Sa'di leaders recognised the importance of establishing a solid economic foundation for their state, and that they demonstrated shrewd management in developing independent funding sources, primarily through trade with Europeans and promoting the sugar cane industry. 4 In addition, the early Sa c dis managed effectively to organise and unify the southern regions. Al-Qa'im capitalised upon traditional tribal alliances to gather a large group of supporters. His sons, Ahmad al-A'raj and Muhammad al-Shaykh, obtained recognition from the Wattasids as regional leaders, further strengthening their

legitimacy. 5 Although Ahmad al-A'raj was the older of the two, his younger brother's superior talents would eventually eclipse him.

Muhammad al-Shaykh made Tarudant his base of operations in 920/1514. The high regard accorded to him, even by the Portuguese, demonstrates the breadth of his influence. In 931/1525, Ahmad al-A'raj captured Marrakesh and made it his capital. Both brothers traded with European merchants for gun-powder weapons and hired Ottoman mercenaries and European renegades to train their soldiers in military techniques. This approach paid off when the Sa'dis took Santa Cruz in 947/1541. Shortly afterwards, the Portuguese abandoned Azammur and Safi, and Muhammad al-Shaykh consolidated his

456

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Shanfian rule in Morocco

authority by deposing and exiling his brother. In less than three decades, al-Shaykh had become the supreme leader of southern Morocco.

A skilled politician, Muhammad al-Shaykh maintained his messianic image by taking the title 'al-Mahdi', while at the same time working to isolate the Wattasids through separate alliances with European states. Al-Shaykh also sought to re-establish the Saharan trade, disrupted by Portuguese and Bedouin raids. In 949-50/1543-4, he sent an expedition to the western Sahara, intending to facilitate trade through alliances with Saharan tribes, and to gain jurisdiction over the important salt mines at Ijil. By 961/1554, Muhammad al-Shaykh had conquered Fez and eliminated the Wattasid dynasty. Now controlling the

entire country, al-Shaykh eliminated potential challengers by repressing important religious scholars and Sufi leaders. It was clear that he did not intend to share power with anybody.

Although he relied upon Turkish mercenary troops as a key element in his army, Muhammad al-Shaykh had poor relations with the Ottoman government. He was not pleased when the Ottomans backed the Wattasids in opposition to his own bid for power. Al-Shaykh showed this distaste through frequent verbal slights of Ottoman claims to leadership in the Islamic world. He clearly implied his own superiority through derisive references to the Ottoman sultan as 'The Sultan of the Fishermen' and his statement that he would meet the Ottomans in Cairo. It was for such swagger as this, put into action when Muhammad al-Shaykh briefly conquered Tlemcen on the west-ern borders of Ottoman territory, that Siileyman the Magnificent had the Sa'di leader assassinated in 964/1557.

Muhammad al-Shaykh was succeeded by his eldest son, c Abd Allah al-Ghalib, who placed less emphasis upon the use of messianic imagery, although he did not stray from the dynasty's reliance upon Shanfian claims to legitimacy. Al-Ghalib followed his father's example in at least one area, when he ordered the assassination of potential rivals within his family. For this reason his brothers c Abd al-Mu'min, [Abd al-Malik and Ahmad fled Morocco together and took up residence with the Turks in Algiers. Even at this distance, al-Ghalib was able to arrange for the assassination of [Abd al-Mu'min. When the sultan passed away after a seventeen-year reign, the pathway appeared clear for his eldest son, Muhammad al-Mutawakkil, to take his place as ruler.

Abd al-Malik had been planning for this moment for a number of years. Although neither al-Ghalib nor his son had tried to improve relations with the Ottomans, 'Abd al-Malik had used his time in Algiers to good advantage by establishing positive connections with top Ottoman officials. Al-Ghalib's death

The New Cambridge History of Islam

in 981/1574 presented Abd al-Malik with the opportunity he had been waiting for. He persuaded the Ottomans to outfit him with an army, which he led to victory over al-Mutawakkil outside of Fez in 983/1576. 'Abd al-Malik's spies had assured him that there was considerable receptivity to his return in Morocco, and they managed to persuade a sizeable battalion of tribal warriors to desert al-Mutawakkil in the heat of battle. 7 Triumphant at last, 'Abd al-Malik marched into Fez as the new Sa'di sultan, while assigning his younger brother, Ahmad, to capture al-Mutawakkil, who had fled south to the Sus. After a year of skirmishes in the south, the deposed sultan escaped north again, making it to Asila, whence he sailed to Portugal. Unable to rally sufficient support in Morocco to reinstall himself as sultan, and permanently alienated from the Ottomans, al-Mutawakkil sought help from the only available source, the Portuguese Christian infidels and their young king, Don Sebastian. The events that followed al-Mutawakkil's flight are among the best known in Sa'di history, and have been recounted many times in European and Moroccan literature. Don Sebastian, concerned with the waning glory of the Portuguese empire and seeking to regain ground lost to the Sa'dis, personally joined al-Mutawakkil with a force of between 18,000 and 20,000 European soldiers. They sailed to Asila, whence they marched to meet a much larger Sa'di army at Wadi l-Makhazin, near al-Qasr al-Kabir in north-western Morocco. In the ensuing battle, the Europeans were completely routed and both Don Sebastian and al-Mutawakkil were killed. Abd al-Malik also died during the course of the conflict, most likely of illness. When the dust settled,

the one remaining leader was 'Abd al-Malik's younger brother, Ahmad, who ascended the Moroccan throne with a title intended to commemorate the great victory. Henceforth he would be known as Ahmad al-Mansur (the victorious).

Ahmad al-Mansur: the Golden Sultan

The reign of Ahmad al-Mansur represents the high point of Sa'di rule. In fact, al-Mansur's era is often viewed as a golden age for Morocco. For twenty-five years Morocco experienced a rare stretch of peace and prosperity during which the economy was strong and internal opposition was largely controlled.

In fact, apart from the seventeen-year reign of al-Ghalib, Mawlay Ahmad was the only sultan to rule the entire country between the collapse of Marinid power in the early ninth/fifteenth century and the establishment of 'Alawi authority in the late eleventh/ seventeenth century. Al-Mansur achieved this

monopoly of power by focusing on four areas: (1) developing a strong military; (2) keeping the Spanish and Ottomans at bay through a combination

458

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Shanfian rule in Morocco

of fortuitous circumstances and diplomatic skill; (3) obtaining considerable economic strength by ransoming European captives, regularly collecting taxes, and controlling the Saharan caravan trade; and (4) buttressing his political legitimacy by promoting himself as a Shanfian Arab caliph in contrast to the Ottomans, who were neither shurafa' nor Arab, and therefore (according to al-Mansur) not worthy for the caliphate.

Following his victory at Wadi al-Makhazin, al-Mansur used his military to

subdue internal opposition and expand his state. Weston Cook has demonstrated that the Sa'dis developed an effective early modern army based on firearms during their six-decade struggle against the Wattasids and the Portuguese. Utilising a base of Susi and Morisco troops, the Sa'dis supplemented with renegades and jaysh tribal warriors. 9 Al-Mansur assigned qaHds to lead periodic raids against dissident tribes and collect taxes throughout the country. Within a few years of obtaining the sultanate, Mawlay Ahmad felt secure enough to send expeditionary forces towards the southern caravan trade routes. Between 991/1583 and 999/1591, al-Mansur planned, equipped and launched an invasion across the Sahara of the West African Songhay dynasty. This conquest brought great wealth and tremendous prestige to Mawlay Ahmad, even though Morocco's hold upon West Africa would turn out to be tenuous and short. 10

Although the military maintained al-Mansur's authority within Morocco and expanded it into West Africa, the art of diplomacy provided a much more effective defence against stronger regimes in Madrid and Ottoman Algiers. The sultan's royal correspondence demonstrates his ability to play off the Ottomans against the Spaniards, professing friendship to both regimes while utilising their fear of driving him into the arms of the other to avoid making significant concessions. 11 In the 990S/1580S, when Ottoman attention was redirected towards the east after their truce with Spain, Mawlay Ahmad made overtures to Queen Elizabeth and took advantage of England's competition with Spain to play the two European powers against one another. Although Philip II pressured al-Mansur for several years to cede him the Atlantic port of Larache, Mawlay Ahmad not only avoided making this concession, but also received back Asila from Spain in 997/1589 when Philip sought to curry favour with the Moroccan monarch following the devastating English victory over the Spanish Armada. The perceived importance of Morocco in English foreign policy is reflected in the repeated appearance of

Moroccan figures in plays performed on the Elizabethan stage. 12

One of the main reasons that al-Mansur could finance a regular army and exert some diplomatic independence was the financial resources that he commanded for most of his reign. Preceding Sa'di sultans had traded actively

459

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

with European merchants, exchanging goods like sugar and saltpetre for the firearms that helped fuel their early conquests. 13 However, al-Mansur added to this income source a considerable amount of ransom money obtained for thousands of European prisoners of war in the years following the battle of Wadi al-Makhazin. 14 Captives for whom he could not obtain a ransom were sold off as slaves. In addition, al-Mansur's military might allowed him to collect taxes throughout the country on a more regular basis than his predecessors, a fact that is reflected in complaints about heavy taxation found in the sources. 15 Finally, al-Mansur's conquest of West Africa yielded so much wealth that he became known as 'al-Dhahabi' (The Golden One). Both Moroccan and European sources contain abundant stories of the sultan's conspicuous affluence. 1

In addition to lavishing wealth upon court poets, favourite servants and other allies, al-Mansur directed a considerable amount of his financial reserves to building a magnificent palace in the midst of the qasba in Marrakesh. He began construction of this edifice shortly after obtaining power in 985/1578

and did not complete it until some sixteen years later. The centrepiece of the palace was a huge rectangular reception hall named al-BadT (the Marvellous).

Aiming to awe visitors with the sultan's wealth and power, al-Badr utilised

Andalusi architectural themes on a scale that seems intended to rival the Ottoman Topkapi palace, which al-Mansur probably visited while in exile among the Ottoman Turks during the reign of al-Ghalib.

The sultan primarily used al-BadT as a reception hall for foreign delegations. It also served as the site for al-Mansur's annual celebration of the Prophet's birthday, the mawlid al-nabi. Mawlay Ahmad placed considerable

emphasis upon this festival, which provided a stage upon which he could visually reinforce his Sharifian caliphal identity before large numbers of subjects and royal visitors. Primary sources record the stunning impression

that this celebration made upon all who were present, an impression high-

lighted by the magnificent setting of the palace. 17

The earliest Sa'di sultans had staked the dynasty's claims to authority on their Prophetic descent. Nevertheless, it was Ahmad al-Mansur who decisively

established Sharifian lineage as a requirement for all future Moroccan sultans.

Using panegyric writings and elaborate ceremonies, al-Mansur vividly con-

necting Sharifian lineage to caliphal authority to a degree that had not been

seen since the Fatimid rulers of Cairo. Such assertions automatically set the

Sa'di state in opposition to the larger Ottoman dynasty, which also claimed the

right to lead the Islamic world. Like his father, al-Mansur initially flaunted

Ottoman authority, a reckless action that almost led to an Ottoman invasion in

Shanfian rule in Morocco

988/ 1580. 15 After this near miss, Mawlay Ahmad was careful to show respect to the Ottomans, while continuing to declare his caliphal supremacy before his own people. Following the Ottoman peace with Spain, al-Mansur seems to have felt free to assert these claims more openly once again, even to the point of making provocative statements in correspondence to Ottoman leaders in Algiers. 19 In the same way, he used his position as rightful caliph over the Islamic world to justify an invasion of the neighbouring Muslim Songhay dynasty in West Africa, and seems to have accepted an oath of allegiance from the Bornu of Central Africa. 20 Mawlay Ahmad also made alliances and sought to promote himself as an Arab alternative to the Ottomans in eastern provinces such as Egypt. 21 The tone of his propaganda and the allusions in his ceremonies have convinced some modern historians that al-Mansur was implicitly making mahdist claims for himself 22

The sultan's propaganda exploited the prestige of the shurafa 1 and connected his regime to earlier caliphates such as the 'Abbasids. Al-Mansur portrayed his military and diplomatic triumphs as the natural results of his caliphal supremacy. Though the Sa'di dynasty would unravel upon his death in 1011/ 1603, al-Mansur's rhetoric was so effective that it took another Shanfian family finally to reunite the country sixty-five years later. Though the 'Alawis disputed the authenticity of the Sa'c dis' Shanfian lineage, they implemented much of al-Mansur's rhetorical imagery into their own panegyric ceremonies.

The Sa'di fitna

As powerful as the government of Ahmad al-Mansur appeared to be, the central authority of his makhzan fell apart during an extensive civil war waged by his descendants. This rapid collapse, brought on by an implosion of the

Sa'di state rather than defeat by an outside power, demonstrates the ultimate failure of al-Mansur's policies. By focusing on restoring a past caliphal golden age, Mawlay Ahmad had not developed an infrastructure to support Morocco's transition to a modern state. Rather, his success was based upon a combination of fortuitous circumstances and personal aptitudes such as people skills, attention to detail, and ability to balance different interests to maximise his resources. However, beginning in 1003/1595, circumstances turned against the Sa'dis through a series of plagues, famines and costly rebellions. In addition, the Moroccan failure to maintain political control over West Africa cut off an important source of revenue for the state. Finally, al-Mansur's heir apparent, Muhammad al-Shaykh al-Ma'mun, turned

461

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

out to be corrupt and incompetent. His failed rebellion in 1010/1602 meant that al-Ma'mun was in prison when his father died, so that the sultanate was available to whichever Sa c di contender could overpower the others.

As the sultan's three sons and two of his grandsons battled for supremacy, the country descended into a long and destructive period of fitna. Never again would a Sa'di sultan rule over both Marrakesh and Fez, as the two cities became rival capitals for competing Sa'di princes. While the Sa'dis fought among themselves, other challengers arose to establish independent principalities throughout the country. Led by a variety of military, tribal and spiritual leaders, the various contenders wreaked havoc upon one another and upon the Moroccan countryside. The long-term consequences of this

extended period of unrest included the almost complete devastation of the Saharan trade, which for centuries had been a reliable source of income for the country. By the early twelfth/ eighteenth century, when Mawlay Isma'il attempted to re-establish Moroccan control in the Sahara, much of the trade had been rerouted to Mediterranean destinations east of Morocco or diverted by European merchants along the Gold Coast of West Africa.

With the loss of the Saharan trade profits, Morocco's economic potential became increasingly bound up with its dealings with Europe. The most lucrative avenue for such dealings came through the burgeoning corsair movement that arose in Rabat/Sale during the early eleventh/ seventeenth century. This business profited from both the collapse of the Moroccan central government and the expulsion of the Moriscos from Spain between 1017/1609 and 1023 /1614. Many of these Moriscos ended up in Morocco, and their entry rejuvenated the moribund cities of Rabat and Tetouan. In the former location, the Moriscos established an independent community across the Bu Ragrag river from Sale. Over the course of several decades, the Andalusis of Rabat launched an effective corsair movement that served the dual purposes of supporting their community and taking revenge upon Spain.

In addition to profiting from the contraband acquired through seizing European merchant ships, the corsairs obtained ransoms for captured crew members or sold these unfortunates as slaves. The jihadist nature of their operations increased when Rabat/ Sale came under the influence of Muhammad al- c Ayyashi in 1024/ 1615. This Arab military leader used the twin cities as a base for attacking Spanish enclaves, profiting from the corsair trade, and eliminating Sa c di authority in north-west Morocco. However, his authoritarian tendencies alienated most of his Andalusí clientele, which was relieved to be free of him after he was ambushed and killed by troops from the Dilal zawiya in 1050 /1641. In addition, Jerome Weiner argues that al-'Ayyashi's

Shanfian rule in Morocco

strict commitment to jihad against Spain eventually clashed with the goals of the Rabati Moriscos. Some of them seem to have been negotiating a separate peace with the Spanish, which would have surrendered the qasba of Rabat to Spain in exchange for clemency allowing the Moriscos to return to their beloved homeland. The proposed agreement fell apart owing to Spanish prevarication, internal conflicts among the Rabatis, al-'Ayyashi's oppression of the Andalusis, and the eventual Dilal takeover of Rabat/ Sale. 23

Other military leaders who touted their credentials as jihad warriors played upon Moroccan fears of the infidel to develop local power-bases, even though most of them imitated al-'Ayyashi by trading with the Europeans and spent more time fighting other Muslims than they did combating infidels. But perhaps most effective were Sufi holy men who parlayed their reputation as spiritual leaders into worldly authority during the early eleventh/seventeenth century. This was the era of the 'maraboutic crisis' widely discussed in French historiography by writers such as Jacques Berque. The title 'marabout' is a corruption of the Arabic murabit, which describes a charismatic spiritual leader known for baraka (spiritual power) as manifested through miracle working, an ability to intercede between warring groups of Muslims, and pious deeds. Many of these murabits enhanced their spiritual prestige by claiming Shanfian status and used their Sufi lodges (zawiyas) as centres from which they expanded their regional influence. Although Berque and others see the

murabits as destructive elements that undermined the legitimate Moroccan government, these holy men maintained their influence over local communities even during periods when a relatively strong central government was in place. Their eleventh/seventeenth-century entrance into the political arena came in response to the Sa'di collapse but did not initiate it.

The first of the murabits directly to challenge Sa c di authority was Ibn Abi Mahalli who proclaimed himself to be the mahdi shortly after al-Ma] mun surrendered the port of Larache to Spain in 1018/1610. Ibn Abi Mahalli garnered sufficient support to conquer Sijilmasa later that year. He took Marrakesh in 1021/ 1612 when the Sa c di prince Mawlay Zaydan abandoned the capital after a major victory by the mahdist forces. However, Zaydan would reclaim Marrakesh the following year after rallying the Susi murabit Yahya ibn 'Abd Allah al-Hahi to his cause. Ibn Abi Mahalli was killed in a battle outside the city walls, and his forces rapidly dispersed upon his death. Despite the short-lived nature of his rebellion, Garcia- Arenal views Ibn Abi Mahalli as a prime example of a pre -modern Maghribi messiah. Such leaders drew upon deeply rooted Moroccan longings for spiritual and societal revival under the leadership of a charismatic holy man whose ascent to power would usher in

463

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the ultimate triumph of Islam. Ibn Abi Mahalli combined extensive training in the religious sciences, mystical divine illumination and positional holiness

acquired through an alleged Sharifian descent. Thus he brought together varying paths to personal sanctity often portrayed as diametrically opposed in the madrasa versus zawiya dialectic that underlies the theory of the 'maraboutic crisis'. In contrast, Garcia-Arenal reaffirms the conclusion of other historians who argue for the interconnected nature of these variant roads to spiritual power in the careers of the early modern murabbits.* 4

Of these murabbits, none was more successful in the eleventh/seventeenth century than the zawiya of Dila'. Its influence expanded outward from the Middle Atlas by 1041/ 1632, under the leadership of Muhammad ibn Abi Bakr and Muhammad al-Hajj. Initially an offshoot of the Jazuliyya that undertook its spiritual work among Middle Atlas Berbers, the Dila'iyya allied themselves with the Sa'adis during the reigns of Abd Allah al-Ghalib and Ahmad al-Mansur. When the Sa'di government collapsed, the Dilal leadership took on a more overdy political role, first in the Middle Atlas and eventually throughout northern Morocco. Its prestige was originally derived not only from its staunch Sufi message honouring the Prophet Muhammad and the shurafa' but also from its extensive charitable services, which created a sense of Dilal piety and a strong loyalty among the recipients of these services. The Dila'iyya eventually created their own army and established fortified outposts throughout their realms. They promoted learning in their zawiyas, which became widely respected as centres of scholarship. Dilal influence increased to the point that they gained control of most of northern Morocco, including Fez, Rabat/ Sale and Tetouan. By 1047/ 1638 they began to deal directly with Europeans, were viewed as the de facto rulers of the north, and seemed to be the most likely successors to the Sa'adis in uniting the entire country.

However, the Dila'iyya were unable to achieve this goal, partially because their open identification with Berber interests alienated Arab tribes. Some of

these joined forces with al-Ayyashi, who battled with the zawiya for control in the north. After al-Ayyashi's defeat in 1050/1641, some Arab leaders began to ally themselves with southern contenders for power such as the murabit Ali Abu Hassun al-Samlali in the Sus. Weiner speculates that the central location of the zawiya worked against it, since the Dila'iyya had to expand both to the north and the south, as opposed to most Moroccan dynasties which arose in the southern regions. 25 However, the biggest hindrance to Dilal success in uniting Morocco was the fact that they could not claim Sharifian status. This weakness left the door open for the rise of another Sharifian family, when the 'Alawis extended their authority beyond their home base in the Tafilalt oasis.

464

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Sharifian rule in Morocco

By 1060/1650, the 'Alawi leader Muhammad al-Sharif had established alliances with disenchanted northern Arab tribes, paving the way for his brother Mawlay Rashid to reunite the country when he conquered both Fez and Marrakesh in 1078/1668.

Nevertheless, the new conqueror suffered an untimely death in 1082/1672, leaving the sultanate to his untested younger brother Mawlay Isma'il. Much as Ahmad al-Mansur had arisen from the shadow of his older brother almost 100 years earlier, Mawlay Isma'il was destined to become one of the most influential rulers in Moroccan history. His fifty-five-year reign cemented 'Alawi authority in Morocco, to the degree that more than two centuries of

instability and weakness following his death failed to loosen the Alawi hold on the sultanate.

Mawlay Isma'il and the c Alawi dynasty

Like al-Mansur, Mawlay Isma'il initially had to defeat internal competitors to establish his authority. In Mawlay Isma'il's case, the sternest challenge came from his nephew, Ahmad ibn Muhriz, who managed to garner support for his rebellion in different regions up to his death in 1097/ 1686. Just as troublesome was a series of rebellions sponsored by Ottoman clients, including the Dilal shaykh Ahmad al-Dilal, who created problems for Mawlay Isma'il in the Middle Atlas mountains. He was not eliminated until 1091/1680, after which his rebellion fizzled out.

As a result of such sustained opposition to his rule, Mawlay Isma'il seems to have derived the conclusion that his only security lay in separating himself from the society that he governed, much as the Ottoman sultans had done in their domains. Using his Shanfian status as justification, Mawlay Isma'il undertook to establish his dominance over all other challengers, including regional /tribal leaders and religious authorities (both murabits and traditional 'ulama'), who sought to undermine the sultan's religious legitimacy and/or circumscribe his actions by reference to religious law.

In order to defeat military challenges to his authority, Mawlay Isma'il developed a professional army that included two corps. The first was the Wadaya, consisting of Arab warriors extracted from their tribal setting and enlisted into regiments serving under the sultan's authority. The second, and more significant, was a black slave army that became known as the 'Abid al-Bukhari. 2 Mawlay Isma'il seems to have initiated this army out of a desire to establish a military force loyal only to himself. It is estimated that there were around 50,000 'Abid soldiers at the end of his reign. 27 Theoretically slaves,

The New Cambridge History of Islam

many of the 'Abid were originally free black Muslims who were forcibly conscripted into the military. Mawlay Ismail established a training centre for the 'Abid in Meknes, where they were instructed in crafts and the martial arts. Many of the 'Abid remained stationed in Meknes, which became the centre of 'Abid power. Others were assigned to man various fortresses established throughout the Middle Atlas and on Morocco's eastern frontier, where Mawlay Ismail had received the stiffest challenges to his authority.

The creation of these military forces not only allowed Mawlay Ismail to establish unchallenged supremacy within the country, but also encouraged him to extend his influence outward. As a result, the sultan increasingly began to assert himself in three directions: (i) eastward towards the Ottomans, whose attempts to unseat him could not be forgotten; (2) southward towards the Sahara, where Mawlay Ismail hoped to revive the trans-Saharan trade under Moroccan authority; and (3) northward and westward towards the European enclaves that served as irritating reminders of superior European power, even as they provided footholds for the Spanish and British in Morocco. In all three cases, Mawlay Ismail experienced some initial success, but would fall short of achieving his ultimate goals.

Mawlay Ismail seems to have been particularly motivated to portray himself as leader of jihad against the foreign infidel. Jihad rhetoric appeared in all his dealings with Europeans, including his regular attacks upon the coastal enclaves, his royal correspondence with European monarchs (in which he frequently called upon them to embrace Islam), and his refusal to ransom

European prisoners without the corresponding release of at least a token number of Muslim captives. In fact, it was primarily the French refusal to release Muslim captives (the French relied heavily upon such prisoners to man their galleys) that created poor relations between Morocco and France during Mawlay Ismail's reign.

In contrast, the Dutch and English continued to negotiate with Morocco, largely because those two countries were motivated to curtail corsair attacks cutting into their international shipping profits. Viewing the corsair expeditions as a particularly effective form of jihad, Mawlay Isma'il incorporated the Rabat/ Sale corsairs into his military system, eventually transferring most European captives to his direct control in Meknes. Mawlay Ismail's ability to control the corsairs enabled him to obtain considerable weaponry and munitions from the English and Dutch, which he could then use to besiege Spanish and Ottoman fortresses in North Africa. Thus Mawlay Ismail's jihads were largely dependent upon European supplies, a fact that would not bode well for Morocco's future relations with European powers. 2

466

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Shanfian rule in Morocco

Jihad not only justified Mawlay Isma'il's foreign policy, it also provided an excuse for the sultan to consolidate his power within Morocco. The need to finance jihad was cited as the reason for increased taxation and sometimes outright plunder of adversaries, such as his pillage of Fez in 1132/ 1720. The jihad justified harsh retribution taken against the sultan's opponents, whose

resistance could then be portrayed as detrimental to the interests of Islam. Just as important, the jihad allowed Mawlay Isma'il to increase his recruitment and seizure of black 'slaves' in order to create new regiments of Abid troops. The dubious nature of this enterprise is reflected in the repeated criticism that the sultan received from the *ulama*' of Fez. The issue proved to be a constant point of friction between the sultan and the Fezzi elite.

In fact, Mawlay Isma'il's relations with the self-proclaimed religious capital of Morocco were decidedly poor. They began on a bad note when the Fezzis opted to support Ahmad ibn Muhriz's rebellion at the beginning of Mawlay Isma'il's reign. It took fourteen months for Mawlay Isma'il to conquer the city, after which he executed a number of Fezzi leaders and replaced others. Leading members of the Fezzi *ulama* were critical of Mawlay Isma'il's policies, including his use of non-canonical taxes and forced recruitment of black slave soldiers. An example of Fezzi complaints can be seen in an open letter of reproof that the respected scholar Abu 'l-Hasan al-Yusi sent to the sultan in 1090/1679. 29 Al-Yusi criticises the sultan for the oppressive actions of his tax collectors. He also accuses him of failing adequately to promote jihad and uphold justice throughout the land. He encourages Mawlay Isma'il to seek counsel from the *ulama*' in order to learn how to rule his subjects according to God's will. Al-Yusi strongly implies that the sultan's reign is in jeopardy, should Mawlay Isma'il fail to heed his warning. 'If [the sultan] rules unjustly, violently, arrogantly, oppressively, and corruptly, then he will ... be subject to the terrible punishment and wrath of God on high.' 30 Mawlay Isma'il could not afford to take such a threat lightly, especially when pronounced by a respected holy man with Dilal connections and extensive support both in Fez and in the Middle Atlas.

As a result of such opposition, Mawlay Isma'il's dealings with the Fezzis were frequently harsh, including periodically removing key political and religious leaders from their positions, imposing heavy tax burdens upon the

Fezzi elite, and dealing out exemplary punishments and executions to deter the possibility of revolt. Having been reprimanded by Fezzi 'uhmd\ the sultan wrote his own letters of reproof to them, including an epistle in 1108/1697 in which he rebuked the i ulama' for their opposition over the issue of the 'Abid, and another letter in which he attempted to set the common people against

467

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the religious leaders, by praising the former and rebuking/ removing the latter from their positions. In 1119/1708, Mawlay Isma'il forced the leading Fezzi c ulama' to sign a register of the 'Abid, indicating their acceptance of the sultan's policies. Those who refused to do so were jailed and their property was confiscated. 31 In general, Mawlay Isma'il seems to have equated Fezzi disap-approval as tantamount to rebellion and to have viewed Fezzi religious authority as a challenge to his own. For this reason, the sultan established 'Abid troops in the fortresses overlooking the old city and he also placed a regiment in New Fez (Fas al-Jadid) to assure his continued control.

Fez's loss was Meknes' gain. After his initial troubles with the Fezzis, Mawlay Isma'il established his capital in Meknes, located on the other side of the Sais plain and historically a competitor for influence with the more prestigious Fez. The sultan then spent the remainder of his reign turning Meknes into a true

capital, funding the construction of new city walls, building sizeable community mosques and other religious structures, and making it the central location for the Abid. Most impressive among Mawlay Isma'il's building projects was the construction of a massive palace intended to rival the Versailles of France. Jealous of any rivals to his glory, Mawlay Isma'il ordered the destruction of Ahmad al-Mansur's opulent Bad' palace in Marrakesh, utilising many of the recycled building materials in constructing his own palace.

Mawlay Isma'il could be a harsh taskmaster and would not allow anybody to cross him. He is known for the massive dungeons that he constructed underneath Meknes, which are said to have held thousands of prisoners. The sultan used captured European slaves and criminals as forced labour in his building projects, treating them so harshly that many died in the process of carrying out their tasks. As a result of his powerful military and his reputation for harsh justice, Mawlay Isma'il is also known for maintaining an unprecedented level of security on the roads, to the degree that the historian al-Nasiri reports 'a Jew or a woman could go from Oujda to the Oued Noun without a soul daring to ask whence they came or whither they were going'. 31

Mawlay Isma'il continued the Sa'di policy of highlighting his Sharifian lineage as the primary justification for his rule and of laying claim to the caliphal title of amir al-mu'minin. He showered privileges upon the shurafa' , making alliances with particular groups such as the shurafa' of Wazzan. By exalting the shurafa' and demoting the 'ulama\ Mawlay Isma'il sought to drive a wedge between those two groups in Fez and to raise his own position even above that of the shurafa' (traditionally the stronghold of the 'ulama'). At the same time that he was criticising and restricting the 'ulama', Mawlay Isma'il added to Sharifian prestige in Fez by financing a massive upgrade of the shrine

Shanfian rule in Morocco

of Mawlay Idris II, as well as making improvements to the mausoleum of Mawlay Idris I near Meknes. 33

But among the shurafa', Mawlay Isma'il placed the c Alawi family at the top.

In fact, if Ahmad al-Mansur can be credited with firmly establishing the principle of the Sharifian amir al-mu'minin as head of the Moroccan state,

Mawlay Isma'il should be seen as the architect of the ultimate triumph of the

'Alawis as the dominant Shanfian family in Morocco. He contributed to their

dominance through his long reign and through fathering some 500 sons, many

of whom rose to prominent positions throughout the country.

Fitna once again

If Mawlay Isma'il contributed to 'Alawi dominance through his prodigious progeny, he did not add to dynastic stability. Although he reigned for an unprecedented fifty-five years and exercised a level of authority unparalleled

in pre-modern Morocco, Mawlay Isma'il's death in 1139/1727 ushered in

another period of fitna. The source of the fitna was similar to that which had

launched the fitna during the Sa'di period: competing princes from the ruling

family sought to establish their own claims for the sultanate at the expense of

other princes. There were a couple of significant differences, however. As mentioned above, Mawlay Isma'il had substantially more sons than the three who competed for political supremacy following the death of Ahmad

al-Mansur. In fact, seven sons of Mawlay Isma'il achieved the sultanate at one

time or another, and several others were suggested as possible candidates or participated in the unrest by supporting one or another of the candidates. More significant, however, was the role played by the 'Abid, an organised and fairly cohesive military force that possessed the power and the inclination to serve as kingmakers in the chaotic Moroccan political scene following the death of Mawlay Isma'il. No comparable force had existed during the Sa'di era, which lent a completely different tone to the Alawi fitna of 1139-70/ 1727-57.

The twelfth/eighteenth-century ^Alawl fitna also lacked the religious dimensions of the eleventh/ seventeenth-century Sa'di fitna. The free-for-all over political authority during the early eleventh/ seventeenth century included murabits such as the Dilal zawiya, jihad warriors such as al-'Ayyashi, and messianic figures such as the mahdi Ibn Abi Mahalli. Independent operators such as the corsair communities of Rabat/ Sale had played a major role, in addition to more traditional players such as the tribal armies of al-Samlali. In fact, the Sa'di princes often became secondary figures, with their power limited to urban areas such as Marrakesh and Fez.

469

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

During the twelfth/ eighteenth century fitna, the main groups all fought in the name of an Alawi prince, even if the groups' real power lay in the prince's supporters (as it frequently did). The only religious element was the assumed

requirement that the new sultan come from among the 'Alawi shuraf^{IV}, with the implication that all candidates presumably possessed the same access to Sharifian baraka. The kingmaking role of the Abid was critical during the 'Alawi fitna. The 'Abid put forth candidates that they felt they could control, and they deposed sultans who were perceived to be operating against their interests. Since they commanded the most effective military force in the country, the 'Abid could act without restraint in attacking real or presumed enemies, including entire communities. As a result, there were numerous situations in which the 'Abid pillaged cities, murdered men, raped women and stole possessions.

Abdallah Laroui explains the chaos of the Alawi fitna as arising from the failure of Mawlay Isma'il's policies much as the Sa'adi fitna arose from the failure of Ahmad al-Mansur's policies. The creation of the slave army, says Laroui, 'struck a severe blow at agriculture in the southern oases and in the environs of the cities' by depleting those regions of the manpower necessary to support large-scale agricultural endeavours. ³⁴ Thus, a major source of Morocco's prosperity was crippled. In addition, 'the isolation of the new army from society' meant that there was no restraining influence upon their power once Mawlay Isma'il was gone. 'The 'Abids, who were bound by no loyalties whatsoever, were quite capable of serving anyone who paid them. Thus every crisis of the army became a crisis of the state.' The murabits had been marginalised after years of hostility from Mawlay Isma'il. As a result, they could not provide a check on the power of the military. Finally, 'the main reason for the 'Alawite sultan's failure was the incompatibility between his policy and the economic condition of the country, which was no longer capable of supporting an enormous centralised, and moreover parasitic, state apparatus'. ³⁵ The only consistent source of income through which Mawlay Isma'il could pay for his centralisation project was by implementing exorbitant taxes and by periodically authorising his officials to extort the

wealth of opponents, such as the Fezzi elite. Both approaches served to undermine the long-term prosperity of the country and to create widespread resentment, which would burst out into the open once the sultan was gone.

Amidst the revolving door of sultans who were appointed and then deposed during the thirty years between 1139/ 1727 and 1170/ 1757, one name continued to reoccur. This was Mawlay 'Abd Allah ibn Isma'il, who reigned six times and was deposed five times. Initially chosen by the 'Abid to replace

470

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Shanfian rule in Morocco

Mawlay Ahmad al-Dhahabi in 1141/ 1729, Mawlay [Abd Allah was deposed in 1147/1734, reappointed in 1148/1736, deposed again a few months later, reappointed again in 1153/1740, deposed again in 1154/1741, reappointed a fourth time later that year, deposed a fourth time in 1155/ 1742, reappointed a fifth time in 1156/1743, deposed again in 1160/1747, and reappointed a sixth time in 1161/1748, after which he reigned continuously until his death in 1170/1757. 36

Mawlay Abd Allah was never able to gain control over the entire country, but he did manage to counterbalance c Abid influence through building alliances with the Wadaya and the Middle Atlas Berber Ait Idrasen tribal confederation. His repeated ability to escape elimination by the 'Abid eventually contributed to nullifying 'Abid control over the political process. By the time his son, Sidi

Muhammad ibn 'Abd Allah took power in 1170/ 1757, an 'Alawi sultan was able to rule over a largely unified Morocco for the first time in thirty years. 37

After this chaotic period of fitna, the reign of Sidi Muhammad III brought welcome relief to the embattled country. During his long reign (1170-1204/1757-90), Sidi Muhammad pursued a more decentralised vision of Moroccan governance, reduced the onerous taxes established by Mawlay Isma'il, streamlined government administration, consolidated the Shanfian victory over the murabits, systematically replaced the Abid and Wadaya with a smaller and more decentralised military force based upon jaysh tribes, restored Fez as the country's capital for the first time since the Marmids, and promoted foreign trade to replace the income lost to the makhzan through the tax reduction.

In this new accommodating model of leadership, the sultan sought to co-operate with local leaders rather than attempt to implement his authority through force. The makhzan appointed governors for key areas, but often would choose men with pre-existing ties to their assigned territory and in many cases granted official recognition to regional chiefs supported by local populations. In conjunction with this policy, Sidi Muhammad placed more stress upon the religious significance of his position. Laroui writes that the long-term impact of this approach was that over time "Alawite power became stabilised; the dynastic struggles and local revolts lost their virulence precisely because of the more and more religious - that is, abstract - nature of [the sultan's] power." 38

An important reason for the success of this policy was the reduction in taxes, which won widespread support for Sidi Muhammad. In contrast to his immediate predecessors, Sidi Muhammad gained the reputation of a devout and reasonable man rather than a harsh dictator. For his tax reduction policy to succeed, the sultan sought to replace the lost revenues by streamlining

administration and aggressively promoting international trade. The makhzan 's

471

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

finances would now be overseen by a financial officer who implemented a strict accounting system, intended to cut down on waste. The smaller army and decentralised administration also served to reduce government costs.

By promoting trade, Sidi Muhammad sought to develop customs duties as the primary source of government revenue. In this venture, the sultan prioritised the development of modern Atlantic ports to attract international shipping. He recaptured Mazagan (al-Jadida) in 1182/ 1769, ending more than 250 years of Portuguese control. He also promoted Safi, according a monopoly of trade at that port to Denmark in 1170/ 1757. But Sidi Muhammad concentrated most of his attention on Mogador (Essaouira), hiring foreign advisors to create a modern port and encouraging foreign businessmen to establish offices within the city. The sultan's pursuit of foreign trade alliances is evidenced by his many treaties signed with European powers. His 1191/1777 decree inviting foreign ships to dock and trade in Essaouira was sent to a number of Western powers, including the United States of America, which was still in the process of gaining independence from the British.

Sidi Muhammad's emphasis on the sultan's role as amir al-mu'mim.n led him to place greater prominence upon religious symbolism and ceremony than

any sultan since Ahmad al-Mansur, a ruler he strongly admired and consciously imitated. 39 He promoted a simple, orthodox interpretation of the faith, displaying some sympathy for the revivalist tendencies of the Arabian Wahhabi movement. Like the Wahhabis, Sidi Muhammad sought to marginalise the murabits, even as he enforced the privileges of the shurafa'. He engaged in scholarship and supported an annual theological conference with the c ulama\ practices that would be carried on by his son and successor Mawlay Sulayman. Sidi Muhammad's rapprochement with the 'ulama 1 , so actively repressed by his grandfather, was expressed in his decision to restore the capital to Fez.

Although Sidi Muhammad's approach restored peace to Morocco, it can also be seen as representing makhzan acceptance of a more limited role and as ushering in an era of weak central government, small and ineffective armies, and financially challenged administrations. Laroui writes, 'As reorganized by Muhammad III, the c Alawite regime did not command; it negotiated . . . The system already contained within it the seeds of foreign intervention, for it depended more and more on foreign commerce that was dominated by foreigners.' 40 Although Mawlay Sulayman would initially reverse his father's preference for foreign trade, by the end of his reign he was forced to turn to it again in search of funds. Sidi Muhammad's decentralised, negotiating approach to rule was followed by most of his successors until the end of the

increased and the sultan came to be viewed as the only figure who could arbitrate between the multiple interests that divided his diverse country.

In

fact, this was the only way that a weak makhzan could maintain its titular authority over all of Morocco.

Conclusion

Most historians view the tenth/ sixteenth to twelfth/ eighteenth centuries as a

time of decline for the Islamic world in general and Morocco in particular.

Nineteenth-century CE European visitors consistently commented on how

isolated, traditional and backward the country appeared. Moroccan visitors to

Europe during that period repeatedly marvelled over the scientific and tech-

nological advancements that they observed and bemoaned the dilapidated

state of affairs in their own country. 41 Beyond the subjective observations of

eyewitnesses, several factors confirm their conclusions. For example, when-

ever Moroccan armies fought European armies in the nineteenth century CE,

they were quickly and decisively defeated. Trade agreements signed between

Morocco and the European powers were heavily weighted in favour of European interests. In fact, Morocco's history during the nineteenth

century

CE reflects a steady increase in European influence, culminating with the establishment of the French protectorate in 1330 /1912.

How had conditions reached such a state? European historians often blame

the traditional, 'isolated' and 'irrational' nature of Moroccan society, the divided populace (Arab/Berber, rural/urban, murabits I i ulama\ etc.), and the

closed-minded religious 'fanaticism' and 'fatalism' of a people who failed to

grasp the significance of transformations taking place in the wider world.

Moroccan historians such as Laroui and El Mansour challenge the colonial

historiography, seeing the problem as more structural in nature. Between the

tenth/sixteenth and the twelfth/ eighteenth centuries, they argue, the

Maghrib

was divided between Ottoman and Moroccan spheres, and the state became disconnected from the people that it ruled. Breaking the 'Khalidunian Cycle' of tribal-based governments arising from religious revivalist movements, Moroccan governments based their legitimacy upon a Shanfian ideology that sought to monopolise religious and political authority within the hands of a specific holy family whose baraka enabled it to overcome the inherent divisions within Moroccan society.

From such a standpoint, other authorities appeared to be threats that the government sought to eliminate. Thus the Sa'dis repressed the murabits once

473

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

their dynasty achieved pre-eminent power, even though support from the religious orders had been instrumental in their rise. The 'Alawis continued this policy and also worked to restrict the influence of the 'ulama', which meant that the tenth/sixteenth to twelfth/ eighteenth centuries were difficult for the city of Fez. Both dynasties attempted to break free from dependence upon tribal armies; the Sa'c dis through the use of renegades and Andalusí warriors, and the 'Alawis through a professional slave army. In both cases, the military largely consisted of individuals who were disconnected from Moroccan society, a fact that would have terrible repercussions during the periods of fitna.

Despite the country's close proximity to Europe and its ongoing trade relationships with European powers, the Moroccan dynasties seemed oblivious

to the sources of Europe's growing success. The greatest Moroccan sultans were mostly preoccupied with restoring a lost caliphal glory (al-Mansur) or waging a hopeless jihad against expanding European power (Mawlay Isma'il). They often seemed unaware of the country's limitations vis-a-vis the European states or the Ottoman empire. Nor did they promote a long-term plan to stabilise the Moroccan society or economy. With few exceptions, the sultans did not encourage the creation of a Moroccan industry. Instead, they looked for revenue from outside sources (conquests, trade, ransoming captives) or through repressive taxation. Their administrations remained personal rather than institutional, even when sultans such as al-Mansur or Mawlay Isma'il intentionally sought to imitate Ottoman successes in this area. The personal basis of their rule became abundantly clear when these strong sultans died and Morocco fell into extended periods of violent fitna. In fact, most sultans were too preoccupied with trying to gain or retain power even to begin to consider ways to improve Morocco's long-term situation vis-a-vis the Europeans.

Sidi Muhammad III's decentralised modus vivendi with the regional shaykhs was perhaps the most realistic approach for establishing peace within the country and maintaining a central (albeit largely symbolic) place for the dynasty. In fact, the religious significance of the sultan may have been the most important factor allowing the 'Alawis to survive the long period of European interference and dominance that lay ahead. But it was also an admission of defeat: a recognition that it was no longer possible to govern Morocco through a central makhzan. His policy of promoting foreign trade foreshadowed an increasing European meddling and eventual conquest. It would take a forty-four-year French protectorate finally to implement modern systems of governance and administration within Morocco.

But it is possible to overstate this argument. The tenth/ sixteenth to twelfth/

eighteenth centuries also witnessed successes. In the aftermath of the collapse of

474

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Sharifian rule in Morocco

al-Andalus, Morocco became the main site for Andalusí resettlement and the primary heir to an important Hispano-Maghribi cultural heritage. Although colonial historians dismissed Moroccan culture during this era as 'imitative' and 'stagnant', Moroccans sustained and developed an important cultural heritage, even adopting Andalusí music and art as central parts of Moroccan culture. The establishment of the Sharifian dynasties produced the most durable form of government in the Islamic world: a flexible system that was ultimately able to adapt to the modern era while maintaining a cultural diversity that enriches Moroccan society to this day. When compared to the modern experience of other Islamic countries, Morocco's post-colonial 'growing pains' have been relatively mild, a situation at least partially due to the symbolic power and mediating ability of the Sharifian system, as well as to the political talents of individual sultans. Morocco's strong sense of self-identity, encouraged by Sa'ad and Alawi sultans who differentiated their state from that of the Ottomans, laid the foundations for the creation of Moroccan nationalism in the twentieth century CE. The outlines for modern Morocco first began to take shape in the critical era of the tenth/sixteenth to the twelfth/ eighteenth centuries.

16 Moroccan rulers (tenth-twelfth/sixteenth-eighteenth centuries)

Wattasids (in Fez, no functional control in southern regions)

Muhammad al-Burtugali (910-32/1504-26)

Abu 'l-'Abbas Ahmad (932-55 / 1526-48)

Sa'dls (915-1069/1510-1659)

Muhammad al-Qa'im bi amr Allah (in Sus) (915-23 / 1510-17)

Ahmad al-A'raj: (in Sus) 923-30/1517-24; (in Marrakesh) 930-51/1524-44

Muhammad al-Shaykh: (in Tarudant) 930-51/1524-44, (in Marrakesh)

951-51 / 1544-9,

(over all Morocco from Marrakesh) 955-64/1549-57

<Abd Allah al-Ghalib: 964-81/1557-74

Muhammad al-Mutawakkil: 981-3/1574-6

Abu Marwan c Abd al-Malik: 983-6/1576-8

Ahmad al-Mansur al-Dhahabi: 986-1012/1578-1603

Note: Between 1012/1603 and 1016/1608 multiple contenders took control of

Marrakesh at various times. Between 1012/1603 and 1022/1613 multiple contenders

took control of Fez. The main contenders for power during this period were three

sons of Ahmad al-Mansur (Muhammad al-Shaykh al-Ma'mun, Mawlay Zaydan

al-Nasir and Abu Faris), along with one son of al-Ma'mun (c Abd Allah ibn

Muhammad al-Shaykh al-Ma'mun).

Mawlay Zaydan al-Nasir: (in Marrakesh) 1016-37/1608-27 (driven from Marrakesh by

Ibn Abi Mahalli 1021-2/ 1612-13)

'Abd Allah ibn Muhammad al-Shaykh al-Ma'mun: (in Fez) 1022-33/ 1613-24

The New Cambridge History of Islam

'Abd al-Malik ibn al-Ma'mun: (in Fez) 1033-6 / 1624-7

Muhammad Zaghuda ibn al-Ma'mun: (in Fez) 1036-7/ 1627-8

1 Abd al-Malik ibn Zaydan: (in Marrakesh) 1037-40 / 1627-31

al-Walid ibn Zaydan: (in Marrakesh) 1040-5 / 1631-6

Muhammad al-Shaykh al-Asghar ibn Zaydan: (in Marrakesh) 1045-64 / 1636-53

Ahmad al-' Abbas ibn Muhammad al-Shaykh ibn Zaydan: (in Marrakesh) 1064-9 / 1653-9

Seventeenth-century fitna (1012-79/1603-68)

Abu l- Abbas ibn Abi MahalE: (in Sijilmasa) 1019-21 / 1610-12, (in Marrakesh) 1021-2 / 1612-13

Bu Ragrag republic (in Sale / Rabat) : Supported largely by corsair activities, boosted by an influx of Moriscos expelled from Spain between 1018/ 1609 and 1023 /1614, and encouraged by the weakness of central government in Morocco, Rabat vacillated between formal allegiance /functional independence from the Sa'di sultans, establishment of a separate city-state (1036-47/1627-37), and domination by northern powers al-'Ayyasbl (1040-51 /1631-41), Zawiya Dila' (1051-74/1641-64) and al-Khidir Ghaylan (1074-6/ 1664-6). It was finally conquered by Mawlay al-Rashid in 1076/ 1666.

Zawiya Dila' (led by Muhammad ibn Abi Bakr 1021-46/ 1612-36, Muhammad al-Hajj 1046-79/1636-68): gained control of most of northern Morocco (Spanish enclaves excepted), including Meknes in 1049/ 1640, Sale /Rabat, Tetouan, the Gharb, and

Fez in 1051/1641. They also briefly controlled Sijilmasa and Tafilalt in 1056/1646.

However, they lost most of their holdings to al-Khidir Ghaylan and Mawlay al-Rashid between 1070/ 1660 and 1079/ 1668.

Muhammad al-Ayyashi: led jihad against the Spanish in northern Morocco, commanding various Arab tribes in the north, and sometimes Sale, Tetouan, Taza and their regions between 1023/1614 and 1051/1641.

Yahya b. Abd Allah al-Hahi: (in Tarudant) 1022-35 / 1613-26

'All Abu Hassun al-Simlali: (in Sus) 1035-70/1626-60; also ruled Dra'a, Sijilmasa and Tafilalt from 1040 / 1630 to 1050 / 1640

Ahmad al-Khidir Ghaylan: (in Qsar al-Kabir/Rif) 1063-74/1652-64, (in Rabat/Asila) 1074-9/ 1664-8

'Alawls (1041-1231/1631-1822)

Mawlay al-Sharif: (in Tafilalt) 1041-5 / 1631-5

Muhammad b. al-Sharif: (in Tafilalt) 1045-74/1635-64

Mawlay al-Rashid: (in Tafilalt) 1074-6 / 1664-6, (in Fez) 1076-81/ 1666-70, (over all

Morocco) 1081-2/1670-2

Mawlay Isma'il: 1082-1139/ 1672-1727

Ahmad al-Dhahabi: 1139-41 / 1727-9

Mawlay Abd Allah: 1141-70 / 1729-57 (deposed five times by Abid and replaced by

various pretenders, but managed to regain power every time up to his death in 1757;

r. 1141-7/ 1729-34, 1148/1736, 1153-4/ 1740-1, 1154-5/ 1741-2, 1156-60/ 1743-7, 1161-70/

1748-57)

Muhammad III b. 'Abd Allah: 1170-1204/ 1757-1790

Mawlay Yazid: 1204-6 / 1790-2

Mawlay Hisham: 1206-7/ 1792-3

Mawlay Sulayman: 1207-35 / 1793-1822

Shanfian rule in Morocco

Notes

- i. Auguste Cour, *La dynastie marocaine des Beni Wattas, 1420-1554*, Constantine, 1920; Mohamed B. A. Bencheikroun, *La vie intellectuelle marocaine sous les Marlnides et les Wattasids (XIIIe, XIVe, XVe, XVIe siècles)*, Rabat, 1974.
2. Robert Ricard, 'Le commerce de Berberie et l'organisation économique de l'empire portugais aux 15e et 16e siècles', *Annales de l'Institut d'Etudes Orientales*, 2 (1936), 266-85.
3. Mercedes Garcia- Arenal, 'The revolution of Fes in 869/1465 and the death of sultan 'Abd al-Haqq al-Marrakushi', *BSOAS*, 41 (1978), 43-66.
4. Vincent J. Cornell, 'Socioeconomic dimensions of reconquista and jihad in Morocco: Portuguese Dukkala and Sa'did Sus, 1450-1557', *IJMES*, 22 (1990), 379-418.
5. Weston Cook, *The hundred years war for Morocco: Gunpowder and the military revolution in the early modern Muslim world*, Boulder, 1994, 171-2.
6. Since the Ottomans were administering Egypt at that time, this statement could be taken as a threat that al-Shaykh intended to conquer their North African possessions: *Ta'rikh al-dawla al-Sa'diyya al-Takmadartiyya*, ed. 'Abd al-Rahmān

Benhadda, Marrakesh, 1994, 31.

7. Al-Fishtali indicates that Ahmad functioned as one of Abd al-Malik's spies

during this period: Dahiru Yahya, Morocco in the sixteenth century:

Problems and

patterns in an African foreign policy, Harlow, 1981, 92.

8. Estimates on the size of the Sa'di army vary between 60,000 to 120,000: Cook,

The hundred years war, 248.

9. Cook, The hundred years war, 259-65.

10. On al-Mansur's wealth, see Les sources inedites de l'histoire du Maroc de 1530 a 1845

(LSIHM). Premiere serie, dynastie saadienne (1530-1660). Archives et bibliotheques

d'Angleterre, t. II, Paris, 1925, 87-8, 146, 187.

11. Copies of this correspondence may be found in the following volumes: 'Abd

Allah Gannun, Al-Rasa'il al-Sa'diyya, Tetouan, 1954; M. Garcia-Arenal,

F. Rodriguez Mediano, R. El Hour, Cartas marruecas: Documentos de Marruecos

en archivos espanoles (siglos XVI-XVII), Madrid, 2002; LSIHM; and a series of

articles by P. Dario Cabanelas (Rodriguez), including 'Proyecto de alianza entre

los sultanes de Marruecos y Turquia contra Felipe II, MEAH, 6 (1957), 57-75;

'Cartas del Sultan de Marruecos Ahmad al-Mansur a Felipe II, AA, 23 (1958), 19-

47; 'El problema de Larache en tiempos de Felipe II, MEAH, 9 (1960), 19-53;

'Diego Marin, agente de Felipe II en Marruecos', MEAH, 21 (1972), 7-35; and

several others.

12. Nabil Matar, Britain and Barbary, 1589-1609, Gainesville, FL, 2005, 12-37.

13. Cornell, 'Socioeconomic dimensions', 22.

14. Mercedes Garcia-Arenal, Messianism and puritanical reform: The Muslims of the Muslim

West, trans. Martin Beagles, Leiden, 2006, 272-3; Abdallah Laroui, The history of

the Maghrib: An interpretative essay, trans. Ralph Manheim, Princeton, 1977, 257.

15. Jamil M. Abun Nasr, A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period, Cambridge, 1987, 216; Jerome Weiner, 'Fitna, corsairs, and diplomacy: Morocco and the

477

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

maritime states of Western Europe, 1603-1672', Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (1976), 35-6.

16. Les sources inedites, Angleterre II, 'Lettre de Jasper Tomson a Richard Tomson, 4 juillet 1599', 146; Ibn al-Qadi, al-Muntaqd al-maqsur 'aid ma'dthir al-khalifa Abi'l-' 'Abbas al-Mansur, ed. Muhammad Razzuq, Rabat, 1986, 375-6; al-Ifrani, Nuzhat al-hddi bi akhbdr mvA.uk al-qarn al-hddi, ed. 'Abd al-Latif al-Shadhill, Casablanca, 1998, 180-1.

17. al-Tamagruti, Al-Nafha al-miskiyya fi 'l-sifdra al-turkiyya, ed. Abd al-Latif al-Shadhill, Rabat, 2002, 142.

18. al-Fishtali, Manahil al-safd fi ma'athir mawdlind al-shurafd', ed. 'Abd al-Karim Kurayyim, Rabat, 2005, 61-3.

19. Gannun, Rasd'il Sa'diyya, 94.

20. al-Fishtali, Manahil al-safd, 67-73; John Hunwick, Timbuktu and the Songhay Empire: Al-Sa'dl's Ta'rikh al-suddn down to 1613 and other contemporary documents, Leiden, 1999, 294-9.

21. Ibn al-Qadi, *al-Muntaqd*, 357-9, 846-7.
22. John Ralph Willis, 'Morocco and the Western Sudan: Fin de siecle - fin de temps. Some aspects of religion and culture to 1600', *Maghreb Review*, 14, 1-2 (1989), 93; Garcia-Arenal, *Messianism and puritanical reform*, 269-95.
23. Weiner, 'Fitna, corsairs, and diplomacy', 210-28.
24. The most complete argument of this type is found in Vincent Cornell's book, *Realm of the saint: Power and authority in Moroccan Sufism*, Austin, 1998. See especially 93-4, 101-10, 118-19, 129-31, 154.
25. Weiner, 'Fitna, corsairs, and diplomacy', 79-80.
26. Allan R. Meyers, 'The 'Abid al-Bukhari: Slave soldiers and statecraft in Morocco, 1672-1790', Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University (1974); Allan R. Meyers, 'Class, ethnicity, and slavery: The origins of the Moroccan 'Abid', *IJAHS*, 10 (1977), 427-42; Allan R. Meyers, 'Slave soldiers and state politics in early Alawi Morocco, 1668-1727', *IJAHS*, 16 (1983), 39-48.
27. Johan de Bakker, 'Slaves, arms, and holy war: Moroccan policy vis-a-vis the Dutch Republic during the establishment of the 'Alawi dynasty (1660-1727)', Ph.D. thesis, University of Amsterdam (1991), 61.
28. Bakker, 'Slaves, arms, and holy war', 126-31.
29. *Ibid.*, 64.
30. Henry Munson, Jr., *Religion and power in Morocco*, New Haven, 1993, 28.
31. Abun-Nasr, *A history of the Maghrib*, 235.
32. C. A. Julien, *History of North Africa from the Arab conquest to 1830*, trans. John Petrie, ed. C. C. Stewart, New York, 1970, 261.
33. Norman Cigar (ed.), *Muhammad al-Qddiri's Nashr al-mathdnx: The chronicles*, Oxford, 1981, 158-62.

34. Laroui, The history of the Maghrib, 274.

35. Laroui, The history of the Maghrib, 275.

36. Norman Cigar (ed. and trans.), Muhammad al-Qddiri's Nashr al-mathdni: The chronicles, London, 1981, 175-232.

478

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Shanfian rule in Morocco

37. SicJi Muhammad, whom his father established as governor of Marrakesh in 1158/1745, was offered the sultanate by the 'Abid at least twice between 1162/1749 and 1163/1750, but he refused to unseat his father. He took over as sultan upon the death of his father in 1171/1757: Cigar (ed), Muhammad al-QMiri's Nashr al-mathanl, 216, 226-8.

38. Laroui, The history of the Maghrib, 2.76.

39. Mohamed El Mansour, Morocco in the reign ofMawlay Sulayman, Wisbech, 1988, 133.

40. Laroui, The history of the Maghrib, 279.

41. For example, see Susan Gilson Miller (trans, and ed.), Disorienting encounters: Travels of a Moroccan scholar in France in 1&45-1846, Berkeley, 1992.

479

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa (tenth-twelfth/
sixteenth-eighteenth centuries)

ULRICH REBSTOCK

Introduction

Towards the end of the ninth/fifteenth century, West Africa's externality to the Muslim world began to end. Some of the phenomena of this process are easily detected; others are blurred, taking shape only vaguely. The first appearance of the Portuguese caravels on the Atlantic coast in the 1440s heralded a new era. Its immediate effects, however, remained restricted to the coastal regions where the rival European powers founded military and commercial outposts. From there, the Portuguese had, from the first, made a point of capturing local people and sending them back to Portugal as slaves. They had come to search for the sources of gold - and the priestly King John - and returned with a lucrative alternative: 'black' gold. Later in the tenth/ sixteenth century came the Dutch, followed by the English and the French, who had all begun to establish colonies in the New World. An infamous traffic began that, during its peak at the end of the twelfth/eighth-century, amounted to an annual export of an estimated 64,000 slaves from West Africa alone. 1 The intrinsic repercussions that the transatlantic slave trade had on the local societies along the Gold Coast, the Guinea Coast, the Senegambia and the coast of the Moors were felt way into the interior. The trade in firearms unbalanced the political equilibrium; the trade in rum and manufactured textiles undermined morale and economy. The effect of the continuous increase in the international demand for slaves on the inner structure of West African societies is uncontested, but has been insufficiently studied. For Muslim communities that contributed to the supply of slaves - whether to be shipped across the Atlantic or to be put up for auction

in North African markets - the criteria of enslavement became an urgent and often annoying issue. In 1023/1614[^] the jurist Ahmad Baba al-Tinbukti (963-1036 /1556-1627) introduced his fatwa 'On the law concerning transported blacks' with the argument of an earlier scholar, al-Makhluf al-Balbali (d. after 940/1533f):

480

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa

Slavery is rooted in unbelief (feu/r). The unbelievers of the Sudan are like the Christians, except that they are Majus. The Muslims among them, like the people of Kano, Katsina, Bornu, Gobir and all of Songhay, are Muslims whom it is not permissible to own. However, some of them attack others, raiding them unjustly, like the Arabs who attack free Muslims and sell them unjustly. None of them may be lawfully possessed. 2

Given the economic importance of slaves for both trade and farming, the issue of the legal state of the Sudan became a crucial one in the spread of Islam.

Conversion protected from slavery. 'Reform' ideologies, however, kept detecting 'bad' Muslims, and thus the bow-shaped wave of proselytism advanced across the savannah and into the forest regions.

The increasing density of European trading posts along the Atlantic coast coincided with, and even fostered, the shift of trade routes into the safer interior. There, significant movements were a prelude to the end of another kind of isolation. Predatory Arab nomads skirmishing between the Sus valley and the oasis of Tuwat, in particular the Banu Hassan fraction of the south Arabian Ma c qil tribe, had turned south. The ensuing conflict with the Berber 'Znaga' (i.e. Sanhaja), who had hitherto controlled the trade

across the western Sahara, brought Arabic Islam into immediate and massive contact with the Sudanic populations. By the middle of the eleventh/seventeenth century, the Banu Hassan had finally arrived in the Senegal valley. They militarily subdued their Berber rivals and, as a counter-move, ceded to them the religious and spiritual guidance of their segmented tribal society. The political domination of the Banu Hassan was accompanied by the thorough Arabisation of the region. Owing to the particular division of functions between the Banu Hassan and the Arabised Znaga, commerce and Islamic learning began to flourish among the Zawaya, the 'pious settlers', as the latter liked to call themselves. All was militantly surveyed by the Banu Hassan, or lusiis, thieves, as they were called by the Zawaya because of the money they had to transfer to the former in return for the 'protection'. The oases of Shinqit, Wadan, Tishit and Walata, which had close ties with Timbuktu, rose to become junctions of the inner Saharan and trans-Saharan trade. Members of wealthy Zawaya clans turned to a scholarly life. While on business trips in North Africa, they bought books on Maliki law, Arabic grammar and Qur'anic exegesis and started to write commentaries in turn. An indigenous culture of learning began to develop, centred in the few oases and numerous mahadir (study camps), from where zealous graduates of different origins carried their acquired knowledge of Islamic piety and norms into the Futa Toro, the Senegambia and further.

penetrated the Chad region. From Tunisia, the Ottomans intervened in the Sahara trade. In 985/1577, a military expedition annexed Fezzan. In the west, the Sa'di sultans of Morocco staked a claim on the lucrative salt mines of Taghaza and Tawdanni. Ultimately, relations worsened to the extent that in early 999/October 1590 Sultan Ahmad al-Mansur (r. 986-1012/ 1578-1603) despatched 4,000 troops, with the instruction to end the illegitimate rule of the Askiyas of Songhay. The conquest of Gao, which followed in Jumada II 999 /March 1591, was the first and last military conquest achieved, albeit with terrible losses, from the north by the overland route. That, and shortly afterwards the occupation of Timbuktu, brought about irreversible changes. The learned civil elites of Timbuktu, the central seat of learning in the Sudan, and now the seat of a foreign military government, gradually lost its autonomy and reputation. The Songhay state of Gao, the latest in a line of powerful West African states, crumbled as well.

With the fragmentation of power, political authority was parcelled out among local centres. All along the Sahel, from Bagirmi and Waday in the east, to the Bambara territory in the west, small states came into being. Most, if not all, displayed the traces of the slow but persistent conversion of local collectives; clans of chiefs, tribal fractions, linguistic or ethnic minorities and even specific occupational groups gave voice to various forms of profession of an Islamic faith. The mobility of some of these groups, like the 'cattle'-Fulbe from the two Futas, or the Wangara-Dyula traders from the heartlands of Mali, effected new patterns of the spread of Islam in black Africa. During the 'age of empires', Muslim existence south of the Sahara could be, very generally, depicted as one in quarantine. Muslims, hitherto, were newcomers: arriving as individuals or in family units, as traders, refugees, travellers or professionals, they settled in confined areas, which sometimes developed into urban

quarters, and offered their religious services. But they did not remain out-
siders. Court functions, regeneration by intermarriage, migration and reset-
tlement, diffused their norms of behaviour, their legal and moral values and
their special skills throughout the urban centres where they had lived among
themselves and retained their proper religious standards. Examples of this
process of intermingling, both socially as well as geographically, abound
during the tenth/ sixteenth century. In particular, Muslim existence in rural
areas became common, albeit marginal. Through assimilation, the world of
Islam and that of African traditional heritage drew closer. Mixing with unbe-
lievers - unforeseen by the Islamic orthodoxy and always used as an external

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa

reproach - became inevitable. Although distinct from each other, and some-
times even opposed to one another, the Muslim's and the 'unbeliever's'
social definitions and cultural norms existed side by side. The lines of transition
from one group to the other were blurred. Orthodoxy was negotiated within the
community, rather than with scriptural references. Being in itself in a
state of transition, this particular form of Islamicity adopted distinct shapes
dependent on the social environment and the historical circumstances. Until the
second half of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, Muslim existence beyond the
limits of 'white' Islam developed within the frame of cultural marginality and
social co-existence. The so-called reform movements, or jihads, that rose up at the
end of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, are rooted in this. They offer a new

alternative: the Muslim communitas (umma), purified, incorporated and 'rightly guided'.

None of these three stages of Muslim existence, however, can be neatly distinguished from one another in terms of time or space. They do not obey a compelling sequence. A striking example of how they may overlap is given by 'Uthman dan Fodio's (1168-1232/ 1754-1817) jihad ideology: time and again, from the collective performance of both his and his followers' hijra from Degel to Gudu in 1218/ 1804 until his death in 1232 /1817, he repeatedly and essentially referred to the same arguments with which [Abd al-Karim al-Maghili had equipped Askiya Muhammad at Gao, more than three centuries before and in virtually a different world. The confusing simultaneity of different modes of Islamic living during this period, however, must be considered in the light of our sources. Perhaps the most important phenomenon of the end of the externality of West Africa is the end of the external Arabic sources for its history. With Ibn Khaldun's (d. 808/1406) careful record, in his universal history, Kitab al-Hbar, of oral historical traditions from Malian scholars he had met, the authentic sources in the Arabic external literature dry up. History, from now on, must be told almost exclusively from internal sources and the few European reports available. The Timbuktu chronicles, the Ta'nh al-sudan and the Ta'rih al-fattash, composed during the first half of the eleventh/ seventeenth century, contribute to it the most. But the scenarios of Muslim activity moved away from the Niger bend. This shift coincides with a change of information. Only a few isolated chronicles, composed by local scholars from the middle of the twelfth/ eighteenth century onwards, now recall their Muslim past from oral tradition. Most of these writings - some mere king-lists, like the Wandala chronicles of northern Cameroon, 3 others annalistic and hagiological chronicles like the Ta'fMiJabi from the Futa Jalon, or the Amr Ajdadina and the Kitab Ghanja from the Black Volta region 4 - are

The New Cambridge History of Islam

difficult to decipher. But they allow us to catch a glimpse of the varieties of Muslims' ways to live and expand under 'African' conditions.

Songhay and Timbuktu

When the Granada traveller al-Hasan ibn Muhammad al-Wazzan - better known under the name Leo Africanus, as given to him by his patron Pope Leo

X - visited Timbuktu shortly before 921/1515, he mistook the city for the capital of the Songhay empire. 5 In fact, Askiya princes resided temporarily in

Timbuktu and sometimes studied under its scholars in the Sankore quarter.

The city's growing importance as a centre of commerce and learning increased the polarisation of power between Gao, the residence of the royal

family and the administration, and Timbuktu, the 'community of clerics', in

the late Songhay, the Askias' period (c. 897-999 /1492-1590). Not all of the

wealth, which enabled rich merchants and their relatives to retire to a meritorious life of learning and instructing, stemmed from the storage and

profitable distribution of goods. Much of it originated from donations and gifts

that the Askias had bestowed on them. Thus, Dawud (r. c. 956-90/1549-82),

one of Muhammad's sons and successors, gave Mahmud Ka'ti, then qadi of the

nearby Tendirma and one of the authors of the Ta'nfeh al-fattash, a farm with

thirteen slaves and 80 mithqal of gold - more than the average price for a slave - for the purchase of a copy of the Qamus, a classical Arabic dictionary.

The sympathy of the Timbuktu chroniclers for the generous Askias seems to stand in sharp contrast to their unconcealed hostility towards Sunni 'All, who had curbed the city's privileges and endangered the life and property of those scholars who opposed his rule. This juxtaposition has led to rash conclusions:

'Ali had no use for Islam, the religion of urban communities. Its learned men constituted a state within a state and were critical of rulers for lukewarm attitudes in regard to Islamic laws and indulgence in pagan rites. Confident in his own power, 'Ali did not need their support and refused to compromise with a religion which involved paying allegiance to a law higher than himself.

A less selective reading of the chronicles unravels subtler differences. Sunni 'All was not more lax in the observance of Muslim rites than most of the sons and grandsons of Askiya Muhammad. He performed the holiday prayers of Ramadan during his campaigns, records the Ta'nkh al-fattash, and al-Sa'di (d. after 1065/1655[^], the author of the Ta'fikh al-sudan, concedes: 'Despite his ill-treatment of scholars, he acknowledged their worth and often said: "Without the 'ulama' this world would no longer be sweet and good."

484

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa

Elsewhere, the conflicting motives are audible: 'He [i.e. Sunni 'All] snatched away the woman from a poor devil, appropriated her and took her forcefully. May God protect us from that! This is why God punished the people and deprived them of their independence/ 7 Sunni 'All's policy jeopardised

Timbuktu's autonomy. He attempted to maintain a distance between Islam and its proponents of rank and status from Timbuktu, and the role he had to play in traditional Songhay cults among his own people, who were in general little influenced by Islam. Writing in retrospect and emphasising both the atrocities of Sunni 'All and his basic esteem of the 'u]ama\ the chroniclers of the eleventh/ seventeenth century try to cope with the two crises that frame the most peaceful and flourishing period of the history of Timbuktu: Sunni 'All's interregnum (c. 869-98/1464-92), and the incessant decline of the city subsequent to the Moroccan conquest (999/1591). In neither crisis was it the role of Islam in the Songhay culture that was at stake, but rather the leadership of the Timbuktu scholars in defining and upholding the 'correct' faith and its translation into action. So influential were the scholars of Timbuktu during the entire century of the Askiyas' rule, that their perception of Islamic legality overshadowed the multicultural reality of the Songhay state. Along the Niger, downstream at Gao, the capital, at Kukiya and Dendi, imams led their congregations in mosques right next to pagan shrines; poorly educated Muslims were venerated as holy men, medicine men and diviners; upstream, at Diakha and the nearby Kabara, and in particular at Jenne, Muslim minor-ities, Soninke and Mandinke, had founded small centres of learning and gained the respect of the local rulers.

Within this broader view of the generation of Islamic lifestyles in the western Sudan, the development of Timbuktu into the undisputed centre of Islamic learning remains exceptional. No other city of that region ever attracted so much attention, nor garnered such a reputation over such a long period. One distinctive feature of this career can be singled out: the city was at all times self-administered internally by its jamcCa (community), an oligarchy of several leading family clans of different ethnic origins who competed for supremacy. The post of the qadi, the highest urban position,

was the key to authority. By dispensing justice, the qadi was at the same time a public exponent of the faith and the political representative of the city. When Askiya Muhammad appointed, in 904/1498, a certain Mahmud 'Aqit, the offspring of Sanhaja immigrants from the Mauritanian Tishit, this royal prerogative came to an end. During his fifty-year tenure of the judgeship, Mahmud managed to establish an equilibrium between the self-assertion of the city regarding the fiscal and political aspirations of the Askias, and the

485

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

dependence of the latter on their empire-wide reputation as khalifa, deputy of the 'Abbasid caliph of Cairo, and 'commander of the faithful'. Outliving his royal patron, Mahmud intervened as some sort of moderator between Askiya Muhammad's warring sons and nephews, and succeeded in passing his post on to his eldest son Muhammad, who occupied it until his death in 973/1565, during the long and peaceful reign of Askiya Dawud (c. 956-90/1549-82). The role of the Askias in the administration of Timbuktu became largely restricted to the confirmation of the qadi agreed upon by the scholars. He and the district colleagues he installed personified the order of the city by settling the disputes among her citizens and merchants. Thus, one prominent qadi and imam is recorded to have declared, sitting at the entrance of the mosque, along with some of his students:

'Come here those of you who have a claim against someone else unwilling to

fulfil his obligations.' He then would invite people to bring their cases, and judged between them. He commanded and prohibited, passed prison sentences, and inflicted beatings on those deserving it.

That the qadi could also confront the Askiya and his officials is indicated by

Ahmad Baba when recalling his stout uncle al-'Aqib, another 'Aqit qadi. Al-'Aqib would be submissive to the monarch, but in matters of disapproba-

tion he would suspend his office until the Askiya would reconcile with him.

Although the 'Aqit virtually constituted a ruling dynasty of the 'religious estate' of Songhay, the continuing growth and prosperity of the city left ample

scope for other families and scholars. In the tenth/ sixteenth century, some

200-300 qualified literati made a name for themselves in Timbuktu. The Baghayu'u brothers, Muhammad and Ahmad, sons of a Dyula qadi of Jenne,

who had studied in the east under prominent Egyptian scholars, settled in Timbuktu around 957/1550, and founded one of the most influential families in Timbuktu. Ahmad' s son Muhammad (d. 1066/1655) is described

by al-Sa'di as the last of the great shaykhs of Timbuktu. His uncle Muhammad

became the imam of the important mosque of Sidi Yahya, built in honour of a Sufi shaykh who had arrived in Timbuktu before 873/1468. Owing to Muhammad's intervention, another scholar from Jenne, Muhammad Kab ibn

Jabir Kab, was appointed to the post of khatib of Gao, a Songhay office which

combined both judicial and parochial functions. In 977/1569, Muhammad Kidad (Gidadu), the first Fulani (Arabic for Fulbe) held the post of imam of

the Jingereber Mosque. The Soninke family of Mahmud Ka'ti (d. 1002/1593), a

co-author of the Ta'rikh al-fattash who studied with Muhammad Baghayu'u,

became firmly associated with the judgeship of Tindirma.

West Africa

This multi-ethnic autonomy of a city that lived on the profitable co-ordination of the trans-Saharan trade and was led by a 'community of scholars' produced a particular intellectual atmosphere. A place where scholars could make a good living as counsellors, and vice versa, was attractive. From among the numerous madrasas of Timbuktu - the sources speak of 150 or more during the tenth/ sixteenth century, all of them centred around individual teachers - the students' networks spanned the entire region. Books were ordered and brought from the Maghrib and Egypt. Ahmad Baba is reported to have lost as many as 1,600 volumes when he was arrested by the Moroccans in 1002/ 1593 and his library seized and sold. These books, which were often copied and copiously annotated on imported paper by the owners themselves, integrated Timbuktu into the wider world of Islamic scholarship. Quotations in locally written works demonstrate that a scholarly education went beyond the training in Qur'an, hadith, theology and Maliki law. Other disciplines were available and studied: above all, philological disciplines like Arabic grammar, rhetoric, prosody and poetry, but also biographical history (sira), logic, astronomy and mathematics (hisab). It was upon this variety of fields of Islamic studies that the reputation of Timbuktu was built. Students and scholars likewise were attracted from many places; first from North Africa and the Saharan oases like Walata, Tuwat or Awjila, then increasingly from the Sudanic south. Their literary productivity can still be assessed in the rich collections of Arabic manuscripts that survived the social and political decline of the city during the succeeding centuries. The public Ahmad Baba Library, founded in the 1960s, contains close to 10,000 works, a great many of them composed by local scholars before the nineteenth century CE.

The Sa c di conquest of Songhay did not disrupt the line of the ruling Askiya

dynasty. The strategic aim of the Moroccan expedition was not Gao, where the Songhay kings were granted a privileged but powerless residence in the royal palace until the middle of the twelfth/ eighteenth century. Jawdhar Pasha, the commander of the Moroccan troops, after putting the Songhay army near Gao to headlong flight, immediately withdrew to Timbuktu and established his military headquarters there. The region's wealth was as precious to the Sa'di sultan as the submission of the Askiya under the sovereignty of the Sharif. The latter did not last long - after 1659 the sultan's name disappeared from the Friday khutba - and as for the former, in particular the direct access to the gold-fields, it remained outside the range of the invaders. Still, they roundly crushed the resistance of the Timbuktu jama'ca, plundered the city, and exiled the leading heads to Morocco. The immediate effects were deleterious:

487

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Timbuktu became a body without soul. Conditions turned upside down and life and customs changed fundamentally. The lowest rose to the highest ranks and vice versa, the meanest ruled over the most noble. Religion was sold for worldly goods and misleading was acquired for rightly guiding. 9

Morocco soon lost interest. On the spot, the Arma, as the 'archers' (al-rumah) of the occupational force were called, were increasingly being assimilated by the local society. They married local women, and adopted the Songhay language and other practices. In the period 1621-70/ 1612-60, the Arma regime evolved into a West African state, independent from Morocco. Moreover, Timbuktu's equilibrium of commerce and scholarship was off

balance. Now, under the Pashalik, the rule of the Arma, the influence of the merchants increased. They no longer needed the c ulama' as intermediaries, but co-operated directly with the Arma to secure the Niger waterway and other endangered trade routes to Timbuktu. The economic decline could not be stopped, nor the intellectual. Islamic learning degenerated to a part-time job of traders and artisans. Their appellation as Alfa (from Ar. al-faqih, jurist) refers to the limitations of their scholarly training. The Timbuktu chroniclers, however, uphold a certain sympathy for the pashas and the puppet Askiyas. There, Songhay royalism (Mahmud Ka c ti was Soninke, after all) and Timbuktu civic pride combined to wrest respect from the Arma for the remnants of the urban patriarchy and the khilafa, the caliphal authority, of the Askiya royalty. Al-Sa'di, the author of the Td'rlhh al-sudan and imam of the Sankore Mosque, became chief secretary to the Arma administration - honi soit qui mal y pense.

About ten years after al-Sa'di's appointment (1056/1646), the chronicles come to an end. Yet, they had anticipated what is described in the detailed but dull, anonymous, biographical dictionaries composed for the pashas of Timbuktu a century later: the gradually increasing pressure put on the settled populations of the Niger bend by Tuareg confederations from the north; the rival Arma factions who came to recruit Tuareg help in their internecine strife; the violent clashes between branches of the Askiya lineages. By the middle of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, the Arma regime - more than a hundred pashas had ruled, some repeatedly - and the Songhay order were in the process of disintegration. Jihads were being proclaimed against pashas' rivals and their Muslim followers. The qadis contended with representative functions. When the Tuareg finally took possession of Gao (1184/ 1770) and Timbuktu (1201/ 1787), the political and spiritual leadership in the area returned to the nomads of the southern Sahara.

West Africa

Islam in the western savannah

The repercussions of the dominance of Timbuktu were felt all along the River

Niger. From urban settlements at its upper course, Kabara, Diakha (Dia or Ja)

and Janja, all in the vicinity of Jenne, Muslim scholars of different ethnic affiliations headed north. In Timbuktu, the traces of this influx are old enough

to suggest that the tradition of Islamic learning in the city received its earliest

influences from this African background. The origins of these parochial Muslim communities at the fringes of the Songhay empire and close to the

heartlands of Mali are difficult to determine. Certainly, the gold trade is connected. Already al-Bakri (writing in 460/1068) was attributing it to black

Muslim merchants living at the 'Nil' 'surrounded by pagans', and speaking

a'jam, an unintelligible language. From then on, the patronymic 'al-Wankari'

is attached to the dispersion of Mandekan- (Mande language) speaking schol-

ars and traders from the Niger inland delta and the Black Volta.

Elsewhere, in

an early Portuguese report, the Wangara are described as a particular race of

red or brown complexion. Al-Sa'di emphasises the role of Bitu (Bitu, modern

Bighu), a centre of the Wangara:

[Jenne] is one of the great markets of the Muslims. Salt-traders from Taghaza

and gold-traders from Bitu meet there. These two sources are without equal

in the whole world. People find much blessing in trading there and amass fortunes God alone - praise be to Him - can assess. It is because of this blessed

town that caravans come to [Timbuktu] from all directions. 10

By the ninth/fifteenth century, Wangara are to be found all along the

course of the Niger and as far east as Hausaland, to where a certain shaykh 'Abd al-Rahman from Jahaba, south-east of Bamako, had moved with his followers. The expanding range of their entrepreneurial activities brought them into contact with even the Portuguese captains who knew them as 'Mandinguas', probably referring to 'Mandinke', another group of the wide-spread Mande peoples in West Africa. Along with the spread of some of these groups, in particular that of the Dyula, Jakhanke and Bambara, went the spread of Islam, across the savannah into the forest regions from the ninth/fifteenth century onwards.

The Dyula and the Jakhanke

In many Mande dialects, 'Dyula' (Juula) came to mean 'trader'. For themselves - Wangara traders who established a highly lucrative gold trade network between Bighu, north of the Akan forests, and the greater entrepôts of

489

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the western Sudan and the Sahel - it simply meant 'good Muslim'. This Islamic identity is reflected in their oral and literary tradition, where the Dyula claim ancestral links back to the trading clans of the Soninke people in the ancient Ghana empire, who had converted to Islam through their contacts with North African Muslim traders. Through stages we can only guess at, this 'conversion' prepared the way for the development of a lifestyle in which Islamic belief and professional trading combined. Trading, naturally, meant contact with unbe-

lievers. Expanding the relations required flexible modes of arrangement with the host communities. The Dyula, for the most part, settled among 'stateless' people, intermarried and started to speak the language of their wives. Sometimes, however, they interfered as a distinct social group in local politics and even appear to be responsible for the Islamisation of traditional states. From the western extension of this Mande-speaking trade system, represented by the Jakhanke, another form of settlement is reported. The intensification of the Atlantic slave trade supported the formation of smaller states in the hinterland. Muslim traders and clerics were attracted. In 1621, the British merchant Richard Jobson described towns at the Gambia river that were entirely inhabited by Muslims who married only among themselves, and had - even in times of war - free recourse to all places. 11

Most of what is known of these various activities of the Dyula and Jakhanke operating in the dar al-harb and in the midst of people untouched by Islam stems back to their proper traditions. They centre around the semi-legendary figure of al-Hajj Salim Suware, a Soninke who lived and taught in Diakha (Ja, Zagha) in Masina and was allegedly buried there in the earlier tenth/sixteenth century. Several qddis of Jenne and Timbuktu are numbered among his disciples. His teaching developed, among Dyula and Jakhanke alike, into a pedagogical tradition that was built around two major Maliki texts (al-Muwatta' of Malik ibn Anas, the school-founder, and al-Mukhtasar of Khalil ibn Ishaq), the Tafsir al-Jalalayn, the influential exegesis of the Qur'an of al-Mahalli (d. 864/1459) and al-Suyuti (d. 911/1505), and on the work about the way Muslims should venerate the Prophet written by the Moroccan Qadi c Iyad (d. 544/1149), al-Shifa' fi ta'rif huquq al-Mustafa. The veneer of Islamic erudition was thin, but closely attached to the basic needs of a daily life in which the balance of

securing a livelihood in this world without forgoing salvation in the next was precarious. In an environment of kufr, trading, settling, and teaching the Qur'an became the complementary stations of a lifestyle that was coined by mobility, assimilation to hostile conditions, and the pursuit to uphold an indispensable set of Islamic norms. In such circumstances, jihad was an inadequate means of converting unbelievers, nor was islah (reform) as

490

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

West Africa

advocated by al-Maghili in Gao appropriate, when the acceptance of the authority on non-Muslim rulers was required. By leading an exemplary life - often giving way to saintly legends - and committing themselves to education and learning, the Dyula managed to integrate themselves to varying degrees into the villages, towns and states their travels had led them to. They gained respect as teachers and scholars, among Muslims and non-Muslims alike. The effective magic of their talismans spread their reputation as healers. Rulers sought their advice and spiritual guidance. Settling down - in some cases - meant dissociating from trade and turning to farming supported by slaves. Many Jakhanke saw themselves as cultivators-scholars for whom only the triad of clerical life - qira'a (study), filaha (farming) and safar (travel, to avoid sinful assimilation of local customs) - guaranteed adherence to a proper Muslim life and to the tajdid (religious renewal) necessary.

Al-Hajj Salim's part in the moulding of this 'Suwarian' tradition of coexistence remains obscure. Quite obviously, the successful expansion of the Wangara network over more than two centuries generated a collective

identity that expressed itself by way of the production of local 'histories', lists of kings who were peacefully converted to Islam by wandering shaykhs, and chains of transmission, from teacher to student, that ran back through generations of scholars, imams and rulers. It is from this collective memory that the slow but inexorable spread of Islam in the regions between the Gambia and Volta river during the eleventh-twelfth/seventeenth-eighteenth centuries can be assessed. Several kingdoms, like those of Gonja, Dagomba and Wala are reported to have come under the influence of a karamoko (Malinke: one who can read) who founded an imamate that soon became hereditary. In Gonja, a certain Muhammad al-Abyad (the white) from Bighu helped Sultan Ma'wura (r. c. 990-1009 /1582-1600) to conquer a town of 'infidels' and thus brought about his conversion to Islam. Elsewhere, as in the region of Kong, where the Saganogo shaykhs later inspired a new style of imamate, the complex process of Islamisation becomes clearer. Until the early twelfth/eighteenth century, the immigrating Dyula remained a minority everywhere and did not engage in proselytising. Still, their animist hosts gradually accepted certain cultural traits identified with Islam. Non-Muslim groups began to clothe themselves and control the spirit world with Islamic supernatural aids. Then the Dyula, who monopolised the salt trade with the Saharan deposits, faced the growing influence of heathen Mande warrior groups. The ensuing conflict with these Sonongui - for the Dyula a simple equivalent of 'bad Muslim' - led to a social and religious antagonism that has been observed in many of the 'half-Islamised' savannah regions:

491

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The Watara [ruling Sonongui] drank heavily, they married as many wives

as they could manage to acquire, and they had a system of inheritance that went from brother to brother rather than from father to sons as the Qur'an commands. They laughed at the idea of the Holy War, attacking villages for economic and military profit rather than conversion, and they attacked Muslims and non-Muslims alike. They neglected to pray; many of them learned nothing of the Qur'an, and they consulted the proprietors of animist shrines for divination and protection ... The Watara explained their disregard for the proper ways of Islam by saying it was the business of the karamoghou. The Watara supported the karamogkou, individually and collectively, in return for the favourable exercise of their religious powers. 12

The 'pagan' Bambara and the Fulbe of Masina

To the west of Jenne, the imperial Islam of Mali and Songhay had hardly influenced the Mande-speaking Bambara and Bamana peasants. The Timbuktu chronicles simply call them kuffar (pagans) who resisted the 'Moroccans' and repeatedly sacked Jenne. Among the Dyula, both denominations came to mean 'non-Muslim'. By the turn to the twelfth/ eighteenth century, between Segou and Nioro, Bambara clans began to stand out against their egalitarian community. The emergence of the states of Segou (c. 1124/ 1712) and Kaarta clearly displays the readiness of the ruling Kulibali and Diara to integrate Islamic patterns into their governance. Islamic rituals were held at the higher courts, Islamic festivals were celebrated as communal feasts, and Muslim merchants and clerics were welcomed for support. But the Bambara rulers skilfully maintained the balance between traditionalism and Islam. They remained the priests of the central shrines and protection-idols and simultaneously called for the assistance of Muslim clerics to advocate 'Ngalla' (Allah). When Biton, the first Kulibali of Segou, died in 1168/ 1755, the opposition against the rising influence of Muslims even led to the forcible deposition of his son Bakary, who had openly converted to Islam.

The case of the Bambara demonstrates the particularly slow penetration of

Islamic features into the vast rural triangle extending among the urban centres along the Niger, from Segu up to Timbuktu and the Senegal river. Farming prevailed in this area where Soninke (who had been in contact with Islam since the times of the Almoravids), Malinke and Bambara intermingled. Occasional conversion among the Bambara and their neighbours generated a new group identity: the Maraka. It is evident that the Muslim Maraka's attention to scholarship and clerical pursuits depended largely on their employment of slaves in the fields. This distinctive feature of the Maraka implies a direct relationship to the

492

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa

increasing demand for - and supply of - slaves, predominantly organised by Muslim traders. In 121 of./ 1796, while on his way from Kaarta to Segu, where King Mansong resided, Mungo Park was begged by a Bambara woman to inquire about her son, Mamadee, who 'was no Heathen, but prayed to God morning and evening, and had been taken from her about three years ago, by Mansong's army'. Elsewhere, alluding to the business aspect of slavery, he describes the Moors as 'rigid Mahomedans . . . [who purchase] their sabres and other weapons, as well as their fire-arms and ammunition, from the Europeans, in exchange for the Negro slaves which they obtain in their predatory excursions. Their chief commerce of this kind is with the French traders, on the Senegal river.' 13

The region, situated between the Futa Toro beyond the Senegal river and Masina, stretching west of Jenne, was not an isolated one. Sanhaja and Moorish tribes penetrated from the north. From the Futa Toro, pastoralist Fulbe tribes had migrated to the Niger banks. In 976/1569, Muhammad Kidadu (d. 989/1581), the first Fulbe imam of the Jingereber Mosque in Timbuktu, was installed. The office became hereditary among his tribal fellows. Around 1019/1610, the Fulbe Sultan Hammadi Amina is reported to have defied an attack of the Arma of Timbuktu with the help of 'heathen' Bambara. The opaque and sometimes even ambiguous expressions of Islamic faith in Segu and Kaarta may be partly explained by the prejudices and - from the late eleventh/ seventeenth century onwards - the silence of the chroniclers. Looked at from a greater distance, they disclose two general tendencies: flanked by the rising Almamy of Bundu in Futa Toro and the Fulbe sultanate of Masina, the Bambara rulers had to resort to Islamic concepts of legitimacy in a period of conflicting religious identities. At the same time, Islam was making inroads among the rural populations, the fishermen and peasants. The movement of the Torodbe in Futa Toro, beggar clerics of diverse social status and ethnic origins, as well as that of the settled rural Fulbe of Masina who, turning against the corrupt life of the cities at the end of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, soon brought about the jihadist caliphate of Hamdullahi (north of Jenne), were expressions of the broader diffusion of Islamic customs and beliefs among lower social strata. The collision there with traditional superstitious and non-Islamic practices blurred the difference between Muslim and non-Muslim. But it prepared the ground for turning the issue of Islam into an argument that could mobilise large parts of the population and be used for political aspirations. The wave of jihads that engulfed the western Sudan after the turn of this century was fed by just such popular forms of Islamicity and was directed at its purification.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The Senegambia

The formative process of the Moorish society (see above) in the western Sahara culminated in a long series of belligerent conflicts between Banu Hassan and Berber tribes, the 'war of Bubbah' (shurrbubbah, c. 1054-85/1644-74). The ultimate military defeat of the Berbers turned out to be a latent victory. Nasir al-Din al-Daymani, their charismatic leader, had pronounced the jihad, the first of its kind in West Africa after that of the Almoravids. The repercussions of this movement were complex. North of the Senegal, under the 'protection' of the victorious Hassan! amirs of Trarza, Brakna, Adrar and Tagant, Zawaya tribal fractions began to intensify old and to establish new ones with partners south of the river. In their 'desert schools' (mahadir) old Sanhaja scholarship merged with the need for an Islamic pragmatism that could ensure their social and economic status in a society politically dominated by 'warrior' groups. Religious instruction furnished the 'students' (tullab) with the qualifications for controlling the domain of judicial and juridical activities. By extension, this implied the privilege of defining the legal norms that regulated all economic interaction. Islamic learning became the prerequisite and emblem of an ethic that was juxtaposed to and competed with the warriors' liberalist pride in transgressing these norms, and despising any involvement in commerce or agriculture. This type of bipartite society, in which the conflicting division of roles between Muslim cleric-merchants (or

peasants) and warrior infidels was supported by the exploitation of tributaries and slaves, must be regarded as one of the particular phenomena that accompanied the Islamisation of the western Sahel belt.

However, the direct influence of the formation of this Zawaya culture on the emergence of various Islamic movements in Futa Toro, Bundu and Futa

Jalon remains disputed. 'Moors' had been recorded in these regions long before by European travellers. In 1698, Andre Brue was impressed by

the stone mansions of 'Conjour [Gunjur], the capital of the Marabout republic'.

The Torodbe, a distinct group of Muslim cultivators and preachers that

appeared in this area at the end of the eleventh/ seventeenth century, shared -

in some of their proper traditions - a common mythical origin with 'Arabs of

the north'. Arab or Berber Muslims may have moved southwards without their families, found spouses among Senegalese women, and instructed their

children in the Islamic religion, who then in time came to marry within their

own group, creating a 'caste' called Torodbe. Other interpretations point to

their eclectic ethnic and social origin. Belonging to the Tukolor, as the 'Haalpulaar', the Fulbe population of Futa, were called by the Europeans,

West Africa

the Torodbe spoke Fulfulde (the language of the Fulbe). After completing their Qur'an studies, they would travel in mendicant groups throughout the

country. As 'sons of the calabash' they seem initially to have subsisted almost

entirely on the charity of others. The saying 'a Torodo is a slave' implies the

inferior original status of these groups. Whatever their precise origins, the popular etymological meaning, that 'a Torodo implores Allah', unmistakably links the rise of this group with the beginnings of Islam in Futa Toro. Their common pattern of life, the 'Turudiyya', meant to settle and become associated with the inhabitants of villages and towns, to avoid inferior occupations (crafts, herding, fishing), to be learned in the Islamic wisdoms and rituals, to establish schools and mosques in the urban centres, and to invite all individuals to embrace their customs and beliefs. Strong Muslim communities (jama'as) came into existence in areas where Islam had not previously existed. Strict Islamic rules were established and the imam looked out for the enforcement of the Islamic law, the sharfa. Some of these communities engaged in conflict with the ruling Fulbe Deniankobe. Around 1101/1690, under the leadership of Malik Siy, a Torodo from Podor, groups of Torodbe withdrew east to Bundu, and established an independent imamate that lasted until the French occupation. It attracted other groups - Wolof from the lower Senegal, Sarakhole from the Baule river and various Fulbe groups - and developed proto-state structures: Islamic taxes (khums, zakat) were levied and, as in other jama'as, its territory was clearly distinguished from the 'land of war' (dar al-harb) beyond.

Decades later, another figure of the Torodbe movement appeared on the middle Senegal. Sulayman Bal, who had returned from the jihad-shaken Futa Jalon, won disciples and followers in his fight against both the laxity of the Denianke on religious issues, and the perennial raids of the Banu Hassan of Trarza. Throughout his fickle war-career Sulayman refused to accept the title of imam for himself. Only some years after his death - oral tradition places it in 1775 - his kinsman 'Abd al-Qadir Kan, who had earlier studied with the Tarariza, was inaugurated as the first Almamy. His policy was clearly focused on inner Islamic reform, perhaps inspired by his experience among the Zawaya. By encouraging literacy and public Islamic

practices, his leadership brought about a thorough Islamisation of the area.

Not only were the ruling Denianke warriors finally supplanted by a religious Torodbe aristocracy. In 1786, 'Abd al-Qadir successfully insisted

in his 1786-treaty with the French on their co-operation to suppress any

kind of slave trade in which Muslims, in particular the Almamy's subjects, were involved as victims.

495

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Abd al-Qadir had also attacked the Wolof state of Kajoor (Cayor), where militant Muslim clerics raised their voices against the warrior nobility who

were unyielding in their non-Islamic behaviour. In Fatick, from where 'Abd al-Qadir had graduated, and Koki, off the pivots of power, Muslim Wolof came into contact with the Torodbe and founded centres of Muslim learning. The local tradition of an Islamic past going back to the sixth/twelfth century evokes only old links of the kings of Jolof with the Almoravids. By the tenth/ sixteenth century, the kingdom had disintegrated and Islam had

become marginal and - judging from the European reports - dominated by passing foreigners: Moors, Tukolor and others. Some of them were rewarded with land for their functions at court. They settled, worked their soil, intermarried with their neighbours and maintained their faith and customs. Among them, the involvement with the jihad in Futa Toro seems to have set off a strong Muslim movement directed against their 'pagan' chiefs. The Wolof 'griots' kept reminding their audience of the cruel

fate some of the rebellious clerics had suffered at the warriors' hands. But it

would take more than a century until the majority of the Wolof society converted to Islam.

The Islamic history of Futa Jallon, the region where the rivers Gambia, Senegal and Niger originate, before the life-long fight of the wall and mawla

'Tcherno' Aliou Ba (c. 1242-1330/ 1828-1912) against French colonialism, is in the dark. Aliou's revivalist movement drew its gains and principles from the message of the just introduced Shadhili Sufism and from the crumbling rule of the 'almamate' initiated by Karamoko Alfa Barry between 1139/1727 and 1181/1767. Alfa Barry and his successor, Imam Ibrahima Sori, were Fulbe. Or rather, they were Fulbe in language and culture, but not in lifestyle. As Torodbe they had abandoned all pastoral activities and turned to a sedentary life. Their religious prestige was based on the power of their baraka (blessing), the number of children they took charge of until these learned the Qur'an, and the judicial authority they were entrusted with. Thus, certain families would gain more 'force' and incorporate more followers than others. The social fabric of this expanding Torodbe society was lined by a finely shaded system of clientage, not dissimilar to that of the Moorish Zawaya. By embracing Islam, local Mande-speaking groups and Fulbe alike attached themselves to 'families offeree' in order to gain protection in exchange for agricultural, pastoral and other services. This exchange of religious, educational and political against economic services formed the backbone of the 'Sidianke' or 'Sediabe' (from Ar. sayyidl, my master) society, as the patrons were called by their clients, the 'Rimaibe'.

496

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

West Africa

The rise of the 'almamate' reflects the competition for the leadership among the most powerful Sidianke communities and families. The imam

was universally recognised as the principal authority on religious guidance, enforcement of the sharfa, and the direction of military affairs. But it also reflects the successful establishment of an Islamic rule by a class of Torodbe Muslims, who controlled the distribution of land and the profits from its yield, over a society in which being non-Muslim was equivalent to being a slave. The prosperity of the 'almamate' was ultimately rooted in the regime's continuous jihad enterprises against the pagan Mande-speaking and other adjacent peoples who were reduced to slavery. The economic burden of this society came to be borne on the backs of the slaves. Openly stigmatised socially, and forced into distinctive dresses, their women were forbidden to wear jewellery of gold or silver, and their children were not to be instructed in religious matters. They ran their masters' houses and the artisan shops, they worked the fields, and they were used to supply excess labour capacity to slave traders, tied into not only the internal but also the transatlantic slave trades. 14 In 1190/1776, after half a century of battles and wars, Imam Ibrahima Sori ended the jihad' - the one which 'Abd al-Qadir Kan had joined - against the local ruling Jalonke elites, and the Fulbe imamate was widely accepted. The particular circumstances, however, of the ascent of some few powerful jamais into a rigid Muslim 'upper class' that ruled over a vast majority of inferiors and slaves prepared the ground for the Islamic reform movements in the Senegambia some decades later. These were again called jihad'. But they were strongly supported by individuals and groups of all ethnic and social backgrounds that looked to Islam as their source of identity. Despite the sharp dividing lines of status and rank, religious education had spread the knowledge of Islamic values among the population. There, a religious message that calls upon young or old, obedient or rebellious, man or woman, free or slave' 15 could not pass unheard. This passage within the wurd (spiritual devotions) of the

Tijaniyya brotherhood would have just such an effect a little later.

Hausaland and the Chad region

In the landlocked region to the north of the Upper Niger and River Benue, Islamic influences of the Arabic north and east met those of the Sudanic west. Arabic tribes had migrated into the arid plains around Lake Chad. Groups of Wangara traders and clerics appeared in Hausaland and further east. Some of the Fulbe nomads from Futa Toro who had driven their cattle eastwards as far as Bornu turned away from their pastoral life and settled at the fringes of their

497

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

peasant-host societies. In the Kano chronicle, the anonymous compiler (late nineteenth century CE) of local oral tradition allocates this turbulent period to the reign of Sarkin Yakubu, son of Abdulahi Burja (856-68/1452-63):

In Yakubu's time the Fulani came to Hausaland from Melle, bringing with them books on Divinity and Etymology. Formerly our doctors had, in addition to the Qur'an, only the books of the Law and the Traditions. The Fulani passed by and went to Bornu leaving a few men in Hausaland, together with some slaves and people who were tired of journeying. At this time too the Asbenawa [Tuareg from Azbin, Air] came to Gobir, and salt became common in Hausaland. In the following year merchants from Gwanja began coming to Katsina; Beriberi [Kanuri] came in large numbers, and a colony of Arabs arrived. Some of the Arabs settled in Kano and some in Katsina. There

was no war in Hausaland in Yakubu's time. He sent ten horses to the Sarkin Nupe in order to buy eunuchs. 1

All indigenous sources emphasise the eminent role of the Fulbe element in the process of Islamisation of Hausaland. The impact of the successful jihad of the 'Toronkawa', as the Fulbe followers of 'Uthman dan Fodio called them-selves, most certainly suppressed the memory of other influences, such as from the sultanate of Air and the caliphate of Bornu. From the tenth/sixteenth century onwards, both those powers seem to have competed for direct access to the Hausa region. In the distorted view of the jihad literature, the description of the religious tendencies of the people of Azbin', the Kel Geres and Itesen, is not very flattering: either they are roaming thieves who shed blood, care little for Islam and stick to pagan rituals; or they are blunt pagans who do not even claim to be Muslims; only a few are reckoned among the true believers, or even valued as capable scholars. Muhammad Bello, the son of 'Uthman, assessed Islam in Bornu somewhat differently: its sultans performed the pilgrimage, and enacted the Islamic penalties and laws; Islam was also wide-spread among the common people who took an interest in reciting the Qur'an and memorising and writing it out more than anyone else. 17

The long Islamic history of the caliphate of Bornu is recorded by the first indigenous sources of the entire region: the so-called Bornu chronicles composed shortly after 988/1580 by Ahmad ibn Fartuwa, imam of the 'amir al-mu'minin and sultan of the Muslims', King Mai Idris Alawma (r. c. 978-1012 /1571-1603). His praise of the Sayfawa dynasty, whose eternal rule 'was pre-ordained by the writing on the Guarded Tablets', 1 conveys the extent to which Islamic practice and thinking had become the norm and was rooted among the various populations of Bornu. The sharfa was imposed upon everybody, the Muslim festivals were celebrated everywhere, and the

West Africa

max himself often led the daily prayers. Ahmad repeatedly quotes from various commentaries on the Qur'an, and from Arabic dictionaries. That the mass of Bornu appreciated Islamic learning is attested by the privileges

(e.g. exemption from military service and taxation, generous gifts) granted

on extant letter-patents to outstanding Muslim individuals and their clans.

'Abd Allah al-Barnawi (d. 1088/ 1677) was one of them. This scholar (mallam)

was versed in theology and esoteric sciences without having studied much.

But his baraka attracted students, farmers and hunters alike. The community

he established at Kulumbardo, not far from the capital, was subjected to the

strict discipline of Sufi practices: a life in poverty and retreat (khalwa),

dedicated to prayer and 'remembrance of God' (dhikr). Much earlier, a

certain Shaykh Sidi Mahmud had come to Air and attracted people by his piety and asceticism. These are the first signs of a local, still unorganised mystic movement that was only later fused with the tariqa traditions of the

Qadiriyya, the Khalwatiyya and others.

Until the late twelfth/ eighteenth century, however, the evidence of the inner state of Islamic affairs in Bornu remains scanty and biased. The gradual

decline of the caliphate brought about by Tuareg invaders from the north,

raids by the southern pagan Jukun, and the expanding Hausa trade, soon provoked sharp criticism. Muslim scholars raised their voices in accusing the

rulers of Bornu of being unjust and corrupt. Hajirmai Muhammad al-Barnawi

(d. 1168/ 1755) openly castigated the avarice of the rich and the poverty of the

poor, and the persistent practice of pre-Islamic rituals. The reprehensions of

'Uthman dan Fodio, articulated one generation later on behalf of the spreading

discontent and grievances of the rural population in Hausaland, sounded quite

similar.

From piety to policy

Leo Africanus' mention of the Hausa states of Kano, Katsina, Gobir and Zaria - after Ibn Battuta's this is the second and final one in an Arabic external source - implies the supremacy of Bornu over this area. The available indigenous chronicles, the Kano, Hausa and Zamfara chronicles (all composed from the late nineteenth century CE onwards), uncover colourful details of the regional differences, in particular between the western 'city-states' of Kebbi, Zamfara and Gobir, and Kano, Katsina and Zaria in the east. In the middle of the tenth/ sixteenth century, the Muslim kings of Kebbi had resisted attacks from both Songhay and Bornu. From there, Islamic features spread among the political elites of Hausaland. In Katsina, perhaps supported by the influence of the Wangara immigrants, King Ibrahim Maja (r. 956-74/1549-66)

499

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

even tried to prescribe compliance with Islamic laws of marriage on his subjects. One century later, Aliyou is reckoned to have been the first Muslim among the Zamfara kings. The rulers of Bagirmi, south of Bornu and east of Zaria, are also reported to have converted to Islam. The majority of the peasant population, however, as observed by Heinrich Barth in i265f / 1849 in Bagirmi, by 'Uthman's brother 'Abd Allah ibn Fudi (c. 1180-1244/ 1766-1829) in Zamfara, and by Muhammad Bello elsewhere in Hausaland, was hardly touched by Islam:

We must now address the law of enslavement of the people of this country.

They fall into three categories. One category consists of the pure believers.

These were rare before the appearance of the shaykh. Another category mixes

the activities of unbelief with that of Islam. Most of the kings of this country,

their troops and their evil scholars, belong to it. And the category of the unbelievers by birth who did not enter Islam. The mass of the Hausa people

(suddniyyln), called 'Maghuzawa' [from Arabic majus, heathens], belong to

it . . . they may be enslaved. 19

This tri-partition is a Sudanic topos. In pre -jihad Hausaland, the epigones of

this perspective, the category of the 'true believers', were foreigners.

Most of

them were Fulbe. Unlike the Wangara merchants, who long since had reconciled their pious aspirations with their rulers' interests (and thus become

'mixers'), the Fulbe kept aloof of the capitals and centres of power. Only a few

details are known of how they preserved and cultivated their Muslim lifestyle

in the countryside. Ethnic coherence, sometimes unmasked as 'snobbishness',

and the profits from slave -farming provided for a relatively independent communal life and the leisure of scholarship. The widespread links among

the Fulbe communities made books and ideas from the outside available.

When 'Uthman, who was himself descended from a Rimaibe clan, started his

career in 1774 as a wandering preacher among the Fulbe tribes in western Hausaland, the country was torn by endless wars between the rivalling city-states. He witnessed how injustice, corruption and laxity in religious matters got out of hand among the rulers, and poverty and misery

within the rural population. At some point of his persistent da'wa (mission)

over thirty years, his reserved admonition 'to order what is right and to forbid

what is wrong' changed into a programme of political reform. When King Bawa of Gobir died (around 1789) 'Uthman's sermons and writings, some

having meanwhile been put into Fulfulde and Hausa verses, had reached larger parts of the population. They were told not only, perhaps for the first

time, how to cleanse themselves hygienically and to perform the ritual

West Africa

ablutions correctly, but also that their officially Islamic rulers trampled all over the sharfa. Five years later, a mystical encounter of 'Uthman with [Abd al-Qadir al-JTlam (d. 561/1166), the Hanbali eponym of the Qadiriyya, set the course. 'Uthman was girded with the 'Sword of Truth' and instructed to perform the hijra in order to escape the clutches of King Yunfa of Gobir, and to reform (islah) and renew (tajdx) Islam in Hausaland.

This synthesis of elements of reformed Sufi brotherhood movements that had been spreading for some time in West Africa and were prominently mediated by the Zawi scholar Sidi al-Mukhtar al-Kunti (d. 1226/1811), with militant jihad strategies, marked the beginning of a new stage in the Islamisation of the Sudan. In Hausaland, the Wangara, the Muslim exponents of coexistence with rulers who kept up the worship of traditional deities, were ousted as 'enemies of God'. Yandoto, one of their centres, was violently taken and destroyed. c Uthman mobilised his jama'a of 'fighters' (mujahidun) against both kings and 'evil scholars'. The order he intended to erect in its place, however, remained shadowy. From his early statements, it could be summarised as a 'good Islamic governance', close to what al-Maghili had outlined three centuries before - and just as it was to be revived by modern Muslim scholars of Niamey, two centuries later. Most important, perhaps, for the initial success of his jihad was his explicit refusal to declare a grave sinner to be an unbeliever, contrary to what his uncompromising teacher, Jibril ibn 'Umar who had twice made the pilgrimage to the Wahhabi dominated holy places, had taught. This dogmatic leniency matches the popular beginnings of 'Uthman's da'wa. In Hausa, which he did not speak as well as his native

tongue, he nonetheless sought to win the Hausa peasantry as followers and believers. Some joined him, like his early lieutenant, the Arewa Hausa scholar 'Abd al-Salam (d. 1233/1818), only to revolt against his son and successor. The ultimate failure of this revolutionary objective uncovers the spiritual limits of the jihad. Springing from Toronkawa piety, it found spontaneous yet short-lived support among Muslim and non-Muslim Hausas - who and where to draw the dividing line? - and resulted in the supremacy of a religious Fulbe aristocracy. In adjacent areas as well, in Adamawa and Nupe, the Fulbe elites dominated the Islamic reform movements. The crucial certainty of being a Muslim, and being respected as one, was achieved along ethnic lines. In theory, Maliki law in the hands of Fulbe scholars defined the line; in practice, it was the need for slaves that drew it. The liberation of conversion and confession from social and ethnic constraints, the germ of which was implanted in 'Uthman's mystical experiences, had to be set in motion in other ways.

501

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Notes

i. E. W. Bovill, *The golden trade of the Moors*, 2nd edn, Oxford, 1978, 2.09.

2. J. Hunwick and F. Harrak (eds.), *Mi'raj al-su'ud: Ahmad Babd's replies on slavery*, Rabat, 2000, p. 28/ar. 58.

3. Edited, translated and commented by H. Forkl, Politik zwischen den Zeilen:
Arabische Handschriften der Wandald in Nordkamerun, Berlin, 1995.
4. Edited by N. Levtzion in I. Wilks, N. Levtzion and B. M. Haight (eds.),
Chronicles
from Gonja, Cambridge, 1986, 152-7.
5. Leo Africanus (al-Hasan ibn Muhammad al-Wazzan al-Fasi),
Description de Africa
y delas cosas notables que en ella se encuentran, Madrid, 1952, 13, 24.
6. J. S. Trimingham, A history of Islam in West Africa, London, 1962, 94.
7. al-Sa'di, Ta'rikh al-sudan, ed. and trans. O. Houdas, Paris, 1964, p.
109/ar. 67 and
p. 28/ar. 15.
8. Mahmud Ka'ti, Ta'rikh al-fattash, Paris, 1964, p. 225f. /ar. 124ft
9. Ibid. p. 308 /ar. 175.
10. al-Sa'di, Ta'rikh al-sudan, pp. 22-3 /ar. 11-12.
11. R. Jobson, The golden trade, London, 1932, vff, 561". and passim. Cf.
N. Levtzion,
'Islam in the Bilad al-Sudan to 1800', in Nehemia Levtzion and Randall
L. Pouwels (eds.), The history of Islam in Africa, Athens, Ohio, 2000, 61-
91.
12. Cited from K. L. Green, 'Dyula and Sonongui roles in the Islamization
of the
region of Kong', in N. Levtzion and H.J. Fisher (eds.), Rural and urban
Islam in
West Africa, Boulder and London, 1987, 107.
13. Mungo Park, Travels in the interior districts of Africa, ed. with intro.
K. F. Masters,
Durham and London, 2000, 166, 188.
14. D. Robinson, 'Revolutions in the Western Sudan', in N. Levtzion and
R. I.
Pouwels (eds.), The history of Islam in Africa, Athens, Ohio, 2000, 134.
15. J. R. Willis, In the path of Allah: The passion of Al-Hajj 'Umar, London,
1989, 72.

16. Translated from an Arabic manuscript by H. R. Palmer, *Sudanese Memoirs*, 3 vols., London, 1967 [new impression], vol. III, ill.

17. Muhammad Balu, *Infdq al-maysur fi M.dd al-Takrur*, ed. and annot. by B. al-Shadhill, Rabat, 1996, 56.

18. Ta'rikh Mai IdrTs wa-ghazawatihi, trans, as *The Kanem Wars* by H. R. Palmer, *Sudanese Memoirs*, vol. I, 26, 70.

19. Muhammad Balu, *Infdq al-maysur*, 300-1.

502

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

18

Ottoman Maghrib

HOUARI TOUATI

Introduction

Ibn Khaldun's description of the Maghrib of his day is a striking one, one which, as might be expected, illustrates his theory of the fall of civilisations.

The Maghrib he describes labours under all kinds of troubles: the impoverish-

ment and weakening of its states, worsening of its peoples' living conditions,

the waning of its trade (particularly with the Sudan) and the deterioration of its

cities. The Maghribi scholar sees such a decline in the infrastructure of the area

that it appears 'deserted and empty, with the exception of the coast and the

surrounding hills'. 1

This view is borne out in post-Khaldunian Maghrib, which sees its states disintegrating, its agriculture deteriorating and its trade collapsing; and then, while the mountains close in on themselves, the plains face disruption by the Bedouin tribes who no longer have the political allegiances which previously held their taste for power in check. Local notables sprang up everywhere, similar to those described in the ninth/fifteenth-century corpus of jurisprudential law known as the Nawazil of Mazouna. When crossing the Ghris plain in 921/1515, Leo Africanus noted that the population fell into two groups: on the one hand, the settled population living in the hills in houses 'very properly built with walls' 2 and cultivating the land, with on the other hand the nomads living in tents and tending cattle on the plains. This pattern of habitation of the land and the resultant type of work arising from it grew into a relationship of political domination. The settled population was at the mercy of the 'much nobler' Bedouins. These nomads were the Banu Rashid, proteges of the Zayyanids of Tlemcen. The prosperous Ghris plain they controlled provided the makhzan with revenue estimated at 25,000 ducats by Leo Africanus (or 40,000 pistols according to Marmol). They were a considerable fighting force, numbering up to 25,000 men, of cavalry and infantry, making them one of the most important groupings in the kingdom. In Leo Africanus's time, their qaHd was involved in

The New Cambridge History of Islam

all the court intrigues in Tlemcen. He was related by marriage to the sultan Abu Harnmu III and one of his grandsons was a pretender to the throne. After the Banu Rashid, the Banu Amir were the other significant great tribe, or rather tribal grouping. Their domination extended over the plains of Tessala, M'lata and Zidour, and they were one of the pillars of the kingdom before participating in its dismemberment by their alliance with the Spanish when they besieged the coast of central Maghrib at the beginning of the tenth/sixteenth century.

At the time when the Nawazil of Mazouna were compiled, this Bedouin domain had to face up to the emergence of a new claimant to tribal leadership: maraboutism. This threat grew from the forces of religion rather than arms. In fact it was in the name of a competing religious authority to that of the 'ulama\ who were learned in the scriptures, that sharifs and marabouts became the key players in Maghribi rural life. While the former claimed their hereditary charisma (they claimed a genealogy linking them back to the Prophet of Islam), the latter claimed miraculous powers as shown in their unheard-of claim of proximity to God. Whilst the Bedouin lords expressed their warlike drive, these ritual figures opposed it with their baraka, a type of paroxysmic energy. They were peaceful most of the time, but this mysterious force could easily mutate into furia, especially at times of crisis. As a result they were able to reshape the morphology of post-Khaldunian Maghrib to their advantage, some features of which still exist today.

These homines novi took their place in the Maghrib of the time as a socio-logical phenomenon whose rise was linked not only to the restructuring of tribal rituals urgently requiring living figures, but also to the political vacuum filled by the rise in power of the Bedouin lords. This is why the settled tribes

were drawn to them as mediators able to intercede as much between them and the heavenly powers as between them and the powers of this world - a world dominated by Bedouin lords and local notables. In return they agreed to hand over a proportion of their profits. In order to guarantee their own loyalty, they contractualised their commitments in the form of 'stipulations' (sharts) which they renewed for their descendants drawn up into sacred lineages. In their way, these men endowed with baraka brought a solution to the widespread crisis of patronage in the Maghribi countryside in the ninth/fifteenth and tenth/sixteenth centuries. But this came at the price of greater social disintegration. For instead of calming the crisis, they to some extent kept it going. However, this did not prevent them, at a tribal level, from being an important factor in social integration and cohesion.

At the end of the ninth/fifteenth century, the towns faced similar difficulties owing to the weakening or absence of centralised political authority in the

504

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

country. As in the mountains and the plains, for their survival they had to draw on their own resources. Oran, linked to Tlemcen by a fictional link of sovereignty, comes over as a little trading republic in the hands of numerous Muslims driven out of Spain, who had populated it and made it prosper. Strengthened by its very long-standing trade with Italy and also by its pirate economy, Bougie shook off all royal control. Tlemcen fell into anarchy amid

palace intrigues where 'kings are dethroned by the ambitions of their sons and sons fight over their inheritance from their father'.³ The only areas it controlled were those immediately on its borders. In the east, there was equal confusion in the kingdom of Tunis. Like the political masters in central Maghrib, the Hafsids lost all their power. The sultan had so little control over the capital that he had to resort to protection from a Christian guard. The coastal cities of Oran, Algiers, Bone, Bougie and Tripoli also became independent principalities. They were followed by the towns of the interior. Capitalising on the shrinking of the territory of Hafsid Ifriqiya, Constantine declared its independence. In the south, protected by its insularity, Djerba escaped in the same way from the authority of the Hafsids. Further west, the Touggourt oasis had independent sultans.

Following this break-up, the Maghrib found itself with a new geo-political profile which was to lead to the formation of the different countries which make up the modern-day Maghrib: Algeria emerging from the combined debris of the Zayyanid kingdoms in the west, the Hammadis in the centre and the Hafsids in the east, Tunisia growing up from lesser Ifriqiya (in contrast with greater Ifriqiya which took in the region of Constantine), and Libya making up its land from its traditional geography of passageway between Egypt and the Maghrib. The Turks introduced the concept of a border, hitherto unknown in the Maghrib, to these divisions. Until that time, anarchy ruled. It was so widespread that a secretary of the Catholic Kings of Spain was drawn to write with justification in 1494 that 'the whole country is in such a state of mind that it seems that God wishes to give it to their majesties'.⁴

The weak political situation of the Maghrib in the ninth/fifteenth century favoured the Spanish, who little by little between 911/1505 and 917/1511 occupied the major points on its Mediterranean coast. What were these conquerors looking for? Whether these new vantage points were a means of consolidating the reconquista or were a basis for the colonisation of the country is hard to judge. If it is true that Spain had a policy towards Africa, this was

thwarted by the people of Algiers' calls to the intrepid Turkish sailors, the Barbarossa brothers.

505

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The Turks in the Maghrib

The oldest of the Barbarossas, Oruj ('Barbarossa' may be a corruption of Baba

Oruj) was also the chief of the clan. He started out as a fearsome pirate in the

eastern Mediterranean before moving his activity to the coast of the Maghrib.

He is said to have had, from 910/1504 onwards, permission from the Hafsid

sultan Abu 'Abd Allah ibn al-Hasan (r. 899-932/1494-1526) to make La Goulette a base port. The Barbarossa brothers started out with only two vessels, but as a result of remarkable captures their fleet grew to eight galliots

in 916/1510. The Hafsid sultan, who had a share in their profits, gave them

permission to take on supplies in other ports and in particular in 916/1510 to

establish a secondary base in Djerba for ten or twelve vessels from their fleet.

Hailed as champions of their faith, they were held in high esteem by the local

populations for transporting thousands of Mudejars to Ifriqiya.

At the same time as the corsair brothers were sailing the western Mediterranean, the Spaniards began the occupation of Mers El-Kebir (911/1505), Oran (914/1508), Bougie and Tripoli (916/1510). Their failure to

take Djerba in 918/1512 did not diminish the achievement of these victories.

The fear of suffering the same fate as Oran (where Cardinal Ximenez had orchestrated the massacre of 4,000 inhabitants and the capture of 8,000 others,

before consecrating the two main mosques as Catholic churches in May 1509) led most of the other threatened ports to surrender without a shot. One after the other, Tenes (before the capture of Oran), Algiers (in 916/1510), Dellys, Cherchell and Mostaganem (in 917/1511) agreed to pay tribute. Algiers went as far as to offer to Pedro Navarro to build the fortress of the Penon on one of its islands, its cannons pointing at the town hardly 300 metres away serving as a reminder of why the Spaniards were there.

In 918/1512, despairing of being able to retake the town from its Spanish conquerors, the Hafsid governor of Bougie called upon Oruj, but the corsair could not carry through the assault. He undertook a naval blockade of the town with his twelve vessels and more than 1,000 Turkish soldiers, while the governor with 3,000 Moors was laying siege on land: it was here that his arm was torn off by a cannonball. He was quickly taken back to Tunis by his brother Khayreddin, and took some time to recover. Back in action in Jumada II 920/ August 1514, he again attacked Bougie, with twelve ships and 1,100 soldiers, without success. Bad weather and the appearance of a Spanish squadron, combined with a rout amongst the locally drafted troops, persuaded them to lift the siege. As he could not return to Tunis where he was no longer welcome, he established himself in Djidjelli, making it his base port.

addition to helping the population of the area gravely affected by famine, did he also get involved in the disputes between the two main 'kings' of Kabylia? In 922/1516 he gained an important ally by contributing to the victory of the leader of the Banu 'Abbas over his Kuku enemy. In other respects, it was the international situation that led to the rise of the condottiere.

The news of the death of Ferdinand the Catholic, in January of the same year, reached the Maghrib towns occupied by the Spaniards. The inhabitants of Algiers saw this as an opportunity to free themselves from the Pefion, but they had neither the strength nor the means to act on their own. So they pressed their shaykh, Salim al-Tumi, to call on Oruj. The corsair seized the opportunity to occupy Cherchell, which was in the hands of another Turkish adventurer, before bombarding the Pefion - in vain. He nonetheless entered Algiers in triumph. The corsair and his men behaved as though they were in charge. Seeing his authority threatened, the shaykh of Algiers sought ways and means of getting rid of his overbearing ally. He mobilised the Tha'aliba from the Mitidja - who had been controlling the town since the eighth/fourteenth century - and some of the people of Algiers before obtaining the support of the Spaniards. They all came together to eliminate the corsair. On discovering the conspiracy, Oruj put to death the tribal chief: his son only escaped the massacre by taking refuge with the Spaniards. From then on, the way was open to him to seize power, even at the price of using violence against recalcitrants. The ensuing executions and imprisonments were to become the pattern for the Turkish regime throughout its existence. Following this, he repulsed a Spanish landing led by Diego de Vera on 3 Ramadan 922/30 September 1516. The nobles gave in and Algiers had a new leader.

The 'king' of Tenes, an ally of the Spaniards and encouraged by them, tried his hand against Oruj, but the Turkish leader went to meet him and defeated

him roundly. Pushing his advantage, he seized Milyana, Medea and, ultimately, Tenes. According to the Ghazawat, 5 it was at this time that the lands conquered by the condottiere were divided into an eastern province, with Djidjelli as its chief city, which was under his authority, and a province of Algiers and lands in the west, the control of which he handed to his brother Khayreddm.

Owing to their role in throwing off the Spaniards, the Turks were seen as saviours rather than power-seekers. Thus it was that the inhabitants of Tlemcen called upon Oruj to rid them of their king, who had accepted Spanish sovereignty in 917/1511. Entrusting the government of Algiers to his brother Khayreddm, the new strong man of the central Maghrib headed up an

507

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

expeditionary force, which, on the way to Banu Rashid territory, occupied the small town of Qal'a, which was put under the control of Ishaq, the youngest of the three Barbarossa brothers. Without too much difficulty the Turkish troops beat the army of the sultan Abu Hammu III in open country in Sha'ban 923 /September 1517. Oruj entered the old capital of central Maghrib in triumph. But instead of handing the throne to the pretender Abu Zayyan, who had no links with the Spaniards, he made himself master of the city and sent his soldiers out into the lands of the Beni Snassen. Following this, he began talks with the Wattasid sultan of Fez to persuade him to form an alliance against the Spaniards. The Spaniards reacted immediately to this. In Dhu T Hijja 923/January 1518, with the help of a large contingent of Banu 'Amir, they took Qal'a and cut off the lines of communication with Algiers. Ishaq and his

soldiers were put to the sword. In May, an expeditionary column left Oran in the direction of Tlemcen. This was the beginning of a six-month siege. Oruj resisted, hoping for the support of the king of Fez. But in a customary turn-around, the same populations which had earlier called on them turned on them; he retreated with a small group of loyal supporters to the fortress of the Mishwar. The vice was tightened, and their supplies were cut off. They managed to escape under cover of darkness. They were pursued and caught probably near Rio Salado (present-day Oued El-Malah, situated mid-way between Tlemcen and Oran) where, following fierce fighting, they were all massacred in autumn 924/1518.

Oruj's meteoric career came to a brutal end at the age of forty-four or forty-five. Carried away by his own daring, the corsair had overestimated the support of the local populations and underestimated the strength of the enemy to the extent of putting up fights without ensuring firm back-up. Yet his death did not threaten the Turkish ventures in Africa. To some extent, it relaunched them. It was the start of a new era 'for the fame and renown of Algiers and Barbary'. Its achievements must not be lost. Having previously operated in his brother's shadow, Khayreddin showed himself to be a worthy successor, both militarily and politically.

The defence of Algiers gave him the opportunity of using his talents as a chief. At its collapse, he confronted the expedition led by Hugo de Moncada, viceroy of Sicily, consisting of eighty sailing-ships and 6,000 men according to Manfroni, forty boats and 5,000 men according to Grammont, and 170 ships and 20,000 men according to the Ghazawat. In mid-August, the enemy disembarked near to the Oued El-Harrac 7 and took up positions on Kudyat al-Sabun, the 'hill of soap'. With 600 Turks and 20,000 natives, Khayreddin got the upper hand and forced him to re-embark. At the same time a storm blew

Ottoman Maghrib

up and led to the loss of a large number of the Spanish vessels. The Turks and their allies took the opportunity of taking many prisoners, including thirty-six higher-ranking officers. Algiers was saved. Now it remained to protect its dependencies. The king of Tlemcen, who had come to help out his Spanish allies, was beaten and his march on Milyana halted. The Turks considered retaking Tenes, which was the most important port to the west of Algiers, but put this off until the following year. Seizing the opportunity of the populations' revolt against its cai'd, the Turks occupied the port area in spring 927/1520 while one of their squadrons of eighteen ships drove away fifteen Spanish ships which had been sent to the aid of the city.

Khayreddin ruled over huge territories in central Maghrib. Building on his brother's model of administrative organisation, he entrusted the government of the two provinces of the east and the west to two native chiefs, Ahmad ibn al-Qadi and Muhammad ibn 'All, at the same time as taking the name of Khayreddin (his real name was Khidir or Khidr) along with the title of sultan as revealed by an inscription in the mosque of Algiers, built by him and bearing the date Jumada I 926 /April 1520.

His intention had been to put his kingdom onto a legitimate footing at the same time as securing for himself the assistance at least of the Ottoman empire. To achieve this, he sent to Selim I, the Ottoman caliph, a mission of four ships

bearing a petition from the population of Algiers dated Shawwal-Dhu Tqa'da 926 /October-November 1519, requesting the protection of the Porte and numerous gifts for him and the pashas of the Divan. A few months later he received a decree (khatt-i sheaf) giving him the title of pasha and designating him 'amir of the amxrs' (beglerbegi). But most importantly the sultan provided him with what he wanted most urgently - 2,000 janissaries and some artillery. They were soon joined by 4,000 Levantines, thanks to their being given permission to enlist volunteers who, according to Haedo, were granted by the Regency the same rights and privileges as the janissaries. The 'kingdom of Algiers' now had the military foundations it was to keep throughout its existence. It was absorbed into the Ottoman empire in 927/1520, it struck its own coins and had Friday prayers - the khutba - pronounced on behalf of the caliph.

The reinforcements they needed arrived in time to try simultaneously to put an end to the conspiracy between the people of Algiers and Kabylia stirred up by their former ally Ibn al-Qadi and to counter another attack on Algiers led by Hugo de Moncada. Abandoned in the midst of the action by the troops of the 'king' of Kuku, who had allied himself with the Hafsids of Tunis, Khayreddin was forced out of Algiers. He once again took refuge with nine ships in Djidjelli. From there he began to try to reconquer the country. Firstly

509

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

he seized Collo in 1521 CE, and then Bone and Constantine in 929/1522.

Whether or not he was able to hold on to these towns is not certain, certainly as regards Constantine. For some reason he resumed his activities as a corsair in the Mediterranean, operating with seven ships, increasing to forty-one a year later.

Having regained his power, he returned to reconquer Algiers in 932/1525.

His chance came when he was called upon by the disgruntled inhabitants who were losing their income from piracy. There followed the long process of retaking the country. Between 933/1526 and 935/1528, Cherchell, Tenes and Constantine submitted to his authority. Then turning his attention to the Penon, which was a constant threat to Algiers, Khayreddm attacked with great force. At the end of three weeks of bombardment, the twenty-five men still alive out of 150 surrendered the fortress before meeting their own ends in the beating inflicted on them on 19 Ramadan 935/27 May 1529. The decision was taken to destroy the Penon and to reuse the debris to build the breakwater which was to link the island on which it stood to the other islands close to the mainland. Algiers finally had a proper port. Meanwhile, nine Spanish vessels which had come to the aid of the fortress were captured.

In 939/1532, Khayreddm believed he had sufficient control of the situation in central Maghrib to confront the king of Tlemcen and to force him to pay a tribute of 30,000 gold pieces, despite the support of a squadron of fourteen Spanish vessels moored in Oran. Times were hard for the Spaniards. Although they occupied Oran and Bougie, they were no longer a serious threat to the 'kingdom of Algiers' encouraged by the success of its corsairs in the Mediterranean. In that year, fifteen vessels from Algiers left to sack the Spanish coasts. They were splendidly victorious: fourteen of the fifteen Spanish boats defending the coast were taken. The boot was on the other foot. The jails of Algiers were full of captives. That year saw a revolt by 7,000 of them, led by twenty Spanish nobles whose ransom of 20,000 sequins had

been refused. Their desperate bid failed in a bloodbath.

The Ottomans' ambitions now covered the whole of the Maghrib. In 941/1534, Khayreddin took to sea with a fleet of eighty-four ships, eighteen belonging to him, five to other corsairs, the remaining sixty-one having being newly built with the express mission, ordered by the caliph, of taking Tunis from the Hafsids who were in alliance with the Spaniards. The people of Tunis, far from showing their hostility, welcomed them in Bizerta and La Goulette.

Only short bouts of fighting were needed to enter Tunis in Safar 941/August 1534. Mawlay Hasan (r. 932-50/1526-43) turned to Charles V for

510

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Ottoman Maghrib

help in recovering his kingdom. The emperor was concerned about Ottoman expansion in the Mediterranean and gave his support. At the beginning of the summer of 941/1535, he headed for Tunis leading a powerful armada of 400 sailing ships transporting 40,000 men. He threw out the Turks and returned the fallen sultan to the throne. Under the terms of a treaty putting Hafsid Ifriqiya under the sovereignty of the Spaniards, the protectors occupied La Goulette and began to build - as they had in Algiers - a fortress to defend Tunis from attacks from the sea. This success resounded throughout all of Christian Europe, heightened by a renewed crusading spirit on behalf particularly of the pope and the Italian princes. Forced back to Bone, Khayreddin replied with a surprise attack on Mahon where he took 6,000 prisoners and

significant booty. This impressive action was his last exploit as leader of the corsairs of Algiers. He was appointed admiral-in-chief (qapudan pasha), and took command of the fleet of the sultan Siileyman. For thirty years he guaranteed the Ottoman navy a complete upper hand in the Mediterranean.

On leaving Algiers, he handed over government to his faithful lieutenant Hasan Agha (943-50/1536-43) whose reign was marked out by Charles V's expedition of 948/1541. Assured of the neutrality of the king of France, the Spanish emperor put up against the people of Algiers an impressive armada of 516 sailing ships transporting 12,330 sailors and 24,000 soldiers. Fearing an attack by sailors from Algiers, the Spanish fleet landed at the mouth of the Oued El-Harrach on 23 October, at a time of year when the sea is dangerous. Charles V established his camp on the heights of Kudiyat al-Sabun, since known as the Emperor's Fort, from where he could dominate the town. In the night of the 24/25 October, the weather worsened and the gathering storm destroyed half of the armada. On 3 November, exposed to the elements and the harassment of the Turks, the Spanish troops embarked on the remainder of the surviving fleet, leaving Algiers with tremendous booty, and even more unexpectedly, a reputation for invincibility which endured until 1245/1830.

At the risk of suffering the wrath of the presidio of Oran, Tlemcen looked again towards Algiers. Encouraged by the victory of the Turks he had supported, the sultan Mawlay Hasan renounced his allegiance to Spain. The governor of Oran, the count of Alcaudete, who had a replacement sultan ready and waiting, immediately headed up an expeditionary force to install one of the brothers of their old ally, c Abd Allah, on 2 Dhu TQa c da 949/6 February 1543. Rejected by the people, the young king was chased out as soon as his protectors had gone. The Zayyanid ally of the Turks recovered his throne. But the instability of central Maghrib was such that, four years later, in the absence of the janissaries who had left Tlemcen to go to the aid of

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Mostaganem under siege from the count of Alcaudete, he broke off his alliance and asked for the protection of Spain in 954/1547. In the confusion

the Sa c dis - Saharan Sharifs who had beaten the Wattasids of Fez who were

more or less allies of the Turks - launched their troops to conquer the neighbouring kingdom. They occupied Tlemcen, seized Mostaganem and made inroads into the valley of the Chelif. The reaction of Hasan Pasha (951-8/ 1544-51), son of Khayreddin and successor to Hasan Agha, was swift.

The Sharifs' troops were crushed by an army led by Hasan Corso and supported by allied tribes. Mostaganem and Tlemcen were taken back. But

instead of installing a new vassal king in the Zayyanid capital, Hasan Corso left

behind a Turkish garrison. The old capital of central Maghrib had been assimilated into the 'Regency of Algiers'. When they ended the Spanish protectorate over Tlemcen, the Turks ended Spanish hopes of ever being able to overcome the hinterland. The whole Spanish policy of restrained occupation of the nerve centres of the coast was destroyed. Unlike the Spaniards, the Turks of Algiers had always tried to leave a garrison in each

town in the interior they occupied. This direct government of the country did

not exclude other kinds of administration as there were so few of them and the

country was so large. Contrary to their rivals, the Turks did not stop at settling

on the coast of the country. They dominated the Tell and subjugated the first

fringes of the Sahara. In Shawwal 959/October 1552, Salih Rels forced the

oases of Touggourt and Wargla, more than twenty days on foot from Algiers,

to pay tribute to the Regency - which they continued to do until 1245/1830.

Two years later, he mounted an expedition against Fez and chased out the Saharan Sharifs, installing a Wattasid sultan of his choice. Under his reign, Yahya Rels, who between 955/1548 and 960/1552 had spread terror on the Spanish coasts, taking more than 4,000 prisoners, retook Bougie from the Spaniards in 963/1555. The following year, Oran was besieged by land and sea. But the Ottoman galleys were called away to another mission in the Mediterranean, so it was relieved early. As rivalry between Christianity and Islam grew, 'professional Turks', as Haedo called them, continued to flow into the area, giving Algiers more of an edge as the Mediterranean capital. At their peak they were represented by 'Uluğ 'All, a convert from Calabria who became a beglerbegi, the last person to hold this title, between 976/1568 and 1099/1687. Thanks to him, the kingdom of Tunis was incorporated into the Ottoman empire in 977/1569.

At the time when the Turks arrived in the Maghrib, the kingdom faced changes as radical as those facing central Maghrib. The Hafsids, the ruling dynasty, faced the same difficulties as the Zayyanids in Tlemcen. Their

512

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Ottoman Maghrib

country was divided and their authority practically non-existent. Al-Hasan, caught up in an impossible situation in 932/1526, had to struggle with dissidence in the south of Tunis at the same time as dealing with court intrigues and fending off his son's rebellion. He held on to the throne thanks to the Spaniards. Yet outside Tunis his protectors offered him little support. In

942/1535, they failed before Mahdiyya. Two years later, their attack on Sousse ended in another failure. And although the energetic Doria overcame

Sfax, Sousse and Monastir in 955/1548, these efforts did not lead to anything.

Isolated, the sultan had no social support. Abandoned by his troops in his expedition against Qayrawan, he survived the massacre by chance. The whole

of the Tunisian south was against him. The whiff of revolt was so strong that

his Spanish protectors had to evacuate Monastir.

Exploiting the near lack of succession besetting Ifriqiya, an unknown corsair came to the fore. Like Khayreddin, who had freed him from the Genoese who had taken him prisoner in 947/1540, while he was massing his

boats on the Corsican shore, Turghud established his base in Djerba until its

liberation three years later. But it was in Tunis that he chose to sell the booty

he had taken in the Mediterranean. In 951/1544, he occupied Mahdiyya, before

being dislodged by the Spaniards. Surprised in his base in Djerba by Doria's

fleet in 958/1551, he managed to escape thanks to a hastily dug channel. Back at

sea, he offered his services to the Ottoman government who were preparing

more naval campaigns. Thus he took part in a first campaign against the Order

of the Knights of Malta, then a second against Tripoli in 958/1551. The siege of

Malta failed while that of Tripoli in Libya was crowned with success. He coveted, and in 963/1555 got, the governorship of Tripolitania along with the

title of beglerbegi. With a new base port on African soil, he could concentrate

on the reconquest of Ifriqiya. The south fell like a ripe fruit into his hands.

Gafsa, which had once refused him, now opened its doors to him and received

him in triumph on 18 Safar 965/20 December 1556. Qayrawan - the spiritual

capital of Ifriqiya - submitted to him in its turn on 13 Rabi' I 965/3 January 1558.

Two years later, he took part in the battle of Djerba. This was an important

undertaking for the Ottoman empire since it was planned and prepared for by

Istanbul. It resulted in the triumph of the Turks over the Christians, chiefly the Spaniards. Until the end of its life with the siege of Malta in 1076/ 1665, Tripoli was feared as a Mediterranean power. 9

Now the conquest of Ifriqiya was begun, it fell to the Turks of Algiers to finish the job. In Jumada II 977/December 1569, 'Uluġ 'All seized Tunis. But the troops he left there were unable to hold on to it. They were chased out by the autumn of 981/1573. In order better to protect the city, Don Juan of

513

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Austria reinforced the Spanish presence with an army corps of 8,000 men. But in spite of their numbers, the Spanish soldiers also gave in. The following year, the Turkish troops retook Tunis and La Goulette. The Hafsid reign was ended and a dream was shattered. Spanish supremacy on African soil disappeared for ever.

A military regime

Khayreddin can with justification be called the founder of the 'state of the Algerians' - a phrase which for a long time described the regime installed by the Turks in Algiers. By organising it on a military basis it allowed the militia of the janissaries (ojaq) to dominate the running of affairs. Recruited not only from Anatolia, but also from other areas of the Levant, this military aristocracy, which was run on egalitarian lines, elected their chiefs. Any of its members could rise up the hierarchy by seniority, up as far as the agka, the overall captain of the militia, a position abandoned after a short time in favour

of the mansul-agka, a sort of honorary agka. Made up of several companies of variable size, they were accommodated in dormitories of twelve to twenty men (urta), in barracks.

They had a strong esprit de corps, and were very careful to protect corporate interests which a council - the Divan - was in charge of defending. This did not mean that they could not be indisciplined or even brutal. They did not spare their leaders, and would quickly turn their impetuosity against the people. This was due to their annoying habit of confusing their interests with those of the state. Before eventually succeeding in the last quarter of the tenth/ sixteenth century, they tried several times to seize power by directing attacks against the beglerbegis and the rival corporation of the re'ts, the captain of the navy.

In contrast with members of the militia, the captains of the navy were Turks, Moors and, above all, renegades originating mainly from the poorest Christian areas of the Mediterranean (Calabria, Sicily, Corsica.) Attracted by the wealth of Algiers, these adventurers came to turn themselves into 'professional Turks' with the aim of achieving glory and fortune, and sometimes to get themselves into the highest positions of state. The re'xs were mainly involved in piracy but they sometimes joined in the naval campaigns of the Ottoman empire against the Christian enemy powers. In 966/1558, they had thirty-five galleys, twenty-five brigantines or frigates and other ships they could arm should the need arise. Algiers was proud of them as they were the source of its prosperity. From 976/1568, this meritocracy was to share in

Ottoman Maghrib

the privileges of the ojaq by admitting janissaries into its teams. This initiative appears to have come from Muhammad Pasha who 'ended the great discord which had long prevailed in Algiers between the two corps'. 10 In fact this pasha only soothed the tensions between the two groups, which soon resumed indefinitely.

At the height of the Regency, beglerbegis appointed by Istanbul ruled the country either directly on behalf of the Ottoman sultan, or via the intermediary of lieutenants (khalifas) who sometimes succeeded them while exerting their own authority over the pashas of Tunis and Tripoli. Since the time of the Barbarossas, their ambition had been to found one single state bringing together all the Barbarossa kingdoms. 'Uluj 'All was the last great 'king of Algiers' who wished to fulfil this project, opposed by the militia who feared that if it happened they would be excluded from political decisions. After 996/1587, they managed to convince the sultan that 'if c Uluj 'All conquered the kingdom of Fez, with such a powerful army and already controlling Tripoli where one of his renegades was in charge, he could easily rise up and make himself master of all of Barbary'. 11 Taking their side, the sultan carried out a reform of the governments of Tripoli, Tunis and Algiers resulting in him delegating his authority to pashas with their appointments being renewed every three years.

This was the situation until the middle of the tenth/ sixteenth century. Whereas until now it had been part of the Ottoman army stationed in North Africa, the ojaq emerged, after 1070/ 1659, as an army holding power to serve its own ends. In that year the chief of the janissaries (agha al-[^]askef) staged a coup d'etat which he justified by accusing the pashas sent from Istanbul of corruption and poor leadership of a government hampered in its relations with the European powers. The Regency now had interests which did not

necessarily coincide with those of the sultan. Instead of improving the political situation, this show of strength only served to worsen it. The four aghas, appointed between 1070/ 1659 and 1082 /1671, were massacred by the ojaq. On every occasion it was the resources of the state, in particular those acquired from piracy, which were behind the dissent between the militia and its leaders. The assassination of c Ali (1075-82/ 1664-71), the last agha of the janissaries, who had led the country since 1070/ 1659, was brought about by the destruction of seven of Algiers' best ships by a British flotilla. To replace the agha of the janissaries, the insurgent re'ts appointed an officer who was given the title of Dey. After 1101/ 1689, and another dramatic event, the election of the Dey passed from the re^is to the militia. From then on, the Regency looked more like a military republic ruled on behalf of the sultan by leaders chosen by the

515

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

ojaq and protecting their own interests. Istanbul's formal link with Algiers continued to consist of sending a pasha, but this was largely an honorary position. In 1123/ 1711, 'Ali Shawush, the tenth Dey, put an end to this 'duality of power', nominal in the case of the pashas and real in the case of the Deys, by refusing to receive Istanbul's envoy and persuading the caliph to grant the title of pasha to him instead.

In Tunis, Sinan Pasha's victory paved the way for the establishment of a regime similar to that of Algiers. The Regency was administered by a pasha,

but the agha of the militia held great powers. As in Algiers, the ojaq and the body of re'is formed the structure of the Turkish presence in Tunisia. At the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century, the ojaq included forty sections of 100 soldiers each, commanded by subaltern officers who were given the familiar title of Dey (maternal uncle). The military council (diwan aVasker), where the higher officers (boliik bashi-s) sat, functioned - in the same way as the one in Algiers - as a council of government. Sinan Pasha co-opted native notables to it in order to soften its military nature, and to allow them to participate in decision-making. But the troops who rebelled in 999/1590 against poor treatment by their commanders in the Divan, rejected this system of government. After massacring their superior officers, the janissaries forced the pasha to hand over the running of the army to their immediate superiors, the Deys, who numbered as many as 300, according to Ibn Abi Diyaf. In an identical process to that in which the janissaries of Algiers had seized power, the pasha of Tunis saw his authority wane. After the massacre of the boliik bashi-s in 999/1590, the 300 Deys made up the new Divan. Years of troubles and paralysis followed, until the most able of them - Qara 'Othman - managed to dominate his peers and install a monocratic regime, at some time between 1003/1594 and 1007/ 1598. This change now distinguished the Regency of Tunis from its neighbour in Algiers where the real power remained with the Divan until the beginning of the nineteenth century. In Tunis, the Dey became the leader of the Regency from the time of his election. 'Othman Dey (1007-19/ 1598-1610) and his successor Yusuf Dey (1019-47/ 1610-37) brought to an end the authority of the Divan and the pasha. Their good governance helped to bring this about. First they managed to contain the turbulence of the troops, and then they brought order to the country. c Othman Dey conquered the Tunisian

south, and, thanks to him, the island of Djerba, which had been under the control of Tripoli since 966/1558, was returned to Tunis in 1013/1604.

Yusuf

Dey, on the other hand, building on the work of his predecessor's peace-making, managed to scotch local insurrections at the same time as repulsing

the invasion of the people of Algiers. Under his reign, prosperity returned to

516

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

the country. Mosques, barracks, fortifications, aqueducts all sprung up across

the land. These large projects were largely funded by piracy, the main source

of income for a state possessing seventy ships, and several brigantines.

Yet in the shadow of this powerful Dey, the undisputed master of Tunis, a new Turkish force managed to take shape. These were the Muradi Begs commanding the troops of the interior charged with keeping order and - as

one goes hand in hand with the other - collecting taxes. Thanks to them the

regime was to extend its power deep into the country. This was due to Murad

Kursu (the Corsican) and even more so to the true founder of the dynasty, his

son Hammuda Pasha (1042-77/1632-66), who was finally recognised by Istanbul in 1069/1658 when he was granted the title of pasha. This power increased as the country was pacified in the east and the south. In the borders

of Tunis and Algiers, the Muradis managed to destroy the powerful tribal confederation of the Banu Shannuf whose leaders were taking the taxes for

themselves in the El Kef at the same time as overpowering the ancient makhzan warrior tribe of the Awlad Billil. Further south, they met fierce resistance from the warlike Awlad Sa'id but were able to overcome them.

Here, as in the Regencies of Algiers and Tripoli, the country was too vast to be held by a foreign army of only 3,000 to 4,000 men. The Turks had criss-crossed it with garrisons (in Tunis, Beja, El Kef and Qayrawan) but there were not too many of them. In order to bring it under control, Hammuda Pasha renewed relations with the medieval institution of the makhzan tribes, the most famous of which were the Drid. In return for tax exemption they helped with the raising of taxes and maintaining order in the countryside. Thanks to them, the power of the Muradis was increased. In the middle of the eleventh/seventeenth century it was so great that the Dey's authority was restricted to Tunis and the surrounding area. The rivalry between the two ended in a show of force. The Muradis emerged victorious after a bloody confrontation in 1084/1673 and, on the heels of this, Murad II (r. 1077-86/1666-75) established a hereditary monarchy. On the death of its founder the dynasty fell into difficulties which continued to worsen, until its final period - from 1086/1675 to 1114/ 1702 - witnessed a succession of crises, which were to be the final blow. It came to a brutal end in a bloodbath. In Muharram 1114/June 1702, after many executions and seizures of goods, Murad III was assassinated by the agha of the sipahi. To remove any chance of a claim to the head of the dynasty, one Ibrahim al-Sharif set about massacring all the male children of the Muradi house. He then took power, assuming the titles of both Dey and Beg. But this long-running campaign dragged the country into revolt and instability. The usurper declared war on Algiers in 1117/ 1705, but lost.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

In Tripoli, the Turks were involved in dislodging the Knights of Malta. From the time of Turghud until 1123/1711, the Regency here too was governed by a pasha appointed by Istanbul. As in Algiers and Tunis, there was a military council (diwan al- c asker) charged with assisting the pasha with the administration of the country. This council was chaired by an officer called a Dey who was simultaneously the commander in chief of the janissaries. Through this dual role, the Deys held one of the keys to power in Tripolitania. They used it to full effect. For while some pashas were energetic military and political leaders, as was the case with Muhammad (r. 1041-59/ 1631-49) and his successor 'Othman (r. 1059-83/1649-72), others freely used their mandate to make themselves rich, leading the militia and the tribes to rise against them. This led to such political instability that, between 973/1565 and 1123/1711, Tripolitania had fifty -one pashas. The Regency in Tunis by contrast had only ten.

A hereditary monarchy

Although the three regencies of Algiers, Tunis and Tripoli had been created by the same foreign army, their political development was very different. It gave rise to two contrasting models of sovereignty. Whilst Algiers lived under the grip of the militia, Tunis and - later - Tripoli moved towards the constitution of a hereditary monarchy.

Tunis' first dynastic experience was with the Muradi Beks, but this was short-lived. The model did not really take shape until the influence of Ibrahim al-Sharif. This was, in other words, once chaos had been avoided, thanks to Husayn ibn 'All, owing to whom the country was united and successful against the people of Algiers. Taking responsibility for the Muradi heritage, this Beg gave the Tunis Regency its nature of a hereditary monarchy.

Who was Husayn ibn 'All? His mother was a native, his father originated from Candia, and he spoke only Arabic. But this did not prevent him from being considered a 'Turk'. He rose very young to the highest levels of the Muradi court where, a little before 1106/1694, he was appointed khazinedar (treasurer) by Muhammad Beg (r. 1086-1108/1675-96), while in El Kef his brother Muhammad pursued a career commanding native troops. Two years later he became agha of the sipahi, then qdHd (cai'd) of the province of A'rad, held to be the most important of the provincial governments. Recalled around 1113/ 1701, he was promoted to kahya or lieutenant to the Beg of Tunis. At the time of the coup d'etat of 1114/1702, he took refuge in the Jabal Wislat. Ibrahim al-Sharif, who needed him, made him come down and confirmed him in his post. Three years later, the country was invaded by the Turks of Algiers.

518

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

The war led to another political crisis. The capture of the usurper by the invaders presented Husayn ibn 'All with the chance to dominate the situation.

Thus the invasion was repulsed. As a result he was elected Beg by the military,

civil and religious authorities in Tunis. His popularity did not suit the Dey, and

there was soon conflict between the two men. Without consulting his Beg, the

Dey gave the members of the Divan the task of collecting taxes. He aimed to

undermine the other's authority, and to win the loyalty of the higher officers

of the militia. He was also trying to deprive his rival of the fiscal income levied

from the tribes. With his authority flouted, Husayn ibn 'Ali reacted forcefully and arrested the tax collectors appointed by his enemy. The show of force ended in violence. With the support of the native population from which he had recruited the members of the regular and auxiliary forces as well as some of the militia, the Beg counter-attacked in two stages. First he eliminated Ibrahim al-Sharif when he was freed by the people of Algiers, then in 1118/ 1706 he removed the Dey Lasfar whom he replaced with another of his choosing.

Then, turning on the Divan, Husayn ibn 'Ali submitted it to the same treatment as the other central institutions, reducing it to the status of a mere cog in the administration since at best it had been no more than a useful screen. This reigning-in of the main institution of the Regency was accompanied by a reduction in the number of Levantine recruits in favour of Turks born in the country (the qubghlus) and native soldiers. Refusing to be the elected representative and the leader of an external army, Husayn ibn 'Ali established himself as the monarch. He made the palace of Bardo, the former residence of the Muradi Beks, the nerve centre of his monarchical system.

Yet the army remained the pillar of his regime. It may have been weakened, but it was still a heavy burden on the state budget. The janissaries and their leaders in fact accounted for more than 60 per cent of the beglik's expenditure on the central services in 1718 (155,000 piastres out of a total of 244,000) and nearly 50 per cent in 1730. They were, as ever, a burden on 'public' expenditure. As before, the makhzan's main priority was to find the necessary sums to pay them since only 3,500 out of about 5,000 men in 1148/1735 were in fact conscripted. Yet all was not as before. In the time of Husayn ibn 'Ali, the non-Turk troops (sipahi and 'Arab' hanba, mzargis or knights from makhzan tribes), who were either recruited locally or raised in Kabylia, acquired an

importance they had never previously had. This was to the extent that out of the income levied on the provinces in 1122-4/ 1710-12, the *mzargis*, allocated a total of 22.5 per cent of total income (121,200 piastres out of about 540,000), received 32 per cent of the total expenditure taken from income and nearly half of military expenditure from the same source. The maintenance of the *sipahi*

519

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

accounted for 20 per cent of total expenditure and 27 per cent of military expenditure deducted from the same fiscal source. As for expenditure paid out by the central coffers, the amount devoted to the non-Turk soldiers was not insignificant. In ii43/i73of, it stood at more than a quarter of the total expenditure and nearly a third of the military budget, according to a table of military expenditure recently compiled by Mohamed Hedi Cherif, thanks to whom we have enriched our knowledge about this crucial period in the history of modern Tunisia. 12

Balancing up the Turkish militia, the native troops ended up weakened mainly as a result of the peace policy initiated between 1118/1706 and 1141/1728 by Husayn ibn 'All. Better adapted to the realities of the country, as much for raising taxes as for policing pacification campaigns, the native troops ran down the image of the Turkish soldier who became, in popular representation, the greedy and useless drain on resources. 13

For all these reasons and others, the Beg had less and less recourse to janissaries from the Levant to the point of stopping recruitment. Through

banishment and execution, he got rid of their most dangerous elements, replacing them little by little with *qulughlus*, that is, with mixed-race troops like him. The Beg thus had a policy of promoting those like him to the highest positions and surrounding himself with them. The Regency in Tunis gave them opportunities envied by their brothers in the neighbouring Regency of Algiers, who were excluded from military roles and command of the militia. Their promotion, which had been unspectacular until now, became systematic under Husayn ibn All. For if he was to lessen his dependence on a handful of foreign *condottieri*, his regime needed to widen its social base. This meant a cut in the number of janissaries and, in a highly symbolic gesture, a reduction in their privileges. Other groups who were more established in society enjoyed favours from the Beg which made those granted to the Turks by contrast seem only to have persisted as favours from the Beg in return for services rendered. The restructuring of the Husayni clientele led to considerable changes in society. The favoured native social groups (*mukhazriis*, tribal chiefs, *marabouts*, Sharifs, etc.) gradually acquired the legal status of Turk. Also, as a clear indication, when the Beg went off on an expedition, he preferred to leave the Turks and Levantines under the command of an agha, a *kahya* or any officer with whom he identified more closely, leaving him personally to lead the 'column of wind' made up almost exclusively of native cavalry.

This system which was painstakingly set up by Husayn ibn 'All was, however, shaken in 1148/ 1735 by a serious political crisis which threw the

Ottoman Maghrib

country into civil war, stirred up by another military intervention by the Turks

of Algiers who had come to support the pretender 'All Pasha against his uncle.

Again, Husayn ibn 'All organised the defence of his country against the expansionism of his restive neighbours. But on this occasion the troops were defeated at Sminja. He was wounded in combat and had to flee.

While his nephew was taking Tunis where he proclaimed himself Beg, he found refuge in Qayrawan which had stayed faithful to him, along with a part

of the Sahel. For five years, the country was split into two hostile camps. When the Beg's military and administrative apparatus swung behind 'Ali Pasha, in the summer of 1148/1736, the balance of power changed. The new

Beg found it easier to impose his authority on the major part of the country: all

the areas north of Dorsale, the south (from El Djerid up to Sfax and Djerba),

not to mention numerous towns and villages in the Sahel, submitted to him.

At the beginning of 1152/ 1740, he laid siege before Qayrawan with an army of

2,000 janissaries and 3,000 to 4,000 native soldiers. The town's resistance was

broken and the old Beg was beheaded. He had fallen victim to the system he

himself had helped to set up. Instead of abolishing this system, the new Beg

kept it, but changed some features, the most important being his growing monopoly on decision-making. In the period leading up to his flight in 1166/

1752, he sidelined ministers and counsellors, taking all decisions himself with

his son Mu'nis, thereby completing the autocratic system initiated by the Deys

from the beginning of the eleventh/ seventeenth century onwards. But the fact

remained that this sort of power could not be wielded without the support of a

certain number of decisive social groups. This is why, instead of destroying

the politico-military apparatus created by his uncle, 'Ali Pasha reintroduced it,

limiting himself merely to changing the personnel of the officers.

The elimination of 'Ali Pasha in Dhu THijja 1169/ September 1756 did not

put an end to the system of government introduced by Husayn ibn 'Ali (1117-53/1705-40). With the support of the people of Algiers, the two sons of the founder, Muhammad Beg (r. 1170-3/ 1756-9) and 'Ali Beg II (r. 1173-97/ 1759-82), picked up where their father had left off. After difficult beginnings, intensified by a revolt led by a grandson of 'Ali Pasha between 1173/ 1759 and 1176/ 1762, the regime found the necessary foundations to allow it to function harmoniously for half a century. When Hammuda Pasha took over the succession from his father, he himself began a long reign, holding power for thirty-two years (1197-1230/1782-1814). The political, military and administrative system created by Husayn ibn 'Ali continued -grosso modo - thanks to the restoration of his sons, who had a policy of moderating the exercise of their powers by relaxing fiscal pressure and getting rid of the hated mushtara (forced

521

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

sale to the beglik) which had previously been widely used. Good agricultural conditions (between 1179/ 1765 and 1189/ 1775) and a reform of the social basis of the regime did the rest. The militia of the janissaries was never able to recover the power it had once had, until it revolted in Sha'ban 1226/ September 1811. Weakened by the existence within its ranks of qubghlus (who accounted for a half of the 9,000 janissaries around 1222-6 /1807-n) and natives, isolated within the country, it was crushed by loyalist troops - most of these natives. This is illustrated by the fact that, at the battle of Sarrat in 1222/ 1807, the Turkish fighters only made up one-sixth of the 20,000 men

mobilised.

In Tripoli, a similar political development began when the leader of the cavalry, a quloghlu by the name of Hamid Qaramanh, usurped power in 1123/1711 and, after massacring 300 officers of the janissaries, created a 'quasi-national' 14 dynasty which continued until 1251/ 1835. In Algiers, on the other hand, this could not be achieved. Until the end, the exercise of power there remained in the hands of a foreign force.

Piracy and trade

In the second half of the tenth/ sixteenth century the Mediterranean was very prosperous. But rather than discouraging it, this (relative?) prosperity attracted piracy. This shady trade was practised on both coasts, by Christians and Muslims as a predatory economic activity. Alberto Tenenti's survey of ships en route to Venice or leaving the port in the period 1592-1609 reveals between 250 and 300 vessels pillaged. In ninety cases, the aggressor was known. Muslim corsairs were responsible for forty-four attacks, while Nordics - that is English and Dutch - and Spanish carried out, respectively, twenty-four and twenty-two. Muslim and Christian piracy seem to have been prevalent in the tenth/ sixteenth century in roughly equal measure. Muslim piracy was chiefly the domain of Barbaresques, especially from Algiers. In the years 968-78/1560-70, they appear to have carried out regular and orchestrated attacks. In Ramadan 966/July 1559, fourteen corsair vessels were noted near to Niebla on the Andalusian coast. Two years later, fourteen other galleys and galliots were spotted off the coast of Seville. In Dhu TQa'da of that same year 968/August 1561, 'seventeen Turkish galleys' 1 sailed up the Portuguese Algarve. At the same time, operating in Sicily and off Naples with a fleet of thirty-five sailing ships, Turghud seized, in one operation, eight Sicilian galleys. At the end of

spring 970/1563, several Barbaresque squadrons were plying the French and Italian coasts. In May, nine ships from Algiers appeared between Genoa and

522

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

Savona while twelve other Barbaresque boats, included four galleys, approached Gaeta. In September, there were thirteen on the Corsican coast.

At the beginning of that month, thirty-two appeared on the coast of Calabria.

These were perhaps the same ones, estimated at thirty, which arrived at night

outside Naples. At the same time Turghud was sailing off Messina at the head

of twenty-eight ships. In Ramadan 971 /May 1564, a squadron of forty-two

ships appeared outside the Elba. It was made up of perhaps forty-five ships. In

that year fifty pirate ships left Algiers, thirty from Tripoli, sixteen from Bone

and four from Velez (where the Pefion which blocked the port was only retaken by the Spanish in September of the same year).

The Barbaresques' force of attack was considerable. In 974/1566, eight galleys were taken in one operation, and outside Malaga twenty-eight craft

suffered the same fate. In one season, fifty ships were looted in the Straits of

Gibraltar and on the Atlantic coast of Andalusia and the Algarve, while a raid

inland of Granada allowed the Barbaresques to take 4,000 prisoners.

They no

longer even bothered to work at night: they attacked by day, threatening Valencia, blockading Naples, surrounding Sicily or the nearby Balearics from

North Africa. But the Barbaresque corsairs also struck on the coasts of

Languedoc, Provence and Liguria. In Shawwal 976 /April 1569, forty ships sailed the length of Languedoc. During the period 988-98 /1580s, the range of operations expanded to include Catalonia. Much booty was taken: 'The poor Christians are weeping in Algiers', lamented a source in Marseilles. In 987/1579, Haedo was in despair: 'Sailing without fear in winter and spring, they cover the Mediterranean, from the East to the West, mocking our ships.' 17 There was the same pessimism in the reports from the viceroy of Sicily: 'The corsairs are causing great losses in this area in various coastal areas without towers', reads one from 1568. The viceroy of Sicily seems even more desperate in a letter sent to Philip II, dated 6 June 1582: 'The sea is groaning with pirates.' As the years went by the situation worsened. On 17 May 1585, the Council of Marseilles decided to use the promptest action to put a stop to the ravages made by the Barbary corsairs on the coast of Provence before settling on sending, in winter 1590, a representative to the 'king of Algiers' to buy back prisoners. 1 Two years earlier, in June 1588, Venice had led the way by sending a consul to Algiers with the specific task of dealing with Venetian slaves.

The Europeans' lack of military power and the tension caused at sea by the Barbaresques meant diplomatic action was necessary. The European powers turned first of all to the Sublime Porte. Following the pillage of the Bastion of France by the people of Algiers in 1013/ 1604, Henri IV protested to his ally in Istanbul. The sultan had the pasha of Algiers strangled. But the radical

The New Cambridge History of Islam

example set by this did not prevent the people of Algiers from making French captures. Of 963 seizures made in the ten years 1613-22, 447 were Dutch and 253 French. Their most impressive period was the two years 1515 and 1516 during which their booty was more than 2 or 3 million pounds. In the following years, the Algiers fleet remained as powerful as it was fearsome. In 1033/ 1623, it was made up of seventy-five sailing vessels and several hundred skiffs. Father Dan saw its boats leave the port in impressive processions:

In Algiers [he wrote] there are seventy vessels, ships, polaccas and large barks, who are all involved in piracy: the first have twenty-five cannons, the others have thirty-five and forty . . . On the seventh of August 1634, I saw a fleet of twenty-eight of these ships leave Algiers, the most beautiful and best-armed it is possible to see. They set sail in Ponant, to lie in wait for Breton, Norman and English vessels, which at this time were travelling to Spain, to load up with wine, oil and spices. Eight days later, a squadron and eight other ships left the port en route for the East. All the rest had already been at sea for a long time. 19

Algiers was full of riches. One Portuguese prisoner speaks of 20,000 captives seized from all parts of Christendom. In addition to Portuguese, there were Flemish, Scottish, Danish, Irish, Spanish, French and Italians. In 1037/ 1627, the pirates from Algiers sacked the coast of Iceland and reached England in 1041/ 1631. In the ten years from 1040/ 1630 to 1050/ 1640, their piracy was commonplace in the Atlantic. The trade in booty and the ransom of prisoners led to great fortunes being made: 'In Tunis, as in Algiers, there are men so rich that they do not know how much money they have accumulated', wrote J. -P. Salvago. They were not all dignitaries of the state. We know that in

Tunis, rich traders regularly joined in piracy. This was also the case in Algiers where private citizens were able to participate in arming pirate ships in operations called *sharkat nukbal*. 20

Piqued by the lack of success of their approaches to the Sublime Porte and unable to overcome the power of Algiers by military means, the Europeans settled for negotiating directly with the people of Algiers, as the king of France had done with Tunis in 1014/1605. A first agreement fell through in 1029/1619, after a *re'is* had captured a Provencal ship and executed almost all its crew and Marseilles had taken reprisals by massacring the delegation from Algiers who had come to negotiate. Years of beating about the bush preceded the signing of a treaty between France and Algiers in 1038 / 1628. The English negotiations did not take as long. Their agreement with Algiers was signed in 1032/ 1622. In the same year, Holland - who had some 1,200 ships crossing the Straits of Gibraltar in the 1620s - signed two simultaneously, one with Algiers and the other with Tunis. This was after having waited in vain for a solution from

524

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

Istanbul in 1026 /1617. Like the French and the English, the Dutch had a two-pronged approach, using both diplomacy and strong-arm tactics. Following the example of the French who destroyed the major part of the Tunisian fleet in La Goulette in 1018/ 1609, the Dutch sent a squadron to weigh anchor

outside Algiers in 1034/ 1624. The admiral of this squadron demanded that all Dutch captives be immediately freed and a new treaty signed and, above all, adhered to. He took the opportunity to remind his interlocutors that he had some corsairs from Algiers as prisoners whom he would not hesitate to hang if they refused. In response to the disdainful attitude of the pasha and the Divan, he did just that. He continued cruising along the African coasts, and as soon as he captured corsairs hailing from Algiers, he returned to Algiers to hang them. With arguments as convincing as these, agreement was found and the treaty was signed in Rabi' II 1035 /January 1626. In the same year, a similar treaty was made with Tunis. From then on it was the admirals heading squadrons of ships and not diplomats who negotiated. The treaty with Algiers was a model for other European states. But neither this treaty nor subsequent ones were able to rein in piracy by Algiers encouraged by the prosperity of the Mediterranean 'until 1648 and beyond'. There is a link, as we have seen, between piracy and economic prosperity. Algiers' dynamism was due to both. 'It is' - wrote Braudel - 'with Livorno, Smyrna, and Marseille what keeps the sea young. Everything depends, of course, on the amount and success of the piracy, even the commons of the poorest donkey-driver of the town, or the cleanness of the streets which is the work of the slaves, or more importantly the building sites, the extravagant mosques, the rich men's villas, the piping of water due to the work of Andalusian refugees.' 21 There was joy amongst the people of Algiers at the return of the corsairs, who were welcomed as heroes and benefactors, writes Haedo: 'On their return all Algiers is happy because the traders buy slaves and goods brought by them and the shopkeepers sell all they have in their shops of clothing and victuals: there is nothing but eating, drinking and celebration.' 22

Despite the ravages of the plague, the population of Algiers grew. At the

end of the tenth/sixteenth century the city had, according to Haedo, 12,200 houses accommodating, according to Lespes, 23 at least 60,000 inhabitants, not counting the 25,000 Christian prisoners who were shut up in jails at night or lived in the suburbs. 24 Nearly half the dwellings belonged to Christian renegades, attracted by glory and riches. With the 10,000 Levantines, they accounted for the majority of the population. The Moors - the native citizens - only numbered 12,500. Mudejars expelled from Granada, Valencia, Aragon or Catalonia accounted for 6,000. A further 3,500 Kabylans and an unknown

525

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

number of Arabs (3,000?) added to the city's Muslim population. There were perhaps 5,000 Jews. Algiers was the 'Mediterranean world' in miniature. Its cosmopolitanism stemmed from the diversity of its ethnic, religious and linguistic groups. In 1044/ 1634, its population had as good as doubled, if we are to believe Father Dan who gives a figure of 100,000 inhabitants. Fifteen years later, the city held 35,000 prisoners. Its heart beat to the rhythm of piracy which fed a powerful and continuous migratory flow. According to Haedo again, the great epidemic which raged during the years 980-2/1572-4 wiped out a third of the population. Six years later, where normally food would be abundant and cheap, famine struck and killed 5,656 Moors or Arabs in Dhu T Qa'da 987/January and February 1580 alone. But its prosperity was never threatened owing to the number - thought to be 8,000 - of European adventurers and renegades who converted to Islam to make their fortune

or escape slavery. Algiers remained a powerful attraction within the Mediterranean until the end of the eleventh/ seventeenth century. It still contributed to piracy, which fed and maintained it. According to information gathered on the spot in 1086/ 1675, the English Admiral Narbrough believed that the fleet from Algiers was made up of the following ships: two vessels with fifty cannons, five with forty, one with thirty-eight, two with thirty-six, three with thirty-four, three with thirty, one with twenty-four, together with an unknown number of small ships equipped with ten to twenty cannons, perhaps at least seventeen vessels totalling 626 cannons. The admiral concluded that this was an imposing squadron, equivalent to those in European navies, with the exception of more numerous battle squadrons.

The eleventh/ seventeenth century was the golden age of piracy from Algiers, but the following century saw it decline. In 1137/ 1724, the Algiers fleet numbered no more than twenty-four vessels. In 1150 / 1737, the two largest armed ships had eighteen and sixteen cannons respectively. The rest were three pinks with eight or ten cannons and two chebecks with four and six cannons along with nine galliots, with seven and seventeen rows of oarsmen, armed with one to six cannons. The fleet was much reduced both in number and in firepower compared to what it had been in 1087/ 1676. Its composition suggests that 'its use was limited to the fair season and to the Western Mediterranean, capable at the very most of reaching the Andalusian and Sicilian coasts, but certainly not to venture into the Atlantic'. 25 It was only when war broke out in Europe - the Seven Years War - that it was in a position to strengthen its armament: twenty-seven ships totalling 268 cannons were operational in 1175/ 1761. There were ten chebecks, of which two were armed with twenty-six cannons and one with fourteen, the others having four

Ottoman Maghrib

to eight pieces of artillery. The more modest vessels carried four to eight pieces of artillery, though eleven or so had between eight and sixteen cannons;

there were also a brigantine with four cannons and four galliots with two cannons each. At the end of the century, the number of peace treaties with the

European powers drastically limited the opportunities for piracy. In 1205 / 1790,

Algiers could only arm four ships: a ship with twenty-six cannons, a chebeck

with four cannons and two galliots, giving a total of thirty-six cannons!

Two

years later it was able to equip eight boats and two galliots. In that year the

number of prisoners fell to 800. Algiers and Tripoli revealed such obvious signs of weakness that Louis XIV's fleets crushed them with their fire power in

1095/1683 and 1097/1685 respectively.

Tunis fared no better in arming, during the 1201/ 1786 campaign, only nine

ships - two chebecks of six and eight cannons and seven galliots - where in

1178/ 1764 it had raised fifteen ships, totalling eighty cannons. This was a far cry

from the time of Murad 'the Genoese', the Dey from 1047/1637 to 1050/1640,

who was said during his career as an admiral (qapudan) to have captured more

than 900 sailing ships of all sizes and taken 24,000 Christians into slavery. The

truth was that the pirate system which drove the economy of Tunis through-

out the first half of the eleventh/ seventeenth century did so less after 1077/

1660. From that time on, the masters of the Regency 'lived more off trade than

by piracy which is only carried out by some private individuals', noted the knight Paul sent by the king of France at the head of a squadron to force their

hand. Another French observer, passing through Tunis in 1708, described it as 'the most commercial city of Barbary'.² This was borne out by the knight of Arvieux who insisted 'the dey protects all the shopkeepers'.²⁷ A very dynamic merchant class pushed their country's political authorities into a policy of peace. Consequently, when agreeing peace with the Netherlands in 1124/ 1712, the Beg took note of the arguments of his traders in his Regency. The consul of France was right when he predicted that this peace would last, given that 'the Tunisians live off their trade alone'. Sixteen years later, when difficulties looked like causing a break with France, it was once again the Tunisian traders who argued for peace. Throughout the twelfth/ eighteenth century the income from piracy tended to dwindle. In the years 1130-8/ 1717-25, a prosperous time, the state coffers were said to swell annually with 100 million piastres, a figure seen as exaggerated by M.-H. Cherif but which he takes as a working hypothesis by relating it to the figures for income for the beglik from foreign trade and related activities. He concludes that between 1133/ 1720 and 1148/ 1735, foreign trade brought regular income to the Tunis Regency at least equal, if not superior, to that for piracy in its best years. 2. The Beg himself, with his

527

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

entourage following suit, took an interest in its development. This fact did not escape Peyssonnel in 1725: 'The bey and the cai'ds', he notes, 'are the chief

merchants in this kingdom/ 29 To these must be added the Mudejars, the last communities of whom had been expelled from Spain in 1609 by Philip III, and who found here more than anywhere else in Africa a place where they could carry out piracy and a refuge where they could be involved in trade, crafts or agriculture. In Tunis they were particularly involved in developing not only the Chechia industry but also silk-weaving, metalwork and ceramics. This enterprising elite needed peace in the Mediterranean. First because the raw material for chechias came from Europe (wool from Spain, vermillion from Portugal and France, tartar from Venice and France, etc.) and secondly because many of them were sold abroad. The industrial and commercial dynamism of Tunis was such that it needed new markets (suqs) for craftsmen and new fondouks (funduqs) for foreign traders. However, most of the trade with the European powers was in the hands of the great Jewish merchants. Like their Muslim colleagues, the Jewish traders and farmers were not without influence over the court of Tunis. More than anyone else in the country, they had an interest in peace with Europe.

The economic dynamism of Tunis reinvigorated its maritime trade. At the end of the twelfth/eighteenth century, the volume of this trade occupied on average 200 ships and accounted for 4-6 million pounds in imports and 8-10 million in exports, a total of between 12 and 16 million, making the Tunisian capital by far the most active port in the Maghrib over Algiers and Tripoli. The effects were felt through the whole of the Tunisian economy, in particular in agriculture, where much was exported, and in the manufacture of chechias which employed thousands of people. By contrast, in the years 1200-1/1785-6, the average amount of trade of Algiers with Europe was less than 3 million pounds, 700,000 in imports and a little more than 2 million in exports. Algiers, whose trade was very imbalanced, exported cereals, wool and leather to

Marseilles (67 per cent) and Livorno (12 per cent) and imported wool and cotton textiles, manufactured goods and commodities from the colonies (for example sugar, tea and coffee). The situation in terms of volume was barely different in around 1215/ 1800. Twenty years later, it seems to have grown. According to figures for the year 1822 given by W. Shaler, consul-general of the United States in Algiers, the international trade of the Regency accounted for about 7 million francs. 30 But the worrying part was that 80 per cent was in imports! Many expensive manufactured and exotic goods were imported. This invasion of imported goods had troubling consequences for the economies of the Maghrib: 'industrialisation' owing to the difficulties of measuring up to

528

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

the competition on the one hand, and the outflow of funds on the other. The figures for 1822 reveal also that the reason why revenue from exports had dropped considerably was that wheat, the main export in the twelfth/eighteenth century, had as good as disappeared from Algiers' foreign trade. Less than eight years later this trade was worth only around 5 million francs.

Although it was only relative, this development in seafaring trade in the Maghrib could have led to the creation of a merchant navy.

Unfortunately

'there are no Turkish or North African ships in the Christian Mediterranean'. 31

Trade with the Maghrib by sea remained the monopoly of the Europeans,

particularly the French before 1207/ 1792. Of the ninety -two ships stopping at Tripoli in 1179/1765, forty-six were French. In 1203/1788, in Tunis, out of 184 ships registered, 148 were French. From 1199/1784 to 1207/1792, thirty French ships were established in Algiers on a charter, not to mention other ships chartered in Marseilles.

European fleets may have been found in all the ports of the Maghrib, but the reverse was certainly not the case. The Dey of Algiers protested in vain at the poor welcome extended to his country's ships: he could not make himself heard. The European ports, particularly Marseilles, prevented Maghribi traders from selling their goods with all sorts of petty rules and barriers. The scene was set for economic dependence. It led the Maghrib into irreversible decline.

The resumption of piracy during the war years following the French Revolution did not prevent this negative tendency, even if the corsairs of Algiers with the re'xs Hamidu at their head were full of exploits. The demographic figures reveal the picture. The population of Algiers, which stood at perhaps 100,000 towards the middle of the tenth/ sixteenth century, fell by a half by the end of the eighteenth century. It fell again from 50,000 (according to Venture de Paradis) to 30,000 in 1245/1830. The crisis was so serious that the country was now to finalise its divorce from the Turkish minority. Once again it had fallen into a situation which was uncannily like the one of the fifteenth century. Meanwhile the face of geo-politics had completely changed. Europe had become a colonial power. France and England, in the vanguard, would prove this change in the history of the world in the Maghrib.

Military structure and religious acceptance

Why, at the end of the Middle Ages, did the Maghrib agree to be governed by foreign powers? Was it the only solution to the political crisis? All the countries of the Maghrib acquired the constitution of military states. Although it

remained sovereign, the furthest reaches of the Maghrib (present-day

529

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Morocco) finally gave in to it. When Mawlay Isma'il (r. 1083-1139/ 1672-1727)

created an army of black slaves charged with the defence of the makhzan, it is

clear where the idea came from: '[it was] borrowed directly from the Ottomans'. 32, Without being occupying forces, the armies stationed in Algiers, Tunis and Tripoli remained, more or less, outside society. They were often also brutal in exercising their power. Yet they were never drawn

into a regime of terror. Moreover, from the beginning, these armies were too

small to impose themselves by violence alone on dissenting societies. In the

eleventh/seventeenth century the ojaq of Tunis totalled after all only 3,000 to

4,000 men. Earlier, that of Algiers did not have any greater numbers. Even

though it rose to 22,000 men at the beginning of the eleventh/seventeenth

century, a hundred years later it was cut by half and was in irreversible decline.

In 1203/1788, it numbered only 7,000 men, and hardly more than 4,000 thirty

years later. At the same time, the ojaq of Tripoli consisted of 1,500 troops. It

should also be remembered that here and there the main body of troops remained stationed in the capital or the larger towns, which explains the astonishment of Haedo when he learned that the militia of Algiers in his time

included only 6,000 janissaries: 'And yet with this small number they hold the

whole of Barbary under their yoke.' 33

In order to govern the country, the Turks divided it into provinces (begliks).

The Regency of Algiers had three in addition to the one of Algiers itself which, having the status of 'sultan's residence' (dar al-sultan), was placed under the direct authority of the pasha or of the Dey. The oldest of the provinces had been created in 947/1540, the one of the south or the Titteri with Medea as its seat. It was followed in 971/1563 by that of the west, which had a succession of capitals: Mazouna, Mascara and, after its evacuation by the Spanish in 1207/1792, Oran. The last province, that of the east, with Constantine as its capital, appeared in 975/1567. Each was ruled by a Beg who was simultaneously the governor and the tax-farmer general and was answerable directly to the pasha and the Dey of Algiers. The same concern for control over the country required the establishment of sixteen permanent garrisons in the ports and towns in strategic positions. The soldiers were posted for one year and relieved every spring. They then returned to their barracks, where they served a second year before having the third year to rest.

In spite of this strategy, the country was still not strongly manned. But the army still managed to dominate it, thanks to its military superiority. In addition to its administrative system - Algiers in the eleventh/seventeenth century was a model of municipal organisation in the Mediterranean - it introduced new techniques of warfare unknown to the Maghribis, who until

Ottoman Maghrib

its arrival were still fighting in the same way as their pre-Islamic ancestors:

hand to hand fighting with dagger, sabre, lance, stones or arrows. The Turkish army was more technical and impressed the Maghribis with its organisation: iron discipline combined with an esprit de corps.

However this 'modernity' could only be introduced into the country by adopting its style of administration. The makhzan, the flying squad who collected taxes and flaunted their power, was a medieval Maghribi institution.

So was the system of makhzan tribes who helped to gain the services of warrior tribes in return for fiscal rewards. Finally, its cavalry continued to be made up of Arab and Berber elements, before qulughlu mestizos were admitted.

In the same manner, at the beginning of the eleventh/ seventeenth century the Tunis Regency returned to Hafsid techniques of government. After curbing the power of the great tribal confederations in the west and south between 1038/ 1628 and 1055/ 1645, Murad Beg revived the institution of makhzan tribes, the best known being the Drid in the Tunis-Algiers area. In return for fiscal allowances and other advantages in cash or in kind, the auxiliary tribes helped with raising taxes and maintaining order in the countryside. As in Algiers, the same Beg formed a cavalry made up of members of the tribes, called (as in Algeria) a zmala. A group of sipahi was partly raised in the country and its members dispersed across the three ojaqs of Tunis, Beja and El Kef, with a fourth base at Qayrawan added in the twelfth/ eighteenth century. As in Algiers, the Turks of Tunis set up their garrisons in the large towns (Tunis, Beja, El Kef, Qayrawan) and in strategic places in the hinterland (al-Hamma, the territory of the Matmata). Finally the Muradis revived the Zwawa infantry corps (called the zouaves by the French), bearing the name of one of the main tribes of Kabylia. At the beginning of the twelfth/ eighteenth century there were normally 3,500 to

4,000 tribal knights mobilised in this way each year. Their numbers could be increased in times of need. The advantage of these men to the makhzan using them was that they were more familiar than the Turkish troops with the rural areas they were tasked with controlling and they were cheaper: around 200,000 dinars (120,000 piastres) a year. From that sum the partial tax allowance granted to their tribes had to be deducted. The rest - in fact the greater part - of their financial advantages lay in the contributions they helped to collect, to the extent that, in the end, they cost the state very little.

The Turks of Algiers and Tunis - and those of Tripoli too - only dominated the Maghrib by making the best of the divisions, making and breaking alliances with the leaders and social groups who were useful to them in ways both large and small. They were only able to do this by combining the country's traditions with their own traditions of government.

53i

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

In Tunisia, where the tribes only assimilated the system of government under the Muradis (1050-1114/ 1640-1702), the Turks enjoyed the support of the notables of the town from 999/1590. The town-dwellers sought protection and security against the Bedouins by allying themselves with the Turkish condottieri. They seem to have achieved this when c Othman Dey (r. 1007-19/ 1598-1610) refused to support the pasha who was wanting to impose more taxes on the citizens or when the Dey Ahmed Khoja (r. 1050-7/ 1640-7) said to one of the soldiers who came to seize the goods of a trader from Tunis: 'Are

you unaware that these merchants have obligations towards a caid-lazzam [native farmer member of the makhzan administration]? If this practice of plunder and violence spreads, trade will stop, the cai'd's sources of income will dry up and the ^askar [janissaries] will not be paid.' 34

Before contemplating enlisting the support of the economic classes, the Turks sought the support of the 'ulama' on account of their central role in a type of social organisation where religion was the sole source of their legitimacy. To achieve this, they increased their acts of homage towards the most highly regarded religious dignitaries. This is shown in the rescript issued in Ramadan 998 /August 1590 by the pasha Ja'far to the 'Azzum of Qayrawan, a dynasty of jurists, in the guise of the renewal of a previous certificate exempting them, their clients and their proteges from fiscal demands. This family of ^ulama' did not fail in its obligations towards the city as it entered into the service of the new political masters of the country. A member of the 'Azzum family, who had travelled to Tunis to take up the position of mufti, relates in one of his pronouncements (fatwa) how in Ramadan 999 /July 1591, he, along with other ^ulamcC and with the support of a 'a large crowd', had led an action aimed at the removal of the Turkish grand judge who was accused of extortion and various misdemeanours. Under 'Othman Dey (r. 1007-19/1598-1610), the power of the native ^ulamcC was strengthened by the revival of the Hafsid judicial institution of al-majlis al-shafl. This council of justice was made up of the supreme qadi (Turk), muftis (locals), a syndic of Sharifs (descendants of the Prophet represented by one native leader) and representatives of the public authority including renowned jurists.

Once integrated into the system of Turkish domination, the 'ultima' and townspeople showed great loyalty to their legitimated political masters, as when the gates of Qayrawan were closed in Rabi c I 1141/November 1728,

followed by those of Tunis, Sousse, Monastir and Sfax in the following spring, against the insurgent, 'All Pasha, who had surrounded himself with Bedouins, that is, with people he despised and vilified as 'aggressors' and 'prevaricators'. The majority of the troop was stationed in Tunis, so the citizens' stance was

532

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

not dictated by any kind of fear of reprisals. The reason for their loyalty lay, as M. H. Cherif explained, in the 'convergence of their interests with those of the beglik, both demanding "order" in the countryside and the acceptance by the countryside of a certain level of exploitation, vital for the superior institutions of the towns and the state'. 35

The situation in the Regency of Algiers was similar but more complex. The towns and the countryside took contrasting views. In the rural areas the powerful warrior tribes constantly trod a path between autonomism and tactical support. This ambiguity was also a feature of the attitude of maraboutism towards the central power. At the same time as supporting it, it as good as directed all the revolts against it.

In the west, where there were many marabouts, they, as war leaders, harried the Spanish in a guerrilla war which was as ineffective as it was opinionated, viewing the presidio of Oran as 'a dagger stuck in the back of Islam'. The old Islamic institution of the ribdt, a (sometimes) fortified monastery from which they took their name, was revived by them at the beginning

of the tenth/ sixteenth century. From this time we know of the activity of Sidi

Ahmad ibn Yusuf and his companions, some of whom were killed in the Spanish sacking of Qal c a in 923/1517 - starting with the father of his hagiogra-

pher. The saint, who aroused only suspicion and concern in the court at Tlemcen owing to his mahdist tendencies, had founded there in the previous

century a zdwiya which rallied to the Turks. This was followed by other actions, such as that organised around a 'casa del Morabito' located on the

Oued El-Malah, the Rio Salado of the Spanish and the presumed site of the

massacre of Oruj and his guards. For ten years the founder of this 'fortified

monastery' harried the occupants of Oran. He was finally vanquished and killed in 965/1557, in a Jornada (expedition) in the course of which 270 of his

party were taken captive, including his wife, his two sons and one of his sisters.

A few years later, an Andalusian saint by the name of Sidi Muhammad ibn c Ali

al-Majjaji built in the port area of Tenes what is probably the biggest ribdt of

the time, as he could accommodate and feed, along with their horses, a thousand knights. Tlemcen also provided its own contingent of volunteers from the faithful. One of them, Shaykh Muhammad 'Ashur (d. 1014/1605),

personally led his students into combat against the Spanish. When not in his

ribdt, he was involved in raising the funds necessary to buy back Muslim captives. At his death, one of his disciples took over. In Mostaganem, another

well-known saint, Sidi Ahmad Aqaddar (d. 1065/1655), built a large zdwiya and

flew the standard of the jihad. At the same time, 'two leagues from Oran', another 'revered marabout' was resisting at the gates of the presidio. The

action taken by these leaders of a holy war and their successors, such as Sidi Blaha whose zawiya was sacked by the Spaniards in 1108/ 1696, culminated in the great campaign of 1120/ 1708 which allowed the Turks to dislodge the Spanish from Oran - for the time being. 'Seven hundred to a thousand mujahidiin' , 3 led by an c alim from Tlemcen, joined in the fighting alongside the regular army. Sources speak of other examples of holy volunteers.

The Turks lavished all sorts of rewards on their enthusiastic allies, particularly in the form of fiscal allowances. But at the same time as they encouraged their influence on the tribal world, they were trying to neutralise them. From experience they knew that the countryside was swarming with all sorts of religious entrepreneurs. Many readily took up arms when their tribal clienteles invited them. They lived on the allowance paid to them by their clienteles, so could not deny them assistance. There was an economic calculation to be made. More than from the open-handedness of the state, they drew their strength from this 'Holy Treasury'. 37 In one way or another they would fall out with the central power. This was the source of the combative energy which often drove them.

At the same time, it would be a mistake to believe that the Turks did no more than manipulate religion as a political resource. Very often they showed real respect towards it. In the cities (where it was easier to observe them in their daily lives) they practised a cult of the saints which was sometimes as fervent as amongst the native people around them from whom, after all, they were hardly different, in either social or religious background. In Algiers, for example, the rituals for setting out to sea had - from beginning to end - the hallmarks of a ritualistic religiosity. The corsairs (they preferred to be called mujahid and ghazT, a name their sultans also bore) came down to the port to join their ships, passing through the Bab al-Jihad, the 'Gate of Holy War', having earlier visited, in a procession, their protecting saints: Sidi [Abd

al-Rahman al-Tha'alibi, the patron of the city, as well as Sidi Battaka and Wali Dadah whose hagiographies were linked to the Spanish debacle in 948/

1541. The first, who had died a few years previously, was said to have risen

from his tomb on the night before the attack by Charles V's armada to ask for

divine protection. The people of Algiers claimed that they knew this because

he had left candles lit. The second, who came from Turkey, was said to have

struck the water fiercely with his stick and caused the storm which engulfed

part of the Spanish fleet. The procession led to the sanctuary of Sid! All al-Abbasi, a Kabyle saint who probably died in 984/1576. It finished with a

prayer for the dead. After this the ships set sail, saluting with a few salvos the

sanctuary of Sidi Battaka which, from the top of the cliff, dominated the roads

534

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

near to Bab al-Wad. During this time the crew, with their eyes fixed on the

sanctuary of the protector saint, boomed out in unison 'Allah is great!'

They

had previously taken on board the standards of the saint and of the other sanctuaries they had visited. They hoisted them, to the accompaniment of invocations, whenever danger threatened. To reward their invisible protec-

tors the corsairs readily shared a part of their booty with them.

According to

the Tashrifat, 'the author of the captures takes out of the booty taken from the

enemy the marabouts' share and the share for buying back captives: these

deductions are put in a coffer at the palace and placed near to the Khoja-

Deftardar . . . The distribution of the marabouts' share takes place every year on the anniversary of the birth of the illustrious prophet and is done under the direction of the Khoja el-Kebir.' 38

By paying great homage to the ritual figures whose protection the native population sought, the Turks were joining them in the same reference to the sacred. This same population could not have failed to notice that the sanctuaries revered by their political masters were those of the main ethnic groups: one was Moorish, the second was a Turk and the third was a Kabylean originating - going by his name - from Qal c a, the capital of the Banu 'Abbas, where there was a marabout lineage which was alternately an enemy and an ally of the Turks.

But the Turks of Algiers, Tunis or Tripoli did not stop at addressing saints dead and alive. They also called on the 'ulama'. In the cities these were the most important religious leaders both in their number and in their role. They were the backbone of the religious life of the Muslim population while at the same time being their spokesmen (particularly in times of crisis) via their most influential and respected members. Each time that the Turks conquered a city they took care to win over the religious scholars. Their regime may have been tyrannical, but they could not do without their support. They could snub their social or political role but not their role in laying down the law. Without the consent of the 'ulama', any political power was simply an apparatus of illegal violence. The regime of the Turks in the Maghrib raises many questions, including that of its legitimacy, but even in its darkest moments its legitimacy cannot be questioned.

On a social level, the occasions when the 'ulama' took on roles of mediation, intercession, arbitration or representation of 'public' opinion were much more common than one might think. We see them behaving as the religious leaders

of the countryside. Neither group was able to exercise its magisterial authority without continually negotiating the more or less balanced relations between the rulers and the ruled. The fact that both society and the Turkish army were

535

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Islamic does not explain everything. No state can engage with society in a lasting way if it does not allow the establishment of mechanisms of mediation.

When at the end of the twelfth/eighteenth century Turks in Algiers, followed by those in Tripoli at the beginning of the thirteenth/nineteenth, perpetrated more injustices and tightened the fiscal pressure on the rural populations, they destroyed these institutions. In doing so they initiated a cycle of revolt that they were never able to stifle.

The decline

The myth of the invincibility of Algiers which had held since the tenth/sixteenth century was exploded on 14 Muharram 1245/5 July 1830.

Whereas

most of his compatriots in great emotional confusion found various reasons

such as the evil eye, the curse of the divine or the prophecy of misfortune,

al-Sharif al-Zahhar 39 - the last syndic of the descendants of the Prophet of the

Turkish era - saw this dramatic event as an objective reality which could be

explained by the Khaldunian theory of political change. In the view of this Algiers notable, the Turks of Algiers lost their state for reasons connected with

the length of their reign which had become, by force of circumstance, senile, and with the loss of their corporate solidarity Qasabiyya). They had become softened by the good life to which they had become accustomed, and in the end they lost their irascible edge. Their will to dominate which lay behind their victorious implantation had evaporated to nothing.

From a historical angle, there was a range of factors which contributed to the ousting of the Turks from Algiers and their replacement by the French. In 1245/1830, the country was so weakened that it was caught out by the invasion. Its population had been afflicted by various catastrophes since the end of the twelfth/ eighteenth century. Between 1210/1795 and 1240/1824, it suffered fifteen years of plague, twelve years of famine, ten years of plagues of locusts, three years of drought and two earthquakes. During the same time, neighbouring Tunis had suffered eleven years of plague and eight years of famine. Tripolitania was less affected and escaped with four years of plague and three of typhus.

Whereas the plague had spared Tunisia for some eighty years between 1118/1706 and 1200/1785, it raged for ten years in Algiers and forty in Alexandria. From 1200/ 1785 the whole of the Maghrib fell into a vicious circle of plague and famine - the disease had reached there by land and sea, spread by infected merchants, sailors, soldiers and pilgrims. In 1207/ 1792, the plague arrived in Algiers from the Middle East. From there it reached Oran by sea

Ottoman Maghrib

before spreading into Orania in two directions: towards Mascara where it arrived in 1208/ 1793 and towards Morocco via Oujda, attacking Fez and Meknes in 1213/1798, Rabat and Marrakesh in 1214/1799, and Mogador in

1215/ 1800. From Algiers the disease also spread eastwards. It reached Constantine, at the same time as Blida, in 1208/ 1793. It reached Tunis via

El-Kef in 1209/ 1794. From there it swooped inland. In the north, it struck

Bizerta; towards the south it hit Qayrawan and Gabes. Spreading along the

coast it reached Tripoli, where it was spread by Tunisian sailors in 1209/ 1794.

Overall, the plague raged for many years: it was in Algiers between 1207/ 1792

and 1217/1802, in Tunis between 1209/1794 and 1212/1797 and in Tripoli

between 1209/1794 and 1214/1799. After fifteen years' absence, it reappeared

between 1207/ 1792 and 1237/ 1821. Its effect on the people and their activities

was great. In 1211/1796[^] in Tunis, 25,000 Muslims, 7,000 Jews and 150 Christians died of it, amounting to 25 per cent of the 120,000 inhabitants of

the city. In summer 1232/1817, it led to more than 13,000 deaths in Algiers,

reducing the population of the city by a good half. Its effects on the Regency

of Tunis were more frightening if we go by the figures, which, as with all the figures here, should not be taken too literally. Here, the epidemic of 1199-1201/ 1784-6 was said to have claimed at least 100,000 lives, and the one

of 1233-6/ 1817-20 took 300,000. Thus the Tunisian population, estimated at

2 million before the epidemic in 1199/ 1784, dropped by half a million com-

pared to the population following the epidemic which ended in 1236/1820.

The destruction of the plagues was compounded by the effects of shortages

and famines, such as those which struck the Algiers area between 1213/ 1798 and

1215/1800. A measure of wheat (six 1), which was worth seven to eight francs in

the years 1190-1200/ 1775-85, rose to twenty-eight francs in 1215/ 1800. From being an exporter of cereals, the Regency of Algiers became an importer between 1213/ 1798 and 1215/ 1800. The situation far from improved in the following years. A measure of wheat rose to twenty francs in 1219/1804 before reaching a price of fifty francs in 1232/ 1816! Terror gripped the country. The Dey was forced to have bakeries guarded by the militia to prevent looting in Algiers, Blida and Boufarik Drought returned in 1234/ 1818 and once again the Dey had to import cereals. In desperation the people increased their prayers for rain (salat al-istisqa'). After 1236/ 1820, tolerably good harvests alternated with poor ones, without a satisfactory level ever being reached. The situation in the neighbouring Regency was hardly any better. Disastrous harvests in 1232-6/ 1816-20 forced the Beg to import cereals to lessen their effects - in the capital at least. Judging by the figures we have for the years 1232-6/ 1816-20, the price of wheat surged. As the cereals trade with Marseilles reveals, the consequences on

537

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

external trade for the two regencies were terrifying. Whereas between 1189/1775 and 1204/1789, the French port records 690 grain boats arriving from North Africa, it records only twenty-six between 1231/ 1815 and 1245/ 1829. It must also be remembered that fourteen of the fifteen boats coming from the Regency of Algiers had left from Bone. The Regency of Tunis could

only export seven cargoes of grain, and that of Tripoli four. While the agricultural crisis was raging in the Maghrib, the Russians were making inroads into the Mediterranean grain market. In 1236/ 1820, sixty grain boats originating from Odessa were counted in Marseilles, as many as the Maghrib could send forty years earlier. The arrival of this new competitor suited France who, on account of a weighty dispute over earlier deliveries, was happy to turn away from Algiers, a long-standing essential supplier. In a knock-on effect, exports of oil, wool and sheepskins from Algiers slowed down. 40

In Tunisia, whose industry was the most developed of the Maghrib, the decline of agriculture was accompanied by the collapse of its entire trading economy. The country was a producer of chechias. Its hatmakers produced eighteen styles which it exported to North Africa, sub-Saharan Africa, the Levant, Turkey and as far as Persia. This trade held a central place in Tunisian exports. In 1245/ 1829, it brought in 2.6 million francs, less 1 million francs for raw materials imported via the ports of Marseilles and Livorno, Portugal, Spain, France, Venice and Morea. This dependence on transport was felt in sales of the finished product. There were hardly any Maghribi merchant vessels sailing the Christian Mediterranean around the beginning of the thirteenth/ nineteenth century.

The French and the Italians who controlled the fortunes of the Tunisian Chechia industry themselves started - from the middle of the eleventh/ seven-teenth century for the French, and from the beginning of the twelfth/ eighteenth for the Italians - to quarrel over its markets. Until the beginning of the thirteenth/nineteenth century the Tunisian Chechia was protected from competition on account of its quality. But French and Italian products found outlets thanks to their competitive prices. In the period 1195-1205/ 1780s, where Tunisian output stood at around 100,000 dozen, French production, located in Marseilles and Orleans, reached 60,000 to 80,000 dozen. But for 1236-46 /1820s,

according to the calculations of L. Valensi, 41 Tunisian production fell to less than 70,000 dozen, roughly the same as that produced in France. In the same period the Tuscans were producing as many as the Tunisians and the French combined. Faced with this increased competition, the Tunisians ended up losing their main external outlets: 'the [chechia] makers, few in number and bankrupt', writes the French consul in Tunis in 1830, 'sell in advance products supposedly

538

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Ottoman Maghrib

made by them which have lost their old reputation in the Levant due to their poor quality and the imitations that are being made in Europe. One after another they are going bankrupt because the enforced sales they have to make on account of exorbitant and usurious interests eat into the products, the costs and the profits.' 42 Victims of an industrial revolution which increased the Europeans' production tenfold, and pressurised by fierce usurious capitalism, the Tunisian craftsmen gave in.

With reduced external trade, and an anaemic pirate economy, the state's resources dwindled. In response to the disastrous economic situation facing them, the governors of the three regencies of Algiers, Tunis and Tripoli successively devalued their currency while simultaneously increasing the tax burden on the rural communities.

According to a table compiled by Daniel Panzac 43 between 1197/ 1782 and 1245/ 1830, the local currency lost, in comparison with European currencies, 48 per cent

of its value in Algiers and 43 per cent in Tunis. In Tripoli, where the fall was more dramatic, it was devalued by nearly two thirds between 1220/ 1805 and 1231/ 1815, revealing the situation in Tripoli to be much more fragile than was the case with its neighbours. Arising from a drop in production and an imbalance in external trade, monetary erosion worsened the state's financial difficulties. It had as a result to increase the frequency of fiscal demands in order to refloat its coffers. In the Regency of Tunis, the beglik's share of the rural fiscal contribution stood at 56.2 per cent (983,000 out of 1,750,000 piastres) in 1232/1816 and at 68.7 per cent (96,000 out of 1,400,000) in 1234/ 1818. Piracy, which contributed 24.5 per cent of the budget in 1232/1816 (429,000), only accounted for 1.2 per cent in 1234/1818. Pressure on the cities remained: they contributed 142,000 in 1231/ 1815, 180,000 in 1232/1816 (10 per cent), 170,000 in 1233/1817 (7 per cent) and 132,000 in 1234/1818 (9.4 per cent). The drop of 22 per cent recorded in 1233/1817 is linked to the fall in population following the plague in 1233-4/ 1817-18. This seems to have had the effect of increasing fiscal pressure on the countryside which had an income of nearly a million piastres as in 1232/ 1816, that is, before the epidemic. More worrying was the rise in arbitrary seizures, in the sale of beglicai goods, in the use of treasury reserves and the more or less justified collection of arrears which accounted in the beglik's income for more than 160,000 piastres rising to nearly 360,000 in 1232/1816. In 1233/1817, these arrears stood at 270,000, before returning to their 1231/ 1815 level (180,000) the following year.

Figures for Algiers are not available, but we do know that the state's income fell sharply from the middle of the twelfth/eighteenth century, owing particularly to the low income from piracy, as revealed by the register of maritime captures published by A. Devoulx in 1288/1871.⁴⁴ In 1199/1784, the state

The New Cambridge History of Islam

coffers were so depleted that the Dey decided to cut the soldiers' additional daily allowance by 75 per cent, which had stood since 1184/ 1770 at one golden suMni. These financial difficulties were compounded by the costly war with Spain. Dissatisfied with their pay cut, the sailors offered their services to the enemy to bombard the Dey's palace. Five years later the two parties signed a treaty providing for the evacuation of the presidio of Oran and an exchange of prisoners: according to al-Sharif al-Zahhar, out of 18,000 Christian captives in the jails of Algiers, 10,000 were Spanish. But this treaty was more the result of a military impasse than the fruit of Algiers' success. The country was gripped by a crisis and hardly had the means to get out of it. 45 For two years Orania had been harried by a local chief from the religious brotherhood of the Darqawiyya. This chief, Abd al-Qadir ibn al-Sharif, had been involved in a twenty-two-year show of strength against the Turks, whom he accused of injustice and fiscal extortion (magharim). Taxes were collected with difficulty everywhere. To force the c Ash'asha, the Hasham and the oasis-dwellers of Laghouat to pay, the Beg of Oran, Muhammad al-Kabir (r. 1194-1212/1780-97), took over as head of the mahalla. The Darqawi drew more of the dissatisfied to him. Their mobilisation increased and led to an insurrection involving almost all of the tribes of the west (1220/1805). Even the towns joined in. Mascara

opened its doors to the religious leader and the people of Tlemcen - minus the quloghlu - hailed him as their liberator. The insurgents besieged Oran. But they were ill prepared and were repulsed by the Beg Muhammad al-Muqallash, then pursued. Their charismatic leader was forced to flee to Morocco. In the same year, revolt broke out in Algiers. Against a background of a commercial dispute with France, it grew from the grumbling of the militia and the dissatisfaction of the people with the Dey. Some years before, thanks to the firm of Bushnaq and Bakri, two Jews from Livorno, the Dey had developed a means exclusive to the Regency of exporting his wheat to France, at the risk of upsetting the population and the militia, the successive food crises having made such food exports unpopular. From 1208/ 1793 to 1213/ 1798, the two Jewish traders were involved in supplying the southern departments of France with wheat from Algiers, followed by the French armies in Italy and Egypt. Mustafa Dey (r. 1213-20 /1798-1805) came to the aid of the Directoire in financial difficulties, loaning it the sum of 1,250,000 francs, without interest, to allow it to pay in part for what it had bought. While the two traders were paid by the French government under the Consulate, then again at the beginning of the Restoration, the Dey's payment was ignored. This dispute led the militia to rise up and attack the Dey, his treasurer and his agent Naphtali Bushnaq, killing all three of them, in 1220/1805.

540

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

Harangued by a former qadi, a crowd of Muslims accused the Jews of being

the cause of these sufferings. Turk and native insurgents alike took to the Jewish quarter, raided houses and shops and massacred about 200 inhabitants, leaving the survivors in terrible hardship. There was something rotten in the 'kingdom of Algiers'. This was followed by palace revolutions. Between 1220/1805 and 1232/1816, six Deys were assassinated by the militia. Furthermore, the split with the hinterland was deep and total. Kabylia was declared a 'rebel country' 46 (bildd al-siba) from the middle of the twelfth/ eighteenth century. The Dey Muhammad ibn 'Othman (r. 1180-1206/ 1766-91) was hardly able to re-establish calm there. Further south, the oasis-dwellers returned to their secular autonomism. In 1200/ 1784, the Beg of Oran, Muhammad Hisal-Kabir, obtained a sort of submission from the oasis-dwellers of Laghouat and from c Ayn Madi but he had hardly decamped before the area rebelled again. He was forced to return four years later. His relations with Algiers worsened. In 1204/1789, Turkish pressure was such that the most famous of the living saints of the country, Sidi Ahmad al-Tijam, founder of a religious brotherhood bearing his name, was forced to leave his zdwiya in 'Ayn Madi to go and live in Fez. The exile was unpopular with those around the leader of the brotherhood. At his death in 1231/ 1815, his son Muhammad al-Kabir, who was not so peaceable, began to develop a huge anti-Turk tribal alliance. With his followers, he allied with the tribes of the plain of Ghريس where the Qadiriyya brotherhood predominated, before mounting an assault on the garrison town of Mascara in 1243/ 1827, but in the absence of the Hasham who withdrew at the last minute, the siege was repulsed. Al-Tijanl was taken prisoner, then decapitated.

To these internal tensions were added external threats which hastened events. In Ramadan 1242/April 1827, the French consul left Algiers annoyed by the fly-swatter incident. A French naval division came to demand apologies. The Dey refused, and a blockade was organised from 24 Dhu TQa'da 1242/19 June 1827. The Dey and the militia were used to shows offeree from

the European navies, and did not react. They had not reckoned with the change in the balance of power. They had no idea that their days were numbered. Two years later, sweeping aside feeble resistance, the French entered Algiers victorious.

In this year 1245/ 1830, mired in financial difficulties, the Beg of Tunis, Husayn II (r. 1240-51/1824-35), lost 2 million francs. The country was on the edge of catastrophe. European pressure on a political power facing serious succession problems was unbearable. The sudden death of Hammuda Pasha, on 15 Shawwal 1229/15 September 1814, after a reign of thirty-two years, had sparked off the

54i

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

crisis. The Beg 'Othman, brother of Hammuda Pasha, was enthroned in Shawwal 1229 /September 1814, but was assassinated two months later by his cousin Mahmud (r. 1229-40/ 1814-24), who seized power with the complicity of the minister Muhammad Zarruq. One of the most respected people in the kingdom, the vizier Yusuf Sahib al-Tabba' ('keeper of the seal') was, in his turn, killed in Muharram 1230/January 1815. But the political confusion in Tunis was far from calming down. Zarruq, the main architect of the coup d'etat, fell foul of his own intrigues. Accused by the two sons of the Beg of inciting the militia to rebellion, he was put to death in Muharram 1238 /October 1822.

Under Mahmud Beg, the state returned to its annoying habit of refloating the state coffers by increasing taxes and introducing monopolies on the sale of

certain products - this twin measure particularly affected oil production in the Sahel. Under c Othman Beg, the fellahs had been required to pay a fixed annual fee on their olive trees called qanun. Denounced as inconsistent with Muslim law, the new tax spawned a wave of protests which led to its abandonment and its replacement in 1235 /1819 by a 'ushr ('legal tithe') on oil. At the same time the product was placed under a state monopoly. One unjust measure was replaced by another, just as unfair. The fellahs were forced to sell their oil to an agency of the makhzan tasked with exporting it to Europe, especially France, at a price well below the market. Under financial pressure, the Beg forced the traders to pay in advance for the oil they had ordered, with no guarantees that it would be supplied or still less that they would be reimbursed. The inevitable soon followed. In 1245/ 1829, the small amount of oil harvested led to a crisis between the government and the French traders. In his haste to reimburse the French, the Beg took the risk of upsetting the kingdom by levying a financial contri- bution on the people. He had no way of opposing the Europeans. This was borne out by the events which followed. The following year, a month and a half before the capture of Algiers, the French consul forced the Beg's hand by making him sign a treaty reiterating the ban on piracy and enslavement of Christians as well as the abandonment of any claim to tribute from European states. This document also gave these states the right to open consulates, and their nationals were permitted to trade freely in that country. Finally there was a ban on any sort of economic monopoly. By imposing these conditions on the people of Tunis, they were effectively dictating their policy with regard to the war in Algeria.

Neighbouring Libya was struggling with the same difficulties. The pasha of

Tripoli, Yusuf Qaramanli (r. 1210-51/1795-1835), was also facing great financial difficulties. Yet his reign had started well. After having consolidated his authority over the country, he re-formed the Regency's navy (it had thirty-four ships in

542

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Ottoman Maghrib

1220/ 1805) and restarted piracy in the Mediterranean. Between 1210/ 1795 and 1215/ 1800, they attacked the merchant navies of the United States of America, Spain, Sweden, Denmark, Holland and the kingdom of Naples. In 1211/1796, he forced the Americans to sign a treaty with him in which they were to hand over 52,000 dollars, as well as naval equipment. In Dhu '1-Hijja 1215/May 1801, he declared war on them again. The Americans retaliated by hastening to blockade Tripoli (1218/ 1803). But this turned into a fiasco. In a weak position, he signed another treaty in Rabi 1 1 1220 /June 1805, in which he promised to pay a ransom of 60,000 dollars. Amongst the Christian powers there were only the British, now the leading naval force in the Mediterranean, who were in a position to dictate to the pasha how he should treat them. They had occupied Malta in 1215/ 1800, and so they had a commercial advantage. The island was one of the main markets for Libyan exports. Copying the Husaynis of Tunis, the pasha of Tripoli created a state monopoly on agricultural and animal exports. He made a lot of money from the sale of sheep and beef cattle to the British fleet in Malta. But

after having improved the financial position of the Regency, he fell again into difficulties in the years 1225-36/ 1810-20, when the income from piracy and the revenues from the monopolies started to dwindle. The British were the main cause of these losses. After having forced him to lift the monopoly on the products sold to them, they tried to reduce the scope for piracy through trading safe-conducts in the Mediterranean. When his financial difficulties grew, the British and French consuls stepped up their pressure on him to pay back his debts to their nationals. Since 1241/ 1825 the pasha had in fact been borrowing from English, French, Swedish, Danish and Austrian traders. His relations with the Europeans were appalling. A French squadron arrived in Tripoli on 19 Safar 1246 / 8 August 1830, and forced him to sign a treaty with France the same as one signed the same day by the Beg of Tunis. This document additionally provided for a letter of apology from the pasha to the king regarding his consul, 800,000 francs of indemnities and a breakdown of his naval and land forces. This was far different from the treaties concluded thirty years earlier with the United States of America. To stifle the financial crisis, the pasha devalued the country's currency seven times between 1245/ 1829 and 1248/ 1832, at the same time as increasing taxes and financial demands. He confiscated all properties whose owners could not provide written proof of ownership. In Muharram 1246 /July 1831, a tribal rebellion broke out, encouraged by the British. The following year, under pressure again from the British consul, the pasha raised a new special tax. His imprudence enraged the country. The tribes who previously had stood aside from the rebellion now joined in, along with the merchants of the Tripolitan Sahel. Three years later, the Qaramanli dynasty disappeared.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Notes

i. Ibn Khaldun, *he Livre des Exemples: Muqaddima*, ed. and trans. A. Cheddadi, Paris,

2002, p. 739.

2. Leo Africanus, *Description de l'Afrique*, trans. A. Epaulard, Paris, 1956; repr. 1981, vol. II, 338.

3. J.-L. Barges, *Tlemcen ancienne capitale du royaume de ce nom, sa topographie, son histoire* (Paris, 1859).

4. Quoted in C.-A. Julien, *Histoire de l'Afrique du Nord*, 2 vols., Paris, 1951; repr. 1994, vol. I, 627.

5. Muhammad ibn Ramdan, *Ghazawdt 'Aruj wa Khayr al-Din*, ms. B.N, Alger, n° 2603.

6. Diego de Haedo, *Topographia general de Argel*, French trans. D. Monnereau and A. Berbrugger, *Topographie et histoire generale d Alger*, RA, 14-15 (1870-7); repr. Paris, 1998, 35.

7. Some variability in the transliteration of Arabic and Turkish terms has been unavoidable, because of using the usual current form in order to make them instantly identifiable to the reader.

8. *Topographie* (repr. Paris), 61.

9. Fernand Braudel, *La Mediterranee et le monde mediterraneen a l'epoque de Philippe II*, 2 vols., Paris, 1949; repr. 1995, vol. II, 284. On the role of piracy in the economy of

the Regency see L. Merrouche, *Recherches sur l'Algerie a l'epoque ottomane*, I. La course: Mythe et realite, Paris, 2007, especially the third part 'La course decinante: 1700-1830'.

10. Diego de Haedo, *Epitome de los reyes de Argel*, trans. H. de Grammont, *Histoire des rois d Alger*, Algiers, 1881; repr. Paris, 1998; Algiers, 2004, 144.

11. Diego de Haedo, *Topographie*, 200.

12. M.-H. Cherif, *Pouvoir et societe dans la Tunisie de H'usayn bin 'Ali (1705-1740)*, 2 vols., Tunis, 1984-6, vol. I, 270-1.

13. Ibn Yusuf (Mohammed Seghir ben Youssef), *Ta'nkh al-Mashra' al-MalikT fi Sultanat Awlad 'All al-Turki*, trans. V. Serres and M. Lasram, *Chronique tunisienne (1705-1771)*, Paris, 1900; repr. Tunis, 1978, p. 40.

14. J. M. Abun-Nasr, *A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period*, Cambridge, 1987, 187.

15. A. Tenenti, *Naufrages, corsaires et assurances maritimes a Venise, 1592-1609*, Paris, 1959, 27-8.

16. Jean Nicot, *ambassadeur de France au Portugal au XVf siecle. Sa correspondance inedite*, pub. E. Falgairolle, Paris, 1897, quoted in Braudel, *La Mediterranee*, vol. II, 205.

17. Diego de Haedo, *Topographie*, 94 (repr. Paris).

18. Quoted in Braudel, *La Mediterranee*, vol. II, 206.

19. Pierre Dan, *Histoire de Barbarie et de ses corsaires*, Paris, 1649, 315.

20. Ibn al-Mufti, *TaqyTd*, trans. A. Devoulx, in *RA*, 10 (1866), 230.

21. Braudel, *La Mediterranee*, vol. II, 206.

22. Diego de Haedo, *Topographie*, 98 (repr. Paris).

Ottoman Maghrib

23. R. Lespes, *Alger, esquisse de geographie urbaine*, Paris, 1925.
24. Diego de Haedo, *Topographie*, 52 (repr. Paris).
25. D. Panzac, *Les corsaires barbaresques: La fin d'une époque, 1800-1820*, Paris, 1999, 36.
26. P. Lucas, *Voyages dans la Grece, l'Asie mineure, la Macedoine et l'Afrique*, 2 vols., Paris, 1712, vol. II, 138.
27. Laurent D'Arvieux, *Memoires*, 6 vols., Paris, 1735, vol. IV, 19-20.
28. Cherif, *Pouvoir et société*, vol. I, 173; vol. II, 93.
29. Jean-Andre Peysonnel, *Voyage dans les regences de Tunis et d Alger*, presentation et notes de Lucette Valensi, Paris, 1987, 25.
30. W. Shaler, *Esquisse de l'Alger*, trans. M. X. Bianchi, Paris, 1830, repr. Algiers, 2001, 48-9.
31. L. Valensi, *Le Maghreb avant la prise d 'Alger (1790-1830)*, Paris, 1969; repr. Tunis, 2004, p. 97.
32. A. Laroui, *Histoire du Maghreb: Un essai de synthese*, Paris, 1970, 254.
33. Diego de Haedo, *Topographie*, 78 (repr. Paris).
34. al-WazIr al-Sarraj, *al-Hulal al-Sundusiyya fi 'l-Akhbar al-Tunisiyya*, Tunis, 1970-3, vol. II, 1, p. 224.
35. Cherif, *Pouvoir et société*, vol. I, 90; vol. II, 98, 190.

36. H. Touati, *Entre Dieu et les hommes: Lettres, saints et sorciers au Maghreb (XVIIe siecle)*, Paris, 1994, 178-9.
37. J. Berque, *Les structures du Haut-Atlas*, Paris, 1955; repr. 1978, 285.
38. A. Devoulx, *Tachrifat: Recueil de notes historiques sur l'administration de l'ancienne regence d'Alger*, Algiers, 1852, 48.
39. Ahmad al-Sharif al-Zahhar, *Mudhakkirat (1754-1830)*, ed. A. T. Madani, Algiers, 1974-
40. L. Merrouche, *Recherches sur l'Algerie a l'epoque ottomane*, II. Monnaies, prix et revenus, Paris, 2007, 112-30.
41. L. Valensi, 'Islam et capitalisme: Production et commerce des chechias en Tunisie et en France aux XVIIIe et XIXe siecles', *Revue d'Histoire Moderne et Contemporaine*, 16 (1969), 376-400.
42. Quoted in M.-H. Cherif, 'Expansion europeenne et difficultes tunisiennes de 1815 a 1830', *Annales ESC*, 3 (1970), 714-45.
43. Panzac, *Les corsaires barbaresques*, 267, 269-70.
44. A. Devoulx, 'Le registre des prises maritimes', *RA*, 15 (1871) and 16 (1872); Merrouche, *Recherches sur l'Algerie a l'epoque ottomane*, I. La course; see especially the part: 'La course decinante: 1700-1830'.
45. For the crisis in the rural areas of Algeria see N. Sai'douni, *L'Algerie rurale a la fin de l'epoque ottomane (1791-1830)*, Beirut, 2001.
46. Husayn al-Warhilani, *Rihla*, ed. M. Ben Cheneb, Algiers, 1908.

PART V

RULERS, SOLDIERS, PEASANTS SCHOLARS AND TRADERS

19

State formation and organisation

MICHAEL BRETT

Historiography: three types of government

All societies have government in the sense of rules of behaviour, but not all societies have a government to make and enforce those rules. The medieval world of the Mediterranean had inherited a long tradition of such government, beginning with the magistracies of the ancient city-states and culminating in the monarchies of the Roman and Byzantine empires. These, however, overlay a still longer tradition of customary self-regulation by peoples of the mountains, deserts and forests within and without the Roman frontiers, which had revived as the frontier was overrun by these barbarians, and imperial government shrank away towards the east. The tradition of imperial government was renewed by the Arabs, the last of the barbarians as well as the last of the heretics, who carried it back to North Africa and the Iberian Peninsula, as well as to Central Asia and northern India, without suppressing the tradition of self-government among the peoples of the mountains and the deserts whom

they brought under their sway. The subsequent history of state formation and organisation in the lands of the Arab empire is a history of the working out of the opposition between these two kinds of government under the rubric of their faith, with its requirement for government in accordance with the Law of God. From the fifth/ eleventh century onwards, this triangle took on a new lease of life with the influx of fresh barbarians from outside those lands: the Turks from Central Asia, the Berbers from the Sahara and the High Atlas, and the Arabs from the Libyan desert. Their invasions altered the balance of society, and resulted in a fresh wave of state formation and organisation. In this they were joined by the Armenians, Christians from Anatolia, but opposed by the Franks, the Christians of western Europe. In the course of that opposition, Islam was eliminated from the Iberian Peninsula and Sicily, but much greater gains were made by the Turks in Anatolia and the Balkans, the lands of the Byzantine empire that had never been conquered by the Arabs. The outcome, in the Ottoman empire, was an impressive solution to the problem of state formation and organisation inherent in the three kinds of

549

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

government. Only to the south, across the Sahara in tropical Africa, were the terms of the problem modified by the relationship of Muslims to pagans in lands where they had established themselves by settlement and conversion rather than by conquest.

Beginning with the contemporary sources, the discussion has taken three directions roughly corresponding to these three historical elements. The pre-descriptions of the Islamic law as it developed down to the fifth /eleventh century enjoined obedience to the head of the community, who in turn was required to lead it against its enemies, to collect its revenues from taxation and booty, and to distribute the proceeds equitably. The task of preserving the community by ensuring its obedience to the law was performed on his behalf by the qadi, the judge in accordance with the law, who became as a result a head of the community of more fundamental importance than the monarch who appointed him. Otherwise the ruler enjoyed a wide discretion under the rubric of *siyasa shafiyya* or lawful policy-making. The constitution of his state as the instrument of God's government of mankind was set out in al-Mawardi's Ordinances of government (*Al-Ahkam al-sultaniyya*), an idealised description of the offices of the Abbasid caliphate written in the mid-fifth /eleventh century and repeated at the end of the eighth/ fourteenth in the Prolegomena (*Muqaddima*) of Ibn Khaldun. But in the same work Ibn Khaldun treated these offices as instruments of the many dynasties that came and went throughout the Islamic world. This was a definition of the state that emphasised the personnel of the regime over and above its organisation, measured against an equally idealised description of the just ruler. His responsibility for the welfare of his subjects was the theme of the many 'Mirrors for Princes', which described the principles of good government in contrast to bad. These were epitomised in the circular maxim to the effect that there can be no justice without the army; no army without taxation; no taxation without wealth; no wealth without justice, which was derived from the pseudo- Aristotelian Politics known as the *Secretum Secretorum* (*Sirr al-asraf*) and

labelled by the Ottomans the Circle of Equity. The efficacy of such a regime, which lived to tax and taxed to live, depended upon the conduct of the ruler and his army of soldiers and secretaries, which for Ibn Khaldun was conditional upon a historical cycle of growth and decay that brought each dynasty into existence only to abolish it. Its rise and fall was to be explained by the radically different constitution of tribal societies, whose 'asabiyya or fighting spirit was the means to state formation through the conquest of the civilised and decadent by the primitive and strong. A crucial role had been played in the Islamic period by religion, which, beginning with the Prophet, had been instrumental in marshalling this spirit for empire -building.

550

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

In the secondary literature these three themes of Islamic, state and tribal government have all been taken up in studies of state formation and organisation. While Rosenthal and Lambton, for example, have been concerned with the political thought of the period, others have analysed the practice. ¹

The question of organisation was placed in a wider context at the beginning of the twentieth century CE in Hartmann's description of an Oriental in contrast to an Occidental state. ² With reference to Byzantium, he suggested that the Oriental state rested upon the paramount right, which could never be alienated, to tax the land in cash and kind. Established under the late Roman empire, this was a right that was certainly acquired by the Arabs in the course

of their conquests and inherited by their successors, entering into the legal literature of Islam and underlying the discussions of good and bad government in the 'Mirrors for Princes' from the second/ eighth century onwards. Noting the extent to which the fiscal practice of the Islamic state deviated from the prescriptions of the Islamic law, modern studies of the subject have emphasised the contrast, of which writers of the period were aware, between ideal and practice in this as in other matters. But the insistence upon the principle in Islam of a single community under a single divine law in a single state which knows no division between the spiritual and the temporal has led writers like Rosenthal to reject the comparison that can usefully be made, as it is by Crone, 3 between the jurists of Islam and the churchmen of contemporary Christendom as religious authorities in potential or actual conflict with the secular conduct of the prince. 4 Rosenthal's treatment of the dichotomy remains at the theoretical level of revelation versus reason, where he situates the distinction made by Ibn Khaldun between divine and human law. That distinction is unconcerned with the role of the jurists in the actual conduct of government in Islam, but subordinated to the general requirement of law for the organisation of any state, Islamic or otherwise. In Rosenthal's reading of Ibn Khaldun as 'the theor[ist] of the power-state', however, this requirement is itself subordinate to a political rather than an administrative definition of the state according to its rulers rather than its rules, a question of who wins power rather than how it is exercised. 5

For Ernest Gellner, the first question was uppermost. From the Muqaddima he derived his 'pendulum swing' theory of Islam, in which a puritan zeal brought in the warrior tribesman to rule over the city-dweller, before it returned to the countryside to bring in a similarly zealous successor. But since this periodicity did not seem to fit the case of the Ottoman empire, Gellner felt obliged to propose the empire as an alternative model of state

formation and organisation in the western Islamic world, in which what he

55i

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

called 'the elite recruitment procedures' of its armed forces ensured the permanence of the mamluk or 'slave soldier' state. A further problem was the city, which as the home of a complex society should have been politically active, but which had been emasculated by its dependence upon the warrior state for its protection. For Max Weber this dependence, which contrasted with the capacity of western European cities for municipal self-government, was an aspect of the second question, the way in which power was exercised by the state. 7 The state was the personal property of the ruler, evolving out of patriarchalism into patrimonialism as its personnel expanded beyond the monarch, his kinsmen and his household into a professional army of secretaries and soldiers. These governed and misgoverned in the name of his dynasty until such time as the charisma of its founder was exhausted and his state changed hands or disappeared. Yves Lacoste took a long-term view of the consequences when he analysed the Circle of Equity in the light of Ibn Khaldun's explanation of the role played by the army in the economy through the redistribution of the wealth derived from taxation. Insofar as it promoted commerce and industry, this redistribution was an aspect of the city's dependence on the state for the development of its civilisation. On the other hand, the direct participation of the ruler in commerce was harmful to an economy whose prosperity depended upon his buying rather than selling. Ibn Khaldun's

criticism of such behaviour justified Lacoste's Marxist argument, that in his time the identification of merchants with rulers had brought the evolution of the Muslim world to an end, in contrast to the West, where merchants had been free to develop a capitalist economy in opposition to the feudal state.

Such thinking enters into the judgement of historians that the period from the fifth/ eleventh century onwards was a period of decline induced by a major change of ruler: soldiers instead of civilians, barbarians instead of the civilised heirs of antiquity, Turks and Mongols instead of Arabs and Persians. 9 In the opinion of Goitein and Ashtor, these warriors established the equivalent of a feudal regime that inhibited economic growth. 10 Their rulers took over what Kennedy has called the ghulam state that arose out of the demise of the 'Abbasid empire in the fourth/tenth century. 11 The earlier term for mamluk, ghulam (pi. ghilman), designated the so-called slave soldier who formed the backbone of the armies of Islam in the Middle East from the third/ninth century onwards, and whose payment was the principal charge upon the revenues of the state which employed him. The link between the military and fiscal organisation of the state became structural from the fourth/tenth century onwards with the development of the iqta\ the allocation of a source of revenue to the warrior in payment for his military service. The equivalence

of the iqta to the European fief as the foundation of a feudal system in Islam is now discounted on the basis of Hartmann's distinction between the Oriental and the Occidental state. 12 In its various forms, the iqta 1 was nevertheless the principal institution through which the fiscal system of the Oriental state was modified to establish the barbarian invaders of the old Arab empire as rulers of states formed by conquest and organised for war. The question, answered in the negative by Goitein and Ashtor, is how such states could serve the interests of their subjects in accordance with the requirements of Islam and the prescriptions of the Circle of Equity.

The invasions of the fifth /eleventh century

The Arabs, Turks and Berbers who invaded the settled lands of Islam in the fifth/ eleventh century were all tribal peoples of the kind described by Ibn Khaldun, who were attracted into the Islamic world in the context of the rivalry between the Fatimids and the Abbasids for the headship of the Muslim community. Although the ideal of universal monarchy spelt out in al-Mawardi's description of the caliphate was indeed a fiction, its claim upon the loyalty of rulers and subjects divided the Islamic world politically and religiously between the two contestants, and drew into their conflict the inhabitants of the lands beyond the boundaries of the original Arab empire. 13 Of these, the tribes of the Banu Hilal, the Arab Bedouin of the northern Sahara, were the least ambitious, but no less influential. Drawn into the quarrel of the Zirids with the Fatimids, their defeat of the Zirids at Haydaran in 443/1052 provoked the dissolution of Ifriqiya into a series of city-states, and opened the way to the spread of Arab nomadism across North Africa. While never creating their own empire, these Arabs became essential to state formation and organisation in the Maghrib as warriors who ruled the countryside for the dynasts in the cities.

The invasion of the Turks followed a similar pattern. Nomads out of Central Asia, they defeated the Ghaznavids at Dandanqan in 431/1040, in the course of an immigration that went on to repopulate the highlands of northern

Iran, Iraq and Anatolia. But unlike the Arabs, the Saljuq Turks who won the battle of Dandangan were empire-builders who took over not only the state of the Ghaznavids in north-eastern Iran, but their role as self-proclaimed champions of the 'Abbasids and Sunnī Islam. In that capacity they advanced to Baghdad with the declared intention to overthrow the Fatimid Shāhs in Egypt. With this imperial purpose, what might have remained a local regime in eastern Iran became in consequence an empire in Iran, Iraq and Syria, that

553

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

following the defeat of the Byzantines at Manzikert in 463/1071 extended into Anatolia. The Berbers of the western Sahara differed yet again, in that they entered the Islamic world not as nomads but as al-Murabitun, the Almoravids, tribesmen 'bound together' into a community of the faithful to wage war upon infidelity. Inspired by the militant Sunnism behind the quarrel of the Zirids with the Fatimids, their conquest of the western Sahara in the 440s/1050s was followed over the next half-century by the conquest of Morocco and finally al-Andalus. In the sixth/twelfth century the empire they created was taken over and enlarged to include the whole of North Africa by their successors the Almohads, al-Muwahhidun or Unitarians, a similar community formed out of the Berbers of the High Atlas by the Mahdi Ibn Tumart. The difference from both the Arabs and the Turks, however, was that the Almoravids and Almohads were not followed out of the desert or the mountains by their fellow tribesmen to create a new population in the lands they had conquered. Instead, their empire formed the framework for the spread of the Hilalian Arabs and their incorporation into the state system they had created. That system was separate from those of

the eastern Mediterranean, divided between Egypt and Syria on the one hand and Anatolia on the other.

Egypt and Syria: the transformation of the Fatimid empire

In the middle of the fifth/ eleventh century the model of the state established by the Abbasids, consisting of monarch, secretariat, army and judiciary, was represented by Fatimid Egypt, a grand patrimonial state that had originated in a religiously inspired revolution in North Africa, and established itself in Egypt by conquest. Despite the threat of Saljuq invasion, it survived for the next hundred years, the great exception to the general rule of conquest, but not of transformation. The imam-caliph was an absolute monarch, ruling over Muslims, Christians and Jews as the charismatic representative of God on earth by right of descent from the Prophet. He did so in two capacities, on the one hand as Commander of the Faithful, the leader of the Muslim community, on whose behalf the divine law by which the community was constituted was administered by the chief qadi. On the other hand, as head of government, his powers were exercised by a vizier (wazlf) from the Men of the Pen, the secretariat. In place of the sovereign, the vizier heard the endless petitions which made up the daily business of government in the Islamic as well as the Ancient world, supervised the chancery that issued his decrees and letters, 14

554

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

and directed his army. As head of the administration, he appointed his officers and presided over a series of diwans, ministries or boards whose chief concern was revenue and expenditure. The most important source of revenue was the irrigated land of the Nile Valley and Delta, followed by trade, business and property. Taxes were customary rather than Islamic, their assessment and collection dependent upon the expertise of Coptic officials and the operations of tax-farmers. These bought their concessions at a fixed price for a fixed period; those who farmed the land taxes were responsible for the cultivation of the land through the building and the cutting of the dykes that controlled the annual flood. Much of the income went to the palace, where it was supplemented by the revenue from the estates of the royal family. But the most important expense was the army, the Men of the Sword. Although the idea of the Muslim community as a nation in arms against the infidel continued to apply, the armies of its states had long ceased to be composed of its citizens. That of the Fatimids was a composite force of exotic origin which included Berbers, blacks and Turks, not immigrant nomads but ghilman, professional cavalymen recruited as boys from Central Asia. Its most active employment was in central and southern Syria, which the Fatimids ruled from Damascus. Here it was an army of occupation under a military governor, who was served by a separate fiscal administration for the old Roman districts centred on the numerous cities on the coast and inland.

The vizier's appointment depended on the caliph, but the success of his administration upon his skill as a politician with the power of patronage to secure the loyalty of his subordinates in the secretariat and the army. When the vizier al-Yazuri was executed in 450/1058, such loyalty was lost by his successors among the Men of the Pen, who proved incapable of forming a government, and in 458/1066 the Men of the Sword intervened. Their fighting brought the administration to a halt, beggared the caliph, and induced a famine that depopulated the country. Syria dissolved back into a series of city-states. But it was the governor of Syria, the Armenian Badr al-Jamali,

whose invasion of Egypt on behalf of the caliph restored order in 466f.
/1074. A

Muslim at the head of a mostly Christian Armenian army, he took plenipoten-
tiary power with the tide Commander of the Armies. The vizierate thus
passed to the Men of the Sword, mainly Armenians, until the end of the
dynasty in 567/1171. Their power depended upon command of the army,
which enabled them for many years to reduce the caliph to a figurehead,
and eventually to replace him as head of state. But until that Weberian
moment when the charisma of the dynasty was finally exhausted, their
authority continued to depend upon their appointment by the imam-
caliph,

555

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the only way in which foreigners like the Armenians, of immigrant and
ultimately Christian origin, could claim to represent the representative of
God on earth. While the royal city of al-Qahira (Cairo) as distinct from the
civilian city of al-Fustat was heavily fortified, Badr al-Jamali and his
successors
entered into the ceremonial routine of what Geertz has called, with
reference
to Indonesia, the Theatre State, of which the monarch was the Exemplary
Centre, with his servants and subjects ranked beneath him in a
descending
order of Graded Spirituality. 15 In this hierarchy, the Men of the Pen
were
restricted to the administration, where they paid the army through the
allocation of iqta's to the troops. These consisted of land-tax-farms that
were
assigned to the military either individually, for them to enjoy the income
after
the Treasury had taken its stipulated share, or collectively, to pay a
regiment
or tribal contingent. A fiscal device to match expenditure to revenue, as
tax-
farms they gave the soldiers a vested interest in the regime. 1 A new
mission

was supplied by the Crusaders, whose invasion of Syria and Palestine enabled the viziers to pose as the champions of Islam, fulfilling the duty of the caliph to defend its lands against the infidel. In all these ways, their military regime was a token of the new age.

The Saljuqs in Syria

Taken over by the Armenians whom it had enlisted in its army, the Fatimid state in Egypt was nevertheless very different from the empire of the Saljuqs, created out of all the states they had conquered. While he championed the cause of the Sunm 'Abbasid caliph, and took from him the appointment to rule the entire Muslim world in his name, its creator Tughril Beg was no minister like Badr al-Jamali but a ruler in his own right, transformed from an immigrant Turcoman chieftain into a patrimonial monarch of Islam with the title of sultan. His armies were built around Turkish ghilman, while the Turcoman nomads who had followed him were increasingly marginalised. But to bring their various dominions under central control, he and his successors turned to the Men of the Pen in the persons of two great viziers, al-Kunduri and Nizam al-Mulk, 'the Pillar of the Kingdom'. A consummate politician, Nizam al-Mulk was a great lord with his own army of ghilman and wide patronage, that enabled him to utilise the iqtcC more widely than in Egypt, both as a grant of revenue in return for military service and as an instrument of provincial government, a delegation of political as well as fiscal rights in return for loyalty. At the same time he put into effect the religious justification for the Saljuq empire through the foundation of madrasas or colleges for the formal teaching of Sunrii Islam. But like al-Yazuri in Egypt, he himself remained a

State formation and organisation

servant not a master, trying in vain through his 'Book of Government' (Siyasat-nama) to persuade the sultan Malikshah of the merits of civilised, centralised government in the hands of the Men of the Pen. The system he created survived his murder in 485/1092, but the empire did not. Unlike the unitary regime of the Fatimids in Egypt, the state which Nizam al-Mulk had laboured to build for the sultan ran counter to the Turkish custom of a family dominion shared by its members, and began to disintegrate on the death of Malikshah in the same year. By the middle of the sixth/twelfth century the power of the sultan as head of the family was confined to eastern Iran, while the rest was progressively divided between the various royal princes, the atabegs or senior commanders who had initially been their tutors, and Turcoman chieftains who had established their own dynasties. 17

In Syria, added to the empire in 471/1078, and ruled until 488/1095 by Tutush, the brother of Malikshah, Damascus and Aleppo became separate capitals under his sons Duqaq and Ridwan, each of whom was succeeded by his atabeg. At this local level the inheritance was secured by the tutelary role of the atabegs as trustees of a state staffed by Turkish soldiers under a command-ing officer, and Arab secretaries of the chancery, treasury and army. But both regimes depended upon an alliance with the ra'xs or headman in command of the ah'dath or civic militia, who was joined at Aleppo by the qadx at the head of the largely Shi'c i community. Their authority went back to the fourth/tenth century, when both cities had put up a fierce resistance to the Fatimids, and

had evidently survived the intervening years of Fatimid rule at Damascus and that of the Mirdasids at Aleppo. It flourished under the Saljuq princes, who needed the goodwill of the townsfolk just as the townsfolk relied on them for defence in an age of endemic warfare. At Damascus the Burid dynasty of the atabeg Tughtegin was paralleled by that of the Banu TSufi, with whom the position of ra'xs became hereditary. At Aleppo the Shi'i qadx Ibn al-Kashshab determined the resistance to the Crusaders while seeking a new prince for the city after the death of Ridwan in 507/1113 and the murder of his atabeg Lu] lu' in 510/1117. Until its fall to the Crusaders in 502/1109 Tripoli was ruled by a dynasty founded by the qadx Ibn 'Ammar. It is clear that while these cities may have lacked a statutory form of government, they were nevertheless largely, and if necessary wholly, self-governing under the leader of the militia, who may have originated as a proletarian gangster, or the head of a wealthy and influential family. The authority of these headmen, moreover, was formalised by accession to the offices of the Islamic state, to that of qadi, and at Damascus by the promotion of the ra'xs to the rank of an officer of the prince. The result was a series of city-states that may not have been municipalities after the

557

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Roman and medieval European fashion, but demonstrated the ability of the citizens to take their government into their own hands. 1

The role of jihad

In these circumstances, the energies of the Saljuqs only gradually returned to empire-building in Syria, in confrontation with the Crusaders in the course of the sixth/twelfth century. Despite the conquest of Anatolia from Byzantium, the defence of the Sunni 'Abbasid caliphate against its Shi'i rivals, which had brought Tughnal Beg to Baghdad, had not developed into holy war upon the infidel. And despite the subsequent loss of Jerusalem to the Crusaders, specifically Muslim retaliation was slow to develop as the heirs to the Saljuq empire in the west fought each other as well as the Franks. Treating the Crusaders as allies as well as enemies, they failed to make common cause against the infidel with the Fatimids in Egypt, or respond to the call to holy war by the occasional preacher, until the atabeg Zangi at Mosul in northern Iraq emerged from the conflict as the builder of a new Saljuq empire with the annexation of Aleppo in 521/1127 and the conquest of the Crusader state of Edessa in 539/1144. In Saljuq fashion, his dominions were divided at his death in 541/1146, but from Aleppo his son Nur al-Din went on to annex Damascus in 549/1154. As Nur al-Din's imperialism brought him into conflict with the Franks, this finally became a holy war dedicated to the recapture of the Holy City of Jerusalem. Accomplishment, however, was left to his Kurdish commander Saladin, for whom the dedication was essential to the creation of yet another Saljuq-style dominion.

The dedication followed on from Saladin's appointment as Fatimid vizier, in which capacity he abolished the Fatimid dynasty in 567/1171 in the name of the 'Abbasid caliph. The fulfilment of this long-standing Saljuq ambition was necessary to secure his position as the new ruler of Egypt, the base from which he drove the Zangids from Damascus and Aleppo, and reduced the head of the

dynasty at Mosul to submission, in the twelve years following the death of Nur al-Din in 569/1174. Such expansionism at the expense of fellow Muslims rather than the Latin states was sanctioned by Baghdad as the building of Muslim unity against the Crusaders, with whom Saladin clashed no more than two or three times in these years. But when in the year after the submission of Mosul, he did indeed destroy the Latin kingdom at Hattin in 583/1187, he did so in a battle he could not have expected to fight. His parade down the eastern frontier of the kingdom was a repetition of his march after the occupation of Aleppo in 579/1183 - a demonstration of his commitment to war upon the infidel in justification for his war upon the dynasty he had once served. That it

558

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

ended as it did was the result of an uncharacteristic Frankish blunder. Suddenly in possession of Jerusalem, Saladin almost lived to regret his success, coming close to defeat by the Third Crusade. His championship of the faith had, however, served its purpose. Formed within the Saljuq state system by a Kurd from the tribal population of northern Iraq, the empire he had won in the name of Islam survived his death in 589/1193 as a family dominion that united Egypt with the whole of Syria. 19

In that dominion, the imperative of holy war receded into the background, only exceptionally forced upon his Ayyubid successors by the Fifth and Seventh Crusades. Otherwise they were content to reach agreement with

the kingdom of Acre after a century of warfare, whose legacy of insecurity was apparent in the removal of the seat of government in Egypt from the old royal city of al-Qahira to the new Citadel, and the massive strengthening of the citadels of Damascus and Aleppo. Internal conflict was perpetuated by the division of the dynasty between some six different capitals, but controlled for much of the time by the paramountcy of the ruler of Egypt - a patriarchal dominion on the patrimonial basis of the former Fatimid state. Still organised for war, the dynasty was able in this way to preside over an era of relative peace and considerable prosperity, in which the cities benefited from trade and the endowment of mosques and madrasas. Such piety on the part of the princes built religion into the fabric of the state while serving the material interests of scholars and citizens. ic

The Mamluk empire

The Saljuq state system, represented in Egypt and Syria by the Ayyubids, came abruptly to an end with the Mongol invasions of the mid-seventh/thirteenth century, to be superseded by that of the army created by the last great Ayyubid sultan. After the Armenians and the Kurdish Ayyubids, al-Salih Ayyub's Qipchak Turkish mamluks took the development of the ghulam state to extremes. His creation in Egypt of an ethnically homogeneous force of mamluks large enough to form an artificial tribe was the first step towards its installation as the ruling elite after its murder of his successor in 648 / 1250 - the first demonstration of its L asabiyya under provocation from the incoming Turanshah. The accident of the Mongol invasion of Syria in 657/1259 then delivered the whole of the Ayyubid realm into its hands following its victory at Ayn Jalut in 658/1260. The consolidation of its empire was the work of Baybars, a sultan in the image of Saladin as champion of Islam against the Mongols as well as the Franks, but unlike his predecessor the first among equals rather than the founder of a dynasty. Under the Mamluks, heredity

The New Cambridge History of Islam

gave rise to the Qalawunid dynasty in the eighth/fourteenth century. But in the context of Mamluk factionalism, it was never more than a factor in the succession, so much so that by the ninth/fifteenth century the son of the previous sultan was regularly enthroned and deposed to make way for a senior member of a previous royal household.

The politics of the succession were the expression of two constants, first of all the perpetuation of this artificial tribe of warriors by continuous recruit-ment from ethnic groups still largely beyond the pale of Islam - the Qipchak Turks followed by the Circassians from the Caucasus. Imported as boys into Egypt by slave traders, and brought up to arms as a chivalrous elite with its own esprit de corps, they were divided among the households of the greater Mamluks, to which they owed their immediate loyalty. Second was the state itself, which retained control of this elite through the allocation of iqtas on a non-hereditary basis, at the same time employing the recipients, in time-honoured manner, to cultivate the land for the fisc. Each mamluk was a tax-farming landlord, responsible, through an agent, for the irrigation as well as the revenues of the land which constituted his iqtas; the bulk of these revenues then went to the Treasury, leaving the yield of certain taxes to the mamluk himself as the income to which he was entitled. The incorporation of the mamluks into the fiscal system was the latest example of the strength of the title of the state in Egypt to the land and its taxes. 21

Ruling its rulers in this way, the state which the Mamluks controlled reverted from the family dominion of the Ayyubids to a monarchy like that of the Fatimids, with a single sultan, sanctioned by a puppet 'Abbasid caliph, in place of the imam-caliph. The Ayyubid principalities of Syria were converted into provinces under the control of a Fatimid-style naHb or viceroy at Damascus in charge of the whole of the country. In Egypt, the administration remained unchanged in form, function and personnel, although its Coptic members were under pressure to convert, and changes in ministerial responsibility reflected a growing preoccupation with finance. Thus the nazir al-khass or steward of the sultan's domain replaced not only the vizier as supervisor of the financial departments, including the diwan al-jaysh for the army's pay and the army, but the na'ib or lieutenant of the sultan in the government of the country. As the need for income became acute in the ninth/ fifteenth century with the decimation of the peasantry by the plague, the administration fell increasingly into the hands of its leading families, many of whom were of Coptic origin, who acted as financiers of the sultan.

When the state then resorted to commercial monopolies, it invited Ibn Khaldun's condemnation of its interference in the marketplace as well as his

560

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

denunciation of oppressive taxation. Both were subversive of the Circle of Equity, whose justice consisted in the defence of the subject's livelihood, either in general, through an equitable regime, or in particular through the

redress of individual grievances, and both have been adduced as factors in the eventual downfall of the Mamluk sultanate. Riven by faction, afflicted by plague, and eventually hit by the Portuguese capture of the spice trade, late Mamluk Egypt nevertheless continued to recycle the wealth of the state through the marketplace, where the Mamluks were in alliance with the shopkeepers, and through the endowment of charitable foundations, often in association with the tomb mosques of the Mamluk aristocracy. Such endowments, waqfs, made under Islamic law, were widely employed as investments in property for the benefit of the donor as well as the foundation, and were a major factor in urban growth. 22 From the fiscal point of view, they were a particular instance of the allocation of a specific source of revenue to a specific purpose, of which the iqtd c was the prime example. Indeed, where agricultural land was given in trust, the donation was a form of tqta c which obliged the peasants to cultivate. 23 With labour scarce, similar attempts were made to tie the peasants on the military iqta's to the land, perhaps with little success. Meanwhile the sultans maintained the age-old practice of receiving petitions on a weekly if not daily basis, a routine which was central to their conduct of affairs. As a public performance of justice, it was central to the tradition of the Theatre State, of which the sultan was the undisputed head. Like the Fatimid caliphate before it, the Mamluk sultanate eventually succumbed only to invasion. 24

Anatolia

In contrast to both Syria and Egypt, where the Saljuqs and their successors took over the Muslim states of a largely Muslim land, those that they formed in Anatolia were new creations in a foreign country with a non-Muslim population. Their history, however, followed a similar trajectory of conquest and disintegration followed by gradual but systematic unification in the course

of the sixth/ twelfth century. By the beginning of the century, Anatolia was divided between the Byzantines in the west, a Saljuq dynasty eventually located at Konya in the south, and Turcoman dynasties in the north and east. The ruin that Ibn Khaldun attributed to the invasion of settlements by nomads was changed to an apparent prosperity by chieftains who turned through their raiding of the countryside from herdsmen into warlords based not on the tent but on the cities, much as Ibn Khaldun envisaged. But although

561

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the title of ghazi taken by the Turcoman chieftains in the north might mean 'fighter in the holy war', and illustrate his dictum that nomads required some religious purpose to cohere into a fighting force capable of state formation, it may be no more than a loaded translation of the Turkish alp or hero, which invested the Danishmendids, for example, with an Islamic charisma. 25 The reconstruction of the economy and the society was more closely associated with the expansion of the southern realm of the Saljuqs of al-Rum at Konya, which by the middle of the seventh/thirteenth century covered almost the whole of Anatolia. Ruled by a branch of the royal Saljuq family, this was not a family empire like its progenitor or its Ayyubid contemporary, despite a period of some ten years at the end of the sixth/twelfth century when the realm was divided amongst the sons and nephews of Qilij Arslan, but an increasingly centralised state under a monarchy which drew its secretaries from Iran, and maintained its army without, it seems, recourse to the iqta\

Exercising its right of taxation by right of conquest through a combination of Islamic principles with indigenous Byzantine forms of landownership and fiscal practice, it recreated Hartmann's Oriental state in the homeland of his Byzantine paradigm, under a sovereign who took for himself the title of sultan. As with the Great Saljuqs, the title carried with it the notion of defender of the faith, but like that of ghazi did not commit them to holy war, despite their position on the frontier of Islam and Christendom. Unlike the Ayyubids, the Saljuqs of al-Rum found such a commitment unnecessary. The Crusades almost literally passed them by, while there was little religion in their resistance to Byzantine attempts to reconquer the country, or in their seizure of the opportunity presented by the fall of Constantinople to the Fourth Crusade, to secure an outlet to the sea at Antalya and emerge as a Mediterranean power. 2

As in Syria, this impressive evolution was terminated by the Mongols. But where the Mamluks immediately took the place of the Ayyubids, the annexation of the sultanate to the ilkhate deferred the reconstitution of an independent Anatolian state for a hundred years. When it was finally accomplished by the Ottomans in the second half of the eighth/fourteenth century, their empire nevertheless resembled that of the Mamluks, with the Balkans in place of Egypt as the base of their power. It was from their second capital at Edirne that the Ottomans set about the subjugation of the heirs to the sultanate in Anatolia: the two Turcoman and one Mongol dynasty at Kiitahya, Konya and Kayseri on the plateau, and the remaining three Turcoman ghazi states on the Aegean coast. They themselves had begun their career on this raiding frontier with the Byzantines in the first half of

State formation and organisation

the eighth/ fourteenth century, repeating, it may be, the success of the Turcomans in the fifth /eleventh, when their scouring of the countryside isolated the towns and forced their surrender; that of Bursa initiated the construction of a dynastic state by their second prince Orkhan. With the passage of the Dardanelles in the 750S/1350S, the movement of the raiding frontier into Europe first gave them Edirne and then a widening horizon of territory, vassals and allies that brought Bulgaria, Macedonia, Serbia and Byzantium itself into Rumelia, the European wing of their empire. In the process, the enslavement of prisoners taken in this constant warfare to form a bodyguard of infantry archers for the sultan turned an army of light cavalry into a much weightier fighting force. It was with this corps of janissaries, and contingents from his Balkan vassals and allies, that Bayezid I conquered Anatolia at the end of the century. And it was the loyalty of this corps that enabled the dynasty to survive, in a way that the Saljuqs of al-Rum had not, the catastrophe of a second Mongol invasion by Timur in 804f /1402, in which Bayezid was defeated and captured. Down to the death of Mehmed II in 886/ 1481, the pattern of conquest resumed, with further expansion in the Balkans followed by the eventual recovery of the whole of Anatolia.

By the end of the ninth/fifteenth century, with Constantinople itself as their new capital, the Ottomans had reunited the lands of the old Byzantine empire, bringing the extensively Islamised lands of Anatolia together with the pre-dominantly Christian lands of Rumelia. From these Balkan lands their new empire derived its particular strength and its distinctive character. It was not a family dominion like that of the Ayyubids, or a centralised state like that of the Mamluks, but a conglomeration of domains, provinces, subordinate principalities and allies under the overlordship of the sultan. Dynastic marriages were extensively employed to bind these disparate dominions to the throne,

but the sultan himself was ceaselessly at war to compel their obedience, force others to submit, and resist the invasions of rival imperialists - Hungarians, Venetians, Mongols, Turcomans of the White Sheep, and finally the Mamluks. Like Saladin, the sultan was fighting on two fronts in a war of conquest that was also a war of survival, but on a far grander and more ambitious scale. Like Saladin again, he was fighting in the name of Islam, earning the title of Great Ghazi in practice as well as theory. But although like Saladin and his Ayyubid successors he was the head of a dynasty, like the Mamluk sultan he was the sole ruler, having eliminated his brothers at his accession. His accession depended, like that of his Egyptian counterpart, on the support of an elite corps of slave soldiers, who never, on the other hand, presumed to favour one of themselves for the throne. In his extended household they were joined by a

563

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

ministerial elite, initially recruited from the jurists of Anatolia, then drawn by Mehmed II from the Christian aristocracy of the Balkans; like the janissaries, its members became Muslim in his service, employing Turkish rather than Greek, Persian or Arabic as the spoken and written language of government. Becoming Turks in this way, these soldiers and secretaries were the exact opposite of the Turcomans who provided the cavalry of the regime or continued their raids on the expanding European frontier. But unlike the Iranian servants of the Saljuqs, who had sought to incorporate the dynasty into

the state they had created, the incorporation into the dynasty of these recruits from the lands they had conquered enabled the Ottomans to succeed where Nizam al-Mulk had failed, in binding their disparate dominions into a tighter and tighter union.

The western Mediterranean

In the fourth/tenth century the Maghrib or Muslim west had been divided between two rival patrimonial states, those of the Umayyads in al-Andalus and of the Fatimids and their Zirid viceroys in Ifriqiya, the former Byzantine province of Africa that comprised eastern Algeria, Tunisia and Tripolitania. They had clashed in northern Morocco and western Algeria, which were fought over by their tribal Berber allies. Overthrown by revolution and invasion in the fifth/eleventh century, both were replaced by city-states until the whole of al-Andalus and North Africa was incorporated by conquest in the Almoravid and Almohad empires. Meanwhile the catalyst for the disintegration of Ifriqiya, the invasion of the Bedouin Arab tribes of the Banu Hilal, introduced a third factor into the equation of government in the Maghrib.

The city in the western Islamic world

The factors were not as simple as they appear in the structural analysis of Ibn Khaldun, in which the difference between the complex but incoherent society of the city and the simple but spirited society of the tribal countryside is bridged by the dynastic state. The reliance of the urban population on the prince for its protection was by no means absolute. While they lacked a municipal constitution, it is clear from the example of Syria that Muslim cities around the Mediterranean, which had grown out of colonies of warriors and merchants, did indeed govern themselves to a greater or lesser degree in the presence or absence of empire. In al-Andalus, the collapse of Umayyad rule at Cordoba in 399/1009 had precipitated the formation of a score of city-states

State formation and organisation

under princes of various provenance. In North Africa, such states had formed from the very beginning in the lands to the west of Ifriqiya, where they colonised the routes into the Iberian Peninsula and down to the Sahara. In Ifriqiya they appeared in the fifth/ eleventh century with the disintegration of the Zirid realm. Like the city-states of Syria, those of al-Andalus and North Africa were suppressed by conquest in the fifth/ eleventh and sixth/twelfth centuries; but some survived their incorporation into the Almohad empire to last until the ninth/fifteenth century. Ibn Khaldun himself was a principal witness to those of his own day, as well as a chronicler of their history from the fifth/ eleventh century onwards. Such independence was a natural development out of a well-structured urban society that contributed to its own defence, was governed largely by consent, and was certainly capable of revolt.

Its political character was illustrated by the cities of Ifriqiya. Of the Syrian trio of prince, ra'is and qadi, the prince ruled at Mahdiyya, Sfax and Gabes and the ra'is at Tunis, Tripoli and Gafsa down to the middle of the sixth/twelfth century. The qadimade only a brief appearance alongside the ra'is at Tripoli in the middle of the century, when the princes were the Normans of Sicily. Their attack upon Mahdiyya in 517/1123 had mobilised the citizens in its defence; their subsequent occupation of the coastal cities from Sousse to Tripoli was a critical event which turned on the willingness of the citizens to accept their

rule. Under the terms of that occupation, Gabes was left in charge of its prince from the dynasty of the Banu Jami 1 , while the internal affairs of Tripoli and Sfax were entrusted to leading citizens. Within a few years, however, the arrangement was overturned by popular revolution led by these erstwhile collaborators, on the eve of the final expulsion of the Normans from Mahdiyya by the Almohads. 2,7 The importance of such notables for the government of their cities is clear, but so is that of the populace, which was prepared both to submit and to resist. Resistance was not necessarily to the infidel as such; the citizens of Tunis under the native dynasty of the Banu Khurasan endured a long siege by the Almohads before they capitulated to these champions of Islam. The constitutional issue raised by such behaviour is best examined in the case of those cities which gained or regained their independence after the Almohad conquest.

By the end of the seventh/thirteenth century, under the Hafsids at Tunis, Tripoli was once again governed by a ra'is at the head of a shura or council. Politically it was divided into factions, which after the expulsion of a Hafsid appointee about 720/1320 generated a struggle between two families, the Banu Tahir and the Banu Thabit at the head of two clans, the Mazugha and Zakuja. The Banu Thabit won, and by the end of the century had converted

565

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

their leadership (rVasa) into a principdom, ruling over Tripolitania in alliance

with the warrior Arabs of the countryside until the city was finally recaptured by the Hafsids in 803/1401. z At Biskra, an oasis city on the edge of the desert in eastern Algeria, a similar passage from shura to ri'asa to petty sultanate took place from the fifth/eleventh to the beginning of the ninth/fifteenth century under the Banu Sindi, the Banu Rumman and finally the Banu Muzni, who ruled as clients of the Hafsids in alliance with the warrior Arab Dawawida, until once again the city came under the rule of Tunis. 2,9 At Ceuta, the rule of the 'Azafids followed by the Husaynids displays the same elements of a council of notables and rival families, together with an armed citizenry. 30 The evolution towards hereditary monarchy seems a natural progression towards the norm of the Muslim state, but the element of consent remained. At Tripoli the reimposition of Hafsid rule took place with the approval of its notables, whose representative character continued to be a factor in the constitution of the dynastic state.

Prophecy and empire

The cities of Ifriqiya existed in partnership with the tribes of the Banu Hilal and Sulaym in the countryside, where the Arabs confronted the native Berber population. At the far end of the Maghrib, the situation was quite different. Out of the conflict between the Zirids and the Fatimids, which had drawn in the Hilalians at the outset of their career in North Africa, came the invasion of the Almoravids (al-Murabitun), Berbers of the western Sahara 'bound together' in the cause of militant Sunni Islam to make war upon heresy and paganism. However, as John Wansbrough remarked of the Kutama who brought the Fatimids to power in Ifriqiya in the fourth/ tenth century, 'That the propaganda in this particular case should have been Isma'ili is historically, but not phenomenologically, relevant.' 31 The phenomenon was the structural militancy of a tribal society in which self-defence and solidarity were, as Ibn

Khaldun said, the means to survival, and its susceptibility to the call to holy war. Although the propaganda was now in conscious opposition to Isma'ilism, the outcome was the same. A struggle for supremacy between rival clans of the Sanhaja resulted in the paradoxical conversion of a stateless society into a disciplined community under the dictatorship of the prophetic figure Ibn Yasin, which formed an army of conquest. Where the Kutama had taken over a state, the Almoravids created an empire where none had existed before in the Sahara, Morocco and western Algeria, before recreating the centralised state of al-Andalus. As the empire grew, its government evolved from a theocracy into a centralised monarchy under the dynasty founded after the

566

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

death of Ibn Yasm by Yusuf ibn Tashfin. While the Almoravids became a military aristocracy commanded by his relatives, the Banu Turgut, the rule of the law that they championed came into the hands of the Maliki jurists, and the administration into those of Andalusí secretaries.

Over such an immense area, however, whose African territories had never before known central or even state government, the rule of the amir al-muslimín or Commander of the Muslims was thinly stretched. The Sahara reverted to tribalism under a warrior coupled with a clerical elite. In Morocco and western Algeria the administrative infrastructure was lacking to amalgamate a disparate population of townsfolk and tribesmen in mountain and plain

into a subject body. Government depended upon control of the cities of the north, notably Fez and Tlemcen, and the oasis city of Sijilmasa, from the new capital at Marrakesh, via the strategic routes that ran south across the Sahara, east to Ifriqiya and north into al-Andalus. There the administrative structure existed, but Almoravid rule was only acceptable as a defence against Christian invasion from the north. The Almoravids themselves, unsupported by Turcoman-style immigration from the Sahara, were too few for the task, needing the forces of al-Andalus in the peninsula, Christian mercenaries and black slave soldiers in the Maghrib. Meanwhile the law, which had justified the conquest of the empire by Yusuf ibn Tashfin after the death of Ibn Yasm, became a question of piety on the part of his son and successor 'All, and a distraction from the work of government. A revolution that demonstrated the weakness of the regime was required to preserve its empire and perpetuate its legacy in North Africa.

After the Fatimids and the Almoravids, the revolution of the Almohads (al-Muwahhidun or Unitarians) confirmed Wansbrough's and indeed Ibn Khaldun's dictum on the structure of tribal society and its susceptibility to the appeal of faith. Like the Almoravids, the Masmuda of the High Atlas were transformed into an army under the dictatorship of their prophetic figure Ibn Tumart, inspired by a doctrine as opposed to the legalism of Ibn Yasm as that legalism had been opposed to Fatimid Isma'ilism. The final product of the Fatimid challenge for the headship of Islam, it may have been phenomenologically irrelevant to his success, but was historically important, not only as the occasion for his mission. As a doctrine of the mahdī, the emissary of God for the rectification of the world, it set its adherents apart from the mass of the population as a religious as well as ethnic and political elite. At the same time it governed the formation and organisation of their state. Their tribal regiments

were led by a combination of the disciples of the Mahdi and the shaykhs of the Masmuda, a marriage of religious, political and military authority which was

567

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the strength as well as, ultimately, the weakness of the government they wrested from the Almoravids in the middle of the sixth/twelfth century. It was the disciple and caliph of the Mahdi, [Abd al-Mu] min, who led the Almohads to victory and added Ifriqiya to the empire, and his descendants

the Mu'minids who ruled it. But it was the shaykhs who maintained the community and provided the regime with its force. The division was bridged

on the one hand by the appointment of shaykhs in the manner of Saljuq atabegs to act as guardians to the princes of the dynasty in their posts as provincial governors. On the other hand, the sons of the shaykhs were recruited by the dynasty as talaba or hujjaz, a corps of officer cadets educated

as scholars and trained as warriors to staff the administration of the empire. 32

Mosques and minarets affirmed the supremacy of the doctrine, while city walls ensured defence against the continual threat of rebellion and invasion. At

the beginning of the seventh/thirteenth century the appointment of the shaykh Ibn Abi Hafs as viceroy of Ifriqiya brought the empire finally under

control, only for the disastrous defeat by a Christian coalition at Las Navas de

Tolosa in 609/1212 to initiate a struggle for power between the dynasty and

the shaykhs. In 627/1230 this culminated in a massacre of the shaykhs by the

caliph al-Ma'mun. With the dialectical conflicts of the past 300 years at an end,

no further religious revolution was at hand. Instead the empire disintegrated

into Ifriqiya under the Hafsids, Tlemcen under the c Abd al-Wadids, Granada under the Nasrids, and finally Morocco under the Marinids. Where the Hafsids were Almohads, the Marinids like the Abd al-Wadids were drawn from the nomadic Zanata who had fought for the Umayyads against the Fatimids, and now inherited the state of Morocco, the principal creation of the Almoravids and Almohads. From Morocco, the Marinids dominated the Maghrib for the next hundred years, as they endeavoured, unsuccessfully, to reconstitute the empire. Underlying their failure, however, was the lasting achievement of the Almoravids and Almohads. Where the Romans, from a base at Carthage in the north-east, had divided North Africa between civilisation and barbarism, the barbarians they had excluded, turned state builders by Islam, had unified it from Marrakesh in the south-west, on the far side of the Roman frontier. While the unity did not last, its framework endured in this community of rival states. 33

Government and people

The royal fortress cities of the Alhambra at Granada, Fas al-Jadid at Fez, the Mashwar at Tlemcen and the Qasba at Tunis housed the dynasty and its personnel, variously split between servants, soldiers and secretaries. The

568

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

Hafsids retained the Almohad hierarchy of shaykhs, while the Marmids followed the Mu'minids in training up the youth of their warrior aristocracy

for ministerial rank. The Nasrids took their viziers from the secretarial class to which Ibn Khaldun belonged, while importing their warriors from the Zanata of the Maghrib. Both the Marmids and the Hafsids employed Christian guards. At Tunis the important position of hajib or chamberlain in control of the palace might go to an Almohad or to a secretary, at Fez to a confidential servant, perhaps to a jurist. Together, the three classes constituted the army, which in the Circle of Equity ensured the welfare of the subject, provided it was paid for out of taxation. In the compact kingdom of Granada, this may have been relatively straightforward; but in such a diverse region of mountain, steppe and desert as North Africa, the right of the state to tax the subject was hard to exercise, and the management of its finances by the sahib al-ashghal or master of [the dynasty's] affairs was problematic. Tax-farming was common; otherwise, a community might pay a global sum, collected by occasional or regular expeditions which lived off the land. Much was assigned to the warrior nomads who provided the bulk of the armed forces of each dynasty. Collecting the taxes of their territories, they ruled them on behalf of the state, keeping in check the hill peoples frequently beyond the reach of government. Most prominent were the descendants of the Banu Hilal, who under the Hafsids and 'Abd al-Wadids in particular formed an estate of the realm, controlling much of the countryside. Their chiefs belonged to the aristocracy of the regime, in possession of estates whose taxes they enjoyed as rents. If the peasantry remained poor, the cities fared better, their taxes providing the regime with the bulk of its ready money. But the identification of government with tax collection in what came to be called the makhzan or 'treasury' state meant that the welfare of the subject was incidental to the maintenance of the regime.

The welfare of the subject nevertheless remained the ideal, put into practice

by the redistributive character of the system, in which the wealth of the state gave widespread employment in the households of the great, and found its way into the commercial economy of the city. It was moreover consciously pursued in ways which demonstrated the commitment of the ruler to the community while serving a practical political purpose. The channels were provided by religion, no longer revolutionary or reformist but consensual. Theatrical ceremony was theatrically staged with the building of fortresses, palaces and mosques that not only gave work, but symbolised the power and glory of the faith. With doctrine everywhere back in the hands of the Maliki schoolmen, the law as administered by the qadl and explicated by the mufti, the

569

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

jurist, not only served to regulate the affairs of those within the area of the main cities. By its nature it articulated disputes into which not only the public but the monarch himself might be drawn as the ultimate arbiter. 34 As heirs to the Almohads and their attempt to educate the populace, the Marmids in particular sought to win over the opinion of that public and reach out to the provinces through the foundation of madrasas, residential colleges that drew in students from the country. And right across the Maghrib the state patronised the zawiya, the residence of the marabout, the murabit, who under the influence of Sufism had turned from a holy warrior or militant reformer into a saint who gave his blessing to society. Colonising a countryside overrun by

warrior nomads or torn by tribal disputes, the marabout commanded both respect and obedience. His hospitality and protection not only secured the routes, but attracted a following of settlers who gathered around him, a major factor in the re constitution of rural society. From the point of view of the state, he became an agent of government beyond the competence and often the reach of its army. 35

The new monarchies

Between c. 854/1450 and c. 957/1550 the state system that derived from the invasions of the fifth/ eleventh century was completely transformed. That transformation coincided with, and was in large measure provoked by, the comparable transformation of the state system of Christian Europe, with the revival of France, the unification of Spain and the formation of the Habsburg empire. Revolution returned to Morocco, but the principal actor in the west-ern Islamic world was the Ottoman empire, which took over Mamluk Syria and Egypt as well as Iraq before being drawn into the Maghrib by the war with Spain.

The Ottoman empire

Between 886/1481 and 918/1512, the expansionism which had driven the formation of the empire in Anatolia and the Balkans in the eighth/ fourteenth and ninth/ fifteenth centuries gave way to the regularisation of its govern-ment. Instead of campaigning in person, Bayezid II remained at Istanbul, where the regime of the following century took shape. Like that of the Fatimids at the beginning of the period, it retained the basic elements of palace, secretariat, militia and judiciary, but their amalgamation into a single imperial service is a measure of the distance travelled over the intervening centuries of state formation and organisation by the invaders and colonists of

State formation and organisation

the old Arab and Byzantine empires. That amalgamation was formally accomplished by legislation, which for the first time converted the practice of government into a body of state law, *kanun* (*qanun*), sc. canon, to stand officially alongside the law of Islam on the authority of the sultan. Like the practice which it endorsed, *kanun* was primarily concerned with taxation and crime, in which respect it separated the servants of the state from its tax-paying subjects, and placed them under a separate jurisdiction as 'the army' 1, whether military or civilian. Its promulgation was then of theoretical as well as practical importance. As a rule of conduct for the army in the Circle of Equity, *kanun* was the instrument of justice, whose reign was the principal justification for a ruler who, unlike the Fatimid caliph, could not claim descent from the Prophet. 36 As a definition of that army and its duties, it expressed the subjection of its members to the sultan in exchange for the privilege of office. Membership was assured by recruitment, education and qualification, employment determined by the needs of government.

Through recruitment and employment, the Ottoman empire of the tenth/sixteenth century created a unified system out of the innovations of the past 400 years. From the time of Bayezid II, training for ministerial responsibility was combined with slave soldiering when the *devshirme* or Collection of boys from Christian households mainly in the Balkans took not only the place of captives as the source of recruits to the janissaries, but that of the Balkan aristocracy as the source of candidates for high office. The majority intended for the janissaries were qualified by years of manual labour, but the viziers of

the future were educated in the palace school in the manner of the Almohad tahba. The formation and identification of this newly Muslim, newly Turkish elite with the dynasty was completed by the marriage of those who rose highest in its service into the royal family. Meanwhile the ethnically Turkish cavalry, which formed the bulk of the army, had been converted into a hereditary caste by the allocation of timars, the Ottoman version of the pronioia, the Byzantine equivalent of the iqta'. Like the Mamluk iqta', the timar itself was not hereditary; but it was allocated by the state on a hereditary basis to the sons of previous cavalymen. Excluded from these forms of recruitment and their rewards, the Muslim population could enlist in the service of the state through the madrasa/medrese, which served to qualify its students for entry into the salaried ranks of the teaching profession, the secretariat and the judiciary.

If the graduates of the palace school provided the empire with its high command, it was the secretariat that operated across the whole range of government through the manifold instructions it issued and the voluminous

57i

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

records it kept. Government itself was divided between the military and the civilian. The former descended from province to sanjaq to timar, which unlike the Egyptian iqfcC and that of the Saljuqs of al-Rum, entitled its holder to collect all the taxes on its land, as well as to punish offences by its peasant cultivators. In return it delivered a large and well-equipped army of horsemen

for the continual campaigns of the growing empire. The latter descended through the judiciary to the qadi (kadi) of each town district, and ascended through the qadfasker (kadiaskef) or military judge of Anatolia or Rumelia to the high Divan or Council at the apex of the regime. Not only did the qadi at the base of this hierarchy have jurisdiction over all the inhabitants of his district; he was the local agent who carried out the directives of central government. At the summit, the qadiaskers not only exercised the separate jurisdiction applicable to the army. As members of the Council, they handled the petitions and complaints which had traditionally, in Egypt for example, been dealt with by the vizier or the Mamluk sultan and his officers. This incorporation of the qadi, the chief magistrate of the Muslim community, into the administrative apparatus of the state was accompanied by the formal integration of the Islamic law itself into the procedures of government. A parallel hierarchy was established for the mufti or jurisconsult who advised on matters of law, headed by a grand mufti to whose opinion the sultan himself felt obliged to defer. In this way an institutional solution was found to the long-standing opposition between religious ideal and governmental practice, one which validated the Ottoman sultan together with the Circle of Equity, sanctioned with the addition of a final formula: 'The holy law orders the state; there is no support for the holy law except through royal authority/ 37

Conversely, a state organised for war came in this way to operate as a state organised for peace. The state not only lived for war, but lived by war. The tenth/ sixteenth century saw the Black Sea encircled, the Iranian world pushed back, and the Arab world added to the empire together with the Maghrib as far as Morocco. The creation of a fleet required a further effort of government to build and man the ships through labour services and conscription arranged by the qadi. But Egypt in particular was a profitable acquisition, and the difficulty of ruling such a vast dominion was not immediately apparent. Riding this wave of conquest, justice was not only done but seen to be done at Istanbul, where in the second court of the palace of Topkapi

the people in the shape of petitioners met with the sultan in the guise of the grand vizier in Council. The theatrical aspect was in evidence in parades and processions, and in the uniforms which graded the ranks of the army below the monarch, the exemplary centre. If taxation and conscription left the

572

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

peasantry poor, the civilian sector of the army gave wide employment, while government spending was lavish, trade and manufacturing prospered, and the cities grew in size. As previously in Egypt and Syria, they benefited from pious foundations, which in Ottoman fashion served not only the community, but the practical and ideological purposes of government. The great tomb-mosques of the sultans at Istanbul replicated the zawiya of the Sufi saint, with their hostelries, hospitals and soup kitchens, their Qur'anic schools and madrasas, all supported by rents from the properties with which they were endowed. They were a powerful statement of the piety as well as the grandeur of the dynasty that represented God on earth, all the more necessary in view of the challenge of Sufism. The sultan himself was affiliated to a Sufi order, as were his janissaries, but complete institutionalisation was impossible. Not only did Sufism represent an alternative form of organisation to the state. Its association with the Safavid enemy in Iran, and its appeal to the Turcomans in Anatolia and the frontiersmen in the Balkans, made it both rebellious and potentially revolutionary.

Morocco

In Morocco, created by the appeal of Islam to the tribes and subsequently the home of maraboutism, Sufism was both rebellious and revolutionary. As in the Ottoman empire, however, it was countered by a different claim to power, that of Sharifism, based on the claim to descent from the Prophet. By the ninth/ fifteenth century this claim had generated a whole population of sharifs, whose hereditary holiness overlapped the hereditary holiness of the marabout and the tariqa or way of the Sufi while preserving the distinction of lineage. Its challenge to the legitimacy of the Berber Marmids culminated in 869/1465 in the execution of the last Marinid sultan by the sharifs of Fez, who ruled the city until it was recaptured by the Marinid Wattasids in 876/1472. This extraordinary demonstration of a city's political capacity, paralleled only by the revolt of the Ifriqiyan cities against the Normans in the sixth/twelfth century, took place in the context of the Portuguese capture of Ceuta in 818/1415. By the early tenth /sixteenth century the Portuguese had occupied almost all the Atlantic ports as far as Agadir, while, following the extinction of the kingdom of Granada in 897/1492, the Spaniards had occupied cities along the Mediterranean coast as far as Tripoli. The opposition encountered by Spain was that of the corsairs seeking revenge for Granada, which drew the Ottomans into the western Mediterranean. In Morocco, the Portuguese were opposed by the marabouts, either individually or by tariqa. Where in the central and eastern Maghrib it was the Ottomans who displaced the

enfeebled [Abd al-Wadids and Hafsids, in Morocco such saintly opposition gave rise to an indigenous revolution begun by a sharif from the south. With maraboutic blessing, Muhammad al-Qa J im emerged in 915/1510 as the Mahdi destined to revive the fortunes of Islam. Unlike either the Almoravids or the Almohads, he had no specific doctrine, nor a particular tribal following, nor an overriding commitment to holy war, only the charisma to gain the support of the similarly charismatic Jazuliyya brotherhood, and attract recruits to an army equipped with firearms obtained from the Portuguese, Spaniards and Genoese. In 961/1554 his son Muhammad al-Shaykh finally took possession of Fez to recreate a Moroccan empire. Threatened by its Ottoman and Iberian neighbours and endangered by a disputed succession, this was precariously stabilised for twenty-five years by Ahmad al-Mansur al-Dhahabi following the rout of the Portuguese at al-Qasr al-Kabir in 985/1578.

The name of Sa'di subsequently given to his dynasty to deny its claim to descent from the Prophet merely emphasises the importance of the claim vis-a-vis the Ottoman sultanate, which lacked this ultimate title to the caliphate. Otherwise, from a capital at Marrakesh where he built himself a palace, Ahmad al-Mansur endeavoured to create an Ottoman-style army with a corps of janissary-style musketeers of largely European origin, and an administration in the hands of ministers who met in regular sessions of the royal Divan. A city of tents took this government on tour around a country imperfectly unified, without a docile peasant but a large tribal population, which lacked the recent tradition of a centralised state. Like its Ottoman exemplar, it was nevertheless intended for further conquest. Marinid-style expansion to the east was blocked by the Ottomans, but to the south Ahmad al-Mansur imitated the Conquistadors of Spain in the Americas with an expedition to secure the gold of the western Sudan. His musketeers destroyed

the army and the empire of Songhay, but failed to reach the goldfields, and were left to themselves at Timbuktu when Ahmad died in 1011/ 1603 and his regime crumbled. Without the institutional strength of the Ottoman empire, it failed to survive the subsequent dispute over the succession. By the middle of the eleventh /seventeenth century the empire had ceased to exist, its place in the south, at Marrakesh and at Fez taken by the great marabouts of the Atlas whom the Sa'dis had repressed but never exterminated. It was nevertheless recreated at Fez in the 1070s /1660s by sharifs from the south-east, and made permanent by the length of reign of their second sultan Isma'il (r. 1082-1139/ 1672-1727). With the threat from maraboutism at an end, the triumph of Sharifism was assured as the necessary qualification for the Moroccan throne. It came, however, at the cost of a government which dispensed with central

574

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

administration, relying on the simple expectation of the monarch that he and his household army in the immense palace complex at Meknes would be provisioned by the gifts and services of the men he sent out as governors to live off the land they ruled. By the end of the reign these had formed a series of provincial dynasties, but rebellion was inhibited by the threat of force provided by an army of black slaves and tribal cavalry. This organisation of the state for repression rather than war preserved its empire, but the distinction

between a bilad al-makhzan or land under tax and a bilad al-siba or land 'running to waste', the mountains where collection was difficult or impossible, expressed a reality of government from the time of the Almoravids.

Notwithstanding the rudimentary character of this regime by contrast with that of the Ottomans, by contrast with that of the Sa'dis it survived thirty years of fighting over the succession to Isma'il. So, after the traumas of the past 300 years, did Fez, whose jurists had remained hostile to the claim of both the Sharifian dynasties to religious authority on the strength of their ancestry. During the reign of Sidi Muhammad (1170-1204/ 1757-90), they confronted the sultan's construction of the port of Essaouira for trade with Europe. The purpose was fiscal, but the issue much more than an affair of the makhzan. The leadership of the community by the Commander of the Faithful was questioned by this breach of a century-old aversion to dealing with the infidel. The argument was won by a scholarly monarch who insisted on a revision of the Maliki curriculum and attitude to the sources of the law, but its significance was more than theological. If justice was rough and the contract implicit in the Circle of Equity crudely enforced, under the c Alawi dynasty a Moroccan community of the faithful had become a reality along with a Moroccan society, formed as the servants of state settled together with the sharifs and greater marabouts into a hereditary aristocracy. 38

The evolution of the Ottoman empire

By the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century the organisation of the Ottoman state for war had reached the limit of its capability to sustain the expansion which had called it into existence. As the wars of conquest came finally to an end, the empire faced the same military and financial problems as the early modern states of its European rivals, and solved them in a similar way. The

transformation of army and administration was accompanied by prolonged internal disorder, the loss of territory to Iran, Austria and eventually Russia, and the extensive independence of Egypt and North Africa. It was consequently seen in terms of decline by Ottoman observers who, in the absence of

575

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

an alternative vision of the state, called in vain for a return to the standards of the past. Their diagnosis, for which they found support in Ibn Khaldun, is a commonplace of the modern literature. No more, however, than its western European contemporaries did the state collapse, and its modification is better understood as evolution.

The evolution of the state

The evolution began with the recruitment of a much larger force of infantry musketeers from the Muslim population of Anatolia. Many more thousands strong, the janissaries thus became a corps of free Muslims, joined by still greater numbers of sekban, musketeers paid only on campaign. This shifting of the balance away from Rumelia to Anatolia revived the old problem of bringing the Turkish heartland under control. Throughout the eleventh/seventeenth century disbanded sekban regrouped as jelalis, brigands who turned from banditry to rebellion in their demand for janissary status. The janissaries themselves, rioting over pay, meanwhile murdered the sultan 'Othman (Osman) II and continued to get rid of the ministers they disliked.

Forming an alliance with the bazaar, they went into trade, while tradesmen joined their ranks to make them almost a city militia. The problem of forming a government at Istanbul was compounded by the palace environment in which the princes of the dynasty were raised; providing no sort of education for the sultanate, it was a seat of irresponsible power in the hands of the inner household of women and eunuchs. With the ending of the devshirme, however, the ministerial class was more widely recruited, and central government developed socially, with the formation of households and retinues outside the palace. Administratively it followed suit. The grand vizier and his office, eventually installed in a vizieral palace, 'the Sublime Porte', came to take over the direction of the state from the Imperial Council at Topkapi, while the chief scribe at the head of the secretariat rose in importance as head of the administration. Meanwhile the posts of provincial governors were increasingly filled by nominees from the centre rather than from the provincial administration itself.

The provincial level was the level of taxation, where change began with inflation. This wiped out the old cavalry along with the value of the timar, breaking the original connection between timar, taxation, military service and government, at the same time that it increased the need of government for cash. Levies that had previously served to finance campaigns now became regular taxes, while others were imposed as required. Collection was centralised through the provincial governor, who in turn relied upon tax-farmers and

State formation and organisation

wealthy local notables, cfyan, to take from the peasant. Non-Muslims paid their poll-tax through their millet or religious community; guilds in the cities were similar agents of the state, while the qadi continued to function as both judge and local administrator. The significance of the regime lay in the appearance of the al ydn following the allocation of timars to courtiers and their clients, the passage of state land into private ownership, and the formation of large estates. In its adjustment to the financial demands of modern warfare, a central organisation for war had by the twelfth/eighteenth century generated a local society organised for peace. Into that society the Ottoman army, still visible in the uniforms pertaining to each rank, blended to form a wider whole. 39

Syria, Egypt and North Africa

In the Arab provinces, the Ottoman army was an army of occupation, but one whose forces had by the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century turned against government from Istanbul. In Syria and Egypt a three-cornered struggle developed as the janissaries and other units found themselves in conflict not only with the governors sent from Istanbul, but with local aspirants to power. In Syria with its mosaic of peoples their competitors were of different origins at different times, and equally opposed to each other; but by the twelfth/eighteenth century these aspirants had come to power by appointment as Ottoman governors rather than forming states of their own. In Egypt the Mamluks had survived the Ottoman conquest in the capacity of a landholding military aristocracy incorporated into the Ottoman regime as warriors and tax-farmers. Regrouped into great households under hereditary amirs with the Ottoman title of Beg, their factional rivalries effectively prevented the restoration of the Mamluk sultanate. By the twelfth/ eighteenth century they were

nevertheless in control of the country, and by its end both they and the Syrians were aspiring to independence. 40

To the west of Egypt, on the other hand, it was the Ottoman army that took power in the Regencies of Tripoli, Tunis and Algiers. These were new political units that created the present political division of North Africa from Morocco to Egypt, beginning the formation of the modern states of Egypt, Libya, Tunisia and Algeria several hundred years before those of the Ottoman Fertile Crescent. With the eviction of the Spaniards and the abolition of the Abd al-Wadids and Hafsids, the janissary forces sent to garrison the cities taken by the corsairs faced only local and uncoordinated opposition from the tribes of the interior. With an end to the overrule of these conquests by the corsair admirals of the Ottoman fleet, these forces then became the effective

577

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

power in the new provinces, able to resist the governors sent from Istanbul and restrict the corsairs to their piracy. While never endangering their hold over the country, which increased over time, their internal rivalries nevertheless delayed until the end of the eleventh/ seventeenth century the establishment of the Deys at Algiers, and until the twelfth/ eighteenth century the foundation of the Qaramanli and Husaynid dynasties at Tripoli and Tunis. These were formed by the Beks who controlled the country outside the capital as commanders of the cavalry which collected the taxes. In the Regency of

Algiers there were three such Begs stationed inland at Mascara, Medea and Constantine, but monarchy at Algiers fell to the Dey, a company officer of the janissaries whom they elected, deposed or assassinated more or less at will.

While the struggle for power was frequently bloody, Ottoman-style armies continued to rule in the name of the sultan on Ottoman lines. 41 Relations with the empire remained close, with janissaries recruited from Anatolia, palace slaves at Tunis acquired from Istanbul, Hanafi Turkish jurists to represent the law, and Ottoman-style mosques and palaces. Affairs at Algiers were conducted by weekly council meetings of the principal officers of state; at Tunis households formed around the princes of the royal family. Economically but efficiently, government itself was steadily rebuilt and strengthened after the breakdown of the state in the wars of the tenth/ sixteenth century. The cities were well kept, while the tribal interior was held with a minimum of force through alliances with makhzan tribes. The army was built into society by marriage, which generated an indigenous population of quloghlu or 'sons of the sultan's slaves', and into the economy by waqf. The principal means of investment in the cities, waqf was a major factor in urban growth, coupled with the formation of great estates in the settled countryside. From the end of the eleventh/ seventeenth century, investment in piracy dwindled, but exports to Europe increased. Here again, an organisation for war was becoming an organisation for peace. 42

Islam and the state in sub-Saharan Africa

South of the Sahara, there was no tradition of the Oriental state, nor any Arab conquest to establish it. On the evidence of social anthropology, the formation of African kingdoms from the array of stateless societies is attributed to the

acquisition of dependants, their organisation to the assimilation of customary chieftaincies into a state structure. 43 Islam nevertheless entered into the process from the fifth/ eleventh century onwards, with the growth of Islamic empires in the western and central Sudan and of Islamic city-states

578

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

on the East African coast, followed by the city-states of Hausaland and the sultanates of the eastern Sudan. The Islam in question is the civilisation of North Africa and the Middle East as it impinged upon sub-Saharan Africa, but its impact has usually been studied in terms of Islamisation, most recently by Levtzion and Pouwels; 44 conceptually this has suffered from the tendency exemplified by Hiskett to measure the result against a definition of Islam as it is supposed to be. 45 The problem has been the lack of adequate evidence from the first few hundred years. The suggestion that the empires of Ghana, Mali and Kanem came into existence to profit from the trans-Saharan trade in gold and slaves, and that the Swahili city-states began as colonies of Muslim merchants, is no longer an acceptable explanation, but points to the importance of the Muslim merchant at the court of the African prince. The meeting of these two very different persons with two very different traditions of government took place on the basis of religion, in which the distinction between scholars and statesmen initially coincided with the distinction between Muslim and non-Muslim.

The principle that Muslims outside the dar al-islam must continue to live by the law received two expressions in the course of the fifth/ eleventh century. On the one hand the Ifriqiyan jurist al-Qabisi ruled that Muslims living in the pagan Bilad al-Sudan should elect a nazir, 'a watchman', to administer the law with the consent of the pagan ruler. Where there was no such ruler, however, the watchman, as in the case of Ibn Yasin, the prophetic figure of the Almoravids, became his own enforcer. 46 These two prescriptions, the one for Muslim self-government and the other for Muslim state formation in non-Muslim territory, intertwined in the western and central Sudan down to the end of the twelfth/eighteenth century. In the fifth/ eleventh century, Muslim merchants from the Maghrib lived in their own townships, out of which developed the Muslim cities of Kumbi Salih, Walata and Timbuktu. Ruled by their qtidis, these cities became centres for the merchant tribes of the Sahara, whose scholarship, legal and literate, was an instrument of their commerce. From the seventh /thirteenth century these tribes were joined by the Dyula, a Mande people likewise engaged in learning and long-distance trade from a centre at Jenne, who spread across to Hausaland to the east. By the tenth/ sixteenth century the Muslims of Timbuktu were sufficiently strong and self-confident to resist the attempts of the Askiya dynasty of Songhay to rule and tax the city. 47 In the eleventh/ seventeenth and twelfth/ eighteenth centuries, however, the Moroccan conquest of Songhay in 991/1591 freed the Muslims of the western Sudan from state control, and the scholars of the Fulam people turned to holy war upon pagans to create their own states.

In the fifth/ eleventh century, it is possible that the empire of Kanem in the central Sudan was taken over by a dynasty of Muslim merchant origin engaged in a trans-Saharan slave trade based on slave raiding. But in its organisation, it conformed to the pattern of the successive empires of Ghana, Mali and Songhay, in which Islam was a creed adopted by indigenous rulers who governed largely pagan subjects in accordance with ancestral belief and custom. Its value in their case was political and economic. Expressed in the ostentatious pilgrimage of Musa, Mansa of Mali in the eighth/fourteenth century, Islam was their way into the wider world with which they dealt in slaves, gold and salt, horses, arms and prestigious luxuries. The Muslims who served this purpose, and who supplied their administration with its element of literacy and numeracy, were still frequently expatriates in their own quarters, while the role in that administration of the Sudanese officers of Islam, the qadi and the khatib, was limited to the court and the Muslim minority. The Askiyas of Songhay were the first to think of ruling by the law of Islam, only to find themselves faced, in their conflict with the jurists of Timbuktu, with the familiar problem of principle versus practice. The situation was in contrast to the position down the eastern side of the continent, where indigenous states or empires were either non-existent, or Christian in the case of Ethiopia, or, in that of Mapungubwe/ Great Zimbabwe, too far inland for Muslim settlement. Instead, from at least the fourth/ tenth century, Muslim merchants from Egypt and the Gulf had established a close relationship with the village headmen with whom they traded down the coast of the Indian Ocean. The archaeological evidence shows the appearance of mosques at the centre of townships of African type which were progressively rebuilt in stone - a finding consonant with the Bantu syntax but

Arabic vocabulary of the Swahili language. This blending of native with Islamic authority gave rise to indigenous Muslim cities under indigenous Muslim dynasties, most notably at Kilwa, whose rulers reinforced their Islamic credentials with a claim to foundation by immigrants from the Gulf. By the eighth/fourteenth century, such rulers were Muslim sultans conducting their affairs in the same way as the Mamluks. Yet a third kind of state formation had occurred in the Horn of Africa, where Muslim merchants advancing from Zeila up the Rift Valley had created a whole row of states in pagan territory without apparent recourse to holy war until they came into contact with an aggressive Christian Ethiopia. By the ninth /fifteenth century, all had submitted to Ethiopia apart from Adal on the far side of the Rift Valley, whose merchants and rulers were torn between appeasement and jihad. 48

The political revolution of the tenth/ sixteenth century in North Africa and the Middle East, however, was echoed to the south of the Sahara by a parallel

580

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

State formation and organisation

transformation of the political scene. In 999/1591 the build-up of Islamic empire in the western Sudan was abruptly terminated by the Moroccan invasion which destroyed Songhay a year before the Portuguese occupation of Mombasa completed their control of the city-states of East Africa. Meanwhile in 950/1543, Portuguese fusiliers had enabled the Ethiopians finally to defeat the holy warriors of Adal, which by the end of the century had disintegrated. This collapse of the Islamic state system at either end of the

range of Islam in sub-Saharan Africa was meanwhile offset by its development in the central and eastern Sudan, within the orbit of the Ottoman empire. Unlike the empire of Songhay, the empire of Kanem-Bornu at the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century acquired the trained musketeers to re-establish itself as a major power. It was nevertheless unable to annex the walled cities of Hausaland, the capitals of Muslim dynasties in command of armoured cavalry. Such armies were for slave raiding, in the case of Bornu perhaps mainly for the slave trade with the Sahara and the Mediterranean. In the case of the Hausa states, it served primarily to create a productive slave peasant population as well as great households. In both cases, Islam continued to serve the political and economic purpose of relations with the wider world, conducted in large measure through Ottoman Tripoli; the role of the law, served by its scholars, was largely symbolic, though concubinage and the seclusion of upper-class women affected the structure of the household. That was true also of the sultanates of the eastern Sudan, from the Funj who established themselves on the Nile in the tenth/sixteenth century to the dynasties of Waday and Darfur in the eleventh/ seventeenth and twelfth/ eighteenth. All were African kingdoms involved in the monopoly of trans-Saharan trade with Egypt, which in Waday and Darfur in particular was a trade in slaves. But in their case Islam entered much more systematically into state formation and organisation with the immigration and colonisation of the countryside by maraboutic holy men, many from the Hijaz. These were granted land with powers of government, greatly extending the grasp of the state on the population.

This new maraboutism encountered the older tradition of North Africa in the central Sahara at Murzuq in the Fezzan, where the Awlad Muhammad, a Sharifian dynasty from Morocco, had been invited to settle the disputes of the population. 49 The previous annexation of the Fezzan by Kanem-Bornu in the seventh-eighth/thirteenth-fourteenth centuries gave the dynasty a strongly Sudanese character, but for its literacy it too relied upon marabouts to whom it granted land in the oases. In the western Sudan, on the other hand, the reappearance of pagan kingdoms after the downfall of Songhay had revived

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the urgency of al-Qabisi's injunction to the expatriate merchants in the Bilad al-Sudan to submit to pagan rule. In the eleventh/ seventeenth and twelfth/ eighteenth centuries the alternative, to follow the example of Ibn Yasin and his murabits, became increasingly attractive to the Fulani scholars who turned to the formation of jihadist states in Senegambia over to the west. These in turn led up to the great jihads of the thirteenth/nineteenth century, first and foremost that of 'Uthman dan Fodio in Hausaland, where the enemy was not paganism but disregard of the law by Muslim rulers. In challenging their right to rule, however, he was going far beyond the situation in West Africa. As scholars like himself became increasingly affiliated to the growing number of Sufi orders that stretched across the Islamic world, the Qadiriyya tariqa in the western and central Sudan had become a school with wide trans-Saharan connections, not least with the Wahhabi movement in Arabia. Its members thus joined in the much wider movement for the Islamic reform of the state which was prompted by the concessions of the Ottoman empire to the new form of paganism represented by the West. While the Ottomans turned towards secularism, rebellion in Arabia, scholarly opposition to the sultan of Morocco, and maraboutic opposition to the Dey of Algiers, signalled the reopening of the confrontation between Islam and the state which the Ottomans in their heyday had so successfully overcome.

Notes

- i. A. K. S. Lambton, *State and government in medieval Islam*, Oxford, 1981; E. I.J. Rosenthal, *Political thought in medieval Islam*, Cambridge, 1962.
2. I. M. Hartmann, *The early medieval state: Byzantium, Italy and the West*, London, 1949.
3. P. Crone, *Medieval Islamic political thought*, Edinburgh, 2004, ch. 16, 219-55.
4. Rosenthal, *Political thought*, 23-4.
5. Ibid. ch. IV, 'The theory of the power-state'.
6. E. Gellner, 'Flux and reflux in the faith of men', in E. Gellner, *Muslim society*, Cambridge, 1981, 1-85.
7. B. Turner, *Weber and Islam: A critical study*, London, 1974.
8. Y. Lacoste, *Ibn Khaldoun: Naissance de l'histoire, passe du tiers monde*, Paris, 1966.
9. Cf. e.g. H. A. R. Gibb, 'An interpretation of Islamic history', in H. A. R. Gibb, *Studies on the civilization of Islam*, London, 1962, 3-33.
10. S. D. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society*, vol. I, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967;
- E. Ashtor, *A social and economic history of the Near East in the middle ages*, Berkeley, Los Angeles and London, 1976.
- n. H. Kennedy, *The Prophet and the age of the caliphates*, London and New York, 1986.

State formation and organisation

12. A. K. S. Lambton, 'Reflections on the iqta 1', in G. Makdisi (ed.), *Arabic and Islamic studies in honour of Hamilton A. R. Gibb*, Leiden, 1965, 358-76.
13. M. Brett, 'Abbasids, Fatimids and Seljuqs', in D. Luscombe and J. Riley-Smith (eds.), *The new Cambridge medieval history*, vol. IV, Part 2, Cambridge, 2004, 675-720.
14. J. Wansbrough, *Lingua franca in the Mediterranean*, London, 1996.
15. C. Geertz, *Islam observed: Religious development in Morocco and Indonesia*, Chicago and London, 1968, 36-8; P. Sanders, *Ritual, politics and the city in Fatimid Cairo*, Albany, NY, 1994.
16. M. Brett, 'The origins of the Mamluk military system in the Fatimid period', in U. Vermeulen and D. De Smets (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras*, vol. I: *Proceedings of the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd International Colloquium organized at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven in May 1992, 1993 and 1994*, Leuven, 1995, 39-52- See also Chapter 21 in this volume.
17. J. A. Boyle (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Iran*, vol. V, *The Saljuq and Mongol periods*, Cambridge, 1968, 11-157; R. S. Humphreys, *Islamic history: A framework for inquiry*, London, 1991, ch. 6, 'Ideology and propaganda. Religion and state in the early Seljukid period', 148-68.
18. For the history and conclusions of this controversy, cf. M. Brett, 'The city-state in mediaeval Ifriqiya: The case of Tripoli', in M. Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, Variorum Series, Aldershot, 1999, ch. XIV.
19. P. M. Holt, 'Saladin and his admirers: A biographical reassessment', *BSOAS*, 46

(1982), 235-9, in the context of C. Hillenbrand, *The Crusades: Islamic perspectives*, Edinburgh, 1999.

20. M. Chamberlain, 'The Crusader era and the Ayyubid dynasty', in C. F. Petry (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Egypt*, vol. I: Islamic Egypt, 640-ijiy, Cambridge, 1998, 211-41; P. M. Holt, *The age of the Crusades: The Near East from the eleventh century to 1517*, London and New York, 1986, ch. 9.

21. Cf. H. Rabie, *The financial system of Egypt: A.H.564-J41/A.D. 1169-1341*, London, 1972.

22. D. Behrens-Abouseif, 'Al-Nasir Muhammad and al-Ashraf Qaytbay - patrons of urbanism', in Vermeulen and de Smets (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk Eras*, 267-84.

23. Y. Frenkel, 'Agriculture, land-tenure and peasants in Palestine during the Mamluk period', in U. Vermeulen and J. Van Steenbergen (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras*, vol. Ill: Proceeding of the 6th, yth and Sth International Colloquium organized at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven in May i99y, 1998 and 1999, Leuven, 2001, 193-208.

24. L. S. Northrup, 'The Bahri Mamluk Sultanate', and J. -C. Garcin, 'The regime of the Circassian Mamluks', in Petry (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Egypt*, chs. 10 and 11; P. M. Holt, *Age of the Crusades*.

25. C. Hey wood, 'The frontier in Ottoman history: Old ideas and new myths', in C. Heywood, *Writing Ottoman history*, Variorum Series, Ashgate, 2002, ch. I.

26. C. Cahen, *Pre-Ottoman Turkey*, London, 1968.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

27. M. Brett, 'Muslim justice under infidel rule: The Normans in Ifriqiya, 517-555H/1123-1160AD', in Brett, Ibn KhaMun and the medieval Maghrib, ch. XIII.
28. Brett, 'The city-state in mediaeval Ifriqiya'.
29. M. Brett, 'Ibn Khaldun and the dynastic approach to local history', in Brett, Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib, ch. XV.
30. J. D. Latham, 'The rise of the 'Azafids of Ceuta' and 'The later 'Azafids', in J. D. Latham, From Muslim Spain to Barbary, Variorum Reprints, London, 1986, chs. II and III.
31. J. Wansbrough, 'On recomposing the Islamic history of North Africa', JRAS (1969), 161-70, at 168.
32. J. F. P. Hopkins, Medieval Muslim government in Barbary, London, 1958, ch. VII, 'The Almohade hierarchy', 85-111; more generally, P. Cressier, M. Fierro and L. Molina (eds.), Los Ahnohades: Problemas y perspectivas, 2 vols. (Madrid, 2005), vol. II, 'Organization politica y militar', 425-735.
33. M. Brett and E. Fentress, The Berbers, Oxford, 1996, ch. 3, 'The unification of North Africa by Islam'.
34. D. S. Powers, Law, society and culture in the Maghrib, 1300-1500, Cambridge, 2002; more generally M. Hoexter, S. N. Eisenstadt and N. Levtzion (eds.), The public sphere in Muslim societies, Albany, NY, 2002.
35. Brett and Fentress, The Berbers, 142-9.

36. C. Fleischer, 'Royal authority, dynastic cyclism and "Ibn Khaldunism" in sixteenth-century Ottoman letters', in B. B. Lawrence (ed.), *Ibn Khaldun and Islamic ideology*, Leiden, 1984, 46-68.
37. Ibid. p. 49; more generally, C. Imber, *The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650: The structure of power*, Basingstoke, 2002.
38. M. Brett, 'Morocco and the Ottomans: The sixteenth century in North Africa', in Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, ch. VII; Brett and Fentress, *The Berbers*, ch. 5, 'The wheel of state'.
39. I. M. Kunt, *The Sultan's servants: The transformation of Ottoman provincial government, 1550-1650*, New York, 1983; S. Stanford Shaw, *History of the Ottoman Empire and modern Turkey*, vol. I: *The empire of the Gazis*, Cambridge, 1976, ch. 8, 280-4.
40. P. M. Holt, *Egypt and the Fertile Crescent, 1516-1922*, London, 1966.
41. A. Moalla, *The Regency of Tunis and the Ottoman Porte, 1777-1814*, London and New York, 2004.
42. Brett and Fentress, *The Berbers*, ch. 5, 'The wheel of state'; M. Hoexter, *Endowments, rulers and community: Waqf al-Haramayn in Ottoman Algiers*, Leiden, 1998.
43. L. Mair, *Primitive government*, Harmondsworth, 1962.
44. N. Levtzion and R. L. Pouwels (eds.), *The history of Islam in Africa*, Athens, Oxford and Cape Town, 2000.
45. M. Hiskett, *The course of Islam in Africa*, Edinburgh, 1994; *The development of Islam in West Africa*, London and New York, 1984.

State formation and organisation

46. M. Brett, 'Islam and trade in the Bilad al-Sudan, tenth-eleventh century AD', in
Brett, *Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib*, ch. V.

47. E. N. Saad, *Social history of Timbuktu*, Cambridge, 1983.

48. For a general survey of these histories, see the volumes of *The Cambridge history of Africa* and the UNESCO general history of Africa, updated in the case of the Indian Ocean coast by M. Horton and J. Middleton, *The Swahili*, Oxford, 2000.

49. H. W. El-Hesnawi, *Fazzan under the rule of the Awlad Muhammad*, Sebha, 1990.

585

20

Conversion to Islam: from the 'age of conversions' to the millet system

MERCEDES GARCIA-ARENAL

The process of conversion

At the beginning of the fifth/ eleventh century the majority of the people living in the territories under Muslim rule were themselves Muslim. What has

been called 'the age of conversions', a period which we now believe encompassed the first three centuries of Islam at the very least, 1 was coming to a close. The traditional interpretation of Islamic history maintained that conversion to Islam took place on a massive scale during the great wave of conquests that took place over the roughly 100 years following the Prophet Muhammad's death. However, since the 1960s, scholars of diverse aspects of the early Islamic world have provided the basis for a reinterpretation of the sources. The result is a new consensus that this 'age of conversions' was somewhat longer than previously thought. 2 In most areas, it appears that the rate of conversion to Islam showed its steepest growth in the late third/ninth century and the fourth /tenth, 3 and in some regions, such as al-Andalus, the process of conversion continued into the beginning of the sixth/twelfth century. 4 There has been a more limited interest in the study of conversion processes that occurred after the initial large-scale phenomenon; this does not mean that in the late medieval and early modern centuries waves of mass conversion to Islam did not take place.

The new body of work on the 'age of conversions' was just one part of a great revisionist debate revolving around early Islam and in particular the shaping of what would later come to be recognised as Sunni Islam. According to the new view, this formative period occupied the three centuries after the death of the Prophet Muhammad in 11/632, rather than just one. Naturally, this revisionist debate is not free of controversy, but Muslims and non-Muslims alike have observed that what Muhammad's followers experienced as 'Islam' during the actual lifetime of the Prophet and his Companions must have been quite different from the experience of being Muslim three centuries

Conversion to Islam

later, by which time most Muslims were the descendants of Christians (and to a much lesser extent Jews and Zoroastrians). This is because in its formative period Islam must inevitably have been affected by its assimilation of so many converts from other religions, in particular Christianity. 5 The Christians of the Middle East were members of ancient communities with highly developed traditions of law, education and religious discourse. It is difficult to imagine that the development of Islamic traditions would not have been affected by the assimilation of large masses of converts from these older communities. Furthermore, the very nature of conversion itself must have been affected by this process of assimilation, given that Islamic dogma and law were as yet incompletely defined at this time and the concept of 'Believer' was itself still in flux. According to some scholars, the first century of Islam seems to have been a period when the line between Muslims and the 'People of the Book' (ahl al-kitab), i.e. Jews and Christians, was very vaguely drawn indeed.

The scholars who have addressed these issues have had to pose the question: At what point was a convert regarded as a Muslim and could legitimately regard himself as such? The answer varied by geographic region and according to the various stages in the evolving definition of Islam, yet, as we shall see, it had not become completely rigid even in the historical period we are dealing with here. During the earliest centuries of Islam, the first step in conversion consisted of a kind of 'adherence', expressed in changes in outward appear-

ance and social behaviour, which allowed initial entry into the community of believers. Hence conversion had a gradual, progressive character that did not involve a sharp break with the past. Familiarity with Islamic dogma and ritual was acquired only after the convert had been immersed in a community that was already regarded as Muslim.

The appearance of sectarian movements such as the Zaydis, Isma'ilis and Ibadis also played an important role in the conversion process, since such sects showed considerably more proselytising zeal than did the armies of the initial Muslim conquerors. Some of these sects, particularly the Kharijis with their concept of salvation through community, were eminently attractive to populations on the periphery of the new empire. Similarly, Sufism played an important role later on in the Islamisation of new regions like Anatolia and sub-Saharan Africa, and in the re-Islamisation of areas that were nominally Muslim but were far removed from the centres of culture and power, like the rural areas of Morocco.

Some conversions seem to have been motivated by internal divisions and sectarian conflicts within the non-Muslim communities. The social restrictions, inferior legal status and heavy tax burden imposed by the Muslim rulers

587

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

on their non-Muslim subjects undoubtedly also played a role. 7 Socially motivated conversion depended on the existence of social contact between

Muslims and non-Muslims. The greater the proportion of Muslims in the population the steeper the conversion curve.

During the centuries in question here, Islamic societies counted on a fully developed and clearly established set of practices and beliefs, and the era of the great Muslim territorial conquests was long over, with the exception of Asia Minor and the Balkan Peninsula. In fact, the Islamic empire was beginning to witness the loss of Muslim territory, at the hands of either Spanish Catholics or Russian Orthodox Christians, and a reverse flow of conversion from Islam to Christianity.

In the lands surrounding the Mediterranean, non-Muslims subject to the dhimma, 'covenant of protection', had become or were becoming reduced to the social status of 'minority', regardless of their number. Within Islamic society new patterns of inclusion and exclusion developed which affected not only these non-Muslim minorities but also recent Muslim converts, and which were a reflection of the fact that to a great extent the Muslim community had acquired a clear-cut definition, while the minority communities in question generally retained defining ethnic or linguistic characteristics. However, though the boundaries between groups were now more sharply defined, they were still porous, and fluctuated according to political events such as territorial gain or loss, giving rise at times to fascinating instances of cultural mixing and even religious hybridity.

As in all societies where membership in the majority group confers privileges, the minorities living in Muslim lands had two ways of gaining access to those privileges: they could convert, or they could disguise and tone down the more visible of their distinguishing features. The latter course of action to some degree involved sacrificing identity in the interest of security. In response, however, the majority religious community could tend to emphasise the minority's separateness, for example introducing obvious discriminatory elements in dress, honorific titles and personal names, or assign-

ing the minority a separate physical space, such as a specific neighbourhood - a characteristic feature of the Islamic city beginning in the late middle ages. Spatial and communal segregation became a component of the social fabric and was often followed by specialisation in occupations and professional fields.

At the same time, however, Muslims, Jews and Christians were all members of a single society that shared language, traditions and social customs.

Moreover, they were all heirs to the religious culture of late antiquity,

588

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Conversion to Islam

expressed in a common language of ritual that included religious processions, festivals and sacred practices, such as the practice of ziyara, or the visiting of the tombs of saints and prophets, places endowed with therapeutic or talismanic value. 9 Above all, the three religions shared many holy places: sites like the Cave of the Patriarchs and the Tomb of Ezekiel in Palestine were visited equally by Jews, Christians and Muslims. 10 Such common religious practices continued to exist even in times of armed conflict, as can be seen in the areas that came under Crusader control in Palestine, with Franks and indigenous Muslims participating in ziyara to the same sites. 11 In al-Andalus, Muslims took part in the celebration of festivals associated with the solar calendar, such as New Year's Eve or the Feast of St John. 12

The relationships among the various religious communities coexisting in

this society became more strained in times of political upheaval or whenever there arose the threat of attack from outside enemies. In moments of economic or social crisis, too, the minorities were obvious scapegoats, particularly when members of these subject dhimmi groups had managed to attain public posts that entailed political or economic power. Thus, the minorities suffered whenever their members entered into direct competition with Muslim elites, above all when they were used pragmatically and then protected by Muslim political rulers. Movements of rebellion against such rulers then turned high-profile members of the minority into propitiatory victims. The minorities also became the targets of persecution whenever there was an upsurge in the preaching of a more fundamentalist or militant interpretation of Islam, or whenever messianic or millenarian movements arose. At such times, of course, persecution affected not only non-Muslims but also those Muslims who failed to fall into line behind the movement. Such crises, as we shall see, never failed to bring in their wake instances of religious conversion among the victims of persecution.

Conversion still meant first of all a social change and a change in ritual; first came the outward change, and the inner change followed. The dimension of conversion that was tied to outward identity and culture was more prominent and heavily emphasised than the truly religious dimension. This phenomenon was in turn accompanied by a certain laxness on the part of the Muslim political and religious authorities in verifying the sincerity of the convert, a laxness that nonetheless did not exclude on some occasions the restricting of converts to their own separate spaces within Muslim society. This can be seen in the case of the *c ulijj* (sing. *hlj*) or 'Renegades' and, as we shall see, of the *bildiyyin*, *musalima* and *donme*, the mere denomination of whom as separate groups serves to illustrate the limits to their full acceptance within the Muslim

The New Cambridge History of Islam

community. After all, the most objectionable features of the minorities, features intended precisely to set them apart, were not automatically erased upon conversion, and in fact the disappearance of these differences actually aroused fear in the majority social body, which would then attempt to keep the convert separate by means of accusations of unethical professional practices, or of maintaining links with his former co-religionists. Above all, conversion was accepted reluctantly when it was likely to create social or economic competition for members of the majority.

The territory under Muslim rule, or dar al-islam, can be divided into two parts: the frontier regions and the Muslim heartland. There is much to be said about conversion in the frontier regions, which had only recently come under Muslim control or influence (such as Asia Minor and the Balkans, conquered by the Turks during this period, and sub-Saharan Africa) and sometimes experienced repeated shifts in political and religious allegiance. The heartland of dar al-islam, on the other hand, constituted areas in which the cultural and political structures of Islam had been firmly entrenched for centuries. Here, conversion on a mass scale no longer occurred save in times of severe internal crisis or confrontation with external enemies. A further distinction could be drawn between the Muslim but non-Arab territories at the core of the Ottoman empire on the one hand and the Arabic-speaking lands on the other. Islam was by no means uniformly established even within a single unit of territory. Islamic institutions were more firmly implanted in the cities than in

remote or inaccessible rural zones, like the mountains of Lebanon, for example. There also existed differences between areas where Sunni Islam predominated and regions largely inhabited by Shi'is. I will confine myself here to conversion between Islam and those religious communities legally recognised as the *ahl al-kitab* and therefore coming under the *dhimma* covenant of protection, in other words, Jews and Christians.

The Islamisation of Asia Minor and the Balkans

In the middle of the fifth /eleventh century, Asia Minor and the Balkans were entirely Christian. Yet by the middle of the tenth/ sixteenth century, Muslims constituted the great majority of the population in Asia Minor and an important minority in the Balkans.

Between the early fifth/ eleventh century and the end of the sixth /twelfth, a significant influx of Turcoman tribes into Asia Minor had taken place, such that by around 473f/1081 Byzantine power had been severely eroded in the peninsula. Byzantine attempts to recover control had the effect of

590

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Conversion to Islam

concentrating the Turcomans in the central Anatolian plateau, which soon became almost completely Muslim, while Christians continued to hold sway in the coastal areas. Thus, in the seventh/thirteenth century, the Saljuq sultanate of Konya was surrounded by Christian territories, with the Armenian kingdom of Cilicia to the south-east and the Greek kingdoms of Nicaea and Trebizond to the west and north. The sultanate experienced economic growth and cultural blossoming, which exercised a strong influence

on its neighbours. However, this stability vanished at the end of the seventh/thirteenth century, ushering in a period of internal strife that proved disastrous for Christian institutions. The Saljuq territories were replaced by Turkish principalities, the exact nature of which is open to debate, but the Armenian kingdom of Cilicia lasted until 1075 and Trebizond until 1461. The Turkish principalities eventually came under Ottoman rule through conquest or marriage. At the end of the ninth/fifteenth century, the Islamic institutions of Anatolia absorbed the bulk of the members of the now disorganised ancient Christian communities, which were rapidly Islamised.

The expansion of Ottoman power from an initially small base situated at the frontier between the Christian and Muslim worlds has points in common with the first centuries of the Arab conquest. From the eighth /fourteenth century to the second half of the ninth/fifteenth, the Ottoman state consisted of a Muslim minority ruling over a Christian majority. By the end of the ninth/ fifteenth century, however, the Islamisation of Asia Minor was already apparent, albeit more complete in central and western Anatolia than in the north and east, where sizeable Christian communities continued to exist. However, the flow of religious belief in Asia Minor fluctuated and is rarely easy to pin down with any precision. The Mongols, for example, originally of a Shamanist tradition, accepted Christianity prior to adopting Islam. 13 In fact, the Ottoman elites expended considerable energy on turning the nomadic tribes of Anatolia into Ottoman subjects and imposing the Ottoman (Hanafi) version of the sharia on these territories. Conversion to Islam was thus in effect also a process of 'Turkification'.

Furthermore, the Islamisation of a particular demographic group through conversion to Islam is not the same thing as the Islamisation of a particular geographic region, which often took place when the Christian population simply fled or was reduced to captivity following military defeat. This population might also be transplanted and replaced wholesale by incoming tribes

of Muslim nomads. Indeed, in the early years of their rule the Ottomans adopted a series of political measures known as *siirgiin*, in which forced emigration was followed by the colonisation and settlement of depopulated

591

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

areas (a procedure which the Byzantines had employed before them). Though the main purposes of such measures were demographic and economic, they obviously also played a major role in the Islamisation of those geographic areas.

In the Balkans, Islamisation and the survival of Christianity were opposite sides of the same coin, and the phenomenon is much easier to describe, first because it occurred at a later date and secondly because contemporary Ottoman documents provide a wealth of detail. 14 Before the Ottomans penetrated the Balkans in the eighth/fourteenth century, Muslim communities in this region had been virtually non-existent, with the exception of the Muslim quarter of Byzantine Constantinople, where Muslims had a mosque and commercial facilities. Even by the middle of the tenth/ sixteenth century only 18 per cent of the inhabitants of the Balkans were Muslim. 15 Islamisation was more pronounced in the cities than in the countryside, yet even in the cities the number of Muslims deriving from religious conversion remained small relative to the number of those descended from Muslim immigrants. The regions with the highest degree of Islamisation were Silistria, Herzegovina, Thrace, Macedonia

and Bosnia. Islamisation in the Balkans never reached the decisive proportions attained in Asia Minor. An obvious sign of the persistence of Christianity is the continued presence of the Orthodox Church. So too is linguistic continuity, though this should be viewed in its proper context: Bosnian and Bulgarian Muslims continued to use Slavic languages, just as Albanian Muslims spoke Albanian and the Muslims of Crete and the Black Sea continued to speak Greek. In fact, the Bosnians even developed a version of their Slavic language written in the Arabic alphabet.

Certain Christian practices survived even in the Islamised towns of Anatolia and the Balkans, which continued to celebrate seasonal festivals associated with the Feasts of St George, St Barbara and St Tryphon, as well as the religious market fairs known as 'panegyrics'.¹ In southern Anatolia and particularly in Albania a sort of syncretic religion arose that combined Christian and Islamic elements. The clearest manifestation of this was the Bektashi order of dervishes, which first appeared in the sixth/twelfth century and saw its fullest flowering in the ninth/fifteenth.¹⁷

In connection with conversion, there was one Ottoman institution which had a detrimental effect on Christian populations and for which there existed no Islamic precedent, being indeed in clear conflict with the concept of the dhimma covenant. This was the devshirme, the forced expatriation of boys or young unmarried men from the various Christian communities of the empire, particularly Slavs and Albanians. These boys of Christian origin

Conversion to Islam

were converted to Islam and then educated and trained to serve in the civil service and armies of the Ottoman state. In this fashion, Slavs and Albanians were turned into not only Muslims but also Ottoman Turks. The devshirme was in use from the eighth/ fourteenth century to the end of the eleventh/ seventeenth, though its most systematic and numerically most significant application occurred during the tenth /sixteenth.

The Christian communities of the Middle East

Until the end of the sixth/twelfth century, there existed within the territories of Egypt, Syria, Palestine and Lebanon flourishing Christian communities - Copts, Melkites, Jacobites, Nestorians and Maronites - with deeply rooted ecclesiastic and communal institutions as well as a significant social and political presence. The outburst of active hostility directed at the Christian communities by both Muslim rulers and the Muslim populace during the seventh/thirteenth century has traditionally been attributed to two factors: the Crusades and the Mongol invasion. The traditional interpretation claims that local Christians suffered the reprisals of the Muslim majority because they practised a religion shared with the Crusaders on the one hand and favoured by the Mongols on the other. However, recent studies have shown that social and economic factors were just as important as external military threats in arousing Muslim animosity and resentment. 1

Regardless of the causes for this resentment, one of its consequences was the conversion of Egyptian Copts to Islam on a large scale during the second half of the seventh/ thirteenth century and the first half of the eighth/fourteenth. The Coptic community was subjected to extremely heavy pressure to convert by their Mamluk rulers, because the Muslim masses of Cairo and other cities resented the influence that Copts wielded in the government, as well as their obvious prosperity. On repeated occasions this resentment

expressed itself in violent attacks on Copts and their properties, outbreaks that endangered the social and even political stability of the Mamluk state. Popular resentment against the Copts was also clearly directed at the Mamluk rulers who employed and protected them, and was furthermore fuelled by the burdensome fiscal measures that the rulers decreed and their Copt functionaries then implemented. The Bahri Mamluk sultans found themselves forced by popular pressure to take measures that included promulgating the Covenant of 'Umar and placing restrictions on the employment of Copts in the state administration. This Covenant of 'Umar (which had been applied to varying degrees on previous occasions) was intended to segregate and

593

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

humiliate the dhimmi population by, for example, obliging them to wear distinctive clothing, or forbidding them any means of transport except foot or donkey. It also forbade Christians and Jews from repairing or decorating existing churches and synagogues and erecting new ones. The Covenant was read aloud in Cairo and Damascus, while simultaneously dhimmis were removed from public office. The result was a wave of conversions to Islam that shrank the Coptic population to a small minority.

The sincerity of such essentially forced conversions was immediately called into question. Resentment of the continued prominence or wealth of the new converts, who began to be known as the *musallima* (those who have adopted

Islam), continued to make itself felt, and the converts were accused of favouring their former co-religionists and setting up networks of mutual support and social advancement. 19 They were denounced as not being true

Muslims, especially by one sector of the scholarly elite, or *ʿulamāʾ*. Earlier, the Mamluks had built many mosques and madrasas in both Egypt and Syria for

the teaching of Islamic sciences, as well as *khanqas* for the Sufis, all of which

they had paid for by means of private *waqf* endowments. Because of the large

number of such institutions and the financial advantages they enjoyed, the

numbers of *ʿulamāʾ* had also grown considerably and many of them then had

difficulty finding the sort of employment within the court and state admin-

istration that they felt was appropriate to their newly acquired social rank.

Since all military and political posts were restricted to members of the Mamluk elite themselves, the local *ʿulamaʾ* could only aspire to positions within the civil service. Yet here they found themselves in competition for these posts with non-Muslims, who had predominated in the state adminis-

tration ever since Umayyad times - hence the hostility of the *ʿulamāʾ* towards

the Christian elite.

The *ʿulamāʾ* of Syria were likewise hostile. The Damascene scholar Ibn Taymiyya (d. 728/1328) wrote treatises and delivered public diatribes in which

he attacked the non-Muslim communities. Syria in particular had suffered

greatly as a result of the Crusader and Mongol incursions, and both events

engendered bitterly anti-Christian sentiments among Syrian Muslims, because

many Christians had either collaborated with the foreign invaders or prospered

during the brief period of Mongol rule in Syria.

The exception among Christian groups was the Maronite community, which did not merely survive but actually grew in numbers and strength from the relative safety of its base in the mountains of Lebanon. Yet of all the

eastern Christian groups, it was the Maronites who were most closely allied to

the Crusaders. Indeed, after the Crusaders were finally driven from the coast

594

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Conversion to Islam

of Lebanon, the Mamluks sent several military expeditions between 699/1300 and 704/1305 to bring the Maronites and the neighbouring heterodox Muslims to heel. A further punitive expedition was despatched in 768/1367, following an attempted invasion by the Catholic king of Cyprus. However, these punitive expeditions had no lasting effects, and thereafter the Mamluks allowed the Maronites to live as they pleased as long as they continued to pay tribute. Perhaps the most important factor in the survival and development of the Maronites was the fact that they never sought positions of power in the civil or financial institutions of the Mamluk state.

Jews and Christians in the Muslim west

In 477/1085 Toledo was conquered by the Christians. As a result of this, a large number of Mozarabs, that is, Arabised Christians who had been living under Muslim rule, were inspired to cross over into Christian territory. This marked the high point of a migration which had actually been going on since the end of the Andalusí Umayyad caliphate. 20 But it was the year 518/1125 that proved truly decisive for the Christian communities of al-Andalus, for it was then that the army of Alphonso I, king of Aragon, was able to penetrate as far south as Granada, but had soon to draw back. As a result, the Andalusí Christians who had made common cause with his campaign were obliged to withdraw

northwards with him. After this episode, the Almoravid authorities decided that, by aiding a foreign enemy, those Christians had broken the dhimma covenant. This provided the justification for the subsequent deportation of all the Christians still remaining in al-Andalus to Morocco, where a number of them ended up serving with the Christian mercenary units. An unknown number of Andalusí Christians preferred conversion to deportation. Those Christians who were deported either converted once they were in Morocco or emigrated to Sicily or the Christian-held lands of Iberia, with the result that by the sixth/twelfth century there remained virtually no Christian communities within the Muslim territories on either side of the Straits of Gibraltar.

Nonetheless, there did remain sizeable Jewish communities in al-Andalus. These communities had played an important cultural and social role in al-Andalus in the preceding centuries. They even managed to achieve political prominence: the Ibn Naghrila family of Granada played a key role in government under the Zirid dynasty. This prominence was a mixed blessing, for the actions of one of them, the vizier Yusuf ibn Naghrila, sparked violent popular disturbances and a massacre of Jews in 459/1066. ²¹ There also existed Jewish communities in the Maghrib.

595

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The non-Muslim communities (largely Jews) of the Islamic west suffered harshly during the early years of Almohad power. The Almohads, followers of the Mahdī Ibn Tumart, had created a veritable religious and political revolution.

tion, a movement with a markedly messianic character. During the early years of this movement, when they were still engaged in the struggle for power with the Almoravid dynasty, the Almohads withdrew the status of 'Believer' from their Almoravid adversaries and all others who failed to adhere strictly to their doctrines. This legitimised their fight against fellow Muslims and gave them licence to seize Muslim persons and possessions as legitimate booty.

Perhaps it is not surprising, then, that during the reign of 'Abd al-Mu'min, which began in 542/1147, the dhimma covenant protecting non-Muslims was declared null. In principle, the effect of this declaration was to force all non-Muslims to convert to Islam. This abolishment of the dhimma pact was so unusual and so contrary to Muslim law that Arabic sources hardly mention it, therefore it is not known with certainty how long this situation lasted or what its real effects were. Many Jews in the areas under Almohad control chose to go into exile in either the Christian kingdoms of the Iberian Peninsula or the Muslim territories of Egypt and Syria. As with the Copts of Egypt, the sincerity of those Jews who remained and converted was questioned, and they were discriminated against and obliged to wear distinctive clothing in all the areas under Almohad control.

Nevertheless, this outbreak of repression against the Jews was apparently short-lived. It also appears to have been more intense in the frontier regions than in the heart of the empire, judging from the fact that the Jewish philosopher Maimonides, for example, fled from Cordoba in al-Andalus to Fez in Morocco. At some particular moment, Jews were clearly allowed to return to their religion without fear of accusations of apostasy, so that after the Almohad caliphate disintegrated Jewish communities flourished again everywhere in the Islamic west except al-Andalus. 22,

In Morocco, these communities - and particularly that of the capital Fez - attained a position of great prominence under the Marinid dynasty. Jews were

given posts managing the dynasty's finances or as diplomatic and commercial envoys to the Italian republics and the monarchies of the Iberian Peninsula, and in general played an important role in the economy. This situation ultimately provoked resentment in Fez, and the eruption of violence against the Jews was once more related to the fact that it was the Jews who were responsible for actually implementing the harsh tax policies devised by their rulers. At the time of the worst outbreak, the Marinid sultan Abu Yusuf Ya'qub (d. 685/286) had just founded a palace complex on the outskirts of

596

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Conversion to Islam

Fez named Fas al-Jadid ('New Fez') to serve as the seat of his administration and personal guard. In order to extend the protection of that guard to part of the Jewish population of Fez, he decided to provide a special quarter for them called the Mellah (mallah) within Fas al-Jadid. A large number of rich Jewish merchants preferred to convert to Islam rather than abandon the commercial heart of the city, which was a prime location for the carrying out of their professional activities. During the first half of the ninth/fifteenth century, these rich merchants of Jewish origin - who were known by the derogatory term bildiyyin or 'city-folk' because they could claim neither Arab descent nor tribal nisba ('kinship') - began to compete with the Sharifi elite for the monopoly over the qaysariyya, the centre of the capital's luxury trade. The Sharifs tried to drive out the bildiyyin on various occasions by accusing them of fraudulent business practices. They also complained that the bildiyyin's igno-

minious origin was inappropriate to the sanctity of the site, since the old city of Fez was haram ('sacred') owing to the fact that it contained the tomb of Mawlay Idris, a descendant of the Prophet. The bildiyyin, whose numbers now included several important Islamic scholars, responded with the counterargument that discrimination among Muslims was not permissible in Islam. 23

Outside Fez, commercial rivalry claimed other Jewish victims in the Maghrib, most notably those involved in trade in the oasis towns of the Tuwat, key points along the caravan route that connected Morocco with the western Sudan. 24 Here the militant preachings of Abu Abd Allah al-Maghlli (d. c. 843/1440), a reforming jurist and shaykh of the local zawiya (Sufi lodge), ignited popular resentment and provided religious legitimacy for it. This was a region that was technically outside the control of any political authority, which allowed al-Maghili to claim that the Jews had broken the dhimma by not paying the jizya (protection tax) to any Muslim political power. The result was an outburst of violence against the Jews and the destruction of their synagogue. These events also sparked a long controversy among the Islamic scholars of the time, who criticised al-Maghili and the religious arguments he had put forward. 25

There was another outburst of violence against Jews in Fez, with subsequent conversions, in the events that put an end to the Marinid dynasty. 2 As in the case of the violence at Tuwat, the conflict coincided with difficult economic times and a political power vacuum aggravated by the first incursions by the Christian kingdoms of the Iberian Peninsula into North Africa, culminating in the capture of several ports on the Moroccan coast. The first such episode, the taking of Ceuta by the Portuguese in 818/1415, was more of a shock to western Islam than the fall of Granada. These conquests had serious

The New Cambridge History of Islam

economic consequences because they sealed one of the main maritime outlets of the lucrative trans-Saharan trading routes.

After the Marinids, both the Sa'adi and the Alawī dynasties continued to employ Jews in important positions in the civil and financial administration as well as the diplomatic service until the twelfth/ eighteenth century. These Jews of the *makhzan* (state apparatus) were made up of families that amounted to virtual dynasties of viziers and secretaries and managed to ride out intra-dynastic power struggles and palace coups with their positions of influence intact. 27 This prominent position of Jews became an unquestioned feature of Moroccan society, and the Jewish community remained the only minority of any consideration in Moroccan territory until the founding of the state of Israel in the twentieth century CE led to large-scale Jewish emigration.

The Renegades

A characteristic phenomenon of the history of the Mediterranean between the tenth/ sixteenth and twelfth/ eighteenth centuries is what the Arabic sources call *culij* (foreign) and the Christian sources refer to as the 'Renegades' (i.e. apostates). The war between the Ottomans on the one hand and primarily Spain but also the Venetians on the other yielded an endless stream of prisoners-of-war. Though the great naval clashes ended in the 1571-1573/ 1570s, the taking of captives continued to thrive in what Braudel has called '*la petite guerre*', the war of the Barbary corsairs. For more than two centuries, based in

Mediterranean ports from Tripoli in Libya to Tetouan and Rabat in Morocco, Muslim corsair ships preyed on the coasts and shipping routes of the northern Mediterranean. This corsair war reached its peak between 987/1580 and 1049/1640, when its main base was the Regency of Algiers, set up by the Barbarossa brothers in 923/1518.

One of the primary goals of corsair activity was the taking of human captives, around which there developed a thriving business in ransoms. Scholars now calculate that between 1500 and 1750 at least a million European Christians were captured either temporarily or permanently in North Africa. While awaiting the payment of ransom, these captives were forced to work in the docks and shipyards, or as domestic servants in the houses of wealthy families or the courts of the rulers. An unknown but considerable number chose to escape captivity by means of conversion to Islam. It is estimated, for example, that in 1043/ 1634 there were some 8,000 such 'Renegades' in Algiers.

Various factors must have been involved in a captive's nominally voluntary decision to convert, among them desperation about the chances of being

598

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Conversion to Islam

ransomed, ill treatment or the desire to regain freedom. But these captives must also have been attracted by the opportunities which membership in Muslim society offered. These captives were often seized from among the peasantry of the poorest regions of the northern Mediterranean. In the

regencies of North Africa they found themselves in a less rigidly stratified society than the ones they had left behind, a society where fortunes could be made and where they could achieve social ranks far higher than what they could ever aspire to in their countries of origin. Admission to Islam was a relatively simple matter and institutions that might put a new convert's religious sincerity and compliance with Islamic precepts to the test (such as the Inquisition) were absent.

In the Maghrib, the Renegades soon played an important political and military role. Renegades served in the rulers' guard, the army and the public administration. They also joined the crews of the corsair galleys. Nevertheless, the very name by which they were known in Arabic, 'uluj, suggests the limits of their acceptance. Muslim society did not actively restrict the c uluj in any way, yet it did confine them to their own political and social space, where they lived among themselves, forming bonds of clientele or kinship with their former masters or the rulers. Thus, conversion was linked to not only manumission but also a system of patronage.

A relatively small number of these Renegades later opted to return to their countries of origin, a move which obliged them to reconcile themselves with the Catholic Church by way of the Inquisition. As a consequence, there exists a considerable body of archival material on this subject, which has yielded a flurry of scholarly work on 'Allah's Christians'. These studies reveal not only how porous the religious frontier that divided the Mediterranean was at the time and the constant back and forth flow of religious identities across it, but also how conversion was regarded respectively within contemporary Muslim and Christian societies and what social roles were permitted to converts in each 1

The Renegades coincided in time as well as space with the presence of another large group of converts who represent the same process in reverse. It

is the wholesale conversion to Christianity of an entire Muslim community.

The Moriscos

In the Iberian Peninsula, the gradual conquest of Muslim lands had placed under Christian control Muslim minorities whose status in medieval Christian society was initially governed by a formal covenant not unlike the dhimma,

599

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

such that Muslims were permitted to practise Islam and run their own affairs according to their own laws. While this situation of legal tolerance persisted, these Muslims were known as Mudejars. Their situation deteriorated dramatically after 897/1492, with the capture by the 'Catholic Monarchs' (Fernando II of Aragon and Isabel I of Castile) of the kingdom of Granada which brought under the rule of a single dynasty for the first time all the territories now known as Spain. They then began to carry out a series of measures designed to homogenise conditions throughout their territories, including a unified body of laws governing religious practice and belief. The Jews of Spain were the first victims. In 1492 they were given the choice between exile or conversion to Catholicism. Most chose the former and moved to either Morocco or the lands of the Ottoman empire where they swelled the ranks of communities in the Balkans, Asia Minor and Palestine.

Between 1502 and 1526 Fernando and Isabel promulgated decrees along the same lines as the decree of 1492, but this time targeting the Muslims. The resulting forced converts, known as Moriscos, were subjected to surveillance and repression by the Inquisition. In 1567 the use of Arabic, spoken or written, was forbidden alongside other social and cultural features. The Morisco question developed into a social problem in early modern Spanish society, which was at one and the same time insistently vigilant as to the complete assimilation of the Moriscos and yet fearful that that very assimilation would 'contaminate' the Christian community.

At different times in the various regions of Spain where they lived, the Morisco communities alternated between complete assimilation and the stubborn practice of a crypto-Islam. Yet even in the latter instance, the Moriscos gradually lost knowledge of the Arabic language and, for want of mosques, scholars of Muslim law and Islamic institutions, their Muslim culture became debased. Nevertheless, a large body of textual material has come down to us from the Moriscos written in what is known as aljamia, namely Romance written in the Arabic alphabet and sprinkled with syntactic calques and Arabic religious terminology.

The Moriscos were finally expelled from Spain between 1609 and 1614. On the one hand, they were perceived as being incapable of assimilation, and on the other, they were accused of both connivance with the Barbary corsairs and acting as a 'Fifth Column' for the Ottomans - hence a constant menace within the heart of the Spanish nation. The vast bulk of exiles settled in the Maghrib. There, from Tunis to Rabat, the Moriscos came to occupy a social space not unlike that allotted to the Renegades, from whom they were not always easily distinguished. They lived in the same

Conversion to Islam

neighbourhoods, practised the same occupations, served side by side in militias and palace guards and on corsair ships, and worked together as translators and secretaries. Like the Renegades, the Moriscos were confined to their own closed social space for nearly a century. Their membership in the Muslim community continued to be held in doubt, and of both Moriscos and Renegades it was said that they knew 'neither how to be Muslims nor how to be Christians', that they were people with neither beliefs nor convictions nor loyalties, who 'had no Law in their hearts'.

In the twelfth/ eighteenth century there came about a radical shift in the balance of power between the northern and southern coasts of the Mediterranean, and the flow of captives dried up as corsair activity declined. By that time the Moriscos had become totally assimilated into their host society. Their former presence in North Africa is attested to only in a handful of Spanish surnames, lingering family traditions and the architectural features of buildings in certain quarters of the coastal towns.

The Morisco phenomenon had its nearly contemporary counterpart at the opposite end of the Islamic territories covered in this volume where the Tsars of Russia, another dynastic power undergoing a phase of expansion and determined to make religion inseparable from state and national identity, launched the conversion to Christianity of the Muslim Tatars.

The Tatars of Kazan and the Crimea

Between the seventh/thirteenth and tenth/ sixteenth centuries the Russian principalities had gradually been conquered and brought into vassalage by the

Muslim Tatar rulers of the Golden Horde. However, in the middle of the tenth/ sixteenth century, the great princes of Moscow, claiming that their vassal status under the khans of the Golden Horde entitled them to do so, undertook the conquest of areas that still lay ostensibly under the rule of the heirs to the Mongol empire. The Russian expansion began under Ivan the Terrible (r. 1547-84), who took Kazan, capital of the Volga khanate, in 1522, and Astrakhan further down the Volga. Military conquest was followed by the systematic occupation of this territory by the Russians. 30 Beginning in 962/ 1555, a policy of forced conversion was introduced and the population was pressured to accept religious assimilation. However, this did not imply ethnic assimilation. That is, converts acquired the same legal status as that enjoyed by other subjects of the tsar, but they were not Russified. They were allowed to maintain their Tatar identity and continue to use the Tatar language, though it eventually began to be written in the Cyrillic alphabet - a kind of

601

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

aljamia in reverse. The Orthodox liturgy was even translated into Tatar for the benefit of the new converts.

The Russian authorities tore down mosques, transferred the waqf endowment properties to the state and expelled the mullds from the cities. Islam was reduced to a religion of the rural peasantry, and many mullds became the leaders of reformist and revolutionary movements. In the first half of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, Christian missionaries based in Kazan founded special schools where Muslim children who had been forcibly separated from

their parents were raised as Christians. Muslims were driven from all villages in which converts also lived so as to keep the new convictions from being contaminated by their former co-religionists. And legislation established the death penalty for any Islamic preaching or religious discourse that was critical of Christianity.

In 1774, Catherine II the Great (r. 1762-96), following a series of wars against the Ottomans and their Tatar vassals in Crimea, used the terms of the treaty of Kuchuk-Kainarji to annex the steppes on the northern shore of the Black Sea, including Crimea. Military occupation was followed by colonisation, Russification and the initiation of Christian missionary activity. Nevertheless, Catherine adopted a policy towards the Muslims in the new territories that was considerably gentler than those of her predecessors. In 1788 she restored the legal right of Muslims to practise their faith freely, and Muslims were conceded the same legal status as all other subjects. However, these rights proved ephemeral. Under the reign of her successor Alexander I, the peninsula was flooded with Russian colonists and the Tatars had no choice but to emigrate. In the decades after 1783, over a million Muslim Tatars moved to the lands of the Ottoman empire settling in Turkey, Rumania and Bulgaria.

Jewish messianism and conversion

The mystic Sabbatai Zevi, born in Smyrna in 1626, founded one of the most significant Jewish messianic movements.³¹ His teachings aroused an intense messianic expectation among not only the Jewish communities of Christian Europe but also the Jews of the Muslim world, whether in the Ottoman empire, Iran, Yemen or Morocco.

The year 1666 was thought to be the date of the coming of the Messiah, so at this time Sabbatai Zevi and his followers carried out what they hoped would be a triumphal march through Istanbul. However, the Ottoman authorities

instead arrested Sabbatai Zevi, forced him to convert to Islam and eventually

602

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Conversion to Islam

exiled him to a small village in Albania, where he died in 1676. A large number of his disciples believed that his conversion was consistent with his being the Messiah and duly followed his example, while maintaining their beliefs, particularly those of Cabalist inspiration. For decades these nominal converts from Judaism constituted a compact, well-defined and endogamous group, known in Turkish as *donme*, with its largest communities in Smyrna, Salonika and the Istanbul-Bursa area. This was clearly an unusual case of collective conversion in that it was both voluntary and feigned. The Ottoman authorities apparently never took the trouble either to verify the sincerity of these conversions, or to punish the apostasy involved when some of the *donme* reverted to Judaism. 32

Outside Ottoman lands, events followed a different course. In Iran, 33 Morocco 34 and especially Yemen, 35 the messianic zeal of the Sabbateans provoked repression by the authorities and disturbances among the Muslim masses. A large number of the Jews of these countries converted to Islam as a consequence.

Concluding remarks

The set of case studies presented here (to which others could be added), diverse in characteristics, impact and geographical location, have been selected because they help us better to understand social mechanisms in the

Islamic societies in which they took place. They illustrate the conflicts that arose after the early centuries of great mass conversions to Islam, during the period when non-Muslims had been reduced to playing a minority role and when the limits of their participation in the society of the Muslim majority were definitively established. These examples also reveal the process by which each religion developed a characteristic communal identity which would eventually lead to a sense of national identity.

In 897/1492, Muslim political power vanished from the Iberian Peninsula. The Ottomans converted Syria, Palestine and Egypt into provinces of their empire in 922/1517 and extended their rule over the regencies of Tunis and Algiers. The relative tolerance that existed within the Ottoman empire attracted not only Jews expelled from the Iberian Peninsula but also Calvinists from Hungary and Transylvania, Silesian Protestants and Russian Cossacks. All these sought refuge in Ottoman territory or requested assistance from the Sublime Porte in their struggles to resist Catholic or Orthodox persecution.

By the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century, all the main conversion movements had taken place, and non-Muslims were fully integrated into Ottoman

603

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

society. This integration was mediated by means of what is known as the *miRet* system (Turkish millet from Arabic *milla*, religious community), a system that

recognised and regulated the existence of non-Muslim confessional groups.

The Sublime Porte retained absolute power, and was the final arbiter in the

event of disputes among communities. However, within each community personal and family life were governed by the laws of each particular religion,

and the maximum authority was the spiritual leader of each community.

These communal leaders then negotiated directly with the Ottoman state authorities on questions involving the state-community interface, such as state taxes, or conflicts between community and state criminal law. Thus, the individual was conceived as having no relation with the state outside the

framework of membership in a religious community. One clear benefit of the

millet system was its ability to limit inter-communal conflict. Such conflict was

minimal, for example, during the tenth/sixteenth and eleventh/seventeenth

centuries. However, another consequence of the millet system was that ties

between communities hardly existed, and bonds at an individual level, through marriage for example, were rare, so that there was virtually no movement from one group to another. The millet system lasted until the end of the period covered in this volume, a period that ends just as colonialism

and nationalism make their momentous appearance.

Notes

i. M. G. Morony, 'The age of conversions: A re-assessment', in M. Gervers and

R.J. Bikhazi (eds.), *Conversion and continuity: Indigenous Christian communities in*

Islamic lands, eighth to eighteenth centuries, Toronto, 1990, 135-50.

2. This changing perspective can be seen from Daniel C. Dennet, *Conversion and the*

poll tax in early Islam, Cambridge, Mass., 1950, to Richard W. Bulliet, *Conversion to*

Islam in the medieval period: An essay in quantitative history, Cambridge, Mass., 1979.

3. M. Brett, 'The spread of Islam in Egypt and North Africa', in M. Brett (ed.),

Northern Africa: Islam and modernization, London, 1973, 1-12; I.

Lapidus, 'The

conversion of Egypt to Islam', IOS, 2 (1972), 248-62.

4. D. Wasserstein, *The rise and fall of the party kings*, Princeton, 1985, 33-8.

5. D. Wasserstein, 'Islamisation and the conversion of the Jews', in M. Garcia-Arenal (ed.), *Islamic conversions: Religious identities in Mediterranean Islam*, Paris, 2001, 49-60.

6. F. Dormer, 'From believers to Muslims: Confessional self-identity in the early Islamic community', *Al-Abhath*, 50-1 (2002-3), 9-53-

7. Lapidus, 'The conversion of Egypt to Islam', 248-62, at 260.

8. Bulliet, *Conversion to Islam in the medieval period*, 31.

9. P. Brown, *The cult of saints*, Chicago, 1981.

604

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Conversion to Islam

10. Josef W. Meri, *The cult of saints among Muslims and Jews in medieval Syria*, Oxford, 2002; I. Ben-Ami, *Culte des saints et pèlerinages juéo-musulmans au Maroc*, Paris, 1990.

11. H. Dajani-Shakeel, 'Natives and Franks in Palestine: Perceptions and interactions', in Gervers and Bikhazi (eds.), *Conversion and continuity*, 161-84.

12. F. de la Granja, 'Fiestas cristianas en al-Andalus (materiales para su estudio)', *IF, AA*, 34 (1969), 1-53; *IF, AA*, 35 (1970), 119-42.

13. M. Balivet, 'Flou confessionnel et conversion formelle: De l'Asie mineure médiévale

a Fempire ottoman, *Dimensioni e Problemi della Ricerca Storica*, 2 (1996), 203-14.

14. S. Vryonis, 'Religious changes and patterns in the Balkans, i4th-i6th centuries', in H. Birnbaum and S. Vryonis, *Aspects of the Balkans*, The Hague and Paris, 1972, 151-76; So Vryonis, 'The experience of Christians under Seljuk and Ottoman domination, eleventh to sixteenth century', in Gervers and Bikhazi (eds.), *Conversion and continuity*, 185-216.

15. O. L. Barkan, 'Essai sur les donnees statistiques des registres de recensement dans Fempire ottoman aux XVe et XVIe siecles', *JESHO*, 1 (1958) 7-36.

16. S. Vryonis, 'The panegyric of the Byzantine saint: A study in the nature of a medieval institution, its origins and fate', *Sohornost* (1981), 196-226.

17. A. Popovic and G. Venstein (eds.), *Bektachiyya: Etudes sur l'ordre mystique des Bektachis et les groupes relevant de Hadjdj Bektach*, Istanbul, 1995.

18. D. P. Little, 'Coptic conversion to Islam under the Bahri Mamluks, 692-755/1293-1354', *BSOAS*, 39 (1976), 552-69.

19. D. P. Little, 'Coptic converts to Islam during the Bahri Mamluk period', in Gervers and Bikhazi (eds.), *Conversion and continuity*, 263-87.

20. J. P. Molenat, 'Mudejars et Mozarabes a Toleda du XII au XV siecles', *BMMM*, 63-4 (1992), 143-53-

21. D. Wasserstein, 'Samuel ibn Nagrila ha-Nagid and Islamic historiography in al-Andalus', *AQ*, 16 (1993), 109-25.

22. M. Garcia-Arenal, 'Rapports entre groupes dans la peninsule iberique. La conversion de juifs a l'Islam (XII-XIII siecles)', *REMMM*, 63-4 (1992), 91-101.

23. M. Garcia-Arenal, 'Les Bildiyyin de Fes, un groupe de neo-musulmans d'origine juive', *SI*, 66 (1987), 113-43.

24. M. Abitbol, 'Juifs maghrebins et commerce transsaharien au moyen age', in M. Abitbol (ed.), *Communautes juives des marges sahariennes du Maghrib*, Jerusalem, 1982, 229-52.
25. J. O. Hunwick, 'Al-Maghili and the Jews of Tuwat. The demise of a community', *SI*, 61 (1985), 155-83.
26. M. Garcia-Arenal, 'The revolution of Fes in 869/1465 and the death of sultan c Abd al-Haqq al-Marini', *BSOAS*, 41 (1978), 43-66.
27. L. Maziane, 'Les Juifs marocains sous les premiers sultans "alawites"', M. Garcia-Arenal (ed.), *Entre el Islam y occidente: Los judios del Magreb en la edad moderna*, Madrid, 2003, 303-18; M. Garcia-Arenal and G. A. Wieggers, *A man of three worlds. Samuel Pallache, a Moroccan Jew in Catholic Spain and Protestant Europe*, Baltimore, 2003.

605

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

28. B. and L. Bennassar, *Les Chretiens d'Allah: L'histoire extraordinaire des renegats (XVI et XVII siecles)*, Paris, 1989; L. Scaraffia, *Rinnegati: Per una storia dell'identita occidentale*, Rome, 1993.
29. The bibliography on Moriscos is very extensive. The best introductions, used here, are A. Dominguez Ortiz and B. Vincent, *Historia de los moriscos: Vida y tragedia de una minoria*, Madrid, 1978, and L. Cardaillac, *Morisques et Chretiens: Un affrontement polemique*, Paris, 1977.

30. J. G. Tiwari, *Muslims under the Czars and the Soviets*, Lucknow, 1984.
31. G. Scholem, *Sabbatai Sevi, the mystical Messiah*, Princeton, 1973.
32. L. Valensi, 'Conversion, integration, exclusion: Les Sabbateens dans l'empire ottoman et en Turquie', *Dimensioni e Problemi della Ricerca Storica*, 2 (1996), 169-86.
33. V. B. Moreen, *Iranian Jewry's hour of peril and heroism: A study of Babai ibn Lufti's chronicle (161J-1662)*, AAJR, 6, New York, 1987.
34. M. Garcia-Arenal, *Attentes messianiques au Maghrib et dans la peninsule iberique: Du nouveau sur Sabbatai Zevi*', in F. Pouillon (ed.), *Lucette Valensi a Vceuvre: Une histoire anthropologique de l'Islam mediterraneen*, Paris, 2002, 225-42.
35. P. S. Van Koningsveld, J. Sadan and Q. al-Samarrai, *Yemenite authorities and Jewish messianism: Ahmad ibn Nasir al-Zaydi's account of the Sabbathian movement in seventeenth century Yemen and its aftermath*, Leiden, 1990.

606

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

21

Taxation and armies

ALBRECHT FUESS

Introduction

Throughout history, financing the military has always represented a difficult endeavour for states, while being essential for the survival of societies. Dissatisfied soldiers represented a high risk of instability and violence. They would either cause riots or even attempt an upheaval against the ruling dynasties. Armies with a high percentage of professional mercenaries, who had no emotional connection to the inhabitants of the land they were fighting for, were even more susceptible to failures in the remuneration system. Muslim societies were no exception to this rule. Once the initial religious impetus and motivation of the armies of the early Islamic period had ceased, the financial aspect became increasingly important, even more so after the stream of booty collected during the early military successes came to a standstill as did the Islamic military campaign as a whole in the second /eighth century.

This required a change of policy in order to keep the military satisfied. The so-called iqta' system was initiated in the fourth/ tenth century in the Muslim east by the Iranian dynasty of the Buyids. Soldiers were granted the tax income of specific lands in exchange for military service (khidtna). In combination with military slavery, the iqta' system became the prevalent method of payment for the military in the eastern and central Islamic lands. The system reached its peak during the Mamluk reign in Egypt and Syria (648-923/ 1250-1517) and continued in a modified way right up to the Ottoman period. The evolution of the military in the Muslim west was certainly influenced by this development but remained, as in many other aspects, distinctively different.

Aspects of Islamic taxation in early Islamic times

Until today, certain aspects of the Islamic taxation system have remained the

subject of discussion and debate. As an example, the usual term used for the Islamic land-tax is kharaj, meaning literally 'reward' or 'fee' (Qur'an 23:72 and

607

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

18:94), with no direct link to the taxation of land as such. The same term is used by some early authors to refer to the poll-tax (jizya). 1 Cahen rightly pointed out that kharaj is 'in fact found with reference to various specific taxes, thus causing considerable confusion'. 2.

In the following centuries, legal scholars developed a tax frame in which the meaning of kharaj became clearer. Differences were established in the treatment of the poll-tax of Muslims and non-Muslims. Land-tax was also differentiated between areas of originally Muslim provenance and newly acquired areas. These classifications were made regardless of whether the owner had become Muslim over time or not. In only a few cases, a neo-Muslim was able to 'convert' his land to the status of 'Muslim' as well, thereby 'converting' it to another tax class.

The main taxes a non-Muslim had to pay were the poll-tax (jizya), which constituted approximately 10 per cent of his income, and the land-tax (kharaj) for his non-Muslim land, which constituted 20-30 per cent of its revenue. He did not have any claims on war spoils, but he did not have to serve in the army either.

The tax burden for an average Muslim was an alms-tax (zakat) of about 2-10 per cent of his revenue, and another tax levied on his land, which could be either the tithe of the revenue off his Muslim land (ushr), or the land-tax (kharaj) levied on newly occupied land. Furthermore, he could claim a share of war spoils (ghanima) due to his military service in the army, for which he had to be available at any time. 3

The tax burden on non-Muslims, as compared to the advantages of being a Muslim, did not constitute such a heavy load that conversion to Islam occurred out of sheer financial necessity.

These new definitions streamlined the taxation system in theory, but in practice it only drew the debate to another level. Detailed issues, such as how much zakat was to be paid for the ownership of camels, were still left unsolved and hotly debated among Muslim jurists. A ratio system was often agreed upon in which, for example, the owner of five to seven camels had to pay the zakat with a sheep. The owner of seventy-six to ninety camels, however, had to pay two three-year-old camel mares. 4 However, such questions were of secondary importance, compared to the question of when a piece of land was to be considered 'Muslim'. This was an important question because of the different rates of taxation assigned to the two categories of land. Many an owner attempted to be exempt from paying the higher 'non-Muslim' land-tax (kharaj), by paying the lower 'Muslim' land-tax (ushr). Having land reclassified as 'Muslim' became increasingly difficult to achieve, and as a result the L ushr

tax was slowly replaced by the much higher kharaj tax everywhere, to the detriment of many a law-abiding taxpayer. Both terms were then used synonymously in the later Muslim periods. 5

How to pay a military slave? On the genesis

and evolution of the iqta' taxation system

prior to 648 / 1250

Even though many aspects of early Islamic taxation are subject to debate, there is no doubt about who eventually used up most of the levied tax: the military. An order of the caliph 'Umar I (r. 13-23/634-44) thus reads:

I have decided that the mobile booty shall be spread among the deserving, after having deducted the fifth [for the leaders]. I shall leave the land to the same tenants who already worked on it, and they will have to pay kharaj [land-tax] and jizya in order to provide for the soldiers, their children and their successors.

According to Abu Yusuf (d. 182/798), the caliph refrained from distributing the land itself among his soldiers, and by avoiding scattering his army he was able to retain an effective fighting force. 7 Payment for the soldiers was centralised in the *diwan al-jund* (Office for Army Affairs).

At the same time, however, some conquered land was handed out to Muslim notables and officers in addition to normal payment. These parcels of land were called *qata'* (sing, *qatfa*), and even though they were technically 'given' to the notables their ownership still resided with the state, at least in theory. The owners of these strips of land had to pay the standard tithe (*Qushr*) as tax. The actual practice differed considerably from the theory in that the concessionaires often took the land as private property (*milk*) and refused to hand back the rights of ownership to the administration. Therefore, the rulers resolved upon the method of not handing out the rights of ownership of

land per se, but instead the concessionaires got the right to levy tax from the lands attributed to them. This new form of land payment was at first called iqta\ The advantage for the concessionaire lay in the fact that, while he had to pay the tithe of the revenue off his iqta\ the 'ushr, to the state, he could demand from his tenants the higher kharaj, his income thus being the difference between 1 ushr and kharaj. So far once again the theory. In practice, it was very difficult to obtain anything at all from the iqta' holders, the muqta's. The Iranian Buyid dynasty (r. 334-447/945-1055), who controlled de facto the territories of Iran and Iraq in place of the powerless caliph in Baghdad, did

609

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

react to this development. The Buyids handed out iqta's without connecting any kind of tax duties to the ownership of an iqta'. In the following centuries, iqta' Buyid-style was to become the main element in the remuneration of soldiers, thus also becoming the most important factor in the taxation systems of the entire Middle East. 10 The old fiscal administration was meanwhile reduced, as the muqta's managed their iqta's through their own agents and slave soldiers (sing, ghulani). 11 It seems that only individual taxes, like the poll-tax, the zakat and trade taxes, had to be paid directly to the state via its agents.

In connection to the evolution of the iqta' system new developments in the military system took place, including radical changes in the function and form

of the armed forces. The old Bedouin armies, which had been the traditional mainstay of the classical Arab armies, lost their position of prominence, and were replaced by non-Arab forces. First and foremost, Iranian 'new-Muslims' constituted the new backbone of the 'Abbasid armies. But the real military revolution came with the introduction of slave soldiers, starting in the third/ninth century. Military slavery was to dominate the composition of Muslim armies in the central Islamic lands for centuries to come. The 'Abbasid caliphs al-Ma'mun (r. 197-218/813-33) and al-Mu'tasim (r. 218-27/833-842) recruited professional soldiers out of the ranks of slaves on a large scale. They were usually brought in from the peripheries of the empire and were preferably of Turkish origin. 12. On a legal basis, they did not differ from household slaves, apart from the fact that they were automatically manumitted on completing their military training. They were then allowed to occupy key positions within the state. 13 Turkish predominance in this military system meant that Arab societies were dependent on the use of armies whose soldiers were linguistically and culturally distinct.

Large-scale use of Turkish slave soldiers (mamluks) restricted the Arabs' influence to the civil sector, which was a considerable price to pay. Although this process sometimes faced harsh criticism from contemporary authors, there was no call for the abolition of the institution of military slavery. In the process, the Arab population got more and more used to the rule of the Turkish military upper class. Their rule was seen as God-given and was never really challenged by, for example, large uprisings. Moreover, there was the prejudice expressed by authors like Abu Hamid al-Qudsi (d. 888/1483) at the end of the ninth/ fifteenth century, that Arab Egyptians were unmartial people and not able to protect themselves. Therefore the Turks would cheer-fully shoulder the burden of the holy war and devote their lives to the defence

of the community of believers. 14 Even the Arabs themselves felt that they were not as capable of upholding the banner of Islam as powerfully as the

610

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Taxation and armies

Turks were. It was the military conquests of the Turks that made the Arabs stand out among other peoples. The Arabs themselves based their prestige upon the circumstance that they were the chosen people in religious matters through the authority of the Arab Prophet Muhammad. Thus, after the Mamluk sultan al-Ashraf Khalil (r. 689-93/1290-3) had conquered Acre from the Crusaders in 690/1291, he was praised in a panegyric: 'Praise be to God, the nation of the cross has fallen. Through the Turks the religion of the chosen Arabs has triumphed.' 15 In order to achieve such military triumphs though, the Turkish slave soldiers had to be paid. The iqta system proved to be very convenient for this purpose, as it was not the land directly but the income of the land-tax which was given to the soldiers, thus limiting the soldiers' control over the peasants. The use of iqta became widespread under the regime of the Buyid Mu'izz al-Dawla (r. 334-56/946-67), when iqta's were only handed out to the military commanders and to the Turkish military slaves. Payment in cash was reduced to a minimum. 1

By the time the Buyids were replaced by the Turcoman dynasty of the Saljuqs, in the middle of the fifth/eleventh century, the iqta system was so

widespread that its abolishment was not an issue anymore. The Saljuq vizier Nizam al-Mulk (d. 485/1092) laid down the fundamental laws of the iqta system in his work on the structure of government, *Siyasat-nama*. The concessionaire (muqta) of an iqta had to accept that none of the population of his iqta were directly under his control. He had no rights over the land itself or over the peasants living on the iqta land. Instead, his benefits only included the financial revenue from his iqta. The revenue of the individual muqta was calculated on the basis of an assumed revenue per year (*Hbra*) for a certain area of land. The connections between the muqta and the land he 'owned' were minimal. He would be garrisoned in a town, in most cases far away from his iqta and all that mattered was that the agreed revenue kept coming. Contemporary authors often lamented the drawbacks of this system. The state lost control of the agricultural sector and, at the same time, there were allegedly no investments which could improve the productivity. The farmers were guaranteed a certain amount of independence, which enabled them to live without too much interference of the muqt. Furthermore, the rights over an iqta land were not inheritable, and as such could fall back to the state at any time. After the original tenant had died, the iqta went to a new generation of imported military slaves instead of the children of the former iqta holder. Furthermore, most iqta tenants were not given their iqta for life, but rather for a certain period.

The Saljuqs extended the iqta system from Iran and Iraq to Syria, where they ruled from the fifth/eleventh century. A mamluk (military slave) of the

The New Cambridge History of Islam

sultan Malikshah (r. 465-85/1072-92), named Aq Sunqur (white falcon), was appointed governor of Aleppo in 480/1087. His son Abu '1-Muzaffar Zangi became ruler of Mosul and Aleppo (r. 521-41/1127-46). 19 At that time a young Kurdish officer called Salah al-Din Yusuf ibn Ayyub, later to become Sultan Saladin (r. 567-89 /1171-93), was in the service of the Zangid dynasty of Aleppo. Saladin's father Ayyub had come from the region near Erivan and had entered the service of the amir Abu '1-Muzaffar Zangi of Aleppo. Ayyub belonged to a reservoir of freelance horse-warrior mercenaries. Ethnic ties or social origins were not important for a successful career within the Saljuq empire. Together with manumitted mamluks, the mercenaries constituted the backbone of the Muslim armies fighting against the Crusaders.

When a Zangid army entered Egypt at the end of the sixth/ twelfth century, Saladin was amongst them in company of his uncle Shirkuh (d. 564/1169). After his uncle's death Saladin took over command of the army. Two years later he declared himself independent from his Zangid overlords, abolished the Fatimid caliphate and began to install the Saljuq iqta' system. The Fatimids had until then retained the original iqta' system, according to which a muqta' was not obliged to render military service in exchange for the rights to an iqta'. Furthermore, the old 'ushr/kharaj system was still in place, according to which a landlord could levy the kharaj tax on his land and hand only the smaller 'ushr tax (the tithe) to the state. Saladin abolished this system and introduced the Buyid and Saljuq military iqta' an adequate option for an army with a high

proportion of military slaves. The change to the new system was rendered all the more easy by the fact that the Fatimid administration had already developed methods for calculating the annual revenue (Hbra) of agricultural land. 20 Despite the existing records it took some more years of reforms before the system had been completely adjusted. Therefore Saladin undertook a cadastral survey to determine annual revenues in the years 571-6 /1176-81 and it was calculated that the Chancery of the Army (diwan al-jaysh) could afford to maintain in officers, 6,976 heavy horsemen and 1,553 light cavalymen. 21

The Ayyubids introduced another novelty. For the hypothetical tax revenue (Hbra) which represented the average tax revenue of bad and good income results of previous years a fictitious accounting unit was introduced, the army dinar (dinar jayshi). The army dinar was thereby composed out of a fluctuating value of cash and contributions in kind. Ibn Mammati (d. 606/1209) reports for the time of Saladin that the value of the dinar jayshi was Va dinar and 1 irdabb (90 litres) of cereals.

In contrast to the Mamluks later, the Ayyubids allowed the inheritance of iqtti's, especially in the Syrian part of their empire, thus following the Zangid

612

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Taxation and armies

example. In newly conquered Egypt though, inheritance of iqta c s can only be

found three times, which shows that the Ayyubids tried to limit this practice,
as it was deemed detrimental to the battle readiness of the army. 23

The introduction of the iqta' system in Ayyubid Egypt made it the prevalent taxation method in the Middle East. It even survived the Mongol conquest of Iran and Iraq in the seventh/thirteenth century. The Mongols first abolished the iqta' system for a short while, but as the agricultural sector declined, it was reinstalled. The Ilkhan Hülegü (r. 654-63/1256-65) had begun to distribute grazing land (yurt) to his soldiers following the Mongol conquest. They certainly needed it for their three or four horses, which they used in battle. Apparently the Mongol soldiers had only the right to use the agricultural products and not the land itself. Therefore it is not clear whether there existed a difference between the yurt and the iqta'. The Ilkhan Ghazan Khan (r. 694-703/1295-1304) reinstalled the iqta' system. He also tried several administrative reforms to bring the peasants back to the devastated countryside. 24

The iqta' system continued in post-Mongol Persia. Under the Timurids, who ruled over Persia in the ninth/fifteenth century, it became more and more hereditary. The iqta' was now called suyurghal, a word of Mongolian origin meaning 'favour', a system that continued in Iran at least until the twelfth/eighteenth century. 25 Apparently the suyurghal entailed more administrative and legal rights for the holder than the iqta'.

Military slavery and the iqta' system at its peak:
the Mamluk example

In Egypt the combination of military slavery and iqta' reached its peak after the ascension of the Mamluk dynasty. The last Ayyubid sultan, al-Salih Ayyub (r. 637-47/1240-9), had imported Turcoman military slaves (mamluks) by the hundreds. When his son tried to expel them from their positions, the mamluks

revolted and took over the empire. They then copied the recruitment system of their former masters, and continued to import children from the Qipchaq steppes, in order to train them in Cairo. 27 It was essential that the young mamluks were bought outside the Islamic realm. That way, they learnt the principles of Islam as well as the art of horse riding (furusiyya). Upon the completion of their studies they were officially manumitted, and received a horse and an iqta c assignment out of the iqta's of their masters. As the mamluks formed a social elite within the surrounding Arab society, they were the only ones allowed to ride on horses, among several other privileges. Their knowledge of the Arabic language was rudimentary. They spoke Turkish among themselves and carried

613

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Turkish names, with a clear separation between the mamluk military community and the Arab civil society. The sons of the mamluks, the awXad al-nas - 'wanderers between two worlds' as the link between the Mamluks and the Arabs - were not allowed to the highest positions in the army and had to fight among other 'free-borns' even if they still enjoyed a privileged social status. 2

The Mamluks' victories against Crusaders and the Mongols granted them a high reputation among their subjects. As the mostly Turkish-born mamluks defeated their Central Asian Mongol 'cousins' in 658/1260, Abu Shama (d. 665/

1268) stated that 'against any (evil) thing there is a cure from its own kind' (wa-li-kulli shayHn afatun min jinsihi): 2 ' 9 both mamluks and Mongols were fierce horse warriors.

As for the details of iqta' practice in Mamluk times, we know neither how much military service the muqta' had to do in exchange for being granted an iqta' nor the exact percentages the muqta' was paid in kind or in cash. Contemporary sources, however, indicate that the tax revenue of the iqta' would have been paid to the muqta 1 using both methods. 30 Al-Qalqashandi (d. 821/1418) gives a very short description of the iqta' system in his handbook on Mamluk administration:

In this empire, the iqtd's are being given to amirs and the troops. In general, the iqta' over which the muqta' can exert his right of taxation, consists of land and villages, about which he can decide according to his own good. Sometimes this includes amounts of money to be gained from the revenue, but this is rather unusual. 31

At the beginning, the Mamluks had adopted the Ayyubid system. Most prominently, the Mamluk sultan Baybars (r. 658-76/1260-77) handed outiqta's in much the same way the Ayyubid sultans had done. 32 According to al-Qalqashandi, the best land was given to the leading amirs; for them each iqta' would include between one and ten villages. A second class of iqta' was given to the simple rank and file of the mamluk soldiers. Up to three mamluks would have to share here the rights of a village. Free-born soldiers, who were called ajnad al-halqa ('soldiers of the ring'), and who consisted of Kurds, Arabs and the sons oimamluk soldiers (awlad al-nas), were given a third class of iqta'. In the latter case, the number of soldiers sharing an iqta' would be bigger, and thus the respective shares smaller. These kinds of iqta' were also handed out to local auxiliary troops, which were garrisoned in the provinces in order to complete special military duties for the sultans. 33

At the beginning of his reign, Baybars had to deal with the last Crusader states, pushing the Franks out of Syria, and keeping the Mongols away from it.

614

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Taxation and armies

In this geo-strategic situation, Baybars had other priorities than reforming the iqta' system. It seems he distributed the land conquered from the Crusaders among his amirs following only partially the iqta' system. Part of the land was given to the amirs in the form of property (milk), and in some cases he agreed upon iqta's being inherited. 34 These extraordinary measures should be put into the context of the consolidation of Mamluk rule. For example, one of the most fundamental laws of Mamluk administration was not yet articulated: that power could not be inherited, that it had to be handed down, in the sultan's case, to the first subsequent generation of mamluks, and not to the sultan's son. The decision against inheritance marked a clear departure from the Ayyubid practice. At the same time, the new Mamluk system boasted a Chancery for the Army (diwan al-jaysh), which was the central administrative office for the government of iqta' 1 ? 5

Under Sultan Qalawun (r. 678-89/1279-90), the iqta' system seems to have become more rigid and organised. Qalawun ordered his governors to draw up detailed lists of the revenue of individual iqta's in their provinces. Then he

pushed for an increase of this revenue in order to assure the regular payment of the muqta's. 36 Qalawun explained to his son al-Malik al-Salih how he was to govern Egypt during his father's absence:

As far as the secretaries of the muqta's of the amirs and the soldiers are concerned, the prince [al-Malik al-Salih] shall strengthen their hand, so that the law shall be obeyed. The prince shall in no case let the dealings with the muqta's out of sight, lest he damages the readiness of the amirs and soldiers for their military service. 37

In other words, the control of the iqta' revenue was of heightened interest to the sultan.

The first massive reform attempt of the iqta' system in Mamluk times came in 697/1298 and was undertaken by the sultan Husam al-Din Lajin al-Mansuri (r. 696-98/1296-99). The reforms were named after the old Egyptian word for land distribution, rok, which is why it became known as al-rawk al-husami. 38 This was the first land registration since the days of Sultan Saladin 120 years earlier. Husam al-Din Lajin al-Mansuri's reform abolished the so-called himaya (protection). Under it, the iqta's of the free-born cavalry troops (the ajnad al-halqa) were being controlled by the leading amirs, who, however, only gave part of the revenue from the land to the troops, keeping most of it for themselves. Unfortunately for the ajnad al-halqa, the positive effect of the abolition of the himaya was outweighed by the negative impact of the main aspect of the reform. While the ajnad al-halqa were now able to collect the tax

The New Cambridge History of Islam

of their iqta directly, the cultivable sizes of the various tenures on the whole were reduced, thus also making an impact on the revenue of the ajnad al-halqa and the amirs. The amount of land handed out as iqta 1 was reduced from twenty twenty-fourths of the total available land to eleven twenty-fourths. The sultan kept four twenty-fourths for himself and earmarked the remaining nine twenty-fourths of the land for the new generation of military slaves who were slowly entering the ranks. Additionally, these reforms reduced the influence of the already installed Mamluk amirs, thereby strengthening the political pull of the sultan's new household slaves. This in turn brought the sultan into conflict with many of the established amirs, who had seen their powers seriously curtailed, which eventually resulted in the sultan's murder in 698/ 1299. 39

Even if this specific reform was aborted, the idea of reforming the iqta 1 in order to ensure a more equal share of the iqta' between the ruling sultan's mamluks and the mamluks of his predecessor would eventually survive. It was in the interest of the individual sultans, and in the interest of the Mamluk state, to prevent violent fights from erupting over the repartition of land. In the ensuing reform process, the ajnad al-halqa lost their influence in the military and ceased to be a main force in the Mamluk empire. Sultan al-Nasir Muhammad (r. 693/1293, 698-708/1299-1309, 709-41 /1310-41) actively promoted reforms of the iqta 1 system, and al-rawk al-nasiri was named after him. The rawk was first applied in Syria in 713/1313, save Tripoli, where it was introduced in 717/1317, and Aleppo, where it was introduced in 725/1325. In Egypt, the reform was applied in 715/1315. The sultan's share of the cultivable land of each iqta 1 was increased from four to ten twenty-fourths, a

share from which the sultan was supposed to pay his own mamluks. The established amirs and the ajnad al-halqa received fourteen twenty-fourths of the available iqta land, which was three twenty-fourths more than they had been given under the al-rawk al-husami, but still six twenty-fourths less than they had had under the old iqta system. This time the reform seems to have been accepted by the amirs. Al-Nasir Muhammad managed to make the sultan

financially independent from the established amirs and thus to strengthen his

40
position.

There were exceptions to the rule. In the Mount Lebanon area, the way in

which the rawk was being applied would have meant that many local soldiers

would have seen a reduction of the size of their iqta's. These soldiers and their

clans, like the Buhturid family south of Beirut, had as their duty the protection

and defence of the Lebanese coastline in exchange for their iqta's. The rawk

would have meant reducing the liberties and effectiveness of the local military

616

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Taxation and armies

structures. The Buhturid leader Nasir al-Din al-Husayn (d. 751/1350) went to Damascus and asked for the Buhturids and their troops to be exempted from the rawk, pointing to the vital role the Buhturids had played in the defence of the Lebanese coastline, even without being paid out of the Mamluk state's treasury. If the territory of the Buhturids was to be included in the rawk reforms, it would bring about the ruin of the family and the region, and this would weaken the sultan's influence in the area considerably. The sultan eventually officially exempted the Buhturids from the rawk. 41 This affair, known only from one source written by a member of the Buhturid family, suggests that there were other exceptions to the rule and that putting the rawk into action in the Mamluk empire was a difficult task. Many of the more established amirs would have conspired against the sultan in order to increase their revenue, but none of these plots seem to have been fruitful, as the sultan remained in office until his natural death in 741/1341.

The rawk was accompanied by the tendency to split up the iqta's of the amirs geographically. By dispersing the iqta's all over Egypt and Syria, the sultan avoided that the amirs could obtain control over a large portion of connected territories. This would have meant a considerable increase of the power-base of the amirs. Simultaneously the most important iqta's of the sultan were concentrated near the capital in the province of Giza. 42

The rawk remained in action after the sultan's death, without any indication of any later rawk. A renewed rawk would have had to deal with shrinking revenue in the middle of the eighth/fourteenth century, when the plague depopulated whole areas of the Mamluk empire, severely reducing agricultural production, and thus also the revenue. 43 At the same time, a document dated from 767/1366 reveals that the implication of iqta 1 seems to have differed from the theoretical aspects. This decree put emphasis on the legal theory of iqta' by ordering the amirs to keep only 'their' third of the revenue, and to hand the other two-thirds to their soldiers. This means that the practice was

quite different. Additionally, the Army Chancery (diwan al-jaysh) seems to have lost count of the army's effective strength around that time. The state therefore had no means to determine how many troops each amir had, a failure that severely impeded its ability to calculate the shares of iqta 1 , for which knowing the number of troops was vital. 44 In the early ninth /fifteenth century, the decrease in revenue of the iqtaC also led to a reduction in the number of amirs and mamluk soldiers. 45 Estimates range from a maximum of about 12,000 mamluk soldiers during the first half of the eighth/fourteenth century, to a number between 4,000 and 6,000, a decrease that mirrored the decline of the population. 46

617

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Under Sultan Barsbay (r. 825-41/1422-38), the mamluks became dissatisfied with their revenues and several crises erupted, 47 the harbingers of a development still to come that contributed significantly to the economic downfall of the Mamluk empire.

Between the seventh/thirteenth and the tenth/ sixteenth centuries, agricultural revenue sank from 9 million to 2 million dinars. At the same time, the depopulation caused by the plague meant that salaries for the surviving millers, porters and craftsmen exploded between 761/1360 and 823/1420. In Syria, the situation was even worse as it was ravaged after Timur's (d. 807/

1405) attacks by clashes between mamluk officers. Funds which could have been used to revitalise the agriculture and economy were spent instead on acquiring new mamluks. 48

In this period of uncertainty and falling income, the struggle for redistribution erupted into open fighting among the muqta's. Amirs and mamluks attempted to take away iqta l s from the awlad al-nas. According to Ibn Iyas (d. c. 930/1524), himself one of the awlad al-nas, mamluks entered the houses of the awlad al-nas in 914/1508 and beat them up in order to take away their iqta' documents. Ibn Iyas lost his iqtac to four mamluks, but recovered it later. 49

It looks as if the newly arrived mamluks had become envious of the awlad al-nas' iqta's, even though the share of iqta l s belonging to the individual awlad al-nas had continuously decreased in the ninth/fifteenth century. 50 Nonetheless, the land which was controlled by the awlad al-nas had continuously increased in the ninth/fifteenth century. This was because many iqta's had been transformed into religious foundations (waqfs) shortly before the death of the respective iqtac holder, thus preserving these domains to their heirs, i.e. the awlad al-nas, who then served as custodians for the endowments. In theory, this should have prevented these former iqta' lands from being reclaimed by the authorities, as they were now officially God's land. 51 According to Islamic law, only property (milk) could be transformed into a religious foundation (waqf). However, the system had a loophole used by many muqta c s. The iqta' was handed back to the state, only to be bought back shortly afterwards by the previous muqta\ For the state, this meant a financial gain in the short run, but at the same time it lost valuable land in the long term, land which could have been used to maintain the army by distributing new iqta's to mamluk soldiers.

Additionally, Islamic law prevented the state from taking back the land, so that it was irrevocably lost for the iqta system. The privatisation of the land developed fast, and at the beginning of the tenth/sixteenth century, ten twenty-fourths of the overall cultivable land was already transformed into waqf property, thereby leaving only fourteen twenty-fourths for the iqta'

618

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Taxation and armies

system of the Mamluk state. 52 For Lucian Reinfandt, this rapid increase of the waqf 'land means that by the end of the Mamluk sultanate the iqta system had been undermined, if not factually replaced by the system of religious endowments. 53 Thus, even though the amirs and mamluks managed to provide their heirs with property in the long run, the potential for frustration multiplied tenfold within the community of the newly arrived mamluks, who saw themselves as being deprived of their rightful means of subsistence, especially since their regular salaries and bonuses, paid in addition to the granting of the iqta, began to be distributed less frequently. 54 The plundering of the Cairo markets for two days by mamluk soldiers after the bonus payments had not been paid in the spring of 916/1510 seems to have been a logical consequence of this fiscal policy. 55 The iqta system had ceased to function effectively and, even if the much-needed reforms had been implemented by 916/1510, the Ottoman conquest in 923/1517 could hardly have been stopped. Nevertheless, the duality of military slavery and the iqta system had been an important factor for the inner stability of the Mamluk empire. The concept of non-

inheritance of the iqta' was well suited to the needs of a military system in which the next generation of soldiers was bought on the slave markets in Central Asia, by insuring that there was enough land available to maintain them. Only when this system started to fail in the ninth/fifteenth century did the mamluk army fall into decay as well.

Some like it central: the Ottoman approach to taxation and armies

The Ottoman military superiority over the Mamluks at the beginning of the tenth/ sixteenth century was not only based on the decay of the Mamluk iqta c system. Other aspects played a vital role as well. First, the reluctance of the mamluks to use firearms, which they regarded as contrary to their pride as horse warriors, hindered their effectiveness against the Ottoman army, which boasted an infantry equipped with firearms. 56 Second, the failure of the Mamluks to develop a successful naval policy, thereby leaving the eastern Mediterranean in the hands of European and later, starting in the second half of the ninth/fifteenth century, Ottoman fleets. 57 Unlike the Mamluks, the Ottomans sultans displayed far more flexibility in coping with and adjusting to new military developments in the ninth/fifteenth century, a flexibility which gave them a huge advantage in their struggle against the Mamluks.

The Ottoman empire had emerged out of the bankrupt Saljuq empire in western Anatolia at the end of the seventh/thirteenth century. In the first

decades of the Ottoman conquests, c Othman I distributed land to his relatives and military leaders. Until then, soldiers had received only part of the booty, but through this distribution of land a sort of feudal aristocracy began to develop in the early years of the eighth/fourteenth century. 58 Under Orkhan (r. 724-61/1324-60), military expansion continued in Anatolia and the Ottoman armies even crossed into Europe. While the practical solution of distributing land to officers as a means of paying them apparently continued, new troops were only paid during military campaigns. While not on campaign, soldiers were able to cultivate farms which had been given to them by the government as a means of subsistence.

This system of paying soldiers continued until 769/1368 when Murad I (r. 761-91/ 1360-89) introduced the timdr payment system. Under this system, every experienced soldier received portions of land, called timdr (care, attention), which resembled the Saljuq iqtd 1 in that the holder of a timdr only received the tax income from the land in exchange for military service. Despite these resemblances, Josef Matuz argues that the timdr system evolved independently from the Saljuq iqta'. 59

Another area where the Ottoman military system differed from the Mamluk practice was the issue of military slavery. The Ottomans did not have to buy slaves because they captured enough youths in the constant warfare of the eighth/ fourteenth century to fill their ranks. It was probably during the reign of Sultan Murad I that the Ottomans started to keep a fifth (pencik) of the young prisoners of war in order to man an elite infantry corps, the janissaries (yeni geri, meaning new troops). The youths were first sent to live with Turkish families in Anatolia, where they worked on farms, learned Turkish and were exposed to Islamic popular practices. After acculturation and physical maturation, they were put through military training and joined the corps. Like young mamluk soldiers, janissaries had to live in the barracks after completion of their training and they were not allowed to marry, which

isolated them from the local society. Completely loyal to the sultan, they were fierce warriors who did not fear death on the battlefield. Their brutal battle style spread terror among the European armies in the Balkans, just as the mamliiks had done to the Crusaders a hundred years earlier.

Following the Ottoman defeat at the battle of Ankara in 804/1402, Sultan Mehmed I (r. 816-25 /1413-21) reunited and stabilised the empire. In the following period, the sultans apparently attempted to centralise the control of all the land within their realm. In 883/1478 Sultan Mehmed II (r. 848-50/1444-6 and 855-86/1451-81), the conqueror of Constantinople, tried to 'sultanise' all arable land, in the words of Baber Johansen. With only few exceptions, all

620

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Taxation and armies

arable land was regarded as state property (mm); even the land of religious endowments was not spared.

Although Bayezid II (r. 886-917/ 1481-1512) handed back some of the pious foundations, the Ottoman sultans clearly regarded all the land within their empire as state property. As a result, the Ottoman empire of the ninth/fifteenth and tenth/ sixteenth centuries witnessed a very high grade of centralisation and the development of a high administrative efficiency, well attested in the military and in the civilian sectors.

Apparently in the early ninth/fifteenth century or even slightly before, the

method to recruit janissaries was changed to satisfy the increasing demand for troops. The new practice was called devshirme (collection of youths). It meant that Christian subjects living in the Balkans had to pay a human tribute to the sultan in the form of a certain number of young boys. This tribute was irregular in size and frequency of occurrence. The youths were converted to Islam, trained as soldiers and incorporated into the janissaries. The most able also had the chance to be enrolled in the palace school instead of joining the military. This represented a unique opportunity for the converted Christian boys, whose education would enable them to rise to the highest offices within the Ottoman empire. Although the devshirme certainly caused considerable distress, it offered significant advantages to the chosen children. One important point of criticism, however, was the legal aspect of this practice of enslavement.

The Mamluks, in order to be in accordance with Islamic law, had always made sure that the young military slaves they imported came from outside the Islamic realm and were not already Muslim. The Ottomans proceeded illegally in this respect, as it was forbidden to enslave Christians within the Muslim realm. According to the Qur'an, Christians, as 'People of the Book, should pay the special head-tax, but should be granted protection. However, while this question remained a theoretical topic among jurists of the tenth/sixteenth century, the practice went on unchanged. The Mamluks practised the enslavement of young non-Muslims for the army legally, but the Ottomans got it much cheaper.

By the beginning of the tenth/sixteenth century, the timar system appeared in a standardised form. Land was divided into private property, religious endowments and land at the disposal of the sultan, the latter category being by far the largest percentage and the one from which the sultan would eventually distribute land as timar. It was split into three categories: the

smallest category was the timar, with a value up to 20,000 aqches (Ottoman silver coin) per year. The minimum income for which a timar holder was

621

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

obliged to serve in the military lay around 3,000 aqches. With this sum he was not obliged to equip other armed men as well. The second category known as ze'amet went to higher officers in the army and gave an income of 20,000 to 100,000 aqches, and the third category (khass) was the largest, with an annual income of more than 100,000 aqches, and was reserved for viziers, provincial governors and other Ottoman dignitaries of the highest class.

Usually, the timar holder obtained a village or a group of villages as timar. He was entitled only to the tax income of the peasants. Like the iqtcC, the timar did not grant to the holder additional rights over the peasants. Two differences, though, were that the timar holder was responsible for keeping public order on his timar and that he was supposed to reside on the timar land itself or at least in the province of the timar.

How effective the influence of the timar holder was on agricultural production is a matter of debate. Josef Matuz argues that the timar holder only appeared in order to collect his rent and the state tax, which he was to render to the authorities, whereas Colin Imber stresses a regular presence of the timar holder on his land. 63

One significant characteristic of the timar, similar to the iqta system, was that it could not be inherited. However, there was a system to take care of the children of a dead timar holder and in a few cases the timar of a deceased holder was passed on to his sons. Another resemblance to the iqta' lay in the fact that the peasants were free and not serfs to a feudal overlord. It has been argued that the timar system also bore a striking resemblance to the Byzantine institution of pronoia, which literally means 'care, attention', because of the use of similar terms and measurement sizes. In the pronoia system, as in the timar system, land was revocable and the soldiers did not obtain ownership. The Ottoman rulers would have become familiar with pronoia while campaigning in the Byzantine Balkans. 64

While a Byzantine origin seems plausible, the division of timar land into three categories resembles what the Mamluks did. Moreover, the Ottomans started the same system of recruitment of soldiers as military slaves as the Mamluks had done, and the Ottomans must have been acquainted with the system since some of the military slave trade routes ran through their territory. So we can assume that the Ottomans took elements of existing systems in order to create something new that they found appropriate for their state. In any case, the resemblances of pronoia, iqta' and timar are striking and underline the fact that the grant of tax revenue of land and not the land itself was a dominant feature in the payment of the military in the Middle East at least from the fourth/tenth century and Buyid times.

Taxation and armies

However, a large part of state land was not handed out to timar holders but remained under the central fiscal administration, which tried to increase the revenues from this land. During the reign of Sultan Mehmed II (r. 855-86/1451-81) the iltizam (tax-farm) model was installed alongside the timar system.

The tax-farmer was responsible for collecting the taxes of a certain region. Not only did he receive a salary, but everything he collected above the amount which he had to give to the state remained in his pocket. Therefore tax-farming turned out to be very lucrative for the iltizam holder - so much so that the government auctioned the rights of tax-farming among interested merchants. In the long run this would turn out to harm the timar system. 65

Nevertheless, at the beginning of the tenth /sixteenth century the timar system was still very much functioning. Using the timar system, a large and permanent force of cavalymen could be maintained which could be easily gathered during the campaigning seasons. Until the late tenth/ sixteenth century, Ottoman armies were largely constituted of these timar holders. The potential effective size of such a timar army was around 100,000 soldiers, thus representing a huge army by the standards of the tenth/ sixteenth century and by far larger than the contemporary mamluk forces, which consisted of about 6,000 professional mamluk slave soldiers and approximately 20,000 auxiliary troops. Such a huge army had to be maintained and the Ottoman empire developed a huge appetite for new land. The whole administration relied on further expansion - this being a striking difference from the Mamluks, who had never really tried to expand their sultanate after the early victories against Crusaders and Mongols.

At the beginning of the eleventh/ seventeenth century, the Ottoman expansion-

sion came to a halt and the Ottomans suffered defeats at the hands of the Austrian Habsburg and Iranian Safavid armies. Contemporary authors blamed the deteriorating condition of the provinces, which were also torn by revolts, as a reason for this development. Interestingly, timar holders also joined the revolts. Their income seems to have been damaged by a general drop in agricultural production at that time.

The Ottoman authorities tried to solve their financial problems by reducing the silver percentage of the *aqche* by almost 50 per cent. The janissaries could not be fooled, however, and a revolt of the soldiers against the debased coinage was the result. Therefore the government adopted a different approach to solve its financial problems. The authorities transformed timar land into tax-farms. By doing so the government increased its revenue at the expense of the peasantry, whose situation deteriorated.

Another development also harmed the existence of the timar cavalrymen. The wars with the Habsburg empire at the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century

623

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

had shown that the Ottoman provincial cavalrymen were inferior to the Austrian infantry. The lesson the Ottoman authorities learned was that they had to increase the size of their infantry forces, such as the janissaries, as firearms became more and more important. This transformation proved to be problematic, as it required the central government to fund an increasing share of military expenses through cash payments to the infantry in place of relying

on timars to pay for the provincial cavalry. The cavalry (the sipahi army) lost its position as the backbone of the Ottoman army to infantry regiments.

The main problem in this evolution was not that the devshirme as recruiting tool increasingly lost its importance, thus changing the ethnic composition of the military, but that the arduous training accompanying the devshirme was abandoned. The ranks of the janissaries were swollen therefore with ill-trained soldiers and they became the largest military body in the Ottoman army.

67

Moreover, timars became more hereditary and were assigned in increasing numbers to members of the civilian administration. As a result, fewer timars supported cavalymen, but more supported men from the administration. The collapse of the old Umayyad system and its increasing replacement by tax-farming became evident by the mid-eleventh/ seventeenth century. This transformation led to a decentralisation of the empire and was commonly seen by scholars as an important step towards the beginning of the end of the Ottoman empire.

In recent publications, however, it is disputed whether the shift from the timar system to tax-farming and other forms of a more direct personal taxation really represented a symptom of decline or whether it was a sign of a successful adaptation to new circumstances and helped the Ottoman empire to stay competitive with its neighbours. The common picture of the Ottoman empire as the 'sick man of the Bosphorus' is mainly a back projection from the nineteenth century CE and historians have then assumed the beginning of an Ottoman decline from the mid-tenth/ sixteenth century onwards, and every evolution which happened in the empire afterwards as part of the overall decline, which then fitted the general line of argumentation. However, this would mean a period of 350 years of decline, a period which is even longer than the total existence of the Mamluk empire. How could such a weak empire achieve such huge efforts, like, for example, the second siege of Vienna in 1094/

1683? 69 It will suffice here to remark that by the twelfth/eighteenth century there had been a distinct shift within the Ottoman empire from the timdr system to tax-farming, and from provincial cavalry to foot soldiers, mainly janissaries, where the initial recruiting system of the janissaries had been altered and more and more free-born Muslims from Anatolia joined their rank

624

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Taxation and armies

Iqta's for tribes: the situation in the Muslim west

The history of land tenure in the Maghrib remains a topic which is in need of much more research. Developments concerning taxation and the military were distinctively different from those in the Muslim east. This can certainly be attributed at least in part to the fact that the link between the Muslim east and the Maghrib was considerably loosened after the downfall of the Fatimids in Egypt in the sixth/twelfth century. In connection with what has been discussed so far, two aspects will be dealt with: military slavery and the iqta c system.

To speak about military slaves in the context of the Maghrib means first of all to speak about the enslavement of Slavs. These Slav slaves, generally known as saqaliba, came to al-Andalus as early as the third/ninth century. It seems that they were pagan Slavs captured by the Franks in eastern campaigns and then sold to the Muslims of al-Andalus. Some of them were even exported further to the Muslim east. Among those who stayed in al-Andalus, the most were

employed in the palace and civil administration in different capacities, with numbers that are said to have increased from 3,750 to 13,750 during the reign of Abd al-Rahman III (r. 300-50/912-61), the first Cordoban Umayyad caliph. But only a small number appear to have been employed as military slaves. 70 After the downfall of the Umayyad caliphate in al-Andalus, some saqaliba founded short-lived Taifa kingdoms. The same development occurred in Fatimid Egypt. The famous conqueror of Egypt in 358/969, the Fatimid commander Jawhar, was himself of the saqaliba. After the fifth/ eleventh century, the number of saqaliba decreased. One factor was that the Balkans had stabilised, at least for the time being, and the purchase of slaves became more difficult; another factor was the growing importation of Turkish military slaves, which led to a decrease in the number of the saqaliba in Egypt. 71

With the diminishing importance of the saqaliba, large-scale military slavery disappeared from Maghribi armies. The armies were now formed out of local tribes. The great Berber empires of the Almoravids and the Almohads give the best examples of this transformation. It was not until the eleventh /seventeenth century that Mawlay Isma'il (r. 1082-1139/ 1672-1727), the ruler of the Moroccan 'Alawi dynasty, set military slavery on the agenda again. He purchased large numbers of black slaves from all over the country for his army. These soldiers were trained and then helped to expel the Spaniards from al-Mahdiyya and Larache as well as the English from Tangiers in 1092-1100/ 1681-9. Estimates speak of up to 50,000 'abid (slave) soldiers at the end of his reign. Despite this success, tribal and religious

The New Cambridge History of Islam

leaders protested against the practice of using black slaves. According to them, a slave should not be used for the jihad and free Muslims should not be

enslaved. Behind this criticism stood the idea that Mawlay Isma'il had reduced the influence of the proud tribes. Therefore, the project of the black slave army was no longer pursued after the death of Isma'il and this

army vanished. Morocco once again fell into two parts - the party of the government (makhzan) and the land of dissent' (bilad al-siba). 72

Nevertheless,

household slavery and smaller military slave soldier units still existed in Morocco until modern times. 73

In the Ottoman states in North Africa, janissaries played a prominent role,

but they belonged to the Ottoman system and cannot be portrayed here as

Maghribi military slaves; moreover, janissaries were increasingly recruited

from Anatolian Muslims and not from the Balkan Christian population. 74

It is

still interesting to note that from the beginning of the eleventh/seventeenth

century until the second half of the twelfth/eighteenth century, a Turkish-speaking elite composed of several thousand corsairs and janissaries ruled

without difficulty over hundreds of thousands of local inhabitants in the corsair states of North Africa. This accomplishment is reminiscent of that of

the Mamluks of Egypt and Syria.

Land tenure in the Maghrib generally followed the same patterns as in the

east. There were three types of land: privately owned land (milk), religious

endowments (hubs, the Maghribi term for waqf), and the public domain (makhzan). Therefore, the rulers could give the land of the third category as

iqta\ but there was a significant difference between Maghribi-style iqtaC and its

eastern counterpart. It seems that the military iqtaC was a rare exception.

While mercenaries and soldiers apparently received salaries, high officials like

the chief qadi or the head of the chancellery received iqtaCfs in the form of the

tax income of a village. This is quite understandable, given the fact that standing armies in the Maghrib were certainly not very large in numbers and the rulers were dependent on the powerful Berber or Arab tribes for support. And these tribes would certainly not have given their land as *iqta'* to military slaves. Moreover, there are examples of the bestowment of *iqta'* land by the rulers on the tribes. In many cases this land was permanently lost to the ruler as the tribes transformed it into their *milk*.⁷⁵ Therefore the tribal structure of Maghribi society is a key element to understanding the *iqta'* system there. It was not meant to support an elite military force but was structured so as to keep the tribes calm. Importing Turkish slave soldiers would have been a logistic problem as well, and experiments with black military slaves were only short-lived, possibly owing to the fact that the

626

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Taxation and armies

white military slave, the *mamluk*, enjoyed a far higher reputation in the Muslim world than the black household slave, the *'abd*. Therefore, the rulers of the Maghrib had to deal with their tribes concerning the issue of land tenure. In times of a strong central government, *iqta'* land was rendered back to the public domain, and in times of a weak government it became the *milk* of the *muqta'*.

Conclusion

Besides the Bedouin, another social actor, the soldier of slave origins, or the *Mamluk* ... is now, just as much and even more so than the Bedouin, held responsible for the stagnation [of the Islamic world].⁷⁶

With this sentence Jean-Claude Garcin sketches a common view among

scholars working on the Middle East. Garcin does not agree with this view, which he qualifies as somehow Eurocentric.

Like him, I am not in favour of such a statement. The duality of military slavery and its accompanying iqta c I 'ttmar system was too successful for too long a time to be qualified in such a manner. From the implementation of this system by the Buyids until the decay of the timdr system in the Ottoman empire, the iqta c system survived for more than 650 years. Furthermore, nobody nowadays would think of blaming medieval European feudalism for the outbreak of two World Wars in Europe in the twentieth century CE. Thus, one has to be careful when classifying systems which from our point of view seem to be very strange or anachronistic. After all, for the inhabitants of the medieval and early modern Middle East the military slave was a common sight and an everyday reality. The duality of military slavery and the iqtd c system was one concept which was developed to ensure the outer and inner security of Muslim empires. It represented a unique approach of Muslim societies to deal with the aspect of the military and taxation. Therefore, further studies might also concentrate on why this duality is a Muslim phenomenon. Meanwhile one has to stress that this system indeed worked quite well and that, for example, both the Mamluk and the Ottoman societies only began to be out of balance when the original concept of iqtd' and timdr ceased to be implemented and the relationship between taxation and financing the military was not followed through any more. However it should be noted that the Ottoman empire proved to be apparently more flexible in dealing with a new implementation of reforms concerning the military and taxation than the Mamluks had ever been.

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Notes

- i. Karim-Elmahi al-Isma'il, Das islamische Steuersystem vom 7. bis 12. Jahrhundert n. Chr. unter besonderer Berücksichtigung seiner Umsetzung in den eroberten Gebieten, Cologne, 1989, 34.
2. EI2, art. 'Kharadj. In the central and western Islamic lands' (C. Cahen).
3. Al-Isma'il, Das islamische Steuersystem, 170.
4. EI2, art. 'Zakat' (A. Zysow).
5. EI2, art. "Ushr" (T. Sato).
6. Abu Yusuf, Kitab al-kharaj, Cairo, 1962, 14-15; here cited after al-Isma'il, Das islamische Steuersystem, 49.
7. Abu Yusuf, Kitab al-kharaj, 14.
8. Hugh Kennedy, The armies of the caliphs: Military and society in the early Islamic state London, 2001, 59.
9. EI2, art. "Taka" (C. Cahen).
10. Tsugitaka Sato, State and rural society in medieval Islam: Sultans, muqta's and fallahun, Leiden, 1997, 18.
11. Ibid. 30.
12. Hend Gilli-Elewy, 'Soziale Aspekte fruhislamischer Sklaverei', Der Islam, 77 (2000), 116-68, at 154.
13. Ibid. 167.

14. Ulrich Haarmann, 'Rather the injustice of the Turks than the righteousness of the Arabs. Changing 'ulama' attitudes towards Mamluk rule in the late fifteenth century', *SI*, 68 (1988), 61-77, at 70.
15. Ibn al-Furat, Ta'ffeh ibn al-Furat, ed. Qustanrin Zurayq and Najla 'Izz al-Din, vol. VIII, Beirut, 1939, 115.
16. Sato, *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, 18.
17. Nizam al-Mulk, *Das Buch der Staatskunst- Siyasatnama: Gedanken und Geschichten*, trans. Karl Emil Schabinger Freiherr von Schowingen, new edn, Zurich, 1987, 198.
18. *EI2*, art. 'Ikta" (C. Cahen).
19. *EI2*, art. 'Ak Sunkur' (H. Gibb); *EI2*, 'Zangi, Abu'l-Muzaffar' (S. Heidemann).
20. Sato, *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, 10; Heinz Halm, 'Die Fatimiden', in Ulrich Haarmann (ed.), *Geschichte der arabischen Welt*, 4th edn, Munich, 2001, 196.
21. Heinz Halm, 'Die Ayyubiden', in Haarmann (ed.), *Geschichte der arabischen Welt*, 201.
22. Heinz Halm, *Agypten nach den mamlukischen Lehensregistern*, vol. I: *Oberagyp ten und das Fayyum*, Wiesbaden, 1979, 40; Ibn Mammati, *Kitab qawanln al-dawawln*, ed. A. S. Atiya, Cairo, 1943, 369.
23. Sato, *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, 10, 70.
24. Hend Gilli-Elewy, *Bagdad nach dem Sturz des Kalifats: Die Geschichte einer Provinz unter ilhdnischer Herrschaft (656-735/1258-1335)*, Berlin, 2000, 168-70.
25. David Morgan, *Medieval Persia 1040-1797*, 5th edn, London, 1997, 135.
26. *Ibid.* 99; Hans-Robert Roemer, *Persien auf dem Weg in die Neuzeit: Iranische*

Geschichte von 1350-1750, Beirut and Wiesbaden, 1989, 155-7.

628

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Taxation and armies

27. Albrecht Fuess, Verbranntes Ufer: Auswirkungen mamlukischer Seepolitik auf Beirut und die syro-palastinensische Kiiste (1250-1517), Leiden, 2001, 2-3.

28. Ibid. 3; Ulrich Haarmann, 'Der arabische Osten im späten Mittelalter (1250-1517)', in Ulrich Haarmann (ed.), Geschichte der arabischen Welt, 4th edn, Munich, 2001, 222-3.

29. Abu Shama, al-Dhayl 'aid Trawdatayn: Tardjim rijdl al-qarnayn al-sddis wa'l-sdbi', ed. Z. al-Kawthari, 2nd edn, Beirut 2 , 1947, 208.

30. Sato, State and rural society in medieval Islam, 13.

31. Al-Qalqashandi, Subh al-a'shafisind'at al-inshd\ 14 vols., Cairo, 1913-20, vol. IV, 50-1.

32. Sato, State and rural society in medieval Islam, 77.

33. Al-Qalqashandi, Subh al-a'shd, vol. Ill, 453; see also Peter M. Holt, 'The structure of government in the Mamluk sultanate', in Peter M. Holt (ed.), The eastern Mediterranean lands in the period of the Crusades, Warminster, 1977, 52.

34. Robert Irwin, Tata 1 and the end of the Crusader States', in Holt (ed.), The eastern Mediterranean lands in the period of the Crusades, 66-8.

35. Peter M. Holt, The age of the Crusades: The Near East from the eleventh century to

1517, London, 1986, 147.

36. Linda Northrup, *From slave to sultan: The career of al-Mansur Qalawun and the consolidation of Mamluk rule in Egypt and Syria (678-689 A.H./1279-1290 AD)*, Stuttgart, 1998, 267.

37. Paulina Lewicka, "What a king should care about. Two memoranda of the Mamluk sultan on running the state's affairs", *Arabistyczne I Islamistyczne*, 6 (1998), 5-45, at 33 (English translation); 32 (Arabic text).

38. Halm, 'Die Ayyubiden', 201.

39. Sato, *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, 124-34.

40. Amalia Levanoni, *A turning point in Mamluk history: The third reign of al-Nasir Muhammad Ibn Qalawun 1310-1341*, Leiden, 1995, 142; see also Sato, *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, 135-61.

41. Salih ibn Yahya (d. after 839/ 1436), *Ta'rikh Bayrit: Akhbdr al-salaf min dhurriyat Buhtur ibn 'All Amir al-Gharb bi-Bayrut*, ed. Francis Hours and Kamal Salibi, Beirut, 1969, 86-7.

42. Halm, *Agypten*, p. 46.

43. Michael W. Dols, *The Black Death in the Middle East*, Princeton, 1977.

44. Ahmad Darrag, *L'Egypte sous le regne de Barsbay 825-841/1422-1438*, Damascus, 1961, 35.

45. Ibid. 37-9.

46. Haarmann, 'Der arabische Osten im späten Mittelalter', 226.

47. Darrag, *L'Egypte sous le regne de Barsbay*, 42-52.

48. Holt, *The age of the Crusades*, 194; Haarmann, 'Der arabische Osten im späten Mittelalter', 247-8.

49. Ibn Iyas, Bada'i' al-zuhurfiwaqa'i' al-duhur, ed. Mohamed Mostafa, vol. IV, 2nd edn, Cairo and Wiesbaden, 1960, 136; Ibn Iyas, Alltagsnotizen eines dgyptischen Burgers, trans. Annemarie Schimmel, Stuttgart, 1985, 97-8.

629

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

50. Ulrich Haarmann, 'Joseph's law - the careers and activities of mamluk descendants before the Ottoman conquest of Egypt', in Ulrich Haarmann and Thomas Philipp (eds.), The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society, Cambridge, 1998, 69.

51. Lucian Reinfandt, Mamlukische Sultans stiftungen des 9./15. Jahrhunderts: Nach den Urkunden der Stifter al-Asraf Inal und al-Mu'ayyad Ahmad ihn Inal, Berlin, 2003, 27-8.

52. Ibid. 32-6.

53. Ibid. 32.

54. David Ayalon, 'The system of payment in Mamluk military society', in David Ayalon, Studies on the Mamluks of Egypt, London, 1977, vol. VIII, 37-65, 257-96.

55. Ibn Iyas, Bada'i' al-zuhur, vol. IV, 177-8; Ibn Iyas, Alltagsnotizen eines agyptischen Burgers, 120-1.

56. David Ayalon, Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom: A challenge to a medieval society, London, 1956. Besides the reluctance of the Mamluks to fight

with fire weapons it seems now that they simply could not purchase enough for their military; see Robert Irwin, 'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk sultanate reconsidered', in Michael Winter and Amalia Levanoni (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society*, Leiden, 2004, 117-39.

57. Albrecht Fuess, 'Rotting ships and razed harbours: The naval policy of the Mamluks', *Mamluk Studies Review*, 5 (2001), 45-71.

58. Josef Matuz, *Das Osmanische Reich: Grundlinien seiner Geschichte*, 3rd edn, Darmstadt, 1994, 31.

59. *Ibid.* 40, 106.

60. Baber Johansen, *The Islamic law on land tax and rent*, New York, 1988, 81; *Eh*, art. 'Mehemmed II' (H. inalcik).

61. Matuz, *Das Osmanische Reich*, 56.

62. Colin Imber, *The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650: The structure of power*, New York, 2002, 134.

63. Matuz, *Das Osmanische Reich*, 56; Imber, *The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650*, 194.

64. Imber, *The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650*, 194.

65. Matuz, *Das Osmanische Reich*, 56.

66. Rhoads Murphey, *Ottoman warfare, 1500-1700*, New Brunswick, 1999, 37.

67. Cemal Kafadar, 'The question of Ottoman decline', *Harvard Middle Eastern and Islamic Review*, 4 (1997-8), 52.

68. Linda T. Darling, 'Ottoman fiscal administration: Decline or adaptation?', *Journal of European Economic History*, 16, 1 (1997), 158, 160, 172, 176.

69. Kafadar, 'The question of Ottoman decline', 30-75.

70. Hugh Kennedy, *Muslim Spain and Portugal: A political history of al-Andalus*, London, 1996, 86 and especially Mohamed Meouak, *Saqdliba, eunuques et esclaves a la conquete du pouvoir: Geographic et histoire des elites politiques 'marginales' dans VEspagne umayyade*, Helsinki, 2004.

71. Eh, art. 'al-Saqaliba. In the central lands of the Caliphate' (C. E. Bosworth).

72. Peter von Sievers, 'Nordafrika in der Neuzeit', in Haarmann (ed.), *Geschichte der arabischen Welt*, 524-5. See also on the 'abid army Chapter 16 of the present

630

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Taxation and armies

volume: Stephen Cory, 'Sharifian rule in Morocco (tenth-twelfth /sixteenth-eighteenth centuries)'.

73. M. Ennaji, *Soldats, domestiques et concubines: L'esclavage au Maroc au XIX siècle*, Casablanca, 1994.

74. Von Sievers, 'Nordafrika in der Neuzeit', 522.

75. Maya Shatzmiller, 'Unity and variety of land tenure and cultivation patterns in the medieval Maghreb', *Maghreb Review*, 8/1 (1983), 24-8, at 25.

76. Jean-Claude Garcin, 'The mamluk military system and the blocking of medieval Moslem society', in John Baechler et al. (eds.), *Europe and the rise of capitalism*, Oxford, 1988, 113-30, at 114.

631

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

22

Trade

632

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

22A

Muslim trade in the late medieval
Mediterranean world

OLIVIA REMIE CONSTABLE

Introduction

Muslim trade in the Mediterranean world was strikingly different in the later medieval period than it had been in earlier centuries. During the fifth/eleventh century, control of Mediterranean shipping and commerce began to shift from Muslim to Christian hands as a result of crusade, conquest and the growth of the European economy. In the earlier medieval period, Muslim regions of the Mediterranean had been integrated by commerce and communications, even when they were politically divided under the rule of different dynasties. By the sixth/ twelfth century, however, warfare and territorial losses had eroded earlier

Muslim commercial networks, while new European markets and merchants had emerged on the scene. Muslim trade continued in North Africa and Nasrid Granada, as well as in some Christian Mediterranean ports, but the challenges to commerce and communications, and new political and religious divisions, forced Muslim merchants to shift their business affairs and routes of trade. 1

Although Islamic merchants and ships did not disappear from the Mediterranean during the later medieval period, data on Muslim merchants become scarcer than in earlier centuries, and it is clear that their activities became more restricted. Ifriqiya ceased to be an important hub for Muslim merchant traffic by the sixth /twelfth century as their commercial activity increasingly focused on Egypt and eastern Islamic lands. At the same time, while commodities continued to enter the Mediterranean via Alexandria and other eastern ports, these items were mostly purchased by western Christian merchants and carried across the sea on European ships. Thus, whereas much of the southern Mediterranean had once been integrated within the mercantile sphere of the wider Islamic world, by the later middle ages it had become a region of interface between Muslim and Christian trading networks.

From the first/seventh to the fifth /eleventh centuries, trade in the Mediterranean region had been dominated by Muslim and Jewish merchants

633

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

based within the 'abode of Islam' (Mr al-islam). These traders connected

markets between east and west, linking Muslim port cities such as Seville, Tunis, Palermo and Alexandria within an extended commercial sphere that stretched as far east as the Indian Ocean. These businessmen maintained trading ties beyond the Mediterranean, through a broad network of partners, representatives and commercial associates. Muslim traders, pilgrims and other travellers moved freely throughout the Mediterranean, the Near East and beyond, so it was by no means unknown for a merchant based in Egypt to be found trading in al-Andalus and the Maghrib in one year, and then doing business in Aden and India a few years later. 2 Within the Mediterranean, a limited number of Christian ports, including Venice and Amalfi, also had access to Muslim markets and maritime routes by the fourth/tenth century. This European presence would steadily increase in later centuries, as European consumers became more eager for eastern goods, and traders from Genoa, Venice, Barcelona and other Christian cities travelled to Ifriqiya, Egypt, Syria and Byzantium seeking commodities coming from and through Muslim lands. Muslim and Jewish merchants also continued to trade along maritime and overland routes, linking markets in al-Andalus and North Africa with Egypt, but their traffic was more limited than before and competition was greater. Thus, the Mediterranean remained a vital commercial region for trade in the Islamic world, but the context and control of this traffic changed over time. 3

Political and military unrest in the medieval Mediterranean region did not necessarily diminish trade. This is clear, for example, during the Andalusī Taifa period in the fifth /eleventh century, when Muslim merchants flourished despite political and economic disarray. Competition and insecurity of travel were much more of a threat to commerce, and Muslim and Christian governments sometimes worked together to suppress piracy and promote trade despite ongoing hostilities. 4 But because medieval Muslim states in North

Africa and Spain often had different diplomatic and economic goals, commercial affairs in the Muslim Mediterranean were rarely dictated by government policies. Instead, most medieval mercantile theory and practice were negotiated between merchants, consumers, jurists and local officials. Similarities across regions in taxation (for example, the 'ushr, a 10 per cent tax on imports, and the qabala, usually a percentage of receipts after sale), commercial facilities (such as the dlwan and the funduq), coinage, weights and measures and trade structures seem more the result of merchant pragmatism and Islamic law than of any unified trade policy imposed by regional administrations.

Diplomatic sources do indicate an interest in the promotion and protection of trade on the part of Islamic governments, but because most surviving trade

634

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Muslim trade in the medieval Mediterranean

treaties are in European languages, these versions tend to reflect the interests of Christian rather than Muslim traders. Some contain reciprocal clauses, however, as in the case of a Marinid treaty with Pisa in 738/1358, promising that Pisans should treat 'Saracen merchants and sailors coming to Pisa' with the same terms of safe-conduct accorded to Pisans trading in Morocco. 5 Islamic governments often limited the movement of Christian merchants in Muslim territories, usually restricting them to port cities and requiring that they stay and store their goods in official hostels and warehouses. These policies protected access to commodities arriving from the interior (gold,

spices, agricultural products) and promoted Muslim overland commerce. Local governments also had an interest in protecting regional interests, especially in terms of food supply and allocation, either through controlling the price and storage of grain and other staples, or through encouraging the import of necessary supplies. Some Muslim rulers even entered the commercial sphere by owning and leasing merchant ships, perhaps for their own profit. 7

Arabic sources on trade in the medieval Mediterranean are not dissimilar to those for other areas and periods. Prime among these are legal opinions (fatwas), especially the massive collection by the Maghribi jurist al-Wansharisi (d. 914/1508), manuals on contracts (wathaHq), geographical texts and chronicles. Texts dealing with the control of the market (hisba) are likewise useful, but these tend to address local market concerns rather than long-distance trade. Although very few Arabic merchant letters or contracts survive to document trade in the Mediterranean, the vast array of Judeo- Arabic documents preserved in the Cairo Geniza amply address this gap, at least until the seventh /thirteenth century. The many correlations between Geniza evidence and Arabic data, especially in terms of trade practices and partnerships, indicate that Jewish and Muslim merchants operated in similar ways within the dar al-islRm. Also, because the Geniza texts were preserved by accident, there is no reason to believe that Muslim merchant culture was not equally writing-intensive. Chance survivals of medieval Arabic merchant letters and documents, and data from legal texts and contractual handbooks, indicate a reliance on written materials, although these were not routinely preserved for posterity. Even in regions where Muslims came under Christian administration, in Spain and Sicily, Arabic documentary forms continued to be employed. From the middle of the sixth /twelfth century, there are also extensive sources in Latin and other

European languages, including notarial registers, merchant accounts, urban legislation and commercial treaties between Islamic and Christian states, all of which provide data on Muslim trade. 9 Material evidence from coins, textiles,

635

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

ceramics and other durable commodities further enhances our knowledge of late medieval Mediterranean commerce.

Commodities

Throughout the medieval period, trade in the Mediterranean - as elsewhere in other periods - was driven by supply and demand. Ibn Khaldun (d. 808/1406) described commerce as making a profit 'through buying goods at a low price and selling them at a high price, whether these goods consist of slaves, grain, animals, weapons, or clothing material'. 10 Although some merchants took advantage of rising prices by hoarding goods, this practice was frowned upon, especially in the case of foodstuffs. More frequently, merchants made a profit by travelling abroad, since, as Ibn Khaldun also pointed out, 'merchandise becomes more valuable when merchants transport it from one country to another. [Merchants who do so] quickly get rich and wealthy. The same applies to merchants who travel from our country to the East.' 11 Medieval traders always hoped to buy goods at one rate and sell them for a higher price

elsewhere, and they often relied on commercial partners to buy and sell goods abroad, or to supply them with information on distant market conditions. Geniza letters are filled with requests for data on prices or details about shipments of goods. A typical letter from the later fifth /eleventh century, sent from a merchant in Tunisia to a partner in Egypt, noted the arrival of eastern goods, quoted local prices (in both Muslim and European currencies), and made suggestions for future purchases:

The goods sent by you arrived safely through God's grace, namely: two small bales of pepper in the ship of the Sultan, four bales of flax ... and one bale of brazilwood . . . The price of pepper this year is very low, a qintar being sold for twenty-five dinars, one half to be paid in [Sicilian quarter dinars] and the other in Pisan currency . . . This year the price of flax was very low in al-Mahdiyya and in Sicily. However, the spices sold well because of their rarity . . . Lac [goes for] forty, because of its rarity. Sal ammoniac, two manns cost one dinar; its price has fallen by now. Mastic, one qintar, twenty-five dinars. Myrobalan, a mann as from half a dinar: yellow myrobalan, one qintar, ten dinars. Both are in small demand ... As far as [other spices] are concerned, cardamom, aloe and nutmeg - buy whatever God puts into your mind. 12

Muslim consumers purchased goods ranging from expensive spices and luxury textiles to ordinary foodstuffs and everyday fabrics. Demand varied for different commodities, and Ibn Khaldun recommended that a 'merchant who knows his business will travel only with such goods as are generally needed by

Muslim trade in the medieval Mediterranean

rich and poor, rulers and commoners alike. [General need] makes for a large demand in his goods. If he restricts his goods to those needed only by a few [people], it may be impossible for him to sell them.' 13 Tastes and availability changed over time, according to variations in politics, regional production and other factors, and traders constantly needed to monitor the market.

Many of the commodities driving Mediterranean commerce during the later middle ages had been important to earlier Muslim trade in the region.

This was the case with many 'spices' - a broad category of goods including flavourings, medicinal drugs, aromatics and dyestuffs. Spices had been traded during the early middle ages, and even in Roman times, but there are increasingly abundant references to their traffic during the later medieval period. Geniza letters, like the one cited above, are filled with details, as are Arabic geographical texts and Latin merchant documents. The range of spices is too extensive to do more than cite a few examples. 14 The most desirable and expensive varieties, such as pepper, cinnamon, ginger and cloves, usually came from the Far East, and arrived in the Mediterranean via trade routes through the Near East and the Indian Ocean. These luxury items were in growing demand throughout the medieval and early modern periods, in both Muslim and Christian regions.

Several spices were produced within the Mediterranean world, and were trafficked in this region and exported to eastern markets. These included saffron, mastic, cumin, ambergris and qirmiz. This last, a dyestuff extracted

from insects, and praised by al-Maqqari (d. 1041/1631f.) for producing a crimson of unparalleled excellence, provides a good example of such trade. 15

Geniza letters from the fifth/ eleventh century mention shipments of Spanish qirmiz to Tunisia and Egypt, and the geographer al-Bakri (d. 487/1094) noted

that the best qirmiz was produced in Valencia and other Andalusí regions and 'exported to foreign lands'.¹ Iberian qirmiz later appeared in Italy (it was called grana in Italian), where it was for sale in Lucca as early as 1192. Italian and Catalan commercial treatises from the eighth/ fourteenth century listed Spanish and Provençal grana, and varieties from Murcia and Valencia - where qirmiz was probably produced by Mudejars (Muslims living under Christian rule) - appeared in the Florentine Datini archives (1383-1411).¹⁷ Evidently, qirmiz was one among a number of Mediterranean commodities that continued to be produced and traded in the Mediterranean, even in areas that had shifted from Muslim to Christian rule.

Besides spices, many other types of commodity were regularly traded across the late medieval Mediterranean. These included textiles of all varieties, in the form of raw fibres (cotton, flax, wool and silk), woven cloth and clothing.¹

637

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Some were eastern imports, but many were manufactured within the Mediterranean region. Egypt, for example, exported vast quantities of flax to other regions of the Mediterranean during the fifth/ eleventh and sixth/ twelfth centuries, and Geniza merchants were constantly in communication about prices, qualities and demand. Often, they delivered Egyptian raw flax to Sicily and Ifriqiya, where it was woven into cloth and re-exported.¹⁹ In the

early fifth /eleventh century, 30,000 pieces of fine Sicilian cloth, probably linen, were inventoried among the belongings of a Fatimid princess in Egypt. 20

Many Muslim towns in al-Andalus and the Maghrib were famous for textiles woven in local ateliers. The geographer al-Idrisi (d. 560/1166) remarked that al-Mahdiyya produced fabrics that were extremely fine and beautiful, which merchants carried to many other places. 2,1 He likewise reported 800 workshops for silk in Almeria, that produced striped brocades and other patterned fabrics. 22 Andalusian silks appear frequently in Geniza correspondence, mentioned by merchants trading in Ifriqiya and Egypt during the fifth/ eleventh and sixth/ twelfth centuries, and silks were also sought by elite consumers in Europe. Brocade pieces in a chasuble associated with Thomas Becket bear an inscription recording their creation in Almeria in 510/1116. 23

Although fabrics from Granada, North Africa and Egypt continued to be traded during the later middle ages, regions of textile production and demand shifted over time. For example, cotton cultivation had been introduced into the Mediterranean by the fourth/tenth century, when it was noted in Ifriqiya, Sicily and Spain, but trade in cotton became more popular several centuries later, after cultivation had become more widely diffused. 24 Silk cultivation had also diversified by the later medieval period. Whereas silk production had once been a closely guarded secret in early medieval Byzantium, later spreading to al-Andalus, Sicily and a few other Muslim regions, by the sixth/twelfth and seventh/thirteenth century sericulture was widely practised in Christian Spain and Italy. 25 Mediterranean wool was likewise a long-standing item of commerce, but the wool trade broadened over time, as ateliers in northern Europe offered new markets for wool produced in Italy and Castile.

Many of the commodities traded within the Mediterranean were bulky and heavy items that were more useful than luxurious. These included hides, paper, timber and iron. North Africa had long exported hides for leather and parchment, and these are prominent among goods listed in Arabic commercial letters written from Tunis to Pisa in the early seventh/thirteenth century. 2 Al-Andalus was likewise famous for dyed Cordoban leather,

Muslim trade in the medieval Mediterranean

exported both to Muslim and to Christian lands, and for paper. Geniza documents mention Andalusí paper in the sixth /twelfth century, and the late seventh/thirteenth-century Andalusí market inspector (muhtasib) al-Jarsifi included regulations for paper and parchment makers in his treatise. 2,7 Paper also began to be produced in Europe, and exported to Muslim markets, and by the ninth/fifteenth century, the jurisconsult (mufti) Ibn Marzuq of Tlemcen (d. 842/1439) lamented that only Christian-made paper was available in the Maghrib. 2

Traffic in timber was particularly affected by political and military changes in the medieval Mediterranean world. During the sixth/twelfth and seventh/thirteenth centuries, some of the best regions of old-growth forests, in Spain and coastal Syria, had come under Christian control. Writing in 549/1154, al-Idrisi recalled that Tortosa (conquered by Ramon Berenguer, Count of Barcelona, in 1148) had shipyards where 'they construct large ships with the wood that is produced in the mountains of that region'. 29 Meanwhile Bougie, still in Muslim hands, maintained 'a shipyard where they build large vessels, both sailing ships and galleys', because the nearby mountains and valleys produced excellent timber and there were also resources for pitch and iron. 30 Medieval Christian law codes routinely banned traffic in timber to Muslim ports because of its naval significance, yet sources indicate ongoing commerce. Italian merchants carried timber to Egypt, especially in the later

sixth/twelfth century when Saladin was reconstructing the Egyptian navy.

In a letter to the 'Abbasid caliph, dated 570/1174[^] Saladin justified generous concessions to European merchants on the grounds that they provided vital materials to Egypt. 31 During the later middle ages, even though trade in timber was constrained and Mediterranean maritime routes were dominated by Christian ships, some Muslim shipping continued. 32

Foodstuffs were another important element of Mediterranean trade, especially grain, dried fruits and olive oil. More than in the case of luxury goods, trade in grain and other foodstuffs reflected regional needs and differences in production, and traffic was generally limited to shorter distances owing to the perishable nature of the goods. 33 Grain was traded from Sicily to North Africa during the early medieval period, and this traffic appears to have continued even after the Norman conquest of the island in the later fifth/ eleventh century. Ifriqiya, in return, exported olive oil to Sicily. 34 Nasrid Granada also depended on imports of grain and other staple goods, this time from Ifriqiya and the Maghrib, since its mountainous territory made grain production difficult and labour expensive. 35 In exchange, Granada exported olive oil, silk, sugar and dried fruits, especially the figs for which the region was famous.

639

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

The ninth/fifteenth-century geographer al-Himyari reported that figs from Malaga were 'carried to Egypt, Syria, Iraq and even, perhaps, as far as India,

for they are the sweetest and most aromatic fig'. 36

Sugar cane was another eastern plant that had been introduced into the Mediterranean world during the early medieval period, but sugar cultivation and commerce only became big business in the later middle ages. Latin Christians first encountered sugar in the eastern Mediterranean after the First Crusade, and it rapidly found a market in Europe. 37 Sugar was also grown in al-Andalus, Sicily and North Africa by the fourth/tenth century, and is well documented from the sixth/ twelfth century, when the agronomists such as Ibn al-'Awwam described its cultivation. 38 Sugar later became a staple crop in Nasrid Granada, whence it was exported to both northern and southern Europe, until sugar began to be produced in the Canary Islands in the ninth/fifteenth century. 39

Slave trading was also a reality in the medieval Mediterranean world, especially in the aftermath of territorial conquests. During the early middle ages, slaves represented one of the most important exports from Europe to the Muslim world, but sources of northern slaves became limited with the expansion and Christianisation of European territories. 40 By the later medieval period, therefore, many slaves came into the Islamic world from north of the Black Sea, transported by Italian merchants through Byzantine lands to be sold in Egypt and Syria. Other slaves - both Muslims and Christians - were taken as military captives and later fell into slavery. During the seventh/thirteenth century and after, sources record the sale of captured Muslims in southern Europe and mention enslaved Christians in North Africa and Egypt. 41

Merchants and commercial travel

Both in the Mediterranean and elsewhere in the Islamic world, it was not uncommon for Muslim merchants to combine commerce with other professions. Arabic biographical dictionaries and other sources often cite scholars and doctors who were also merchants. However, as is made clear in Geniza correspondence, successful long-distance trade demanded time, training, flex-

ibility, capital investment and a far-flung network of business partners and commercial connections. For the most part, merchants involved in large-scale commerce had to concentrate on their business affairs, and this tendency towards professionalisation increased over time during the medieval period. Needless to say, not all merchants involved in Mediterranean trade dealt in large quantities over long distances. Many businessmen operated on a much

640

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Muslim trade in the medieval Mediterranean

smaller scale, as local retailers, agents, ship-owners and middlemen, and in a variety of other positions related to commerce. Most travelling merchants had sedentary partners, and many people invested money in trade without doing the work themselves. Even among professional wholesalers, there were many different methods for making a profit. Some traders made money by carrying commodities from one region to another; some handled imports and exports in one location; others stocked goods and waited for their price to rise. Most traders worked with partners, sharing investments, risks and profits, and many operated within extended family networks. 42 One fifth/ eleventh-century family based in Egypt included four generations of merchants, with members doing business in Tunisia, Sicily and al-Andalus. 43

More than in the eastern Islamic world, much of the commercial traffic in the Mediterranean involved a combination of maritime and overland travel.

Port cities were important, including Almeria, Malaga, Oran, Tunis and

Alexandria, and these emporia linked land and sea trade. For instance, al-Idrisi remarked that both ships and caravans came to Bougie, making this city 'an entrepot for merchandise. The inhabitants have been enriched by commerce . . . and the merchants of this town trade with counterparts from the western Maghrib, the Sahara and the Mashriq, trading in all types of merchandise/ 44 Trade patterns could change, however, and although the same author observed that al-Mahdiyya was still 'one of the ports most frequented by merchant vessels coming from the east and from the west, from al-Andalus, from BUM al-Rum and other countries', he added that while 'in the past they brought great quantities of goods and money here, this traffic has diminished in our period'. 45

In conjunction with maritime travel, caravan routes across North Africa continued throughout the later middle ages. When Ibn Battuta travelled from Tangier to Alexandria in 726/1325[^] his route was entirely overland. 46 But caravan traffic could be disrupted by warfare, political changes and other turmoil, such as the Bedouin incursions in Ifriqiya in the fifth/ eleventh century. Sea travel could likewise be endangered by bad weather, naval actions and piracy. These latter were especially prevalent from the fifth/ eleventh century onward, with crusade and conquest, and as the quickest and safest Mediterranean sea routes were taken over by European shipping. 47 Nevertheless, Muslim merchants continued to travel by both land and sea, transporting goods between Granada, the Maghrib, Ifriqiya and Egypt, and they often chose to voyage on Christian ships. One Egyptian trader sailed on Venetian boats from Alexandria to Tunis and Tripoli in 866/1462, then made his way overland to Morocco, where he took a Genoese ship to Granada,

The New Cambridge History of Islam

before returning to Egypt on Genoese vessels with stops in several North African ports. 4

It has often been noted that Muslim merchants rarely travelled to ports in southern Europe. Instead, most commerce between Christian and Muslim regions was carried out by European traders. As a result, commercial actions between Muslim and Christian businessmen generally took place in the Islamic lands to which Christian traders travelled. This pattern is very evident in a series of Arabic letters written by Muslim traders in Tunis to Italian merchants in Pisa during the first decade of the seventh/thirteenth century. Apparently, political events had forced the Pisans to return home at short notice, leaving their business affairs in disarray; their Muslim correspondents begged them to return to settle debts and complete other transactions. There is no suggestion that the Muslim partners might travel to Pisa to deliver the goods and collect payments. 49 In light of this common reluctance to travel to Christian markets, the permanent Christian conquest of Muslim territories in the Iberian Peninsula and Sicily, and the briefer Christian occupation of the Crusader States, meant that the Muslim sphere of commercial activity in the Mediterranean was considerably diminished.

There are several reasons that may help to explain the apparent disinclination of Muslim merchants to visit European ports. Most importantly, while Christian merchants trading in Muslim lands could easily find churches and other Christians, there were no Muslim communities in medieval Europe, and no facilities to accommodate the needs of Muslim travellers. It would have been impossible to find either the buildings and institutions (mosques, bath houses and funduqs) or the human infrastructure (halal - i.e. those who

followed Islamic norms - butchers, agents, translators) to facilitate regular Muslim trade in Italy or southern France. The situation was somewhat different in Sicily and the Iberian Peninsula, which continued to be home to Muslim communities into the seventh/thirteenth and tenth/ sixteenth centuries respectively, and in Byzantium, which had always had closer relations with Muslim lands. In Constantinople, there are references to a mosque and commercial compound for Muslim merchants in 1203, just before the disruptions of the Fourth Crusade, and then again in 1293 after the Palaeologan restoration. 50

On top of the lack of necessary facilities, Muslims were discouraged from travelling to Christian lands and trading with Christians. Maliki jurists, who represented the dominant school in the Maghrib and al-Andalus, issued strongly negative opinions on the question of travel to Christian lands, although their repeated rulings may in fact reflect the prevalence of such

642

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Muslim trade in the medieval Mediterranean

traffic. In the first half of the sixth /twelfth century, the Tunisian jurist al-Mazari (d. 536/1141) ruled that Muslims should not travel to Christian lands for any reason, and that trading goods to Christians would cause prices to rise and generate funds for Christians to use in fighting against Islam. 51 However, other data indicate that such travel was not uncommon. In 580/n84f, for example, the Andalusí pilgrim Ibn Jubayr sailed from the Near East to the Iberian Peninsula, via Norman Sicily, on Genoese boats in company with a large group of other Muslim pilgrims and merchants. 52 Ongoing juridical disapproval

suggests that Muslim visits to European ports, and transport on Christian ships, continued throughout the later medieval period. In the ninth/ fifteenth century, al-Wansharisi prohibited Muslims from visiting or living in lands under Christian rule. 53 Ironically, even the Breviario Sunni by the qadi lea of Segovia, an aljamiado text written in 1462 for Mudejars in northern Castile, repeated the traditional injunction 'do not live in the land of unbelievers'. 54

Christian sources occasionally mention individual Muslim traders travelling to and from European ports, but we cannot estimate levels of trade based on these rare data. In 1222, Muhammad ibn al-Muhallam (Macometus benelma halam, in Latin), a merchant from Ceuta, sold a cargo of tin, sugar and cinnabar in Genoa. 55 Five years later, a Muslim trader from Alexandria, called Alfaquim in Latin (perhaps al-Hakim in Arabic), was party to a partnership contract drawn up in Marseilles. 56 In 1259, three Tunisians rented a ship in Genoa to return to Tunis. 57 Similar references continue in later centuries. In 1327, for example, Tunisian traders travelled on a Catalan ship to Almeria and Malaga by way of Christian Sardinia and Mallorca, and in the 1340s, a Maghribi merchant in Mallorca booked a passage for Genoa. 58 Much later, some European ports established facilities for Muslim merchants, with the foundation of afundug for Ottoman merchants in Ancona in 1514 and in Venice in 1612, suggesting a regularisation of commercial traffic. 59

There is much more extensive data for Muslim travel to and from the kingdom of Aragon, where there was still a resident Mudejar population until the early tenth/ sixteenth century, and from Sicily, which appears to have maintained its long-standing trade connections with Ifriqiya. In many cases, family ties and other connections between communities in the Maghrib, Granada and Christian Spain facilitated this ongoing commerce. For example, the Ripoll family, a successful and extensive Mudejar merchant clan, main-

tained trading connections in the kingdom of Aragon, Granada, North Africa, Italy and Egypt during the ninth/fifteenth century. ° At the same time, commercial traffic flourished between North Africa and Nasrid Granada, which depended on the outside world for many basic supplies.

643

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Overall, it is clear that Muslim merchants continued to travel and trade in the later medieval Mediterranean world, where their commercial success demanded a complex mixture of pragmatism, access to information and adaptability. Some traders limited their business to markets within the dar al-islam, while others ranged more widely despite the fact that this increasingly entailed travel on Christian ships and even visits to Christian ports. Meanwhile, sedentary Muslim merchants based in al-Andalus, North Africa and Egypt did business with foreign Christian merchants arriving from Genoa, Venice, Barcelona and other European cities. At the same time, natural and manufactured products produced in the eastern Islamic world, in the Maghrib and in Nasrid Granada were trafficked throughout the Mediterranean, the Near East and northern Europe. Commerce in the Mediterranean flourished during the later middle ages precisely because this region was a zone of economic interface between Europe and the Muslim world.

Notes

i. On broader shifts in medieval Mediterranean trade, see David Abulafia, 'Asia, Africa, and the trade of medieval Europe', in *The Cambridge economic history of Europe*, 2nd edn, vol. II, Cambridge, 1987, 402-73.

2. Abraham Udovitch, 'Fatimid Cairo: Crossroads of world trade - from Spain to India', in Marianne Barrucand (ed.), *L'Egypte fatimide: Son art et son histoire*, Paris, 1999, 681-91.
3. Jessica Goldberg, 'Geographies of trade and traders in the eleventh-century Mediterranean', Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (2005), 390-418.
4. M. de Mas Latrie, *Traites de paix et commerce et documents divers concernant les relations des Chrétiens avec les Arabes de l'Afrique septentrionale au moyen âge*, Paris, 1865.
5. Michele Amari, *I diplomi arabi del R. Archivio Fiorentino*, Florence, 1863, 312. See also Dominique Valerian, 'Ifriqiyan Muslim merchants in the Mediterranean at the end of the Middle Ages', *Mediterranean Historical Review*, 14 (1999), 47-66, at 51.
6. Olivia Constable, *Housing the stranger in the Mediterranean world*, Cambridge, 2003, 107-57, 266-305.
7. S. D. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society*, 6 vols., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967-93, vol. I, 309-13.
8. Kathryn Miller notes the 'write it down' culture of late medieval Mudejars in *Guardians of Islam: Religious authority and Muslim communities of late medieval Spain*, New York 2008; W. Hoenerbach, *Spanisch-islamische Urkunden aus der Zeit der Nasriden und Moriscos*, Berkeley, 1965; Olivia Constable, 'Cross-cultural contracts: Sales of land between Christians and Muslims in Norman Sicily', *SI*, 85 (1997), 67-84.
9. Eliyahu Ashtor, *Levant trade in the later middle ages*, Princeton, 1983.

Muslim trade in the medieval Mediterranean

10. Ibn Khaldun, *The Muqaddimah: An introduction to history*, trans. Franz Rosenthal, Princeton, 1958, vol. II, 336.

11. *Ibid.* vol. II, 338.

12. S. D. Goitein, *Letters of medieval Jewish traders*, Princeton, 1973, 129-33 (with minor changes).

13. Ibn Khaldun, *Muqaddimah*, vol. II, 337.

14. Wilhelm Heyd, *Histoire du commerce du Levant au moyen age*, Leipzig, 1885-6, vol. II, 563-676, still provides an excellent summary of the Mediterranean spice trade.

15. Al-Maqqari, *Analectes sur l'histoire et la litterature des Arabes d'Espagne*, ed. R. Dozy, Leiden, 1855-61, vol. I, 123.

16. Al-Bakri, *Jughrafiyat al-Andalus wa Urubba min Kitab al-masalik wa'l-mamdlik*, Beirut, 1968, 127.

17. Olivia Constable, *Trade and traders in Muslim Spain*, Cambridge, 1994, 216;
Francesco Pegolotti, *La pratica della mercatura*, Cambridge, 1936, 297;
El primer manual hispanico de mercaderia, ed. Miguel Gual Camarena, Barcelona, 1981, 239.

18. On textiles, see Maurice Lombard, *Les textiles dans le monde musulman du Vile au Xlle siecle*, Paris, 1978.

19. Goitein, *Mediterranean society*, vol. I, 224-8.

20. Lombard, *Textiles*, 54.

21. Al-Idrisi, *Nuzhat al-mushtaq fi ikhtirad al-afdq*, Naples and Rome, 1970-84, 282; ed. and trans. R. Dozy and M.J. de Goeje, *Description de l'Afrique et de l'Espagne*, Leiden, 1866, 127.
22. Al-Idrisi, *Nuzhat*, 562; *Description*, 240.
23. Goitein, *Mediterranean society*, vol. I, 222-4; David Jacoby, 'Silk economics and cross-cultural artistic interaction: Byzantium, the Muslim world, and the Christian West', *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, 58 (2004), 197-240, at 204-5.
24. Andrew Watson, *Agricultural innovation in the early Islamic world*, Cambridge, 1983, 40-1.
25. Jacoby, 'Silk economies', 197-240.
26. Amari, *I diplomi arabi*, 31-3, 48-65, 75-7.
27. Constable, *Trade and traders*, 195-6; al-Jarsifi in E. Levi-Provencal, *Documents arabes inedits sur la vie sociale et economique en occident musulman au moyen age*, Cairo, 1955, 124.
28. AlWansharisi, *al-Mi'ydr al-mu'rib wa Tjdm al-mughrib*, Rabat, 1981, vol. I, 75-104; summarized by Vincent Lagardere, *Histoire et societe en Occident musulman au moyen age*, Madrid, 1995, 42.
29. Al-Idrisi, *Nuzhat*, 555; *Description*, 231.
30. Al-Idrisi, *Nuzhat*, 260; *Description*, 105.
31. Constable, *Housing the stranger*, 114; David Jacoby, 'The supply of war materials to Egypt in the crusader period', *JSAI*, 25 (2001), 102-32.
32. Valerian, 'Ifriqiyan Muslim merchants', 56-9; Christophe Picard, *Les musulmans d'occident au moyen age*, Paris, 1997.
33. Peregrine Horden and Nicholas Purcell have argued for the regional and redis-

tributive nature of Mediterranean trade in *The corrupting sea*, Oxford, 2000, 342-4.

645

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

34. Michael Brett, 'Ifriqiyya as a market for Saharan trade from the tenth to the twelfth century AD', *JAH*, 10 (1969), 347-64, at 349.

35. Ibn Khaldun, *Muqaddimah*, vol. II, 278-9.

36. Al-Himyan, *La peninsule iberique au moyen age*, ed. E. Levi-Provencal, Leiden, 1938, 178; Jose Lopez de Coca Castaner, 'Granada y la ruta de poniente: El trafico de frutos secos (siglos XIV y XV)', in Antonio Malpica Cuello (ed.), *Navegacion maritima del Mediterrrdneo medieval*, Granada, 2001, 149-77.

37. Bruno Laurioux, 'Quelques remarques sur la decouverte du sucre par les premiers croises d'Orient', in *Chemins d'outre-mer: Etudes d'histoire sur la Mediterranee medievale offerts a Michel Balard*, Paris, 2004, 527-36; Damien Coulon, 'El comercio Catalan del azucar en el siglo XIV', *Anuario de Estudios Medievales*, 31, 2 (2001), 727-56.

38. Watson, *Agricultural innovation*, 28-9.

39. Adela Fabregas Garcia, *Produccion y comercio de azucar en el Mediterrrdneo medieval: El ejemplo del reino de Granada*, Granada, 2000; Constable, *Trade and traders*, 233.

40. Michael McCormick has documented the importance of the slave trade in the early medieval Mediterranean in *Origins of the European economy: Communications*

and commerce AD 300-900, Cambridge, 2001, 733-77.

41. Olivia Constable, 'Muslim Spain and Mediterranean slavery: The medieval slave trade as an aspect of Christian-Muslim relations', in Scott Waugh (ed.), *Christianity and its discontents*, Cambridge, 1996, 264-84; Georges Jehel, *L'Italie et le Maghreb au moyen age*, Paris, 2001, 138-42; Constable, *Housing the stranger*, 273-4.

42. On partnership, see Abraham Udovitch, *Partnership and profit in medieval Islam*, Princeton, 1970.

43. Constable, *Trade and traders*, 91.

44. Al-Idrisi, *Nuzhat*, 260; *Description*, 105.

45. Al-Idrisi, *Nuzhat*, 281; *Description*, 126.

46. See maps in Ross Dunn, *The adventures of Ibn Battuta*, Berkeley, 1986, 28.

47. John Pryor, *Geography, technology, and war*, Cambridge, 1988.

48. Robert Brunschvig, *Deux recits de voyage inedits en Afrique du nord au XVe siecle*, Paris, 1936, 8.

49. Amari, *I diplomati arabi*, 31-3, 48-65, 75-7.

50. Constable, *Housing the stranger*, 149-50.

51. Al-Wanshansi, *Mi'yar*, vol. VI, 317-18; Lagardere, *Histoire et societe*, 128-9.

52. Ibnjubar, *Rihla*, ed. William Wright, Leiden, 1907, 311-48; trans. R.J. C. Broadhurst, *The travels of Ibnjubar*, London, 1952, 325-66.

53. Al-Wansharisi, *Mi'yar*, vol. II, 137-40; Lagardere, *Histoire et societe*, 70.

54. L. P. Harvey, *Islamic Spain, 1250-1500*, Chicago, 1990, 89. Also Kathryn Miller, 'Muslim minorities and the obligation to emigrate to Islamic territory', *ILS*, 7 (2000), 256-88.

55. 'Liber magistri Salmonis sacri palatii notarii', Atti della Societa Ligure di Storia Patria, 36 (1906), 100; Jehel, L'Italie et le Maghreb, 137.

56. Louis Blancard, Documents inedits sur le commerce de Marseille au moyen age, Marseilles, 1884, vol. I, 18-19.

646

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Muslim trade in the medieval Mediterranean

57. Jehel, L'Italie et le Maghreb, 137.

58. Constable, Trade and traders, 253; Jehel, L'Italie et le Maghreb, 138.

59. Constable, Housing the stranger, 330.

60. Kathryn Miller, 'Negociando con el infiel: La actividad mercantil musulmana en la Espana cristiana', in Jaume Aurell (ed.), El Mediterraneo medieval y renacentista: Espacio de mercados y de culturas, Pamplona, 2002, 213-32.

647

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

22B

Overland trade in the western Islamic world (fifth-ninth /eleventh-fifteenth

centuries)

JOHN L. MELOY

Introduction

Overland trade in the western half of the Islamic world was conducted through an extensive network of routes connecting cities and towns from western Asia to North Africa and across the Sahara to Central and West Africa.

Long-distance trade was a complex enterprise, requiring knowledge of diverse

markets and commodities and access to an extensive support system provid-

ing expertise in navigation on long routes most often across harsh terrain. It

was highly lucrative not so much in terms of volume, but rather in terms of

the high value of small quantities of luxury goods, transported at considerable

risk. Overland trade routes of the fifth/ eleventh century were based on a network of routes pioneered in the first centuries of Islam, the major achieve-

ment of which was the commercial conquest of the Sahara by Muslim merchants. The long-distance overland network often used Arabic as a com-

mon language, Islam as a common religion, and the sharfa as a common legal

system, all in constant dynamic with indigenous traditions and languages.

However, trade during this period was not simply an economic story; rather,

it concerned also cultural exchange and the disposition of political power. The

trading system influenced wealth, power and people in the Islamic heartland

as well as outlying areas. 1

Islamic historians have argued for the centrality of Tunisia in the trade of the Mediterranean and the Sahara in the earlier Islamic centuries.

Halfway

between the shores of the Mashriq and the distant west, Tunisia also provided

easy access to trans-Saharan trade routes and Mediterranean Europe.

Goitein

acknowledged that Geniza evidence from these centuries was sparse but he

found that the far more numerous letters dating to the fifth /eleventh century

indicated that Tunisia was fast losing its commercial prominence, as indicated by the Qayrawam businessman who expressed, in a message written in the middle of the fifth /eleventh century, his intention to move to Egypt because

648

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Overland trade in the western Islamic world

'the whole of the West is not worth a thing anymore'. Although the letters indicate a significant reduction in the role of Tunisia in the fifth/eleventh-century Mediterranean, Qayrawan continued to be a central market for the Sahara trade into the sixth/twelfth century and its overland routes remained a vital part of long-distance commerce. 2

Networks of commerce and control (fifth-seventh/eleventh-thirteenth centuries)

Around the turn of the fifth/ eleventh century, three dominant regions of commercial activity emerged in the western half of the Islamic world. Two of these, based in the Maghrib and the bUM al-sudan, enjoyed close commercial relations. The third, based in Cairo, although it had ties to the European and African west via maritime or coastal land routes, was oriented to the eastern Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean. In the far west, the Almoravids and later the Almohads imposed economic coherence on the Maghrib, extending their reach into al-Andalus, and established commercial ties in West Africa. The establishment of a new Fatimid capital in Cairo in 359/970 provided a new commercial

centre of gravity in the eastern Mediterranean and south-western Asia, the pre-eminence of which lasted for centuries. While agriculture was always the basis of these political economies, the long-distance trade in luxury commodities was significant enough - whether for economic reasons or for social prestige - that these states worked to facilitate and control their trade networks. States were as interested as merchants in reaping the rewards of commerce.

The Almoravids and Almohads directed considerable energy to control their trade with their neighbours to the north and south. Prior to the rise of the Almoravid movement, the Sanhaja tribal confederation had thrived from its control of the trans-Saharan trade routes in the west until they lost control of Awdaghost to the rulers of Ghana. In the middle of the fifth/ eleventh century, once the reformist movement took shape as a political force, the Almoravids, whether driven by economic or ideological motives, conquered Sijilmasa and Awdaghost, giving them control of both the northern and southern termini of the western Sahara route. The Almohads, also driven by reform and powered by the Masmuda Berbers of the Atlas Mountains, were less preoccupied with control of the Sahara as long as they could control the sea coast. Threatened by incursions of the Norman Sicilians, they directed their conquest eastward, securing ports from Tripoli at the eastern edge of the Maghrib to Massa on the Atlantic coast and establishing a lively maritime trade protected by commercial treaties with the Europeans. 3

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Until the fifth /eleventh century, the chief trading partner of the Maghribis in the Sudan was the Soninke kingdom - the capital of which has been excavated at Kumbi Salih in Ghana - and its successors until the rise of Mali in the seventh/thirteenth century. Exchange was also conducted with the city of Gao lying further to the east on the Niger river. To the west of Ghana was Takrur, a smaller polity whose name later came to denote in Egyptian circles the entire region of the western Sudan. The trade routes across the Sahara led to the string of trading towns of the Sahel, a region of commercial and cultural transition between the Arab and Berber Muslim north that gradually penetrated the band of the Sudanese savannah lying just north of the forested coastal region where the gold fields were. Awdaghust, two months' journey from Sijilmasa, was about fifteen days north of the capital of Ghana. To its east lay the Sahelian town of Tadmakkat (or Es-Suk), with links further eastward to the copper mine at Takadda, northward across the desert to Tahart and Qayrawan, and southward nine days' travel to Gao. 4 The transitional nature of commercial and cultural exchange between the foreign Muslim merchants and the indigenous population of the Sudan is evident in the configuration of the towns of Ghana and Gao; al-Bakri (d. 487/1094) described both as dual towns, consisting of an indigenous capital with a Muslim commercial town nearby. Other dual settlements have been found in the western Sahel, suggesting a broader pattern of initial caution in contact between Muslim merchants and local residents. 5 Traders did not serve as agents of Islamisation; rather, the network and contacts they created allowed the spread of Islam to take place gradually in the political centres of the Bilad al-Sudan from Takrur

to Kanem, often through the agency of local rulers, who were the sponsors of the Muslim merchant communities.

Muslim long-distance traders extended their control across the Sahara to trade and to secure the resources to trade. Early on, the Massufa tribe of the Sanhaja held the site of Taghaza, mentioned by Ibn Hawqal (fl. fourth/tenth century), and probably known to al-Bakri as Tatantal, a salt mine on the route between Sijilmasa to Awdaghust. 7 At Taghaza, a location so barren that the mining town itself was built from salt, including the settlement's mosque, the Massufa used slave labour obtained in the Sudan to extract large slabs of salt which they transported to Awdaghust to trade for gold. Little detail is known about the salt trade aside from naive information in the Arabic sources about the silent trade and the one-for-one exchange of salt for gold. 9 However, if we can extrapolate from later periods, the market for salt was probably quite diverse. Salt and natron of numerous kinds were required for culinary, medicinal and industrial purposes, the latter including textile and leather

650

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Overland trade in the western Islamic world

goods preparation and livestock production. 10 Aside from salt compounds, Sudanese commerce also demanded other commodities, such as textiles, coral and carnelian beads, spices, and manufactured goods. Excavations at the site of Awdaghust in Tegdaoust have produced ceramics from al-Andalus, the Maghrib and Ifriqiya as well as glass possibly from al-Fustat, dating from the late third/

ninth to the early eighth/fourteenth centuries. Cowrie shells, used as currency in the Bilad al-Sudan, have been found at numerous archaeological sites. The most favoured type, *Cypraea moneta*, was imported from the Maldives, probably via North Africa. 11 In the north the most coveted Sudanese commodity was gold, minted as fine -quality dinars by both the Almoravids and the Almohads, which affected markets across the Mediterranean basin. 12 Ivory was also in great demand. Perhaps the most unusual archaeological find was recovered from Gao Ancien: a cache of over fifty tusks of hippopotamus ivory, preferred over elephant ivory for inlay work 13 While the principal commodity in demand by long-distance traders from the western Sudan was gold, Kanem near Lake Chad in the central Sudan yielded primarily slaves, marketed through the entrepot of Zawila and used for agricultural and domestic labour as well as military man-power. Other commodities from the central Sudan included alum from Kawar, salt and natron from Bornu next to Lake Chad, and various aromatics and perfumes, such as frankincense, camphor and civet.

At the eastern end of the Mediterranean, and connected to the Maghrib by overland and maritime commercial links, the Fatimids of Egypt also facilitated and controlled trade. Egypt's commerce flourished owing to its agricultural and industrial production, especially of flax, a major crop for export and for the local industry. The state's support of the textile industry is most evident in the dar al-tiraz, the institution of factories that produced richly embroidered textiles, an integral part of the Fatimid administration, which awarded these cloths as prestigious gifts. The royal fashion for tiraz was adopted by lower classes to the extent that the sale of it to the public also constituted a significant source of commercial revenue for the state, according to one report amount-

ing to income of over 200,000 dinars in a day. 14 The Fatimids were not
reliant
on west African gold since they had their own supply in Nubia and the
Wadi
al- c Allaqi mines in the Red Sea mountains. Their gold coins were of
extra-
ordinarily high purity, often reaching levels of 98 or 99 per cent during
the
reigns of al-Musta'li and al-Amir. 15

The establishment of a capital in Cairo, and the Fatimid state's
encourage-
ment of commerce, allowed Egypt to become a significant link in east-
west
trade, the success of which was due in part to Baghdad's decline as a
market. 1
Egypt's far-reaching commercial connections are evident in the Geniza
letters

651

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

as well as the excavations at al-Fustat. The latter have produced a wide
variety
of trade goods from both local and distant production centres. Andalusian
lustre
wares, Italian protomajolicas and Chinese celadons and porcelains are
the
most obvious imported luxuries; floor mats were also imported from Iran,
Palestine and Alexandria. 17 Trade was also conducted between the
Islamic
lands and the Byzantine empire in spite of intermittent conflict. As early
as the
turn of the fourth/ tenth century, Muslim merchants conducted trade in
Constantinople; aside from occasional interruptions, their commercial
pres-
ence continued through the Palaeologan period, often supported by
treaties
between Byzantine and Muslim rulers. When negotiations between
Saladin

(r. 564-89/1169-93) and Isaac II Angelus (r. 1185-95) resulted in the renovation of a mosque in Constantinople, a delegation was sent for the inauguration, attended also by merchants and other travellers. 1 During this period, one of the most direct glimpses into Byzantine-Islamic trade, although not overland commerce, is the early fifth/ eleventh-century shipwreck discovered off the Anatolian coast at Serce Limam just north of Rhodes, which yielded a diversity of goods - glass cullet, glass bottles and ceramic vessels, most of which have an Islamic provenance, as well as a large number of reused Byzantine amphorae, which circulated as far as south Russia and Romania. 19 However, maritime trade between Anatolia and Egypt and Syria was probably more often in bulk goods like timber. Muslim merchants in Constantinople also traded in a variety of luxury goods, including 'Baghdad-style' garments. Trade with the Turks in eastern Anatolia was in wax, raisins, hides, wool and flax. From Constantinople, caravan routes extended eastward across Anatolia. Antioch and Aleppo were important emporia for trade with Syria, Malatya channelled trade into Mesopotamia, and roads from Sivas, a centre which attracted also Russians and Qipchaks from the north, ran due east to Armenia, Azerbaijan and Iran. The eastern regions were also connected to the port of Trebizond on the Black Sea, via Erzurum. Once established in central Anatolia, the Saljuqs provided security and new markets for luxury goods. 20

Long-distance overland commerce was conducted primarily by caravans because it was safer, whether across dangerous terrain like the Sahara, or well-populated regions like bilad al-sham. Ibnjubayr (d. 614/ 1217) joined one of the regular caravans of merchants that travelled from Damascus to Acre. 21 However, in some regions at times, a traveller might feel safe enough to travel with simply a guide, as did Ibn Battuta (d. 770/i368f. or 779/1377) in Mali. In North Africa, caravans were led by specialists who knew the routes

and their wells, were familiar with the people along the routes (who could demand protection fees) and could navigate by the stars. Travel across the

652

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Overland trade in the western Islamic world

Sahara was difficult. According to al-Idrisi (d. 560/1165?), the trans-Saharan caravans preferred to set out in the autumn, evidently to avoid spending the summer in the Sudan. Caravans would travel in the coolest hours, taking a break from late morning until late afternoon, and then travelling late into the night. On some legs of the journey water was scarce, or barely potable. Yaqut (d. 626/1229) mentioned 'fetid and lethal water, which has none of the qualities of water other than being liquid' and described how, as a last resort, 'they slaughter a camel and save their lives with what is in its stomach'. Even with sufficient water, the trip was dangerous: Ibn Battuta recorded the death of one of his travelling companions who was separated from the main party. 22 Merchants travelled the length of North Africa by sea and land. Maritime travel is documented relatively well by the Geniza letters; as Goitein noted, however, this may reflect the religious restrictions against the Jewish letter writers from travelling on the Sabbath and he regarded the Geniza letters as unrepresentative sources for land trade. Nonetheless, the letters are informative about overland trade. Regular caravans connected the Maghrib with Egypt on a seasonal basis, and were called mawsim. There were regular caravans, especially

during the winter months, between Sijilmasa and Qayrawan, where they stopped for a few days before heading on to Egypt. In addition, there are references to caravans travelling during midsummer as well, probably serving those who were not able to travel by ship since maritime traffic was highest in the spring and autumn. While Goitein estimated that in the fifth/ eleventh century about 8,000 merchants travelled on the sea routes between Tunisia, Sicily and Egypt in one year, the lack of documentation for overland traffic prevents any estimate of volume. It is possible, however, to get some idea of the scale of overland trade in terms of caravan size. One Geniza letter mentions a spice caravan travelling from al-Qulzum (Suez) to Cairo numbering 500 camels, which, as Goitein observed, was considered very large. 23 Al-Idrisi mentioned that the wealthy merchants of Aghmat in southern Morocco typically despatched caravans of 170 or 180 fully loaded camels. 24 Michael the Syrian (d. 1199) mentioned the loss of about 400 Persian merchants travelling from Constantinople in one Anatolian snowstorm. 25 Ibn Khaldun (d. 808/1406) reported the claim of the ambassador of Takadda to the Marinid sultan, Abu 'Inan al-Mutawakkil (r. 749-59/1348-58), that an annual caravan from Egypt passed through his city on its way to Mali with as many as 12,000 camels. 26 While this figure seems exaggerated, a caravan of 25,000 camels was recorded by North African authorities in 1913. 27 Typical caravans are more likely to have numbered in the hundreds. 28 As reported in the Geniza, a ship's bale (Hdl) was approximate to a camel load (hind), each being about 225 kilograms. 29 The

The New Cambridge History of Islam

so-called 'lost caravan' site in the Ijafen dunes of Mauritania, dated to the sixth/twelfth century, comprised 3,260 cowrie shells, weighing nearly 4 kilograms, packed in animal skins, and 2,085 bars of brass, weighing a total of about 980 kilograms, divided into 50 kilogram batches. The excavators concluded that this assemblage was the cargo of part of a caravan. 30

The overland trading network was wide-ranging and intensive, but it involved considerable uncertainty. Of course, business relationships were governed by the various instruments of contractual law, but the successful long-distance trader needed reliable contacts and information to minimise risk. The network of the Maqqari family of the middle of the seventh/ thirteenth century is illustrative. The five sons of Yahya ibn Abd al-Rahman al-Maqqari established an equal partnership which spanned the Sahara. Abu Bakr and Muhammad were based in Tlemcen (Tilimsan), Abd al-Wahid and All were based in Walata, which by the seventh/thirteenth century had replaced Awdaghust as the principal southern terminus of trade, and 'Abd al-Rahman was based in Sijilmasa. Their network allowed them to provide critical market information to each other:

The Tilimsani would send to the Sahrawi the goods which the latter would indicate to him, and the Sahrawi would send to him skins, ivory, nuts, and gold. The Sijilmasi was like the tongue in the balance, indicating to them the extent of rise or fall in the markets and writing to them about the affairs of merchants and countries. And so their wealth expanded and their status grew.' 31

The Maqqaris are also reported to have dug wells and safeguarded the security of traders across the Sahara. Against this image of industrious private

enterprise in the Sahara, from Ghana to Anatolia we more often see the diligence of the state in facilitating the flow of long-distance trade, and controlling it in the cities and towns forming the commercial network. In the western Sahel, Muslim merchants were confined to commercial towns.

The Zirids, Almoravids and Almohads of North African regimes constructed

or expropriated [^]funduqs, which served as places of commercial exchange. The

Fatimids and especially the Ayyubids also built numerous funduqs. The Saljuqs

of Rum constructed khans (trading centres) and bridges on the roadways of

Anatolia. 32 Commerce as seen through the prism of the Geniza documents has

often been described as a world of laissez-faire commerce. The commercial

world was indeed one of remarkable mobility but, given the interests of state

officials in commerce, the Egyptian case may well be representative. It is likely

that 'the lines between commerce and administration, between individuals

acting for their own profit and those same men acting on behalf of the government, were often blurred'. 33

654

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Overland trade in the western Islamic world

Foundations of empire (seventh-ninth/
thirteenth-fifteenth centuries)

The seventh/ thirteenth century saw a number of changes in long-distance

commerce across the western half of the Islamic world, restoring earlier connections and asserting new modes of control. By this time the Islamic lands along the southern Mediterranean shore were tightly locked into the

European-controlled Mediterranean economy. Overland trade from Asia, secured by the Mongol conquests, added to the supply of goods passing

through the Red Sea. The rise of the empire of Mali in the Bilad al-Sudan reinvigorated the contact with North Africa and Egypt. Under the Ayyubids and the Mamluks, Cairo continued to maintain its position as a major commercial centre in the global economy, and with the decline of its industry it directed considerable attention to the benefits of long-distance commerce.

Urban institutions continued to be used to facilitate and control commerce; control of inter-city trade routes and the merchants themselves became a concern as well, as expressed by the Ilkhan Ahmad Tegüider in a letter to the Mamluk sultan Qalawun, stating that traders are 'the foundation of empire'.³⁴

Prior to the seventh/ thirteenth century, commerce entering Anatolia had largely served local consumers, especially the courts at Konya and Constantinople. Claude Cahen observed that with the subjugation of the Saljuqs to the Pax Mongolica, commerce in Anatolia became part of long-distance commercial connections that tied Europe to the heartland of Asia.

Overland traders from Syria, Mesopotamia, or especially Tabriz in Iran, who had formerly served local demand, now preferred to go to the seaports of Trebizond on the Black Sea and Ayas on the Mediterranean. Marco Polo noted the importance of the latter port when he passed through it to the interior.³⁵

Sivas remained a critical junction for these inland routes, its importance signalled by the establishment of a permanent Genoese representative there

in 1300. While some trade moved westward into Anatolia, such as Anatolian

wool for the Byzantine textile industry, better markets were to be found via

Italian shipping. According to Simon of St Quentin (fl. 1245-8), Turkish red

woollen caps were exported to Europe. The mineral wealth of Anatolia also

fed this international trade: lapis lazuli, salt, alum, silver and copper. The Ilkhans maintained and monitored these overland routes, just as the Saljuqs

had, by building caravanserais, but they also controlled the movement of merchants by posting officers at regular intervals to collect dues for safe passage. 3 Traders coming from Central and East Asia preferred a more direct

route passing through the territory of the Golden Horde to the Black Sea port

The New Cambridge History of Islam

of Kaffa (where the Genoese received permission to trade in 1266), which was a critical port for the trade in mamluks from central Asia and the Caucasus until alternative routes opened after the Mamluk-Ilkhanid peace in 723/1323. 37 The overland Asian trade resulted in the export to Europe of Chinese porcelain, at least in modest quantities, starting in the late seventh/thirteenth century. The greater cultural impact of this contact, however, was on Egypt and Syria. Even during hostilities with the Mongols, trade in luxuries continued to the extent that Mamluk artistic taste was dominated by Ilkhanid art. 38

Trade from the Bilad al-Sudan, along the routes across the western and central Sahara, continued to be directed to the North African states but the routes and emporia underwent a series of changes. In the seventh/thirteenth century, the primary west Saharan route, still passing from Sijilmasa via the salt mines of Taghaza, shifted eastward to Walata. 39 Of the late medieval North African states, the Marinids were the most ambitious in attempting to control the Bilad al-Sudan commerce. In the mid-eighth/fourteenth century, sultans Abu al-Hasan (r. 731-49/ 1331-48) and Abu c Inan (r. 749-59/1348-58) directed campaigns against the northern termini of this trade, Tlemcen under the Zayyanids and Tunis under the Hafsids. 40 With regard to the southern

termini, the Marinids exchanged diplomatic missions with the rulers of Mali and it is possible that Ibn Battuta's journey to Mali was under the sponsorship of the Marinid sultan. Sudanese rulers were as ambitious as the Marinids. The ruler of Kanem, Muhammad Dunama Dibalemi (r. 618-57/ 1221-59), conquered the Fezzan to secure the route northward to Hafsid territory. Further west, Mansa Uli (r. 653-68/1255-70), the son and successor of Mari Sun Dyata (r. 627-53/1230-55), founder of the Mali empire, conquered Walata, which required the trans-Saharan firm of the Maqqari brothers, mentioned earlier, to ingratiate themselves with the Malinke rulers. In the eighth/fourteenth century, Sijilmasa declined and the oases in Tuwat and Gurara, to the east, became the staging point for caravans to the south. Emporia in the south shifted eastward as well. Gao and Timbuktu, with easy access to the Niger river, supplanted Walata as commercial centres. In the ninth/fifteenth century, the Songhay empire established its capital at Gao and became the first Sudanic state to extend its control northward to the salt mine at Taghaza. 41

The centre of gravity of West African trade thus gradually shifted from the culturally mixed region of the Sahel to the Bilad al-Sudan proper. Insoll has argued on the basis of excavated trade goods as well as tombstone inscriptions from Gao Ancien that in the seventh/thirteenth century trade in the western Sudan had become indigenised; an elite continued to control trade, but this was a local elite that had converted to Islam. Indeed, trade in the Mali empire

Overland trade in the western Islamic world

was a primary instrument of imperial control. Mali officials assiduously protected the rights, property and passage of foreign traders and the ruler held a monopoly on strategic imports, such as horses and metals. Of course, gold remained the West African commodity that dominated the reputation of the region. The kings of Mali, however, did not have direct control over gold, although unusually large nuggets of gold were reserved for them; instead they enjoyed control over the routes to gold-producing regions and ceded control over them to the indigenous groups who worked the fields. 42

Starting in the seventh/thirteenth century, commercial ties increased between Egypt and the bUM al-takrur. The hajj, scholarly exchange and trade tied the western Sudan to the Islamic heartland via an overland route that largely skirted the North African littoral, running northward from Tadmakkat to Ghadames and Tripoli or to Ghat and the oases of Egypt's Western Desert. The economic reputation of Mali was reinforced by the lavish pilgrimage caravans of several of its rulers, who passed through Cairo on their way to Mecca, and the wealth distributed by Mansa Musa I (r. 712-37/ 1312-37) was especially notorious for its alleged impact on the economy of Egypt. 43 In Mamluk-period scholarly writing, there is a greater interest in the affairs of the Bilad al-Sudan, reflecting increased scholarly contact and awareness of a vibrant Muslim state on the other side of the Sahara. Egyptian merchants became a noted presence in the trans-Saharan trade, mentioned by a number of Arabic sources starting from the seventh/thirteenth century as well as European reports from the ninth/fifteenth century. Among the former, Ibn Battuta noted that the inhabitants of the copper-mining town of

Takadda traded annually with Egypt from which they imported fine cloth and other goods. 44 Slaves continued to be exported northward from Kanem and Bornu, in exchange for horses, and also from Mali, whose human exports were in demand in the Maghrib. Most African slaves in Egypt were from the central Sudan, Nubia, and East Africa, although their ranks did include Takruris. 45 Trade between eastern Sudan and Egypt did not commence until the conversion of the Funj sultans in Sinnar at the turn of the tenth/ sixteenth century, although regular caravans along the Darb al-Arba'in did not operate until the eleventh/ seventeenth century. 46 Commercial and cultural contacts with Egypt are also evident in the slave trade that passed southwards to Bilad al-Sudan. Ibn Fadlallah al- c Umari (d. 749/1349) reported that central Asian mamluks served in the retinue of the rulers of Mali. 47

As in earlier centuries, the lands of the eastern Mediterranean constituted a critical juncture between the commerce of the Sahara and the Mediterranean and that of Asia. Under the Fatimids Egypt's industrial production, especially textiles, endowed it with a robust commercial position in intercontinental

657

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

trade. However, manufactures decreased under the Ayyubids and Mamluks, leading Egypt and Syria to trade in raw materials rather than finished goods.

The textile industry was the first to unravel. Egypt continued to export some fabrics; al-Maqrizi (d. 845/1442) mentioned high-quality silk, for example, although on a much-reduced basis. However, the country as a whole relied on the importation of cheap cloth from Europe as well as India, while exporting cotton, flax and wool. Other industries deteriorated as well: sugar, soap, glass. In the eighth/fourteenth and ninth/ fifteenth centuries, Egypt and Syria regularly imported foodstuffs. Thus by the seventh/ thirteenth century, the European economy started to overshadow that of Egypt and Syria, leading Ashtor to posit a dual economy in the ninth/fifteenth century. For example, southern European olive oil was 50 to 100 per cent more expensive in Egypt than olive oil from Syria. 48 As Egypt and Syria became more dependent on the transit trade from the Indian Ocean world, so they also became dependent on the European-controlled transit trade across the Mediterranean, even to North African destinations. In the seventh/ thirteenth century the Iberian Peninsula started to direct its products to Europe. 49 Egypt and Syria did likewise.

The Ayyubids and Mamluks were still able to maintain their economic position owing in part to their connections abroad; trade was indeed the foundation of empire. The conquest of Yemen, under the leadership of Saladin's brother al-Mu c azzam Turanshah (r. 569-77/ 1174-81), ensured Ayyubid domination of the transit trade between Aden and Egypt until the time of the Rasulids. Saladin has been credited by some with originating the protectionist policy of excluding European merchants from operating in the Red Sea and in the interior of the Ayyubid lands. The desire to control foreign commercial activity continued from the Fatimid period, as evident in the use of safe-conducts and funduqs 'to regularize, exploit, and control Christian merchant activities'. 50 In Egypt and Syria this trend reached its height in the ninth/fifteenth century with the exploitation of all merchants, to the extent that Ashtor asserted that it 'was the ruin of the upper stratum of the Levantine

bourgeoisie', leading to 'the decline of [the medieval Islamic] countries, with their economic and political submission to the Western powers'. 51 The consequences of a trade-oriented foreign policy are more evident in the Mamluk period. Ayas, the Mediterranean entrepot of the trans-Asian trade, was a repeated target of the Mamluk military in the late seventh /thirteenth and eighth/fourteenth centuries and in 722/1322, al-Nasir Muhammad (third reign, 709-41 /1310-41) won 50 per cent of the port's customs revenue as tribute. Of course, the Red Sea route was particularly valuable to the Mamluks for its access to the spice trade, although caravans between Bilad al-Sham and the

658

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Overland trade in the western Islamic world

Gulf are documented into the ninth/fifteenth century. 52. Unlike the Fatimids and Ayyubids, the Mamluks never attempted a serious invasion of Yemen, relying instead on direct rule and proxies to control trade as it passed through the ports of the northern Red Sea. 53

While mutual trust and friendship still had a role in trade, political forces played a much larger role in commerce. The heightened concern of rulers with trade meant that traders, like the Karimls, had also to concern themselves with political powers. The Karimls were a prominent group of wealthy businessmen most often associated with spices but who dealt in other goods as well. Goitein observed that the term, first attested in the Geniza documents from the fifth/ eleventh century, indicated a convoy or group of merchants. Although they were by no means the dominant traders at this time, Goitein suggested that they outlasted their competitors because

they were able to get protection from the regimes in Yemen and Egypt, which allowed them to flourish in the eastern trade. Madmun, the representative of the merchants in Aden in the mid-sixth/twelfth century, established agreements 'with the rulers of the seas and the deserts' to protect his convoys and caravans. In the eighth /fourteenth century the Karimls had a reputation for tremendous wealth and lent money to the Mamluk and Rasulid sultans, as well as, most famously perhaps, Mansa Musa of Mali, who exhausted his funds on his return from the pilgrimage. These merchants are said to have engaged in business from the Maghrib to China, although the biographical data suggest that they operated in fixed circuits of business, primarily in Bilad al-Sham, Egypt and Yemen. The precise nature of the group, however, remains unresolved: a formal guild with an established hierarchy, a constellation of firms or a group that co-operated informally. 54 However, the Karimi merchants should not be taken as representative of the commercial community. The Vienna documents, datable from the fourth/tenth to the eighth/fourteenth centuries and of uncertain provenance, reveal primarily transactions of bulk commodities in the Nile valley. Another important source of texts, from an archaeological context, is the collection of Quseir letters, which provides an ethnographic view of 'stationary merchants', in the late sixth/twelfth and early seventh/thirteenth century. The family of Abu Mufarrij dealt primarily in the grain trade between Qus in the Nile valley and Mecca, and had not infrequent contact with Yemen, although the site also contains trade goods from Indian fabrics to West African iron money. Noteworthy is the absence of evidence for large-scale trade in pepper, which would support the conclusion that during this period the long-distance spice trade was dominated by the Karimls. The

Vienna and Quseir texts thus reveal an important dimension of long-distance commerce - trading in bulk commodities - overlooked in most literary sources, which are more preoccupied with luxuries. 55

Overland trade in the western half of the Islamic world grew from much older interconnections, its primary markets reorienting themselves in roughly the fifth/ eleventh and seventh/thirteenth centuries. It has been argued that long-distance trade reached a new level of integration in the seventh/thirteenth century, forming a Eurasian world system based on interdependence and lacking hegemonic hierarchy. Certainly an Afro-Eurasian network had existed; however, the degree of integration in contrast to the centuries immediately before and after the seventh/thirteenth century remains difficult to determine. Others have observed that the main markets of overland trade that forged these channels of integration consisted themselves of hierarchies of control. None of these centres of power proved to be especially durable. More long-lasting was the work of the long-distance Muslim traders themselves, who laid the groundwork for the creation of an intercontinental cultural commonwealth. 56

Notes

i. Nehemia Levtzion, 'Islam in the Bilad al-Sudan to 1800', in Levtzion, *The history of Islam in Africa*, Athens, Ohio, 2000, 63-91; H.J. Fisher, 'The eastern Maghrib and the central Sudan', in *The Cambridge history of Africa*, 8 vols., Cambridge, 1975-86; repr. 1994-2002, vol. III, 238-40.

2. Michael Brett, 'Ifriqiyya as a market for Saharan trade from the tenth to the twelfth century, a.d. ', *JAH*, 10 (1969), 347-64; S. D. Goitein, 'Medieval Tunisia, the hub of the Mediterranean: A Geniza study', in Goitein, *Studies in Islamic history and institutions*, Leiden, 1966, 308-28, especially 318-19.

3. Nehemia Levtzion, *Ancient Ghana and Mali*, London, 1973; P. F. de Moraes Farias, 'The Almoravids: Some questions concerning the character of the movement during its periods of closest contact with the western Sudan', *Bulletin de l'Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire* (set. B), 29, 3-4 (1967), 794-878; D.C. Conrad and H.J. Fisher, 'The conquest that never was: Ghana and the Almoravids, 1076', *History in Africa*, 9 (1982), 21-59; 10 (1983), 53-78.

4. Timothy Insoll, *The archaeology of Islam in Sub-Saharan Africa*, Cambridge, 2003, p. 215; H. Lhote, 'Recherches sur Takedda, ville decrite par le voyageur arabe Ibn Battouta et situee en Air', *Bulletin de l'Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire* (ser. B), 34 (1972), 429-70, at 450-3.

5. Al-Bakri, *Description de l'Afrique septentrionale: Kitab al-mughrib fidhikr bilad Ifriqiya wa'l-Maghrib*, ed. MacGuckin de Slane, Algiers, 1857, 175, 183; N. Levtzion and J. F. P. Hopkins, *Corpus of early Arabic sources for West African history*, Cambridge, 1981, 79-80, 87; Insoll, *Archaeology of Islam in Sub-Saharan Africa*, 221-2, 258.

660

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Overland trade in the western Islamic world

6. Levtzion, 'Islam in the Bilad al-Sudan', 63-91; Nehemia Levtzion, 'Merchants versus scholars and clerics in West Africa: Differential and complementary roles', *Asian and African Studies*, 20 (1986), 2, 7-43.

7. Nehemia Levtzion, 'The Sahara and the Sudan from the Arab conquest of the

Maghrib to the rise of the Almoravids', in *The Cambridge history of Africa*, 8 vols., Cambridge, 1975-86; repr. 1994-2002, vol. II, 651-2.

8. H. Terrasse, 'Sur des tessons de poterie vernisee et peinte trouves a Teghasa', *Bulletin du Comite d'Etudes Historiques et Scientifique Afrique Occidentale Francais*, 21 (1938), 520-2; T. Monod, 'Nouvelles remarques sur Tehgaza (Sahara occidental)', *Bulletin de l'Institut Francais d'Afrique Noire*, 2 (1940), 248-54.

9. P. M. de Moraes Farias, 'Silent trade: Myth and historical evidence', *History in Africa*, 1 (1974), 9-24.

10. Paul E. Lovejoy, *Salt of the desert sun: A history of salt production and trade in the central Sudan*, Cambridge, 1986; E. Ann McDougall, 'Salts of the western Sahara: Myths, mysteries and historical significance', *International journal of African Historical Studies*, 23 (1990), 231-57.

11. Paul E. Lovejoy, 'The internal trade of West Africa before 1800', in J. Ajayi and M. Crowder (eds.), *History of West Africa*, Harlow, 1985, 648-90; Insoll, *Archaeology of Islam in Sub-Saharan Africa*, 249.

12. Ronald Messier, 'The Almoravids: West African gold and the gold currency of the Mediterranean basin', *JESHO*, 17 (1984), 31-47.

13. D. S. Robert, 'Les fouilles des Teghdaoust', *JAH*, 2 (1970), 471-93; Insoll, *Archaeology of Islam in Sub-Saharan Africa*, 222, 241; Timothy Insoll, 'A cache of hippopotamus ivory at Gao, Mali, and a hypothesis of its use', *Antiquity*, 69 (1995), 327-36.

14. EI2, art. 'Tiraz' (Yedida K. Stillman et al.); Gladys Frantz-Murphy, 'A new interpretation of the economic history of medieval Egypt: The role of the textile industry, 254-567/ 868-1171', *JESHO*, 24 (1981), 274-94.

15. Warren Schultz, 'The monetary history of Egypt, 642-1517', in *The Cambridge*

history of Egypt, 2 vols (Cambridge, 1998), vol. 1, 328-9; D. M. Dunlop, 'Sources of gold and silver according to al-Hamdani', *SI*, 8 (1952), 29-49.

16. Bernard Lewis, 'The Fatimids and the route to India', *Revue de la Faculte des Sciences Economiques de l'Universite d'Istanbul*, 11 (1949-50), 50-4; Paula A. Sanders, 'The Fatimid state, 969-1171', in *The Cambridge history of Egypt*, vol. I, 163.

17. Wladyslaw B. Kubiak and George T. Scanlon, 'Fustat expedition: Preliminary report, 1971, part I', *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*, 16 (1979), 103-24; Louise Mackie, 'Covered with flowers: Medieval floor coverings excavated at Fustat in 1980', *Oriental Carpet and Textile Studies*, 1 (1980), 23-35.

18. Stephen Reinert, 'The Muslim presence in Constantinople, ninth to fifteenth centuries: Some preliminary observations', in Helene Ahrweiler and Angeliki E. Laiou (eds.), *Studies on the internal diaspora of the Byzantine empire*, Washington, D.C, 1998, 125-50, at 130-1, 140-1; M. T. Mansouri, *Recherche sur les relations entre Byzance et l'Egypte (1259-1451)*, Manouba, 1992.

19. Frederick H. van Doorninck, Jr., 'The medieval shipwreck at Serce Liman: An early eleventh century Fatimid-Byzantine commercial voyage', *Graeco-Arabica*,

661

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

4 (1991), 45-52; George F. Bass et al., *Serge Limani: An eleventh-century shipwreck*, vol. I, College Station, Texas, 2004.

20. Claude Cahen, *The formation of Turkey: The Seljukid sultanate of Rum, eleventh to fourteenth century*, Harlow, 2001, 95; Reinert, 'The Muslim presence', 132.

21. Ibn Jubayr, *The travels of Ibn Jubayr*, trans. R.J. C. Broadhurst, London, 1952, 313-18; S. D. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society: The Jewish communities of the Arab world as portrayed in the documents of the Cairo Geniza*, 6 vols., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967-93, vol. I, 276.

22. Al-Idrisi, *Opus geographicorum: Nuzhat al-mushtaq fi ikhtiraq al-afdq*, 9 fasc, Leiden, 1970-84, fasc. II, 107; Yaqut, *Mu'jam al-buldan*, 6 vols., Leipzig, 1866-73, vol. I, 821; Levtzion and Hopkins, *Corpus*, 118, 169.

23. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society*, vol. I, 215, 275-81.

24. Al-Idrisi, *Opus geographicorum*, fasc. III, 232; Levtzion and Hopkins, *Corpus*, 128.

25. Michael the Syrian, *Chronique de Michel le Syrien, patriarche Jacobite d'Antioche (1166-1199)*, ed. J. -B. Chabot, 4 vols., Paris, 1899-1910; repr. Brussels, 1963, vol. III, 236.

26. Ibn Khaldun, *Kitab al-'ibar wa-dlwan al-mubtada 1 wal-khabar ft ayyam al-'arab wa'l-'ajam wa'l-barbar*, 7 vols., Beirut, 1956-9, vol. VII, 108; Levtzion and Hopkins, *Corpus*, 338-9.

27. Robert Capot-Rey, *L'Afrique blanche francaise: Le Sahara francais*, 2 vols., Paris, 1953, vol. II, 213.

28. EI2, art. 'Tidjara' (Maya Shatzmiller).

29. Goitein, *A Mediterranean society*, vol. I, 220, cf 335.

30. T. Monod, 'Le Ma'den Ijafen: Une epave caravanierre ancienne dans la Majabat al-Koubra', in *Actes du premier colloque international d'archeologie africaine*, 1966, Fort Lamy, Fort Lamy, 1969, 286-320.

31. Al-Maqqari, *Nafh al-tib min ghusn al-Andalus al-ratib*, ed. Ihsan 'Abbas, 8 vols., Beirut, 1968, vol. V, 203-6; Levzion and Hopkins, *Corpus*, 307-8.
32. Olivia Remie Constable, *Housing the stranger in the Mediterranean world: Lodging, trade, and travel in Late Antiquity and the Middle Ages*, Cambridge, 2003, 78; Cahen, *The formation of Turkey*, 95.
33. Paula A. Sanders, 'The Fatimid state', 163; Frantz-Murphy, *A new interpretation*', 274-94.
34. Mufaddal ibn Abi Fada'il, *Al-Nahj al-sadid wa'l-durr al-faridfimd ba'da ta'nkh Ibn al-'Amid*, ed. and trans. E. Blochet, *Patrologia Orientalis*, 143 (1920), 506; J. Michael Rogers, 'Evidence for Mamluk-Mongol relations, 1260-1360', in *Colloque international sur l'histoire du Caire*, 2j mars-5 avril 1969, Cairo, [1972], 399.
35. Marco Polo, *The travels of Marco Polo*, trans. Maria Bellonci, London, 1984, 22.
36. Cahen, *The formation of Turkey*, 90, 239, citing Simon of St Quentin.
37. Andrew Ehrenkreutz, 'The slave trade between Genoa and Mamluk Egypt in the second half of the thirteenth century', in A. L. Udovitch (ed.), *The Islamic Middle East, yoo-1900: Studies in economic and social history*, Princeton, 1981, 335-45.
38. Rogers, 'Evidence for Mamluk-Mongol relations', 385-403; David Whitehouse, 'Chinese porcelain in medieval Europe', *Medieval Archaeology*, 16 (1972), 63-78;

Overland trade in the western Islamic world

George T. Scanlon, 'The Fustat mounds: A shard count, 1968', *Archaeology*, 24, 3 (1971), 220-33, at 233.

39. Nehemia Levtzion, 'The western Maghrib and Sudan', in *The Cambridge history of Africa*, vol. III, 370.

40. Jamil Abun-Nasr, *A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period*, Cambridge, 1987, 112.

41. Levtzion, *Ancient Ghana and Mali*, 95-6, 162; John O. Hunwick, *Timbuktu and the Songhay empire: Al-Sa'd's Ta'fikh al-sudan down to 1613 and other contemporary documents*, Leiden, 1999, xli.

42. Levtzion, 'The western Maghrib and Sudan', 387; Timothy Insoll, 'Syncretism, time, and identity: Islamic archaeology in West Africa', in Donald Whitcomb (ed.), *Changing social identity with the spread of Islam: Archaeological perspectives*, *Oriental Institute Seminars 1*, Chicago, 2004, 92-4.

43. Warren C. Schultz, 'Mansa Musa's gold in Mamluk Cairo', in J. Pfeiffer and S. Quinn (eds.), *History and historiography of post-Mongol Central Asia and the Middle East*, Wiesbaden, 2006, 428-47.

44. Al-Maqqari, *Najh al-tib*, vol. V, 206; Ibn Khaldun, *Kitab al-Hbar*, vol. VI, 418-19; Ibn Battuta, *Voyages d'Ibn Batouta: Tuhfat al-nuzzar fi ghara'ib al-amsar wa-'aja'ib al-asfar*, 4 vols., Paris, 1874, vol. IV, 439, 445; Levtzion and Hopkins, *Corpus*, 301, 303-4, 308, 336-7; Charles de la Roncière, *La découverte de l'Afrique au moyen âge: Cartographes et explorateurs*, 3 vols., Cairo, 1924-7, vol. 1, 157; Nehemia Levtzion, 'Mamluk Egypt and Takrur', in Moshe Sharon (ed.), *Studies in Islamic history and civilisation in honour of Professor David Ayalon*, Leiden, 1986, 193, citing Alvise, *Ca* do Mosto and Diego Gomes.

45. David Ayalon, 'Eunuchs in the Mamluk sultanate', in Myriam Rosen-Ayalon (ed.), *Studies in memory of Gaston Wiet*, Jerusalem, 1977, 273.
46. Terry Walz, 'Trading to the Sudan in the XVIth century', *AI*, 15 (1979), 211-33.
47. Salah al-Din al-Munajjid, *Mamlakat Mall Hnda al-jughrafiyin al-muslimln*, Beirut, 1963, 52; Levtzion and Hopkins, *Corpus*, 265; Levtzion, 'Mamluk Egypt and Takrur', 193.
48. Eliyahu Ashtor, *Levant trade in the later Middle Ages*, Princeton, 1983, 259-60, 270-1.
49. Olivia Remie Constable, *Trade and traders in Muslim Spain: The commercial realignment of the Iberian Peninsula, 900-1500*, Cambridge, 1994, 211-39.
50. Subhi Labib, 'Egyptian commercial policy in the Middle Ages', in Michael A. Cook (ed.), *Studies in the economic history of the Middle East*, London, 1970, 63-77; Constable, *Housing the stranger*, 115.
51. Ashtor, *Levant trade*, 280.
52. Federigo Melis, *Documenti per la storia economica dei secoli XIII-XVI con una nota de paleografica commerciale a cura di Elena Cecchi*, Florence, 1972, 330-1.
53. Jean-Claude Garcin, 'Jean-Leon l'Africain et 'Aydhab'', *AI*, 11 (1972), 53-70; John L. Meloy, 'Imperial strategy and political exigency: The Red Sea spice trade and the Mamluk sultanate in the fifteenth century', *JAOS*, 123 (2003), 1-19.
54. Eliyahu Ashtor, 'The Karimi merchants', *JRAS* (1956), 45-56; S. D. Goitein, 'The beginnings of the Karim merchants and the character of their organization', in Goitein, *Studies in Islamic history and institutions*, Leiden, 1966, 351-60; John

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Wansbrough, 'The medieval Karim: An ancient Near Eastern paradigm?', *Studies in Islamic and Middle Eastern texts and traditions in memory of Norman*

Colder, *Journal of Semitic Studies Supplement* 12, Oxford, 2000, 297-306; Gaston

Wiet, 'Les marchands d'epices sous les sultans mamlouks', *Cahiers d'Histoire*

Egyptienne, 7 (1955), 81-147.

55. Werner Diem, *Arabische Geschäftsbriefe des 10. bis 14. Jahrhunderts aus der*

Osterreichischen Nationalbibliothek in Wien, Documenta Arabica Antiqua 1,

Wiesbaden, 1995; Li Guo, *Commerce, culture, and community in a Red Sea port in*

the thirteenth century: The Arabic documents from Quseir, Leiden, 2004; Donald

S. Whitcomb and Janet H. Johnson, *Quseir al-Qadim igj8: Preliminary report*,

Cairo, 1979; Donald S. Whitcomb and Janet H. Johnson, *Quseir al-Qadim* 1980,

Malibu, 1982.

56. Janet Abu-Lughod, *Before European hegemony: The world system, AD 1250-1350*,

New York and Oxford, 1989; Victor Lieberman, 'Abu-Lughod's egalitarian world order: A review article', *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 35

(I 993), 544-50; Immanuel Wallerstein, 'Review of Before European hegemony',

IJMES, 24 (1992), 128-31.

22C

Trade in the Ottoman lands to 1215/ 1800

BRUCE MASTERS

Introduction

Competing political and economic strategies informed the Ottoman state's policies towards commerce and merchants. At the core of the economic worldview of the sultans and their advisers was an appreciation of the revenues that the transit trade of luxury goods produced. The fifth/eleventh-century Qutadghu Bilig said of merchants, 'associate with them as they come and go and do business with them, and give them what they require. For they have acquired all the choice and beautiful and desirable things of the world.' ¹ It was a sentiment with which the Ottoman elite would agree. The sultans were also acutely aware of the strategic possibilities of trade, both as a weapon against their enemies and as a way of rewarding allies. Lastly, as the empire expanded and Istanbul's population grew to number in the hundreds of thousands, the Ottoman court sought to implement policies to ensure the flow of vital commodities to the capital in order to foster social stability. Further complicating the picture, prominent jurists in the empire pronounced some of the policies implemented to achieve these strategic goals as being contrary to Islamic legal traditions. Those, as interpreted by the judges in the empire's Muslim courts, supported the free flow of trade and established a bias in favour of Muslim traders. Given these competing aims, those who governed the empire were not always consistent in their approach to trade as the Ottomans sought to keep pace with shifts in the global patterns of commerce that were occurring outside the sultan's realm.

The Ottomans in a global economy

Although international networks of trade connecting Europe, Asia and Africa predated the empire, the emergence of the early Ottoman beglik coincided with an increased demand among European elites for the luxury products of

665

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

Asia. Italian merchants, having entered the Levant markets as suppliers to the Crusaders, moved to establish friendly trading relations with the post-Mongol Muslim states in the eighth/fourteenth century in the pursuit of profits. The Ottoman sultans undoubtedly were aware of those commercial trends and positioned themselves to take advantage of them. The Ottomans conquered much of western Anatolia during the reign of Sultan Orkhan (726-63/1326-62) and their capital at Brusa (Bursa) emerged as a major commercial hub in the trade of Iranian silk to Italy.

In particular, the Ottomans nurtured commercial relations with Genoa. Hopes for a continued, special relationship with the sultanate encouraged the Genoese to remain technically neutral during the siege of Constantinople in 857/1453. In acknowledgement of that decision, Sultan Mehmed (r. 850-2/1446-8, 855-86 /1451-81) allowed the Genoese, following the city's conquest, to retain their property in Pera/Galata, which lay across the Golden Horn from

the old Byzantine capital, and to trade on favourable terms within the empire. Strong commercial relations with Genoa were obviously good for imperial revenues. But they also served Ottoman strategic interests in countering the ambitions of Genoa's long-time rival Venice as it sought to slow the advance of the Ottomans into the lucrative markets of the Levant by creating military alliances with the sultan's enemies. 2

The fall of Constantinople propelled the Ottomans into a position as one of the leading powers of the Mediterranean basin and they were quick to take advantage of their new capital's location. A revived Istanbul provided the Ottomans with a stranglehold over the Black Sea trade of grain, slaves and furs. Most of these commodities were consumed within the empire, although slaves from the Ukraine and the Caucasus region were highly valued in the Mamluk empire to the south and Italian merchants sought to import Black Sea wheat. Furthermore, the interlocking and complementary markets of the Black Sea and the Aegean, of which Istanbul provided the commercial heart, constituted the most dynamic internal trading zone in the empire. From it came the commodities that fed the sultans, their armies and the population of Istanbul, as well as the wood and stone of which the city was built. With the Black Sea and the Aegean largely secured for the empire by the end of the ninth/fifteenth century, the Ottoman sultans could look beyond the empire's heartland to seek expanded commercial and strategic opportunities, as in the Ottoman political imagination the two could not be easily separated.

The central position of the empire in the networks of global trade was enhanced by the Ottoman conquest of Aleppo in 922/1516 and Cairo in 923/1517. Aleppo had served as one of the main centres in which European

Trade in the Ottoman lands

merchants might obtain Iranian silk and pepper from the Indies for at least a century before its incorporation into the empire. 3 With its fall and that of Damascus in the same year, the Ottomans were in possession of all the western termini of the overland caravan routes from Iran and India to the Mediterranean Sea. Further south, Cairo served as the northern anchor of the trans-Saharan caravan trade in ivory, gold and slaves. But it was also an important importer of Asian spices, and most especially pepper. One of the reasons historians have suggested for the invasion of a fellow Sunni Muslim state was the desire of Sultan Selim (917-26/ 1512-20) to defend that trade from threats posed by the growing European naval presence, both in the Mediterranean and in the Indian Ocean. 4 After the fall of Cairo, naval operations mounted from Egypt secured the ports of the Red Sea as the sultans embarked on a policy to challenge the newly established Portuguese naval domination in the trade of the Indian Ocean. The Ottoman state's ability to play a role in that trade was further augmented with the conquest of Baghdad in 941/1534, followed by the peaceful annexation of the port of Basra a decade later. Basra provided the Ottomans with a base from which to counter the Portuguese, who were aggressively raiding Muslim shipping in the Persian Gulf from their fortified position on the island of Hormuz.

Throughout the tenth/sixteenth century, the Ottoman state attempted to preserve the flow of Asian spices to the Middle East by establishing diplomatic and military missions to Muslim states in India and Sumatra and setting up a

state monopoly, operating out of Cairo, for the import of spices. 5 But ultimately, the contest between the Ottomans and the Portuguese was a draw as the interests of individual merchants and investors triumphed over the commercial ambitions of either the Ottoman or the Portuguese empire. After a brief interruption, pepper again followed the old trade routes and was purchased by European traders in Cairo and Aleppo. But the practice of global commerce was changing, and in the following century the Dutch and the English successfully challenged the Portuguese dominance of the trade of the Indies. With the rise of privately funded trading companies in Amsterdam and London, backed by improved naval warships, northern Europeans could more profitably obtain the commodities of South and South-East Asia directly from the producers than they could through either Portuguese or Ottoman intermediaries. 7 Muslim merchants, however, continued to supply Asian goods to the Ottoman empire until the end of the twelfth /eighteenth century.

Western Europe's international trade in the age of exploration and conquest was increasingly in the hands of joint-stock trading companies that held monopolies for their country's commerce in specifically designated regions of

667

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

the world. England's Queen Elizabeth I granted such powers to the Levant Company in 1581 to trade in the Ottoman empire and a similar joint stock

company was established in Amsterdam in 1034/ 1625. Those two companies, along with French merchants who were regulated by the Marseilles Chamber of Commerce, would handle much of the Ottoman trade to the West for the next two centuries. Previously, individual European merchant houses had conducted much of that commerce across the Mediterranean. These were mostly based in Italy, although not exclusively so, and Ottoman merchants, both Muslim and non-Muslim, could be found in the Italian port cities as well. 9 Merchants following in those traditions did not disappear with the rise of the trading companies. The Venetians, in particular, were able to weather the arrival of their new competitors for at least another century. 10 Armenian merchants, originally from Iran and the Ottoman empire, also established commercial houses in various European ports during this period. 11 But with stricter governmental controls over imports at the European ports, the opportunities for independent traders diminished as the trading monopolies proved extremely effective in controlling the European end of the trade of the Levant. 12

Two commodities, European woollen broadcloths and Iranian raw silk, dominated the Levant trade in the eleventh/ seventeenth century. The Europeans also purchased goods produced within the empire such as cotton yarn, dried fruits, carpets and gallnuts (used in the dyeing of cloth); in return, they imported tin, paper, clocks and silver coins into the empire. But these secondary commodities were often an afterthought purchased by company factors to fill up holds of ships that might otherwise sail partially empty. Iranian silk easily comprised more than half of all goods purchased by the Europeans in the Ottoman empire in the eleventh/ seventeenth century and woollen cloth over half their imports. Although the inhabitants of Istanbul created a local trade deficit with their growing appetite for European imports, the balance of trade in that century was in the Ottoman empire's favour. A steady flow of silver from the West was needed to make up the difference,

although the directors of the English Levant Company consistently opposed the export of silver as detrimental to sound business practice in their mercantilist view of trade. 13 Ottoman merchants used much of that silver, however, to buy imports from the East and, overall, the empire had a net negative international trade balance in bullion.

Both sultans and shahs appreciated the strategic importance of the silk trade to their rivals. Sultan Selim sought to embargo Persian silk from entering his realm during his campaign against the Mamluks. In the early eleventh/seventeenth century, it was the turn of Shah 'Abbas (r. 995-1038 /1587-1629)

668

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Trade in the Ottoman lands

who attempted to turn his country's silk trade into a state monopoly and direct it both northward towards Russia and south to his ports on the Persian Gulf, thereby denying his rival the benefits of the transit trade. But as was the case with the spice trade, these interventions had little lasting impact. With 'Abbas' death, the Armenian merchants transporting Iran's silk returned to the well-established overland caravan routes that led to the Ottoman cities of Aleppo and Izmir. 14

Aleppo had been a major destination of the caravans connecting Asia to the Mediterranean for centuries, if not millennia. The trade in Iranian silk in its markets simply replaced pepper, which had been its most profitable commod-

ity in the preceding century. But the silk trade helped to recast Izmir from a minor port to one of the empire's most important cities. That development was aided by the presence of the factors of the European trading companies who recognised the value not only of the silk that was arriving in the city from Iran but also of the agricultural products of the port's hinterlands. The Europeans transformed the port with their warehouses, taverns and homes, creating a small replica of a European port city, known as the 'Street of the Franks', on Ottoman soil. Izmir presents us with an example of economic growth fuelled by an unregulated market in opposition to the tightly controlled model that the sultans sought to impose on their capital that will be discussed below. 15 Izmir was the first of several 'colonial' port cities, eventually including Alexandria and Beirut, which would emerge on the southern and eastern shores of the Mediterranean as the Ottoman empire was pulled into a global economy dominated by western Europe. Significantly, it never became a provincial capital. Rather Ottoman officialdom thought of the city as being somewhat unsavoury owing to its reliance on trade and the presence of large numbers of foreigners and Ottoman non-Muslims living there and they made very little personal investment in the city.

Another Ottoman port that benefited from the European commercial expansion in the eastern Mediterranean was Salonika (Thessaloniki). It had once been a major Byzantine city, but its economic fortune went into decline with its definitive conquest by the Ottomans in 833/1430. The city's commercial prospects revived, however, with the influx of Iberian Jews who had been expelled from their homeland. The sultans encouraged the Jews, many of whom had been active in the woollen industry of Spain, to settle in the city in the tenth/sixteenth century by granting them a monopoly over the supply of woollen cloth to the palace and the army. That activity transformed the city

and it emerged as a major exporter of the agricultural and industrial output of the Ottoman Balkans long after its woollen industry had collapsed, because of European competition. 1

669

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

With the increasing political instability that plagued Iran in the twelfth/ eighteenth century, the export of silk to the Ottoman lands declined. With that development, the British Levant Company greatly reduced its operations in the empire as its factors scrambled to find products that could be marketed at home. The French merchants were more successful in their adaptation and began to cultivate relations with the politically dominant Druze and Maronite families in Lebanon to expand the production of local silk to be exported for the use of the silk textile industry centred in Lyons. In Cairo, the French merchants specialised in the trade of Yemeni coffee, a product that was increasingly popular in continental Europe. 17 But that trade experienced a decline of its own as the French began to cultivate coffee on plantations established on their Caribbean possessions.

By the end of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, New World coffee was competing with that of Yemen in the markets of the Ottoman empire. With that development, the Ottoman empire ceased to play a major role in the global transit trade that had enriched it in the earlier centuries. Whereas the empire's merchants had once enjoyed a commercial reach well beyond the empire's boundaries, increasingly the empire's external trade was largely bilateral with Europe alone, as European merchants or their agents imported

most of the Asian or African goods that entered the empire. The one exception to this pattern of European dominance was the slave trade. ¹ In return, the empire had become a major exporter of agricultural products, grown locally for western European consumers. In the process, the Ottoman empire was reduced to a role on the economic periphery of a world economy dominated by the European nation-states. ¹⁹

Capitulatory regime

The legal underpinning of the European trade with the Ottoman empire lay in the decrees issued by the sultan that gave dispensations to the European merchants to live and trade in the empire. Islamic law held that all states that were in the *Mr al-harb* (abode of war), i.e. those not headed by a Muslim and governed by Islamic law, were technically at war with the empire. European merchants, therefore, needed an *c ahidname*, literally a 'pledge letter', that would guarantee them the sultan's protection (*istVman*). Such grants were known generically in Europe as 'capitulations', from the Latin *capitula*, 'headings', and *imtiyazat*, 'exemptions' in Ottoman Turkish. Unlike treaties promulgated between sovereign states in Europe, the sultan granted such a decree unilaterally at the request of a particular nation's ambassador.

670

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Trade in the Ottoman lands

These decrees permitted European merchants to reside in specified Ottoman cities and to conduct trade with minimal tariffs and interference but generally

asked for no reciprocity for Ottoman subjects from their respective nations.

The resident Europeans were not subject to the jizye, unlike the Genoese of

Galata, nor were they compelled to abide by Islamic law in issues of their personal status. This was not a break from the practice either of earlier Muslim

rulers or of those Muslim rulers who were the Ottomans' contemporaries. But

over time, the nature of the relationship between sultan and European merchants changed as the European nations came to view these decrees as

actual treaties, negotiated between two sovereign states, rather than simply

being exemptions granted to the merchants through the munificence of the sultan.

The Ottoman sultans understood the strategic implications of the treaties and sought potential allies who might undercut their main rivals in the eastern

Mediterranean, the Venetians and later the Habsburgs, by proffering non-

belligerent European powers special dispensations for trade, usually in the

form of a lower rate of tariffs. The sultans were willing to allow the Europeans

to expand their privileges as they viewed the transit trade as a reliable source

of revenue anyway. With that motive, even the Venetians were not shut out

from trading in the empire. In times when the Republic was not at war with

the sultan, it too was granted an *c ahidname*; Venetian merchants simply had to

pay higher tariffs than their trading rivals.

Sultan Siileyman (r. 926-74/1520-66) issued the first of these 'special-relationship' treaties to France in 941/1535. Similar treaties with England, the

Netherlands and Poland followed. The treaties with Poland were unique in

that they established reciprocity by granting Ottoman merchants, usually Armenians, the same rights in Poland that Polish merchants enjoyed in the

empire. 20 The capitulatory treaties were only valid, however, as long as the

sultan who issued them was alive. Additionally, the sultan could revoke them

unilaterally in times of war when the resident foreign merchants from the

belligerent nation were forced to leave the empire and their goods were confiscated.

For the first century and a half of their existence, the capitulatory treaties merely established that the Europeans could live in the Ottoman empire, but provided few other advantages beyond the lower tariffs that were offered to the 'friendly nations' (*diiwel-i muhibbe*). Even so, those rates were still higher than the transit and import taxes levied on Muslim merchants trading in the empire. The Europeans in that era had to live within the laws and regulations of the Ottoman state and often suffered the disadvantages of trying to do

671

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

business in a society that they did not completely comprehend. 11 As few Europeans learnt Ottoman Turkish, they were largely dependent on their translators, dragomans, who were usually Ottoman Jews or Levantines.

The legal situation of European merchants resident in the empire changed, however, as the balance of military power began to shift in Europe's favour. With the sultan's *L ahidname* with France in 1084/ 1673 and that with England two years later, the Europeans were given the right to take any commercial dispute worth over 4,000 *aqche*, a relatively small sum, to Istanbul where their ambassador would be present at the litigation. This enabled the European merchants to circumvent the authority of the Islamic courts in the cities where they resided. The option of going to Istanbul did not end the Europeans' use

of the local courts when Islamic law was perceived by them as working in their favour, but it gave them another strategy in commercial disputes with Ottoman subjects. 22. But by the end of the twelfth/ eighteenth century, almost every European nation had negotiated a comparable treaty and it was no longer simply the sultan's allies that were so rewarded. Muslim merchants saw that privilege as undermining the advantages given to them by Islamic law and they frequently protested against the right of the Europeans and their proteges to take litigation to the capital. Although Muslim jurists often supported their appeals to the sultan for redress, they seemingly had little apparent effect.

One of the unintended results of the capitulatory treaties after 1084/ 1673 was the acceleration of the emergence of a non-Muslim merchant class in the empire by giving special privileges to those of the sultan's subjects who worked for the Europeans as translators. These included that they would pay the same customs duties as their European patrons, be exempt from paying the jizye and other collective taxes imposed on their religious communities and enjoy the right to take any commercial dispute with ordinary Ottoman subjects to Istanbul for adjudication. Many of the critics of the capitulatory regime point to its wholesale abuse by the European consuls who obtained *bera'ts* (patents) far in excess of the numbers to which they were entitled for their proteges and to the unfair commercial advantages that came with such a *frera't*. 23 The Ottoman bureaucrats were well aware of these potential inequities inherent in the institution, however. To counter the possibilities of abuse, they consistently invoked two principles: the banning of dragomans from trade and the enforcement of the limit placed on the number of individuals who could legitimately be employed by a European consul. Such attempts were usually blocked by interventions of the European consuls, and by the end of the twelfth/eighteenth century some of the wealthiest non-Muslim merchants in the empire enjoyed dragoman status. 24

Trade in the Ottoman lands

Although the participation of the dragomans in trade was annoying to their Muslim competitors, the capitulatory regime was not solely responsible for the emergence of wealthy non-Muslim merchants. Catholics from Dubrovnik, Orthodox Ottoman Christians and Armenians had controlled the overland export trade from the Balkans to eastern and central Europe from the time of the Ottoman conquest of the region. From the twelfth/eighteenth century onwards, Greek merchants and ship captains dominated the trade of the Black Sea, the Aegean and the Ottoman islands of Crete and Cyprus. Syrian Melkite Catholic merchants controlled much of the external commerce of Egypt, in both the Red Sea and the Mediterranean. Further east, Jewish merchants were prominent in the trade between Basra and India. In all of these areas, men who were nominally dragomans for European consuls were involved in the trade. But rather than creating the opportunities for becoming a wealthy merchant, dragoman status was usually something a merchant sought to protect his position once he already achieved commercial success. Dragoman status did not necessarily create wealth but it did secure it.

Although the emergence of a prosperous class of non-Muslim merchants was not directly linked to patronage from the European consuls, there can be little doubt that their change in economic status was tied to the Ottoman empire's growing dependency on trade with the West. Simply put, prejudice on both sides of the religious divide made it difficult for Muslims to continue to participate in international trade once it was carried almost exclusively on

European-owned ships. There were still cases of Muslims dealing directly with the European merchants, especially in the Arab provinces. But increasingly such contact was left to non-Muslim middlemen. Along trade routes where there was very little European economic penetration, however, Muslim merchants continued to dominate commerce. This was most obviously true for the caravan trade connecting the various regions of Arabia.²⁵ But Muslims were typically the leading merchants in inland trading centres such as Damascus, Baghdad, Ankara and Diyarbakir, well into the following century.

Owing to the survival of the records of the European trading companies, scholars have concentrated on the European role and their local non-Muslim partners when discussing the Ottoman empire's international commerce. But the court records of various Ottoman cities show that merchants from Iran, India, Central Asia and North Africa were also participants in Ottoman trade at least to the end of the twelfth/ eighteenth century. But as Muslims ruled those merchants' homelands, Ottoman officials did not treat them as aliens, enemy or otherwise. Rather, merchants from fellow Muslim states, whether they were Muslim or non-Muslim, were subject to the same Islamic law that

673

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

governed Ottoman merchants. As such, there was no need for sultanic decrees guaranteeing their right to trade and travel freely as the holy law already did so. While the Armenian merchants from Iran acquiesced to litigation in Muslim courts, they chafed under the provision that they had to pay the

jizye to the sultan as well as to the shah. They were finally granted an exemption from that requirement in 1101/1690, but it was not until 1848 that the shah negotiated his own capitulatory treaty with the sultan. With it, the Iranians gained the quasi-extraterritorial status that the Europeans had enjoyed for almost two centuries. 2

State interventions in trade

There is little doubt that international trade was important for those who ruled the Ottoman empire, but internal trade generated the bulk of the profits that its merchants made and provided greater tax revenues for the sultan's coffers than was generated by import and export tariffs. With its physical size and its diversity of climates and ecological zones, the empire constituted a micro world system in itself. In trying to regulate the empire's internal trade, the Ottomans used two very different strategies. The first was to impose state control over the provisioning of the capital and the imperial army. 27 The monopolies that such provisioning required were strategic necessities that dwarfed any purely economic concerns. If there were insecurity along the empire's borders or civil unrest in its capital, the empire might fall, or at the very least the sultan might lose his throne as he did in 1143/ 1730. The other strategy was almost a polar opposite of the first and held that free trade should be encouraged. Centuries of Islamic economic experience had taught the sultans and their advisers to promote the flow of trade by imposing minimal tariffs on the caravans, providing security along their routes and through the construction and maintenance of commercial infrastructure at state expense. Ottoman policies towards internal trade could thus be summarised rather simply. In cases where the state's political interests were at stake, those concerns took precedence over the market. Otherwise the state's intervention in trade was to be kept at a minimum and applied only if the free flow of trade was threatened.

Istanbul's population, which approached half a million in the tenth-eleventh/sixteenth-seventeenth centuries, consumed an overwhelming array of products, both imported and domestically produced. Almost all the city's trade arrived by sea. That made it possible for the state to provide the city inhabitants with foodstuffs that were otherwise prohibitively expensive in the inland cities

674

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Trade in the Ottoman lands

of the empire. Rice provides a good example of that economic reality. While only the wealthy consumed it in the interior of the empire, it was a staple for much of the population of Istanbul, thanks to state-subsidised sea transport. Sugar, spices and coffee came to Istanbul from Egypt, besides rice; from markets closer to the city in the Balkans and western Anatolia, merchants or state agents would bring olive oil, wheat, fruits and meat on the hoof. The supply of meat was a particular concern, and throughout the two centuries following the city's conquest the Ottoman authorities compelled individuals to act as the state's agents in the procurement of live sheep that were then sold at fixed prices to butchers in the city. Compulsion was necessary as the individuals involved usually lost money on the transactions owing to the fact that the meat was sold in the capital at prices below what it could fetch in the unregulated provincial markets. 2

The wheat trade of the Black Sea and the export of sugar and rice from Egypt were also carefully regulated and monitored by the authorities in the capital. Such state intervention was relatively uncommon in Muslim history, but it represented an adaptation of Byzantine practices that, in turn, echoed those of ancient Rome. The emperors, like the sultans, had to supply a population that had outgrown the capacity of the free market to provide the necessities of life for the urban poor in an imperial capital. Within the city's markets, the state exercised considerable control over other aspects of commerce as well. Periodically, the merchants and guild representatives would meet with state officials to draw an official price list (narkh defteri) for all the commodities that were sold in Istanbul. Copies of the list would then be distributed to the city's judges, who were authorised to compel compliance in the marketplace. 29 Outside the capital, state intervention in the market occurred only in times of economic crisis, such as drought or locust infestation. Otherwise, provincial markets were left to the natural forces of supply and demand, although guilds could set their own price structure internally. Once agreed upon, the local judges would impose that price structure on errant members, if necessary. Islamic legal scholars, outside the capital, considered such attempts at price fixing illegal, however, and provincial courts, particularly in the Arab provinces, were ambivalent at best about them.

Whether or not the intervention of the Ottoman state in Istanbul's trade had a positive effect on the empire's economy is still an open question. But there can be little doubt that the sultan's commitment to keep the trade routes open did help to secure the regional caravan trade at a time when competition from the European trading companies threatened to give it a fatal blow. Throughout the empire, the state constructed and maintained bridges and

The New Cambridge History of Islam

caravanserais that would aid the caravans' progress and provide security for the merchants travelling with them. Garrisons were also maintained at strategic points to guard the merchants against bandits, or in the Arab provinces against Bedouins. The most prestigious of all the routes so protected was the Sultan Yolu, the 'Sultan's Road', running from Uskiidar to the Holy Cities of Mecca and Medina.

In 1080/ 1669, Ibrahim al-Khiyari (d. 1083/ 1672) set out from his native Medina to follow the Sultan's Road to Istanbul. Along the way, he meticulously recorded the caravanserais, garrisons and bridges that the Ottoman state provided to keep the road open. He also recorded a close encounter with Bedouin raiders that made such infrastructure necessary. In addition, he recorded the location of regional markets that had sprung up along the road to provide various local commodities to the travellers. 30 With upwards of 20,000 pilgrims travelling the route each year, the annual hajj was probably the single largest motor of the Ottoman economy, after the army. Prices for all sorts of commodities would rise as the pilgrims approached Damascus at the start of the pilgrimage and prices for Yemeni coffee, Indian cotton cloth and spices plummeted in the city upon their return. 31 A similar economic impact occurred all along the route in each of the cities through which the pilgrims passed.

In addition to the direct investment of the Ottoman state into the commerce-

cial infrastructure of the empire, those who enjoyed the sultan's favour and patronage contributed significantly to the construction of commercial infrastructure through the institution of pious endowments (waqfs). Although the beneficiary of the waqf was usually a charity, the building of marketplaces and commercial buildings almost invariably accompanied the construction of a new mosque or other institutions such as hospitals or soup kitchens for the poor; the rent from the worldly properties would subsidise the spiritual aims of the endowment.

There was a tremendous building boom throughout the empire during the tenth/ sixteenth century in which high-ranking Ottoman officials and their wives invested large sums in the construction of commercial structures that would support charitable causes. In the process, the cities of the Ottoman Balkans benefited greatly and new cities such as Sarajevo came into being. Although urban growth supported by waqfs was most noticeable in the Balkans, other cities in the empire enjoyed court patronage as well. The commercial heart of the city of Aleppo, for example, doubled in size throughout the course of the tenth/ sixteenth century. Ottoman officials who were, or had been, stationed in the city paid for the construction of new markets and

676

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Trade in the Ottoman lands

caravanserais to support three new Ottoman-style mosques that were built in

that first century of Ottoman rule in the city, putting an Ottoman face on the skyline. 32

As was the case with the Muslim states that pre-dated it or were its contemporaries, the Ottoman empire sought to promote trade whenever possible. But its concerns were focused on commerce itself rather than on the merchants who conducted it. In contrast, the western European nations consistently pressured the Ottoman court for commercial advantages for their own nationals after the eleventh/ seventeenth century. That was, perhaps, to be expected as the ambassadors at the Ottoman court were typically commercial agents for the joint stock companies rather than crown-appointed diplomats. For the Europeans, diplomacy was all about trade and little else mattered. That gave them a single issue when negotiating with Ottoman officials for whom trade was only one issue of concern. Awareness of the nature of that imbalance came very late to the sultan's advisers and the empire would suffer the consequences in the nineteenth century CE.

Notes

1. Yusuf Khasse Hajib, *Wisdom of royal glory (Kutadgu Bilig): A Turko-Islamic mirror for princes*, trans. Robert Dankoff, Chicago, 1983, 184.
2. Kate Fleet, *European and Islamic trade in the early Ottoman state: The merchants of Genoa and Turkey*, Cambridge, 1999, 4-12.
3. Eliyahu Ashtor, *Levant trade in the later Middle Ages*, Princeton, 1983, 121, 324-5.
4. Palmira Brummett, *Ottoman seapower and Levantine diplomacy in the age of discovery*, Albany, NY, 1994, 51-87, 175-82.
5. Giancarlo Casale, 'The Ottoman administration of the spice trade in the sixteenth-century Red Sea and Persian Gulf', *JESHO*, 49 (2006), 170-98.

6. Fernand Braudel, *The Mediterranean and the Mediterranean world of Philip II*, trans. Sian Reynolds, 2 vols, New York, 1972, vol. I, 562-70.
7. Niels Steensgaard, *The Asian trade revolution of the seventeenth century; The East India Companies and the decline of the caravan trade*, Chicago, 1974.
8. Halil Inalcik, *Osmanlı İmparatorluğu: Toplum ve ekonomi*, İstanbul, 1993, 259-317.
9. Cemal Kafadar, *A death in Venice (1575): Anatolian Muslim merchants trading in the Serenissima*, *JTS*, 10 (1986), 91-128.
10. Eric Dursteler, *Venetians in Constantinople: Nation, identity, and coexistence in the early modern Mediterranean*, Baltimore, 2006.
11. Kerem Kevonian, *'Marchands arméniens au XVII^e siècle'*, *Cahiers du Monde Russe et Soviétique*, 16 (1975), 199-244.
12. Bruce Masters, *The origins of western economic dominance in the Middle East: Mercantilism and the Islamic economy in Aleppo, 1600-1750*, New York, 1988, 88.
13. *Ibid.* 148-50.

677

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

14. Rudolph Matthee, *The politics of trade in Safavid Iran: Silk for silver, 1600-1730*, Cambridge, 1999.
15. Daniel Goffman, *Izmir and the Levantine world, 1550-1650*, Seattle, 1990; Elena

Frangakis-Syrett, The Commerce of Smyrna in the eighteenth century (1700-1820), Athens, 1992.

16. Mark Mazower, Salonica, city of ghosts: Christians, Muslims and Jews, 1430-1950, New York, 2005.

17. Andre Raymond, Artisans et commerçants au Caire au XVIII^e siècle, 2 vols., Damascus, 1973-4> vol. I, 173-9.

18. Ehud Toledano, The Ottoman slave trade and its suppression: 1840-1890, Princeton, 1982, 14-54.

19. Immanuel Wallerstein, The modern world-system, vol. III: The second era of great expansion of the capitalist world system, 1730-1840s, San Diego, 1989, 129-89.

20. Dariusz Kolodziejczyk, Ottoman-Polish diplomatic relations (15th-18th century): An annotated edition of *Ahdnames* and other documents, Leiden, 2000, 337-44.

21. Daniel Goffman, Britons in the Ottoman Empire 1642-1660, Seattle and London, 1998, 13-28.

22. Maurits van den Boogert, The capitulations and the Ottoman legal system: Qadis, consuls and *beraths* in the 18th century, Leiden, 2005.

23. Ali İhsan Bağış, *Osmanlı ticaretinde gayri Müslimler*, Ankara, 1983, 17-38.

24. Bruce Masters, Christians and Jews in the Ottoman Arab world: The roots of sectarianism, Cambridge, 2001, 71-80.

25. Hala Fattah, The politics of regional trade in Iraq, Arabia, and the Gulf, 1445-1900, Albany, 1997, 63-90.

26. Bruce Masters, 'The Treaties of Erzurum (1823 and 1848) and the changing status of Iranians in the Ottoman Empire', *IS*, 24 (1991), 3-15.

27. Rhoads Murphey, Ottoman warfare 1500-1600, New Brunswick, 1999, 85-103.
28. Soraiya Faruqi, 'Trade: Regional, inter-regional and international', in Halil Inalcik and Donald Quataert (eds.), Economic and social history of the Ottoman Empire, 1300-1914, Cambridge, 1994, 493-9.
29. Metin Kütükoğlu, Osmanlılarda pazar müessesesi ve 1640 tarihli pazar defteri, Istanbul, 1983.
30. Ibrahim al-Madani al-Khiyari, Tuhfat al-udaba' wa-salwat al-ghuraba' 3 vols., Baghdad, 1969.
31. 'Abd al-Karim Rafiq, Dirasat iqtisadiyya wa-ijtima'iyya fi ta'rikh bilad al-sham al-hadith, Damascus, 2002, 169-92.
32. Heghnar Zeitlian Watenpaugh, The image of an Ottoman city: Imperial architecture and urban experience in Aleppo in the 16th and 17th centuries, Leiden, 2004, 60-122.

678

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

23

The ulama >

MANUELA MARIN

Introduction

Scholars (c ulama', sing. l alim) constitute the most fully documented social group of pre-modern Islamic societies. Information is so abundant that in some cases we can track specific families across considerable geographical and chronological distances and carry out quantitative sociological analyses. 1 This wealth of information comes to us directly from the 'wkma 3 themselves, as they were careful to leave detailed written records of their names, activities and professional accomplishments in the form of thousands upon thousands of biographical entries compiled in what are known as 'biographical dictionaries'.

The image that the 'ulama 1 convey of themselves is one of individuals fully dedicated to the study and dissemination of knowledge (Him). The 'ulama 1 preserved and spread the revealed Word, the deeds and sayings of the Prophet Muhammad and the legal system which gave form to their society. As the individuals who shaped and interpreted this knowledge, the i ulama' regarded themselves as 'heirs of the prophets'. Their social practices were calculated to preserve and pass on their rank, just as the c ulamd' preserved and transmitted their knowledge, from one generation to the next. Thus, biographical dictionaries have been regarded by some modern scholars as the Islamic counterpart of the official archives that we find for the medieval Christian West. 2

In spite of an acute sense among the ^ulamd' themselves that they constituted a collective entity, it is difficult to define them as such. A particular individual was recognised as an 'alim by his own peers, or when the number and devotion of his disciples earned him fame as a teacher. But there existed no 'profession' of c alim as such, to which one might gain access through a pre-established process and which would then automatically lead to salaried employment in the public administration (at any rate, not until the end of the ninth/fifteenth century, when the Ottoman state established just such a

The New Cambridge History of Islam

system). It is true that only an [^]alim could be eligible for certain posts, such as those that required a complete mastery of jurisprudence. But there were i ulama' who earned a living by professions that were far removed from the world of learning, or who were independently wealthy. In short, the social origins and professional careers of individual 'ulatna 1 varied considerably, and this fact conditions any attempt to study them as a homogeneous body. They all had one thing in common, which was their devotion to the pursuit of knowledge.

We cannot know for sure the process by which the authors of the biographical dictionaries decided which individuals were true [^]ulama' and hence worthy of inclusion in their works. This is especially the case for the lesser ranks of scholars. Many individuals are listed simply as 'learned men': besides their names, all we know about them is the fact that they had studied under a particular scholar. By contrast, it is a relatively simple matter to discern the elements of excellence that would earn a scholar the lengthy biographical entry of a great 'alim\ though it must be noted that these elements might vary considerably from one historical period to the next. One of the basic prerequisites was having studied under a large number of illustrious masters. No less important was having in turn attracted a large number of disciples. It was also essential to have mastery of more than one area of knowledge, a character of exemplary virtue and a life guided by impeccable piety. In certain regions and periods, the most renowned 'ulama'

were distinguished by having had links with Sufism. Descent from a family of 'ulam<V unquestionably also facilitated an early entry into the ranks of the renowned, but it by no means guaranteed it. High value was placed on individual effort, and the ability to earn one's own reputation counted for a great deal, given that the hierarchy of prestige among the 'ulama' was based on the degree of recognition among his peers that an individual achieved. Specialisation in a particular branch of learning might also play a decisive role. In Timbuktu, the highest of various clearly defined ranks among the 'ulama' was reserved for those who specialised in Islamic jurisprudence (fuqaha\ sing, faqih). 3

The 'ulama' displayed their awareness of belonging to a special category by outward signs of identity. The Baghdad judge Abu Yusuf (d. 182/798) is thought to have introduced the wearing of particular clothes by those who exercised public functions associated with religious law. Throughout the period covered in this volume, the 'ulama' were clearly recognisable in their communities by not only their clothes but also their headdress. At the same time, they were always expected to conduct themselves with decorum and

680

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The l u]ama'

avoid signs of ostentation. When they failed to comply, they could expect stern criticism. 4 As members of the learned elite, their conduct and appearance had to serve as models for the rest of society.

The 'ulama' and the cities

Cities were the natural milieu of the 'ulama\ and it is no accident that many of the Islamic 'histories' of cities like Baghdad and Damascus are in reality records of the 'ulama 1 who flourished in them. In the areas with high urban densities, the number of l u\ama' was correspondingly large, while in the countryside their presence was minimal. Cities tended to become the hubs of networks that drew from the surrounding rural areas. During the sixth-seventh/ twelfth-thirteenth centuries, for example, the town of Qus in Upper Egypt was the centre from which life in the neighbouring villages was regulated, and these villages would send their likeliest young men to Qus to be trained as c ulamd\ 5 Cairo exerted a similar attraction over the Nile Delta. By contrast, it was political circumstances that turned the port city of Ceuta into a haven for 'ulamd' fleeing the fighting between Almohads and Hafsids, while in similar fashion many 'ulama^ of Saragossa and Valencia made their way to Murcia and Almeria as the Christian conquests advanced southwards across the Iberian Peninsula. 7 The capitals of the Ottoman empire - Bursa, Edirne and above all Istanbul - played similar roles as centres of political power that provided support and structure for the scholarly world.

Thus, the city was the habitat of choice for scholars. It was in the city that the most illustrious masters were likely to be found and it was therefore the place where one could receive the best education. Once such an education had been acquired, an 'alim could expect to find the sort of employment with the religious or legal establishment that would allow him simultaneously to engage in scholarly activities, thereby playing his part in a civilian elite that represented a counterforce to military and political power. 9

Nevertheless, one should not underestimate the capacity of rulers to draw the c ulama* into their circles of influence. The presence of a strong dynastic

power tended to generate a centripetal movement among the scholarly elite, particularly when a ruler had himself a strong personal interest in knowledge, or was generous in his financial patronage of scholars. And scholarly presence at the court enhanced the ruler's religious legitimacy. In fifth/eleventh century al-Andalus many Taifa ('party') kings were closely linked with scholarship. The court of al-Muzaffar, king of Badajoz, was noted for its c ulama > , whose fields of specialisation ranged from religion and law to poetry

681

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

and literature. 10 In Denia, Mujahid al-'Amiri was responsible for setting up an influential school of Qur'anic reading. 11 The Ottoman sultans took this active involvement to its fullest realisation: they turned the 'ulama' into a body of state employees. 12. On the other hand, the demise of a particular dynasty sometimes had repercussions on the ^ulama' who had prospered under its patronage, as seems to have occurred at Tlemcen with the fall of the Zayyanids.

The education of the 'ulama': curriculum, teachers and texts

The training to become an c alim typically commenced at an early age, and the sources mention more than a few scholars who began their education while still small boys. A boy's first teacher was often his father or other relative, assuming that they were 'ulmfl 5 too. Within the intimacy of the family environment, it was also not unusual for women to be taught how to read and write by their fathers, brothers or husbands, and occasionally

women were even introduced to more specialised branches of knowledge. 13

Further learning could only be gained by attending lessons taught by the great masters in these teachers' homes, or in mosques and madrasas, which were all out of bounds to women.

For those young men eager to become *ulama* it was essential to accumulate a curriculum based around apprenticeship to the leading scholars of the day, both at home and abroad. At the heart of the system lay on the one hand the body of knowledge itself, expressed in spoken or written form, and on the other the link that developed between teacher and disciple through the transmission process. It is a system that has often been characterised as personalised, fluid and unstructured, to the extent that some have even rejected the term 'system' altogether as being inappropriate to the way in which students were turned into *ulama*'. Comparison with the traditions of the Christian West are not always helpful, for in the Islamic societies there were indeed no universities, degrees or syllabuses as such. However, the cultivation and transmission of knowledge obeyed clearly defined rules, rules that were created and maintained by the '*ulama*' themselves in order to guarantee their monopoly over the social practices related to the realm of scholarship.

One example of the complexity of the rules governing this 'scientific' behaviour is the very specific vocabulary developed to describe the different processes of textual transmission. 14 Another example is the clearly defined

gradations used by the authors of the biographical dictionaries to categorise the merits of their subjects. And in the dictionary entries, the list of teachers that each *cālim* studied under conveys exactly the same meaning as the degree awarded by a Western university. An aspiring *cālim* had to choose his teachers carefully according to their rank and reputation, for by studying under them he would acquire something of their personal authority, and he would become one more link in a chain of inherited recognition. This formally unstructured system of learning underwent a great change during the Ottoman period, when the *ʿulama* became state functionaries through a process that filtered out the less suitable candidates. The final result was a powerful hierarchical structure of a sort previously alien to the world of Islamic scholarship. 15

Prior to the Ottoman period, the acquisition of scholarly knowledge was an undertaking at once highly personal, since it was based on individual merit and the unique relationship that arose between each master and disciple, and at the same time clearly collective, given that it involved participation in a broad network of intellectual contacts. These two aspects overlapped in the written formulas to describe the relationship between a teacher and his students. Beginning in the sixth/twelfth century, these formulas crystallised into a special bibliographic genre (*fahrasa*, *barnamaj*, *muʿjam*) particularly popular in the Islamic west. Ibn Khayr (d. 575/1180) organised his *fahrasa* by grouping the books which he had studied and transmitted according to their contents, the great majority dealing with Prophetic Traditions (*hadith*), grammar and literature, but with a few chapters devoted to works on law, genealogies and the interpretation of dreams. In his *muʿjam*, Ibn al-ʿAbbar (d. 658/1260) reproduces fragments of a letter that Abu ʿAli ʿIṣṣāfi sent from Denia to his colleague al-Riklī (d. 513/ni9f). Thanks to them, we can reconstruct the sort of information that *ʿulama* exchanged among themselves. In his letter, Abu ʿAli tells his friend of the professional opportunities he may expect to encounter in Baghdad. He also reports that he has lost several of the books he had acquired during his stay in the East when he was shipwrecked on the return voyage, but notes that among the books he managed to save is a copy of the *Kitāb al-ghaṭībayn* that contains a chapter missing in the copy owned by al-Riklī. Finally, Abu ʿAll updates his correspondent on his personal situa-

tion. On his way home to al-Andalus, he learned that his parents had died during his absence. He managed to endure these and other travails thanks to the help of a family in Valencia that took him in because he had made the acquaintance of a relative of theirs while he was in Alexandria. This

683

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

family of 'ulama' attended Abu 'All's battered spirit with such solicitude that he ended up marrying one of the host's daughters. 1 Al-Sadafi's letter to

al-Rikli touches on several key themes: the importance of having demonstrably reliable copies of texts in order to make progress in learning; the bonds of friendship and the constant intercourse between scholars over large distances; and the networks of kinship relations that grew up around an 'alim's professional activities.

If they had sufficient means at their disposal, the 'ulama' became virtual bibliophiles, amassing substantial libraries, and the ever-growing written output made it essential for the 'ulama' to be sure that any books they obtained were of the highest quality. Rulers were sometimes behind the creation of large libraries, while individual 'ulama' often made great efforts

in the acquisition and conservation of the texts that constituted their principal

working tool. Documents from the Haram al-Sharif in Jerusalem include

an inventory of the possessions of an 'alim from the eighth/fourteenth century - sufficiently obscure as to be absent from the bibliographical dictionaries - in whose library books on Sufism and Shafi'i jurisprudence predominated. 17

The personal library of a high-ranking 'alim might contain a very large number of books indeed. The number of books owned by the Egyptian al-Qadl al-Fadil (d. 596/1200) was truly spectacular, and even if we leave a certain margin for exaggeration, it points to the excellence of a collection

which had clearly benefited from the earlier sale of a Fatimid dynasty library. 18

After the madrasas were created and grew in size and number, they developed

their own libraries, which tended to have a more public orientation.

Despite the importance of written texts, they could never completely replace the oral tradition. As noted, personal contact between teacher and

student was regarded as essential for the proper transmission of scholarly

knowledge. In certain fields, indeed, oral transmission was absolutely indis-

pensable. This was particularly the case for the Prophetic Traditions, a discipline that witnessed a major revival in the sixth-seventh /twelfth-thirteenth centuries. The most celebrated scholars in this field were those

who most readily undertook the rihla, or voyage in search of reliable inform-

ants, that is, the teachers who possessed the best chains of textual transmission

(isnad). Traditionally, Syrian traditionists travelled to Khurasan or Iraq, both areas renowned for the qualities of their specialists in hadith.

However,

the Mongol advances made the trip progressively more hazardous, until eventually the fall of Baghdad in 656/1258 initiated a reverse flow, with hadith scholars from Baghdad taking refuge in Damascus or Cairo. 19

684

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The *ʿulama'*

Travels in search of knowledge

Such travels for the purpose of rounding out one's education were a prominent feature of scholarly life. During the formative period of the Ottoman empire, Turkish *ʿulama'* would travel to Egypt, Persia or Turkestan to study under the great masters who resided in those distant parts. 20 The *ʿulama'* of the Islamic west most frequently undertook such

journeys, often availing themselves of the opportunity that such a trip

provided to fulfil their obligation to make the pilgrimage to the Holy Sites of Islam. These 'Maghribis' (a term that included the Andalusis) had a sizeable presence in Alexandria, Cairo and Damascus, and even in provincial cities like Qus. In fact, the flowering of Qus in the sixth/twelfth century was due in part to the presence of shrines of saints, most of them Maghribis, who had settled, died and been buried in the city. Their tombs became the focus of pilgrimage and in turn attracted new visitors from abroad. 2,1 In Damascus, though Maghribi immigrants never achieved the same degree of influence as scholars from Palestine and Kurdistan, they constituted an important segment of the city's *c ulamd'* in the seventh /thirteenth century, and were particularly well known as specialists in Qur'anic readings and hadith. The Andalusí mystic Muhyi 'l-Din Ibn al- *c Arabi* was protected by the Damascene Banu Zaki family and even buried in the family pantheon, where his memory is venerated to this day. 22

The important role played by such journeys in the training of the *L ulama'* can be seen in the appearance in the first half of the sixth/twelfth century of a new genre of literature: the travel account. A combination of geographical description and narrative of the traveller's progress, both territorial and intellectual, these travel accounts provide valuable evidence of how the web of personal contacts that linked an aspiring *c alim* with his peers in other regions might become established, and show us how such contacts stimulated such a person's intellectual development.

The earliest example to have survived, albeit in fragmentary form, describes how the Sevillan Abu Bakr ibn al-Arabi (d. 543/1148) decided, while still young, to travel east in order to broaden his intellectual perspectives. Egypt does not seem to have made much of an impression on him, but he devotes considerable attention to Palestine. Ibn al-'Arabi spent three years in Jerusalem, and he describes the experience of attending a scholarly lesson for the first time while in that city. Finding himself completely out of his depth, he urged his father to proceed to the Hijaz without him, for he was determined not to leave Jerusalem until he had acquired all the

The New Cambridge History of Islam

knowledge that the city had to offer him, chiefly in the realms of dogmatic theology (kalam) and the science of legal fundamentals, both disciplines that had hitherto received scant attention back home in al-Andalus. Ibn al-'Arabi's stay in Jerusalem also allowed him, he reports, to meet and debate with Jewish and Christian scholars, who freely voiced their opinions, as well as Mu'tazilis and scholars of the different schools of Islamic law. His account suggests that such contacts were not something he had been accustomed to in al-Andalus. 23

Ibn Jubayr's (d. 614/1217) rihla has come to be regarded as such a paradigm of its genre - alongside Ibn Battuta's classic work - that it has diverted attention from the no less valuable travel accounts by other Maghrib! authors such as Ibn Sa'id (d. 685/1286), al-Tijam (d. after 711/1311), Ibn Rushayd (d. 721/1321), al-Tujibi (d. c. 730/1329) and al-Balawi (d. after 767/1365). The last known example of this genre to be written in al-Andalus is a rihla by the mathematician al-Qalasadi (d. 891/1486), in which he describes in detail the fifteen years he spent away from Baza, his native town, and the visits he made to Tlemcen, Tunis, Cairo and Mecca. Al-Qalasadi's rihla is a goldmine of information about the learned elites of these cities and his own hometown, as well as the c ulama' of Granada, capital of the Nasrid kingdom. 2,4

Less common were the visits of eastern 'ulama 1 to the Maghrib, though accounts do exist of such journeys. Once the Mamluk regime allowed the creation of a broad secure zone around the main travel routes, members of the scholarly elite could choose to pursue their professional careers at any one of many diverse points spread over an immense geographic area. Al-Kuram (d. 894/1489), who came originally from Anatolia, lived in Samarqand and Cairo. Al-Tustari (d. 828/1425) lived in Yemen, India,

Ethiopia, Mecca and Cairo. 25

The madrasas and the professionalisation
of the 'ulama'

Until the fifth/ eleventh century, with a few very local exceptions, the process of transmitting and disseminating knowledge had depended on the existence of teachers and students, who developed their own methods to recognise and evaluate the quality of their professional competence. Then, in Baghdad the first madrasas were founded, buildings whose sole function was to serve as a venue for educational activities. In the following century, madrasas began to be founded in large numbers also in Egypt and Syria. The

686

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The 'ulama'

Ayyubid princes, particularly Saladin, were instrumental in the spread of this 'institution 1', which brought about profound changes in the world of scholarly learning.

The rise of the madrasas has traditionally been linked to the 'Sunni revival' movement that the Ayyubids promoted. More recent interpretations have focused instead on the fact that every madrasa was established as a private charitable foundation that depended on the generosity of those individuals prepared to leave a pious endowment (waqf) for its upkeep. The laws governing waqf rather than any particular political strategy made the creation of the madrasa possible, and it was these laws that also guaranteed their independence and longevity. 2 Exclusively intended for educational purposes, the madrasas had a special function that set them apart from mosques and seem therefore to have constituted a key step in the trend towards a professionalisation of the 'ulama' and the institutionalisation of the system by which knowledge was transmitted. The very

latest research, however, has shifted the focus of this interpretation by highlighting the multiple functions of the madrasas and the different ways they served ¹ ulama\ wealthy families and the representatives of political power.

The founding of a madrasa depended on someone having both the desire and the resources to do so. Such a person, therefore, was characteristically either a ruler or the relative of such a ruler, or a member of the military or urban elites. In the Mamluk period, at least twenty-two madrasas were established in Egypt by either the sultans or their families, who had at their disposal vast sums of money. Commemoration of the founder was at least part of the motive for founding a madrasa among the Mamluks, and several of these rulers financed the construction of truly monumental edifices, such as the madrasa of the sultan al-Nasir Hasan, which had room for up to 506 students. 27 Madrasas were similarly founded by the Ottoman sultans and their families or members of the Ottoman urban elites, particularly in Istanbul, where the imperial madrasas exceeded in number and size anything previously seen in the Islamic world. 2

Women were also among the founders of madrasas. This on the one hand gives an idea of the financial means available to such women and on the other reveals the important role that the founding of a madrasa played in the public activity of the powerful classes. It has been calculated that one quarter of all the madrasas built in Ayyubid Damascus were founded by women. 29 'Ulama' themselves were sometimes also able to establish their own madrasas. In Qus, Ibn Daqiq al- c Id, who had settled in the city in 612/1215,

687

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

founded a madrasa which ended up attracting a large number of experts in both the Maliki and Shafi'i legal schools. 30

To the advantages already noted for a founder of a madrasa who belonged to the highest circles of power we might add the fact that founders and their families were often buried within the madrasa precinct, thus guaranteeing themselves the baraka associated with a site dedicated to the promotion of religious knowledge. Furthermore, resources placed at the disposal of a madrasa became invulnerable to possible confiscation. The relatively high degree of depredation endured by civil populations at the hands of military elites or foreign conquerors explains why many resorted to making waqf endowments. Foundations were also a way not only to get around inheritance laws but also to maintain some form of control over family assets short of actual possession. 31

The specific conditions of each foundation were spelled out in the endowment deed (waqfiyya) of each madrasa. The madrasa's founder could choose to be also the administrator (nazif) of the endowment or at least oversee the appointment of that officer. He also had the power to define the intellectual orientation of the madrasa or determine which school of law the madrasa's teachers had to pertain to. A founder's control of a madrasa was naturally greater if he decided to take up residence on the madrasa premises and could supervise at first hand its functioning.

The previous system of teaching based around the figure of the individual teacher and his network of disciples persisted both within the madrasas and without. Mosques and the private homes of teachers continued to serve as classrooms. The madrasas held no special educational status - in other words, they did not constitute bodies whose legally recognised purpose was to impart education. Teachers could teach either in the madrasa or at home or in both places, for what gave authority to a student and allowed

him to become an L alim in due course was not the fact that he had attended a particular madrasa but the fact that he had studied under a particular master.

The madrasas proved to be very successful as an institution for the advantages they offered the founders and for the benefits they gave to the 'ulama\ especially by guaranteeing them a steady income from the performance of their scholarly activities. Prior to the development of the madrasa, those who had wished to devote themselves to religious knowledge might find it difficult to do so unless they were of independent means. This explains why many c ulama' had only been able to pursue their scholarly interests by simultaneously earning a living as craftsmen or merchants. 32 Employment within the

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The l u]ama'

legal establishment was another option, though not always a welcome one, since it placed the L alim in a position of direct dependence on the rulers.

The fact that madrasas were supported financially by independent foundations - notwithstanding the fact that many of the benefactors came from the ruling class - completely changed the world of scholarship. A part of the endowment set up for the upkeep of a madrasa was reserved for not only the payment of salaries to teachers but also financial assistance for those students who could not support themselves. Previously, whether they taught in their own homes or at mosques, the l ulama' had received financial contributions of some sort from their students. The madrasa initiated a gradual professionalisation of the c ulama\ who could now live off their own income without needing to depend on having wealthy students in their classes. At the same time, the world of learning became more accessible to all levels of Muslim society. In Cairo, the madrasas played an

important role in a different sort of social integration, by helping the sons of the mamluks (awlad al-nas), who were not allowed to follow their fathers' footsteps into positions of military power, to seek other professional outlets. 33 Finally, as part of the systematisation of scholarly endeavour undertaken by the Ottomans, even the madrasas themselves pertained to a kind of hierarchy based on the salaries offered to the teachers they employed. Having taught at one of the highest-ranking (and thus better-paying) madrasas was an indispensable requirement for access to the top posts in the Ottomans' legal-religious establishment. 34

The madrasas and the social practices of the 'ulama'

Since the madrasas were primarily intended for the training and education of future 'ulama' it was largely 'ulama' themselves, sometimes as founders and always as teachers and employees, who shaped the institution in such a way that its goal was not simply the transmission of knowledge but also the transmission and preservation of social practices intended to maintain the 'ulama's own status. Of the two main social effects that the madrasas had - the professionalisation of the 'ulama' and the opening of the world of learning to all social classes - only the first was universally true. The second was always conditioned by the social practices of the dominant elites.

A noteworthy example of these practices is the clause included in the endowment deed of one madrasa which permitted the filling of teaching posts by hereditary succession. This meant that a teacher could be succeeded by a son or other relative, or even by a 'spiritual son', in other words a

favourite disciple. This practice of hereditary succession was widespread and represented one of the most effective ways by which the [^]ulama' kept their privileges within their own community. In some cases, sons took over from their fathers when they were still very young and lacked the training to be teachers. This problem was solved by the appointment of a substitute (na'ib), who assumed the post until the 'heir' had acquired the necessary experience and stature.

At least in Damascus, the practice was for teachers to be formally appointed to madrasa posts by the sultan, and each post was supported by a permanent stipend (ma c lum) depending on the financial capacity of each endowment. As a result, there grew up a kind of informal hierarchy in the terms that each post offered (as opposed to the formal system established later by the Ottomans), naturally leading to competition among scholars for the positions that paid best. If a teacher was particularly renowned, he might hold posts in different madrasas at the same time, thus augmenting his earnings. In early ninth/ fifteenth-century Cairo, the several teaching posts held simultaneously by Siraj al-DIn 'Umar, the most important Hanafi jurist of his time, allowed him to accumulate great wealth. 35

This context favoured a system of patronage. The most important l ulama' oversaw the awarding of jobs and competed among themselves to place their own proteges. The judge Ibn al-Zaki took advantage of the opportunity offered by the Mongol occupation of Damascus to take control of all the city's madrasas and distribute their posts among his friends. 36 Even in less exceptional circumstances, disputes among l ulama' for the most coveted jobs were common, for those who managed to secure such posts found their fields of influence considerably enhanced. Strategies of competition were based on kinship relationships and the ties of loyalty and dependence formed between masters and disciples.

The madrasas were not simply arenas of competition and conflict, how-

ever. Many *ʿulama'* actually lived within their walls, as did students coming from abroad. Travelling scholars, pilgrims and merchants could also find temporary lodging there. 37 The madrasas were thus points of personal contact, where the *ʿulama'* could exchange information among themselves and form bonds of friendship. The madrasa had another equally important function, which was to house the madrasa library, often made up of the large collections left by the scholars who had lived there. As a major element of the urban structure, the madrasa was also the hub of a complex network of religious activities and services. Besides the teaching of classes, daily prayers and recitation of the Qurʾān took place on its premises. The

690

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The *ʿulama'*

maintenance of the madrasa community necessitated the creation of service jobs and generated subsidiary economic activity, whose impact is difficult to calculate but which was surely beneficial to a madrasa's immediate surroundings, providing employment to those craftsmen and merchants involved in construction, papermaking and bookbinding, and the provision of food and light.

It was above all in Syria and Egypt that the madrasas flourished, becoming an essential feature of the urban intellectual and social landscape. In Anatolia, the founding of madrasas did not take place on a large scale until the seventh/thirteenth centuries, paralleling the progressive Islamisation of the region. 3 In the Muslim west, the first Andalusī madrasa of which we have evidence was established in Granada by the Nasrid sultan Abū ʿAlī-Ḥajjāj

Yusuf (I) in 750/ 1349. 39 In the Maghrib, the learned and wealthy bibliophile Ibn al-Sharri (d. 649/1251) founded a madrasa in Ceuta in which he also made an endowment consisting of his personal library. This founding seems to have been exceptional in character, and it was only later that the Marinid dynasty promoted the creation of a large network of madrasas that spanned the Maghrib.

The tardy acceptance of the madrasa in the Muslim west has been attributed to a hindrance that is religious in nature. The Malik! school of religious law that predominated there did not allow the founder of a waqf endowment (in these regions called a hubs, pi. ahbas) to be at the same time its administrator. This restriction eliminated some of the advantages associated with setting up a madrasa discussed above. Nevertheless, the fact is that once the Marinids determined that the founding of madrasas would serve their political interests, they were clearly able to do so unimpeded. Like the Ayyubids in the Muslim east, the Marinids succeeded a dynasty that had created its own fiercely ideological elite (in the former case the Fatimids, in the latter the Almohads), and one of the several factors that is thought to have been decisive in the growth of the madrasas in the east was precisely the need to replace the existing potentially hostile elite with new elite groups who largely owed their existence to the Ayyubid regime and would therefore give it loyal political support. In the Maghrib, the Marinids resorted to the same strategy, with the difference that their programme of madrasa construction, started in Fez in 675/12.76, was exclusively promoted by the political rulers themselves, who even set aside the poll tax paid by the Jewish community for the financing of madrasas. The dynasty's tight control over the new madrasas guaranteed that the 'ulama' appointed to teach in them remained docile instruments in the hands of their rulers. This strategy

The New Cambridge History of Islam

drew criticism at the time from the renowned scholars who had been passed over when posts were being handed out, and they vented their spleen by accusing the 'ulama' of the new madrasas of being ignoramuses. 40

Scholarly knowledge and social mobility

The central role played by scholarly activity in Islamic societies has often been remarked upon. Through learning it was possible in theory to transcend handicaps of social origin or economic status. It is true that the 'ulama' cannot be described as a homogeneous group, given that in principle dedication to the world of scholarly endeavour had no particular social connotation. Indeed, as we have noted, the 'ulama' of the earlier centuries of Islam usually depended for their livelihood on either some other form of employment or inherited wealth, though some were also paid for their teaching. 41 This continued to be true in later periods, although the situation changed substantially with the professionalisation inherent in the permanent salaried positions offered by the madrasas. What were the social origins of the 'ulama' ? Might or might not becoming an 'alim alter an individual's prospects of social advancement? We need to ask whether the apparently easy access to the world of scholarship did not in reality mask a rigid hierarchical stratification.

We should keep in mind first of all that the 'ulama' not only were a heterogeneous group in social terms, but also drew careful distinctions among themselves in terms of intellectual achievement and social origins.

For example, we see that in Qus in Upper Egypt, side by side with 'ulama' coming from well-off families, there were others who lived off the income provided by small agricultural holdings, commercial activities, or flour or sugar mills. Once trained in the madrasas of the city, the more promising among these students of modest means took up posts as readers of the Qur'an, teachers, court witnesses and muezzins, or pursued careers in the judiciary. 42 In other words, what we see is a set of individuals who were fully

incorporated into a system of individual advancement that guaranteed the integration of their economic, social and intellectual pursuits. Therefore, the evidence provided by the biographical dictionaries - in this specific case, the dictionary by al-Udfuwi (d. 706/1306) - seems to confirm that the *l* ulama' community offered the possibility of a certain amount of advancement within it on the basis of intellectual achievement alone.

A different - though not completely opposed - situation can be seen in Timbuktu. The traditions of this city granted to its *c* ulama' a degree of public

692

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The *l* ulama'

recognition that gave them the authority to act as the representatives of city interests before the political authorities and thus endowed their role with great social prestige. The *c* ulama' of Timbuktu perpetuated their status through a complex system of transmission of knowledge in which family and economic ties played a key role alongside the relationship between masters and disciples (*mulazama*). However, the *l* ulama' of Timbuktu clearly differentiated among themselves according to their degree of learning and social origins. At the apex of society were those *l* ulama' who belonged to powerful families, while somewhat lower down there was a stratum consisting of 'ulama' with only a basic background in the Islamic sciences and limited expertise in Qur'anic interpretation and Prophetic Traditions. These lower-ranking 'ulama' were, almost without exception, tailors by profession - or more precisely, the profession of tailor was only open to those who wished to become 'ulama'. In this fashion, students of slender means were assured

financial support during their period of study. By apprenticing themselves to a master tailor, who was naturally also an 'alim, such students were able at one and the same time to receive an Islamic education and to learn a practical craft by which they could make a living once they reached adulthood. The large number of tailors' workshops recorded for Timbuktu at the end of the tenth/ sixteenth century, as well as the numbers of apprentices working in them, gives some idea of the importance of that industry and its connection with the world of the 'ulama'. 43

There are many recorded cases of social advancement being the reward for knowledge, and this may explain why several modern scholars have claimed that this powerful current of social mobility ensured a close relationship between the 'ulama' and the rest of society. 44 As an illustration of

social movement in a somewhat different direction, we have observed previously how the sons of the mamluks found a place in society as 'ulama'. 45

Something similar occurred in the case of women, for whom becoming a scholar offered a rare opportunity to achieve a socially acceptable form of

public recognition. Nevertheless, as we have noted, the participation of women in the world of scholarship was quite different from that of men because of the social conventions that governed social contact between the

sexes. Though women might found madrasas, they could neither hold teaching positions nor attend the classes that were taught in them. All the

students who lodged in the madrasas were single men, and even where an

'alim lived in a madrasa with his family we have no indication that his womenfolk took any part in his academic activities. Furthermore, given that

the madrasas served above all as training schools for those who went on to

The New Cambridge History of Islam

staff the legal-religious administration, it made no sense to admit women, who would have no access to such positions.

This does not mean that the development of the madrasa left women entirely outside the world of learning, as the madrasas did not completely replace the traditional sites for the transmission of knowledge, and it was in these places that women continued to find a niche. Female members of an *alim's* family had the best chances of obtaining a specialised education, by studying under their father or husband, as already noted. Outside the purely family context, once women had achieved sufficient recognition as scholars, they were allowed to transmit their knowledge to students of either sex. Some idea of their presence in the scholarly community is given by the fact that, of the 130 scholars mentioned by al-Suyuti (d. 911/ 1505) as having mastered the Prophetic Traditions (*hadlth*), thirty-three were women. 46 In fact, in the Muslim east, transmission of the *hadlth* seems to have been a particular speciality of female '*ulama*\ For one thing, the transmission of the Prophetic Traditions required above all a superb ability to memorise, in other words the qualities of patience and perseverance in one's studies that were traditionally associated with women. For another thing, the rules governing the transmission of the Prophetic Traditions favoured those of advanced age, for the older the transmitter, the fewer the links in the chain of transmission from the original source. In this arena, women could easily compete with men, and would become preferred transmitters if they managed to survive all the men of their own generation. 47 This tendency was not universal. Though al-Andalus had its share of women scholars, 48 they were not known as *hadlth* specialists. Instead they specialised in the different styles of Qur'anic reading, a discipline that saw a spectacular flowering in al-Andalus beginning in the fifth/ eleventh century.

It thus seems clear that the system did indeed permit a certain amount of both vertical mobility (to the sons of merchants, craftsmen and small land-owners) and horizontal mobility (to the sons of mamluks and women). A

possible further sign of horizontal mobility is the permeability of the division between employment in the legal-religious establishment and employment in the civil bureaucracy. 49 But beyond looking at individual cases, it is actually a question of knowing how competition was organised for access to such salaried and socially prized jobs as were not directly controlled by the political or military authorities.

It would seem obvious that the rise of the madrasa offered many Muslims the chance to acquire the training they would need to join the urban elites. Even where the madrasas were practically nonexistent, as in al-Andalus, the

694

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The *ʿulama*

acquisition of learning itself was viewed as a legitimate path to upward social movement. Nevertheless, the truth is that personal talent was rarely sufficient in itself to achieve social advancement. Above all, having the right family connections could greatly facilitate one's entry into the networks that monopolised education, the courts and the system of patronage. This is hardly surprising, given that family contacts played an equally important role in the formation of other social elites (the *ʿuqba*, 'notables'), foremost among them the military class and the civil bureaucracy. 50 Family networking in the case of the *ʿulama* was a particularly appropriate mechanism because it combined a structure designed for the transmission of knowledge with kinship relations. It is no accident that the vocabulary used to describe the former is closely linked to the terminology of the latter. 51 The transmission of know-

ledge was organised along genealogical lines, parallel to those used to trace family origins. In a society intensely concerned with the precise identification of ancestry for any person of social consequence, the genealogy of the transmission of an item of knowledge acquired an equally legitimising function.

The 'ulama' and their kinship networks

A special note has already been made of the relationship between the madrasas and particular families, and that many of the jobs in these institutions were passed on from father to son. In Mamluk Cairo, the study of this practice has led some to conclude that the social world of the most privileged 'ulama' was not as permeable as has been supposed, with the opportunities for jobs and careers concentrated in the hands of a few families. 52. Research about other Islamic cities has yielded similar results. Thus, certain families have been identified that constitute 'ulama' dynasties, such as the Banu Marzuq of Tlemcen (fifth-tenth/ eleventh-sixteenth centuries) 53 or the al-Bulqmi, the predominant family of Cairo in the eighth-ninth/fourteenth-fifteenth centuries, whose members held important posts in both the madrasas and the legal systems of their respective cities. The al-Bulqim family brought together the various ingredients needed to make it a focus of urban power: the possession of scholarly knowledge, social prestige, political connections and wealth. 54 A similar phenomenon can be seen in Ottoman times, when an 'alim's professional status tended to be simply inherited. 55

Such 'ulama' dynasties did not always manage to survive for long, however. Social and political changes affected them just as such things affected the other components of society. Sometimes the emergence of a particular family would be quickly followed by its sudden demise. Such an event can be easily

The New Cambridge History of Islam

detected in the biographical dictionaries by noting the absence of posterior family members. This historiographic phenomenon indicates not that the family itself disappeared, but simply that its members ceased to play an important role in scholarly circles. The rise or fall of 'uḥmff families sometimes coincided with moments of political upheaval. A good illustration of an c ulama' family weathering a major political crisis and then accommodating itself to a new power group is provided by the Banu Tjadd of Seville. The family began their rise to prominence during the Almoravid period, occupying posts in Seville and Niebla, the region from which the family originated and where they were major landowners. However, it was under the Almohad regime that Abu Bakr Ibn al-Jadd (d. 586/1190) joined the caliph's inner circle of power and became one of his most senior advisers, as well as one of the most prominent citizens of Seville. The Banu Tjadd held onto their position until the conquest of the city by Fernando III of Castile in 646/1248, at which point they moved to Malaga. In the ninth/fifteenth century they moved again, this time to Morocco. There, under the family name al-Fasiyyun, they acquired such enormous prestige that by the eleventh/seventeenth century they had become the most important family in the city, their influence extending into all cultural, economic and religious areas. 56 Abu Bakr ibn al-Jadd skilfully navigated the historical circumstances which came his way: he knew how to gain the Almohad caliph's favour and then use his new personal power and the power of his family to compete against the other

family groups of Seville. In the cities of al-Andalus, the transition from the Almoravid emirate to the Almohad caliphate had brought with it a radical shift in the balance of power among local notables, and not all the 'ulamff families were able to weather the change as dexterously as the Banu Tjadd. 57

A similar transition took place in Damascus as Nur al-Din (d. 569/1174) and his successors upset the traditional situation of the local l ulama' by the introduction of the madrasa. The new salaried positions that became available provided a new field of competition that was open not only to members of the local elites but also to individuals and families from outside the city. As a result of this, several important Damascene families lost the status they had enjoyed previously, while others managed to find a place for themselves in the new patronage structure. 58

The 'ulama' and the political establishment

There exists a general consensus that after a particular unspecified date sometime during the early 'Abbasid period the 'ulamd' assumed the mantle

696

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The l u]ama'

of religious authority in Islamic society. To what extent did the c ulamd' maintain a critical attitude of moral oversight relative to the political establish-ment, or did they rather adopt a more prudent posture of co-operation which would secure them all sorts of advantages? As the c ulama' were not a homo-geneous group, the answer to this question must be of commensurate com-plexity. In fact, the ^ulamd' reflected a spectrum of positions relative to political

power, positions expressing a sense of either shared or conflicting interest, which derive sometimes from individual attitudes and at other times from the 'ulama's collective consciousness of being the standard-bearers of specific religious and social values.

It was not uncommon for 'ulama' to take on political roles, especially as advisers to the royal court. This reality should be weighed against a theoretical stance common among the 'ulama' that they should stay far removed from the corridors of political power and reject all contacts with the powerful. 59 These were not mutually exclusive positions, however, since it was often the political circumstances themselves that determined the 'ulama's behaviour. For instance, when changes of dynasty and foreign invasions were critical moments for the greater civil community, the leading 'ulama would assume the role of representatives acting on behalf of that community to negotiate with the new political masters the conditions for survival. A good example of this can be seen in Damascus, where in 699/1299 the 'ulama negotiated with the Mongol army to prevent the sack of the city, and even urged the city's Mamluk governor to surrender - a vain effort, as it turned out. Another example is offered by the qadis who acted as rulers in al-Andalus.

Conversely, political rulers sometimes intervened quite actively in the world of the scholars, and not just by dispensing jobs and funds. The judicial reform undertaken during the reign of Baybars first in Cairo (663/1265) and then in Damascus (664/1266) involved a fundamental change in the legal-religious order. Whereas previously a single Shafi'i judge had been the highest legal authority, that role was now to be shared equally among four chief judges, each representing one of the four schools of Islamic law. This move has traditionally been interpreted (and reasonably so) as an attempt by Baybars to undermine the unity of the religious establishment, as embodied by the Shafi'i chief judge. The sultan also assumed the power to appoint not only judges but also teachers for the madrasas and preachers for the mosques. Following these reforms, judges obviously found their room for manoeuvre considerably reduced, though on occasion they still managed to stand up to the sultan, such as when Baybars attempted to

The New Cambridge History of Islam

take over the Ghuta orchards at Damascus to finance his campaigns. Similarly, in the Ottoman state, the elaborate legal system incorporated a judicial hierarchy whose summit was occupied by two supreme judges, who were members of the imperial diwan. The mufti of Istanbul (also called the shaykh al-islam) did not belong to the diwan. Nevertheless, along with the chief vizier, this man held the second-highest post in the Ottoman state after the sultan. In the Ottoman system, the [^]ulama' were subordinate to political authority and many operated entirely within the judicial structure.

Albeit lacking a judge's executive powers, the preacher or khatib performed a social function whose symbolic value also linked religion with political power. Although much of the khatib's job was highly ritualised, it was nonetheless of supreme importance, because at the Friday prayers he gave public voice to the ^culama's endorsement - or questioning - of a ruler's legitimacy to the public. The khatib might also pay a price for this role. When al-Sulamī, the preacher of the Grand Mosque of Damascus, dared publicly to censure al-Malik Isma'il's policy of collaboration with the Crusaders, he was jailed and then exiled in Cairo.

Tensions between ^culama' and political rulers arose, therefore, in situations where the former maintained a relatively independent attitude, in other words when they freely juggled tactics of adherence to the regime and confrontation with it. The ^culama' were the repository of sacred knowledge, and this endowed them with a moral authority that the political and

military elites lacked. Yet these elites needed precisely that moral authority to confer legitimacy to their rule, and therefore needed the co-operation of the 'ulama\ The only way that a political ruler could attempt to bypass this limitation was by claiming to be himself the supreme religious authority, that is, by proclaiming himself caliph, and thus above the power of the religious community. Within the historical period covered by this volume, this strategy was resorted to by both the Fatimid and the Almohad regimes. And though the ideological orientations of these two regimes were quite different from each other, their practical approaches to social control were also similar, being based around the creation and organisation of new 'ulama' elites who were entirely at the service of the regime's politico-religious programme.

The structure used to spread the Fatimid doctrine and programme was a network of itinerant missionaries who combined their dedication to learning with the practice of a manual craft. In this system, the 'inner' hidden knowledge was available only to those devotees who had been initiated into the secret. However, the 'outward' forms of interpretation of the law

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The 'ulama'

were revealed at public sessions open to the entire population, with special sessions for women. Throughout this system, whose unquestionable effectiveness has led the period extending from the mid-fourth /tenth century to the mid- fifth/ eleventh century to be dubbed 'the ShII century' of Islamic history, the 'ulama' played an indispensable role in the propagation of the politico-religious doctrine of the Fatimids. They even earned a new name: a Fatimid L alim was known as a da% or 'summoned, who disseminated what they believed was a revived and renewed Islam. Like the 'ulama' of Sunni Islam, they were primarily teachers and guarantors of orthodoxy. But unlike

their Sunni counterparts, they formed part of a specific political programme to which they showed an unwavering adherence. They constituted therefore a hugely effective political instrument, as can be seen in the surviving documents that describe their pedagogical methods. Even so, among the Fatimid [^]ulama' we also find evidence of the social tactics employed in Sunni Islam, particularly the transmission of ideological power down generations of the same family, as illustrated by the continued presence of descendants of al-Qadl 'l-Nu'man at the apex of the da'i hierarchy.

This very strict hierarchy distinguishes the da c Ts from other 'ulama[^] groups. The da'is received their instructions and ideological orientation from the Fatimid imam by means of letters from the central authority. They passed on the doctrine at gatherings (majalis, sing, majlis) which were directed at different social groups and in which the transmission of learning was graded according to the degree of initiation possessed by the participants. This structured use of scholars and scholarly knowledge was an efficient instru-ment for the propagation of Fatimid beliefs and practices, and thus constitutes a clear example of political activity coming from within a scholarly group itself rather than from an external political master.

A specific group of 'ulam^tV performed a similar role for the Almohads, whose rule lasted from the middle of the sixth/ twelfth century to 668/1269.

These scholars were known collectively as the talaba, a term which conferred on them a corporate identity. The talaba had their own special place within the Almohad power structure, with members of the dynasty itself above and civil functionaries (including non-talaba i ulama') below. Acting as true 'doctrinarians' of the regime, they were recruited throughout the empire, trained for their mission and sent out to spread the Almohad doctrine. Wherever they established themselves, the talaba received the official correspondence from the caliph containing the information that they were then to make known to the population. They attended meetings in their capital at Marrakesh when summoned by the caliph and

The New Cambridge History of Islam

accompanied him on his military expeditions. In short, the talaba comprised an extraordinarily effective system for the dissemination of Almohad doctrine, thanks to which it spread throughout North Africa and al-Andalus (though not without encountering a certain amount of resistance). 63

As with the Fatimids, the talaba not only actively co-operated with the political establishment, they actually constituted one of its fundamental supporting elements. In both cases the [^]ulamC claimed allegiance to a specific ideological programme and occupied a particular space within a hierarchical system that received its inspiration from a single central authority. And the fates of both of these groups were therefore closely tied to those of their respective regimes. Once these dynasties collapsed, the l ulama' reverted to their traditional role as the representatives of a religious authority that was essentially separate from the political establishment, a distance across which the 'ulama 1 might choose either to advise or to admonish their rulers. In like fashion, the Ottomans converted the 'wtona' into functionaries who were specifically trained to fulfil an essential role in the administration of the empire, thus forming part of a power structure dominated at its apex by the sultan.

In a period when the world of Islam faced various serious threats from outside, whether from the Christians in the Iberian Peninsula, the Crusaders in Palestine or the Mongol invaders, the need for military resistance provided the i ularna' one avenue for intervention in the political arena that was properly theirs: the call to jihad. Particularly after the fall of Jerusalem in 492/1099, the 'ulami? began to raise their voices in sermons or in written tracts reminding the population and rulers of the religious obligation to repel the invaders. To that end, they also claimed, it was necessary to revive the flagging spiritual state of Islam. This explains why we find tracts written at this time that praise jihad on the

one hand and condemn the pernicious innovations (bida[^]) that had corrupted the purity of Islam on the other. This conjunction of concerns has no better illustration than the figure of Ibn Taymiyya (d. 728/1328), who not only authored an influential body of works on the need to purge Islam and defend the territory against outside aggression, but also himself played an active part in the defence of Damascus against the Mongols. 64

At the other end of the Mediterranean, the call to jihad against the Christian armies constituted a powerful tool of legitimisation for the inter-
vention in al-Andalus of the various dynasties of North African origin, beginning in the fifth /eleventh century with the Almoravids and continuing with the Almohads and Marmids. But as the Christian conquests crept relentlessly southwards, the [^]ulama' found themselves increasingly facing the dilemma of

700

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The l ulama'

whether to stay put and live under Christian rule or to emigrate to Muslim territory. After the seventh/thirteenth century, most took the latter course, sometimes because they were forcibly expelled by the Christian conquerors. Among the [^]ukvm.a' of al-Andalus, North Africa and Egypt there arose an intense debate about what to advise the Muslim population in this respect. The lack of unanimity of opinion reflected in the writings they have left us highlights the complexity of an issue in which once again the debate among the c ulama' transcends the purely intellectual as its grapples with the concept of the community as a political body. 65

Notes

- i. R. W. Bulliet, 'A quantitative approach to medieval Muslim biographical dictionaries', *JESHO*, 13 (1970), 195-211; Carl Petry, *The civilian elite of Cairo in the later middle ages*, Princeton, 1981; Maria Luisa Avila, *La sociedad hispanomusulmana al final del califato (aproximacion a un estudio demografico)*, Madrid, 1985.
2. Michael Chamberlain, *Knowledge and social practice in medieval Damascus, 1190-1350*, Cambridge, 1994, 18.
3. Elias N. Saad, *Social history of Timbuktu: The role of Muslim scholars and notables 1400-1900*, Cambridge, 1983, 229.
4. Jonathan Berkey, *The transmission of knowledge in medieval Cairo: A social history of Islamic education*, Princeton, 1992, 183; Chamberlain, *Knowledge and social practice*, 102-4.
5. Jean-Claude Garcin, *Un centre musulman de la Haute-Egypte medievale: Qus*, Paris, 1976.
6. Petry, *The civilian elite of Cairo*, 39-47.
7. Halima Ferhat, *Sahta des origins au XIVeme siecle*, Rabat, 1993, 414; Manuela Marin, 'Des migrations forcees: Les savants d' al-Andalus face a la conquete chretienne', in Mohammed Hammam (ed.), *La Mediterranee occidentale au moyen age*, Rabat, 1995, 43-59.
8. H. A. R. Gibb and Harold Bowen, *Islamic society and the West*, Oxford, 1957, vol. I, *Islamic society in the eighteenth century*, p. 2, 83.
9. Ira Lapidus, *Muslim cities in the later middle ages*, Cambridge, 1984, 107.
10. Bruna Soravia, 'Al-Muzaffar Ibn al-Aftas, signore di Badajoz', *Islam. Storia e Civiltà*, 31 (1990), 109-19, and 32 (1990), 179-91.

11. Clelia Sarnelli Cerqua, 'La vita intellettuale a Denia alia corte di Mujahid al-'Amiri', *Annali dell'Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli* 14 (1964), 597-622.

12. EI2, art. 'Ilmiyye' (U. Heyd and E. Kuran).

13. Huda Lutfi, 'Al-Sakhawī's *Kitab al-Nisa'* as a source for the economic and social history of Muslim women in the 15th century', *MW*, 71 (1981), 104-24; Maria Luisa Avila, 'Women in Andalusī biographical sources', in Manuela Marin and Randi Deguilhem (eds.), *Writing the feminine: Women in Arab sources*, London, 2002, 149-63.

701

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The New Cambridge History of Islam

14. Jacqueline Sublet, 'Le modèle arabe. Elements de vocabulaire', in Nicole Grandin and Marc Gaborieau (eds.), *Madrassa: La transmission du savoir dans le monde musulman*, Paris, 1997.

15. Richard Repp, 'Some observations on the development of the Ottoman learned hierarchy', in Nikki R. Keddie (ed.), *Scholars, saints, and Sufis: Muslim religious institutions since 1500*, Berkeley, 1972, 17-32; Halil İnalcık, *The Ottoman empire: The classical age 1300-1600*, London, 1973, 165-72.

16. Manuela Marin, 'La transmisión del saber en al-Andalus a través del *Mu'jam de al-Sadaf*', *Cuadernos del CEMYR*, 5 (1997), 51-72.

17. Ulrich Haarmann, 'The library of a fourteenth century Jerusalem scholar', *Der Islam*, 61 (1984), 327-33.
18. Youssef Eche, *Les bibliothèques arabes publiques et semi-publiques en Mesopotamie, en Syrie et en Egypte au moyen age*, Damascus, 1967, 249-54.
19. Louis Pouzet, *Damas au VI^e/XIII^e s. : Vie et structures religieuses dans une métropole islamique*, Beirut, 1991, 183-5.
20. Inalcik, *The Ottoman empire*, 166.
21. Garcin, *Un centre musulman de la Haute-Egypte médiévale*, 160.
22. Louis Pouzet, 'Maghrebins à Damas au VI^e/XIII^e siècle', *BEO*, 28 (1975), 167-99.
23. Sa'd A'rab, *Ma' a 'l-qadi Abl Bakr b. al-'Arabi*, Beirut, 1987, 17f.
24. Manuela Marin, 'The making of a mathematician: Al-Qalasadi (d. 891/1486) and his *rihla*', *Suhayl*, 4 (2004), 295-310.
25. Carl Petry, 'Travel patterns of medieval notables in the Near East', *SI*, 62 (1985), 53-87-
26. George Makdisi, *The rise of colleges: Institutions of learning in Islam and the West*, Edinburgh, 1981, 28.
27. Berkey, *The transmission of knowledge*, 61; Dominique Sourdel, 'Reflexions sur la diffusion de la madrasa en orient du X^e au XI^e siècle', in *L'enseignement en Islam et en Occident au moyen age*, Paris, 1976, 165-84.
28. EI2, art. 'Madrasa' (R. Hillenbrand).
29. R. Stephen Humphreys, 'Women as patrons of religious architecture in Ayyubid Damascus', *Muqarnas*, 11 (1994), 35-54. For Aleppo see Yasser Tabbaa, 'Dayfa Khatun, regent queen and architectural patron', in D. Fairchild Ruggles (ed.), *Women, patronage, and self representation in Islamic*

societies, New York, 2000, 17-34; Lucienne Thys-Senocak, 'The Yeni Valide mosque complex of Eminonti, Istanbul (1597-1665). Gender and vision in Ottoman architecture', in D. Fairchild Ruggles (ed.), *Women, patronage, and self representation in Islamic societies*, 69-89.

30. Garcin, *Un centre musulman de la Haute-Egypte medievale*, 288-91.

31. Chamberlain, *Knowledge and social practice*, 27-8, 56.

32. H.J. Cohen, 'The economical background and the secular occupations of Muslim jurists and traditionists in the classical period of Islam (until the middle of the eleventh century)', *JESHO*, 13 (1970), 16-61.

33. Ulrich Haarmann, 'Joseph's Law: the careers and activities of Mamluk descendants before the Ottoman Conquest of Egypt', in Thomas Philipp and Ulrich

702

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

The Ulama'

Haarmann (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society*, Cambridge, 1998, 55-84; Jonathan Berkey, 'The Mamluks as Muslims: The military elite and the construction of Islam in medieval Egypt', in Philipp and Haarmann, *The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society*, 163-73.

34. R. C. Repp, *The mufti of Istanbul: A study in the development of the Ottoman learned hierarchy*, Oxford, 1986, 36-44.

35. Berkey, *The transmission of knowledge*, 96-7.

36. Pouzet, *Damas au VIe/XIIIe*, 163.

37. Gary Leiser, 'Notes on the madrasa in medieval Islamic society', MW, 76 (1986), 16-23.

38. Sourdél, 'Reflexions sur la diffusion de la madrasa', 165-84.

39. George Makdisi, 'The madrasa in Spain: Some remarks', ROMM, 15-16 (1973), 155-8.

A madrasa was founded by a Sufi in Malaga in the eighth /fourteenth century: Maria

Isabel Calero Secall and Virgilio Martinez Enamorado, Malaga, ciudad de al-Andalus,

Malaga, 1995, 2.47-50.

40. Mohamed Kably, Societe, pouvoir et religion au Maroc a la fin du moyen-dge, Paris, 1986, 279-83.

41. Malake Abiad, Culture et education arabo-islamiques au Sam pendant les trois

premiers siecles de l'Islam d'apres 'Ta'rih Madinat Dimasq' d'Ibn 'Asakir (499/1105-

571/1176), Damascus, 1981, 185.

42. Garcin, Un centre musulman de la Haute-Egypte medievale, iA6i.

43. Saad, Social history of Timbuktu, 86-7.

44. Lapidus, Muslim cities in the later middle ages, no.

45. Jonathan Berkey, 'Mamluks and the world of higher Islamic education in

medieval Cairo, 1250-1517', in Hassan Elboudrari (ed.), Modes de transmission de

la culture religieuse en Islam, Cairo, 1993, 93-116.

46. Berkey, The transmission of knowledge, 176, quoting al-Suyufi, al-Tahadduth

bi-ni' mat Allah, ed. Elizabeth M. Sartain, Cambridge, 1975.

47. Pouzet, Damas au VIle/XIIIe s., 191, 399; Jonathan Berkey, "Women and Islamic

education in the Mamluk period', in N. R. Keddie and B. Baron (eds.), Women in

Middle Eastern history, New Haven, 1991, 143-57.

48. Maria Luisa Avila, 'Las "mujeres sabias" en al-Andalus', in Maria Jesus Viguera

(ed.), *La mujer en al-Andalus: Reflejos historicos de su actividad y categorias sociales*, Madrid and Seville, 1989, 139-84.

49. R. Stephen Humphreys, *From Saladin to the Mongols: The Ayyubids of Damascus, 1193-1260*, New York, 1977, 377-80.

50. Bernadette Martel-Thoumian, *Les civils et Y administration dans l'etat militaire mamluk, IX-XV siecle*, Damascus, 1991, 79.

51. Chamberlain, *Knowledge and social practice*, 109.

52. Berkey, *The transmission of knowledge*, 127.

53. Lucette Valensi, 'Le jardin de l'academie ou comment se forme une ecole de pensee', in Hassan Elboudrari, ed., *Modes de transmission de la culture religieuse en Islam*, Cairo, 1993, 41-64, at 61-2.

54. Petty, *The civilian elite of Cairo*, 232-40.

703

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

The New Cambridge History of Islam

55. Madeline C. Zilfi, 'Elite circulation in the Ottoman Empire: great mallas of the eighteenth century', *JESHO*, 26 (1983), 318-64.

56. Manuela Marin, 'Abu Bakr Ibn al-Yadd y su familia', in Maribel Fierro and Maria Luisa Avila (eds.), *Biografias almohades I (Estudios onomdstico-biogrdficos de al-Andalus, vol. IX)*, Madrid and Granada, 1999, 223-59; Fernando Rodriguez Mediano, *Familias de Fez (ss. XV-XVII)*, Madrid, 1995, 143-60.

57. Rachid El Hour, 'La transition entre las epocas almoravide y almohade vista a traves de las familias de ulemas', in Maribel Fierro and Maria Luisa Avila (eds.), *Biografias almohades I (Estudios onomdstico-biogrdficos de al-Andalus, vol. IX)*, Madrid and Granada, 1999, 261-305.
58. Chamberlain, *Knowledge and social practice*, 62.
59. Etan Kohlberg, *A medieval Muslim scholar at work: Ibn Tuwus and his library*, Leiden, 1992, 18; Saad, *Social history of Timbuktu*, 152.
60. Maribel Fierro, 'The qadi as ruler', in *Saber religioso y poder politico. Actas del Simposio Internacional (Granada, 15-18 octubre 1991)*, Madrid, 1994, 71-116.
61. Pouzet, *Damas au Vlle/XIIIe siecle*, 108, 274, 136.
62. H. Halm, *The Fatimids and their traditions of learning*, London, 1997, 27, 54, 79. See also Maribel Fierro, 'Why and how do religious scholars write about themselves? The case of the Islamic west in the fourth /tenth century', *Melanges de VUniversite Saint-Joseph*, 58 (2005), 403-23.
63. Emile Fricaud, 'Les talaba dans la societe almohade (le temps d'Averroes)', *AQ*, 18 (1997), 331-87; El Mostafa Benouis, 'Les savants mis a l'epreuve a l'epoque almohade', in Maribel Fierro and Maria Luisa Avila (eds.), *Biografias almohades II (Estudios onomdstico-biogrdficos de al-Andalus, vol. X)*, Madrid and Granada, 2000, 315-57-
64. Carole Hillenbrand, *The Crusades: Islamic perspectives*, Edinburgh, 1999, 241-3.
65. P. S. van Koningsveld and G. A. Wiegers, 'The Islamic statute of the Mudejars in the light of a new source', *AQ*, 17 (1996), 19-58.

Glossary

'abid, sing, 'abd: slaves.

'adl and 'adalet (Tur.): justice.

agha (Tur.): chief eunuch.

ahdath: armed militias of young people, civic militia.

ahl al-bayt: the descendants of the Prophet Muhammad.

ahl al-kitab: people in possession of a Scripture revealed by God, such as Jews and Christians.

ajnad al-halqa: 'soldiers of the ring', freeborn soldiers in Mamluk times.

l alim, pi. c ulama': religious scholar.

aljamia, aljamiado (Spanish): Romance language written in Arabic script.

aman: safe conduct.

amir: prince, military commander

amir al-mu'minln: Commander of the Faithful, Prince of the Believers (caliphal title). This title implies caliphal authority, which originally included leadership of the entire Muslim community. The term continues to be used as a title by Moroccan rulers to this day.

amir al-muslimln: Commander of the Muslims, Prince of the Muslims (Almoravid title).

amr Allah: God's order.

al-Andalus: those portions of the Iberian peninsula (i.e., today Spain and Portugal) that submitted to Islamic authority, whose boundaries shifted based upon the successes or failures of Muslim expansion vis-a-vis the

Iberian Christian kingdoms from the second/eighth to the ninth/fifteenth centuries.

'asabiyya: fighting spirit, clan solidarity.

atabak: see atabeg.

atabeg: military chief, senior commander.

awlad al-nas: sons of the mamluks.

705

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Glossary

a[^]yan: local notables.

'azab (Ottoman): infantryman.

baraka: blessing, grace of God; spiritual power; usually associated with holy men

or sharifs, it can impart benefits to the holy man's followers, even when visiting

their tombs long after the holy man's death. Salary paid to the army in

Almohad times.

bay'a: oath of allegiance.

beg: Ottoman title used for holders of military /administrative positions;

autonomous ruler.

beglerbegi: governor.

beglerbegilik: province.

beglik: Turkish state.

biJad al-makhzan (Maghrib): lands submitted to the authority of the central

government by paying taxes.

biJad al-siba (Maghrib): lands 'running to waste' or 'lands of dissidence', lands

that resisted the authority of the central government or operated largely independent of it, even though local leaders often theoretically

acknowledged the sultan's authority.

Bilad al-Sudan: 'land of the blacks'.

dcfv. 'summoner'; Isma'ili missionary and propagandist.

dar al-harb: the abode of war.

dar al-islam: the abode of Islam.

dar al-tiraz: see tiraz.

da'wa: a summons to allegiance; mission.

devshirme: 'collection of boys' from Christian households to serve in the

Ottoman army.

Dey: commanding officer of the Janissaries in Algiers.

dhimma: 'the covenant of protection' granted to Jews and Christians.

dhimmv. a non-Muslim granted a covenant of protection,

dinar: gold coin.

diwan (Divan): ministry, office or board (for example, in charge of the army,

and of revenue and expenditure); commercial facility,

emir: see amir,

faqih: legal scholar.

fatwa, Turk, fetwa: legal opinion.

fiqh: Islamic law.

fitna: disruption; civil and military unrest. It is frequently translated as 'civil

war' or 'civil strife'.

funduq: commercial facility.

Glossary

Geniza: chamber of the synagogue in Fustat that served as the burial room for the

various kinds of writing that originated within the Jewish community, including letters of traders written in Judaeo-Arabic and, occasionally, Arabic.

ghazi/ Ghazi: fighter in the holy war or jihad.

hadith: Prophetic Traditions.

hajib, pi. hujjab: chamberlain or person who acts as delegate of the ruler.

hajj: pilgrimage to Mecca.

halqa: a unit composed of military slaves in Saladin's army. In Mamluk times, a

non-mamluk corps (including the mamluks' children, awlad al-nas, and

masterless veteran mamluks).

Hanafism: one of the four Sunni legal schools.

Hanbalism: one of the four Sunni legal schools.

haram: forbidden, inviolable, sacred.

hijra: migration of the Prophet Muhammad from Mecca to Medina, signalling

the beginning of the Islamic calendar; migration from one place (usually

considered un-Islamic) to another.

hisba: control of the market; the precept of 'commanding good and forbidding evil'.

hizb, pi. ahzab: lit. 'party'; coalition crossing factional boundaries among the

Mamluks.

hubs, pi. ahbas: pious endowment. See also waqf.

huffaz, sing, hafiz: lit. 'memoriser'. In Almohad times, those trained both as

scholars and as soldiers who served in the administration of the empire.

Hbra: revenue per year for a certain area of land.

Him: religious knowledge.

iltizam: tax-farming.

imam: religious and political head of the Muslim community.

imam: prayer leader.

iqta\ pi. iqta c at: the allocation of a source of revenue in payment for military

service; land-tax farms assigned to the military.

jama'a: lit. 'community'. From it derives the Spanish term 'aljama' that refers

to Muslim communities under Christian rule. Oligarchy of notables who

competed for supremacy (Timbuktu),
janissaries (Turk, yeni geri): 'new troops', the sultan's infantry corps.
jaysh: armed forces. In Morocco, jaysh troops were tribal regiments,
derived from

tribes allied with the government and usually receiving special favours,
such as

tax breaks or regional authority, in exchange for their military service,
jihad: holy war; war conducted according to Islamic norms.

jizya (Turk, jizye): poll- or head-tax.

kadi (Turk.): see qddi

707

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Glossary

kafir, pi. kufifar: unbelievers, pagans.

kanun (Turk.): see qaniin.

khan: title of the Mongol rulers.

khanqa: Sufi lodge.

kharaj: land-tax.

khatib: 'spokesman', preacher of the Friday sermon.

khutba: Friday sermon.

kufifar: see kafir.

kufr: unbelief.

madhhab: legal school.

madrassa (Turk, medrese): college, educational establishment for the
teaching of

religious knowledge and a source of power for the ^ulamcC .

al-maghrib al-aqsa: the extreme Maghrib, nowadays Morocco.

mahdl/Mahdi: 'the rightly guided one', Messianic figure who is expected to return at the end of time and to establish the rule of justice upon earth. In the history of the Maghrib - and in other Islamic regions - there have been many claimants to this title, who sought to establish religio-political movements often with the goal of capturing government authority.

majlis, pi. majalis: gathering, session, council.

makhzan: literally, 'storehouse'. In the Maghrib, central government and administration, 'treasury' state.

malik, pi. muluk: king.

Malikism: one of the four Sunni legal schools, predominant in North Africa and al-Andalus.

mamluk: slave soldier.

Mamluk: dynasty.

marabout (French from murabit): a charismatic spiritual leader known for baraka, manifested through miracle working, pious deeds and an ability to intercede between disputing groups. These holy men often played decisive roles in the history of the Maghrib, not only spiritually, but sometimes politically as well.

matjar: the state commercial office under the Fatimids and Mamluks in Egypt.

Mawlay: literally 'my master', it is a title applied to sharifs, and particularly to sultans of the Sa'di and 'Alawi dynasties. The only exception is for individuals named Muhammad in which case the term Sidi is used.

mawlid al-nabi: the festival of the Prophet's birthday.

medrese: see madrasa.

mellah (mallah): Jewish quarter in Moroccan towns.

milla, Turk, millet: religious community.

708

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Glossary

Moriscos: 'New Christians', Muslims forced to convert to Catholicism in the

Iberian Peninsula.

Mozarabs: Arabised Christians in the Iberian Peninsula.

Mudejars: from the Arabic mudajjan. Muslims living under Christian rule who were

permitted to practise Islam and run their own affairs according to their own laws.

mufti: jurisconsult who advised on matters of Islamic law.

muhtasib: market inspector.

mujaddid: religious renewer.

muqta': iqta 1 holder.

murabit, pi. murabitun: 'holy warrior' or militant reformer; when turned into

saint, see marabout.

na'ib: deputy; lieutenant of the ruler in the government of the country;

substitute of a teacher in a madrasa.

ojtiq: hearth, corps of Janissaries.

parias (Spanish): tribute paid by the Muslims to the Christians,

pasha: Ottoman title for high-ranking military officers and civilian officials.

qadx (Turk, kadi): judge.

qa'id: military commander; provincial governor.

qanun (Turk kanun): Ottoman state law.

qasaba: fortress or stronghold. The qasba is the fortified part of a city, or a

military outpost in regional areas.

qintar: weight measure.
quloghlu: 'sons of the Sultan's slaves',
ra'is (Turk, re'ts): headman, leader, chief.
rawk: cadastral survey in Mamluk Egypt.
reconquista: Christian conquest of the lands under Muslim rule in the Iberian

Peninsula which resulted in the elimination of al-Andalus.
re'Tsii'l-kiittdb (Turk): head of the chancery clerks.
ribat: military fortification; Sufi lodge.
rihla: journey; journey in search of reliable informants.
sanjaq (Turk): district, subdivision of a province.
saqaliba: slaves of Slavic or European provenance.
sekban (Turk.): mercenaries, musketeers paid only on campaign.
Shaff'ism: one of the four Sunni legal schools,
shah: title of the Safavid imam.
sharfa: Islamic religious law.
sharlf. descendant of the Prophet; man or family claiming patrilineal descent

from the Prophet Muhammad.

709

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Glossary

shaykh (Turk, sheykh): elder, head or leader of a tribe, a council or a Sufi order. Usually the authority of a shaykh is obtained informally as opposed to a formally appointed position.

shaykh al-islam: the mufti of Istanbul.

sheyhiislam: see shaykh al-islam.

shihna: military governor of a town or province.

Sidi: literally 'my master'; in the Maghrib it is an honorific title given to sharlfs with the name of Muhammad.

sipahi (Turk): cavalryman.

sudan: blacks.

Sufi: mystic.

sultan: ruler.

siiq: market.

ta'ifa: division, faction, petty kingdom, Taifa kingdom.

tajdid: religious renewal.

talaba: lit. students; Almohad religious, military and administrative elites.

taqlid: imitation of human interpretation of the religious law.

tariaa: way of the Sufi, mystical brotherhood.

tashbih: anthropomorphism.

tekke (Turk): dervish lodge.

timar: a military fief, consisting usually of one or more villages or parts of villages, together with the surrounding agricultural land and pasture, the holder of which received the tax income from the land in exchange for military service.

tiraz: production of inscribed textiles and luxurious fabrics under governmental supervision.

c ulama' (Turk. l ulema): pi. of ^alim.

umma: community of the faithful, Muslim community.

c ushr: tithe of the revenue off Muslim land; a 10 per cent tax on imports.

usul: legal methodology.

vafef(Turk): see waqf.

vezir (Turk): see wazir.

wait, governor.

walv. saint.

wall Allah: God's friend, saint.

waqf. pious endowment. See also hubs.

waqfiyya: pious endowment deed.

wazir: vizier.

zakat: alms-tax.

zawiya: Sufi lodge; residence of the marabout.

710

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Introduction

Abulafia, David, Frederick II: A medieval emperor, London, 1988.

Commerce and conquest in the Mediterranean, 1100-1500, London, 1993.

Aubaile-Sallenave, Françoise, 'Le the, un essai d'histoire de sa diffusion dans le monde

musulman', in Manuela Marin and Cristina de la Puente (eds.), El banquete de las

palabras: La alimentacion en los textos drabes, Madrid, 2005, 153-91.
Bennassar, B., and L. Bennassar, Les Chrétiens d'Auah: L'histoire extraordinaire des renégats

(XVI et XVII siècles), Paris, 1989.

Bono, Salvatore, Corsari nel Mediterraneo: Cristiani e musulmani fra guerra, schiavitù e

commercio, Milan, 1993.

Brett, Michael, 'The origins of the Mamluk military system in the Fatimid period', in

U. Vermeulen and D. De Smet (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and*

Mamluk eras, Leuven, 1995, 39-52.

The rise of the Fatimids: The world of the Mediterranean and the Middle East in the fourth

century of the hijra, tenth century CE, Leiden, Boston and Cologne, 2001.

Bulliet, Richard W., *The case for Islamo-Christian civilization*, New York, 2004.

Chamberlain, Michael, *Knowledge and social practice in medieval Damascus, 1190-1350*,

Cambridge, 1994.

Cook, Jr., Weston F., *The hundred years war for Morocco: Gunpowder and the military revolution*

in the early modern Muslim world, Boulder, 1994.

Cornell, V. J., *Realm of the saint: Power and authority in Moroccan Sufism*, Austin, 1998.

Echevarria, Ana, *Caballeros en la frontera: Laguardia morisca de los reyes de Castilla (1410-1467)*,

Madrid, 2006.

Edde, Anne-Marie, *La principauté ayyoubide d'Alep (579/1183-658/1260)*, Stuttgart, 1999.

Saladin, Paris, 2008.

El Moudden, Abderrahman, 'The idea of the caliphate between Moroccans and Ottomans:

Political and symbolical stakes in the 16th and 17th century Maghrib', SI, 82 (1995), 103-12.

Fierro, Maribel, 'Alfonso X 'the wise', the last Almohad caliph?', in *Medieval encounters* 15

(2009), 175-98.

Fletcher, Richard, *The quest for El Cid*, London, 1989.

Garcia-Arenal, Mercedes, *Messianism and puritanical reform: Mahdis of the Muslim west*,

Leiden and Boston, 2006.

Ahmad al-Mansur, Oxford, 2008.

Bibliography

Garcia Sanjuan, Alejandro, 'Mercenarios cristianos al servicio de los musulmanes en el norte de Africa durante el siglo XIII', in Manuel Gonzalez and Isabel Montes (eds.), *La Peninsula Iberica entre el Mediterrrdneo y el Atlantico*, sighs XIII-XV. Cadiz, 1-4 de abril de

2003, Seville and Cadiz, 2006, 435-47.

Garcin, Jean-Claude, *Un centre musulman de la Haute-Egypte medievale*: Qus, Paris, 1976.

Garcin, J.-C. (ed.), *Etats, societes et cultures du monde musulman medieval*, Xe-XVe sieck,

Nouvelle Clio, 3 vols., Paris, 1995-2000.

Goitein, S. D., *A Mediterranean society: The Jewish communities of the Arab world as portrayed in*

the documents of the Cairo Geniza, 6 vols., Berkeley, 1967-93.

Hanna, Nelly, *Making big money in 1600: The life and times of Isma'il Abu Taqiyya*, Egyptian

merchant, Syracuse, 1998.

Hanna, Nelly (ed.), *Money, land and trade: An economic history of the Muslim Mediterranean*,

London, 2002.

Hartmann, Angelika, *An-Nasir li-Din Allah (1180-1229): Politik, Religion, Kultur in der späten*

' Abbasidenzeit, Berlin and New York, 1975.

Hillenbrand, Carole, *The Crusades: Islamic perspectives*, Edinburgh, 1999.

Hopkins, J. F. P., *Medieval Muslim government in Barbary*, London, 1958.

Horden, Peregrine, and Nicholas Purcell, *The corrupting sea: A study of Mediterranean history*,

Oxford, 2000.

Huici Miranda, Ambrosio, *Historia politica del imperio almohade*, 2 vols., Tetouan, 1956-7;

repr. with preliminary study by E. Molina Lopez and V. Oltra, 2 vols., Granada,

2000.

Humphreys, R. Stephen, *From Saladin to the Mongols: The Ayyubids of Damascus, 1193-1260*,

Albany, 1977.

'Egypt in the world system of the later Middle Ages', in Carl F. Petry (ed.), *Islamic Egypt*,

640-1517, vol. I of the *Cambridge history of Egypt*, Cambridge, 1998, 445-61.

Imber, Colin, 'What does ghazi actually mean?', in Cigdem Balim-Harding and C. Imber

(eds.), *The balance of truth: Essays in honour of Professor Geoffrey Lewis*, Istanbul, 2000,

165-78.

The Ottoman empire, 1300-1650: The structure of power, Basingstoke, 2002.

Inalcik, Halil, *The Ottoman empire: The classical age, 1300-1600*, trans. Norman Itzkowitz and

Colin Imber, London, 1973.

Irwin, Robert, *The Middle East in the Middle Ages: The early Mamluk sultanate, 1250-1382*,

London, 1986.

'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom reconsidered', in Michael Winter and

Amalia Levanoni (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society*, Leiden,

2004, 117-39.

Jadla, Ibrahim, 'Les Juifs en Ifriqiya a l'epoque hafside', in *Histoire communautaire, histoire plurielle: La communauté juive de Tunisie. Actes du colloque de Tunis, 2}-2j fevrier 1998*, Tunis, 1999, 145-51.

Jehel, Georges, *L'Italie et le Maghreb au moyen age: Conflits et échanges du Vile au XVe sieck*,

Paris, 2001.

Johns, Jeremy, Arabic administration in Norman Sicily: The royal diwan, Cambridge, 2002.

Kably, Mohamed, Societe, pouvoir et religion au Maroc a la fin du moyen-ge, Paris, 1986.

Kafadar, Cemal, Between two worlds: The construction of the Ottoman state, Berkeley, 1995.

712

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Keddie, Nikki R., 'Material culture, technology and geography, toward a historic comparative study of the Middle East', in J. R. Cole (ed.), Comparing Muslim societies:

Knowledge and the state in a world civilization, Michigan, 1992, 40-1.
Kennedy, Hugh, Muslim Spain and Portugal: A political history of al-Andalus, London and

New York, 1996.

Kohler, M. A., Allianzen und Vertrdge zwischen den frdnkischen und islamischen Heerschem im

Vorderen Orient: Eine Studie iiber das zwischenstaatliche Zusammen vom 12. bis ins 13.

Jahrhundert, Berlin and New York, 1991.

Lev, Yaacov, State and society in Fatimid Egypt, Leiden, 1991.

Saladin in Egypt, Leiden, 1999.

Mansouri, Mohamed Tahar, 'Produits agricoles et commerce maritime en Ifriqiya aux

Xlle-XVe siecles', in Cultures et nourritures de l'Occident musulman: Essais dediees a

Bernard Rosenberger, *Medievales*, 33 (1997), 125-39.
Murphey, R., *Ottoman warfare, 1500-1700*, London, 1999.
Petry, Carl F. (ed.), *Islamic Egypt, 640-1099*, vol. I of the Cambridge history of Egypt,

Cambridge, 1998.

Planhol, Xavier de, *L'Islam et la mer: La mosquée et le matelot (VIIe-XXe siècle)*, Paris, 2000.

Popovic, A., and G. Veinstein, *Les voies d'Allah: Les ordres mystiques dans le monde musulman*

des origines à aujourd'hui, Paris, 1996.

Powers, J. F., *A society organized for war: The Iberian municipal militias in the central Middle*

Ages, 1000-1284, Berkeley, 1988.

Scaraffia, L., *Rinnegati: Per una storia dell'identità occidentale*, Rome, 1993.

Tabak, Faruk, *The waning of the Mediterranean, 100-1870: A geohistorical approach*, Baltimore,

2008.

Tuschscherer, Michel, *Le commerce du café: Avant l'ère des plantations coloniales*, Cairo, 2001.

Valensi, Lucette, *Fellahs tunisiens: L'économie rurale et la vie des campagnes aux XVIIIe et XIXe*

siècles, Paris, 1977.

van Arendonk, C., and K. N. Chaudhuri, art. 'Kahwa', EI2.

Vega Martín, Miguel, Salvador Peña Martín and Manuel C. Fera García, *El mensaje de las*

monedas almohades: Numismática, traducción y pensamiento, La Mancha, 2002.

Viguera, María Jesús (ed.), *Historia de España* fundada por R. Menéndez Pidal, vol. VIII/2: EI

retroceso territorial de al-Andalus: Almorávides y Almohades, siglos XI al XIII, Madrid,

1997.

Wasserstein, David, *The rise and fall of the party-kings: Politics and society in Islamic Spain*,

1002-1086, Princeton, 1985.

Chapter 1: *Al-Andalus and the Maghrib (from the fifth/eleventh century to the fall of the Almoravids)*

Practical suggestions for further reading

Abun-Nasr, J. M., A history of the Magrib in the Islamic period, Cambridge, 1987.

Arie, R., L 'accident musulman au bas moyen age, Paris, 1992.

Avila Navarro, M. L., and M. Marin, 'Nomina de sabios de al-Andalus (430-520 /1038-1126)',

in M. Marin and H. de Felipe (eds.), Estudios onomástico-biográficos de al-Andalus,

vol. VII, Madrid, 1995, 55-189.

713

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Barkay, R., Cristianos y musulmanes en la España medieval: El enemigo en el espejo, Madrid,

1984; 2nd edn 1991.

Bazzana, A., P. Cressier and P. Guichard, Les châteaux ruraux d'al-Andalus: Histoire et

archéologie des Husun du sud-est de l'Espagne, Madrid, 1988.

Bosch, J., and W. Hoenerbach, 'Los taifas de la Andalucía islámica en la obra histórica de

Ibn al-Jatib: Los Banu Yahwar de Córdoba', Andalucía Islámica, 1 (1980), 65-104.

Brett, M., 'Mufti, murabit, marabout and mahdi: Four types in the Islamic history of North

Africa', Revue de l'Occident Musulman et de la Méditerranée, 29 (1980), 5-15.

Butshish, I. al-Q., al-Maghrib wa'l-Andalus fi 'asr al-murabitin, Beirut, 1993.

Codera y Zaidin, F., Decadencia y desaparición de los Almorávides de España, Madrid, 1899;

repr. Pamplona, 2004, with preliminary commentary by M.J. Viguera Molins.

Constable, O. R., Trade and traders in Muslim Spain: The commercial realignment of the Iberian

peninsula, 900-1500, Cambridge, 1994; repr. 1995.

Dandash, 'I., al-Andalus fi nihayat al-murabitin wa-mustahill al-muwahhidm: 'Asr al-tawa'if

al-thani. 510-546/1116-1151. Ta'rikh siyasi wa-hadara, Beirut, 1988.

Dawr al-murabitin fi nashr al-islam fi gharb Ifriqiya. 430-515/1038-1121-1122. (The contribution

of the Almoravids to the diffusion of Islam in West Africa), Beirut, 1988.

Dufourcq, C. E., L'Afrique chretienne et le Maghreb (XIe-XVe s.), Aldershot, 1990.

Ferhat, H., Le Maghreb aux XIe et XIIe siecles: Les siecles de la fed, Casablanca, 1993.

Sabta des origines au XIVe siecle, Rabat, 1993.

Garcia Gomez, E., Andalucia contra Berberia: Reedition de traducciones de Ben Hayyan, Saqundi

y Ben al-Jatib, Barcelona, 1976.

Garcin, J.-C. (coord.) Etats, societes et cultures du monde musulman medieval: Xe-XVe siecle,

vol. I: L'evolution politique et sociale, Paris, 1995.

Glick, T., Islamic and Christian Spain in the early Middle Ages: Comparative perspectives on social

and cultural formation, Princeton, 1979; Spanish trans. Cristianos y musulmanes en la

Espana medieval (yu-1250), Madrid, 1991.

Guichard, P., L'Espagne et la Sicile musulmane aux XI et XII siecles, Lyons, 1990.

Les musulmans de Valence et la reconquete (XIe-XIIIe siecles), 2 vols., Damascus, 1990-1.

'Les etats musulmans du Maghreb', in G. Chiauzzi et al. (eds.), Maghreb medieval: L'apogee

de la civilisation islamique dans l'Occident arabe, Aix-en-Provence, 1991, 79-225.

Guichard, P. and Bruna Soravia, Los reinos de taifas: Fragmentation politica y esplendor cultural,

Malaga, 2005.

Hamman, M. (coord.), *Vocccident musulman et Vocccident Chretien au moyen age*, Rabat, 1995.

Idris, H. R., *La Berberie orientale sous les ZirTdes, X-XIII siecles*, 2 vols. Paris, 1962.

Kassis, H., 'Observations on the first three decades of the Almoravid dynasty (A.H. 450-480

= A.D. 1058-1088): A numismatic study', *Der Islam*, 62 (1985), 311-25.

Latham, J. D., *From Muslim Spain to Barbary*, London, 1986.

Levi-Provencal, E., 'Reflexions sur l'empire almoravide au debut du Xlle siecle', in

Cinquantenaire de la Faculte des Lettres d' Alger, Algiers, 1932.

Lomax, D. W., *The reconquest of Spain*, London and New York, 1978.

'Heresy and orthodoxy in the fall of Almohad Spain', in D. W. Lomax and D. Mackenzie

(eds.), *God and man in medieval Spain: Essays in honour of J. R. L. Highfield*, Warminster,

1989, 37-48.

Marin, M., 'Crusaders in the Muslim west: The view of Arab writers', *Maghreb Review*, 17

(1992), 95-102.

7M

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Marin, M., and M. Garcia-Arenal (eds.), *Saber religioso y poder politico en el Islam*. Adas del

Simposio internacional (Granada, 1991J Madrid, 1994.

Messier, R. A., 'The Almoravids, West-Africa gold and the gold currency of the Mediterranean

basin', *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient*, 17 (1974), 31-47.

'Re-thinking the Almoravids, re-thinking Ibn Khaldun', in J. Clancy-Smith (ed.), North

Africa, Islam and the Mediterranean world: From the Almoravids to the Algerian War,

London, 2001, 58-80.

Moraes Farias, P. F. de, 'The Almoravids: Some questions concerning the character of the

movement during its period of closest contact with the western Sudan', Bulletin de

l'IFAN, 29 (1967), B, 794-878.

Noth, A., 'Das Ribat der Almoraviden', in W. Hoenerbach (ed.), Der Orient in der forschung:

Festschrift fur Otto Spies, Wiesbaden, 1967, 499-511.

Trimmingham, S., A history of Islam in West Africa, Oxford, 1962.

Urvoy, D., le monde des ulemas andalous du V/XIe au VH/XIIIe siecle: Etude sociologiaue,

Geneva, 1978.

Pensers d'al-Andalus: La vie intellectuelle a Cordoue et a Seville au temps des empires berberes

(fin Xle siecle debut XHle siecle), Toulouse, 1990.

Primary sources

Abd Allah, Kitab al-tibyan, ed. E. Levi-Provencal, Cairo, 1955; Spanish trans. E. Levi-Provencal

(ob. 1956) and E. Garcia Gomez, El sigh XI en la persona, Madrid, 1980; ed. A. T. al-Tiblai-

Tibydn, Rabat, 1995; English trans. A. T. Tibi, The Tibyan, Leiden, 1986. al-Bakri, Kitab al-masalik wa'l-mamdlik, ed. and trans. M. G. de Slane, Description de VAfriaue

septentrionale, Algiers, 1911-13; repr. Paris, 1965.

Cronica anonima de los reyes de taifas, ed. E. Levi-Provencal, in al-Bayan al-mughrib, vol. Ill,

Leiden, 1930; 3rd edn repr. Beirut, 1983; Spanish trans. F. Maillo, Madrid, 1991.

Ibn Abdtin, *Kisala fi'l-qada[^] wa'l-hisba*, ed. E. Levi-Provencal, *Journal Asiatique*, 224 (1934),

177-299; trans. E. Garcia Gomez and E. Levi-Provencal, *Sevilla a comienzos del siglo XII*,

Madrid, 1948; Seville, 1975.

Ibn Bassam, *Al-Dhakhira fi mahasin ahl al-Jazira*, ed. I. Abbas, 8 vols., Tunis, 1981.

Ibn Hazm, *al-Fisal*, Cairo, 1321/1903); M. Asin Palacios, *Abenhdzam de Cordoba y su historia*

critica de las ideas religiosas, 2nd edn, Madrid, 1984.

Ibn 'Idhari, *al-Bayan al-mughrib*, vol. III, ed. E. Levi-Provencal, Leiden, 1930; trans. F. Maillo,

La caida del califato de Cordoba y los reyes de taifas, Salamanca, 1993; repr. I. 'Abbas,

Beirut, 1983, 3rd edn; trans. A. Huici Miranda, *Nuevos fragmentos almordvides y almo-*

hades, Valencia, 1963; ed. [section on the Almohads], Beirut and Casablanca, 1985.

Ibn Khaqan, *Qala'id al-'iqyan*, Paris; repr. M. al-Annabi, Tunis, 1386/1966.

Matmah al-anfus, ed. M. 'A. Shawabika, Beirut, 1403/ 1983.

Ibn al-Khatib, *al-Ihatafi akhbar Gharnata*, ed. M. A. A. 'Inan, 4 vols., Cairo, 1973-8.

Ibn Rushd, *Fatdwa Ibn Rushd*, ed. al-M. ibn al-T. al-Talli, 3 vols., Beirut, 1987; *Masd'il Ibn*

Rushd, ed. M. al-H. al-TajkanT, 2 vols., Casablanca, 1992; 2nd edn 1993.

Ibn Sahl, *al-Ahkdm al-kubrd*, ed. Rashid al-Na'Imi, 2 vols., Riyadh, 1417/1997; *Muhammad*

A. W. Khallaf (partial edn), 6 vols., Cairo, 1980-5.

al-Idrlsi, *Nuzhat al-mushtaq*, ed. and trans. R. Dozy and M.J. de Goeje, *Description de VAfrique et de*

l'Espagne, Leiden, 1886, 1968; ed. E. Cerullieta!, *Opus geographicum*, 9 fasc, Leiden, 1970-5.

Bibliography

Uns al-muhaj wa-rawd al-furaj, ed. and Spanish trans. J. A. Mizal, Madrid, 1989.

'Iyad, Madhahib al-hukkam fi nawdzil al-ahkam, ed. M. ibn Sharifa, Beirut, 1990; Spanish

trans. D. Serrano, Madrid, 1998.

al-Marrakushi, al-Mu'jibfi talkhis akhbdr al-Maghrib, ed. and trans. R. Dozy, The history of the

Ahnohads, Leiden, 1845; 2nd edn 1881.

al-'Udhri, Nusiis 'an al-Andalus min Kitdb tarsT al-akhbdr, ed. 'A. 'A. al-Ahwam, Madrid,

1965.

al-Wansharisi, al-Mi'ydr: see V. Lagardere, Histoire et societe en Occident musulman au moyen

age: Analyse du Mi'ydr d'al-Wansarisi, Madrid, 1995.

Secondary sources

Aden Almansa, M., 'Los Hammvidies, califas legitimos de occidente en el siglo XL, in

C. Laliena Corbera and J. F. Utrilla (eds.), De Toledo a Huesca: Sociedades medievales en

transition a finales del siglo XI (1080-1100), Saragossa, 1998, 45-59.

Ariza Armada, A., 'Leyendas monetales, iconografia y legitimation en el califato

hammudl. Las emisiones de 'All b. Hammud del ano 408/1017-1018', AQ, 25

(2004), 203-31.

Azuar Ruiz, R., 'Del Him a la Madina en el Sharq al-Andalus, en epoca de los reinos de

Taifas', in C. Laliena Corbera and J. F. Utrilla (eds.), De Toledo a Huesca: Sociedades

medievales en transición a. finales del siglo XI (1080-1100), Saragossa, 1998, 29-43.

Barcelo, M., "'Rodes que giren dins el foe de l'infern" o per a que servia la moneda dels

taifes?', *Gaceta Numismática*, 105-6 (1992), 15-24.

Bariani, L., 'Riflessioni sull'esautorazione del potere califfale di Hisham II da parte di

Muhammad Ibn Abi 'Amir al-Mansur: Dal califfato all'istituzionalizzazione della "fin-

zione califfale"', *Annali dell'Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli*, 58 (1998), 87-110.

Bosch, J., *Los Almorávides*, Tetouan, 1956; repr. Granada, 1990, with prologue by E. Molina.

Burman, T. E., *Religious polemic and the intellectual history of the Mozarabs, c. 1050-1200*,

Leiden, 1994.

Clement, F., *Pouvoir et légitimité en Espagne musulmane à l'époque des taifas (Ve-XIe siècle)*:

L'itinéraire fictif Paris, 1997.

Cressier, P., and M. García-Arenal (eds.), *Genèse de la ville islamique en al-Andalus et au*

Maghrib occidental, Madrid, 1998.

Felipe, H. de, *Identidad y onomástica de los bereberes de al-Andalus*, Madrid, 1997.

García-Gómez, E., *Algunas precisiones sobre la ruina de la Córdoba omeya'*, *AA*, 12 (1947),

277-93.

Guichard, P., 'L'Espagne des Amirides et des princes des taifas', 'Les Almorávides', in J.-C.

Garcin (ed.), *Etats, sociétés et cultures du monde musulman médiéval: Xe-XVe siècle*, vol. I,

Paris, 1995, 49-80, 151-67.

Jurado Aceituno, A., 'La *jidma selyuqi*: La red de relaciones de dependencia mutua, la

dinámica del poder y las formas de obtención de los beneficios', Ph.D. thesis,

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (1994).

Lagardere, V., *Les Almoravides jusqu'au regne de Yusuf b. Tashfin (1039-1106)*, Paris, 1989.

Le vendredi de Zaldqa: 23 Octobre 1086, Paris, 1989.

Laliena Corbera, C, and J. F. Utrilla (eds.), *De Toledo a Huesca: Sociedades medievales en*

transicion a finales del siglo XI (1080-1100), Saragossa, 1998.

716

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Levtzion, N., 'Abd Allah b. Yasīn and the Almoravids', in J. R. Willis (ed.), *Studies in West*

African Islamic history, vol. I; *The cultivators of Islam*, London, 1979, 78-112.

Levtzion, N., and J. F. P. Hopkins, *Corpus of early Arabic sources for West African history*,

Cambridge, 1981.

Mazzoli-Guintard, C., *Viues d'al-Andalus: L'Espagne et le Portugal a l'epoque musulmane*

(VIIe-XVe siecles), Rennes, 1996; Spanish trans, as *Ciudades de al-Andalus: Espaha y*

Portugal en la epoca musulmana (s. VIII-XV), Granada, 2000.

Vivre a Cordoue au moyen age: Solidarites citadines en terre d'Islam aux Xe-XIe siecles, Rennes,

2003.

Meier, F., 'Almoraviden und Marabute', *Die Welt des Islam*, 21 (1981), 80-163; trans, in

F. Meier, *Essays on Islamic piety and mysticism*, trans. K. O'Kane and B. Radtke, Leiden,

1999.

Meouak, M., Saqaliba, eunuques et esclaves à la complète du pouvoir: Geographic et histoire des

elites politiques 'marginales' dans l'Espagne umayyade, Helsinki, 2004.
Molina, L., 'Historiografía', in M.J. Viguera Molins (ed.), Historia de España Menéndez Pidal,

vol. VIII-i: Los reinos de taifas, Madrid, 1994, 3-27.

Pena, Salvador, and Miguel Vega, 'The Qur'anic symbol of fish on Hammudid coins: al-

Khadir and the holy geography of the Straits of Gibraltar', Al-Andalus Magreb, 13 (2006),

269-84.

Robinson, C, In praise of song: The making of courtly culture in al-Andalus and Provence,

1005-1134 A.D., Leiden, Boston and Cologne, 2002.

Rosada Llamas, M. D., La dinastía hammudí y el califato en el siglo XI, Málaga, 2008.

Scales, P. C, The fall of the caliphate of Córdoba: Berbers and Andalusis in conflict, Leiden,

New York and Cologne, 1994.

Serrano, D., 'Dos fetos sobre la expulsión de mozárabes al Magreb en 1126', Anaquele de

Estudios Árabes, 2 (1991), 163-82.

Vallvé Bermejo, J., 'Suqut al-Bargawati, rey de Ceuta', AA, 28 (1963), 171-209.

Viguera Molins, M.J., 'Las cartas de al-Gazall y al-Turtusi al soberano almorávid Yusuf b.

Tasufin', AA, 42, 2 (1977), 341-74.

'Historiografía', in M.J. Viguera Molins (ed.), Historia de España Menéndez Pidal, vol. VIII-2:

El retroceso territorial de al-Andalus: Almorávides y Almohades. Siglos XI al XIII, Madrid,

1997, 3-37-

Viguera Molins, M.J. (ed.), Historia de España Menéndez Pidal, vol. VIII-i: Los reinos de taifas;

and vol. VIII-2: El retroceso territorial de al-Andalus: Almorávides y Almohades. Siglos XI al

XIII, Madrid, 1994 and 1997.

Wasserstein, D.J., *The rise and fall of the party-kings: Politics and society in Islamic Spain*,

1002-1086, Princeton, 1985.

The caliphate in the west: An Islamic political institution in the Iberian Peninsula, Oxford, 1993.

Chapter 2: The central lands of North Africa and Sicily, until the beginning of the Almohad period

Practical suggestions for further reading.

Abulafia, D., 'The Norman kingdom of Africa and the Norman expeditions to Majorca and the Muslim Mediterranean', *Anglo-Norman Studies*, 7 (1985), 26-49.

717

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Amara, Allaoua, and Annliese Nef, 'Al-Idrissi et les Hammudides de Sicile: Nouvelles

données biographiques sur l'auteur du Livre de Roger', *Arabica*, 48 (2001), 121-7.

Bresc, Henri, 'Le royaume normand d'Afrique et l'archevêché de Mahdiyya', in M. Balard

and A. Ducellier (eds.), *Le partage du monde*, Paris, 1998, 347-66.
Arab Christians in the western Mediterranean (Xth-XIIIth centuries),
Library of

Mediterranean History (Malta), 1 (1994), 3-45.

Brett, M. and E. Fentress, *The Berbers*, Oxford, 1966.

Golvin, L., *Le Magrib central à l'époque des Zirides*, Paris, 1957.

Johns, Jeremy, 'Malik Ifriqiya: The Norman kingdom of Africa and the Fatimids', *Libyan*

Studies, 18 (1987), 89-101.

Margais, G., *Les Arabes en Berbérie du XI^e au XIV^e siècle*, Constantine and Paris, 1913.

Manuel d' art musulman: L 'architecture, vol. 1: Du IXe au Xlle siecle, Paris, 1926.

Nef, Annliese, 'Conquetes et reconquetes medievals: La Sicile normande est-elle une terre

de reduction en servitude generalisee?', Melanges de VEcole Francaise de Rome. Moyen

Age, 112 (2000-2), 589-607.

Primary sources

Ibn Hawqal, Surat al-ard, ed. J. H. Kramers, Leiden, 1938; trans. J. H. Kramers and G. Wiet

Configuration de la terre, 2 vols., Beirut and Paris, 1964.

Ibn 'Idhari, Al-Bayan al-mugrib, vol. 1, ed. G. S. Colin and E. Levi-Provencal, Leiden, 1948.

Ibn Khaldun, Kitab al-'Ibar, 7 vols., Bulaq, 1284/1867), various reprints; vols. VI and VII

trans. W. M. de Slane, Histoire des Berberes et des dynasties

musulmanes de VAfrique

septentrionale, 4 vols., Paris, 1852; 2nd edn, Paris, 1925; repr. 1999);

vol. I, The

Muqaddimah, trans. F. Rosenthal, 3 vols., 2nd edn, New York, 1967;

London, 1986.

Secondary sources

Ahmad, A., A history of Islamic Sicily, Edinburgh, 1975.

Amari, M., Storia dei Musulmani di Sicilia, 2nd edn, ed. C. Nallino, 3 vols., Catania, 1937-9.

Amari, M. (ed.), Biblioteca Arabo-Sicula, Leipzig, 1857; 2 vols, with Appendix, Turin and

Rome, 1880-9.

Brett, M., 'Fitnat al-Qayrawan: A study of traditional Arabic

historiography', Ph.D. thesis,

University of London (1970).

'The Zughba at Tripoli, 429H (1037-8 A.D.)', Society for Libyan Studies, Sixth Annual

Report (1974-5), 41-7-

'The military interest of the battle of Haydaran', in V.J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.),

War, technology and society in the Middle East, London, 1975.
 Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib, Variorum Series, Aldershot, 1999.
 The rise of the Fatimids: The world of the Mediterranean and the Middle East in the fourth century of the Hijra, tenth century CE, Leiden, 2001.
 Cowdrey, H. E.J., 'The Mahdia campaign of 1087', English Historical Review, 92 (1977), 1-30.
 Gautier, E. F., Le passé de l'Afrique du Nord: Les siècles obscurs, Paris, 1952.
 Goitein, S. D., Studies in Islamic history and institutions, Leiden, 1966.
 A Mediterranean society, 6 vols., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967-93.
 Haskins, C. H., The Normans in European history, New York, 1915.

718

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Idris, H. R., La Berbérie orientale sous les Zéyides, Xe-XIIe siècles, 2 vols., Paris, 1962.
 Johns, J., Arabic administration in Norman Sicily, Cambridge, 2002.
 Marcais, G., Les Arabes en Berbérie, Paris, 1913.
 Metcalfe, A., Muslims and Christians in Norman Sicily, London and New York, 2003.
 The Muslims in medieval Italy, Edinburgh, 2009.
 Poncet, J., 'Le mythe de la catastrophe hilalienne', Annales ESC, 22 (1967), 1099-1120.
 Chapter 3: The Almohads (524-646/1130-1248) and the Hafsids (627-932/1229-1526)

Practical suggestions for further reading

Abulafia, David, *Commerce and conquest in the Mediterranean, 1100-1500*, London, 1993.

Aguilar, Victoria, 'Tribus arabes en el Magreb en epoca almohade (1152-1269)', Ph.D. thesis,

Universidad Complutense Madrid (1991).

'La politica de 'Abd al-Mu'min con los arabes de Ifriqiya', in *Adas del II Coloquio hispano-*

marroqui de ciencias historicas 'Historia, ciencia, sociedad'. Granada, 6-10 noviembre de

1989, Madrid, 1992, 17-30.

Ai'ssani, Djamil, et al., 'Les mathematiques a Bougie medieval et Fibonacci', in *Leonardo*

Fibonacci: Il tempo, le opere, *Veredito scientifica*, ed. Marcello Morelli and Marco

Tangheroni, Pisa, 1994, 67-82.

Akasoy, Anna, *Philosophie und Mystik in der späten Almohadenzeit: Die sizilianischen Fragen des*

Ibn Sab'in, Leiden, 2005.

A'rab, S., 'Mawqif al-muwahhidin min kutub al-furu' wa-haml al-nas 'ala 'l-madhhab al-

hazmi', *Da'wat al-haqq*, 249 (1405/1985), 26-30.

Arbach, Jamal Eddine, 'Les Pisans a Tunis almohade au debut du XIIIe siecle a la lumiere de

l'affaire des navires l'Orgogliosa et la Coronata', *Revue des Deux Rives: Europe-Maghreb*,

1 (1999), 153-62.

Bachrouh, T., *Le saint et le prince*, Tunis, 1989.

Basset, H., and H. Terrasse, *Sanctuaires et forteresses almohades*, Paris, 1932.

Bazzana, A., N. Beriou and P. Guichard (eds.), *Averroes et l'averroisme: Un itineraire historique*

du Haut Atlas a Paris et a Padoue. Actes du Colloque international organise a Lyon les 4 et;

octobre dans le cadre du Temps du Maroc, Lyons, 2005.

Belkhodja, Mohamed Habib, 'Al-Hijra al-andalusiyya ila Ifriqiya fi'l-qarn 7/13', *Cahiers de*

Tunisie, 69-70 (1970), 129-37.

Berque, Jacques, 'Les Hilaliens repentis, ou l'Algerie rurale au XVe siecle', *Annaks ESC*, 25,

5 (1970), 1325-53-

Bourouiba, R., *Ibn Tumart*, Algiers, 1974.

Brett, Michael, 'The city-state in mediaeval Ifriqiya. The case of Tripoli', *Cahiers de Tunisie*,

137-8 (1986), 69-94-

Brunschvig, Robert, 'Sur la doctrine du Mahdl Ibn Tumart', *Arabica*, 2 (1955), 137-49.

Averroes juriste', in *Etudes d'orientalisme dediees d la memoire de Levi-Provencal*, Paris, 1962,

vol. I, 35-68.

Burns, R., 'Christian-Muslim confrontation: The thirteenth century dream of conversion',

in *Muslims, Christians and Jews in the Crusader Kingdom ofVakncia*, Cambridge, 1984,

80-108.

719

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Cahen, Claude, 'Deux petites textes exigeant enquete sur les relations entre Almohades et

Orientaux', in *Etudes d'orientalisme dediees a la memoire de Levi-Provencal*, 2 vols., Paris,

1962, vol. I, 79-85.

Cenival, P. de, 'L'eglise chretienne de Marrakech au XHle siecle', *Hesperis*, 7 (1927),

69-83.

Chalal, Djameleddine, 'Les relations entre l'Egypte et l'lfriqiya aux XHle et XLVe siecles

d'après les auteurs mamluks', in Actes du premier congrès d'histoire et de civilisation du

Maghreb, vol. I, Cahiers du CERES, série histoire 1, Tunis, 1979, 139-59.
Conrad, L. L. (ed.), The world of Ibn Tufayl: Interdisciplinary perspectives on Hayy ibn Yaqzan,

Leiden, 1996.

Constable, O. R., Housing the stranger in the Mediterranean world: Lodging, trade and travel in

Late Antiquity and the Middle Ages, Cambridge, 2003.

Cornell, Vincent, Realm of the saint: Power and authority in Moroccan Sufism, Austin, 1998.

Devisse, Jean, 'Routes de commerce et échanges en Afrique occidentale en relation avec la

Méditerranée. Un essai sur le commerce africain médiéval du XI^e au XVI^e siècle',

Revue d'histoire économique et sociale, 50 (1972), 42-73 and 357-97.
Estudios onomástico-biográficos de al-Andalus, vols. IX and X (Biografías almohades, 1-11), ed.

Maria Luisa Avila and Maribel Fierro, Madrid, 1999 and 2000.

Ferhat, Halima, Le Maghreb aux XI^e et XII^e siècles: Les siècles de la foi, Casablanca, 1993.

García-Arenal, Mercedes, Messianism and puritanical reform: Mahdīs of the Muslim west,

Leiden and Boston, 2006.

García Sanjuán, Alejandro, 'Mercenarios cristianos al servicio de los musulmanes en el

norte de África durante el siglo XIII', in Manuel González and Isabel Montes (eds.), La

Península Ibérica entre el Mediterráneo y el Atlántico, siglos XIII-XV.
Cádiz, 1-4 de abril de

2003, Seville and Cádiz, 2006, 435-47.

Gaudefroy-Dumortier, 'Une lettre de Saladin au calife almohade', in Mélanges René

Basset, 2 vols., Paris, 1925, vol. I, 279-304.

Gourdin, Philippe, 'Les marchands étrangers à Tunis à la fin du moyen âge', in Tunis, cité de

la mer. Actes du Colloque de Tunis (1997), Tunis, 1999, 157-84.
Guichard, Pierre, Les musulmans de Valence et la Reconquete (XIe-XIIIe
siecles), 2 vols.,

Damascus, 1990-1.

Hames, Constant, 'De la chefferie tribale a la dynastie etatique.
Genealogie et pouvoir a

l'epoque almohade-hafside (XIe-XIVe siecles)', in P. Bonte, E. Conte, C.
Hames and

A. W. Ould Cheikh, al-Ansab: La quete des origines. Anthropologic
historique de la societe

tribale arabe, Paris, 1991, 101-37.

Hassan, M., Al-Madma wa'l-badiya fi'l- ahd al-hafsx, 2 vols., Tunis, 1999.
Huici Miranda, Ambrosio, 'La participation de los grandes jeques en el
gobierno del

imperio almohade', Tamuda, 6 (1958), 239-75.

Jadla, Ibrahim, 'Les Juifs en Ifriqiya a l'epoque hafside', in Histoire
communautaire, histoire

plurielle: La communaute juive de Tunisie. Actes du Colloque de Tunis,
25-27 fevrier 1998,

Tunis, 1999, 145-51.

Julien, Charles-Andre, History of North Africa from the Arab Conquest to
1830, ed. and rev.

Roger Le Tourneau, trans. John Petrie. Re-ed. C. C. Stewart, New York,
1970.

Lagardere, Vincent, 'Structures etatiques et communautes rurales: Les
impositions

legales et illegales en al-Andalus et au Maghreb (XIe-XVe siecles)', SI, 80
(1994),

57-95-

720

Bibliography

La lana come materia prima: Ifenomeni della sua produzione e circolazione nei secoli XIII-XVII.

Atti della I settimana di studio, Istituto internazionale di storia economica F. Dattini, aprile

1969, dir. Marco Spallanzani, Florence, 1974.

Latham, J. D., From Muslim Spain to Barbary, London, 1986.

Le Tourneau, Roger, 'Sur la disparition de la doctrine almohade', SI, 32 (1970), 193-201.

Levi-Provencal, Evariste, 'Ibn Toumert et Abd al-Mumin; le "fakih du Sus", et le "flambeau

des Almohades"', in Memorial Henri Basset II, Paris, 1928, 21-37.

Llinares, Armand, 'Raimond Lulle et l'Afrique', Revue Africaine, 105 (1961), 98-116.

Mansouri, Mohamed Tahar, 'Produits agricoles et commerce maritime en Ifriqiya aux

XIIe-XVe siècles', in Cultures et nourritures de l'Occident musulman: Essais dédiés à

Bernard Rosenberger, Médiévales, 33 (1997), 125-39.

'Vie portuaire à Tunis au bas moyen-âge (XII-XV siècles)', in Alia Baccar-Bournaz (ed.),

Tunis, cité de la mer, Tunis, 1999, 143-56.

Marcais, G., Les Arabes en Berbérie du XIe au XIVe siècle. Recueil des notices et mémoires de la

Société archéologique du Département de Constantine, 47 (1913).

Masse, Henri, 'La profession de foi ('aqaïda) et les guides spirituels (morchida) du mahdi Ibn

Toumart', in Memorial Henri Basset, Paris, 1928, 105-21.

Molina Lopez, Emilio, 'De nuevo sobre el reconocimiento público del poder político. La

adhesión 'abbasi en al-Andalus (siglo XIII)', in Homenaje al profesor José María Fórneas

Besteiro, 2 vols., Granada, 1995, vol. II, 793-812.

Nagel, Tilman, Im Offenkundigen das Verborgene: Die Heilszusage des sunnitischen Islams,

Gottingen, 2002.

Petit, Odette, 'Les relations intellectuelles entre l'Espagne et l'Ifriqiya aux XI^e et XII^e

siecles', IBLA, 127 (1971), 93-121.

Romano, Ruggiero, 'A propos du commerce de ble dans la Mediterranee des XII^e et XIII^e

siecles', in Hommage a Lucien Febvre, vol. II, Paris, 1953, 149-61.

Sabbane, Abdel Latif, Le gouvernement et l'administration de la dynastie almohade (12-13^es.),

Lille, 2004.

Talbi, Mohamed, Etudes d'histoire ifriqiyenne et de civilisation musulmane, Tunis, 1982.

Urvoy, Dominique, Penser l'Islam: Les presupposes islamiques dans l'art de Lull, Paris, 1980.

Ibn Rushd (Averroes), trans. O. Stewart, London, 1991.

Ventura, Domenico, 'Cronaca di un riscatto. Dalle lettere di Giovanni Carocci, mercante

pisano "schiavo" in Tunisi (1384-87)', Ricerche Storiche, 22 (1992), 3-20.

Vernet, R., 'Les relations cerealiers entre le Maghreb et la Peninsule Iberique du XII^e au

XIII^e siecle', Anuario de Estudios Medievales, 10 (1980), 321-35.

Primary sources

Abd al- Wahid al-Marrakushi, Kitab al-mu'jib fi talkhis akhbar al-Maghrib, ed. R. Dozy, 2nd

edn, Leiden, 1881; trans. E. Fagnan, Histoire des Almohades, Algiers, 1893; trans. A. Huici

Miranda, Tetouan, 1955.

al-Abdari, al-Rihla al-maghribiyya, trans. A. Cherbonneau, 'Notice et extrait du voyage d'el-

Abdery', Journal Asiatique, fifth series, 4 (1854), 144-76; also in Revue de Geographic

(Paris), fourth year, 7 (1880), 50-61.

'Azzawi, Ahmad (ed.), Rasa'il muwahhidiyya: Majmu'a jadida (Nouvelles lettres almohades),

2 vols., Kenitra, 1995-2001.

721

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

al-Baydhaq, in Evariste Levi-Provencal, Documents inedits d'histoire almohade, Paris, 1928;

Arab, text 50-133; French trans., 75-224.

al-Burzuli, Fatdwd, ed. Muhammad al-Hablb al-Hila, 7 vols., Beirut, 2002.

al-Dabbagh / Ibn Naji, Ma'dlim al-imdn fi ma'rifat ahl al-Qayrawdn, ed. I. Shabbuh, 4 vols.,

Tunis, 1968.

al-Ghubrmi, 'Unwdn al-dirdyafiman 'urifa min al-ulania' fi'l-mi'a al-sdbi'a bi-Bijdy, ed. Rabih

Bunar, Algiers, 1970.

Ibn Abi Dinar, al-Mu'nis fi akhbar Ifriqiya wa-Tunis, ed. M. Shammam, Tunis, 1967.

Ibn Abi Zar', Rawd al-qirtids, Rabat, 1972; trans. A. Huici Miranda, 2 vols., Valencia, 1964.

Ibn Battuta, Rihla, Beirut, s.d.; French trans. C. Defremery and B. R. Sanguinetti, 3 vols.,

Paris, 1858; repr. Paris, 1997.

Ibn 'Idhari, al-Bayan al-mugrib (Ahnohads), ed. M. al-Kattani et ah, Beirut and Casablanca,

1985; trans. A. Huici Miranda, 2 vols., Tetouan, 1953-4 and Nuevos fragmentos

almordvides y almohades, Valencia, 1963.

Ibn Khaldun, Kitab al-'ibar (including the Muqaddima and Ta'rif), ed. K. Shihada and

S. Zakkar, 8 vols., 2nd edn, Beirut, 1988.

Ibn al-Qattan, Nazm al-jumdn, ed. M. A. Makkl, Beirut, 1990.

Ibn Qunfudh, al-Fdrisiyya fi mabddT al-dawla al-hafsiyya, ed. 'Abd al-Majid Turk! and

Muhammad al-Shadhuli al-Nayfar, Tunis, 1968.

Ibn Sahib al-Salat, al-Mann bi-l-imdma, ed. 'A. H. al-Tazi, 3rd edn, Beirut, 1987; trans.

A. Huici Miranda, Valencia, 1969.

Ibn al-Shamma', al-Adilla al-bayyina al-niirdniyya fi mafakhir al-dawla al-hafsiyya, ed. al-Tahir

Muhammad al-Ma'muri, Tunis, 1984.

Ibn Tumart, A'azz ma yutlab, ed. D. Luciani, Le livre de Mohammed Ibn Toumert, Mahdi des

Almohades, with intro. I. Goldziher, Algiers, 1903; ed. 'Ammar Talibi, Algiers, 1985.

Leo Africanus, Description de VAfrique, ed. A. Epaulard, Paris, 1980-1.

Levi-Provençal, Evariste, Trente-sept lettres officielles almohades, Rabat, 1941 (= Un recueil de

lettres officielles almohades: Etude diplomatique, analyse et commentaire historique, Paris, 1942).

Mafakhir al-barbar, in Tres textos drabes sobre bereberes en el occidente islmdico, ed. M. Ya'la,

Madrid, 1996.

al-Tijani, Rihla, Tunis, 1958; repr. 1981; trans. Alphonse Rousseau, 'Voyage du scheikh Et-Tijani

dans la regence de Tunis pendant les annees 706, 707 et 708 de l'hegire (1306-1309)', Journal

asiatique, fourth series, 20 (1852), 57-208; fifth series, 1 (1853), 102-68 and 354-425.

alZarkashl, Ta'nfehi al-dawlatayn al-muwahhidiyya wa'l-hafsiyya, ed. Husayn Ya'qubi, Tunis,

1998 ; French trans. E. Fagnan, Constantine, 1895.

Secondary sources

Abun-Nasr, Jamil, A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period, 2nd edn, Cambridge, 1987.

Ajello, Anna, La croce e la spada: I Francescani e l'Islam nel duecento, Rome, 1999.

al-'Amiri, Nilli Salama (= Amri, Nelly), Al-Wildya wa'l-mujtama' : Musdhama fVl-ta^rikh al-

dmiwa'l-ijtimd'ili-Ifriqiyafil-'ahd al-hafsi, Tunis, 2001.

Amri, Nelly, 'Le pouvoir du saint en Ifriqiya aux VII^e-IX^e/XIV-XV siècles:
Le "tres

visible" gouvernement du monde', in H. Bresc, G. Dagher and C. Veauvy
(eds.),

Politique et religion en Méditerranée: Moyen âge et époque
contemporaine, Saint-Denis,

2008, 167-96.

J22

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

al-Azmeh, A., Ibn Khaldun: An essay in interpretation, London and
Totowa, NJ, 1982.

Baizig, Mohammed Salah, 'L' élite andalouse à Tunis et à Bougie et le
pouvoir hafside', in

Communautés et pouvoirs en Italie et au Maghreb au moyen âge et à
l'époque moderne, Actes

du séminaire de Rome, 26-27 octobre 2001, dir. A. Nef and D. Valerian,
Mélanges de l'École

française de Rome. Moyen Âge, 115, 1 (2003), 523-42.

Balard, Michel, 'Notes sur le commerce génois en Tunisie au XIII^e siècle',
Cahiers de

Tunisie, 155-6 (1991), 369-86.

Bel, Alfred, Les Banou Ghannya, derniers représentants de l'empire
almoravide et leur lutte contre

l'empire almohade, Paris, 1903.

Bourouiba, R., 'Abd al-Mu'min, flambeau des Almohades, Algiers, 1974.

Brett, Michael, Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib, Aldershot and
Brookfield, 1999.

Brunschvig, Robert, La Berbérie orientale sous les Hafsides, des origines
à la fin du XV^e siècle,

2 vols., Paris, 1940-7.

Buresi, Pascal, *La frontiere entre Chretiente et Islam dans la Peninsuk iberique: Du Tage a la*

Sierra Morena (fin Xle-milieu XHle siecle), Paris, 2004.

Burns, Robert I., *'Principe almohade y converso mudejar: Nueva documentation sobre*

Abu Zayd', *Shara al-Andalus*, 4 (1987), 109-23.

Cressier, P., M. Fierro and L. Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades: Problemas y perspectivas*, 2 vols.,

Madrid, 2005.

Crone, Patricia, *Medieval Islamic political thought*, Edinburgh, 2004.

Dakhli, Jocelyn, *'Dans la mouvance du prince: La symbolique du pouvoir itinerant au*

Maghreb', *Annales ESC*, 3 (1988), 735-60.

Deverdun, Gaston, *Marrakech des origines a 1912*, Rabat, 1959.

Doumerc, Bernard, *Venise et Vemirat hafside de Tunis (1231-1535)*, Paris, 1999.

Dufourcq, Charles E., *L'Espagne catalane et le Maghrib aux XHle et XLVe siecks*, Paris, 1966.

L'Iberie chretienne et le Maghreb: Xlle-XVe siecks, ed. J. Heers and G. Jehel, London, 1990.

Farrugia de Candia, J., *'Monnaies hafside du Musee du Bardo'*, *Revue Tunisienne* (1938),

231-88.

Fierro, Maribel, *'The legal policies of the Almohad caliphs and Ibn Rushd's Bidayat*

al-mujtahid', *Journal of Islamic Studies*, 10, 3 (1999), 226-48.

'El titulo de la cronica almohade de Ibn Sahib al-Salat', *AQ*, 24 (2003), 291-3.

'Las genealogias de Abd al-Mu'min, primer califa almohade', *AQ*, 24 (2003), 77-108.

'Proto-Malikus, Malikis and reformed Malikis', in P. Bearman, R. Peters and F. E. Vogel

(eds.), *The Islamic School of Law: Evolution, devolution, and progress*, Cambridge, Mass.,

2005, 57-76.

'Entre el Magreb y al-Andalus: La autoridad politica y religiosa en epoca almoravide', in

Flocel Sabate (ed.), *Balaguer, uoy. Cruilla de civilitzacions*, Lleida, 2007, 99-120.

'A Muslim land without Jews or Christians: Almohad policies regarding the "protected people"', in *Christian North, Muslim South: The Iberian Peninsula in the context*

of cultural, religious and political changes (8th-11th centuries), Frankfurt am-Main,

forthcoming.

Fletcher, Madeleine, 'The anthropological context of Almohad history', *Hesperis-Tamuda*,

26-7 (1988-9), 25-51.

Fradkin, H., 'The political thought of Ibn Tufayl', in C. Butterworth (ed.), *The political*

aspects of Islamic philosophy: Essays in honour of Muhsin S. Mahdi, Cambridge, Mass.,

1992, 234-61.

723

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Fricaud, Emile, 'Les talaba dans la société almohade (le temps d'Averroès)', *AQ*, 18 (1997),

331-88.

'Origine de l'utilisation privilégiée du terme *amr* chez les Mu'minides almohades', *AQ*, 23

(2002), 93-122.

García-Fitz, Francisco, *Las Navas, 1212: En perspectiva*, Barcelona, 2005.

Garcin, J.-C. (ed.), *Etats, sociétés et cultures du monde musulman médiéval, Xe-XVe siècle*,

Nouvelle Clio, 3 vols., Paris, 1995-2000.

Ghrab, Saad, *Ibn 'Arafa et le mamlukisme en Ifriqiya au VIIIe-XIVe siècles*, 2 vols., Tunis,

1992-6.

Guichard, Pierre, 'Les Almohades' and 'La pousse europeenne et les musulmans d' Occident', in J.-C. Garcin (ed.), *Etats, societies et cultures du monde musulman medieval*, Xe-XVe

siècle, Nouvelle Clio, 3 vols., Paris, 1995-2000, vol. I, 205-32 and 279-314.

Hopkins, J. F. P., 'The Almohade hierarchy', *BSOAS*, 16 (1954), 91-112.

Medieval Muslim government in Barbary until the sixth century of the hijra, London, 1958.

art. 'Ibn Tumart', *EI2*.

Huici Miranda, Ambrosio, 'La toma de Sale por la escuadra de Alfonso X', *Hesperis*, 39

(1952), 17-76.

Historia politica del imperio almohade, 2 vols., Tetouan, 1956-7; repr. with preliminary

study by E. Molina Lopez and V. Oltra, 2 vols., Granada, 2000.

Ibn al-Abbar, *Politique et escripteur drab Valencia (1199-1260)*. *Actes del Congrés International 'Ibn al-*

Abbar i el seu temps'. Onda, 20-22 febrer, 1989, Valencia, 1990.

Ibn Abd al-Majid, Abd al-Aziz, *Ibn al-Abbar haydtuhu wa-kutubuhu*, Tetouan, 1954.

Jehel, Georges, *L'Italie et le Maghreb au moyen age: Conflits et échanges du Vile au XVe siècle*,

Paris, 2001.

Karmi Blomme, Mina, *La chute de l'empire almohade: Analyse doctrinale, politique et econo-*

mique, Lille, 1998.

Kisaichi, M., 'The Almohad social-political system or hierarchy in the reign of Ibn Tumart',

Memoirs of the Research Department of the Tokyo Bunko, 48 (1990), 81-101.

Laoust, Henri, 'Une fetwa d'Ibn Taimrya sur Ibn Tumart', *Bulletin de l'Institut Français*

d'Archeologie Orientale Cairo, 59 (1960), 157-84.

Laroui, A., *L'histoire du Maghreb: Un essai de synthese*, Paris, 1976.

Le Tourneau, Roger, 'Du mouvement almohade a la dynastie mu'minide: La revoke des

freres d'Ibn Tumart de 1153 a 1156', in *Hommage a G. Marcais*, Paris, 1956, vol. II, 111-16.

Levy, Simon, 'Problematic historique du processus d'arabisation au Maroc: Pour une

histoire linguistique du Maroc', in Jordi Aguade, Patrice Cressier and Angeles Vicente

(eds.), *Peuplement et arabisation au Maghreb occidental: Dialectologie et histoire*, Madrid

and Saragossa, 1998, 11-26.

Lopez Perez, Maria Dolores, *La corona de Aragon y el Magreb en el siglo XIV (1331-1410)*,

Barcelona, 1995.

Madelung, Wilferd, 'Some notes on non-Isma'i ShTism in the Maghrib', *SI*, 44 (1976), 87-97.

Maillo, Felipe, *De la desaparicion de al-Andalus*, Madrid, 2004.

al-Manunl, M., *al-'Ulum wa'l-adab wa'l-funun 'aid 'ahd al-muwahhidin*, Tetouan, 1369/ 1950;

2nd edn, Rabat, 1397/ 1977.

Marin, Manuela, 'Dulces, vino y oposicion politica: Un estudio biografico de epoca

almohade', in M. L. Avila and M. Marin (eds.), *Estudios onomastico-biograficos de*

al-Andalus, vol. VIII, Granada and Madrid, 1997, 93-114.

724

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Marin, Manuela and Rachid El Hour, 'Captives, children and conversion: A case from late

Nasrid Granada', *JESHO*, 41 (1998), 453-73.

Massignon, Louis, 'Ibn Sab 'in et la "conspiration hallagienne" en Andalousie et en orient au

XIIe siècle', in *Etudes d'orientalisme dédiées à la mémoire de Levi-Provencal*, 2 vols., Paris,

1962, vol. II, 661-81.

Merad, A., 'Abd al-Mu'min et la conquête d'Afrique du Nord, 1130-1163', *Annales de*

l'Institut d'Etudes Orientales (Algiers), 15 (1957), 110-63.

Mutge i Vives, Josefa, 'Algunas noticias sobre las relaciones entre la corona Catalano-

Aragonesa y el reino de Túnez de 1345 a 1360', in Mercedes García-Arenal and

Maria Jesús Viguera (eds.), *Relaciones de la Península Ibérica con el Magreb, siglos*

XIII-XVI. *Actas del Coloquio*, Madrid, 1987, Madrid, 1988, 131-64.

Nagel, Tilman, 'La destruction de la science de l'islam par Muhammad b. Tūmart', *AQ*, 18

(1997), 295-304-

Pajares Vinardell, Eva, 'Las enseñanzas de Abu l-Hasan al-Sadili, según la *Durrat al-asrar* de

Ibn al-Sabbag', Ph.D. thesis, Universidad de Sevilla (2003).

Picard, Christophe, *Voies atlantiques musulmanes, de la conquête arabe à l'époque almohade*:

Navigation et mise en valeur des côtes d'al-Andalus et du Maghrib occidental (Portugal -

Espagne - Maroc), Paris, 1997.

Porrinas González, David, 'La actuación de Giraldo Sempavor al mediar el siglo XII: Un

estudio comparativo', in *II jornadas de historia medieval de Extremadura*, ponencias y

comunicaciones, Mérida, 2005, 179-88.

Powers, J., *A society organized for war: The Iberian municipal militias in the central middle ages*,

1000-1284, Berkeley, 1988.

Prieto Vives, A., 'La reforma numismática de los Almohades', in *Miscelánea de estudios y*

textos árabes, Madrid, 1915, 11-114.

Shatzmiller, Maya, art. 'al-Muwahhidun', EI2.

L'historiographie merinide: Ibn Khaldun et ses contemporains , Leiden, 1982.

Tisserant, E., and G. Wiet, 'Une lettre de l'Almohade Murtada au pape Innocent IV,

Hesperis, 6 (1926), 27-53.

Urvoy, Dominique, 'La pensee d'Ibn Tumart', BEO, 27 (1974), 19-44.

Pensers d'al-Andalus: La vie intellectuelle a Cordoue et Sevilla au temps des empires berberes (fin

Xle siecle - debut XUIe siecle), Toulouse, 1990.

Valerian, Dominique, Bougie, port maghrebin, 1067-1510, Rome, 2006.

van Staevel, Jean-Pierre, 'Savoir voir et le faire savoir: L'expertise judiciaire en matiere de

construction, d'apres un auteur tunisois du VHle/XIVe siecle', AI, 35 (2001), 627-62.

Droit malikite et habitat a Tunis au XIVe siecle: Conflits de voisinage et norms juridiques d'apres

le texte du maitre-macon Ibn al-Rami, Cairo, 2008.

van Staevel, Jean-Pierre, and Fili, Abdallah, 'Wa-wasalnd 'ala barakat Allah ila lgliz: A propos

de la localisation d'lgliz-Des-Harga, le hisn du Mahdi Ibn Tumart', AQ, 27 (2006), 153-94.

Vega, Miguel, Salvador Pefla, and Manuel C. Feria, El mensaje de las monedas almohades:

Numismatica, traduction y pensamiento, Cuenca, 2002.

Viguera, Maria Jesus, 'Sobre el nombre de Ibn Mardams', AQ, 17 (1996), 231-8.

(coord.), El retroceso territorial de al-Andalus: Almordvides y Almohades. Siglos XI al XIII,

vol. VIII/2 of Historia de Espaiia R. Menendez Pidal, Madrid, 1997.

Watt, W. M., 'Philosophy and social structure in Almohad Spain', Islamic Quarterly, 8 (1964),

46-51.

Bibliography

Chapter 4: The post-Almohad dynasties in al-Andalus and the Maghrib (seventh-ninth/ thirteenth-fifteenth centuries)

Practical suggestions for further reading

Ahmad, Mustafa Abu Dayf, *Athar al-qaba'il al- arabiyya fi'l-hayat al-maghribiyya khilal 'asray*

al-Muwahhidm wa-Bani Mann, Wujda, 1982.

Bel, A., *La religion musulmane en Berbérie*, Paris, 1938.

Blachere, R., 'Fes chez les géographes arabes du moyen âge', *Hesperis*, 20 (1934), 99-113.

Canard, M., 'Les relations entre les Merinides et les Mamlouks au XIV^e siècle', *Annales de*

l'Institut d'Etudes Orientales, 5 (1939-40), 41-81.

Cenival, P. de, 'L'église chrétienne de Marrakech au XIII^e siècle', *Hesperis*, 7 (1927),

69-84-

Cour, A., *La dynastie marocaine des Beni Wattas (1420-1554)*, Constantine, 1920.

Dermenghem, E., *Le culte des saints dans l'Islam maghrébin*, Paris, 1954.

Destaing, E., 'Les Beni Merin et les Beni Wattas, une légende marocaine', in *Memorial Henri*

Basset, Paris, 1928, vol. I, 229-37.

Devisse, J., 'Or d'Afrique', *Arabica*, 43 (1996), 234-43.

Dufourcq, C., 'La question de Ceuta au XIII^e siècle', *Hesperis*, 42 (1955), 67-127.

'Commerce du Maghreb médiéval avec l'Europe chrétienne et marine musulmane',

Actes du premier Congrès d'histoire et de la civilisation du Maghreb, Tunis, 1979,

161-92.

Ferhat, H., 'Le culte du Prophete au Maroc au XHle siecle: Organisation du pelerinage et

celebration du mawlid', in Andre Vauchez (ed.), *La religion civique a Yepoque medievale et*

moderne (Chretiente et Islam), Rome, 1995.

'Cherifisme et enjeux du pouvoir au Maroc', *Oriente Moderno*, 18 (1999), 473-81.

Garcia-Arenal, M., *Los moriscos*, Madrid, 1975; repr. Granada, 1993.

Harakat, I., 'Al-Jaysh al-maghribi fi 'ahd Bam Marin', *Majallat kulliyyat al-adab wa'l-'ulum al-*

insaniyya, 8 (1982), 17-43.

Hopkins, J. F., *Medieval Muslim government in Barbary*, London, 1958.

Kurio, Hars, *Geschichte und Geschichtsschreiber der 'Abd al-Wddiden (Algerien im 13.-15.*

Jahrhundert). Mit einer Teiledition des *Nazm ad-Durr des Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Galil*

at-Tanasi, Freiburg, 1973.

Levi-Provencal, E., and H. Basset, *Chella, une necropole merinide*, Paris, 1933.

al-Mahl, A. H., *al-Maghrib fi 'asr al-sultan Abi 'Inan al-Marim*, Casablanca, 1986.

Messier, R. A., 'Sijilmassa: Intermediaire entre la Mediterranee et l'Ouest de l'Afrique', in

M. Hammam (ed.), *L'occident musulman et Voccident Chretien au moyen age*, Rabat,

1995, 181-96.

Rodriguez Mafias, Francisco, 'Hombres santos y recaudadores de impuestos en el occi-

dente musulman (siglos VI-VIII/XII-XIV)', *AQ*, 12 (1991), 471-96.

Rosenberger, B., 'Les vieilles exploitations minieres et les anciens centres metallurgiques

du Maroc; essai de carte historique', *Revue de Geographic du Maroc*, 17 (1969), 71-108; 18

(1970), 59-102.

Salicrii, R., *El sultanat de Granada i la corona d'Aragon, 1410-1458*, Barcelona, 1998.

Salmi, A., 'Le genre des poemes de nativite (mauludiyya-s) dans le royaume de Grenade et

au Maroc du XHie au XVIIe siecle', *Hesperis*, 43 (1956), 335-435.

726

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Sebti, A., 'Au Maroc: Sharifisme citadin, charisme et historiographie', *Annates ESC*, 41

(1986), 433-57-

Shatzmiller, M., 'Les circonstances de la composition du Musnad d'Ibn Marztiq', *Arabica*, 22

(i975), 292-9.

'Islam de campagne et Islam de ville: Le facteur religieux a l'avenement des Merinides',

SI, 51 (1980), 123-36.

'Waqf Khayri in fourteenth-century Fez: Legal, social and economic aspects', *Anaquel de*

Estudios Arabes, 2 (1991), 193-215.

Thoden, R., *Abul-Hasan 'All: Merinidenpolitik zwischen Nordafrika und Spanien in denjdhren*

710-752 H./ 1310-1331, Freiburg, 1973.

Primary sources

Abd al-Basit ibn Khalil, *al-Rawd al-basim fi hawddith al-'umr wa'l-tardjim*, ed. and trans.

R. Brunschvig in *Deux rents de voyage inedits en Afrique du Nord au XV siecte*, Paris, 1936,

pp. 5-136.

al-Badisi, *al-Maqsad al-shariffi dhikr sulaha' al-Rif*, ed. S. A. al-A'rab, Rabat, 1982; trans.

G. S. Colin in *Archives Marocaines*, 26 (1926).

Castries, H. de, et al. (eds.), *Sources inedites de l'histoire du Maroc*, Paris, 1905-61.

al-Dhakhira al-saniyya fi ta'rikh al-dawla al-mafiniyya, ed. Abd al-Wahhab ibn Mansur, Rabat, 1972.

al-Gubrimi, 'Unwadd al-diraya fi-man 'urifa min al-'ulamd' fi'l-maw al-sabi'a bi-Bijaya, Algiers, 1910—11.

al-Hulal al-mawshiyya, ed. S. Zakkar and A. Qasim Zamama, Casablanca, 1979.

Ibn Abi Zar', al-Anis al-mutrib bi-rawd al-qirtasfi akhbar muluk al-Maghrib wa-ta'fikh madinat Fas, ed. A. al-W. ibn Mansur, Rabat, 1973; Spanish trans. A. Huici Miranda, 2 vols., Valencia, 1964.

Ibn al-Ahmar, Rawdat al-nisnafi dawlat Bani Marin, ed. Abd al-Wahhab ibn Mansur, Rabat, 1991; Spanish trans. Miguel Angel Manzano, Madrid, 1989.

Ibn Battuta, Rihla, ed. Abd al-Hadi al-Tazi, 5 vols., Rabat, 1997; English trans. H. A. R. Gibb with annotations by C. F. Beckingham, London, 1994.

Ibn Ghazi, al-Rawd al-hatun fi akhbar Miknasat al-Zaytun, Rabat, 1988; trans. O. Houdas, 'Monographie de Mequinez', Journal Asiatique, 5 (1885), 101-47.

Ibn Idhari al-Marrakushi, al-Baydn al-mughribfi akhbar al-Andalus wa'l-Maghrib, vols. I and II, ed. G. S. Colin and E. Levi-Provencal, Leiden, 1948-51; vol. III, ed. E. Levi-Provencal, Louvain and Paris, 1930; vol. IV, ed. Ihsan Abbas, Beirut, 1967.

Ibn Khaldun, Muqaddima, trans. Franz Rosenthal, 3 vols., London, 1967.

Kitab al-'ibar wa'l-diwdn al-mubtada" wal-khabarf ta'rikh al-'arab wa'l-barbar wa-man 'dsara-hum min dhawi'l-sha'n al-akbar, ed. Kh. Shahada, 8 vols., Beirut, 1981; trans. M. de Slane, Histoire des Berberes et des dynasties musulmanes de l'Afrique septentrionale, 4 vols., Paris,

1968-9.

Ibn Khaldun, Yahya, *Bughyat al-ruwwdd. Histoire des Beni 'Abd el-Wad, rois de Tlemcen*, ed.

and trans. A. Bel, Algiers, 1903.

Ibn al-Khatib, *Lisan al-dm, Kitab a'mdl al-a'ldm fi man buyi'a qabl al-ihitldm*, ed. E. Levi-

Provençal, Beirut, 1956.

al-Ihdtafi akhbar Garndta, ed. M. A. 'man, Cairo, 1973-8.

7V

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Ibn Maryam, *El-Bostan oujardin des biographies des saints de Tlcmcen*, trans. F. Provenzali,

Algiers, 1910.

Ibn Marzuq, *al-Musnad al-sahih al-hasan fi ma'athir mawla-na AbTl-Hasan*, ed. Maria Jesus

Viguera, Algiers, 1981; trans. Maria Jesus Viguera, *ElMusnad: Hechos memorables deAbu*

l-Hasan, sultan de los Benimerines, Madrid, 1977.

Ibn al-Qadl, *Jadhwat al-iqtibds fi dhikr man halla min al-a'lam madinat Fas*, 2 vols., Rabat,

1973-4-

Ibn Qunfudh, *Uns al-faqir wa-'izz al-haqir*, ed. M. al-Fasi and A. Faure, Rabat, 1965.

al-Jaznal, *Jand zahrat al-as fi biniV madinat Fas*, ed. 'A. al- W. ibn Mansur, Rabat, 1967.

al-Karasi, *'Arusat al-masa'il fi-ma li-Bani Wattds min alfadd'il*, ed. 'A. al-W. ibn Mansur,

Rabat, 1963.

Leo Africanus, *Description de VAfrique*, trans. A. Epaulard et al, 2 vols., Paris, 1956.

al-Maqqari, *Nafh al-fib min ghusn al-Andalus al-ratib wa-dhikr waziri-hd Lisan al-din Ibn al-*

Khatib, ed. I. 'Abbas, 8 vols., Beirut, 1968.

al-Qalqashandi, Subhal-a'shafisina'atal-insha', ed. M. ibn 'A. al-R. Ibrahim, 14 vols., Cairo,

1913-20; partial trans. L. Seco de Lucena, Marruecos a comienzos del siglo XV segun

Ahmad al-Qalqashandi, Tetouan, 1951.

al-Tanasi, Ta'rtkh Bam Zayyan, muluk Tilimsdn, muqtatafmin Nazm al-durr wa-'iqyanfi bay an

sharafBani Zayyan, ed. M. Bouayed, Algiers, 1985; trans. J. L. Barges, Complement de

Vhistoire des Beni-Zeiyan, rois de Tlemcen, Paris, 1887.

al-'Umari, Masalik al-absdr fimamalik al-amsar, ed. M. Gaudefroy-Demombynes, Paris, 1927.

al-Wansharisi, al-Mi'yar al-mu'rib wa'l-jdmi 1 al-mugrib 'an fatawd ahl Ifriqiya wa'l-Andalus

wa'l-Maghrib, ed. M. Hajji et al, 13 vols., Rabat and Beirut, 1981.

Secondary sources

Aguilar Sebastian, V., 'Aportacion de los arabes nomadas a la organization militar del

ejercito almohade', AQ, 14 (1993), 393-415.

Arie, R., L'Espagne musulmane au temps des Nasrides (1232—1492), Paris, 1973.

al-Azmeh, A., Ibn Khaldun in modern scholarship: A study in Orientalism, London, 1981.

Ibn Khaldun: An essay in reinterpretation, London and Totowa, NJ, 1982.

Ballesteros Beretta, Antonio, 'La toma de Sale en tiempos de Alfonso X el Sabio', AA, 8

(1943), 89-128.

Beck, H. L., L'image d'Idris II, ses descendants de Fas et la politique shafifienne des sultans

marinides (656-869 /125&-1465), Leiden, 1989.

Benchebkroun, M., La vie intellectuelle marocaine sous les Merinides et les Wattasides,

Rabat, 1974.

Berque, J., 'Ville et universite. Apercu sur l'histoire de l'ecole de Fes', Revue Historique du

Droit Francais et Etranger (1949), 64-116.
'Antiquites Seksawa', Hesperis, 40 (1953), 359-417.
Berthier, P., Un episode de l'histoire de la canne a sucre: Les anciennes
sucreries du Maroc et leurs

reseaux hydrauliques. Etude archeologique et d'histoire economique,
Rabat, 1966.

Bressolette, H., and Jean Delaroziere, 'Fes-Jdid de sa fondation en 1276
au milieu du XXe

siecle', Hesperis-Tamuda, 20-1 (1982-3), 245-318.

Brett, Michael, 'The flood of the dam and the sons of the new moon', in
M. Brett, Ibn Khaldun

and the medieval Maghrib, Variorum Collected Studies Series 9,
Aldershot, 1999.

728

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Brunschvig, R. , La Berberie orientate sous tes Hafside, des origines a la
fin du XVeme siecle,

2 vols., Paris, 1940-7.

Cenival, P. de, 'Les emirs Hintata, "rois" de Marrakech', Hesperis, 24
(1937), 245-57.

Constable, O. R., Housing the stranger in the Mediterranean world:
Lodging, trade and travel in

Late Antiquity and the Middle Ages, Cambridge, 2003.

Corcos, David, 'The Jews of Morocco under the Marinids', Jewish
Quarterly Review, 54

(1964), 271-8; 55 (1965), 55-81 and 137-50; repr. in Studies in the history
of the Jews of

Morocco, Jerusalem, 1976, 1-62.

'Les Juifs au Maroc et leurs mellahs', in Studies in the history of the Jews
of Morocco,

Jerusalem, 1976, 64-130.

Cornell, Vincent J., *The realm of the saint: Power and authority in Moroccan Sufism*, Austin, 1998.

'Faḥīh versus faqīr in Marinid Morocco: Epistemological dimensions of a polemic', in

F. de Jong and B. Radtke (eds.), *Islamic mysticism contested: Thirteen centuries of*

controversies and polemics, Leiden, Boston and Cologne, 1999, 207-24.

Devisse, Jean, 'Routes de commerce et échanges en Afrique occidentale en relation avec la

Méditerranée', *Revue d'Histoire Economique et Sociale*, 50 (1972), 42-73 and 357-97.

Dhina, A., *Les états de l'Occident musulman (XIII, XIV et XV siècles)*, Algiers, 1984.

Le royaume abdelouadide à l'époque d'Abou Moussa Ier et d'Abou Tachfin Ier, Algiers, n.d.

Dominguez Ortiz, A., and B. Vincent, *Historia de los moriscos: Vida y tragedia de una minoría*,

Madrid, 1978; repr. Granada, 1993.

Dufourcq, C., *L'Espagne catalane et le Maghrib aux XIII^e et XIV^e siècles: De la bataille de Las*

Navas de Tolosa (1212) à l'avènement du sultan mérinide Abou-l-Hasan (1331), Paris, 1966.

Echevarria, A., 'Mudejares y moriscos', in Maria Jesus Viguera (coord.), *Historia de España*

Menéndez Tidal (dirigida por Jose Maria Jover Zamora), vol. VIII 1^a and 4, *El reino nazarí de*

Granada (1232-1492), Madrid, 2000, 365-440.

Ferhat, H., *Le Maghreb aux XIII^e et XIV^e siècles: Les siècles de la foi*, Casablanca, 1993.

Sabta des origines au XVI^e siècle, Rabat, 1993.

Fierro, M., 'Las genealogías de Abd al-Mu'min, primer califa almohade', *AQ*, 24 (2003), 77-108.

García-Arenal, M., 'The revolution of Fās in 869/1465 and the death of Sultan Abd al-Haqq

al-Marīnī', *BSOAS*, 41 (1978), 43-66.

'La conjonction du sufisme et sharifisme au Maroc: Le mahdī comme sauveur', *REMMM*,

55-6 (1990), 233-56.

La diaspora de los Andalusies, Barcelona, 2003.

Messianism and puritanical reform: Mahdis of the Muslim west, Leiden and Boston, 2006.

Gubert, Serge, 'Pouvoir, sacre et pensee mystique: Les ecritures emblematicques merinides

(Vlle-XIIIe/XVe-XVe siecles)', AQ, 17 (1996), 391-427-

Harvey, L. P., Islamic Spain, 1250 to 1500, Chicago, 1990.

Heers, J., 'Le Sahara et le commerce mediterranean a la fin du moyen age', Annates de

ITnstitut d'Etudes Orientates, 16 (1958), 247-55.

Huici Miranda, Ambrosio, 'La toma de Sale por la escuadra de Alfonso X', Hesperis, 39

(1952), 41-74-

Irwin, R., The Alhambra, London, 2004.

Julien, C. A., Histoire de l'Afrique du Nord: Des origines a 1830, Paris, 1951.

Kabily, Mohammed, Societe, pouvoir et religion au Maroc a la fin du moyen age, Paris, 1986.

'Espace et pouvoir au "Maroc" a la fin du "moyen-age"', in Variations islamistes et identite

au Maroc medieval, Paris, 1989, 65-78.

729

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Kaptein, N.J. G., Muhammad's birthday festival: Early history in the central Muslim lands and

development in the Muslim West until the ioth/i6th century, Leiden, New York and

Cologne, 1993.

Khaneboubi, Ahmed, Les premiers sultans merinides, 1269-1331:
Histoire politique et sociale,

Paris, 1987.

Ladero Quesada, M. A., Granada: Historia de un país islámico (1232-1516),
3rd edn, Madrid, 1989.

Latham, J. D., From Muslim Spain to Barbary, London, 1986.

Lawless, Richard I., 'Tlemcen, capitale du Maghreb Central. Analyse des
fonctions d'une

ville islamique', ROMM, 19, 2 (1975), 49-66.

Lopez de Coca, J. E., 'Granada y el Magreb: La emigración andalusí
(1485-1516)', in

M. García-Arenal and M.J. Viguera (eds.), Relaciones de la Península
Iberica con el Magreb

(siglos XIII-XVI). Actas del Coloquio, Madrid, 17-18 diciembre 1987,
Madrid, 1988, 409-51.

Manuni, M., Waraqt 'an al-haddra al-maghribiyya fi 'asr Bani Marin,
Rabat, 1979.

Manzano Rodríguez, Miguel Ángel, 'Onomástica benimerin: El problema
de la legitimidad', in

Maria Luisa Avila (ed.), Estudios onomástico-biográficos de al-
Andalus, vol. II,

Granada, 1988, 119-36.

Apuntes sobre una institución representativa del sultanato nazarí: El sayyid
al-guzdt', AQ, 13

(1992), 305-22..

La intervención de los Benimerines en la Península Ibérica, Madrid, 1992.
'Tremecén: Precisiones y problemas de un largo asedio (698-706/1299-
1307)', AQ, 14, 2

(1993), 417-39-

'El Magreb bajo el poder de los visires: Los Banii Fudud', AQ, 16 (1995),
403-19.

Marçais, G., Les Arabes en Berbérie du XIe au XVIe siècle, Constantine
and Paris, 1913.

Powers, D., Law, society and culture in the Maghrib, 1300-1500,
Cambridge, 2002.

Rodríguez Mafias, F., 'Encore sur la controverse entre soufis et juristes
au moyen âge:

Critiques des mécanismes de financement des confréries soufies',
Arabica, 43 (1996),

406-21.

Rosenberger, B., Autour d'une grande mine d' argent du moyen age marocain: Le Jebel

Aouam', Hesperis-Tamuda, 5 (1964), 15-78.

'L'histoire economique du Maghreb', in Geschichte der islamischen Lander:

Wirtschaftsgeschichte des vorderen Orients in islamischer Zeit, vol. I, Leiden, 1977, 205-38.

'A la recherche des racines du Maroc moderne. A propos du livre de Mohammed Kably:

Sotiete, pouvoir et religion au Maroc a la fin du moyen age , SI, 68 (1988), 147-69.

Shatzmiller, Maya, 'Les premiers emirs merinides et le milieu religieux de Fes: Introduction

des medersas', SI, 43 (1976), 109-18.

L'historiographie merinide: Ibn Khaldun et ses contemporains, Leiden, 1982.

The Berbers and the Islamic state: The Marinid experience in pre-Protectorate Morocco,

Princeton, 2000.

Valensi, Lucette, 'Le jardin de l'Academie ou comment se forma une ecole de pensee', in

H. Elboudrari (ed.), Modes de transmission de la culture religieuse en Islam, Cairo, 1993, 41-64.

van Koningsveld, P. S., and G A. Wiegers, 'The Islamic statute of the Mudejars in the light

of a new source', AQ, 17 (1996), 19-58.

Veronne, Chantal de la, Relations entre Oran et Tlemcen dans la premiere partie du XVIe siecle,

Paris, 1983.

Yaghmurasan, premier souverain de la dynastic berbere des Abd-al-wadides de Tlemcen, Saint-Denis, 2002.

Bibliography

Vidal Castro, F., 'Historia politica', in M.J. Viguera (coord.), *Historia de Espana Menendez Pidal* (dirigida por Jose Maria Jover Zamora), vol. VIII /3 and 4. *El reino nazari de Granada* (1232-1492), Madrid, 2000, 47-248.

Viguera, M.J., 'Le Maghreb merinide: Un processus de transfert', in *Actes du 8^e Congrès de l'Union européenne des Arabisants et Islamisants. La signification du haut moyen âge dans l'histoire et la culture du monde musulman*, Aix-en-Provence, 1978, 309-21.

Viguera, M.J., (ed.), *Historia de Espana Menendez Pidal* (dir. Jose Maria Jover Zamora), vol. VIII /3 and 4. *El reino nazari de Granada* (1232-1492), Madrid, 2000.

Chapter 5: West Africa and its early empires

Practical suggestions for further reading

Ajayi, J. F. A., and M. Crowder (eds.), *History of West Africa*, vol. I, 3rd edn, Harlow, 1985.

Hunwick, J., 'Gao and the Almoravids revisited: Ethnicity, political change and the limits of

interpretation', *JAH*, 35 (1994), 251-73.

Timbuktu and the Songhay Empire: Al-Sa'di's Ta'rikh al-sudan down to 1613 and other

contemporary documents, Leiden, 2003.

Lange, D., 'From Mande to Songhay: Towards a political and ethnic history of medieval

Gao', *JAH*, 35 (1994), 275-301.

Levtzion, N., *Islam in West Africa*, Aldershot and Brookfield, 1994.

Levtzion, N., and H.J. Fisher (eds.), *Rural and urban Islam in West Africa*, Boulder, 1987.

Levtzion, N., and R. L. Pouwels (eds.), *The history of Islam in Africa*, Athens, 2000.

Lewicki, T., 'The Ibadites of Arabia and Africa', *Cahiers d'Histoire Mondiale*, 13 (1977), 3-130.

Moraes Farias, P. F. de, 'Silent trade: Myth and historical evidence', *History in Africa*, 1

(1974), 9-24-

Norris, H. T., *The Tuaregs: Their Islamic legacy*, Warminster, 1975.

The Arab conquest of the western Sahara, Harlow, 1986.

OBwald, R., *Die Handelsstädte der Westsahara: Die Entwicklung der arabisch-maurischen Kultur*

von Singit, Wadan, Tisit und Walata, Berlin, 1986.

Willis, J. R. (ed.), *Slaves and slavery in Muslim Africa*, 2 vols., London, 1985.

Trimingham, J. S., *A history of Islam in West Africa*, London, 1962.

Primary sources

al-Bakri, Abu 'Ubayd, *Kitab al-mughrib fi dhikr bilad Ifriqiya wa'l-Maghrib*, Paris, 1965.

Corpus of early Arabic sources for West African history, trans. J. F. P. Hopkins, ed. and annot.

N. Levtzion and J. F. P. Hopkins, Cambridge, 1981.

Cuoq, J. M., *Receuil des sources arabes concernant VAfrique occidentale du VIIe au XVIe siecle*

(bilad al-sudan), Paris, 1975.

Hunwick, J. O. (ed. and trans.), *Sharfa in Songhay: The replies of al-Maghili to the questions of*

Askia al-Hajj Muhammad, New York, 1985.

Ibn Battuta, *Tuhfat al-nuzzar fi ghara'ib al-amsar wa-'ajaib al-asfar*, ed. C. Defremery and

B. R. Sanguinetti, *Voyages d'Ibn Batoutah*, vol. IV, Paris, 1858 (and reprints).

Mahmud Ka'ti ibn al-Hajj al-Mutawakkil Ka'ti, *Ta'rikh al-fattash*, Paris, 1964.

Moraes Farias, P. F. de, *Arabic medieval inscriptions from the Republic of Mali: Epigraphy,*

chronicles and Songhay-Tuareg history, Oxford, 2003.

Bibliography

[Notice historique], untitled anon, chronicle, partially trans. O. Houdas in: Mahmud Ka'ti,

Ta'rikh al-fattash, 326-41.

Rebstock, U. (ed. and trans.), Die Lampe der Brüder fSirag al-ihwan) von 'Vtman b. Fudi:

Reform und Jihad im Sudan, Walldorf-Hessen, 1985.

al-Sa'cE, 'Abd al-Rahman ibn Abd Allah, Ta'rikh al-sudan, ed. and trans. O. Houdas, Paris, 1964.

Secondary sources

Bovill, E. W., The Golden Trade of the Moors, London, 1978.

Holt, P. M., Ann K. S. Lambton and Bernard Lewis (eds.), The Cambridge history of Islam, vol.

II, London, 1970.

Palmer, H. R., Bornu, Sahara and Sudan, New York, 1970.

Saad, EliasN., Social history of Timbuktu: The role of Muslim scholars and notables 1400-1900,

Cambridge, 1983.

Chapter 6: Bilad al-Sham, from the Fatimid conquest to the fall of the Ayyubids (359-658/970-1260)

Practical suggestions for further reading

Abu-Izzedine N., The Druzes: A new study of their history, faith and society, Leiden, 1984.

Asali, K. J., WathdHq Maqdisiyya ta'rikhiyya, vol. I, Amman, 1983.

Jerusalem in history, London, 1989.

Ashtor, E., A social and economic history of the Near East in the middle ages, London, 1976.

Athamina, K., and R. Heacock (eds.), The Frankish wars and their influence on Palestine,

Birzeit, 1994.

Berthier, S. (ed.), Peuplement rural et aménagements hydroagricoles dans la moyenne vallée de

VEuphrate, fin VIIe-XIXe siècle, Damascus, 2001.

Cahen, C, Les peuples musulmans dans l'histoire médiévale, Damascus, 1977.

'Mouvements populaires et autonomies urbaines dans l'Asie musulmane du moyen

age', *Arabica*, 5 (1958), 225-50; 6 (1959), 25-56 and 233-65.

Turcobyzantina et Oriens christianus, Variorum Reprints, London, 1974.

Orient et Occident au temps des croisades, Paris, 1983.

Chehab, M., *Tyr à l'époque des croisades*, 2 vols., Paris and Beirut, 1975.

Edde, A.-M., and F. Micheau, *L'Orient au temps des croisades*, Paris, 2002.

Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras, ed. U.

Vermeulen et al., 3 vols.,

Leuven, 1995-2001.

Elad, A., *Medieval Jerusalem and Islamic worship: Holy places, ceremonies, pilgrimage*, Islamic

History and Civilization 8, Leiden, 1995.

Firro, K. M. A., *A history of the Druzes*, Leiden, 1992.

France, J., *Victory in the East*, Cambridge, 1994.

Gabrieli, F., *Arab historians of the Crusades*, London, 1969.

Garcin, J.-C. (ed.), *Etats, sociétés et cultures du monde musulman médiéval, Xe-XVe siècle*,

Nouvelle Clio, 3 vols., Paris, 1995-2000.

Gaube, H., and E. Wirth, *Aleppo: Historische und geographische Beiträge zur baulichen*

Gestaltung, zur sozialen Organisation und zur wirtschaftlichen Dynamik einer vorderasi-

tischen Fernhandelsmetropole, 2 vols., Wiesbaden, 1984.

732

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Gil, M., *A history of Palestine, 634-1099*, Cambridge, 1992.

Gilbert, J. E., 'Institutionalization of Muslim scholarship and professionalization of the

'ulama' in medieval Damascus', SI, 52 (1980), 105-34.

Heyd, W., Histoire du commerce du Levant au moyen-age, 2 vols., Leipzig 1885-6, repr.

Amsterdam, 1983.

Holt, P. M., The age of the Crusades, London, 1986.

Irwin, R., The Middle East in the middle ages, London, 1986.

Kennedy, H., Crusader castles, Cambridge, 2001.

Kohler, M. A. , Allianzen und Verträge zwischen frankischen und islamischen Herrschen im

Vorderen Orient, Berlin and New York, 1991.

Le Strange, G., Palestine under the Moslems: A description of Syria and the Holy Land, London,

1890; repr. Beirut, 1965.

Lewis, B., The Assassins: A radical sect in Islam, London, 1967.

Makdisi, G., The rise of colleges, institutions of learning in Islam and the West, Edinburgh, 1981.

Mesqui J., Chateaux d'Orient, Paris, 2001.

Nasrallah, J., Histoire du mouvement litteraire dans l'eglise melchite du Ve au XXe siecle, vol. III, 1

(969-1250), Louvain, 1983.

Nicolle, D., Arms and armour of the crusading era, 2 vols., New York, 1988.

Pahlizsch, J. and L. Korn (eds.), Governing the Holy City: The interaction of social groups in

Jerusalem between the Fatimid and the Ottoman period, Wiesbaden, 2004.

Prawer, J., Histoire du royaume latin de Jerusalem, 2 vols., Paris, 1975.

Richard, J., Histoire des croisades, Paris, 1996.

Rosenthal, F., A history of Muslim historiography, 2nd edn, Leiden, 1968.

Runciman, S., A history of the Crusades, 3 vols., Cambridge, 1951-4.

Salame-Sarkis, H., Contribution a l'histoire de Tripoli et de sa region a l'epoque des croisades:

Problèmes d'histoire, d'architecture et de ceramique, Paris, 1980.

Sauvaget, J. , Alep: Essai sur le developpement d'une grande ville syrienne des origines au milieu du

XIXe siecle, Paris, 1941.

Schimmel, A., *Le soufisme ou les dimensions mystiques de l'Islam*, Paris, 1996.

Setton, K. M. (general ed.), *A history of the Crusades*, 6 vols., Madison, 1969-89.

Sivan, E., *L'Islam et la croisade: Ideologie et propagande dans les reactions musulmanes aux*

croisades, Paris, 1968.

Sourdel D., 'Reflexions sur la diffusion de la madrasa en orient du XIe au XIIIe siecle',

REI, Hors serie 13, *L'enseignement en Islam et en Occident au moyen-age* (1976),

165-84-

Primary sources

Abu Shama, *Kitab al-rawdatayn fi akhbar al-dawlatayn*, 2 vols., Bulaq, 1287-92 /1871-5.

Tardjim rijal al-qamayn al-sadis wa'l-sabf, ed. al-Kawthari, Cairo, 1947.
Anonymi auctoris chronicon ad A.C. 1234 pertinens, vol. II, trans. A. Abouna, *Corpus scriptorum*

christianorum orientalium, vol. 354, *Scriptores Syri*, vol. 154, Louvain, 1974.

al-'Aziml, *Ta'rikh Halab*, ed. I. Za'rur, Damascus, 1984.

Bar Hebraeus, *The chronography of Gregory Abu l-Faraj the son of Aaron*, ed. and trans.

E. A. W. Budge, 2 vols., London, 1932.

al-Bundari, *Sana al-Barq al-shami*, ed. F. al-Nabrawi, Cairo, 1979.

733

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

al-Dhahabi, *Ta'rtkh al-Islam*, sections 61 (years 601-10), 62 (years 611-20), 63 (years 621-30),

64 (years 631-40), ed. B. 'A. Ma'ruf, Sh. al-Arna'ut and S. M. 'Abbas, 4 vols.,

Beirut, 1988.

al-Harawi, Kitdb al-ishdrdt ild ma'rifat al-ziydrdt, ed. J. Sourdell-Thomine, Damascus, 1953,

and trans., Guide des lieux de pelerinage, Damascus, 1957.

History of the Patriarchs of the Egyptian Church, ed. and trans. A. Khater and O. H. E. -K. H. S.

Burmester, 4 vols., Cairo, 1970-4.

Ibn Abi Usaybi'a, 'Uytin al-anbd' ft tabaqdt al-atibbd', ed. N. Rida, Beirut, 1965.

Ibn al-Adlm, Bughyat al-talab ft ta'rtkh Halab, ed. S. Zakkar, n vols., Damascus, 1988.

Zubdat al-halab min ta'rtkh Halab, ed. S. Dahan, 3 vols., Damascus, 1951-68.

Ibn Asakir, Ta'rtkh Dimashq, 80 vols., Beirut, 2000, partial trans. N. Elisseeff, La description

de Damas d'Ibn 'Asakir, Damascus, 1959.

Ibn al-Athir, al-Kdmil fi'l-ta'rtkh, 13 vols., Beirut, 1965-7; partial trans. D. S. Richards, The

Chronicle of Ibn al-Athir for the crusading period from al-Kimil fi 'l-ta'rtkh, 3 vols.,

Aldershot, 2006-8.

Ibn al-Furat, Ta'rtkh al-duwal wa'l-muluk, ed. H. al-Shamma', vol. IV, parts 1 and 2, vol. V,

part 1, Basra, 1967-70; partial ed. and trans. V. and M. C. Lyons and J. S. C. Riley-Smith,

Ayyubids, Mamluks and Crusaders: Selections from the Tdrtkh al-Duwal wa'l-Multik of Ibn

al-Furat, 2 vols., Cambridge, 1971.

Ibn Jubayr, Rihla, ed. W. Wright, rev. M.J. De Goeje, E.J. W. Gibb Memorial Series, vol. V,

Leiden and London, 1907; trans. M. Gaudelroy-Demombynes, Voyages, 4 vols., Paris,

1949-65 and R.J. C. Broadhurst, The travels of Ibn Jubayr, London, 1952.

Ibn Kathir, al-Biddya wa'l-nihdya, ed. S.J. al-Attar and M. al-Biq'a'1, 10 vols., Beirut, 1998-2001.

Ibn Khallikan, *Kitdb wafaydt al-a'ydn wa anbd' abnd' al-zamdn*, ed. I. Abbas, 8 vols., Beirut,

1968-72; trans. M. G. de Slane, *Ibn Khallikan's biographical dictionary*, 4 vols., Paris and

London, 1843-71.

Ibn Nazlf al-HamawT, *Ta'rtkh al-Mansurt*, ed. Abu'l-'Id Dudu, Damascus, 1981.

Ibn al-Qalanisi, *Dhayl ta'rtkh Dimashq*, ed. H. F. Amedroz, Leiden, 1908; partial trans.

H. A. R. Gibb, *The Damascus Chronicle of the Crusades*, London, 1932, and R. Le

Tourneau, *Damas de 1075 a "54> Damascus*, 1952.

Ibn al-Qiffi, *Ta'rtkh al-hukamd'*, ed. J. Lippert, Leipzig, 1903.

Ibn Shaddad, *Baha' al-DIn, al-Nawddir al-sultaniyya wa'l-mahdsin al-Yusufiyya*, ed. J. al-Din

al-Shayyal, Cairo, 1964; trans. D. S. Richards, *The rare and excellent history of Saladin*,

Aldershot, 2001.

Ibn Shaddad, *'Izz al-DIn, al-A'ldtq al-khattra fi dhikr umard' al-Shdm wa'l-Jazira*, ed. D. Sourdell,

Damascus, 1953; ed. S. Dahan, 2 vols., Damascus, 1956-63; ed. Y. Abbara, 2 vols.,

Damascus, 1978; ed. A. M. Edde, BEO, 32-3 (1980-1), 265-402 and trans. Description de

la Syrie du Nord, Damascus, 1984.

Ibn Waal, *Mufarrij al-kurtib ft akhbdr Bant Ayyub*, ed. J. al-D. al-Shayyal, H. Rabi' and

S. Ashur, 5 vols., Cairo, 1953-77; ed. 'U. Tadmuri, Beirut, 2004.

al-Isfahani 'Imad al-Din, *al-Barq al-shamt*, vol. V (years 578-80), ed. F. Husayn, Amman, 1987.

Kitdb al-fath al-qussi ft'l-fath al-Qudst, ed. Landberg, Leiden, 1888; trans. H. Masse,

Conquete de la Syrie et de la Palestine par Saladin, Paris, 1972.

al-Makin Ibn al-Amid, *al-Majmii' al-mubdrak (or Ta'rikh)*, partial ed. C. Cahen, BEO, 15

(1955-7), 109-84, and trans. A. M. Edde and F. Micheau, *Chronique des Ayyoubides*

(602-658/1205-6-1259-60), Paris, 1994.

734

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

al-MaqrizI, *Kitab al-suluk li ma'rifat duwal al-muluk*, ed. M. Ziada, 2 vols., Cairo, 1956; partial

trans. R.J. C. Broadhurst, *A history of the Ayyubid sultans of Egypt*, Boston, 1980, and

E. M. Quatremere, *Histoire des sultans mamluks*, 2 vols., Paris, 1837-42.
Matthew of Edessa, *Armenia and the Crusades: Tenth to twelfth centuries. The Chronicle*

of Matthew of Edessa, trans. A. E. Dostourian, Lanham, New York and London, 1993.

Michel le Syrien, *Chronique syriaque*, ed. and trans. J. -B. Chabot, 4 vols., Paris, 1899-1914.

al-Mundhirl, *al-Takmila li-wafayat al-naqala*, ed. B. 'A. Ma'riif, 4 vols., Beirut, 1981.

al-MuqaddasI, *Ahsan al-taqasim fi ma'rifat al-aqalim*, ed. M.J. De Goeje, BGA, vol. Ill, 3rd

edn, Leiden, 1967; partial trans. A. Miquel, *La meilleure repartition pour la connaissance*

des provinces, Damascus, 1963.

Nasir-i-Khusraw, *Safar-ndma*, trans. W. M. Thackston, *Naser-e-Khusraw's Book of travels*,

New York, 1985.

al-Nu'ayml, *al-Ddris fi ta'rikh al-maddris*, ed. J. al-Hasani, 2 vols., Damascus, 1948-51.

al-Qalqashandi, *Subh al-a'shd fi sind'at al-inshd'*, ed. M. Abd al-Rastil Ibrahim, 2nd edn,

14 vols., Cairo, 1963.

Recueils des historiens des croisades, historiens orientaux, 5 vols., Paris, 1872-1906.

Sibt Ibn al-Jawzi, *Mir'at al-zamdn*, facsimile ed. J. R. Jewett; ed. vol. VIII, parts 1-2,

Hyderabad, 1951-2.

al-Tarsusi, *Tabsirat arbab al-albab*, partial ed. and trans. C. Cahen, 'Un traite d'armurerie

compose pour Saladin', BEO, 12 (1947-8), 103-63.

Usama ibn Munqidh, *Kitab al-i'tibar*, trans. A. Miquel, *Des enseignements de la vie: Souvenirs*

d'un gentilhomme syrien du temps des croisades, Paris, 1983, and P. K. Hitti, *An Arab-Syrian*

gentleman and warrior in the period of the Crusades: *Memoirs of Usdmah Ibn-Munqidh*, 3rd

edn, Princeton, 1987.

Yahya Ibn Sa'Id, *History*, ed. and trans. I. Kratchkovsky and A. Vasiliev, *Patrologia Orientalis*,

XVIII, 5 and XXIII, 3, Paris, 1924-32; ed. and trans. I. Kratchkovsky, F. Micheau and

G. Troupeau, *Patrologia Orientalis*, XVII, 4, 212, Turnhout, 1997.

Yaqut, *Mu'jam al-buldan*, 5 vols., Beirut, 1955-7.

Irshad al-arib ila ma'rifat al-adib, ed. D. S. Margoliouth, 3rd edn, 10 vols., Cairo, 1980.

Secondary sources

General

al-Ajji, E., S. Berthier et al., *Etudes et travaux a la citadelle de Damas (2000-2001): un premier*

bilan, BEO, Supplement au tome 53-54 (Damascus, 2003).

Beddek, K., 'Le complexe ayyoubide de la citadelle de Salah al-Din: Bain ou palais',

Archeologie Islamique, 11 (2001), 75-90.

Bylinski, Janus, 'Qal'at Shirkuh at Palmyra. A medieval fortress reinterpreted', BEO, 51

(i999), 151-208.

Cahen, C, *La Syrie du Nord a l'epoque des croisades et la principaute franque d'Antioche*, Paris, 1940.

Gelichi, S., '11 castello di Harim (Idlib-Siria). Aggiornamenti sulla missione archeologica: la

campagna di scavo 2000', *Le Missioni Archeologiche dell'Universita di Ca' Foscari di*

Venezia. *III giornata di Studio, Venice, 2003*, 176-85.

Goitein, S. D. F., *A Mediterranean society: The Jewish communities of the Arab world as*

portrayed in the documents of the Cairo Geniza, 6 vols., Berkeley, Los Angeles and

London, 1967-93.

735

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Gonnella, J., 'The Citadel of Aleppo', *Electronic Journal of Oriental Studies*, IV, Proceedings of

the uth International Congress of Turkish Art, Utrecht, August 23-28, 1999, ed. M. Kiel,

N. Landman and H. Theunissen 22 (2001), 1-24.

al-Hafsi, Ibrahim, 'Rasa'il, Correspondance officielle et privee d'al-Qadi al-Fadil', Ph.D.

thesis, 4 vols., University of Paris IV-Sorbonne (1979).

Hennequin, G. and al-'Ush Abu l-Faraj, *Les monnaies de Balis, Damascus*, 1978.

Hillenbrand, C, *The Crusades: Islamic perspectives*, Edinburgh, 1999.

Kedar, B., 'The subjected Muslims of the Frankish Levant', in J. M. Powell (ed.), *Muslims*

under Latin rule 1100-1300, Princeton, 1990, 135-74.

Kedar, B. Z. (ed.), *The Horns of Hattin, Jerusalem and London*, 1992.

King, G., 'Archaeological fieldwork at the Citadel of Homs, Syria, 1995-1999', *Levant*, 34

(2002), 39-58.

Lewis, B., and P. M. Holt, *Historians of the Middle East*, Oxford, 1962.

Matthers, J., et al., 'Tell Rifa'at 1977: Preliminary report of an archeological survey', *Iraq*, 40,

2 (1978), 119-62.

Mayer, H. E., 'Latins, Muslims and Greeks in the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem', *History*, 63

(1978), 175-92.

Geschichte der Kreuzziige, 10th edn, Stuttgart, 2005; trans. *The Crusades*, 2nd edn, Oxford,

1988.

Michaudel, B., 'Le chateau de Saone (Sahyun, Qal'at Salah al-Din) et ses defenses,

Archeologie Islamique, 11 (2001), 201-6.

Negre, A., 'Les monnaies de Mayadln. Mission franco-syrienne de Rahba-Mayadin', *BEO*,

32-3 (1980-1), 201-6.

The new encyclopedia of archaeological excavations in the Holy Land, ed. E. Stern, 4 vols.,

Jerusalem, 1993.

Poree, B., 'La contribution de l'archeologie a la connaissance du monde des croisades

(Xlle-XIIIe siecle): L'exemple du Royaume de Jerusalem', in M. Balard (ed.), *Autour de*

la premiere croisade, Paris, 1996, 487-515.

Raymond, Andre and Jean-Louis Paillet, *Balis II: Histoire de Balis et fouilles Hots I et II*,

Damascus, 1995.

Rosen-Ayalon, M., *Art et archeologie islamiques en Palestine*, Paris, 2002.

Setton, K. M. (general ed.), *A history of the Crusades: vol. VI*, ed. H. W. Hazard and

N. P. Zacour, *The impact of the Crusades on Europe*, Madison, 1989.

Ulbert, Thilo, *Die Basilika des Heiligen Kreuzes in Resafa-Sergiopolis*, vols. II and III, Mayence,

1986 and 1990.

Hamdanids, Fatimids and Mirdasids

Bianquis, T., Damas et la Syrie sous la domination fatimide (359-468 /969-1076), 2 vols., Damascus, 1986-9.
'Rahba et les tribus arabes avant les croisades', BEO, Le nord-est syrien, 41-2 (1989-90),

23-53.

'Pouvoirs arabes a Alep aux Xe et Xle siecles', REMMM, 62 (1991-4), 49-59.

'Les frontieres de la Syrie au Xle siecle', in *Castrum 4: Frontiere et peuplement dans le monde*

mediterraneen au moyen age, Rome and Madrid, 1992, 135-49.

Canard, M., *Histoire de la dynastie des Hamdanides dejazira et de Syrie*, Algiers, 1951.

736

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Canard, M., *Byzance et les musulmans du Proche-Orient*, Variorum Reprints, London, 1973.

Miscellanea orientalia, Variorum Reprints, London, 1973.

Halm, H., 'Der Treuhander Gottes: Die Edikte des Kalifen al-Hakim', *Der Islam*, 63 (1986),

11—72.

Lev, Y., 'Fatimid policy towards Damascus (358/968-386/996), military, political and social

aspects', *JSAI*, 3 (1981-2), 165-83.

State and society in Fatimid Egypt, Leiden, 1991.

Tate, G., 'Frontiere et peuplement en Syrie du Nord et en Haute-Mesopotamie entre le IVe

et le Xle siecle', in *Castrumy Guerre, fortification et habitat dans le monde mediterraneen*

au moyen age, Rome and Madrid, 1988, 150-9.

Zakkar, S., *The Emirate of Aleppo, 1004-1094*, Beirut, 1971.

Saljuqs and Zangids

Ashtor, E., 'Republiques urbaines dans le Proche-Orient a l'epoque des croisades?', Cahiers

de Civilisation Medievale, 18 (1975), 117-31.

Cahen, C, 'Une chronique syrienne du VIe/XIIe siecle: Le Bustan al-Jami'', BEO, 7-8

(1937-8), 113-58.

Edde, A.-M., 'Ridwan, prince d'Alep de 1095 a 1113', in Melanges offerts au Professeur

Dominique Sourdél, REI, 54 (1986), 101-25.

Elisseeff, N. 'Les monuments de Nur al-Din', BEO, 13 (1949-51), 7-43.

'La titulature de Nur ad-Din d'apres ses inscriptions', BEO, 14 (1952-4), 155-96.

Mir al-Din, un grand prince musulman de Syrie au temps des croisades, 3 vols., Damascus,

1966.

Geoffroy, E., Djihdd et contemplation: Vie et enseignement d'un soufi au temps des croisades,

Paris, 1997.

Hillenbrand, C, 'The career of Najm al-Din Il-Ghazi', Der Islam, 58, 2 (1981), 250-92.

Mouton, J.-M., Damas et sa principaute sous les Saljoukides et les Bourides, 468-548/1076-1154,

Cairo, 1994.

Sivan, E., 'La genese de la contre-croisade: Un traite damasquin du debut du XIIe siecle',

Journal Asiatique, 254 (1966), 197-224.

'Refugies syro-palestiniens au temps des croisades', REI, 35 (1967), 135-47.

Sourdél, D., and J. Sourdél-Thomine, 'Nouveaux documents sur l'histoire religieuse et

sociale de Damas au moyen-age', REI, 32 (1964), 1-15.

'A propos des documents de la grande mosquee de Damas conserves a Istanbul.

Resultats de la seconde enquete', REI, 33 (1965), 77-85.

'Une collection medievale de certificats de pelerinage a La Mekke conserve a Istanbul.

Les actes de la periode seljoukide et bouride (jusqu'a 549/1154)', in Etudes medievales et

patrimoine turc, Paris, 1983, 167-273.

Ayyubids

Balog, P., The coinage of the Ayyubids, London, 1980.

Chamberlain, M., Knowledge and social practice in medieval Damascus, 1190-1350, Cambridge,

1994.

Dahlmanns, F.J., 'al-Malik al-'Adil: Agypten und der Vordere Orient in den Jahren 589/ 1193 bis

615/1218', Dissertation, University of Giessen (1975).

737

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Edde, A.-M., 'Les medecins dans la societe syrienne du VIle/XIIIe siecle', AI, 29 (1995), 91-109.

'Quelques institutions militaires ayyoubides', in U. Vermeulen and D. De Smet (eds.),

Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras, Leuven, 1995, 163-75.

'Kurdes et Turcs dans l'armee ayyoubide de Syrie du Nord', in Y. Lev (ed.), War and

society in the eastern Mediterranean, yth-iflh centuries, Leiden, 1997, 225-36.

La principaute ayyoubide d'Alep (5J9/1183-658/1260), Freiburger Islamstudien 21,

Stuttgart, 1999.

Saladin, Paris, 2008.

Ehrenkreutz, A. S., Saladin, New York, 1972.

Gibb, H. A. R., The life of Saladin, Oxford, 1973.

Saladin: Studies in Islamic history, Beirut, 1974.

Hein, H. A., 'Beitrage zur ayyubidischen Diphmatik' , dissertation, University of Freiburg im

Breisgau (1968).

Humphreys, R. S., From Saladin to the Mongols: The Ayyubids of Damascus, 1193-1260,

New York, 1977.

Jubb, M., The legend of Saladin in western literature and historiography, Lewiston, 2000.

Lyons, M. C, and D. E. P. Jackson, Saladin: The politics of Holy War, Cambridge, 1982.

Mohring, H., Saladin und der Dritte Kreuzzug, Wiesbaden, 1980.

Morray, D., An Ayyubid notable and his world: Ibn al-'Adim and Aleppo as portrayed in his

biographical dictionary of people associated with the city, Leiden, 1994.

Pouzet, L., Damas au VIle/XIIIe siecle: Vie et structures religieuses dans une metropole islamique,

Beirut, 1988.

'Les madrasas de Damas et leurs professeurs au VIle/XIIIe siecle', MUSJ, 52 (1991-2

[1995]), 121-96.

Sourdel, D. 'Les professeurs de madrasa a Alep aux XIle-XIIIe siecles d'apres Ibn Shaddad',

BEO, 13 (1949-51), 85-115.

'Rubin, lieu de pelerinage musulman de la Syrie du nord au XHle siecle', Syria, 30 (1953), 89-107.

Sourdel, D. and J. Sourdel-Thomine, Certificats de pelerinage d'epoque ayyoubide: Contribution

a l'histoire de l'ideologie de l'islam au temps des croisades, Documents relatifs a l'histoire

des croisades, Paris, 2006.

Sourdel-Thomine, J., 'Les anciens lieux de pelerinage damascains d'apres les sources

arabes', BEO, 14 (1952-4), 65-85.

'Le peuplement de la region des "villes mortes" (Syrie du nord) a l'epoque ayyoubide',

Arabica, 1 (1954), 187-99.

Tabbaa, Y., Constructions of power and piety in medieval Aleppo, University Park,

Pennsylvania, 1997.

Chapter 7: The Fatimid caliphate (358-567/969-1171) and

the Ayyubids in Egypt (567-648/ 1171-1250)

Practical suggestions for further reading

Bierman, I. A., *Writing sings: The Fatimid public text*, Berkeley, 1998.

Brett, M., 'Lingua franca in the Mediterranean: John Wamsborough and the historiography

of mediaeval Egypt', in H. Kennedy (ed.), *The historiography of Islamic Egypt*

(c.950-1800), Leiden, 2001, 1-13.

73«

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

The rise of the Fatimids: The world of the Mediterranean and the Middle East in the fourth

century of the hijra, tenth century CE, Leiden, Boston and Cologne, 2001.
Cohen, M. R., *Jewish self-government in medieval Egypt*, Princeton, 1980.

Cooper, R. S., 'Ibn Mammati's rules for ministries: Translation with commentary of the

Qawarim al-Dawarim', Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley (1973).

Daftari, F., *The Isma'ilis: Their history and doctrines*, Cambridge, 1990.
Ehrenkreutz, A. S., *Saladin*, Albany, 1972.

'Saladin as "homo oeconomicus"', *Hamdard Islamicus*, 22 (1999), 7-16.
Frantz-Murphy, G., 'Land-tenure in Egypt in the first five centuries of Islamic rule

(seventh-twelfth centuries AD)', in A. K. Bowman and E. Rogan (eds.), *Agriculture*

in Egypt from Pharaonic times to modern times, Oxford, 1999, 237—67.

Frenkel, Y., 'Political and social aspects of Islamic religious endowments (awqaf): Saladin in

Cairo (1169-73) and Jerusalem (1187-93)', BSOAS, 62 (1999), 1-20.

Gil, M., 'The Jewish merchants in the light of eleventh-century Geniza documents', JESHO,

46 (2003), 273-319.

Goitein, S. D., Letters of medieval Jewish traders, Princeton, 1973.

Gottschalk, H. L., al-Malik al-Kamil von Egypten und seine Zeit, Wiesbaden, 1958.

Halm, H., 'Der treuhander Gottes. Die edikte des Kalifen al-Hakim', Der Islam, 63 (1986), 11-72.

Die Kalifen von Kairo, Munich, 2003.

Humphreys, R. S., 'Legitimacy and political instability in Islam in the age of the Crusades', in

H. Dajani-Shakkel and R. A. Messier (eds.), The jihad and its times, Ann Arbor, 1991, 5-13.

Lapidus, I. M., Ayyubid religious policy and the development of the schools of law in

Cairo', in Colloque international sur l'histoire du Caire, Berlin, 1974, 279-86.

Lyons, M. C., and D. E. P. Jackson, Saladin: The politics of the Holy War, Cambridge, 1982.

MacKenzie, N. D., Ayyubid Cairo: A topographical study, Cairo, 1992.

al-Maqrizi, A history of the Ayyubid sultans of Egypt, trans. R.J. C. Broadhurst, Boston, 1980.

Mouton, Jean-Michel (and Simone Jehel), 'Saladin et les Pisans', in Tous azimuts, Melanges de

recherches en l'honneur du Professeur Georges Jehel, 13 (2002), 345-64.

Rabie, H., The financial system of Egypt, London, 1972.

'Some technical aspects of agriculture in medieval Egypt', in A. L. Udovitch (ed.), The

Islamic Middle East, 700-1900: studies in economic and social history, Princeton, 1981, 59-91.

Raymond, A., Cairo, trans. Willard Wood, Cambridge, Mass., 2000.

Sanders, P., Ritual, politics, and the city in Fatimid Cairo, New York, 1994.

'Les Fatimides sont-ils devenus Egyptiens? Identites et societes en Egypte', in

C. Decobert (ed.), *Valeur et distance*, Paris, 2000, 265-79.
Sayyid, A. F., *al-Dawla al-Fatimiyya fi Misr. Tafsirjadid*, 2nd enlarged edn, Cairo, 2000.
Stillman, N. A., 'The eleventh century merchant house of Ibn Awkal (a Geniza study)',

JESHO, 16 (1973), 15-88.

Udovitch, A. L., 'Merchants and amirs: Government and trade in eleventh-century Egypt',

Asian and African studies, Studies in Memory of Eliyahu Ashtor (1914-84), 22 (1988), 53-73.

'Fatimid Cairo: Crossroads of world trade-from Spain to India', in M. Barrucand (ed.),

L'Egypte fatimide, Paris, 1999, 681-93.

'International trade and the medieval Egyptian countryside', in A. K. Bowman and

E. Rogan (eds.), *Agriculture in Egypt from Pharaonic times to modern times*, Oxford,

1999, 267-87.

Walker, P. E., *Exploring an Islamic empire: Fatimid history and its sources*, London, 2002.

739

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Primary sources

Abu Shama, *Kitdb al-rawdatayn fi akhbdr al-dawlatayn*, ed. I. al-Zaybaq, 5 vols., Beirut, 1997.

Anonymous, *al-Bustdn al-jdmi*', ed. C. Cahen, BEO, 7-8 (1937-8), 113-58.

Arabic legal and administrative documents in the Cambridge Genizah collections, ed., trans.

and annot. G. Khan, Cambridge, 1993.

Awdah, S., *Kitdbat al-'arabiyya li-l-tujjdr al-yahud fi jinizat al-Qdhira fi'l-qarn al-hddi 'ashar*

al-miladi, Jerusalem, 1999.

Ben-Sasson, M., *The Jews of Sicily, 825-1068: Documents and sources*, Jerusalem, 1991 (in

Hebrew).

al-Bundari, Sana al-Barq al-Shdmi, ed. R. šesen, Beirut, 1971.

Cahen, C, *Cinq calendriers egyptians*, published, trans, and annot. C. Pellat, Cairo, 1986.

Cahen, C. (with Y. Ragib and M. A. Taher), (with I. Chabbouch), 'Le testament d'al-Malik

as-Salih Ayytib', BEO, 29 (1977), 97-115.

Cahen, C. (with Y. Ragib and M. A. Taher), 'V achat et le waqf d'un domaine egyptien par le

vizir Tala'i' ibn Ruzzik', AI, 14 (1978), 59-126.

Frenkel, M., 'The Jewish community of Alexandria in the Fatimid-Ayyubid periods: The

portrait of the leading elite', Ph.D. dissertation, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem

(2002) (including a corpus of Geniza documents, in Hebrew).

Gil, M., *Documents of the Jewish Pious Foundation from the Cairo Geniza*, Leiden, 1976.

Ibn al-Dawadari, *Kanz al-durar wa-jdmi' al-ghurar*, vol. VI, ed. S. al-Dm al-Munajjid, Cairo,

1961, vol. VII, ed. 'Abd al-Fattah Ashur, Cairo, 1972.

Ibn Duqmaq, *Kitdb al-intisdr*, ed. K. Vollers, Bulaq, 1891-2.

Ibn al-Furat, *Ta'rifefi Ibn al-Furdt*, vol. IV, pts 1 and 2, ed. H. M. al-Shamma', Basra, 1967-9.

Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalanl, *Raf al-isr 'an quddt Misr*, ed. H. Abd al-Majid, 2 vols., Cairo, 1961.

Ibn Jubayr, *Rihla*, ed. W. Wright, 2nd edn rev. M.J. De Goeje, Leiden, 1907.

Ibn Khallikan, *Wafaydt al-a'yan*, ed. I. 'Abbas, 8 vols., Beirut, 1968-71.

Ibn Mammati, *Kitdb al-qawdnin al-dawamn*, ed. A. S. Atiya, Cairo, 1943.

Ibn al-Ma'mtin al-Bata'ih, *Akhbdr Misr*, ed. A. F. Sayyid, Cairo, 1983.

Ibn al-Muqaffa', *History of the Patriarchs of the Egyptian Church*, ed. and trans. A. Khater and

O. H. E. Khs-Burmester, Cairo, 1968-70, vol. Ill, parts 1 and 2.

Ibn Muyassar, *Akhbdr Misr*, ed. A. F. Sayyid, Cairo, 1981.

Ibn Nazif, al-Ta'rikh al-Mansuri, ed. Abu'l-'Id Dudu, Damascus, 1981.
Ibn al-Qalanisi, Dhayl Ta'rTkh Dimashq, ed. H. F. Amedroz, Leiden, 1908.
Ibn al-Qiftl, Inbdh al-ruwdh 'ala anbah al-nuhdt, ed. Abu'l-Fadl Ibrahim, 4 vols., Cairo,

1950-73-

Ibn al-Sayrafi, al-Qdnunfi dwwan al-rasd'il, ed. A. F. Sayyid, Cairo, 1990.
Ibn Shaddad, al-Nawddir al-sultdniyya wa'l-mahdsin al-Yusufiyya, ed. J. al-DIn al-Shayyal,

n.p., 1964.

Ibn Tuwayr, Nuzhat al-muqlatayn fi akhbdr al-dawlatayn, ed. A. F. Sayyid, Beirut, 1992.

Ibn Wasil, Mufarrij al-kurub fi akhbdr bani Ayyub, ed. H. Rabie and S. Ashour, Cairo, 1977,

vol. V.

Ibn Zafir, Akhbdr al-duwal al-munqati'a, ed. A. Ferre, Cairo, 1972.

Khosraw, Naser-e, Book of travels (Safarndma), trans. W. M. Thackston Jr., New York, 1986.

al-Kindi, FaddHl Misr, ed. I. A. al-'Adawi and 'A. M. 'Umar, Cairo, 1971.

al-MakhzumI, Kitdb al-minhdj fi 'Urn khardj Misr, ed. C. Cahen and Y. Ragib, Cairo, 1986.

740

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

al-MaqrizI, Kitdb al-suliik li-ma'rifat duwal al-muluk, ed. M. M. Ziyada, vol. I, part 2, Cairo, 1956.

Itti'dz al-hunafd' bi-akhbdr al-d'imma al-Fatimiyyim al-khulafff , ed. J. al-DIn al-Shayyal and

M. H. M. Ahmad, 3 vols., Cairo, 1967-73.

Kitdb al-muaaffd al-kabir, ed. M. Yalaoui, 8 vols., Beirut, 1991.

Musawwadat Kitdb al-mawd'iz wd'l-i'tibdr fi dhikr al-khitat wa'l-dthdr, ed. A. F. Sayyid,

London, 1995.

al-Mawd'iz wa'l-i'tibār fi dhikr al-khitāt wa' l-dthdr, ed. A. F. Sayyid, 3 vols., London, 2002.
 al-Mundhirī, Al-Takmilī li-wafdyat al-naqala, ed. B. A. Marouf, 4 vols., Najaf, 1969.
 al-Musabbihī, Akhbār Misr, ed. A. F. Sayyid and T. Bianquis, Cairo, 1978.
 al-Nabulsi, Kitāb tajrīd ṣayf al-himma, ed. C. Cahen in his 'Histoire copte d'un cadī

medieval', Bulletin de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale, 59 (1960), 133-50.
 al-Nuwayrī, Nihdyat al-arab fi funun al-adab, vol. XXVIII, ed. M. M. Amin and M. H. M. Ahmad, Cairo, 1992; vol. XXIX, ed. M. Daya' al-Dīn al-Rayyis and M. M. Ziyada, Cairo, 1992.
 al-Qalqashandī, Subh al-a'ṣḥd, ed. M. H. Shams al-Dīn, 14 vols., Beirut, 1987.
 Wiet, G., Corpus Inscriptionum Arabicarum, Egypte, Cairo, 1929.

William of Tyre (archbishop), History of deeds done beyond the sea, trans. and annot.
 E. A. Babcock and A. C. Krey, 2 vols., New York, 1943.

Secondary sources

Ayalon, D., 'The Mamluks and the naval power', in D. Ayalon, Studies on the Mamluks of

Egypt (1250-1517), London, 1977.
 Balard, M., Amalfi et Byzance (Xe-XIIe siècles)', Travaux et Mémoires, 6 (1976), 85-96.
 Brett, M., 'The battles of Ramla (1099-1105)' and 'The origins of the Mamluk military

system in the Fatimid period', in U. Vermeulen and D. De Smet (eds.), Egypt and Syria

in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras, Leuven, 1995, 17-39 and 39-53.
 Cahen, C., 'Un texte peu connu relatif au commerce oriental d' Amalfi au Xe siècle', Archivio

Storico per le Province Napoletane, N.S. 34 (1953-4), 1-8.
 Makhzumiyydt, Leiden, 1977.
 Drori, J., Al-Nasir Dawud: A much frustrated Ayyubid prince', Al-Masaq, 15 (2003),

161-87.

Edde, Anne-Marie, 'Saint Louis et la Septieme Croisade vus par les auteurs arabes', Cahiers

de Recherches Medievales (XIIIe-XVe s.), 1 (1996), 65-92.

Ehrenkreutz, A. S., 'Strategic implications of the slave trade between Genoa and Mamluk

Egypt in the second half of the thirteenth century', in A. L. Udovitch (ed.), The Islamic

Middle East, 700—1900; Studies in economic and social history, Princeton, 1981, 335-47.

France, J., Victory in the east: A military history of the First Crusade, Cambridge, 1994.

Gibb, H. A. R., 'The armies of Saladin', Cahiers d'Histoire Egyptienne, 4 (1951), 304-20; repr. in

H. A. R. Gibb, Studies on the civilization of Islam, Boston, 1962, 74-90.

Goitein, S. D., A Mediterranean society: The Jewish communities of the Arab world as portrayed in

the documents of the Cairo Geniza, 6 vols., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967-93.

'Geniza sources for the Crusader period: A survey', in B.Z. Kedar and others (eds.),

Outremer: Studies in the history of the Crusading Kingdom of Jerusalem presented to Joshua

Prawer, Jerusalem, 1982, 306-23.

741

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Halm, H., 'The Isma'ili oath of allegiance ('ahd) and the Sessions of Wisdom (majdlis al-

hikma), in Fatimid time', in F. Daftary (ed.), Medieval Isma'ili history and thought,

Cambridge, 1996, 97-115.

Die Kalifen von Kairo, Munich, 2003.

Hartmann, A., 'A unique manuscript in the Asian Museum St. Petersburg: The Syrian

chronicle at-Ta'nkh al-Mansuri by Ibn Nazlf al-Hamawi, from the 7th/i3th century', in

U. Vermeulen and J. Van Steenbergen (eds.), Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid

and Mamluk eras, Leuven, 2001, 89-101.

Heijer, J. den, 'Coptic historiography in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and early Mamluk periods',

Medieval Encounters, 2 (1996), 67-97.

Humphreys, R. S., From Saladin to the Mongols, New York, 1977.

Jacoby, D., 'Byzantine trade with Egypt from the mid-tenth century to the Fourth Crusade',

Thesaurismata, 30 (2000), 25-77.

'The supply of war materials to Egypt in the Crusader period', JSAI, David Ayalon in

Memoriam, 25 (2001), 102-32.

Kreutz, B. M., Before the Normans: Southern Italy in the ninth and tenth centuries, Philadelphia, 1991.

Laiou, A. E., 'Exchange and trade, seventh-twelfth centuries', in A. E.

Laiou (editor-in-chief), The economic history of Byzantium, Washington, DC, 2002, vol. II, 697-770.

Leiser, G. La Viere, 'The restoration of Sunnism in Egypt', Ph.D. thesis, 2 vols., University

of Pennsylvania (1976).

Lev, Y., Saladin in Egypt, Leiden, 1999.

'Charity and social practice in Egypt and Syria from the ninth to the twelfth century',

JSAI, 24 (2000), 472-507.

Lyons, M. C. and D. E. P. Jackson, Saladin: The politics of the Holy War, Cambridge, 1982.

Mayer, H. E., 'Le service militaire des vassaux de Jerusalem a l'etranger et le financement

des campagnes en Syrie du nord et en Egypte au XIIe siecle', in H. E. Mayer, Melanges

sur Vhistoire du royaume latin de Jerusalem, Paris, 1984.

Mohring, H, 'Zwischenjoseph-Legende und Mahdi-Erwartung: Erfolge und Ziele Sultans

Saladins im Spiegel zeitgenossicher Dichtung und Weissagung', in Y. Lev (ed.), War

and society in the eastern Mediterranean, jih-isih centuries, Leiden, 1997, 177-225.

Pryor, J. H, 'The Crusade of emperor Frederick II: The implications of the maritime

evidence', *American Neptune*, 52 (1992), 113-32.

Rabbat, N., 'Who was al-Maqrizi? A biographical sketch', *MSR*, 7 (2003), 1-21.

Rabie, H, 'The size and value of the iqtcC in Egypt, 564-741 a.h. 1169-1341 a.d.', in

M. A. Cook (ed.), *Studies in the economic history of the Middle East*, London, 1970, 129-39.

Russell, J. C, 'The population of medieval Egypt', *Journal of the American Research Center in*

Egypt, 5 (1966), 69-82.

Sayyid, A. F., 'Lumieres nouvelles sur quelques sources de l'histoire fatimide en Egypte',

AI, 13 (1977), i-4i.

La capitale de V Egypte jusqu' a Vepoque fatimide, Beirut, 1998.

Sharon, M., 'A new Fatimid inscription from Ascalon and its historical setting', *Atiqot*, 26

(1995), 61-86.

Stern, S. M., 'The succession to the Fatimid imam al-Amir', *Oriens*, 4 (1951), 193-255.

Fatimid decrees, London, 1964.

'Two Ayyubid decrees from Sinai', in S. M. Stern (ed.), *Documents from Islamic chanceries*,

Oxford, 1965, 9-39.

Bibliography

Tsugitaka, S., *State and rural society in medieval Islam*, Leiden, 1997.
Walker, P. E., 'Maqrizi and the Fatimids', *MSR*, 7 (2003), 83-97.

Chapter 8: The Mamluks in Egypt and Syria: the Turkish

Mamluk sultanate (648-784/1250-1382) and the Circassian

Mamluk sultanate (784-923/ 1382-1517)

Practical suggestions for further reading

Ashur, Abd al-Fattah, *'Asr al-Mamalikfi Misr wa'l-Sham*, Cairo, 1965.

Ayalon, David, *Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk Kingdom: A challenge to a medieval*

society, London, 1956.

Bosworth, C. E., 'Recruitment, muster, and review in medieval Islamic armies', in

V.J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.), *War, technology and society in the Middle East*,

London, 1975, 59-77.

Chamberlain, Michael, *Knowledge and social practice in medieval Damascus, 1190-1350*,

Cambridge, 1994.

Dols, M. W., *The Black Death in the Middle East*, Princeton, 1977.

Garcin, J. C., *Un centre musulman de la Haute Egypte medieval*, Qus, Cairo, 1976.

al-HajjI, Hayat Nasir, *The internal affairs in Egypt during the third reign of the Sultan al-Nasir*

Muhammad b. Qalawun, 709-741/1310-1341, Kuwait, 1978.

Hasan, All Ibrahim, *Ta'rikh al-Mamalik al-Bahriyya*, Cairo, 1967.

Holt, P. M., *The age of the Crusades: The Near East from the eleventh century to 1517*, London and

New York, 1986.

The eastern Mediterranean lands in the period of the Crusades, Warminster, 1977.

art. 'Mamluks', *EI2*.

'Succession in the early Mamluk sultanate', *Deutscher Orientalistentag*, 16 (1985), 6-20.

Humphreys, Stephen R., 'The expressive intent of the Mamluk architecture of Cairo: A

preliminary essay', *SI*, 35 (1972), 69-119.

From Saladin to the Mongols: The Ayyubids of Damascus, Albany, 1977.

Khawaiter, Abdul-Aziz, *Baibars the First: His endeavours and achievements*, London, 1978.

Little, Donald P., 'The history of Arabia during the Bahri Mamluk period according to three

Mamluk historians', in Abdulgadir M. Abdalla, Sami al-Sakkar and Richard T. Mortel

(eds.), *Studies in the history of Arabia*, vol. I: Sources for the history of Arabia, part 2,

Riyadh, 1979, 17-23.

Lopez, R., H. Miskimin and A. Udovitch, 'England to Egypt, 1350-1500: Long-term trends

and long-distance trade', in M. A. Cook (ed.), *Studies in the economic history of the Middle*

East, London, 1970, 93-128.

Martel-Thoumian, B., *Les civils et l'administration dans l'etat mamluk (IXe-XVe siecle)*,

Damascus, 1991.

Northrup, Linda S., 'The Bahri Mamluk sultanate, 1250-1390', in Carl F. Petry (ed.), *The*

Cambridge history of Egypt, vol. I: Islamic Egypt, 640-1517, Cambridge, 1998, 242-89.

Philipp, Thomas, and Ulrich Haarmann, *The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society*,

Cambridge, 1998.

Prawer, J., *A history of the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem*, London, 1972.

Sabra, Adam, *Poverty and charity in medieval Islam: Mamluk Egypt, 1250-1517*, Cambridge, 2000.

Bibliography

Smith, John M., 'Aynjalut: Mamluk success or Mongol failure?', *Harvard Journal of Asiatic*

Studies, 44 (1984), 307-45.

Tucker, William F., 'Natural disasters and peasantry in Mamluk Egypt', *JESHO*, 24 (1981),

215-24.

Winter, Michael, *Review of Petry's Twilight of majesty and Protectors and praetorians*, *MSR*, 1

(i997), 159-62.

Winter, Michael, and Amalia Levanoni, *The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and*

society, Leiden and Boston, 2004.

Primary sources

al-'Aynl, Badr al-Dln Mahmud, *Tqd al-jumdnfi ta'fikh ahl al-zaman*, ed. Muhammad Muhammad

Amin, Cairo, 1988-9, vols. I — III; Topkapi Saray (Istanbul), Ms. Ahmet no. A2912/4, A2911 /

4; Carullah no. 1591.

Baybars al-Mansuri, *al-Tuhfa al-mulukiyya fi 'l-dawla al-Turkiyya*, Beirut, 1987.

Zubdat al-fikra fi ta'rlkh al-hijra, ed. D. S. Richards, Beirut, 1998.

Ibn Abd al-Zahir, *Muhy l-DTn*, *Al-Rawd al-zdhirfi sxrat al-Malik al-Zdhir*, ed. Abd al-'Aziz

Khuwaytir, Riyadh, 1976.

Ibn Abi 'l-Fada'il, *Mufaddal*, *al-Nahj al-sadid wa'l-durr al-faridfimd ba'da ta'fikh Ibn al-'Amid*,

ed. Samira Kortentamer, Freiburg, 1973.

Ibn al-Dawadari, *Kanz al-durar wa-jdmi' al-ghurar*, ed. Hans Robert Roemer, Abd ai-Fattah

Ashur and Ulrich Haarmann, Cairo, 1960-72, vols. VII-IX.

Ibn al-Furat, Ta'fikh al-duwal wa'l-muluk, ed. Qustantin Zurayq, Beirut, 1942, vols. VII-IX.

Ibn Iyas, Badd'i' al-zuhur fi waqd'i' al-duhur, Cairo, 1982-4, vols. I-V.

Ibn al-jawzl, Sibti, Mirdt al-zaman, ed. J. R. Jewett, Chicago, 1907.

Ibn Qadi Shuhba, Al-I'ldm bi-td'rikh al-Islam, ed. 'Adrian Darwish, Damascus, 1977, vol. III.

Ibn Shaddad, Muhammad ibn 'AH, Ta'fikh al-Malik al-Zahir, ed. Ahmad Khuwaytir,

Wiesbaden, 1983.

Ibn Taghri Birdi, al-Nujum al-zahirafimuluk Misr wa'l-Qahira, Cairo, 1963-72, vols. VII-XVI.

Hawddith al-duhur fimadd al-ayydm wa'l-shuhur, ed. Muhammad Kamal al-Din 'Izz al-din,

2 vols., Cairo, 1990.

Ibn Tulun, Mufdkahdt al-khilan fi hawddith al-zaman, Beirut, 1998, vols. I — II.

Ibn Wasil, Muhammad ibn Salim, Mufarrij al-kurub fi akhbdr Bani Ayyub, Bibliotheque

Nationale (Paris), Ms. Arabe no. 1703.

Ibn Zunbul, Akhirat al-Mamdlik, ed. Abd al-Rahman Abd Allah al-Shaykh, Cairo, 1998.

al-Maqrizi, Kitdb al-suluk li-ma'rifat duwal al-muluk, ed. Mustafa Ziyada and Sa'id 'Abd

al-Fattah 'Ashur, 4 vols., Cairo, 1930-73.

Kitdb al-mawd'iz wa'l-i'tibdrfi dhikr al-khitat wa'l-dthdr, 2 vols., Cairo, 1987.

al-Nuwayri, Nihdyat al-arab fi funun al-adab, Leiden University Library, Ms. Or. 2M, 2n,

20, 19B.

al-Sakhawi, al-Daw' al-lami' li-ahl al-aarn al-tdsi', Beirut, n.d.

al-Sayrafi al-Jawhari, Nuzhat al-nufus wa'l-abddn fi tawdfikh al-zaman, ed. Hasan al-Habashi,

4 vols., Cairo, 1970-94.

al-Shuja'I, Shams al-DIn, Ta'fikh al-Malik al-Ndsir Muhammad bin Qaldwun al-Sdlihi

wa-awladhihi, ed. Barbara Schafer, Wiesbaden, 1977.

al-Yunini, Dhayl mifdt al-zaman, 4 vols., Hyderabad, 1954-61.

Bibliography

Secondary sources

Abu Ghazi, 'Imad Badr al-DIn, Tatawwur al-hayat al-zira'iyya fi Misr zaman al-Mamdlik

al-Jarakisa, Cairo, 2000.

Amitai-Preiss, Reuven, 'Aynjalut revisited', *Ta'rikh*, 2 (1991), 119-50.

'Mamluk perceptions of the Mongol-Frankish rapprochement', *Mediterranean Historical*

Review, 7 (1992), 50-65.

Mongols and Mamluks: The Mamluk-Rkhanid war, 1260-1281, Cambridge, 1995.

Ashtor, E., *Les metaux precieux et la balance de paiements du Proche-Orient a la basse epoque*, Paris, 1971.

A social and economic history of the Near East in tlie Middle Ages, London, 1976.

'Levantine sugar industry in the later Middle Ages - an example of technological

decline', *70S*, 7 (1977), 226-80.

Ayalon, David, 'The plague and its effects upon the Mamluk army', *JBAS* (1946), 67-73.

'The Circassians in the Mamluk kingdom', *JAOS*, 69, 3 (1949), 135-47.

'The Wafidiyya in the Mamluk kingdom', *IC*, 25 (1951), 89-104.

'Studies on the structure of the Mamluk army', *BSOAS*, 15 (1953), part I, 203-28; part II,

456-9-

'Studies on the transfer of the Abbasid caliphate from Baghdad to Cairo', *Arabica*, 7

(i960), 41-59-

'Notes on the Furusiyya exercises and games in the Mamluk sultanate', *Scripta*

Hierosolymitana, 9 (1961), 31-62.

'The Great Yasa of Chingiz Khan. A re-examination', *SI*, 38 (1973), 107-56.

'Preliminary remarks on the Mamluk military institution in Islam', in V.J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.), *War, technology and society in the Middle East*, London, 1975, 44-58.

'Aspects of the Mamluk phenomenon: The importance of the Mamluk institution', *Der*

Islam, 53, 2 (1976), 196-225.

'Aspects of the Mamluk phenomenon: Ayyubids, Kurds and Turks', *Der Islam*, 54, 1

(1977), 1-32-

'From Ayyubid to Mamluks', *REX*, 49, 1 (1981), 43-57.

'The auxiliary forces of the Mamluk sultanate', *Der Islam*, 65 (1988), 13-37.

Bacharach, J. L., 'The dinar versus the ducat', *IJMES*, 4 (1973), 77-96.

'Circassian monetary policy, copper', *JESHO*, 19 (1976), 32-47.

Behrene-Abouseif Doris, 'Al-Nasir Muhammad and al-Ashraf Qatbay - patrons of urban-ism', in U. Vermeulen and D. De Smet (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras*, Leuven, 1995, 276-84.

Berkey, Jonathan, *The transmission of knowledge in medieval Cairo: A social history of Islamic education*, Princeton, 1992.

'The muhtasibs of Cairo under the Mamluks, toward an understanding of an Islamic

institution', in Michael Winter and Amalia Levanoni (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian*

and Syrian politics and society, Leiden and Boston, 2004, 245-76.

Borsch, Stuart J., 'Thirty years after Lopez, Miskimin, and Udovitch', *MSR*, 8, 2 (2004),

191-201.

Brinner, William M., 'The significance of the Harafish and their "sultan"', *JESHO*, 6 (1963),

190-215.

Cahen, C., *Pre-Ottoman Turkey*, London, 1968.

Bibliography

Crone, P., *Slaves on horses: The evolution of the Islamic polity*, New York, 1980.

Darrag, A., *L'Egypte sous le regne de Barsbay, 825-841/1422-1438*, Damascus, 1961.

Dols, Michael, 'The second plague pandemic and its recurrences in the Middle East, 1347-1894', *JESHO*, 22 (1979), 162-89.

'The general mortality of the Black Death in the Mamluk Empire', in A. L. Udovitch

(ed.), *The Islamic Middle East, 1000-1900: Studies in economic and social history*, Princeton, 1981, 397-428.

Ehrenkreutz, E., 'Strategic implications of the slave trade between Genoa and Mamluk

Egypt in the second half of the thirteenth century', in A. L. Udovitch (ed.), *The Islamic*

Middle East, 1000-1900: Studies in economic and social history, Princeton, 1981, 335-45.

Escovitz, Joseph H., *The office of Qadi al-Qudat in Cairo under the Bahri Mamluks*, Berlin, 1984.

Fernandes, Leonor, 'Change in function and form of Mamluk religious institutions', *AI*, 21 (1985), 73-93-

The evolution of a Sufi institution in Mamluk Egypt: The Khanaqah, Berlin, 1988.

'Mamluk architecture and the question of patronage', *MSR*, 1 (1997), 107-20.

'Between Qadis and Muftis: To whom does the Mamluk sultan listen?', *MSR*, 6 (2002), 95-108.

Garcin, Jean-Claude, 'The regime of the Circassian Mamluks', in Carl F. Petty (ed.), *The*

Cambridge history of Egypt, vol. 1, Cambridge, 1998, 290-317.

Haarmann, Ulrich, 'The sons of mamluks as fief-holders in late medieval Egypt', in

T. Khalidi (ed.), *Land tenure and social transformation in the Middle East*, Beirut, 1984, 141-69.

art. 'Misr', *EI2*.

Har-El, Shai, *Struggle for domination in the Middle East: The Ottoman-Mamluk war, 1485-1491*,

Leiden, 1995.

Hiyari, M.A., 'The origins and development of the amirate of the Arabs during the

seventh/thirteenth and eighth/fourteenth centuries', BSOAS, 38 (1975), 509-25.

Holt, P. M., 'The sultanate of al-Mansur Lachin (696-8/1296-9)', BSOAS, 36, 3 (1973), 521-32.

'Some observations on the Abbasid caliphate of Cairo', BSOAS, 47 (1984), 50-4.

Early Mamluk diplomacy (1269-1290): Treaties of Baybars and Qalawun with Christian rulers, Leiden, 1995.

Homerin, T. Emil, 'Saving Muslim souls: The khanqah and the Sufi duty in Mamluk lands',

MSR, 3 (1999), 65-73-

Humphreys, Stephen R., 'The emergence of the Mamluk army', SI, 45 (1977), 147-82.

'Egypt in the world system of the later Middle Ages', in Carl F. Petry (ed.), The Cambridge history of Egypt, vol. I: Islamic Egypt, 640-1517, Cambridge, 1998, 445-61.

Irwin, Robert, The Middle East in the Middle Ages, London and Sydney, 1986.

'The privatisation of "Justice" under the Circassian Mamluks', MSR, 6 (2002), 63-70.

'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom reconsidered', in Michael Winter and

Amalia Levanoni (eds.), The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society, Leiden and Boston, 2004, 117-39.

Keddie, Nikki R., 'Material culture, technology and geography: Toward a comparative study of the Middle East', in J. R. Cole (ed.), Comparing Muslim societies:

Knowledge and state in a world civilization, Michigan, 1992, 31-62.

Lapidus, Ira M., 'The grain economy of Mamluk Egypt', JESHO, 12 (1969), 1-15.

Muslim cities in the later Middle Ages, Cambridge, 1984.

Bibliography

Levanoni, Amalia, 'The Mamluks' ascent to power in Egypt', SI, 72 (1990), 121-44.

'The consolidation of Aybak's rule: An example of factionalism in the Mamluk state', Der

Islam, 71, 2 (1994), 241-54.

'The Mamluk conception of the sultanate', IJMES, 26 (1994), 373-92.

A turning point in Mamluk history: The third reign of al-Nasir Muhammad Ibn Qalawun,

Leiden, 1995.

'Sagar ad-Durr: A case of female sultanate in medieval Islam', in U. Vermeulen and

J. Van Steenbergen (eds.), Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk eras,

Leuven, 2001, 209-18.

'The sultan's laqab: A sign of a new order in Mamluk factionalism?', in Michael Winter

and Amalia Levanoni (eds.), The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society,

Leiden and Boston, 2004, 79-115.

'Al-Nashuw episode: A case study of "moral economy"', MSR, 9, 1 (2005), 1-14.

Little, Donald, P., 'Notes on Aitamis, a Mongol Mamluk', in Ulrich Haarmann and

Peter Bachmann (eds.), Die islamische Welt zwischen Mittelalter und Neuzeit: Hans

Robert Roemer zum 65. Geburtstag, Wiesbaden, 1979, 387-401.

'Coptic conversion to Islam under the Bahri Mamluks, 692-755/1293-1354', in

Donald P. Little, History and historiography of the Mamluks, London, 1986, 552-69.

Nielsen, Jorgen S., Secular justice in an Islamic state: Mazalim under the Bahri Mamluks,

London, 1972.

Northrup, Linda S., From slave to sultan: The career of al-Mansur Qalawun and the consolidation

of Mamluk rule in Egypt and Syria (678-689 A.H./1279-1290 A.D.), Stuttgart, 1998.

Petry, Carl F., The civilian elite of Cairo in the Middle Ages, Princeton, 1981.

Twilight of majesty: The reign of the Mamluk sultans al-Ashraf Qaytbay and Qansuh al-Ghawri

in Egypt, Seattle, 1993.

Protectors or praetorians? The last Mamluk sultans and Egypt's waning as a great power,

New York, 1994.

Pipes, Daniel, Slave soldiers and Islam: The genesis of a military system, New Haven and

London, 1981.

Rabbat, Nasser, The Citadel of Cairo: A new interpretation of royal Mamluk architecture,

Leiden, 1995.

Rabie, Hassanein, The financial system of Egypt A.H. 564-741/ 'A.D. 1169-1341, London, 1972.

'The training of the Mamluk Faris', in V.J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.), War, technology

and society in the Middle East, London, 1975, 153-63.

Sabra, Adam, 'The rise of a new class? Land tenure in fifteenth-century Egypt: A review

article', MSR, 8, 2 (2004), 203-10.

Sato, Tsugitaga, State and rural society in medieval Islam, Leiden, 1996.

Sauvaget, J., La poste aux chevaux dans Vempire mamelouk, Paris, 1941.

Schultz, Warren, 'The monetary history of Egypt', in Petry (ed.), The Cambridge history of

Egypt, vol. 1, Cambridge, 1988, 318-38.

Shoshan, Boaz, 'Grain riots and the "moral economy": Cairo, 1350-1571', journal of

Interdisciplinary History, 10 (1980), 459-78.

'From silver to copper: Monetary changes in fifteenth century Egypt', SI, 55 (1982),

97-116.

'Exchange-rate policies in fifteenth century Egypt', JESHO, 29 (1986), 28-51.

Popular culture in medieval Cairo, Cambridge, 1993.

Bibliography

Thorau, P., *The Lion of Egypt: Sultan Baybars I and the Near East in the thirteenth century*, trans.

P. M. Holt, London and New York, 1992.

Tritton, A. S., 'Tribes of Syria in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries', *BSOAS*, 12 (1948), 567-73.

Chapter 9: Western Arabia and Yemen (fifth/eleventh century to the Ottoman conquest)

1. Western Arabia

Practical suggestions for further reading

Despite the region's importance, there is no comprehensive study on the history of the Hijaz for the period treated. Also, there are no recent studies on the history of the Haramayn during that time in Western languages.

Basically, 'Abd al-Basit Badr, *Al-Ta'fikh al-shdmil li'l-Madina al-munawwara*, 3 vols., Medina, 1414/1993, and Ahmad al-Siba'I, *Ta'rifi Makka: Dirasat fi'l-siydsa wa'l-'ilm wa'l-ijtima' wa'l-'umran*, 2 vols, in 1, 4th edn, Mecca, 1979, are useful for the general outlines of the history of the Haramayn.

Focusing on Mecca and valuable for at least an overview on its political history and wider influence in the Hijaz are, though dated, Christiaan Snouck Hurgronje, *Mekka*, vol. I: *Die Stadt und ihre Herren*, The Hague, 1888, and Gerald de Gaury, *Rulers of Mecca*, London, 1951.

For religio-cultural aspects of Mecca's development see Hassan Mohammed El-Hawary and Gaston Wiet, *Materiaux pour un corpus inscriptionum arabicarum*, part 4: *Arabie, inscriptions et monuments de la Mecque: Haram et Ka'ba*, Cairo, 1985, and Richard T. Mortel, 'Madrasas in Mecca during the medieval period: A descriptive study based on literary sources', *BSOAS*, 60 (1997), 236-52.

Primary sources

Dahlan, Ahmad ibn Zayni, *Khuldsat al-kalam fi bayan umard 1 al-balad al-haram min zamdn*

al-nabi 'alayhi l-salat wa'l-salam ila waqtina hadha, Cairo, 1305.
al-Fasl, Taql al-DIn Muhammad ibn Ahmad, *Shifa' al-gharam bi-akhbdr al-balad al-haram*, ed.

'Isa al-Babl al-Halabl, 2 vols., Mecca, 1956.
al-'Iqd al-thamin fi tarikh al-balad al-amxn, 8 vols., Cairo, 1959-60.
Ibn Fahd, Najm al-DIn 'Umar, *Ithdf al-wara bi-akhbdr umm al-qurd*, ed. F. M. Shaltut, 2 vols.,

Mecca, 1983.
al-Nahrawall, Qutb al-DIn, Muhammad, *al-I'ldm bi-a'lam bayt Allah al-haram*, at the margin

of Dahlan, *Khuldsat al-kalam*, Cairo, 1305 /1888.
al-Sakhawi, Abd al-Rahman, *al-Tuhfa al-latifa fi ta'rikh al-Madina al-shanfa*, 2 vols., Beirut,

1993-
'Umara al-Yamani, Najm al-DIn, *Ta^rikh al-Yaman al-musamma al-Mufid fi akhbdr San' a' wa-*

Zabid, *San'a'*, 1985.

Secondary sources

Badr, 'Abd al-Basit, *aWd'rxkh al-shdmil lil-Madina al-munawwara*, 3 vols., Medina, 1414/1993-

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Melville, Charles, 'The year of the elephant. Mamluk-Mongol rivalry in the Hejaz in the

reign of Abu Sa'id (1317-1335)', *Studia Iranica*, 21 (1992), 197-214.
Mortel, Richard T., *al-Ahwal al-siyasiyya wa'l-iqtisadiyya bi-Makkafi' l-'asr al-mamluki*, Riyadh, 1985.

'Zaydi Shi'ism and the Hasanid Sharifs of Mecca', IJMES, 19 (1987), 455-72.

'Prices in Mecca during the Mamluk period', JESHO, 32 (1989), 279-334.

'The origins and early history of the Husaynid amirate of Madlna to the end of the

Ayyubid period', SI, 74 (1991), 63-78.

'The Husaynid amirate of Madlna during the Mamluk period', SI, 80 (1994), 97-123.

'The mercantile community of Mecca during the late Mamluk period', JRAS, third series, 4 (1994), 15-35.

al-Siba'I, Ahmad, Ta'rTkH Makka. Dirasat fi'l-siyasa wa'l-'ilm wa'l-ijtima' wa'l-'umran, 2 vols.

in 1, 4th edn, Mecca, 1979.

Snouck Hurgronje, Christiaan, Mekka, vol. I: Die Stadt und Hire Herren, The Hague, 1888.

2. Yemen

Practical suggestions for further reading

There is no comprehensive study on the history of Yemen in its different aspects for the period treated. Even overview-studies on the most important political actors, Sunni dynasties as well as Zaydi imams, are lacking.

An exception is Venetia Porter, 'The history and monuments of the Tahirid dynasty of the Yemen 858-923 /1454-1517', Ph.D. thesis, University of Durham (1992).

Historical sketches on most of the early dynasties up to the Ayyubid invasion are available in Gerald Rex Smith, The Ayyubids and early Rasiilids in the Yemen (567-694/1173-1295), vol. II, London, 1978. Some important religious developments of that time are dicussed in Ayman Fu'ad Sayyid, Ta'rikh al-madhdhib al-diniyya fi bilad al-Yaman hatta nihdyat al-qarn al-sadis al-hijfi, Cairo, 1988, and Husayn ibn Fayd Allah al-Hamdani, al-Sulayhiyyun wa'l-haraka al-fdtimiyya fi'l-Yaman (min sana 268 H Ha sana 626 H), Cairo, 1955; repr. 3rd edn Beirut, 1986.

For the development of the Zaydi imamate in tribal Yemen, Paul Dresch, *Tribes, government and history in Yemen*, Oxford, 1989, is useful as well as Wilferd Madelung, 'The origins of the Yemenite hijra', in A. Jones (ed.), *Arabieus felix britannicus: Essays in honour of A. F. L. Beeston on his eightieth birthday*, Reading 1991, 25—44.

For a glimpse into religious and learned life under the Rasiilids see Alexander Knysh, *Ibn 'Arabi in the later Islamic tradition*, Albany, 1999, chapter 9 and Isma'il al-Akwa', *al-Madaris al-isldmiyya fi'l-Yaman*, Damascus, 1980.

On aspects of trade and mercantile history see Roxani Eleni Margariti, *Aden and the Indian Ocean trade: 150 years in the life of a medieval Arabian port*, Chapel Hill, 2007, and its up-to-date bibliography.

Primary sources

Ibn Abd al-Majid, *Taj al-DIn 'Abd al-Baqi, Bahjat al-zaman fi ta'fikh al-Yaman*, ed. Abd Allah Muhammad al-Hibshi, San'a', 1988.

749

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Ibn al-Dayba', *'Abd al-Rahman ibn 'All ibn Muhammad, Bughyat al-mustafid fi ta'rikh*

madinat Zabid, ed. Abd Allah Muhammad al-Hibshi, San'a', 1979.
al-Fadl al-mazid *'aid Bughyat al-mustafid fi dkhbdr Zabid*, ed. Muhammad 'Isa Salihyya,

Kuwait, 1402/ 1982.

Qurrat al-'uyiin *bi-akhbar al-Yaman al-maymun*, ed. Muhammad ibn 'All al-Akwa'

al-Hiwali, 2nd edn, Beirut, 1988.

Ibn Hatim, Badr al-Dīn Muhammad, *Kitab al-simt al-ghdli al-thaman fi akhbar al-muluk min*

al-ghuzz bil-Yaman, ed. G. R. Smith, London, 1974 (= G. R. Smith, *The Ayyubids and*

early Rasiilids in the Yemen, vol. I).

al-Khazraji, AK ibn al-Hasan, *al-'Uqiid al-lu'lu'iyya fi ta'rikh al-dawla al-rasiiliyya*, ed.

Muhammad Basyuni Asal and Muhammad ibn AH al-Akwa' al-Hiwali, 2 vols., 2nd

edn, San'a' and Beirut, 1403/ 1983.

al-Kindi, Salim ibn Muhammad, *Ta'rikh Hadramawt al-musamma bil-'Udda al-mufida*

al-jami'a li-tawdrikh qadima wa-haditha, San'a', 1991.

al-Mawza'I, 'Abd al-Samad ibn Isma'il ibn Abd al-Samad, *Dukhul al-'uthmdniyyin al-awwal*

ild 'l-Yaman al-musamma. al-Ihdsn fi dukhul mamlakat al-Yaman tahta zill 'adalat Al

'Vthman, ed. 'Abd Allah Muhammad al-Hibshi, Beirut, 1986.

'Umara al-Yamani, Najm al-Dīn, *Ta'rikh al-Yaman al-musamma al-Mufid fi akhbar San'a'*

wa-Zabid wa-shu'ara' mulukiha wa-a'yaniha wa-udaba'iha, ed. Muhammad ibn 'AH

al-Akwa' al-Hiwali, 3rd edn, San'a', 1985.

al-Wasi'I, Abd al-Wasi' ibn Yahya, *Ta'rikh al-Yaman al-musamma Farjat al-humum wa'l-hazan*

fi hawddith wa-ta'rikh al-Yaman, 2nd edn, San'a', 1990.

[Yahya ibn al-Husayn], *Ghayat al-amdnifi akhbar al-qutr al-yamdni*, ed. Sa'id 'Abd al-Fattah

'Ashur, 2 vols., Cairo, 1968.

Secondary sources

al-Akwa', Isma'il, *al-Madaris al-isldmiyya fi 'l-Yaman*, Damascus, 1980.

Chelhod, Joseph, 'Introduction a l'histoire sociale et urbaine de Zabid', *Arabica*, 25 (1978),

48-88.

Coussonnet, Nahida, 'Les assises du pouvoir zaydite au XI^e siècle', in Michel Tuchscherer

(ed.), *Le Yémen, passé et présent de l'unité*, Aix-en-Provence, 1994, 25-37 (= REMMM, 67

(1993)».

Halm, Heinz, *Die Schia*, Darmstadt, 1988.

al-Hamdani, Husayn ibn Fayd Allah, *al-Sulayhiyyun wa'l-haraka al-fatimiyya fi 'l-Yaman* (min

sana 268 H ild sana 626 H), Cairo, 1955; repr. 3rd edn Beirut, 1986.

Madelung, Wilferd, *Der Imam al-Qasim ibn Ibrahim und die Glaubenskhre der Zaiditen*, Berlin,

1965.

Madelung, Wilferd, 'The Sirat al-amirayn al-ajallayn al-sharifayn al-fadilayn al-Qasim

wa-Muhammad ibnay Ja'far ibn al-Imam al-Qasim ibn 'All al-'Iyani as a historical

source', in Abdelgadir M. Abdalla et al (eds.), *Studies in the history of Arabia*, Riad, 1979,

vol. I, part 2, 69-87.

Islam in Yemen', in Werner Daum (ed.), *Yemen: 3000 years of art and civilisation in Arabia*

Felix, Innsbruck and Frankfurt, 1988, 174-7.

Sayyid, Ayman Fu'ad, *Ta'rikh al-madhdhib al-diniyyafi bilad al-Yaman hatta nihayat al-qam*

al-sadis al-hijri, Cairo, 1988.

750

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Smith, Gerald Rex, 'The Ayyubids and Rasulids - the transfer of power in 7th/13th century

Yemen', IC, 43 (1969), 175-88.

The Ayyubids and early Rasulids in the Yemen (567-694/1173-1:195), vol. II, London,

1978.

'The early and medieval history of San'a', ca. 622-953/1515 [sic]', in R. B. Serjeant and

R. Lewcock (eds.), San'a': An Arabian Islamic city, London, 1983, 49-67.

'The Tahirid sultans of the Yemen (858-923 /1454-1517) and their historian Ibn al-Dayba'',

JSS, 29 (1984), 141-54.

'The political history of the Islamic Yemen down to the first Turkish invasion (1-945 /

622-1538)', in Werner Daum (ed.), Yemen: 3000 years of art and civilisation in Arabia Felix,

Innsbruck and Frankfurt, 1988, 129-39.

'The Rasulids in Dhofar in the VIIth-VIIIth/XIIIth-XIVth centuries', JRAS (1988),

26-44.

'Some observations on the Tahirids and their activities in and around San'a' (858-923/

1454-1517)', in Ihsan Abbas et al. (eds.), Studies in history and literature in honour of Nicola

A. Ziadeh, London, 1992, 29-36.

Studies in the medieval history of the Yemen and South Arabia, Aldershot, 1997.

Traboulsi, Samer, 'The queen was actually a man: Arwa Bint Ahmad and the politics of

religion', Arabica, 50 (2003), 96-108.

Varisco, Daniel Martin, 'Texts and pretexts: The unity of the Rasulid state under al-Malik

al-Muzaffar', in Michel Tuchscherer (ed.), Le Yemen, passe et present de l'unite, Aix-en-

Provence, 1994, 13-23 (= REMMM, 67 (1993)).

Chapter 10: The Turks in Anatolia before the Ottomans

Practical suggestions for further reading

Cahen, Claude, *Pre-Ottoman Turkey*, New York, 1968.

Turcobyzantina et Oriens Christianus, London, 1974.

La Turquie pre-ottomane, Istanbul, 1988; trans. P. M. Holt, *The formation of Turkey*, Harlow, 2001.

Hemming, Barbara, *Landschaftsgeschichte von Pamphylien, Pisidien und Lykien im*

Spdtmittelalter, Wiesbaden, 1964.

Kaymaz, Nejat, *Pervdne MxCxnu 'd-din Siileyman*, Ankara, 1970.

Kopriilu, M. R, *Anadolu Selcuklulan tarihi'nin yerli kaynaklan'*, *Belleten*, 7 (1943), 379-458;

trans. Gary Leiser, *The Seljuks of Anatolia: Their history and culture according to heal*

Muslim sources, Salt Lake City, 1992.

The origins of the Ottoman Empire, trans. Gary Leiser, Albany, 1992.

'*Turkish civilization in Anatolia in the Seljuk period*', trans. Gary Leiser, *Mesogeios*, 9-10

(2000), 37-82.

'*Notes on the history of the beyliks of Anatolia*', trans. Gary Leiser, *Mesogeios*, 13-14 (2001),

165-200, with references to recent work on the western beyliks.

Kiltiir Bakanligi, Sekuklu tarihi, Alparslan ve Malazgirt bibliyografyasi, Ankara, 1971.

Leiser, Gary, 'The madrasah and the Islamization of Anatolia before the Ottomans', in

Joseph Lowry et al. (eds.), *Law and education in medieval Islam: Studies in memory of*

George Makdisi, Chippenham, 2004, 174-91.

751

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Melville, Charles, 'The early Persian historiography of Anatolia', in Judith Pfeiffer and Sholeh Quinn (eds.), *History and historiography of post-Mongol Central Asia and the Middle East: Studies in honor of John Woods*, Wiesbaden, 2006, 135-66.
Moravcsik, Gyula, *Byzantinoturcica*, 2 vols., Leiden, 1983.
Siimer, Faruk, 'Anadolu'da Mogollar', *Selcuklu Arastirmalan Dergisi*, 1 (1969), 1-147.

Selcuklular devrinde dogu Anadolu'da Turk heylikleri, Ankara, 1990.
Turan, Osman, *Dogu Anadolu Turk devletleri tarihi*, 2nd edn, Istanbul, 1980.

Selcuklular zamamnda Tiirkiye tarihi, 2nd edn, Istanbul, 1984.
Vryonis, Speros, 'The Greek and Arabic sources on the battle of Manzikert, 1071 A.D,' in
Speros Vryonis (ed.), *Byzantine studies: Essays on the Slavic world and the eleventh century*, New Rochelle, NY, 1992.
'The battles of Manzikert (1071) and Myriocephalum (1176): Notes on food, water, archery, ethnic identity of foe and ally', *Mesogeios*, 25-6 (2005), 49-69.

Primary sources

Abu l-Khayr al-Rumi, *Saltukname*, ed. Şukrii Akalin, 2 vols., Ankara, 1987-90.

Aflakl, Manaqib al-'arifin, ed. Tahsin Yazici, 2 vols., 2nd edn, Ankara, 1976-80; trans.

John O'Kane, *The feats of the knowers of God*, Leiden, 2002.
Anna Comnena, *Alexiad*, English trans. E. R. A. Sewter, Harmondsworth, 1969.
al-Aqsarayl, *Musamarat al-akhbar*, ed. Osman Turan, Ankara, 1944; repr. 1999; Turkish trans.

Miirsel Oztürk as *MusdmeretijCl-ahbar*, Ankara, 2000.
Arif 'All, *Ddnishmendname*, trans, and ed. Irene Melikoff, *La Geste de Melik Damsmend*,

2 vols., Paris, 1960.
Attaliates, Michael, *Historia*, ed. I. Bekker, CSHB, Bonn, 1853; French trans, begun by

H. Gregoire, 'Michel Attaliates Histoire', *Byzantion*, 28 (1958), 325-62; French trans.

of the sections specifically concerning the Turks in Xavier Jacob, *Les Turcs au moyen-*

dge: Textes byzantins, Ankara, 1990.

Bar-Hebraeus, *Chronography*, English trans. E.A. Budge, 2 vols., London, 1932; repr.

Amsterdam, 1976.

Cinnamus, John, *Epitome Historiarum*, ed. I. Bekker, CSHB, Bonn, 1836; trans. Charles

M. Brand, *Deeds of John and Manuel Comnenus*, New York, 1976.

Ibn al-Adlm, Kamal al-DIn, *Zubdat al-halab fi ta'rffefi Halab*, ed. Sami al-Dahhan, 3 vols.,

Damascus, 1951-68.

Ibn Bibi, al-Awdmir al-'ala'iyya, ed. T. Houtsma as vol. III of *Recueil de textes relatifs a*

l'histoire des Seldjoucides, 4 vols., Leiden, 1889-1902. The epitome (Mukhtasar) of this

work ed. T. Houtsma as vol. IV of *Recueil de textes*. Vol. I, ed. Necati Lugal and

Adnan Erzi, Ankara, 1957. German trans. H. W. Duda, *Die Seltschukengeschichte des Ibn*

Bibi, Copenhagen, 1959.

Matthew of Edessa, *Chronicle*, ed. and French trans. E. Dulaurier, Paris, 1858; trans.

Ara Dostourian, *Armenia and the Crusades: Tenth to twelfth century: The chronicle of*

Matthew of Edessa, 2 vols., Belmont, Mass., 1960.

Michael the Syrian, *Chronicle*, ed. and French trans. J. B. Chabot, 4 vols., Paris, 1899-1910.

Nicetas Choniates, *Chronicle*, ed. I. Bekker, CSHB, Bonn, 1835; trans. Harry Magoulias, city

of Byzantium, Detroit, 1984.

Şesen, Ramadan, "Imad al-DIn al-Katib al-Isfaharu'nin eserlerindeki Anadolu tarihiyle ilgili

bahisler', *Selcuklu Arastirmalan Dergisi*, 3 (1971), 249-369.

Bibliography

Ta'rih-i al-i Saljuq (Saljuqname), Turkish trans, and facsimile, ed.
Feridun Uzluk, Ankara,

1952.

Turan, Osman, 'Selcukdevri vakfiyleri', *Belleten*, 11 (1947), 197-235, 415-29; 12 (1948), 17-171.

(ed.), *Tiirkiye Selcuklulan hakkmda resmi vesikalar*, Ankara 1958; repr. Ankara, 1988.

Secondary sources

Balivet, Michel, 'Entre Byzance et Konya: L'intercirculation des idees et des hommes au

temps des Seldjoukides', *Mesogeios*, 25-6 (2005), 171-207.

Bryer, Anthony, 'Greeks and Tiirkmens: The Pontic exception', *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, 29

(i975), 113-48.

Hillenbrand, Carole, 'Ravandl, the Seljuk court at Konya and the Persianisation of

Anatolian cities', *Mesogeios*, 25-6 (2005), 157-69.

Kafesoglu, Ibrahim, 'The first Seljuk raid into Eastern Anatolia (1015-1021) and its historical

significance', trans. Gary Leiser, *Mesogeios*, 25-6 (2005), 27-47.

Ocak, A. Yasar, *La revolte de Baba Resul ou la formation de Vheterodoxie musulmane en Anatolia*

au XHle siecle, Ankara, 1989.

Redford, Scott, *Landscape and the state in medieval Anatolia: Seljuk gardens and pavilions of*

Alanya, Turkey, Oxford, 2000.

Redford, Scott and Gary Leiser, *Victory inscribed: The Seljuk Fetihndme on the citadel walls of*

Antalya, Antalya, Turkey, 2008.

Sawides, Alexis, Byzantium in the Near East: Its relations with the Seljuk Sultanate of Rum in

Asia Minor, the Armenians of Cilicia and the Mongols, A.D. c. 1192-1237, Thessaloniki, 1981.

Shukurov, Rustam, 'Trebizond and the Seljuks (1204-1299)', *Mesogeios*, 25-6 (2005),

71-136.

Vryonis, Speros, The decline of medieval Hellenism in Asia Minor and the process of Islamization

from the eleventh through the fifteenth century, Berkeley, 1971.

'Nomadization and Islamization in Asia Minor', *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, 29 (1975), 41-71.

Chapter 11: The rise of the Ottomans

Practical suggestions for further reading

Babinger, Franz, Mehmed the Conqueror and his time, trans. Ralph Manheim, ed. and with a

preface by William C. Hickman, Princeton, 1978.

Cahen, C, Pre-Ottoman Turkey: A general survey of the material and spiritual culture and history

c.1071-1330, London, 1968.

Fine, J. V. A., The late medieval Balkans, Ann Arbor, 1987.

Imber, Colin, The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650, Basingstoke, 2002.

Inalcik, Halil, The Ottoman Empire: The classical age, 1300-1600, London, 1973.

inalcik, Halil, and Rhoads Murphey (eds.), The history of Mehmed the Conqueror by Tursun

Beg, Minneapolis and Chicago, 1978.

Kafadar, Cemal, Between two worlds: The construction of the Ottoman state, Berkeley, 1995.

Kopriilü, M. Fuad, The origins of the Ottoman Empire, trans, and ed. Gary Leiser, Albany,

1992.

McCarthy, Justin, The Ottoman Turks: An introductory history to 1923, London, 1997.

Zachariadou, Elizabeth (ed.), The Ottoman Emirate (1300-1389), Rethymnon, 1993.

Bibliography

Primary sources

Asikpasazade, *Die altosmanische Chronik des Asikpasazade*, ed. Friedrich Giese, Leipzig, 1929;

repr. Osnabrtik, 1972.

Asikpasazade *Tarihi*, ed. Ali, Istanbul, 1332 H.

Atsiz, Ciftcioglu Nihal, *Osmanh Tarihleri*, Istanbul, 1947.

Babinger, Franz (ed.), *Die Aufzeichnungen des Genuesen Iacopo de Promontorio-de Campis iiber*

den Osmanenstaat um 1475, Munich, 1957.

Barbaro, Nicolo Barbaro: *The diary of the seige of Constantinople 1453*, trans. J. R. Jones,

New York, 1969.

Beldiceanu, Nicora, *Les Actes des premiers sultans conserves dans les Manuscrits turcs de la*

Bibliotheque nationale a Paris I, 2 vols., Paris and The Hague, 1960-4.

Belgrano, L. T., 'Prima serie di documenti riguardanti la colonia di Pera', *Atti delta Societd*

Ligure di Storia Patria, 13 (1877-84), 99-317.

Chrysostomides, J., *Manuel II Palaeologos funeral oration on his brother Theodore*, CFHB 26,

Thessaloniki, 1985.

Monumenta Peloponnesiaca: Documents for the history of the Peloponnese in the 14th and 15th

centuries, Camberley, 1995.

Clavijo, Ruy Gonzalez de, *Narrative of the embassy of Ruy Gonzalez de Clavijo to the court of*

Timour at Samarcand A.D. 1403-6, trans, and ed. Clements R. Markham, London,

1859.

Doukas, *Historia Byzantina*, ed. I. Bekker, Bonn, 1843.

Duca, *Historia Turcobyzantina (1341-1462)*, ed. B. Grecu, Bucharest, 1958.

Decline and fall of Byzantium to the Ottoman Turks, ed. H.J. Magoulias, Detroit, 1975.

Enveri, *Diisturnamei Enveri*, ed. Mtikrimin Halil, *Türk Tarih Enciimeni Kiilliyati*, Adet 15,

Istanbul, 1928.

Fleet, Kate, 'The treaty of 1387 between Murad I and the Genoese', *BSOAS*, 56 (1993), 13-33.

Gazavat-i Sultan Murad b. Mehemed Han. Izladi ve Varna savaslan (1443-1444) iizerinde

anonim *Gazavatname*, ed. Halil Inalcik and Mevlud Oguz, *Türk Tarih Kurumu*

Yayinlari 18. Dizi - Sa. 1, Ankara, 1978.

Gregoras, *Nicephori Gregorae Byzantina Historia*, ed. L. Schopeni, Bonn, 1829.

Ibn Battuta, *The travels of Ibn Battuta A.D. 1325-1354*, trans. H. A. R. Gibb, 2 vols., Cambridge,

1958-62.

Imber, Colin, *The Crusade of Varna, 1443-45*, Aldershot, 2006.

Inalcik, Halil, and M. Oguz, *Gazavat-i Sultan Murad b. Mehemed Han*, Ankara, 1978.

Iorga, N., *Notes et extraits pour servir a l'histoire de croisades au XVe siecle*, 3 vols., Paris,

1899-1902.

Kantakuzenos, *Ioannis Cantacuzeni Eximperatoris Historiarum*, ed. L. Schopeni, Bonn, 1831.

Liber Jurium Reipublicae Genuensis, ed. E. Ricotto, *Monumenta Historiae Patriae* 9,

Turin, 1857.

Loenertz, R.-J. (ed.), *Demetrius Cydones Correspondence*, 2 vols., *Studi e Testi* 186, 208,

Vatican City, 1956.

Melikoff-Sayar, I., *he destan d'Umur Pacha (Dusturname-i Enveri): Texte, translation et notes*,

Paris, 1954.

Nesri, Cihdrian: *Die altosmanische Chronik des Mevland Mehemed Neschri*, ed. Franz Taeschner,

Leipzig, 1951.

754

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Mehmed Nesn Kitdb-i Cihan-niimd, Nesri Tarihi, ed. Fafk Re jit Unat and Mehmed A. Koymen,

Ankara, 1987.

Noiret, H., Documents inedits pour servir a Vhistoire de la domination venetienne en Crete de 1380

a 148}, Paris, 1892.

Pertussi, Agostino, La caduta di Constantinopoli, vol. I, Le testimonianze dei contemporanei;

vol. II: L'eco nel mondo, Milan, 1976.

Testi inediti e poco noti sulla caduta di Constantinopoli: Edizione postuma a cura di Antonio

Carile, Bologna, 1983.

Riggs, C. T. (trans.), History of Mehmed the Conqueror by Kritovoulos, Westport, 1954.

Schiltberger, Johann, The bondage and travels ofjohann Schiltberger, a native of Bavaria in

Europe, Asia and Africa, 1396-1427, trans, and ed. Commander J. Buchan Telfer,

London, 1879.

Thiriet, F., Regestes des deliberations du Senat de Venise concernant la Romanic 3 vols., Paris,

1958-61.

Thomas, G. (ed.), Diplomatarium Veneto-Levantinum, 2 vols., Venice, 1890-9.

al-'Umari, 'Notice de l'ouvrage qui a pour titre Masalek alabsarfi memalek alamsar, Voyages

des yeux dans les royaumes des differentes contrees (ms. arabe 583)', ed.

E Quatremere, in *Notices et extraits des mss. de la Bibliotheque du Roi*, vol. XIII, Paris,

1838, 334-81.

Uzuncarsli, I. H., 'Gazi Orhan Bey Vakfiyesi', *Belleten*, 5, 19 (1941), 277-88.

Zachariadou, Elizabeth A., *Trade and crusade: Venetian Crete and the Emirates of Menteshe and*

Aydin, Library of the Hellenic Institute of Byzantine and Post-Byzantine Studies 11,

Venice, 1983.

Secondary sources

Artuk, Ibrahim, 'Osmanli Beyliginin kurucusu Osman Gazi'ye ait sikke', in Osman Okyar

and H. Inalcik (eds.), *Social and economic history of Turkey (1971-1920)*, Ankara, 1980,

27-33.

Barkan, Omer Lutfi, 'Osmanli Imparatorlugunda bir iskan ve kolonizasyon metodu olarak

vakiflar ve temlikler I Istila devirlerinin kolonizator Turk dervisleri ve zaviyeler',

Vakiflar Dergisi, 2 (1943), 279-353.

Fleet, Kate, 'Turkish-Latin relations at the end of the fourteenth century', *Acta Orientalia*

Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, 49, 1 (1996), 131-7.

European and Islamic trade in the early Ottoman state: The merchants of Genoa and Turkey,

Cambridge, 1999.

'Early Ottoman self-definition', in Jan Schmidt (ed.), *Essays in Honour of Barbara*

Flemming, vol. I, *JTS*, 26, 1 (2002), 229-38.

Imber, Colin, *The Ottoman Empire 1300-1481*, Istanbul, 1990.

'What does *gazi* actually mean?', in Cigdem Balim-Harding and Colin Imber (eds.), *The*

balance of truth: Essays in honour of Professor Geoffrey Lewis, Istanbul, 2000, 165-78.

Inalcik, Halil, 'The emergence of the Ottomans', in P.M. Holt, Ann K. S. Lambton and

Bernard Lewis (eds.), *The Cambridge history of Islam*, vol. I: *The central Islamic lands*,

Cambridge, 1970.

Jennings, R. C, 'Some thoughts on the Gazi-thesis', *Weiner Zeitschrift für die Kunde des*

Morgenlandes, 76 (1986), 151-61.

755

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Lindner, Rudi Paul, 'Stimulus and justification in early Ottoman history', *Greek Orthodox*

Theological Review, 27 (1982), 207-24.

Nomads and Ottomans in medieval Anatolia, Bloomington, 1983.

Lowry, Heath, *The nature of the early Ottoman state*, Albany, 2003.

Witteck, P., *The rise of the Ottoman Empire*, Royal Asiatic Society Monographs 23, London,

1938.

Chapter 12: *The Ottoman Empire (tenth/sixteenth century)*

Practical suggestions for further reading

Agoston, G., 'A flexible empire: Authority and its limits on the Ottoman frontiers', *IJTS*, 9

(2003), 15-29.

Bakhit, M. A., *The Ottoman province of Damascus in the sixteenth century*, Beirut, 1982.
Borekci, G., 'A contribution to the military revolution debate: The Janissaries' use of volley-fire during the long Ottoman-Habsburg war', *Acta Orientalia* (Budapest), 24 (2006),

407-38.

Casale, G., 'Global politics in the 1580s: Twenty thousand cannibals and the Ottoman plot

to rule the world', *Journal of World History*, 18, 3 (2007), 267-97.
'The Ottoman "discovery" of the Indian Ocean in the 16th century', in J. Bentley,

R. Bridenthal and K. Wigen (eds.), *Seascapes, maritime histories, global cultures and*

trans-oceanic exchanges, Honolulu, 2007, 87-104.

Darling, Linda, *Revenue raising and legitimacy: Tax collection, finance and administration in the*

Ottoman Empire, 1550-1660, Leiden, 1996.

David, G., and F. Fodor (eds.), *Ottomans, Hungarians and Habsburgs in Central Europe: The*

military confines in the era of Ottoman conquest, Leiden, 2000.

Finkel, Caroline, *Osman's dream: The story of the Ottoman Empire, 1300-1928*, London, 2005.

Fisher, A., 'Siileyman and his sons', in Gilles Veinstein (ed.), *Soliman le Magnifique et son*

temps, Paris, 1992, 117-26.

'The life and family of Siileyman I', in H. Inalcik and C. Kafadar (eds.), *Siileyman II and his*

time, Istanbul, 1993, 1-19.

Fleischer, C., *Bureaucrat and intellectual in the Ottoman Empire: The historian Mustafa Ali*

(1541-1600), Princeton, 1986.

Fodor, P., 'Sultan, imperial council, grand vizier: The Ottoman ruling elite and the

formation of the grand vizieral telkhis', *Acta Orientalia* (Budapest), 47 (1994), 67-85.

'How to forge documents? (A case of corruption within the Ottoman bureaucracy

around 1590)', *Acta Orientalia* (Budapest), 48 (1995), 383-9.
Gradeva, Rossitsa, 'On kadis of Sofia, 16th-17th centuries', *JTS*, 26 (2002), 265-92; repr. in

Rossitsa Gradeva, *Rumeli under the Ottomans*, Istanbul, 2004, 67-106.
'Orthodox Christians in the kadi courts: The position of the Sofia shariat court', *ILS*, 4,

1 (1997), 37-69; repr. in Rossitsa Gradeva, *Rumeli under the Ottomans*, Istanbul, 2004, 165-94.

Hess, A. C, 'The evolution of the Ottoman seaborne empire in the age of the Oceanic

discoveries', *American Historical Review*, 75 (1970), 1892-1919.

Heyd, U., 'Some aspects of the Ottoman fetva', *BSOAS*, 32 (1968), 35-56.

Heywood, C, 'The activities of the state cannon-foundry (tophane-i amire) at Istanbul in

the early sixteenth century', *Prilozi za Orijentalna Filologija*, 30 (1980), 209-17.

756

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Howard, D.A., 'The historical development of the Ottoman Imperial Registry (Defter-i

hakani): Mid-fifteenth to mid-seventeenth centuries', *Archivum Ottomanicum*, n (1986),

213-30.

Imber, Colin, *The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650: The structure of power*, Basingstoke, 2002.

Jennings, R., *Studies on Ottoman social history in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries: Women*,

zimmis and shariah courts in Kayseri, Cyprus and Trabzon, Istanbul, 1999.

Johansen, B., *The Islamic law of tax and rent*, London, 1988.

Kaldy-Nagy, G., 'Two sultanic hass estates in Hungary during the XVIth and XVIIth

centuries', *Acta Orientalia* (Budapest), 13 (1961), 31-62.

'The first centuries of the Ottoman military organisation', *Acta Orientalia* (Budapest), 31

(1977), 147-83-

'The conscription of musellem and yaya corps in 1540', in G. Kaldy-Nagy (ed.), *Hungaro-*

Turcica: Studies in honour of Julius Nemeth, Budapest, 1978, 275-81.

Kermeli, Eugenia, 'The right to choice: Ottoman justice vis-a-vis ecclesiastical and communal justice in the Balkans', in A. Christmann and R. Gleave (eds.), *Studies in Islamic*

law, JSS, supplement 23 (2007), 165-210.

Kiel, M., 'Ottoman sources for the demographic history and process of Islamisation of

Bosnia-Herzegovina and Bulgaria in the fifteenth-seventeenth centuries', *IJTS*, 10

(2004), 93-119.

Mantran, Robert, *Histoire de l'empire ottoman*, Paris, 1989.

Matuz, J., *Das Kanzleiwesen Sultan Siileymans des Prachtigen*, Wiesbaden, 1974.

Menage, V. L., 'Sidelights on the devshirme from Idris and Sa'duddin', *BSOAS*, 18

(1956), 181-3.

'Some notes on the devshirme', *BSOAS*, 29 (1964), 64-78.

art. 'Devshirme', *EI2*.

Necipoglu, Gulru, *Architecture, ceremonial and power: The Topkapi Palace in the fifteenth and*

sixteenth centuries, Cambridge, Mass., 1991.

'Siileyman the Magnificent and the representation of power in the context of Ottoman-

Hapsburg-Papal rivalry', in H. Inalcik and C. Kafadar (eds.), *Siileyman II and his time*,

Istanbul, 1993, 161-94.

Oz, M., 'Ottoman provincial administration in eastern and south-eastern Anatolia: The case

of Bitlis in the sixteenth century', *IJTS*, 9 (2003), 145-56.

Ozel, O., 'Population changes in Ottoman Anatolia during the 16th and 17th centuries: The

"demographic crisis" reconsidered', *IJMES*, 36, 2 (2004), 183-205.

'The transformation of provincial administration in Anatolia: Observations on Amasya

from 15th to 17th centuries', in Evgenia Kermeli and O. Ozel (eds.), *The Ottoman*

Empire: Myths, realities and 'black holes ', Istanbul, 2006, 51-73.

Pedani, Maria P., 'Safiye's household and Venetian diplomacy', *Turcica*, 32 (2001), 9-32.

Peters, R., *Crime and punishment in Islamic law*, Cambridge, 2005.

Sinclair, T., 'The Ottoman arrangements for the tribal principalities of the Lake Van region

of the sixteenth century', *IJTS*, 9 (2003), 119-43.

Soucek, S., 'The rise of the Barbarossas in North Africa', *Archivum Ottomanicum*, 3 (1973), 238-50.

Szakaly, F., 'Nandofehervar: The beginning of the end of medieval Hungary', in G David

and P. Fodor (eds.), *Hungarian-Ottoman military and diplomatic relations in the age of*

Siileyman the Magnificent, Budapest, 1994, 47-76.

7)7

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Vass, E., 'Two tahrir defters from the sanjaq of Mohacs from the time of Sultan Murad III, 1580-1591', in G. Kara (ed.), *Between the Danube and the Caucasus*, Budapest, 1987, 339-62.

Vatin, Nicolas, and Gilles Veinstein, *he serail ebranle*, Paris, 2003.

Venzke, Margaret, 'The case of a Dulgadir-Mamlukiqta': A re-assessment of the Dulgadir

principality and its position within the Ottoman-Mamluk rivalry', JESHO, 43 (2000),

399-474-

Woodhead, Christine, 'From scribe to litterateur: The career of a sixteenth-century

Ottoman katiV', BRIJMES, 9 (1982), 55-74.

'Research on the Ottoman scribal service', in Christa Fragner and Klaus Schwarz (eds.),

Festgabe an Josef Matuz, Berlin, 1992, 311-28.

'Ottoman insha and the art of letter-writing: Influences on the career of the nisana and

prose-stylist Okcuzaade (d. 1630)', Osmanli Arastirmalan, 7-8 (1998), 143-59.

'Scribal chaos? Observations on the post of re'isulkiittab in the late sixteenth century', in

Evgenia Kermeli and O. Ozel (eds.), The Ottoman Empire: Myths, realities and black

holes, Istanbul, 2006, 155-72.

'After Celalzade: The Ottoman nisanci, c. 1560-1700', in A. Christmann and

Robert Gleave (eds.), Studies in Islamic law, JSS, supplement 23 (2007), 295-312.

Primary sources

Akgiinduz, Osmanli Kanunnameleri, 10 vols., Istanbul, 1989-96.

Alberi, E., Rehizioni degli ambasciatori veneti al senato, ser. III, vols. I — III, Florence, 1840-55.

Burian, Orhan (ed.), The Report of Lello, third English ambassador to the Sublime Porte,

Ankara, 1952.

Busbecq, The Turkish letters of Ogier Ghiselin de Busbecq, trans. E. S. Forster, Oxford, 1968.

Celalzade Mustafa, Geschichte Sultan Siileyman Kanunis von 1520 bis 1557, ed. Petra Kappert,

Wiesbaden, 1981.

Selim-nâme, ed. Ahmet Ugur and Mustafa Cuhadar, Ankara, 1990.

De Nicolay, Nicolas, Dans Vempire de Soliman le Magnifique, ed.
Stephane Yerasimos, Paris,

1989.

Lutfi Pasha: see Tschudi, Rudolf.

Pecchevi, Ibrahim, Tarih-i Pecevi, Istanbul, 1866; repr. 1980.

Romer, Claudia, Osmanische Festungsbesatzungen in Ungarn zur Zeit
Murad III, Vienna, 1995.

Schwarz, K., Osmanische Sultansurkunden: Untersuchungen zur
Einstellung und Besoldung

osmanischer Militars in der Zeit Murads III, ed. Claudia Romer,
Stuttgart, 1997.

Selaniki Mustafa, Tarih-i Selaniki, ed. Mehmet Ipsirli, Istanbul, 1989.

Tashkopriizade, Al-Shaqa'iq al-Nu'maniyya, Beirut, 1975.

Tschudi, Rudolf (ed. and trans.), Das Asafname des Lutfi Pascha, Leipzig,
1910.

Velkov, A. and Radushev, E., Ottoman garrisons on the middle Danube,
Budapest, 1996.

Secondary sources

Agoston, Gabor, Guns for tlie Sultan: Military power and the weapons
industry in the Ottoman

Empire, Cambridge, 2005.

Beldiceanu-Steinherr, Irene, 'Fiscalites et formes de possession de terre
arable', JESHO, 29

(1976), 233-322.

75«

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Blackburn, J. Richard, 'The collapse of Ottoman authority in Yemen,
968/1560-976/1568',

Die Welt des Islams, 19 (1979), 119-76.

Brummett, Palmira, 'Subordination and its discontents: The Ottoman campaign, 1578-80',

in Caesar Farah (ed.), Decision making and change in the Ottoman Empire, Kirkville,

1993.

Ottoman seapower and Levantine diplomacy in the age of discovery, New York, 1994.

Dilger, Konrad, Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des osmanischen Hofzeremoniells in x. und 16.

Jahrhundert, Munich, 1967.

Eberhard, Elke, Osmanische Polemik gegen die Safawiden in x 16. Jahrhundert nach arabischen

Handschriften, Freiburg, 1970.

Fisher, S. N., The foreign relations of Turkey, 1481-1512, Urbana, 1948.

Gerber, Haim, State, society and law in Islam: Ottoman law in comparative perspective,

Albany, 1994.

Goodwin, Godfrey, A history of Ottoman architecture, London, 1971.

Griswold, William J., The great Anatolian rebellion, Berlin, 1983.

Heyd, Uriel, Studies in old Ottoman criminal law, ed. V. L. Menage, Oxford, 1973.

Heywood, C.J., 'The evolution of the Ottoman provincial law code (sancak kanunname)',

Turkish Studies Association Bulletin, 15 (1991), 223-51.

Imber, Colin, 'The persecution of the Ottoman Shi'ites according to the Muhimme

Defterleri, 1565-1585)', Der Islam, 56, 2 (1979), 245-73.

'The navy of Suleyman the Magnificent', Archivum Ottomanicum, 6 (1980), 211-82.

'The Ottoman dynastic myth', Turcica, 19 (1987), 7-27.

Ebu's-su'ud: The Islamic legal tradition, Edinburgh, 1997.

'Ibrahim Pecevi on war: A note on the "European military revolution"', in C. Imber,

K. Kiyotaki and R. Murphey (eds.), Frontiers of Ottoman studies, vol. II, London,

2005, 7-22.

Jansky, H., 'Die Eroberung Syriens durch Sultan Selim I', Mitteilungen zur Osmanischen

Geschichte, 2 (1923-6; repr. 1972), 169-241.

Kaldy-Nagy, G., 'Suleimans Angriff auf Europa', *Acta Orientalia* (Budapest), 28 (1974).

Kiel, M., 'Remarks on the administration of the poll-tax (cizye) in the Ottoman Balkans', *Etudes Balkaniques*, 26 (1990), 70-104.

'Central Greece in the Suleymanic age: Notes on population growth, economic expansion and its influence on the spread of Greek Christian culture', in Gilles Veinstein (ed.), *Soliman le Magnifique et son temps*, Paris, 1992, 399-424.

Kunt, I. Metin, *The Sultan's servants: The transformation of Ottoman provincial government, 1550-1650*, New York, 1983.

Kutukoglu, Bekir, 'Les relations entre l'Empire ottoman et l'Iran dans la seconde moitié du XVIe siècle', *Turcica*, 6 (1975), 128-45.

Lesure, Michel, *Lepante: La crise de l'Empire ottoman*, Paris, 1972.

Murphey, Rhoads, *Ottoman warfare, 1500-1700*, London, 1999.

Necipoglu, Gulru, 'A kanun for the state, a canon for the arts', in Veinstein (ed.), *Soliman le Magnifique*, 195-216.

Ozbaran, Salih, *The Ottoman response to European expansion*, Istanbul, 1994.

Pamuk, Şevket, *A monetary history of the Ottoman Empire*, Cambridge, 2000.

Parry, V. J., 'La maniere de combattre', in V.J. Parry and M. E. Yapp (eds.), *War, technology and society in the Middle East*, London, 1975, 218-56.

759

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Pedani, Maria Pia, 'Some remarks on the Ottoman geo-political vision of the

Mediterranean in the period of the Cyprus war', in C. Imber, K. Kiyotaki and

R. Murphey (eds.), *Frontiers of Ottoman studies*, vol. II, London, 2005, 23-35.

Peirce, Leslie, *The imperial harem: Women and sovereignty in the Ottoman Empire*,

Oxford, 1993.

Morality tales: Law and gender in the Ottoman court of Aintab, Berkeley, 2003.

Repp, R. C, *The Mufti of Istanbul*, Oxford, 1986.

Rohrbom, Klaus, 'Die Emanzipation der Finanzbürokratie im osmanischen Reich', *ZDMG*,

122 (1972), 118-39.

Untersuchungen zur osmanischen Verwaltungsgeschichte, Berlin, 1973.

Sohrweide, H., 'Der Sieg der Safawiden in Persien und ihre Rückwirkungen auf die schiiten

Anatoliens im 16. Jahrhundert', *Der Islam*, 41 (1965), 95-223.

Vatin, Nicolas, *L'Ordre de Saint-Jean de Jerusalem: L'Empire ottoman et la Méditerranée orientale*

entre les deux sièges de Rhodes, Louvain, 1994.

'Le siège de Mitylene, 1501', in N. Vatin, *Les Ottomans et l'Occident*, Istanbul, 2001, 9-29.

Veinstein, Gilles (ed.), *Soliman le Magnifique et son temps*, Paris, 1992.

'Les préparatifs de la campagne navale franco-turque de 1552 à travers les ordres du

Divan ottoman', in Veinstein, *Etat et société dans l'Empire ottoman*, Aldershot, 1994, ch.

VI.

Yerasimos, Stéphane, 'Les relations franco-ottomanes et la prise de Tripoli en 1551', in

Veinstein (ed.), *Soliman le Magnifique et son temps*, 529-44.

Chapter 13: *The Ottoman Empire: the age of 'political households' (eleventh-twelfth/seventeenth-eighteenth centuries)*

Practical suggestions for further reading

Abu-Husayn, Abdul-Rahim, *Provincial leaderships in Syria 1575-1650*, Beirut, 1985.

Akarli, Engin, 'Provincial power magnates in Ottoman Bilad al-Sham and Egypt, 1740-1840,'

in Abdeljelil Temimi (ed.), *La vie sociale dans les provinces arabes a Vepoque ottomane*,

Zaghouan, 1988, 41-56.

Aksan, Virginia, *Ottoman wars ijoo-1870*, Harlow, 2007.

Aksan, Virginia, and Daniel Goffman (eds.), *The early modern Ottomans: Remapping the*

empire, New York, 2007.

Artan, Ttilay, 'Arts and architecture', in SuraiyaN. Faroqhi (ed.), *The Cambridge history of*

Turkey, vol. Ill, Cambridge, 2006, 408-80.

Barbir, Karl, 'From pasha to efendi: The assimilation of Ottomans into Damascene society

1516-1783', *IJTS*, 1, 1 (1979-80), 68-83.

Barkey, Karen, *Empire of difference: The Ottomans in comparative perspective*, New York, 2008.

Behrens-Abouseif Doris, 'The Abd al-Rahman Katkhuda style in 18th century Cairo', *AI*,

26 (1992), 117-26.

Faroqhi, Suraiya, *Artisans of empire: Crafts and craftspeople under the Ottomans*, London, 2009.

Inalcik, Halil, 'Capital formation in the Ottoman Empire', *Journal of Economic History*, 29, 1

(1969), 97-140.

Rafeq, Abdul-Karim, *The province of Damascus 1723-1783*, Beirut, 1970.

760

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Windier, Christian, 'Diplomatic history as a field for cultural analysis: Muslim-Christian

relations in Tunis, 1700-1840', *Historical Journal*, 44, 1 (2001), 79-106.

Zilfi, Madeline, 'Problems and patterns in the history of Muslim women in the early modern era', in Faroqhi (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Turkey*, vol. III, 226-55.

Primary sources

[Aziz Efendi], *Kanun-name-i sultanx li 'Aziz Efendi*, Aziz Efendi's book of sultanic laws and

regulations . . . , ed. Rhoads Murphey, Cambridge Mass., 1985.

[Ca'fer Efendi], *Risdle-i mfmariyye*, an early-seventeenth-century Ottoman treatise on architecture, trans, and commentary Howard Crane, Leiden, 1987.

Evliya Celebi, *Evliya Celebi Seydhatnamesi*, Misir, Sudan, Habes (16J2-1680), Istanbul, 1938.

Evliya Celebi ibn Dervis Mehemed Zilli, *Evliya Celebi Seyahatnamesi*, Topkapi Sarayi

Bagdat 304 yazmasimn transkripsyonu - dizini, vol. I, ed. Orhan Şaik Gokyay and

Yücel Dagli, Istanbul, 1995.

Evliya Tchelebi, *La guerre des Turcs, recits de batailles extraits du Livre de voyages*, trans, and

annot. Faruk Bilici, n.p., 2000.

Kal'a, Ahmet et al. (eds.), *Istanbul Kulliyati I*, Istanbul Ahkdm

Defterleri . . . , Istanbul, 1997.

[Melling, Antoine Ignace], *Voyage pittoresque de Constantinople et des rives du Bosphore*, d'apres

les desseins de M. Melling, repr. Istanbul, 1969.

Montagu, Lady Mary Wortley, *The Turkish Embassy letters*, ed. Malcolm Jack and

Anita Desai, London, 1993.

Nehring, Karl (ed.), *Adam Freiherr zu Herbersteins Gesandtschaftsreise nach Konstantinopel: Ein*

Beitrag zum Frieden von Zsitvatorok (1606), Munich, 1983.

Papa Synadinos of Serres, *Conseils et memoires de Synadinos pretre de Serres en Macedoine*

(XVIIe siecle), ed., trans, and commentary Paolo Odorico, with S. Asdrachas,

T. Karanastassis, K. Kostis and S. Petmezas, Paris, 1996.
Şem'dani-zade Frndiklili Siileyman Efendi, . . . Siileyman Efendi Tarihi
Miir'Vt-tevdrih, ed.

Miir Aktepe, 2 vols., Istanbul, 1976-8.
Sofroni [bishop of] Vratsa, Leben und Leiden des siindigen Sofroni, trans,
and notes

Norbert Randow, 2nd edn, Leipzig, 1979.
Unat, Faik Resat, Osmanh sefirleri ve sefaretnmeleri, completed and ed.
Bekir Sitki Baykal,

Ankara, 1968.
Wild, Johann, Reysbeschreibung eines Gefangenen Christen Anno 1604,
repr. Stuttgart, 1964.

Secondary sources

Abou-El-Haj, Rifa'at A., 'Ottoman attitudes toward peace-making: The
Karlowitz case', *Der*
Islam, 51 (1974), 131-7.

'The Ottoman vezir and pasha households 1683-1703: A preliminary
survey', *JAOS*, 94

(1974), 438-47-

The 1703 rebellion and the structure of Ottoman politics, Istanbul and
Leiden, 1984.

Formation of the Ottoman state: The Ottoman Empire sixteenth to
eighteenth centuries, Albany,
1991.

Agoston, Gabor, *Guns for the Sultan: Military power and the weapons
industry in the Ottoman*

Empire, Cambridge, 2005.

Akdag, Mustafa, *CelAli isyanlan 1550-1603*, Ankara, 1963.

761

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Aksan, Virginia, 'Ottoman political writing, 1768-1808', *IJMES*, 25 (1993), 53-69.

An Ottoman statesman in war and peace: Ahmed Resmi Efendi, 1700-1783, Leiden, 1995.

Aktepe, Mtinir, Patrona isyam, Istanbul, 1958.

Alleume, Ghislaine (ed.), *L'expédition de Bonaparte vu d'Egypte*, special issue of *Egypte-*

Monde Arabe, Cairo, Paris and Brussels, 1999.

Artan, Tulay, 'From charismatic leadership to collective rule, introducing materials on the

wealth and power of Ottoman princesses in the eighteenth century', *Toplum ve*

Ekonomi, 4 (1993), 53-94.

Arts and architecture', in Faroqhi (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Turkey*, vol. III, 408-80.

Aynural, Salih, *Istanbul degirmenleri vefirinlan, zahire ticareti*, Istanbul, 2001.

Baer, Marc David, *Honored by the glory of Islam: Conversion and conquest in Ottoman Europe*,

Oxford, 2008.

Bagis, Ali ihsan, *Osmanh ticaretinde gayri Miislimler, kapitilasyonlar, berathl tuccarlar ve*

hayriye tiiccarlari (1750-1839), Ankara, 1983.

Barbir, Karl, *Ottoman rule in Damascus, 1708-1758*, Princeton, 1980.

Barkey, Karen, *Bandits and bureaucrats: The Ottoman route to state centralization*, Ithaca and

London, 1994.

Beydilli, Kemal, 'Kticuk Kaynarca'dan Tanzimat'a islahat dustinceleri', *Ilmi Arastirmalar*, 8

(1999).

Bierman, Irene, 'The Ottomanization of Crete', in Irene A. Bierman, Rifa'at A. Abou-el-Haj

and Donald Preziosi (eds.), *The Ottoman city and its parts: Urban structure and social*

order, New Rochelle, 1991, 53-76.

Bodman Jr., Herbert L., *Political factions in Aleppo 1760-1826*, Chapel Hill, 1963.

Bostan, Idris, *Osmanh bahriye teskilati: XVII. Yiizyilda Tersane-i Amire*, Ankara, 1992.

Kiirekli ve yelkenli Osmanlı gemileri, İstanbul, 2005.
 Camariano, Nestor, Alexandre Mavrocordato, le Grand Drogman, son
 activite diplomatique
 (1673-1709), Thessaloniki, 1970.
 Cezar, Mustafa, Osmanlı tarihinde levendler, İstanbul, 1965.
 Cezar, Yavuz, Osmanlı maliyesinde bunahm ve degisim donemi (XVIII
 yydan Tanzimat'a mali
 tarih), İstanbul, 1986.
 Cherif Muhammad Hedi, Pouvoir et societe dans la Tunisie de H'usayn
 bin' Ali (1705-1740),
 2 vols., Tunis, 1984-6.
 Cizakca, Murat, A comparative evolution of business partnerships: The
 Islamic world and Europe,
 with specific reference to the Ottoman archives, Leiden, 1996.
 Cohen, Amnon, Palestine in the 18th century, Jerusalem, 1973.
 Curtin, Philip D., Cross-cultural trade in world history, Cambridge, 1984.
 Dankoff, Robert, The intimate life of an Ottoman statesman: Melek
 Ahmed Pasha (1588-1662) as
 portrayed in Evliya Celebi's Book of Travels, introduction by Rhoads
 Murphey, Albany,
 1991.
 Darling, Linda, Revenue-raising and legitimacy: Tax collection and
 finance administration in the
 Ottoman Empire 1560-1660, Leiden, 1996.
 [Delivorrias, Angelos et al.], 'Greece' at the Benaki Museum, Athens,
 1997.
 Eickhoff, Ekkehard, Venedig, Wien und die Osmanen: Umbruch in
 Siidosteuroopa 1645-1700, 2nd
 edn, Stuttgart, 1988.
 Eldem, Edhem, French trade in İstanbul in the eighteenth century,
 Leiden, 1999.
 Emecen, Feridun, Ibrahim', Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslam Ansiklopedisi
 (İstanbul), 21 (2000), 274.

Bibliography

Ergene, Bogac, Local court, provincial society and justice in the Ottoman Empire: Legal practice

and dispute resolution in (Rankin and Kastamonu (1652—1744), Leiden, 2003.

'Evidence in Ottoman courts: Oral and written documentation in early - modern courts of

Islamic law', JAOS, 124, 3 (2004), 471-92.

Faroghi, Suraiya, Der Bektaschi-Orden in Anatolien (vom spdten funfzehnten Jahrhundert

bis 1S26), Wiener Zeitschrift fur die Kunde des Morgenlandes , Sonderband 2, Vienna,

1981.

'Seeking wisdom in China: An attempt to make sense of the Celali rebellions', repr. in

Faroghi, Coping with the state: Political conflict and crime in the Ottoman Empire, Istanbul,

1995, 125-48.

'The life and death of outlaws in Corum', in Faroghi, Coping with the state: Political conflict

and crime in the Ottoman Empire, Istanbul, 1995, 171-88.

'Ortak isliklerle ozel evler arasinda XVIII. Yiizyil Bursa'smda isyerleri', trans. Rita Urgan

in Engin Yenal (ed.), Bir Masaldi Bursa, Istanbul, 1996, 97-104.

'Als Kriegsgefangener bei den Osmanen: Militarlager und Haushalt des GroBwesirs Kara

Mustafa Pasa in einem Augenzeugenbericht', in Elisabeth Herrmann-Otto (ed.),

Unfreie Arbeits- und Lebensverhältnisse von der Antike bis in die Gegenwart, Hildesheim,

2005, 206-34.

'Der osmanische Blick nach Osten: Dtirri Ahmed Efendi fiber den Zerfall des

Safawidenreichs 1720-21', in Michael Rohrschneider and Arno Strohmeyer (eds.),

Wahrnehmung des Fremden: Differenzerfahrungen von Diplomaten in Europa (1500—1648),

Mfinster, 2007, 375-98.

Findley, Carter V., Bureaucratic reform in the Ottoman Empire: The Sublime Porte 17X9-1922,

Princeton, 1980.

Ottoman civil officialdom: A social history, Princeton, 1989.

Fleischer, Cornell H., 'Royal authority, dynastic cyclism and "ibn Khaldunism" in sixteenth-century Ottoman letters', *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 18 (1983), 198-220.

Bureaucrat and intellectual in the Ottoman Empire: The historian Mustafa Ali (1541-1600),

Princeton, 1986.

'From Şehzade Korkud to Mustafa Ali: Cultural origins of the Ottoman Nasihatname', in

Heath Lowry and Ralph Hattox (eds.), *Congress on the economic and social history of*

Turkey, Istanbul, 1990, 67-78.

Gene, Mehmet, 'Osmanli maliyesinde malikane sistemi', in Osman Okyar and Unal

Nabantoglu (eds.), *Türkiye İktisat Tarihi Semineri, metinler - tartxsmalar*, Ankara, 1975,

231-96.

A study of the feasibility of using eighteenth-century Ottoman financial records as an

indicator of economic activity', in Huri Islamoglu-Inan (ed.), *The Ottoman Empire and*

the world-economy, Cambridge and Paris, 1987, 311-44.

'Osmanli ekonomisi ve savas', *Yapit*, 49, 4 (1984), 52-61; 50, 5 (1984), 86-93; French version

'L'economie ottomane et la guerre au XVIIIeme siecle', *Turcica*, 27 (1995), 177-96.

'Esham: ic borclanma', in Mehmet Gene, *Osmanli Imparatorlugunda devlet ve ekonomi*,

Istanbul, 2000, 186-95.
 Goffman, Daniel, *The Ottoman Empire and early modern Europe*,
 Cambridge, 2002.
 Golpinarli, Abdilbaki, *Mevlâdî'dan söm Mevlevîlik*, Istanbul, 1953.
 Gorfînîr, Lale, 'Fashion mirrored in memory: Nineteenth-century
 women's costume in
 the Sadberk Hanım Museum Collection', *P-Art*, 3 (2000), 50-63.

763

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Greene, Molly, *A shared world: Christians and Muslims in the early
 modern Mediterranean*,

Princeton, 2000.

Griswold, William, *The great Anatolian rebellion 1000-1020/1591-1611*,
 Berlin, 1983.

Hagen, Gottfried, 'Legitimacy and world order', in Hakan Karateke and
 Maurus

Reinkowski (eds.), *Legitimizing the order: The Ottoman rhetoric of state
 power*, Leiden,

2005, 55-83-

Hala^oĝu, Yusuf, XVIII. Yîzyîlda Osmanlî İmparatorlugunda iskdn
 siyaseti ve asiretlerin

yerlestirilmesi, Ankara, 1988.

Hathaway, Jane, *The politics of households in Ottoman Egypt: The rise of
 the Qazdaghs*,

Cambridge, 1997.

*A tale of two factions: Myth, memory and identity in Ottoman Egypt and
 Yemen*, Albany, 2003.

Heywood, Colin, art. 'Khotin', *EI2*.

'The Red Sea trade and Ottoman waqf support for the population of
 Mecca and Medina

in the later seventeenth century', in Abdeljelil Temimi (ed.), *Melanges Professeur Robert*

Mantran, 3 vols., Zaghouan, 1988, vol. III, 165-84.

Hochedlinger, Michael, *Austria's wars of emergence 1683-1797*, Harlow and London, 2003.

Inalcik, Halil, 'Capital formation in the Ottoman Empire', *Journal of Economic History*, 29, 1

(1969), 97-140.

'Centralization and decentralization in Ottoman administration', in Thomas Naff and

Roger Owen (eds.), *Studies in eighteenth century Islamic history*, Carbondale and

Edwardsville, 1977, 27-52.

'Military and fiscal transformation in the Ottoman Empire, 1600-1700', *Archivum*

Ottomanicum, 6 (1980), 283-337.

'The appointment procedure of a guild warden (kethuda)', *Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde*

des Morgenlandes, Festschrift Andreas Tietze, 76 (1986), 135-42.

irepoglu, GUI, 'Islamic jewelry: A survey', *P-Art*, 3 (2000), 76-89.

Itzkowitz, Norman, 'Eighteenth century Ottoman realities', *SI*, 16 (1962), 73-94.

Kafadar, Cemal, 'Les troubles monetaires de la fin du XVIe siecle et la conscience ottomane

du declin', *Annales ESC*, 43 (1991), 381-400.

Kaya, Alp Yiicel, 'Politique de l'enregistrement de la richesse economique: Les enquetes

fiscales et agricoles de l'empire ottoman et de la France au milieu du XIXe siecle,'

Ph.D. thesis, Paris, EHESS (2005).

Klein, Denise, *Die osmanischen Tjlema des ij. Jahrhunderts: Eine geschlossene GeseUschaft?* ,

Berlin, 2007.

Kunt, Metin, 'Dervis Mehmed Pasa, vezir and entrepreneur: A study in Ottoman political-

economic theory and practice', *Turcica*, 9, 1 (1977), 197-214.

Bir Osmanlx valisinin yilhk gelir-gideri Diyarbekir, 1670-71, Istanbul, 1981.

Kiitiikoglu, Mtibahat, 'Life in the medrese', in Suraiya Faruqi and Christoph Neumann

(eds.), *The illuminated table, the prosperous house: Food and shelter in Ottoman material*

culture, Istanbul, 2003, 207-17.

Lier, Thomas, *Haushalte und Haushaltspolitik in Bagdad, 1704-1831*, Wtirzburg, 2004.

Mahir, Banu, 'Eighteenth-century Ottoman women's fashion in the miniatures of Abdullah

Buhari', *P-Art*, 3 (2000), 64-75.

Majer, Hans Georg, art. 'Yegen 'Othman Pasha', *EI2*.

Marsot, Afaf Lutfi al-Sayyid, *Women and men in late eighteenth-century Egypt*, Austin,

1995-

764

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Masters, Bruce, 'The sultan's entrepreneurs: The Avrupa ticcans and the Hayriye tiiccans

in Syria', *IJMES*, 24 (1992), 579-97-

'The view from the province: Syrian chronicles of the eighteenth century', *JAOS*, 114, 3

(1994), 353-62..

Christians and Jews in the Arab world: The roots of sectarianism, Cambridge, 2001.

Matthee, Rudi, 'Unwalled cities and restless nomads: Firearms and artillery in Safavid Iran',

in Charles Melville (ed.), *Safavid Persia*, London, 1996, 389-416.

Minorsky, V., and C. E. Bosworth, art. 'Tabriz', *EI2*.

Murphey, Rhoads, *Ottoman warfare, 1500-1600*, London, 1999.

'Politics and Islam, Mustafa Safi's version of the kingly virtues as presented in his

Zubdetu'l-tevarih, or Annals of Sultan Ahmed, 1012-1023 A.H./1603-1614 a.d.', in

Colin Imber, Keiko Kiyotaki and Rhoads Murphey (eds.), *Frontiers of Ottoman studies:*

State, province, and the west, London and New York, 2005, vol. I, 5-24.
Orhonlu, Cengiz, *Osmanlı imparatorlugunda Asiretleri Iskan Tesebbüsü (1691-1696)*, Istanbul,

1963.

Ozvar, Erol, *Osmanlı maliyesinde malikane uygulaması*, Istanbul, 2003.
Pedani Fabris, Maria Pia, *In nome del Gran Signore: Inviati ottomani a Venezia dalla caduta di*

Costantinopoli alla guerra di Candia, Venice, 1994.

'Safiye's household and Venetian diplomacy', *Turcica*, 32 (2000), 9-32.

Peirce, Leslie, *The imperial harem: Women and sovereignty in the Ottoman Empire*, New York

and Oxford, 1993.

Piterberg, Gabriel, 'The alleged rebellion of Abaza Mehmed Pasa: Historiography and the

Ottoman state in the seventeenth century', *IJTS*, 8, 1-2 (2002), 13-24.

Quataert, Donald, 'Clothing laws, state and society in the Ottoman Empire, 1720-1829',

IJMES, 29 (1997), 403-25-

Radusev, Evgenii, 'Les dépenses locales dans l'empire ottoman au XVIIIe siècle', *Etudes*

Balkaniques, 16, 3 (1980), 74-94.

Raymond, Andre, *Artisans et commerçants au Caire, au XVIIIe siècle*, 2 vols., Damascus, 1973-4.

Le Caire des janissaires: L'apogée de la ville ottomane sous 'Abd al-Rahmān Katkhuda, Paris,

1995-

Rohrborn, Klaus, 'Die Emanzipation der Finanzbürokratie im osmanischen Reich (Ende 16.

Jahrhundert)', *ZDMG*, 122 (1972), 118-93.

Rozen, Minna, *A history of the Jewish community in Istanbul: The formative years, 1453-1566*,

Leiden, 2002.

Runciman, Steven, *The great church in captivity: A study of the patriarchate of*

Constantinople from the eve of the Turkish conquest to the Greek War of Independence,

Cambridge, 1968.

Sahillioglu, Halil, 'Bursa kadi sicillerinde ic ve dis odemeler araci olarak "Kitabt'i'l-Kadi" ve

"Siifteciler"', in Osman Okyar and Unal Nalbantoglu (eds.), *Türkiye İktisat Tarihi*

Semineri: metinler, tartismalar, 8-10 Haziran 1973, Ankara, 1975, 97-229.

Salzmann, Ariel C, 'An ancien regime revisited: Privatization and political economy in the

eighteenth century Ottoman Empire', *Politics and Society*, 21, 4 (1993), 393-424.

'Privatising the empire: Pashas and gentry during the Ottoman 18th century', in Kemal Cicek

(ed.), *The great Ottoman Turkish civilisation*, 4 vols., Istanbul, 2000, vol. III, 132-9.

Schmidt, Jan, *Pure water for thirsty Muslims: A study of Mustafa 'AU of Gallipoli's Kunhii l-ahbar*,

Leiden, probably 1992.

765

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Shaw, Stanford J., *The financial and administrative development of Ottoman Egypt 1517-1798*, Princeton, 1962.

The budget of Ottoman Egypt 1005-1006/1596-97, The Hague and Paris, 1968.

Between old and new: The Ottoman Empire under Sultan Selim III 1789-1807, Cambridge, Mass. 1971.

Stoye, John, The siege of Vienna, repr. Edinburgh, 2000.

Tabakoglu, Ahmet, Gerileme dbnemine girerken Osmanlx maliyesi, Istanbul, 1985.

Tezcan, Baki, 'The 1622 military rebellion in Istanbul: A historiographical journey', IJTS, 8,

1-2 (2002), 25-43 (guest editor Jane Hathaway).

Tezcan, Hiilya, 'Fashion at the Ottoman court', P-Art, 3 (2000), 2-49.

Todorov, Nicolai, 'La differentiation de la population urbaine d'apres des registres de cadis de Vidin, Sofia et Ruse', repr. in Nicolai Todorov, La ville balkanique sous les Ottomans

(XV-XIXe s.J, London, 1977, no. VII.

Uebersberger, Hans, Russlands Orientpolitik in den letzten zwei Jahrhunderten ..., vol. I: Bis

zum Frieden vonjassy, Stuttgart, 1913.

Uzuncarsili, Ismail Hakki, Osmanlx devletinin merkez ve bahriye teskilati, Ankara, 1948.

Osmanh devletinin ilmiye teskilati, Ankara, 1965.

Vatin, Nicolas, and Gilles Veinstein, he serail ebranle, Paris, 2003.

Veinstein, Gilles, 'Du marche urbain au marche du camp: L'institution ottomane des orducu,

in Melanges Professeur Robert Mantran, ed. Abdeljelil Temimi, Zaghuan, 1988, 299-327.

Wittram, Reinhard, Peter I, Czar und Kaiser: Die Geschichte Peters des Grossen in seiner Zeit,

2 vols., Gottingen, 1964.

Zarinebaf-Shahr, Fariba, 'Tabriz under Ottoman rule (1725-1730)', Ph.D. thesis, University

of Chicago (1991).

Zilfi, Madeline, 'Discordant revivalism in seventeenth-century Istanbul', JNES, 45, 4 (1986), 251-69.

The politics of piety: The Ottoman ulema in the postclassical age (1600-1800), Minneapolis,

1988.

A medrese for the palace: Ottoman dynastic legitimation in the eighteenth century',

JAOS, 113, 2 (1993), 184-91.

'Ibrahim Paşa and the women', in Daniel Panzac (ed.), *Histoire économique et sociale de*

L'empire ottoman et de la Turquie (1326-1960), Louvain and Paris, 1995, 555-9.

'Women and society in the Tulip Era, 1718-1730', in Amira Al-Azhary Sonbol (ed.),

Women, the family and divorce laws in Islamic history, Syracuse, 1996, 290-306.

'Goods in the Mahalle: Distributional encounters in eighteenth century Istanbul', in

Donald Quataert (ed.), *Consumption studies and the history of the Ottoman Empire*,

1550-1922: An introduction, Albany, 2000, 289-312.

'Problems and patterns in the history of Muslim women in the early modern era', in

Suraiya Faroqhi (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Turkey*, vol. III, Cambridge, 2006,

226-55.

Chapter 14: Egypt and Syria under the Ottomans

Practical suggestions for further reading

Crecelius, Daniel, *The roots of modern Egypt: A study of the regimes of Ali Bey al-Kabir and Muhammad Bey Abu al-Dhahab, 1760-1775*, Minneapolis, 1981.

766

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Cuno, Kenneth, *The pasha's peasants: Land, society, and economy in Lower Egypt, 1740-1858*,

Cambridge, 1992.

Douwes, Dick, *The Ottomans in Syria: A history of justice and oppression*, London, 2000.

Grehan, James, 'Street violence and social imagination in late-Mamluk and Ottoman

Damascus (ca. 1500-1800)', *IJMES*, 35 (2003), 215-36.

Haarmann, Ulrich, 'Ideology and history, identity and alterity: The Arab image of the

Turks from the 'Abbasids to modern Egypt', *IJMES*, 20 (1988), 175-96.

Hathaway, Jane, *The Arab lands under Ottoman rule, with contributions by Karl Barbir,*

Harlow, 2008.

Holt, P. M., *Egypt and the Fertile Crescent, 1516-1922*, London, 1966.

Marcus, Abraham, *The Middle East on the eve of modernity*, New York, 1989.

Marino, Brigitte, *Le faubourg du Middn a Damas a Vepoaue ottomane: Espace urbain, societe et*

habitat (1742-1830), Damascus, 1997.

Murphey, Rhoads, 'Some features of nomadism in the Ottoman Empire: A survey based on

tribal census and judicial appeal documentation from archives in Istanbul and

Damascus', *JTS*, 8 (1984), 189-97-

el-Nahal, Galal, *The judicial administration of Ottoman Egypt in the seventeenth century,*

Minneapolis, 1979.

Rafeq, Abdul-Karim, *Al-'Arab wa'l-'Uthmaniyyun*, Damascus, 1974.

'City and countryside in a traditional setting: The case of Damascus in the first quarter of

the eighteenth century', in Thomas Philipp (ed.), *The Syrian land in the iSth and 19th*

century, Stuttgart, 1992, 295-332.

Raymond, Andre, 'The economic crisis of Egypt in the eighteenth century', in

A. L. Udovitch (ed.), *The Islamic Middle East, 700-1900*, Princeton, 1981, 687-708.

Cairo, trans. Willard Wood, Cambridge, Mass. and London, 2000.

Thieck, Jean-Pierre, 'Decentralisation ottomane et affirmation urbaine a Alep a la fin du

XVIIIe siècle', in Gilles Kepel (ed.), *Passion d'Orient*, Paris, 1992, 114-76.
Winter, Michael, *Egyptian society under Ottoman rule 1517-1798*, London, 1992.
Ze'evi, Dror, *An Ottoman century: The district of Jerusalem in the 1600s*, Albany, 1996.

Primary sources

al-Ansari, Sharaf al-Dīn Musa bin Yusuf, *Nuzhat al-khatir via bahjat al-nazir*, 2 vols.,

Damascus, 1991.

al-Budayri, Ahmad al-Hallaq, *Hawadith Dimasha al-yawmiyya*, 1154-1175, Cairo, 1959.

Burayk al-Dimashqi, Mikhail, *Ttirikh al-Sham*, 1720-1782, Harissa, 1930.
al-Damurdashl, Ahmad Katkhuda 'Azaban, *al-Dimurdashi's chronicle of Egypt: 1688-1755*, e d-

and trans. Daniel Crecelius and Abd al-Wahhab Bakr, Leiden, 1972.

Evliya Celebi, *Evliya Celebi Seyahatnamesi*, vols. IX-X, Istanbul, 1984.

Heyd, Uriel, *Ottoman documents on Palestine 1552-1615: A study of the firman according to the*

muhimme defteri, Oxford, 1960,

aljabarti, 'Abd al-Rahman, *'Aja'ib al-athar fi tarajim wa'l-athar*, 7 vols., Cairo, 1958.

Mantran, Robert, and Jean Sauvaget, *Keglements fiscaux ottomans: Les provinces syriennes*,

Beirut, 1951.

Mustafa Ali's description of Cairo, 1599, ed. and trans. Andreas Tietze, Vienna, 1957.

Mustafa NaTma, *Tdrikh-i Na'ima*, Istanbul, 1866-7.

767

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Nagata Yuzo, Miura Toru and Shimizu Yasuhisa (eds.), *Tax farm register of Damascus*

province in the seventeenth century, Tokyo, 2006.

al-Salihl, Muhammad bin Kannan, Yawmiyyat Shamiyya, Damascus, 1994.

al-Tabbakh, Muhammad Raghib, A'lam al-nubaln' bi-ta'rikh Halab al-shahbd, Aleppo, 1977.

al-'Urdu, Abu'l-Wafa' al-Halabi, Ma'ddan al-dhahab fi 'l-a'ydn al-musharrafa bihim Halab,

Aleppo, 1987.

Secondary sources

Abdel-Nour, Antoine, Introduction a Vhistoire urbaine de la Syrie ottomane (XVIe-XVIIIe siecle),

Beirut, 1982.

Abu-Husayn, Abdul-Rahim, Provincial leadership in Syria, 1575-1610, Beirut, 1985.

The view from Istanbul: Ottoman Lebanon and the Druze emirate, London, 2004.

Bakhit, Muhammad Adnan, The Ottoman province of Damascus in the sixteenth century,

Beirut, 1982.

Barbir, Karl, Ottoman rule in Damascus, 1708-1758, Princeton, 1980.

'Memory, heritage, and history: The Ottomans and the Arabs', in L. Carl Brown (ed.),

Imperial legacy: The Ottoman imprint on the Balkans and the Middle East, New York, 1996,

100-14.

Barkey, Karen, Bandits and bureaucrats: The Ottoman route to state centralization, Ithaca, 1994.

Bodman, Herbert, Political factions in Aleppo, 1760-1826, Chapel Hill, 1963.

Brummett, Palmira, Ottoman seapower and Levantine diplomacy in the age of discovery,

Albany, 1994.

Cohen, Amnon, Palestine in the 18th century, Jerusalem, 1973.

Economic life in Ottoman Jerusalem, Cambridge, 1989.

The guilds of Ottoman Jerusalem, Leiden, 2001.

Crecelius, Daniel, 'Egypt in the eighteenth century', in M. W. Daly (ed.), The Cambridge

history of Egypt, vol. II: Modern Egypt, from 1517 to the end of the twentieth century,

Cambridge, 1998, 59-86.

Doumani, Beshara, Rediscovering Palestine: Merchants and peasants in Jabal Nablus, 1700-1900,

Berkeley, 1995.

Establet, Colette, and Jean-Paul Pascual, Families et fortunes à Damas: 450 foyers damascains en

Lyon, Damascus, 1994.

Farooqi, Suraiya, Approaching Ottoman history: An introduction to the sources, Cambridge,

1999.

Griswold, William, The great Anatolian rebellion 1000-1020/ 1591-1611, Berlin, 1983.

Hathaway, Jane, The politics of households in Ottoman Egypt: The rise of the Qazdaghs,

Cambridge, 1997.

'Mamluk households' and 'Mamluk factions in Ottoman Egypt', in Thomas Philipp and

Ulrich Haarmann (eds.), The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society, Cambridge, 1998,

107-17.

A tale of two factions: Myth, memory and identity in Ottoman Egypt and Yemen, Albany,

2003.

Havemann, Axel, Geschichte und Geschichtsschreibung im Libanon des 19. und 20. Jahrhunderts:

Formen und Funktionen des historischen Selbstverständnisses, Beirut, 2002.

Holt, P. M., Egypt and the Fertile Crescent, 1516-1922: A political history, London, 1966.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Hourani, Albert, 'Ottoman reform and the politics of the notables', in William Polk and Richard Chambers (eds.), *Beginnings of modernization in the Middle East*, Chicago, 1968, 41-68.
Inalcik, Halil, and Donald Quataert (eds.), *An economic and social history of the Ottoman*

Empire 1300-1914, Cambridge, 1994.

Irwin, Robert, 'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom reconsidered', in Michael Winter and Amalia Levanoni (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society*, Brill, 2004, 117—39.

Khoury, Philip, 'Continuity and change in Syrian political life: The nineteenth and twentieth centuries', *American Historical Review*, 96 (1991), 1374-95.

Kunt, I. Metin, *The Sultan's servants: The transformation of Ottoman provincial government,*

1550-1650, New York, 1983.

Masters, Bruce, *The origins of Western economic dominance in the Middle East: Mercantilism and the Islamic economy in Aleppo, 1600-1750*, New York, 1988.

'The view from the province: Syrian chroniclers of the eighteenth century', *JAOS*, 114

(i994), 353-62.

Christians and Jews in the Ottoman Arab world: The roots of sectarianism, Cambridge, 2001.

Meriwether, Margaret, *The kin who count: Family and society in Ottoman Aleppo, 1770-1840,*

Austin, 1999.

Peirce, Leslie, *The imperial harem: Women and sovereignty in the Ottoman Empire*, Oxford, 1993.

Morality tales: Law and gender in the Ottoman court of Aintab, Berkeley, 2003.

Philipp, Thomas, *Acre: The rise and fall of a Palestinian city, 1730-1831*, New York, 2001.

Philipp, Thomas, and Ulrich Haarmann (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society,*

Cambridge, 1998.

Piterberg, Gabriel, 'The formation of an Ottoman Egyptian elite in the 18th century',

IJMES, 22 (1990), 275-89.

Rafeq, Abdul-Karim, *The province of Damascus, 1723-1783*, Beirut, 1966.

'The revolt of 'AUK Pasha Janbulad (1605-1607) in the contemporary Arabic sources and its significance', in VIII. Turk Tank Kongresi: Kongreye sunulan bildiriler, Ankara, 1983, vol. III, 1515-34.

Buhuthfi 'l-ta'rikh al-iqtisadiwa'l-ijtima'i li-bildd al-Shamfi 'l-'asr al-hadith, Damascus, 1985.

'The Syrian 'ulama', Ottoman law and Islamic Shari'a', *Turcica*, 26 (1994), 9-32.

Dirasat iqtisadiyya wa-ijtima'iyya fi ta^fikh bilad al-Sham al-hadith, Damascus, 2002.

Raymond, Andre, *Artisans et commercants au Caire au XVIIIe siecle*, 2 vols., Damascus, 1973-4.

The great Arab cities in the 16th-18th centuries: An introduction, New York, 1984.

'The population of Aleppo in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries', *IJMES*, 16 (1984), 447-60.

Schilcher, Linda, *Families in politics: Damascene factions and estates of the 18th and 19th*

centuries, Stuttgart, 1985.

Singer, Amy, *Palestinian peasants and Ottoman officials: Rural administration around sixteenth-century Jerusalem*, Cambridge, 1994.

Constructing Ottoman beneficence: An imperial soup kitchen in Jerusalem, Albany, 2002.

Tucker, Judith, *In riie house of the law: Gender and Islamic law in Ottoman Syria and Palestine*,

Berkeley, 1998.

Voll, John, 'Old ulama families and Ottoman influence in eighteenth century Damascus',

American Journal of Arabic Studies, 3 (1975), 48-59.

Watanpaugh, Heghnar Zeitlian, *The image of an Ottoman city: Imperial architecture and urban*

experience in Aleppo in the 16th and 17th centuries, Leiden, 2004.

Winter, Michael, 'The Ottoman occupation', in Carl F. Petry (ed.), *The Cambridge history of*

Egypt, vol. I, Cambridge, 1998, 490-516.

Chapter 15: Western Arabia and Yemen during the Ottoman Period

Practical suggestions for further reading

'Abd al-Gham, Arif, *Ta'rikh umara' Makka al-mukarrama*, Damascus, 1992.

'Arif Td'rthkh umara' *al-Madina al-munawwara*, Damascus, 1996.

Abir, Mordechai, *Ethiopia and the Red Sea: The rise and decline of the Solomonic dynasty and*

Muslim-European rivalry in the region, London, 1980.

Alam, Muzaffar and Sanjay Subrahmanyam, *Indo-Persian travels in the age of discoveries*,

1400-1800, Cambridge, 2007.

Bacque-Grammont, Jean-Louis, and Anne Kroell, *Mamelouks, Ottomans et Portugais en mer*

rouge ou Vaffaire de Djedda en 1517, Cairo, 1988.

Becker, Hans et al. (eds.), *Kaffee aus Arabien*, Wiesbaden, 1979.

Beckingham, C. F., 'Dutch travelers in the seventeenth century in Arabia', *JRAS*, 1 (1951),

64-81; 2 (1952), 170-80.

Blackburn, J. Richard, 'The collapse of Ottoman authority in Yemen', *Die Welt des Islams*, 19

(1979), 119-76.

'The Ottoman penetration of Yemen: An annotated translation of Ozdemir Bey's

Fethname for the conquest of San'a in Rajab, 954/ Aug. 1547', *Archivum Ottomanicum*,

5 (1980), 70-89.

Arabic and Turkish source materials for the early history of Ottoman Yemen 945/

1538 - 976/1568', in Abd al-Rahman b. al-Ansary (ed.), Sources for the history of the Arabs,

2 vols., Riyadh, 1982, vol. II, 197-210.

Brouwer, C. G., al-Mukha, Profile of a Yemeni seaport, Amsterdam, 1997.

Commins, David, The Wahhabi mission and Saudi Arabia, London, 2006.

Cook, Michael, 'On the origins of Wahhabism', JRAS, 2, 2 (1992), 191-202.

Das Gupta, Ashin, Indian merchants and the decline of Surat c. 1700-1750, New Delhi, 1994.

De Gaury, Gerald, The rulers of Mecca, New York, 1991.

al-Fahad, Abdulaziz H., 'The 'imama vs. the 'iqal: Hadari-Bedouin conflict and the formation of the Saudi state', in Madawi al-Rasheed and Robert Vitalis (eds.), Counter-narratives: History, contemporary society, and politics in Saudi Arabia and Yemen,

New York, 2004.

al-Harbi, Fa'iz ibn Musa al-Badrani, Eusul min ta'rtkh qabilat Harbft 'l-Hijaz wa Najd, Riyadh,

1420/1999.

Haridi, Muhammad ibn 'Abd al-Latff, Shu'un al-haramayn al-shartfayn ft 'l-'ahd al-'uthmdni,

Cairo, 1989.

Hunwick, J. O., 'Salih al-Fullam (1752/3-1803): The career and teachings of a West

African 'alim in Medina', in A. H. Green (ed.), In quest of an Islamic humanism,

Cairo, 1986.

Hurgronje, C. Snouck, Mekka, 2 vols., The Hague, 1888.

Inalcik, Halil, 'The India trade', in Halil Inalcik and Donald Quataert (eds.), An economic and

social history of the Ottoman Empire, 1300-1914, Cambridge, 1994.

Bibliography

Kammerer, Albert, *La mer rouge, l'Abyssinie et l'Arabie aux XVIe et XVIIe siècles et la*

cartographie des portulans du monde oriental, 3 vols., Cairo, 1947-52.

Klaric, Tomislav, 'Chronologie de Yemen (1045-1131/ 1635-1719)',
Chroniques Yemenites, 9

(2001) (cy.revues.org/document36.html).

Kursun, Zekeriya, *Necid ve ahsa'da Osmanlı hâkimiyeti: Vehhabi hareketi ve Suud devleti'nin*

ortaya çıkışı, Ankara, 1998.

Macro, Eric, *Yemen and the western world*, London, 1968.

al-Maddah, Amlra 'All, *Al-'Uthmâniyyun wa'l-imam al-Qasim ibn Muhammad ibn 'Ali fî* 1-

Yaman, Jeddah, 1982.

Mandaville, JonE., 'The Ottoman province of al-Hasa in the sixteenth and seventeenth

centuries', *JAOS*, 90, 3 (1970), 486-513.

Marmon, S., *Eunuchs and sacred boundaries in Islamic society*, New York and Oxford, 1995.

Mortel, Richard T., 'Zaydi Shi'ism and the Hasanid Sharifs of Mecca',
IJMES, 19 (1987),

455-72.

Niebuhr, M., *Travels through Arabia and other countries in the East*, 2 vols., trans.

Robert Heron, Edinburgh, 1792; photo-reprint, Reading, 1994.

O'Fahey, R. S., and Bernd Radtke, 'Neo-Sufism reconsidered', *Der Islam*, 70, 1 (1993), 52-87.

Orhonlu, Cengiz, *Osmanlı İmparatorluğunun giney siyaseti: Habes eyaleti*, 2nd edn, Istanbul,

1996.

Peskes, Esther, *Muhammad b. 'Abd al-Wahhab im Widerstreit*, Beirut, 1993.

Playfair, R., *A history of Arabia Felix or Yemen*, Bombay, 1859.

al-Qasim, Husam al-Din, *Ta'rikh al-yaman 'asr al-istiqlâl 'an al-hukm al-'uthmâni al-awwal*,

San'a', n.d.

al-Rasheed, Madawi, *A history of Saudi Arabia*, Cambridge, 2002.

Salim, Sayyid Mustafa, al-Mu 'arrikhun al-yamaniyyun fi 'l-'ahd al-'uthmnani al-awwal 1538-

1635, Cairo, 1971.

al-Fath al-'Uthmdni al-awwal lil-Yaman 1538-1635, 3rd edn, Cairo, 1977.

Schuman, L. O., Political history of the Yemen at the beginning of the 16th century according to

contemporary Arabic sources, Amsterdam, 1961.

Serjeant, R. B., The Portuguese off the South Arabian coast: Hadrami chronicles, Beirut, 1974.

'The post-medieval and modern history of San'a' and the Yemen, ca. 953-1382 /1515-1962',

in R. B. Serjeant, and R. Lewcock (eds.), San'a': An Arabian Islamic city, London, 1983.

al-Sibal Ahmad, Ta'rikh Makka, 2 vols., Riyadh, 1999.

Tritton, A. S., The rise of the imams of Sanaa, Oxford, 1925.

Tuchscherer, Michel, 'Le commerce en Mer Rouge aux alentours de 1700', in

Yves Thoraval et al. (eds.), Le Yemen et la Mer Rouge, Paris, 1995.

'Chronologie de Yemen (1506-1635)', Chroniques Yemenites, 8 (2000) ([cy.revues.org/docu-](http://cy.revues.org/docu-mentn.html)

[mentn.html](http://cy.revues.org/docu-mentn.html)).

Uzuncarsli, Ismail Hakki, Mekke-i Miikerreme Emirleri, Ankara, 1972.

Yavuz, Hululi, Yemen'de Osmanlı hdkimiyeti (1517-1571), Istanbul, 1984.

Primary sources

al-'Aydarus, 'Abd al-Qadir, Ta'rikh al-niir al-safir 'an akhbar al-qarn al-'ashir, Beirut, 1985.

Burckhardt, John Lewis, Travels in Arabia, London, 1829.

Celebi, Evliya, Seyahatname, 10 vols., Istanbul, 1896-1938.

Dahlan, Ahmad Zayni, Khulasat al-kaldfibaydn umard' al-balad al-haram, Cairo, 1305/ 1888.

Ibn Bishr, 'Uthman ibn 'Abd Allah, 'Unwan al-majd fi ta'rikh Najd, 2 vols., Riyadh, 1982.

Ibn Ghannam, Husayn, TcCrikh Najd, Beirut, 1985.

al-Jabarti, 'Abd al-Rahman, 'AjaHb al-dthdr fi 'l-tardjim wa'l-akhbar, 4 vols., Cairo, 1997-8.

Jahhaf, Lutf Allah ibn Ahmad, ' Durar nuhiir al-hur al-'mfi sirat al-imdm al-Mansiir' , ms. San'a',

Gharbiyya Library, ta'rikh no. 86.

al-jarmuzl, al-Mutahhar ibn Muhammad, 'al-Jawhara al-munlra fi akhbar mawlana

wa-imamina al-imam al-Mu'ayyad bi-llah Muhammad', ms. San'a', Sharqiyya

Library, nos. 2133 and 2134.

'Kitab al-nubdha al-mushira ildjurnal min 'uyun al-sira', ms. photo-reproduction, San'a', n.d.

La Roque, Jean de, A voyage to Arabia the Happy, London, 1726.

al-Mawza'i, 'Abd al-Samad ibn Isma'Tl, al-Ihsan fi dukhul mandakat al-Yaman taht zill 'adalat

Al 'Uthman, in Frederique Soudan, Le Yemen ottoman d'apres ki chronique d'al-Mawza'i,

Cairo, 1999.

al-Muhibbi, Muhammad, Khulasat al-atharfi a'yan al-qarn al-hadi 'ashar, 4 vols., n.p., n.d.

al-Mutawakkil Isma'Il ibn al-Qasim, 'Kitab taftih absar al-quddt ila azhar al-mastiil al-murtaddt' ,

ms. Gharbiyya Library, 'ilm al-kalam, no. 134.

al-NahrawalT, Qutb al-DTn Muhammad ibn Ahmad, al-Barq al-Yamdm fi'l-fath al-' Uthmdni,

Beirut, 1986.

Kitab al-I'lam bi-a'Xam bayt Allah al-haram, Cairo, 2004.

Sharaf al-Dm, 'Isa ibn Lutf Allah, Rawh al-ruhfi-ma hadatha ba'da al-m?a al-tasi'a minfitan

wa'l-futuh, San'a', 2003.

al-Shawkani, Muhammad ibn 'All, al-Badr al-tdli' bi-mahdsin man ba'd al-qarn al-sabi', 2 vols.,

ed. Muhammad Zabara, Photo-reprint of 1348/ 1929 edn. Beirut, n.d.

Smith, Clive K., *Lightning over Yemen: A history of the Ottoman campaign (1569-71)*, London, 2002.

Valentia, George, Viscount, *Voyages and travels to India, Ceylon, the Red Sea, Abyssinia and*

Egypt, 3 vols., London, 1809.

al-Wazir, 'Abd Allah ibn 'All, *Ta'fikh tabaq al-halwd wa suhdf al-mann wa'l-salwa*, ed.

Muhammad Jazim, *San'a'*, 1985.

Yahya ibn al-Husayn, *Ghdyat al-amdn fi akhbar al-qutr al-Yamdm*, ed. Sa'Id 'Ashur, 2 vols.,

Cairo, 1968.

Secondary sources

Azra, Azyumardi, *The origins of Islamic reformism in Southeast Asia: Networks of Malay-Indonesian and Middle Eastern 'ulam' in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries*, Crows Nest, Australia, 2004.

Blukacz, Francois, 'Les relations politiques des imams zaidites du Yemen avec le Hedjaz au XVIIe siecle', *memoire de D.E.A., Universite de Paris-Sorbonne (Paris IV)* (1993).

Brummett, Palmira J., *Ottoman seapower and Levantine diplomacy in the age of discovery*, Albany, 1994.

Casale, Giancarlo L., 'The Ottoman age of exploration: Spices, maps and conquest in the sixteenth-century Indian Ocean', *Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University* (2004).
'The Ottoman administration of the spice trade in the sixteenth-century Red Sea and Persian Gulf', *JESHO*, 49, 2 (2006), 170-98.

Bibliography

Dallal, Ahmad, 'The origins and objectives of Islamic Revivalist thought, 1750-1850', JAOS

113, 3 (1993), 341-59-

Faroghi, Suraiya, Pilgrims and sultans: The hajj under the Ottomans, London, 1994.

Guilmartin, John F., Gunpowder and galleys: Changing technology and Mediterranean warfare at

sea in the 16th century, London, 2003.

Haykel, Bernard, Revival and reform in Islam: The legacy of Muhammad al-Shawkani,

Cambridge, 2003.

Lesure, Michel, 'Un document ottoman de 1525 sur l'Inde portugaise et les pays de la Mer

Rouge', Mare Luso-Indicum, 3 (1976), 137-60.

al-Maqball, Salih, al-'Alam al-shamikh, San'a', 1985.

Ozbaran, Salih, The Ottoman response to European expansion, Istanbul, 1994.

Raymond, Andre, 'Le cafe du Yemen et l'Egypte (XVIIeme-XVIIIeme siecles)', Chroniques

Yemenites, 3 (1995), 16—25.

Soudan, Frederique, Le Yemen ottoman d'apres la chronique d'al-Mawza'i, Cairo, 1999.

Tuchscherer, Michel, 'Des epices au cafe, le Yemen dans le commerce international

(XVIe-XVIIe siecles)', Chroniques Yemenites, 4-5 (1996-7), 92-102.

Voll, John, 'Linking groups in the networks of eighteenth-century revivalist scholars', in

Nehemiah Levtzion and John Voll (eds.), Eighteenth-century renewal and reform in Islam,

Syracuse, NY, 1987.

Chapter 16: Sharifian rule in Morocco (tenth-twelfth/sixteenth-eighteenth centuries)

Practical suggestions for further reading

Beck, Herman, L'image d'Idris II, ses descendants de Fas et la politique sharifienne des sultans

marinides, 636-869/1238-1465, New York, 1989.

Berque, Jacques, Ulemas, fondateurs, insurges du Maghreb, XVIIe siecle, Paris, 1981.

Brett, Michael, and Elizabeth Fentress, The Berbers, Oxford, 1996.

Carvalho, Vasco de, La domination portugaise au Maroc du XVeme au XVIIIeme siecle

(1415-1769), Lisbon, 1942.

Cenival, Pierre de, Chronique de Santa Cruz do Cap de Gue, Paris, 1934.

'Les emirs Hintata a Marrakech', Hesperis, 17 (1937), 246-54.

Combs-Schilling, M. Elaine, Sacred performances: Islam, sexuality, and sacrifice, New York,

1989.

Cory, Stephen, 'Chosen by God to rule: The caliphate and political legitimacy in

early modern Morocco', Ph.D. thesis, University of California Santa Barbara (2002).

Cour, Auguste, L'etablissement des dynasties des cherifs au Maroc et leur rivalite avec les Turcs de

la regence d' Alger, 1509-1830, repr. Abdelmajid Kaddouri, Paris, 2004.

Dakhliya, Jocelyn, 'Dans la mouvance du prince: La symbolique du pouvoir itinerant au

Maghreb', Annales ESC, 43 (1988), 735-60.

Deverdun, Gaston, Inscriptions arabes de Marrakech, Rabat, 1956.

Marrakech des origines a 1912, 2 vols., Rabat, 1959.

El Moudden, Abderrahmane, 'Sharifs and Padishahs: Moroccan-Ottoman relations from

the 16th through the 18th centuries; contributions to the study of a diplomatic culture',

Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University (1992).

Bibliography

'The ideal of the caliphate between Moroccans and Ottomans: Political and symbolical

stakes in the 16th and 17th century Maghrib', SI, 82 (1995), 103-12.

El Moudden, Abderrahman (ed.), *Le Maghreb a Vepoque ottomane*, Rabat, 1995.

HajjT, Muhammad, *L'activite intellectuelle au Maroc a Vepoque sa'adide*, 2 vols., Rabat, 1976-7.

Hammoudi, Abdellah, *Master and disciple: The cultural foundations of Moroccan authoritarianism*, Chicago, 1997.

Handayn, Muhammad, *al-Makhzan wa-Sus, 1672-1822: Musahama fi dirdsat ta'rikh 'aldqdt*

al-dawlah bi'l-jihah, Rabat, 2005.

Harakat, Brahim, 'Le Makhzan saadien', ROMM, 15-16 (1973), 43-60.

Hess, Andrew Christie, *The forgotten frontier*, Chicago, 1977.

Jacques-Meunie, Denise, *Le Maroc saharien des origines au XVIe siecle*, Paris, 1982.

Jenkins, R. G., 'The evolution of religious brotherhoods in North and Northwest Africa

1523-1900', in J. R. Willis (ed.), *Studies in West African Islamic history*, London, 1979,

4°-77-

Kaba, Lansine, *Archers, musketeers, and mosquitos: The Moroccan invasion of the Sudan*

and the Songhay resistance (1591-1612)', JAH, 22 (1981), 457-75.

Kurayyim, 'Abd al-Karim, *al-Maghrib fi ' ahd al-dawla al-Sa'diyya*, Casablanca, 1978.

Levi-Provençal, Evariste, *Les historiens des chorfa: Essai sur la litterature historique et biogra-*

phique au Maroc du XVI au XX siecle, Paris, 1922.

Le Maroc et l'Afrique subsaharienne aux debuts des temps modernes: Colloque international

Marrakech, 23-25 Octobre 1992, Rabat, 1995.

Mezzine, Muhammad, *Fas wa-badiyatahd musahama fita'rikh al-Maghrib al-Sa'di, 1549-1637*,

2 vols., Rabat, 1986.

Milton, Giles, *White gold: The extraordinary story of Thomas Fellow and North Africa's one*

million European slaves, London, 2004.

Mougin, L., 'Les premiers sultans sa'adides et le Sahara', *ROMM*, 20 (1975), 169-87.

Nekrouf Younes, *Une amitie orageuse: Moulay Isma'il et Louis XIV*, Paris, 1987.

Pianel, Georges, 'Les preliminaires de la conquete de Soudan par Mawlay Ahmad

al-Mansur', *Hesperis*, 40 (1953), 185-97.

al-Qadduri, Abd al-Majid, *Ton Abi Mahalli alfaqih al-tha'ir wa rihlatuhu al-Islit al-khirrit*,

Rabat, 1991.

Ricard, Robert, *Etudes sur l'histoire des Portugais au Maroc*, Coimbra, 1955.

Rodriguez Mediano, Fernando, 'L'amour, la justice et la crainte dans les recits hagiogra-

phiques marocains', *SI*, 90 (2000), 85-104.

Rosenberger, Bernard, and Hamid Triki, 'Famines et epidemies au Maroc aux XVIe and

XVIIe siecles', *Hesperis-Tamuda*, 14 (1973), 109-76; 15 (1974), 5-103.

Staples, Eric, 'Intersections: Power, religion and technology in seventeenth-century Sale-

Rabat', Ph.D. thesis, University of California Santa Barbara (2008).

Le Tourneau, Roger, 'Fes et la naissance du pouvoir sa'adien', *AA*, 18, 2 (1953), 271-94.

Les debuts de la dynastie sa'dienne jusqu'a la mort du sultan M'hammed ech-Cheikh,

Bibliothèque de l'Institut d'Etudes Supérieures Islamiques d'Alger 9, Algiers, 1954.

'La decadence sa'dienne et l'anarchie marocaine au XVII siecle', *Annales de la Faculté des*

Lettres d'Aix, 32 (1958), 187-225.

Veronne, Chantal de la, 'Relations entre le Maroc et la Turquie dans la seconde moitié du

XVI et le XVII siecle', *ROMM*, 15-16 (1973), 391-401.

Vie de Moulay Isma'il, roi de Fes et de Maroc d'après Joseph de Leon (1708-1728), Paris, 1974.

Bibliography

Primary sources

Akansus, Muhammad ibn Ahmad, *Al-Jaysh al-'aramram al-khumdsi*, 2 vols., lithographed

edn, Fez, 1336 /1918.

Anonymous, *Ta'rikh al-dawla al-Sa'diyya al-Takmaddrtiyya*, ed. 'Abd al-Rahlm Benhadda,

Marrakesh, 1994.

Castries, Henry de, *Une description du Maroc sous le regne de Ahmad al-Mansour (1596)*, Paris,

1909.

Castries, Henry de, Pierre de Cenival, Robert Ricard, Chantal de la Veronne and Philipp de

Cosse Brissac (eds.), *Les sources inedites de l'histoire du Maroc de 1530 a 184*}. *Premiere serie*,

dynastie saadienne (1530-1660), Paris, 1905ff; *Archives et bibliotheques d'Angleterre*, 3 vols.,

London and Paris, 1918-36; *Archives et bibliotheques de France*, 3 vols., Paris, 1905-11;

Archives et bibliotheques de Pays-bas, 6 vols., Paris and The Hague, 1906-23; *Archives et*

bibliotheques de Portugal, 5 vols., Paris, 1934-53; *Archives et bibliotheques d'Espagne*, 3 vols.,

Paris, 1921-61. *Deuxieme serie, dynastie filalienne (1660-1757)*, *Archives et bibliotheques de*

France, 6 vols., (1660-1718), Paris, 1922-60; vol. VII (1718-25), Tangier, 1970.

Cigar, Norman (ed. and trans.), *Muhammad al-Qddiri's Nashr al-mathdm: The chronicles*,

London, 1981.

al-Du'ayyif, Muhammad ibn 'Abd al-Salam, Ta'nkh, MS. D660 Rabat General Library.

El Fasi, Muhammad, 'Lettres inedites de Moulay Ismael', Hesperis-Tamuda, special issue

(1962), 31-86.

Farinha, Antonio Dias (ed.), Cronica de Almancor, Sultao de Marrocos (1578-1603), de Antonio de

Saldanha, trans. Leon Bourdon, Lisbon, 1997.

al-Fishtali, Abu Faris Abd al-'Aziz, Mandhil al-safd fi ma'dthir mawalma al-shurafd, ed. 'Abd

al-Karim Kurayyim, Rabat, 1973.

Gannun, 'Abd Allah, Rasffil al-Sa'diyya, Tetouan, 1954.

Garcia-Arenal, Mercedes, Fernando Rodriguez Mediano and Rachid El Hour, Cartas

marruecas: Documentos de Marruecos en archivos espanoles (siglos XVI — XVII), Madrid,

2002.

Ibn al-Qadi, Ahmad, Durrat al-hijalfi asma l al-rijal, ed. Muhammad al-Ahmadl Abu 'l-Nur,

2 vols., Cairo, 1972.

al-Muntaqa al-maqsur 'aid ma'dthir al-khalifa Abi 'l-'Abbds al-Mansur, ed. Muhammad

Razzuq, Rabat, 1986.

al-Ifrani, Muhammad al-Saghir ibn al-Hajj , Nuzhat al-hddi bi akhbdr muluk al-qarn al-hddi, ed.

Abd al-Lapf al-Shadhill, Casablanca, 1998; ed. in Arabic and French trans. Octave

Houdas, Nozhet-Elhadi: Histoire de la dynastie saadienne (iyii-1670), Paris, 1888-9.

Leo Africanus (Hasan ibn Muhammad al-Wazzan), Description de VAfrique, trans.

A. Epaulard, 2 vols., Paris, 1956.

al-Maqqarl, Ahmad ibn Muhammad, Rawdat al-as al-'dtira al-anfis fi dhikr man laqitahu min

d'ldm al-hadratayn Marrdkush wa Fas, ed. A. Benmansour, Rabat, 1983.

Miller, Susan Gilson (trans, and ed.), *Disorienting encounters: Travels of a Moroccan scholar in*

France in 1845-1846, Berkeley, 1992.

al-Nasiri, Ahmad ibn Khalid, *Kitab al-istiḡsd li-akhbār duwal al-Maghrib al-aḡsd*,

vols. V-VII, ed. Muhammad Hajjī, Ibrahim Butalib and Ahmad al-Tawfiq,

Casablanca, 2001.

San Juan del Puerto, Francisco de, *Mision historica de Marruecos*, Seville, 1708.

775

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

al-Tamagrutl, 'All ibn Muhammad, *Al-Nafha al-miskiyya fi 'l-sifdra al-turkiyya*, ed. Abd

al-Latif al-Shadhil, Rabat, 2002; ed. and trans. H. de Castries, *Relation d'une ambassade*

marocaine en Turquie, 1589-1591, Paris, 1929.

Tbaili, Abd al-Hafiz (ed.), *'Masdar 'Uthmani hawla ta'rikh al-Maghrib al-Sa'dl*, Al-Bahr al-

zakhar wa'l-'alim al-tayyar li-mu'allifihi Mustafa al-Janabi', al-Ta'rikh al-'Arabi, 197-233.

Torres, Diego de, *Relacion del origen y suceso de los xarifes y del estado de hs reinos de*

Marruecos, Fez y Tarudante, indexed, with notes by Mercedes Garcia-Arenal, Madrid,

1980.

al-Zayyani, Abu 'l-Qasim Ahmad ibn 'All ibn Ibrahim, *'al-Bustan al-zarif fi dawlat awlad*

Mawlay AK al-Sharif , MS. K257, D1275, Rabat General Library.

al-Turjumdn al-Mu'rib 'an duwal al-Mashriq wa'l-Maghrib, trans. O. Houdas, Le Maroc de

1631 a 1812, Paris, 1886. The Sa'dian section of this work ed. and trans. Roger

Le Tourneau, 'Histoire de la dynastie sa'adide', ROMM, 23 (1977), 1-107.

Secondary sources

Abun Nasr, Jamil M., A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period, Cambridge, 1987.

Bakker, Johan de, 'Slaves, arms, and holy war: Moroccan policy vis-a-vis the Dutch

Republic during the establishment of the 'AlawT dynasty (1660-1727)', Ph.D. thesis,

University of Amsterdam (1991).

Benchekroun, Mohamed B. A., La vie intellectuelle marocaine sous les Marinides et les Wattdsids

(XHle, XVe, XVe, XVIe siecles), Rabat, 1974.

Cabanelas (Rodriguez), P. Dario, 'Proyecto de alianza entre los sultanes de Marruecos y

Turquia contra Felipe II', MEAH, 6 (1957), 57-75.

'Cartas del Sultan de Marruecos Ahmad al-Mansur a Felipe II', AA, 23 (1958), 19-47.

'El problema de Larache en tiempos de Felipe II', MEAH, 9 (i960), 19-53.

'El caid marroqui Abd al-Karim ibn Tuda, refugiado en la Espafia de Felipe II', MEAH,

12-13 (1964), 75-88.

'Diego Marin, agente de Felipe II en Marruecos', MEAH, 21 (1972), 7-35.

'El duque de Medina Sidonia y las relaciones entre Marruecos y Espafia en tiempos de

Felipe II', MEAH, 23 (1974), 7-27.

Cook, Weston F., Jr., The hundred years war for Morocco: Gunpowder and the military revolution

in the early modern Muslim world, Boulder, 1994.

Cornell, Vincent J., 'Socioeconomic dimensions of reconquista and jihad in Morocco:

Portuguese Dukkala and Sa'did Sus, 1450-1557', IJMES, 22 (1990), 379-418.

Realm of the saint: Power and authority in Moroccan Sufism, Austin, 1998.

Cour, Auguste, La dynastie marocaine des Beni Wattds, 1420-1554, Constantine, 1920.

El Mansour, Mohamed, Morocco in the reign of Mawlay Sulayman, Wisbech, 1990.

Garcia-Arenal, Mercedes, 'The revolution of Fas in 869/1465 and the death of sultan Abd al-Haqq al-Marini', BSOAS, 41 (1978), 43-66.
 Messianism and puritanical reform: Mahdis of the Muslim West, trans. Martin Beagles, Leiden, 2006.
 Hunwick, John, Timbuktu and the Songhay Empire: Al-Sa'di's Ta'rikh al-sudan down to 1613 and

other contemporary documents, Leiden, 1999.
 Julien, Charles Andre, History of North Africa from the Arab conquest to 1830, trans. John Petrie, ed. C. C. Stewart, New York, 1970.

776

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Laroui, Abdallah, The history of the Maghrib: An interpretative essay, trans. Ralph Manheim,

Princeton, 1977.

Matar, Nabil, Britain and Barbary, 1589-1689, Gainesville, 2005.

Meyers, Allan R., 'The Abid al-Bukhari: Slave soldiers and statecraft in Morocco,

1672-1790', Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University (1974).

'Class, ethnicity, and slavery: The origins of the Moroccan Abid', IJAHS, 10 (1977), 427-42.

'Slave soldiers and state politics in early AlawT Morocco, 1668-1727', IJAHS, 16 (1983),

39-48.

Munson, Henry, Jr., Religion and power in Morocco, New Haven, 1993.

Ricard, Robert, 'Le commerce de Berberie et l'organisation economique de l'empire

portugais aux ise et i6e siecles', Annales de ITnstitut d'Etudes Orientales, 2 (1936),

266-85.

Touati, H, 'L'arbre du Prophete. Prophetisme, ancestralite et politique au Maghreb',

REMMM, 91-4 (2000), 148-52.

Weiner, Jerome Bruce, 'Fitna, corsairs, and diplomacy: Morocco and the maritime states of

Western Europe, 1603-1672', Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (1976).

Willis, John Ralph, 'Morocco and the Western Sudan: Fin de siecle - fin de temps. Some

aspects of religion and culture to 1600', *Maghreb Review*, 14, 1-2 (1989), 91-5.

Yahya, Dahiru, *Morocco in the sixteenth century: Problems and patterns in an African foreign*

policy, Harlow, 1981.

Chapter 17: West Africa (tenth-twelfth/
sixteenth-eighteenth centuries)

Practical suggestions for further reading

Azumah, J. A., *The legacy of Arab-Islam, in Africa: A quest for inter-religious dialogue*, Oxford,

2001.

Brett, M., 'Islam and trade in the Bilad al-Sudan', *JAH*, 24, 4 (1983), 431-40.

Davidson, D., *A history of West Africa 1000-1800*, London, 1965.

Eisenstadt, S. N. et al. (eds.), *The early state in African perspective*, Leiden, 1988.

El Mansour, Mohamed, and Fatima Harrak (eds.), *A Fulani jihadist in the Maghrib: Admonition*

of Ahmad Ibn al-Qadi at-Timbukti to the rulers of Tunisia and Morocco, Rabat, 2000.

Hiskett, M., 'Material relating to the state of learning among the Fulani before their Jihad',

BSOAS, 19 (1957), 550-78.

The sword of truth: The life and times of the Shehu Usman dan Fodio, New York, 1973.

Hunwick, J., and E. T. Powell, *The African diaspora in the Mediterranean lands of Islam*,

Princeton, 2002.

Insoll, T., *The archeology of Islam in sub-Saharan Africa*, Cambridge, 2003.

Last, M., The Sokoto Caliphate, London, 1967.

Levtzion, N. (ed.), Conversion to Islam, New York and London, 1979.
OBwald, R., Das Sokoto-Kalifat und seine ethnischen Grundlagen: Eine Untersuchung zum

Aufstand des 'Abd as-Salam, Beirut and Wiesbaden, 1986.
Reichmuth, S., 'Islamic education and scholarship in Sub-Saharan Africa',
in

N. Levtzion and R. I. Pouwels (eds.), The history of Islam in Africa,
Athens, Ohio,

2000, 419-40.

777

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Smaldone, J. P., Warfare in the Sokoto Caliphate: Historical and
sociological perspectives,

Cambridge, 1977.

Vikor, K. S., 'Sufi brotherhoods in Africa', in N. Levtzion and R. I.
Pouwels (eds.), The

history of Islam in Africa, Athens, (Ohio), 2000, 441-76.

Wilks, I., Wa and the Wala, Cambridge, 1989.

Primary sources

Forkl, H., Politik zwischen den Zeilen: Arabische Handschriften der
Wandald in Nordkamerun,

Berlin, 1995.

Hunwick, J., and F. Harrak (eds.), Mi'raj al-su'ud: Ahmad Baba's replies
on slavery, Rabat,

2000.

Jobson, R., The golden trade or the discovery of the river Gambia and the
golden trade of the

Aethiopians: Set down as they were collected in travelling part of the years 1620 and 1621,

London, 1932.

Ibn Fartuwa, Ta'rikh Mai Idris wa-ghazawdtihi, trans. H. R. Palmer, The Kanem Wars, in

H. R. Palmer, Sudanese memoirs, 3 vols., London, 1967, vol. I, 15-72.

Leo Africanus (al-Hasan ibn Muhammad al-Wazzan al-Fasi), Descripcion de Africa y de las

cosas notables que en ella se encuentran, Madrid, 1952.

Levtzion, N. (ed., trans, and comm.), 'Ta'rikh Ghunja', in I. Wilks, N. Levtzion and

B. M. Haight (eds.), Chronicles from Gonja, Cambridge, 1986, 146-71.

Mahmud Ka'ti ibn al-Hajj al-Mutawakkil Ka'ti, Ta'rikh al-fattash, Paris, 1964.

Muhammad Balu, Infaq al-maysur fl bilad al-Takrur, ed. and annot. B. al-Shadhili, Rabat,

1996.

Palmer, H. R., Sudanese memoirs, 3 vols., London, 1967 (New impression).

Park, Mungo, Travels in the interior districts of Africa, ed. with intro K. F. Masters, Durham

and London, 2000.

al-Sa'di, Abd al-Rahman b. Abd Allah, Ta'rikh al-sudan, ed. and trans. O. Houdas, Paris, 1964.

Secondary sources

Bovill, E. W., The golden trade of the Moors, 2nd edn, Oxford, 1978.

Green, K. L., 'Dyula and Sonongui roles in the Islamization of the region of Kong', in

N. Levtzion and H.J. Fisher (eds.), Rural and urban Islam in West Africa, Boulder and

London, 1987, 97-117.

Hunwick, J., Sharfa in Songhay, Oxford, 1985.

Timbuktu and the Songhay Empire: Al-Sa'dis Ta'rikh al-sudan down to 1613 and other

contemporary documents, Leiden and Boston, 2003.

Levtzion, N., *Islam in West Africa: Religion, society and politics to 1800*, Aldershot and

Brookfield, 1994.

'Islam in the Bilad al-Sudan to 1800', in N. Levtzion and R. I. Pouwels (eds.), *The history of*

Islam in Africa, Athens, Ohio, 2000, 61-91.

Levtzion, N. and H.J. Fisher (eds.), *Rural and urban Islam in West Africa*, Boulder and

London, 1987.

Levtzion, N., and R. I. Pouwels (eds.), *The history of Islam in Africa*, Athens, Ohio, 2000.

Robinson, D., 'Revolutions in the Western Sudan', in N. Levtzion and R. I. Pouwels (eds.),

The history of Islam in Africa, Athens, Ohio, 2000, 131-52.

77«

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Trimingham, J. S., *A history of Islam in West Africa*, London, 1962.

Willis, J. R., *Studies in West African history*, vol. I: *The cultivators of Islam*, London, 1979.

In the path of Allah: The passion of Al-Hajj 'Umar, London, 1989.

Chapter 18: Ottoman Maghrib

Practical suggestions for further reading

Ashbee, Henry S., *A bibliography of Tunisia from the earliest times to the end of 1888*, London,

1889.

Grangaud, Isabelle, *La ville imprenable: Une histoire sociale de Constantine au i8e sieck*, Paris,

2002.

Hoexter, M., Endowments, rulers and community: Waqf al-Haramayn in Ottoman Algiers,

Leiden, 1998.

Malki, Noredine, 'Bibliographie critique sur l'histoire de l'Algerie (XVIe siecle a 1830)',

Cahiers Maghrebins d'Histoire, special issue (March 1989).

Mantran, Robert, Inventaire des documents d'archives turcs du Dar al Bey (Tunis), Paris and

Tunis, 1961.

Moalla, A., The Regency of Tunis and the Ottoman Porte, 1777-1814, London and New York,

2004.

Playfair, Robert L., Bibliography of the Barbary States, Part I: Tripoli and Cyrenaica, London,

1889; Part II: A Bibliography of Tunisia, H. S. Ashbee; Part III: A bibliography of Algeria,

London, 1888.

Rouard de Card, E., Bibliographie des ouvrages relatifs a la Berberie au XVIIe et au XVIIIe siecles,

Paris, 1911, supplement 1917.

Soucek, S., 'The rise of the Barbarossas in North Africa', Archivum Ottomanicum, 3 (1973),

238-50.

Taillard, Charles, L'Algerie dans la litterature francaise: Essai de bibliographie methodique et

raisonnee jusqu'd Vannee 1924, Champion, 1925.

Temimi, Abdeldjelil, Inventaire sommaire des registres arabes et turcs d'Alger, Publications de la

Revue d'Histoire Maghrebine, Tunis, 1979.

Tlili, Bechir, Etudes d'histoire sociale tunisienne au XIXe siecle, Tunis, 1974.

Tubet-Delof, Guy, Bibliographie critique du Maghreb dans la litterature francaise, 1532-171;,

Algiers, 1976.

de la Veronne, C, Oran et Tlemcen dans la premiere moitie du XVIe sieck, Paris, 1983.

Primary sources

D'Arvieux, Laurent, *Memoires*, 6 vols., Paris, 1735.

Devoulx, Albert, *Tachrifat: Recueil des notes historiques sur l'administration de l'ancienne regence d'Alger*, Algiers, 1852.

'Le registre des prises maritimes', *RA*, 15 (1871), 70-79, and 16 (1872), 35-45.

Le registre des prises maritimes: Traduction d'un document authentique et inédit concernant le

partage des captures amenées par les corsaires algériens, Algiers, 1872.

Feraud, L. Charles, *Annales tripolitaines*, Paris, 1927, repr. Paris and Algiers, 2001.

Grammond, Henri D. de, 'Correspondance des consuls d'Alger, 1690-1742', *RA*, 21 (1887-9).

779

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Grandchamp, Pierre, 'Documents concernant la course dans la regence de Tunis de 1764 à

1769 et de 1783 à 1843', *Cahier de Tunisie*, 19-20 (1957), 269-340.

Hasan al-Faqih Hasan, *Yawmiyyat libiyya*, Tripoli, 1984.

Ibn Khaldun, *Le Livre des Exemples: Muqaddima*, ed. and trans. A. Cheddadi, Paris, 2002.

Ibn al-Mu'tl, *Taqyid*, trans. A. Devoulx, in *BA*, vol. X (1866).

Ibn Yusuf (Mohammed Seghir ben Youssef), *Ta'rikh al-Mashra' al-Malikiji Sultanat Awlad*

'All al-Turki; trans. V. Serres and M. Lasram, *Chronique tunisienne (1705-1771)*, Paris,

1900; repr. Tunis, 1978.

Leo Africanus, *Description de l'Afrique*, trans. A. Epaulard, Paris, 1956; repr. 1981.

Lucas, P., *Voyages dans la Grèce, l'Asie mineure, la Macédoine et l'Afrique*, 2 vols., Paris, 1712.

Peyssonnel, Jean- Andre, Voyage dans les regences de Tunis et d'Alger, presentation et notes de

Lucette Valensi, Paris, 1987.

Ministere de la Guerre, Tableau de la situation des etablisements francais dans l'Algerie en 1837,

Paris, 1838.

Plantet, Eugene, Correspondance des deys d'Alger avec la cour de France (1577-1830), 2 vols.,

Paris, 1889.

Correspondance des beys de Tunis et des consuls de France avec la cour (1579-1833), 3 vols., Paris,

1893-9-

Rousseau, Alphonse, Annales tunisiennes ou apercu historique sur le regence de Tunis, Paris,

Algiers and Constantine, 1864; repr. Tunis, 1985.

Temimi, Abdeldjelil, Recherches et documents d'histoire maghrebine: La Tunisie, l'Algerie et la

Tripolitaine de 1816 a 1871, Tunis, 1980.

al-Warthilani, Husayn, Rihla, ed. M. Ben Cheneb, Algiers, 1908.

al-Wazir al-Sarraj, al-Hulal al-Sundusiyya fi 'l-Akhbar al-Tunisiyya, Tunis, 1970-3.

al-Zahhar, Ahmad al-Sharif, Mudhakkirat (1754-1830), ed. A. T. Madani, Algiers, 1974.

Secondary sources

Abun-Nasr, Jamil M., A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period, Cambridge, 1987.

Barges, J. -L., Tlemcen ancienne capitale du royaume de ce nom, sa topographic son histoire, Paris,

1859.

Belhamiss, Moulay, Histoire de la marine algerienne (1516-1830), Algiers, 1986.

Berque, J., Les structures du Haut-Atlas, Paris, 1955; repr. 1978.

Bono, Salvatore, Corsari nel Mediterraneo: Christiani e musulmani fra guerra, schiavitù et

commercio, Milan, 1993.

Braudel, Fernand, La Mediterranee et le monde mediterraneen a l'epoque de Philippe II, Paris,

1949; rev. edn, 2 vols., 1966; repr. 2 vols., Paris, 1979, and 3 vols., Paris, 1995.

Cachia, Anthony J., *Libya under the second Ottoman occupation (1835-1911)*, Tripoli, 1945.

Cherif, Mohamed Hedi, 'Expansion europeenne et difficultes tunisiennes de 1815 a 1830',

Annales ESC, 3 (1970), 714-45.

Pouvoir et societe dans la Tunisie de H'usayn bin 'Ali (1705-1740), 2 vols., Tunis, 1984-6.

Dan, Pierre, *Histoire de Barbarie et de ses corsaires*, Paris, 1649.

Dearden, S., *A nest of Corsairs: The fighting Karmdlis of the Barbary coast*, London, 1976.

Diego de Haedo, *Topographia general de Argel*, French trans. D. Monnereau and

A. Berbrugger, *Topographie et histoire generale d'Alger*, BA, 14 and 15 (1870-1); repr.

Paris, 1998.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Epitome de los reyes de Argel, French trans. H. de Grammont, *Histoire des rois d'Alger*,

Algiers, 1881; repr. Paris, 1998; Algiers, 2004.

Froment de Champlagarde, Annes-Charles, *Histoire abregee de Tripoly de Barbaric*, 1794, et

Suite de l'histoire de la regence de Tripoly de Barbarie, regne d'Ali Caramanly, repr. 2001.

Grammont, Henri Delmas de, *Histoire d'Alger sous la domination turque*, Paris, 1887; repr.

Paris, 2002.

Henia, Abdelhamid, *Propriete et strategies sociales a Tunis (XVIe-XIXe siecles)*, Tunis, 1999.

Julien, Charles-Andre, *Histoire de l'Afrique du nord*, 2 vols., Paris, 1966.

Laroui, Abdallah, *Histoire du Maghreb: Un essai de synthese*, Paris, 1970.

Lespes, R., *Alger, esquisse de geographie urbaine*, Paris, 1925.

Merrouche, L., Recherches sur l'Algerie a l'epoque ottomane, vol. I: La course: Mythe et realite,

Paris, 2007.

Recherches sur l'Algerie a l'epoque ottomane, vol. II: Monnaies, prix et revenus, Paris, 2007.

Panzac, Daniel, Les corsaires barbaresques: La fin d'une epoque, 1800-1820, Paris, 1999.

Rousseau, Alphonse, Annales tunisiennes ou apercu historique sur la regence de Tunis, Algiers,

1864.

Sadok, Boubekeur, La regence de Tunis au XVIIIe siecle, ses relations commerciales avec les ports

de l'Europe mediterraneenne, Marseille, Livourne, Zaghouan, 1987.

'La peste dans les pays du Maghreb: Attitude face au fleau et impacts sur les activites

commerciales (XVIe-XVIIIe siecles), Revue d'Histoire Maghrebine, 79-80 (1995),

311-41.

Sai'douni, Nacereddin, al-Nizam al-mali li'l-jazd'ir fi 'l-Fatra al-'Uthmdniyya, 1800-1830,

Algiers, 1979.

l'Algerie rurale a la fin de l'epoque ottomane (1191-1830), Beirut, 2001.

Schuval, Tal, La ville d'Alger vers la fin du XVIIIe siecle: Population et cadre urbain, Paris, 1998.

Sebag, Paul, Tunis au XVIIe siecle, Paris, 1989.

Shaler, W., Esquisse de l'etat d'Alger, trans. M. X. Bianchi, Paris, 1830; repr. Algiers, 2001.

Slousch, N., 'La Tripolitaine sous la domination des Karamanlis', Revue du Monde

Musulman, 6 (1908), 58-84.

Tenenti, A., Naufrages, corsaires et assurances maritimes a Venise, 1592-1609, Paris, 1959.

Touati, Houari, Entre Dieu et les hommes: Lettres, saints et sorciers au Maghreb (XVIIe siecle),

Paris, 1994.

Valensi, L. 'Islam et capitalisme: Production et commerce des chechias en Tunisie et en

France aux XVIIIe et XIXe siecles', Revue d'Histoire Moderne et Contemporaine, 16 (1969),

376-400.

Le Maghreb avant la prise d'Alger (1J90-1830), Paris, 1969; repr. Tunis, 2004.

Fellahs tunisiens: L'economie rurale et la vie des campagnes aux XVIIIe et XIXe siecles, Paris,

1977-

Venture de Paradis, Jean-Michel, Tunis et Alger au XVIIIe siecle, ed. J. Cuoq, Paris, 1983.

Chapter 19: State formation and organisation

The subject of state formation and organisation is central to all the histories covered by this volume, which in turn exemplify the various models that have been proposed to describe and rationalise their evolution. Given such a range, it would hardly be practicable to include a full list of suggestions for further reading, and would certainly be redundant in a

7S1

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

volume in which all these histories are fully introduced. The following list of suggestions is accordingly intended in the first place to introduce the history of political thought in Islam as a separate subject, not all of which is relevant to actual practice, and secondly to extend the range of references to the ideology of state formation rather than to state formation and organisation itself.

Practical suggestions for further reading

Black, Anthony, The history of Islamic political thought, from the Prophet to the present,

Edinburgh, 2001.

Dakhliya, Jocelyn, *Le divan des wis: Le politique et le religieux dans l'Islam*, Paris, 1998.

El Moudden, A., 'The idea of the caliphate between Moroccans and Ottomans: Political and

symbolical stakes in the 16th and 17th century Maghrib', *SI*, 82 (1995), 103-12.

Garcia-Arenal, Mercedes, *Messianism and puritanical reform: Mahdis of the Muslim west*, trans.

Martin Beagles, Leiden, 2006.

Guichard, Pierre, 'El concepto de estado y de poder en al-Andalus: Las razones de

una disgregacion y de una desaparicion', in M. Barrios and B. Vincent (eds.),

Granada 1492-1992: Del reino de Granada al futuro del mundo mediterráneo, Granada,

1995, 25-32.

Hess, Andrew C, *The forgotten frontier: A history of the sixteenth-century Ibero- African frontier* ;

Chicago, 1978.

Hodgson, Marshall G. S., *The venture of Islam: Conscience and history in a world civilization*,

vol. II, Chicago, 1977.

Imber, Colin, 'Suleyman as caliph of the Muslims: Ebu's-Su'ud's formulation of Ottoman

dynastic ideology', in Gilles Veinstein (ed.), *Soliman le Magnifique et son temps*, Paris,

1992, 179-84.

'Ideas and legitimation in early Ottoman history', in Metin Kunt and Christine Woodhead

(eds.), *Suleyman the Magnificent and his age: The Ottoman Empire in the early modern world*,

London, 1995.

Kabli, Mohammed, 'Legitimité du pouvoir étatique et variations socio-religieuses au

Maroc médiéval', *Hesperis-Tamuda*, 35 (1997), 55-66.

Philipp, T., and U. Haarmann (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society*,

Cambridge, 1998.

Sadan, Joseph, 'A "closed-circuit" saying on practical justice', *JSAI*, 10 (1987), 325-41.

Wasserstein, D., *The caliphate in the West: An Islamic political institution in the Iberian*

Peninsula, Oxford, 1993.

Primary sources

Ibn Khaldun, *The Muqaddimah: An introduction to history*, trans. Franz Rosenthal, Princeton,

1958.

al-Mawardi, *al-Ahkam al-sultaniyya*, trans. Wafaa H. Wahba, Reading, 2000.

Nizam al-Mulk, *Siyasat-Nama*, trans. D. Hubert, *Book of government or rules for kings: The*

siyar al-muluk or Siyasat-nama of Nizam al-Mulk, Richmond, 2000.

Pseudo-Aristotle, *The Secret of Secrets: Sources and influences*, ed. W. F. Ryan and

C. B. Schmitt, *Warburg Institute Surveys* 9, London, 1982.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Secondary sources

Ajaye, J. F. A., and M. Crowder (eds.), *History of West Africa*, 2nd edn., London, 1976; 3rd

edn. 1985.

Ashtor, E., *A social and economic history of the Near East in the middle ages*, Berkeley, Los

Angeles and London, 1976.

J. A. Boyle (eds.), *The Cambridge history of Iran*, vol. V: *The Saljuq and Mongol periods*,

Cambridge, 1968.

Brett, M., 'The origins of the Mamluk military system in the Fatimid period', in

U. Vermeulen and D. de Smets (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and*

Mamluk eras, vol. I: Proceedings of the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd International Colloquium organized

at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven in May 1992, 1993 and 1994, Leuven, 1995, 39-52.

Ibn Khaldun and the medieval Maghrib, *Variorum Series*, Aldershot, 1999.

'Abbasids, Fatimids and Seljuqs', in D. Luscombe and J. Riley-Smith (eds.), *The new*

Cambridge medieval history, vol. IV, Part 2, Cambridge, 2004, 675-720.

Brett, M., and E. Fentress, *The Berbers*, Oxford, 1996.

Cahen, C., *Pre-Ottoman Turkey*, London, 1968.

Cressier, P., M. Fierro and L. Molina (eds.), *Los Almohades: Problemas y perspectivas*, 2 vols.,

Madrid, 2005.

Crone, Patricia, *Medieval Islamic political thought*, Edinburgh, 2004.

Frenkel, Y., 'Agriculture, land-tenure and peasants in Palestine during the Mamluk period', in

U. Vermeulen and J. van Steenbergen (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and*

Mamluk eras, vol. III: Proceedings of the 6th, 7th and 8th International Colloquium organized at

the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven in May 1997, 1998 and 1999, Leuven, 2001, 193-208.

Geertz, C., *Islam observed: Religious development in Morocco and Indonesia*, Chicago and

London, 1968.

Gellner, E., 'Flux and reflux in the faith of men', in E. Gellner, *Muslim society*, Cambridge,

1981.

Gibb, H.A. R., 'An interpretation of Islamic history', in H.A. R. Gibb, *Studies on the*

civilization of Islam, London, 1962.

Goitein, S. D., *A Mediterranean society*, vol. I, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967.

Hartmann, I. M., *The early medieval state: Byzantium, Italy and the West*, London, 1949.

El-Hesnawi, H. W., *Fazzan under the rule of the Awlad Muhammad*, Sebha, 1990.

Heywood, C., 'The frontier in Ottoman history: Old ideas and new myths', in

C. Heywood, *Writing Ottoman history*, Variorum Series, Aldershot, 2002, ch. I.

Hillenbrand, C., *The Crusades: Islamic perspectives*, Edinburgh, 1999.

Hiskett, M., *The development of Islam in West Africa*, London and New York, 1984.

The course of Islam in Africa, Edinburgh, 1994.

Hoexter, M., *Endowments, rulers and community: Waqf al-Haramayn in Ottoman Algiers*, Leiden, 1998.

Hoexter, M., S. N. Eisenstadt and N. Levtzion (eds.), *The public sphere in Muslim societies*, Albany, NY, 2002.

Holt, P. M., *Egypt and the Fertile Crescent, 1516-1922*, London, 1966.

'Saladin and his admirers: A biographical reassessment', *BSOAS*, 46 (1982), 235-9.

The age of the Crusades: The Near East from the eleventh century to 1517, London and New York, 1986.

7X3

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Hopkins, J. F. P., *Medieval Muslim government in Barbary*, London, 1958.

Horton, M. and J. Middleton, *The Swahili*, Oxford, 2000.

Humphreys, R. S., *Islamic history: A framework for inquiry*, London, 1991.

Imber, C., *The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650 The structure of power*, Basingstoke, 2002.

Kennedy, H., *The Prophet and the age of the caliphates*, London and New York, 1986.

Kunt, I. M., *The sultan's servants: The transformation of Ottoman provincial government*,

1550-1650, New York, 1983.

Lacoste, Y., *Von Khaldoun: Naissance de l'histoire, passe du tiers monde*, Paris, 1966.

Lambton, A. K. S., 'Reflections on the iqta' , in G. Makdisi (ed.), *Arabic and Islamic studies in*

honour of Hamilton A. R. Gibb, Leiden, 1965, 358-76.

State and government in medieval Islam, Oxford, 1981.

Latham, J. D., *From Muslim Spain to Barbary*, Variorum Reprints, London, 1986.

Lawrence, B. B. (ed.), *Ibn Khaldun and Islamic ideology*, Leiden, 1984.

Levtzion, N., and Pouwels R. L. (eds.), *The history of Islam in Africa*, Athens, Oxford and

Cape Town, 2000.

Mair, L., *Primitive government*, Harmondsworth, 1962.

Moalla, A., *The Regency of Tunis and the Ottoman Porte, 1814-1849*, London and New York, 2004.

Powers, D. S., *Law, society and culture in the Maghrib, 1300-1500*, Cambridge, 2002.

C. F. Petry (ed.), *The Cambridge history of Egypt, vol. T. Islamic Egypt, 640-1517* Cambridge,

1998.

Rabie, Hassanein, *The financial system of Egypt: AM. 564-741/ A.D. 1169-1341*, London, 1972.

Rosenthal, E. I. J., *Political thought in medieval Islam*, Cambridge, 1962.

Saad, E. N., *Social history of Timbuktu*, Cambridge, 1983.

Sanders, P., *Ritual, politics and the city in Fatimid Cairo*, Albany, NY, 1994.

Stanford Shaw, S., *History of the Ottoman Empire and modern Turkey, vol. I: The empire of the*

Gazis, Cambridge, 1976.

Turner, B., *Weber and Islam: A critical study*, London, 1974.

Vermeulen, U., and D. De Smets (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and Mamluk*

eras, vol. I: Proceedings of the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd International Colloquium organized at the

Katholieke Universiteit Leuven in May 1992, 1993 and 1994, Leuven, 1995.

Vermeulen, U., and J. Van Steenbergen (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid, Ayyubid and*

Mamluk eras, vol. III: Proceedings of the 6th, 7th and 8th International Colloquium

organized at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven in May 1997, 1998 and 1999, Leuven,

2001.

Wansbrough, J., 'On recomposing the Islamic history of North Africa', *JRAS* (1969), 161-70.

Lingua franca in the Mediterranean, London, 1996.

Chapter 20: Conversion to Islam: from the 'age of conversions' to the millet system

Practical suggestions for further reading

Bennigsen, A., P. N. Borotov et al., *Le khanat de Crimée dans les Archives du Musée du Palais de*

Topkapi, Paris and La Haye, 1978.

Braude, B., and B. Lewis, *Christian and Jews in the Ottoman Empire*, New York and

London, 1982.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Brett, M., 'Population and conversion to Islam in Egypt in the mediaeval period', in

U. Vermeulen and J. van Steenburgen (eds.), *Egypt and Syria in the Fatimid*,

Ayyubid and Mamluk eras, vol. IV: Proceedings of the 9th and 10th International

Colloquium organised at the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven in May 2000 and 2001,

Leuven, 2005.

Cohen, M. R., *Under crescent and cross: The Jews in the Middle Ages*, Princeton, 1994.

Conversions and forced conversions of Jews to Islam. Special issue of *Pe'amim*, 42 (1990) (in

Hebrew).

Dakhli, J., "'Turcs de profession'? Reinscriptions lignagères et redefinitions sexuelles des

convertis dans les cours maghrébines (XVI-XIX siècles)', in M. Garcia-Arenal (ed.),

Islamic conversions: Religious identities in Mediterranean Islam, Paris, 2001, 151-72.

Epalza, M. de, *Los moriscos antes y después de la Expulsión*, Madrid, 1992.

Foa, A., and L. Scaraffia (eds.), *Conversioni nel Mediterraneo, Dimensioni e problemi della ricerca*

storica (1996).

Garcia-Arenal, M., *La diáspora de los Andalusíes*, Barcelona, 2003; French trans. Paris, 2003.

Inalcik, H., *Sources and studies on the Ottoman Black Sea*, Cambridge, Mass., 1995.

Levtzion, N., 'Patterns of Islamization in West Africa', in N. Levtzion (ed.), *Conversion to*

Islam, New York and London, 1979, 207-16.

Levtzion, N. (ed.), *Conversion to Islam*, New York and London, 1979.

Marin, M., and J. Perez (eds.), *Minorités religieuses dans l'Espagne médiévale*, special issue of

REMMM, 63-4 (1992).

Marquez Villanueva, F., *El problema morisco (desde otras laderas)*, Madrid, 1991.

Nirenberg, D., *Communities of violence: Persecution of minorities in the Middle Ages*, Princeton,

1996.

Serrano, D., 'Dos fetos sobre la expulsión de los mozárabes al Magreb en 1126', *Anaquel de*

Estudios Arabes, 2 (1991), 163-82.

Shatzmiller, M., 'An ethnic factor in a medieval social revolution. The role of Jewish

courtiers under the Marinids', in M. Israel and N. K. Wagle (eds.), Islamic society and

culture: Essays in honour of Professor Aziz Ahmad, New Delhi, 1983, 149-63.

Valensi, L., 'La Tour de Babel: Groupes et relations ethniques au moyen orient et en

Afrique du nord', Annales E. S. C, 41, 4 (1986), 817-38.

Vryonis, S., The decline of medieval Hellenism in Asia Minor and the process of Islamization from

the eleventh through the fifteenth century, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1971.

Wiegers, G.A., Islamic literature in Spanish and Aljamiado: Yea of Segovia (d.1450) his

antecedents and successors, Leiden, 1994.

Williams, B. G., The Crimean Tatars: The diaspora experience and the forging of a nation,

Leiden, 2001.

Primary sources

Alfonso, E., La espada desenvainada de 'Abd al-Haqq al-Islami, Madrid, 1998.

Epalza, M. de, La Tuhfa: Fray Anselm Turmeda (Abdallah al-Taryuman) y su polemica hispano-

cristiana, Madrid, 1994.

Perlmann, M. (ed. and trans.), Ifham al-Yahud of Samaw'al al-Maghribi, Proceedings of the

American Academy of Jewish Research 32, New York, 1964.

78y

Bibliography

Secondary sources

Abitbol, M., Juifs maghrebins et commerce transsaharien au moyen age', in M. Abitbol

(ed.), Communautés juives des marges sahariennes du Maghreb, Jerusalem, 1982, 229-52.

Balivet, M., 'Flou confessionnel et conversion formelle: De l'Asie mineure medievale a

l'empire ottoman', Dimensioni e Problemi della Ricerca Storica, 2 (1996), 203-14.

Barkan, O. L., 'Essai sur les donnees statistiques des registres de recensement dans l'empire

ottoman aux XVe et XVIe siecles', JESHO, 1 (1958), 7-36.

Ben-Ami I., Culte des saints et pelerinages judeo-musulmans au Maroc, Paris, 1990.

Bennassar, B. and L., Les Chretiens d 'Allah: L'histoire extraordinaire des renegats (XVI et XVII

siecles), Paris, 1989.

Brett, M., 'The spread of Islam in Egypt and North Africa', in M. Brett (ed.), Northern Africa:

Islam and modernization, London, 1973, 1-12.

Brown, P., The cult of saints, Chicago, 1981.

Bulliet, R. W., Conversion to Islam in the medieval period: An essay in quantitative history,

Cambridge, Mass., 1979.

Cardaillac, L., Morisques et Chretiens: Un affrontement polemique, Paris, 1977.

Dajani-Shakeel, H., 'Natives and Franks in Palestine: Perceptions and interactions',

in M. Gervers and R.J. Bikhazi (eds.), Conversion and continuity, Toronto, 1990, 161-84.

Dennet, D. C, Conversion and the poll tax in early Islam, Cambridge, Mass., 1950.

Dominguez Ortiz, A., and B. Vincent, Historia de los moriscos: Vida y tragedia de una minoria,

Madrid, 1978.

Donner, F., 'From believers to Muslims: Confessional self-identity in the early Islamic

community', *Al-Abhath*, 50-1 (2002-3), 9-53-

Garcia-Arenal, M., 'The revolution of Fas in 869/1465 and the death of sultan 'Abd al-Haqq

al-Marini', *BSOAS*, 41 (1978), 43-66.

'Les Bildiyyin de Fes, un groupe de neo-musulmans d'origine juive', *SI*, 66 (1987), 113-43.

'Rapports entre groupes dans la peninsule iberique. La conversion de juifs a l'Islam

(XII-XIII siecles)', *REMMM*, 63-4 (1992), 91-101.

Attentes messianiques au Maghrib et dans la peninsule iberique: Du nouveau sur

Sabbatai Zevi', in F. Pouillon (ed.), *Lucette Valensi a Vceuvre: Une histoire anthropologique*

de l'Islam mediterraneen, Paris, 2002, 225-42.

Garcia-Arenal, M. (ed.), *Islamic conversions: Religious identities in Mediterranean Islam*,

Paris, 2001.

(ed.), *Entre el Islam y occidente: Losjudios magrebies en la edad moderna*, Madrid, 2003.

Garcia-Arenal, M., and G. A. Wieggers, *A man of three worlds: Samuel Pallache, a Moroccan Jew*

in *Catholic Spain and Protestant Europe*, Baltimore, 2003.

Gervers, M., and R.J. Bikhazi (eds.), *Conversion and continuity: Indigenous Christian commun-*

ities in Islamic lands, eighth to eighteenth centuries, Toronto, 1990.

Granja, F. de la, 'Fiestas cristianas en al-Andalus (materiales para su estudio) I', *AA*, 34

(1969), 1-53; 'II', *AA*, 35 (1970), 119-42.

Hunwick, J. O., 'Al-Maghili and the Jews of Tuwat. The demise of a community', *SI*, 61

(1985), 155-83-

Lapidus, I., 'The conversion of Egypt to Islam', *IOS*, 2 (1972), 248-62.

Little, D. P., 'Coptic conversion to Islam under the Bahri Mamluks, 692-755/1293-1354',

BSOAS, 39 (1976), 552-69.

Bibliography

'Coptic converts to Islam during the Bahri Mamluk period', in M. Gervers and

R.J. Bikhazi (eds.), *Conversion and continuity: Indigenous Christian communities in*

Islamic lands, eighth to eighteenth centuries, Toronto, 1990, 263-87.

Meri, J. W., *The cult of saints among Muslims and Jews in medieval Syria*, Oxford, 2002.

Molenat, J. P., 'Mudejars et Mozarabes a Toleda du XII au XV siecles', *RMMM*, 63-4 (1992),

143-53-

Moreen, V. B., *Iranian Jewry's hour of peril and heroism: A study of Babai ibn Lufti's chronicle*

(161J-1662), *AAJR*, 6, New York, 1987.

Morony, M. G., 'The age of conversions: A re-assessment', in M. Gervers and R.J. Bikhazi

(eds.), *Conversion and continuity: Indigenous Christian communities in Islamic lands, eighth*

to eighteenth centuries, Toronto, 1990, 135-50.

Popovic, A., and G. Venstein (eds.), *Bektachiyya: Etudes sur Vordre mystique des Bektachis et les*

groupes relevant de Hadjdj Bektach, Istanbul, 1995.

Scaraffia, L., *Rinnegati: Per una storia dell'identita occidentale*, Rome, 1993.

Scholem, G., *Sabbatai Sevi, the mystical Messiah*, Princeton, 1973.

Tiwari, J. G., *Muslims under the Czars and the Soviets*, Lucknow, 1984.

Valensi, L., 'Conversion, integration, exclusion: Les Sabbateens dans l'empire ottoman et

en Turquie', *Dimensioni e Problemi della Ricerca Storica*, 2 (1996), 169-86.

Van Koningsveld, P. S., J. Sadan and Q. al-Samarrai, Yemenite authorities and Jewish messian-

ism: Ahmad ibn Nasir al-Zaydi's account of the Sabbathian movement in seventeenth century

Yemen and its aftermath, Leiden, 1990.

Vryonis, S., 'Religious changes and patterns in the Balkans, 14th-16th centuries', in

H. Birnbaum and S. Vryonis, Aspects of the Balkans, The Hague and Paris, 1972, 151-76.

'The panegyric of the Byzantine saint: A study in the nature of a medieval institution, its

origins and fate', Sobornost (1981), 196-226.

'The experience of Christians under Seljuk and Ottoman domination, eleventh to

sixteenth century', in M. Gervers and R.J. Bikhazi (eds.), Conversion and continuity:

Indigenous Christian communities in Islamic lands, eighth to eighteenth centuries, Toronto,

1990, 185-216.

Wasserstein, D., The rise and fall of the party kings, Princeton, 1985.

'Samuel ibn Nagrila ha-Nagid and Islamic historiography in al-Andalus', AQ, 16 (1993),

109-25.

'Islamisation and the conversion of the Jews', in M. Garcia-Arenal (ed.), Islamic conversions: Religious identities in Mediterranean Islam, Paris, 2001, 49-60.

Chapter 21: Taxation and armies

Practical suggestions for further reading

Abu-Husayn, Abdul-Rahim, 'The Iltizam of Mansur Furaykh: A case study of Iltizam in

sixteenth century Syria', in Tarif Khalidi (ed.), Land tenure and social transformation in the Middle East, Beirut, 1984, 249-56.

Abu-Nasr, Jamil M., A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period, Cambridge, 1987; repr. 1993.

Ben Abdallah, Habib, De l'iqta' etatique a Viqta' militaire: Transition economique et changements sociaux a Baghdad, 241-44J de VHegire/ 861-10% ap.J.C, Uppsala, 1986.

Cahen, Claude, 'L'evolution de l'iqta' du IXe au XHle siecle', Annales ESC, 8 (1953), 25-52.

Crone, Patricia, Slaves on horses: The evolution of the Islamic polity, Cambridge, 1980.

7«7

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Donner, FredM., 'Centralized authority and military autonomy in the early Islamic conquests', in Averil Cameron (ed.), Studies in late antiquity and early Islam: The Byzantine and Islamic Near East, vol. Ill: States, resources, and armies, Princeton, 1995, 337-60.

al-Duri, Abdul Aziz, 'The origins ofiqta' in Islam', al-Abhath, 22, 1-2 (1969), 3-22.

Faroghi, Suraiya, 'Town officials, timar-holders and taxation: The late sixteenth century crisis as seen from Corum', Turcica, 18 (1986), 53-82.

Guichard, Pierre, Les musulmans de Valence et la reconquete (Xle-XIIIe siecles), 2 vols., Damascus, 1990-1.

Howard, D.A., 'Ottoman administration and the timar system: Suret-i kanunname-i Osmani beray-i Timar daderi, JTS, 20 (1996), 46-125.

Humphreys, R. S., 'The emergence of the mamluk army', SI, 45 (1977), 67-99; 46 (1977), 147-82.

Inalak, Halil, 'Military and fiscal transformation in the Ottoman Empire, 1600-1700',

Archivum Ottomanicum, 6 (1980), 283-337.

Irwin, Robert, *The Middle East in the Middle Ages: The early Mamluk sultanate*, London, 1986.

'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom reconsidered', in Michael Winter and Amalia Levanoni (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society*, Leiden, 2004, 117-39.

Kennedy, Hugh, *The armies of the caliphs: Military and society in the early Islamic state*, London, 2001.

Lambton, Ann K. S., 'The evolution of the iqta' in medieval Iran', *Iran*, 5 (1967), 41-50.

Lapidus, Ira M., *Muslim cities in the later Middle Ages*, Cambridge, 1967.

bakkegard, Frede, *Islamic taxation in the classical period*, Copenhagen, 1950.

McGowan, B., *Economic life in Ottoman Europe: Taxation, trade and the struggle for land, 1600-1800*, Cambridge, 1981.

Pipes, Daniel, *Slave soldiers and Islam: The genesis of a military system*, New Haven, 1981.

Sato, Tsugitaka, 'The iqta' system of Iraq under the Buwayhids', *Orient*, 18 (1982), 83-105.

Simonsen, Jorgen Baek, *Studies in the genesis and early development of the caliphal taxation system*, Copenhagen, 1988.

Primary sources

Abu Shama, al-Dhayl 'ala 'l-rawdatayn: *Tarajim rijal al-qarnayn al-sadis wa'l-sabi'*, ed.

Z. al-Kawthari, 2nd edn, Beirut, 1947.

Abu Yusuf *Kitab al-kharaj*, Cairo, 1962.

Ibn al-Furat, *Ta'rikh ibn al-Furat*, ed. Qustanfln Zurayq and Najla Tzz al-DIn, vol. VIII,

Beirut, 1939.

Ibn Iyas, *Badd'i' al-zuhur fi waqa'i' al-duhur*, ed. Mohamed Mostafa, vol. IV, 2nd edn, Cairo

and Wiesbaden, 1960.

Alltagsnotizen eines ägyptischen Burgers, trans. Annemarie Schimmel, Stuttgart, 1985.

Ibn Mammātī, *Kitāb qawānīn al-dawawīn*, ed. A. S. Atiya, Cairo, 1943.

Lewicka, Paulina, 'What a king should care about. Two memoranda of the Mamluk sultan

on running the state's affairs', *Arabistyczne i Islamistyczne*, 6 (1998), 5-45.

Nizam al-Mulk, *Das Buch der Staatskunst-Siyasatnama: Gedanken und Geschichten*, trans, from

the Persian and intro. Karl Emil Schabinger Freiherr von Schöwingen, new edn,

Zürich, 1987. Also available as *The Book of Government or Rules for Kings: The Siyasat-*

nama or *Siyar al-Muluk*, trans, from the Persian Hubert Darke, London, 1960.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

al-Qalqashandī, *Subh al-a'sha fī sina'at al-insha'* 14 vols., Cairo, 1913-20.

Salih ibn Yahya, *Tārīkh Bayrūt: Akhbar al-salaf min dhurriyat Buhtur ibn 'All Amir al-Gharb*

bi-Bayrūt, ed. Francis Hours and Kamal Salibi, Beirut, 1969.

Secondary sources

Ayalon, David, *Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk kingdom: A challenge to a medieval*

society, London, 1956.

'The system of payment in mamluk military society', in David Ayalon, *Studies on the*

Mamluks of Egypt, London, 1977, vol. VIII, 37-65, 257-96.

Bosworth, C. E., 'Al-Saqaliba; in the central lands of the caliphate', *EI2*.

Cahen, Claude, 'Ikta 1', *EI2*.

'Kharadj; in the central and western islamic lands', EI2.
Darling, Linda T., 'Ottoman fiscal administration: Decline or adaptation?',
Journal of

European Economic History, 26, 1 (1997), 159-79.

Darrag, Ahmad, L'Egypte sous le regne de Barsbay 825-841/1422-1438,
Damascus, 1961.

Dols, Michael W., The Black Death in the Middle East, Princeton, 1977.

Ennaji, M., Soldats, domestiques et concubines: L'esclavage au Maroc au
XIX siecle, Casablanca,

1994.

Fuess, Albrecht, 'Rotting ships and razed harbours: The naval policy of
the Mamluks',

Mamluk Studies Review, 5 (2001), 45-71.

Verbranntes Ufer: Auswirkungen mamlukischer Seepolitik auf Beirut und
die syro-

paldstinensische Kiiste (1250-1517), Leiden, 2001.

Garcin, Jean-Claude, 'The mamluk military system and the blocking of
medieval Moslem

society', in John Baechler et al. (eds.), Europe and the rise of capitalism,
Oxford, 1988,

113-30.

Gibb, H. A. R., art. 'Ak Sunkur', EI2.

Gilli-Elewy, Hend, Bagdad nach dem Sturz des Kalifats: Die Geschichte
einer Provinz unter

ilhdnischer Herrschaft (656-135/1258-1335), Berlin, 2000.

'Soziale Aspekte frtihiislamischer Sklaverei', Der Islam, 77 (2000), 116-
68.

Haarmann, Ulrich, 'Rather the injustice of the Turks than the
righteousness of the Arabs.

Changing 'ulama' attitudes towards Mamluk rule in the late fifteenth
century', SI, 68

(1988), 61-77.

Haarmann, Ulrich, 'Joseph's law - the careers and activities of mamluk
descendants before

the Ottoman conquest of Egypt', in Ulrich Haarmann and Thomas Philipp
(eds.), The

Mamluks in Egyptian politics and society, Cambridge, 1998, 55-84.

'Der arabische Osten im späten Mittelalter (1250-1517)', in Ulrich Haarmann (ed.),

Geschichte der arabischen Welt, 4th edn, Munich, 2001, 217-36.
Halm, Heinz, Agypten nach mamlukischen Lehenregistern, vol. I: Oberagypten und das Fayyum;

vol. II: Das Delta, Wiesbaden, 1979 and 1982.

'Die Ayyubiden', in Ulrich Haarmann (ed.), Geschichte der arabischen Welt, 4th edn,

Munich, 2001, 200-16.

'Die Fatimiden', in Ulrich Haarmann (ed.), Geschichte der arabischen Welt, 4th edn,

Munich, 2001, 166-99.

Heidemann, S., 'Zangī, Abu 'l-Muzaffar', EI2.

789

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Holt, Peter M., 'The structure of government in the Mamluk sultanate', in Peter M. Holt

(ed.), The eastern Mediterranean lands in the period of the Crusades, Warminster, 1977, 44-61.

The age of the Crusades: The Near East from the eleventh century to 1517, London, 1986.

Imber, Colin, The Ottoman Empire, 1300-1650: The structure of power, New York, 2002.

Inalcik, Halil, art. 'Mehemmed II', EI2.

Irwin, Robert, 'Iqtd' and the end of the Crusader States', in Peter M. Holt (ed.), The eastern

Mediterranean lands in the period of the Crusades, Warminster, 1977, 62-77.

'Gunpowder and firearms in the Mamluk sultanate reconsidered', in Michael Winter and

Amalia Levanoni (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian and Syrian politics and society*, Leiden,

2004, 117-39.

al-Isma'il, Karim-Elmahi, *Das islamische Steuersystem vom 7. bis 12. Jahrhundert n. Chr. unter*

besonderer Berücksichtigung seiner Umsetzung in den eroberten Gebieten, Cologne, 1989.

Johansen, Baber, *The Islamic law on land tax and rent*, New York, 1988.

Kafadar, Cemal, 'The question of Ottoman decline', *Harvard Middle Eastern and Islamic*

Review, 4 (1997-8), 30-75.

Kennedy, Hugh, *Muslim Spain and Portugal: A political history of al-Andalus*, London, 1996.

The armies of the caliphs: Military and society in the early Islamic state, London, 2001.

Levanoni, Amalia, *A turning point in Mamluk history: The third reign of al-Nasir Muhammad*

Ibn Qalawun 1310-1341, Leiden, 1995.

Matuz, Josef, *Das Osmanische Reich: Grundlinien seiner Geschichte*, 3rd edn, Darmstadt, 1994.

Meouak, Mohamed, *Saqdliba, eunuques et esclaves à la conquête du pouvoir: géographique et*

histoire des élites politiques 'marginales' dans l'Espagne umayyade, Helsinki, 2004.

Morgan, David, *Medieval Persia 1040-1797*, 5th edn, London, 1997.

Murphey, Rhoads, *Ottoman warfare, 1500-1700*, New Brunswick, 1999.

Northrup, Linda, *From slave to sultan: The career of al-Mansur Qalawun and the consolidation of*

Mamluk rule in Egypt and Syria (638 AM. / 1279-1290 AD), Stuttgart, 1998.

Reinfandt, Lucian, *Mamlukische Sultansstiftungen des 9. /y. Jahrhunderts: Nach den Urkunden*

der Stifter al-Asraf und al-Mu'ayyad Ahmad ibn Indl, Berlin, 2003.

Roemer, Hans-Robert, *Persien auf dem Weg in die Neuzeit: Iranische Geschichte von 1350-1710*,

Beirut and Wiesbaden, 1989.

Sato, Tsugitaka, *State and rural society in medieval Islam: Sultans, muqta's and fallahun*, Leiden,

1997.

art. "Ushr, EI2.

Shatzmiller, Maya, 'Unity and variety of land tenure and cultivation patterns in the

medieval Maghreb', *Maghreb Review*, 8, 1 (1983), 24-8.

von Sievers, Peter, 'Nordafrika in der Neuzeit', in Ulrich Haarmann (ed.), *Geschichte der*

arabischen Welt, 4th edn, Munich, 2001, 502-604.

Zysow, A., 'Zakdt', EI2.

Chapter 22A: Muslim trade in the late medieval Mediterranean world

Primary sources

Amari, Michele, *I diplomi arabi del R. Archivio Fiorentino*, Florence, 1863.

al-Bakri, *Jughrafiyat al-Andalus wa Urubba min Kitab al-masdlik wa'l-mamdlik*, Beirut, 1968.

790

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

al-Himyari, *Lapeninsule iberique au moyen age*, ed. E. Levi-Provencal, Leiden, 1938.

Ibn Battuta, *Rihla*, trans. Ross Dunn, *The adventures of Ibn Battuta*, Berkeley, 1986.

Ibn Jubayr, *Rihla*, ed. William Wright, Leiden, 1907; trans. R.J. C. Broadhurst, *The travels of*

Ibn Jubayr, London, 1952.

Ibn Khaldun, *The Muqaddimah: An introduction to history*, trans. Franz Rosenthal, Princeton,

1958.

al-Idrisi, *Nuzhat al-mushtaq fi ikhtiraq al-afdq*, Naples and Rome, 1970-84; ed. and trans.

R. Dozy and M.J. de Goeje, *Description de VAfrique et de VEspagne*, Leiden, 1866.

al-Jarsifl in E. Levi-Provencal, *Documents arabes inedits sur la vie sociale et economique en*

Occident musulman au moyen age, Cairo, 1955.

'Liber magistri Salmonis sacri palatii notarii', *Atti della Societa Ligure di Storia Patria*, 36

(1906).

al-Maqqari, *Analectes sur Vhistoire et la litterature des Arabes d'Espagne*, ed. R. Dozy, Leiden,

1855-61.

de Mas Latrie, M., *Traites depaix et commerce et documents divers concernant les relations des*

Chretiens avec les Arabes de VAfrique septentrionale au moyen age, Paris, 1865.

Pegolotti, Francesco, *La pratica della mercatura*, Cambridge, 1936.

El primer manual hispdnico de mercaderia, ed. Miguel Gual Camarena, Barcelona, 1981.

al-WansharisI, *al-Mi'yar al-mu'rib wa'l-jami' al-maghrib*, Rabat, 1981.

Secondary sources

Abulafia, David, 'Asia, Africa, and the trade of medieval Europe', in *The Cambridge economic*

history of Europe, 2nd ed, vol. II, Cambridge, 1987, 402-73.

Ashtor, Eliyahu, *Levant trade in tfte later middle ages*, Princeton, 1983.

Blancard, Louis, *Documents inedits sur le commerce de Marseille au moyen age*, Marseilles, 1884.

Brett, Michael, 'Ifrlqiyya as a market for Saharan trade from the tenth to the twelfth

Century AD', *JAH*, 10 (1969), 347-64.

Brunschvig, Robert, *Deux recits de voyage inedits en Afrique du nord au XVe siecle*, Paris, 1936.

Constable, Olivia, *Trade and traders in Muslim Spain: The commercial realignment of the Iberian*

Peninsula 900-1500, Cambridge, 1994.

'Muslim Spain and Mediterranean slavery: the medieval slave trade as an aspect of

Christian-Muslim relations', in Scott Waugh (ed.), *Christendom and its discontents*,

Cambridge, 1996, 264-84.

'Cross-cultural contracts: Sales of land between Christians and Muslims in Norman

Sicily', *SI*, 85 (1997), 67-84.

Housing the stranger in the Mediterranean world, Cambridge, 2003.

Coulon, Damien, 'El comercio Catalan del aziicar en el siglo XIV', *Anuario de Estudios*

Medievales, 31, 2 (2001), 727-56.

Fabregas Garcia, Adela, Produccion y comercio de aziicar en el Mediterrdneo medieval: El ejemplo

del reino de Granada, Granada, 2000.

Goitein, S. D., *A Mediterranean society*, 6 vols., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967-93. See

especially vol. I, on economic matters.

Letters of medieval Jewish traders, Princeton, 1973.

Goldberg, Jessica, 'Geographies of trade and traders in the eleventh-century Mediterranean',

Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (2005).

791

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Harvey, L. P., *Islamic Spain, 1250-1500*, Chicago, 1990.

Heyd, Wilhelm, *Histoire du commerce du Levant au moyen age*, Leipzig, 1885-6.

Hoenerbach, W., *Spanisch-islamische Urkunden aus der Zeit der Nasriden und Moriscos*,

Berkeley, 1965.

Horden, Peregrine, and Nicholas Purcell, *The corrupting sea*, Oxford, 2000.

Jacoby, David, 'The supply of war materials to Egypt in the crusader period', JSAI, 25 (2001),

102-32.

'Silk economics and cross-cultural artistic interaction: Byzantium, the Muslim world, and

the Christian West', *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, 58 (2004), 197-240.

Jehel, Georges, *L'Italie et le Maghreb au moyen age*, Paris, 2001.

Lagardere, Vincent, *Histoire et societe en Occident musulman au moyen age*, Madrid, 1995.

Laurieux, Bruno, 'Quelques remarques sur la decouverte du Sucre par les premiers croises

d'Orient', in *Chemins d'outre-mer: Etudes d'histoire sur la Mediterranee medievale offerts a*

Michel Balard, Paris, 2004, 527-36.

Lombard, Maurice, *Les textiles dans le monde musulman du Vile au Xlle siecle*, Paris, 1978.

Lopez de Coca Castaner, Jose, 'Granada y la ruta de poniente: El trafico de frutos secos

(siglos XIV y XV)', in Antonio Malpica Cuello (ed.), *Navegacion maritima del*

Mediterrrdneo medieval, Granada, 2001, 149-77.

McCormick, Michael, *Origins of the European economy: Communications and commerce AD*

300-900, Cambridge, 2001.

Miller, Kathryn, 'Muslim minorities and the obligation to emigrate to Islamic territory',

ILS, 7 (2000), 256-88.

'Negociando con el infiel: La actividad mercantil musulmana en la Espana cristiana', in

Jaume Aurell (ed.), *El Mediterrrdneo medieval y renacentista: Espacio de mercados y de*

culturas, Pamplona, 2002, 213-32.

Guardians of Islam: Religious authority and Muslim communities of late medieval Spain,

New York, 2008.

Picard, Christophe, *La mer et les musulmans d'occident au moyen age*, Paris, 1997.

Pryor, John, *Geography, technology, and war*, Cambridge, 1988.
Udovitch, Abraham, *Partnership and profit in medieval Islam*, Princeton, 1970.

'Fatimid Cairo: Crossroads of world trade - from Spain to India', in
Marianne Barrucand

(ed.), *L'Egypte fatimide: Son art et son histoire*, Paris, 1999, 681-91.
Valerian, Dominique, 'Ifriqiyān Muslim merchants in the Mediterranean
at the end of the

middle ages', *Mediterranean Historical Review*, 14 (1999), 47-66.
Watson, Andrew, *Agricultural innovation in the early Islamic world*,
Cambridge, 1983.

Chapter 22B: Overland trade in the western Islamic world
(fifth-ninth/ eleventh-fifteenth centuries)

Practical suggestions for further reading

Bovill, Edward William, *The golden trade of the Moors*, London, 1958.

Primary sources

al-Bakri, *Description de l'Afrique septentrionale: Kitab al-mughrib ft
dhikr hildd Ifrtqiya wa'l-
Maghrib*, ed. MacGuckin de Slane, Algiers, 1857.

792

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Diem, Werner, *Arabische Geschiftsbrieft des 10. bis 14. Jahrhunderts
aus der Osterreichischen*

Nationalbibliothek in Wien, *Documents Arabica Antiqua* 1, Wiesbaden,
1995.

Gomes, Diogo, *De la premiere decouverte de la Guinee*, trans. T. Monod,
R. Mauny

and G. Duval, *Publicacoes do Centro de Estudos da Guine Portuguesa*,
Bissau, 1959.

Guo, Li, Commerce, culture, and community in a Red Sea port in the thirteenth century: The

Arabic documents from Quseir, Leiden, 2004.

Hunwick, John O., Timbuktu and the Songhay empire: Al-Sa'dis Ta'rikh al-suddn down to 1613

and other contemporary documents, Leiden, 1999.

Ibn BattQta, Voyages d'Ibn Batouta: Tuhfat al-nuzzdr fi ghard'ib al-amsar wa-'aja'ib al-asfdr,

4 vols., Paris, 1874-9.

Ibn Jubayr, The travels of Ibn Jubayr, trans. R. J. C. Broadhurst, London, 1952.

Ibn Khaldun, Kitdb al-'ibar wa-diwdn al-mubtada' wa'l-khabar fi ayyam al-'arab wa'l-'ajam wa'l-

barbar, 7 vols., Beirut, 1956-9.

al-Idrisi, Opus geographicorum: Nuzhat al-mushtdqfi ikhtiraq al-dfdq, 9 fasc, Leiden, 1970-84.

Levtzion, N., and J. F. P. Hopkins, Corpus of early Arabic sources for West African history,

Cambridge, 1981.

al-Maqqari, Nafh al-tib min ghusn al-Andalus al-ratib, ed. Ihsan Abbas, 8 vols., Beirut, 1968.

Marco Polo, The travels of Marco Polo, trans. Maria Bellonci, London, 1984.

Melis, Federico, Documenti per la storia economica dei secoli XIII-XVI con una nota de

paleografca commerciale a cura di Elena Cecchi, Istituto internazionale di storia econom-

ica F. Datini, ser. 1, doc. 1, Florence, 1972.

Michael the Syrian, Chronique de Michel le Syrien, patriarche Jacobite d'Antioche (1166-1199), ed.

J.-B. Chabot, 4 vols., Paris, 1899-1910; repr. Brussels, 1963.

Ca da Mosto, Alvise, Relations des voyages a la cote occidentale d'Afrique d'Alvise, de Cd da

Mosto, 1455-1417, trans. Charles Schefer, Paris, 1895.

Mufaddal ibn Abi Fada'il, 'Moufazzal ibn Abil-Fazail', 'Histoire des sultans mamlouks: Al-Nahj

al-sadid wa'l-durr alfarid fimd ba'da ta'rikh Ibn al-'Amid', ed. and trans. E. Blochet,

Patrologia Orientalis, 12, 3 (1919), 343-550; 14, 3 (1920), 373-672; 20, 1 (1929), 1-270.

al-Munajjid, Salah al-Dīn, Mamlakat Mali Hnda al-jughrafiyin al-muslimin, Beirut, 1963.

de la Roncière, Charles, La découverte de l'Afrique au moyen âge: Cartographes et explorateurs,

3 vols., Mémoires de la Société royale de géographie d'Égypte 5, Cairo, 1924-7.

Simon de Saint-Quentin, Histoire des Tartares, ed. Jean Richard, Documents relatifs à

l'histoire des Croisades 8, Paris, 1965.

Yaqut, Mu'jam al-buldan, 6 vols., Leipzig, 1866-73.

Secondary sources

Abu-Lughod, Janet, Before European hegemony: The world system, AD 1250-1350, New York and

Oxford, 1989.

Abun-Nasr, Jamil, A history of the Maghrib in the Islamic period, Cambridge, 1987.

Ashtor, Eliyahu, 'The Karimi merchants', JRAS, 6 (1956), 45-56.

Levant trade in the later Middle Ages, Princeton, 1983.

Ayalon, David, 'Eunuchs in the Mamluk sultanate', in Myriam Rosen-Ayalon (ed.), Studies

in memory of Gaston Wiet, Jerusalem, 1977, 267-95.

Bass, George F., et al., Serçe Liman: An eleventh-century shipwreck, vol. I, College Station,

Texas, 2004.

m

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

Brett, Michael, 'Ifriqiyya as a market for Saharan trade from the tenth to the twelfth

century, a.d. 'JAH, 10 (1969), 347-64.

Cahen, Claude, *The formation of Turkey: The Seljukid sultanate of Rum, eleventh to fourteenth*

century, Harlow, 2001.

Capot-Rey, Robert, *L'Afrique blanche française: le Sahara français*, 2 vols., Paris, 1953.

Conrad, D. C., and H.J. Fisher, 'The conquest that never was: Ghana and the Almoravids,

1076', *History in Africa*, 9 (1982), 21-59; 10 (1983), 53-78.

Constable, Olivia Remie, *Trade and traders in Muslim Spain: The commercial realignment of the*

Iberian Peninsula, 900-1000, Cambridge, 1994.

Housing the stranger in the Mediterranean world: Lodging, trade, and travel in Late Antiquity

and the Middle Ages, Cambridge, 2003.

Dunlop, D. M., 'Sources of gold and silver according to al-Hamdani', *SI*, 8 (1952), 29-49.

Ehrenkreutz, Andrew, 'The slave trade between Genoa and Mamluk Egypt in the second

half of the thirteenth century', in A. L. Udovitch (ed.), *The Islamic Middle East, 1000-1500*:

Studies in economic and social history, Princeton, 1981, 335-45.

Fisher, H. J., 'The eastern Maghrib and the central Sudan', in *The Cambridge history of Africa*,

8 vols., Cambridge, 1975-86; repr. 1994-2002, vol. III, 232-330.

Frantz-Murphy, Gladys, 'A new interpretation of the economic history of medieval Egypt:

The role of the textile industry, 254-567/ 868-1171', *JESHO*, 24 (1981), 274-94.

Garcin, Jean-Claude, Jean-Leon l'Africain et 'Aydhab', *AI*, 11 (1972), 53-70.

Goitein, S. D., 'Medieval Tunisia, the hub of the Mediterranean: A Geniza study', in

Goitein, *Studies in Islamic history and institutions*, Leiden, 1966, 308-28.

'The beginnings of the Karim merchants and the character of their organization', in

Goitein, *Studies in Islamic history and institutions*, Leiden, 1966, 351-60.

A Mediterranean society: The Jewish communities of the Arab world as portrayed in the

documents of the Cairo Geniza, 6 vols., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1967-93, vol. I.

Insoll, Timothy, 'A cache of hippopotamus ivory at Gao, Mali, and a hypothesis of its use',

Antiquity, 69 (1995), 327-36.

The archaeology of Islam in Sub-Saharan Africa, Cambridge, 2003.

'Syncretism, time, and identity: Islamic archaeology in West Africa', in Donald Whitcomb

(ed.), *Changing social identity with the spread of Islam: Archaeological perspectives*, Oriental

Institute Seminars 1, Chicago, 2004, 89-101.

Kubiak, Wladyslaw B., and George T. Scanlon, 'Fustat expedition: Preliminary report, 1971,

part V, *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt*, 16 (1979), 103-24.

Labib, Subhi, *Handebgeschichte Agyptens im Spdtmittelalter (uyi-ipy)*, Wiesbaden, 1965.

'Egyptian commercial policy in the Middle Ages', in Michael A. Cook (ed.), *Studies in the*

economic history of the Middle East, London, 1970, 63-77.

Levtzion, Nehemia, *Ancient Ghana and Mali*, London, 1973.

'The Sahara and the Sudan from the Arab conquest of the Maghrib to the rise of the

Almoravids', in *The Cambridge history of Africa*, 8 vols., Cambridge, 1975-86; repr. 1994-

2002, vol. II, 637-84.

'The western Maghrib and Sudan', in *The Cambridge history of Africa*, 8 vols., Cambridge,

1975-86; repr. 1994-2002, vol. III, 331-462.

'Mamluk Egypt and Takrur', in Moshe Sharon (ed.), *Studies in Islamic history and*

civilisation in honour of Professor David Ayalon, Leiden, 1986, 183-207.

Bibliography

'Merchants versus scholars and clerics in West Africa: Differential and complementary

roles', *Asian and African Studies*, 20 (1986), 27-43.

'Islam in the Bilad al-Sudan to 1800', in Levtzion, *The history of Islam in Africa*, Athens,

Ohio, 2000, 63-91.

Lewis, Bernard, 'The Fatimids and the route to India', *Revue de la Faculte des Sciences*

Economiques de l'Universite d'Istanbul, 11 (1949-50), 50-4.

Lhote, H., 'Recherches sur Takedda, ville decrite par le voyageur arabe Ibn Battouta et

situee en Air', *Bulletin de l'Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire (ser. B)*, 34 (1972), 429-70.

Lieberman, Victor, 'Abu-Lughod's egalitarian world order: A review article', *Comparative*

Studies in Society and History, 35 (1993), 544-50.

Lovejoy, Paul E., 'The internal trade of West Africa before 1800', in J. Ajayi and

M. Crowder (ed.), *History of West Africa*, Harlow, 1985, 648-90.

Salt of the desert sun: A history of salt production and trade in the central Sudan, Cambridge,

1986.

McDougall, E. Ann, 'The view from Awdaghust: War, trade and social change in the

southwestern Sahara, from the eighth to the fifteenth century', *JAH*, 26 (1985), 1-31.

'Salts of the western Sahara: Myths, mysteries and historical significance', *International*

Journal of African Historical Studies, 23 (1990), 231-57.

Mackie, Louise, 'Covered with flowers: Medieval floor coverings excavated at Fustat in

1980', *Oriental Carpet and Textile Studies*, 1 (1980), 23-35.

Mansouri, M. T., *Recherche sur les relations entre Byzance et VEgypte (1259-1453)*, Manouba,

1992.

Meloy, JohnL., 'Imperial strategy and political exigency: The Red Sea spice trade and the

Mamluk sultanate in the fifteenth century', *JA OS*, 123 (2003), 1-19.

Messier, Ronald, 'The Almoravids: West African gold and the gold currency of the

Mediterranean basin', *JESHO*, 17 (1984), 31-47.

Monod, T., 'Nouvelles remarques sur Tehgaza (Sahara occidental)', *Bulletin de ITnstitut*

Francais d'Afrique Noire, 2 (1940), 248-54.

'Le Ma'den Ijafen: Une epave caravanierie ancienne dans la Majabat al-Koubra', in *Actes*

du premier colloque international d'archeologie africaine, 1966, Fort Lamy, Fort Lamy, 1969,

286-320.

de Moraes Farias, P. F., 'The Almoravids: Some questions concerning the character of the

movement during its periods of closest contact with the western Sudan', *Bulletin de*

ITnstitut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire (ser. B), 29, 3-4 (1967), 794-878.

'Silent trade: Myth and historical evidence', *History in Africa*, 1 (1974), 9-24.

Reinert, Stephen, 'The Muslim presence in Constantinople, ninth to fifteenth centuries:

Some preliminary observations', in Helene Ahrweiler and AngelikiE. Laiou (ed.),

Studies on the internal diaspora of the Byzantine empire, Washington, DC, 1998, 125-50.

Robert, D. S., 'Les fouilles des Teghdaoust', *JA H*, 2 (1970), 471-93.

Rogers, J. Michael, 'Evidence for Mamluk-Mongol relations, 1260-1360', in *Colloque international sur l'histoire du Caire*, 27 mars-} avril 1969, Cairo, [1972], 385-403.

Sanders, Paula A., 'The Fatimid state, 969-1171', in *The Cambridge history of Egypt*, 2 vols.,

Cambridge, 1998, vol. I, 151-74.

Scanlon, George T., 'The Fustat mounds: A shard count, 1968', *Archaeology*, 24, 3 (1971),

220-33.

795

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Schultz, Warren C, 'The monetary history of Egypt, 642-1517', in *The Cambridge history of*

Egypt, 2 vols., Cambridge, 1998, vol. I, 318-38.

'Mansa Musa's gold in Mamluk Cairo', in J. Pfeiffer and S. Quinn (eds.), *History and*

historiography of post-Mongol Central Asia and the Middle East, Wiesbaden, 2006, 428-47.

Shatzmiller, Maya, art. 'Tidjara', EI2.

Stillman, Yedida K., et al., art. 'Tiraz', EI2.

Terrasse, H., 'Sur des tessons de poterie vernisee et peinte trouves a Teghasa', *Bulletin du*

Comite d'Etudes Historiques et Scientifique Afrique Occidentale Francais, 21 (1938), 520-2.

van Doorninck, Frederick H., Jr., 'The medieval shipwreck at Serce Limam: An early eleventh

century Fatimid-Byzantine commercial voyage', *Graeco-Arabica*, 4 (1991), 45-52.

Wallerstein, Immanuel, *Review of Before European hegemony*, *IJMES*, 24 (1992), 128-31.

Walz, Terry, 'Trading to the Sudan in the XVIth century', *AI*, 15 (1979), 211-33.

Wansbrough, John, 'The medieval Karim: An ancient Near Eastern paradigm?' , in *Studies in*

Islamic and Middle Eastern texts and traditions in memory of Norman Calder, journal of

Semitic Studies Supplement 12, Oxford, 2000, 297-306.

Whitcomb, Donald S., and Janet H. Johnson, Quseir al-Qadim 1978; Preliminary report, Cairo,

1979.

Quseir al-Qadim 1980, Malibu, 1982.

Whitehouse, David, 'Chinese porcelain in medieval Europe', *Medieval Archaeology*, 16

(1972), 63-78.

Wiet, Gaston, 'Les marchands d'epices sous les sultans mamlouks', *Cahiers d'Histoire*

Egyptienne, 7 (1955), 81-147.

Chapter 22C: Trade in the Ottoman Lands to 1215/ 1800

Practical suggestions for further reading

Bulut, Mehmet, 'The Ottoman approach to the western Europeans in the Levant during

the early modern period', *Middle Eastern Studies*, 44 (2008), 259-74.

Charles-Roux, Francois, *Les echelles de Syrie et de Palestine au XVIIIe siecle*, Paris, 1928.

Chaudhuri, K. N., *Trade and civilization in the Indian Ocean: An economic history from the rise of*

Islam to Iyyo, Cambridge, 1975.

Davis, Ralph, *Aleppo and Devonshire Square: English traders in the Levant in the eighteenth*

century, London, 1967.

De Groot, A. H., *The Ottoman Empire and the Dutch Republic: A history of the earliest diplomatic*

relations, 1610-1630, Leiden, 1978.

Faroghi, Suraiya, *Towns and townsmen of Ottoman Anatolia: Trade, crafts and food production*

in an urban setting, 1520-1650, Cambridge, 1984.

'Before 1600: Ottoman attitudes towards merchants from Latin Christendom', *Turcica*, 34

(2002), 69-104.

Goffman, Daniel, 'The capitulations and the question of authority in Levantine trade', JTS,

10 (1986), 81-90.

Hanna, Nelly, Making big money in 1600: The life and times of Isma'il Abu Taqiyya, Egyptian

merchant, Albany, 1998.

Hattox, Ralph, Coffee and coffeehouses: The origins of a social beverage in the medieval Near East,

Seattle, 1985.

796

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 2011

Bibliography

İnalçik, Halil, 'Capital formation in the Ottoman Empire', Journal of Economic History, 19

(1969), 97-140.

Philipp, Thomas, The Syrians in Egypt, 1725-1972, Berliner Islamstudien 3, Stuttgart, 1985.

Primary sources

Hurewitz, J. C., The Middle East and North Africa in world politics: A documentary record, vol. I: European expansion, 1535-1914, 2nd edn, New Haven and London, 1975.

al-Khiyari, Ibrahim al-Madani, Tuhfat al-udaba' wa-salwat al-ghuraba ', 3 vols., Baghdad, 1969.

Kolodziejczyk, Dariusz, Ottoman-Polish diplomatic relations (16th-17th century): An annotated edition of Ahdnames and other documents, Leiden, 2000.

Kurdakul, Necdet, Osmanlı devletinde ticaret atlası ve kapitülasyonlar, İstanbul, 1981.

Kutiikoglu, Mtibahat, Osmanhlarda narh miessesesi ve 1640 tarihli narh defteri, Istanbul, 1983.

Yusuf Khass Hajib, Wisdom of royal glory (Kutadgu Bilig): A Turko-Islamic mirror for princes, trans. Robert Dankoff, Chicago, 1983.

Secondary sources

Ashtor, Eliyahu, Levant trade in the later middle ages, Princeton, 1983.

Bagis, Ali ihsan, Osmanh ticaretinde gayri Miislimkr, Ankara, 1983.

Braudel, Fernand, The Mediterranean and the Mediterranean world of Philip II, trans. Sian

Reynolds, 2 vols., New York, 1972.

Brummett, Palmira, Ottoman seapower and Levantine diplomacy in the age of discovery, Albany,

NY, 1994.

Casale, Giancarlo, 'The Ottoman administration of the spice trade in the sixteenth-century

Red Sea and Persian Gulf JESHO, 49 (2006), 170-98.

Dursteler, Eric, Venetians in Constantinople: Nation, identity, and coexistence in the early modern

Mediterranean, Baltimore, 2006.

Fattah, Hala, The politics of regional trade in Iraq, Arabia, and the Gulf, Albany, 1997.

Fleet, Kate, European and Islamic trade in the early Ottoman state: The merchants of Genoa and

Turkey, Cambridge, 1999.

Frangakis-Syrett, Elena, The commerce of Smyrna in the eighteenth century (1700—1820), Athens,

1992.

Goffman, Daniel, Izmir and the Levantine world, 100-1650, Seattle, 1990.

Britons in the Ottoman Empire 1642-1660, Seattle and London, 1998.

Inalcik, Halil, Osmanh Imparatorlugu: Toplum ve ekonomi, Istanbul, 1993.

inalcik, Halil, and Donald Quataert, An economic and social history of the Ottoman Empire,

1300-1914, Cambridge, 1994.

Kafadar, Cemal, 'A death in Venice (1575): Anatolian Muslim merchants trading in the

Serenissima', JTS, 10 (1986), 91-128.

Kevonian, Keram, 'Marchands armeniens au XVIIe siecle', Cahiers du Monde Russe et

Sovietique, 16 (1975), 199-244.

Kutiikoglu, Mtibahat, Osmanh-ingiliz iktisadi miinasebetleri, 1580-1838, Ankara, 1974.

Masters, Bruce, The origins of western economic dominance in the Middle East: Mercantilism and

the Islamic economy in Aleppo, 1600-1750, New York, 1988.

'The Treaties of Erzurum (1823 and 1848) and the changing status of Iranians in the

Ottoman empire', Iranian Studies, 24 (1991), 3-15.

797

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Christians and Jews in the Ottoman Arab world: the roots of sectarianism, Cambridge, 2001,

71-80.

Matthee, Rudolph, The politics of trade in Safavid Iran: Silk for silver, 1600-1730, Cambridge,

1999.

Mazower, Mark, Salonica, city of ghosts: Christians, Muslims and Jews, 1430-1950, New York,

2005.

Murphey, Rhoads, 'Provisioning Istanbul: The state and subsistence in the early modern

Middle East', Food and Foodways, 2 (1988), 217-63.

Ottoman warfare 1500-1700, New Brunswick, 1999.

Rafiq, Abd al-Karim, *Dirasat iqtisdiyya wa-ijtimdiyya ft ta'rtkh bildd al-shdm al-hadith*,

Damascus, 2002, 169-92.

Raymond, Andre, *Artisans et commercants au Caire au XVIIIe siecle*, 2 vols., Damascus, 1973-4.

Steensgaard, Niels, *The Asian trade revolution of the seventeenth century: The East India*

Companies and the decline of the caravan trade, Chicago, 1974.

Toledano, Ehud, *The Ottoman slave trade and its suppression: 1840-1890*, Princeton, 1892, 14-54.

van den Boogert, Maurits, *The capitulations and the Ottoman legal system: Qadis, consuls, and*

beratlis in the 18th century, Leiden, 2005.

Wallerstein, Immanuel, *The modern world-system*, vol. III: *The second era of great expansion of*

the capitalist world system, 1730-18405, San Diego, 1989.

Watenpaugh, Heghnar Zeitlian, *The image of an Ottoman city: Imperial architecture and urban*

experience in Aleppo in the 16th and 17th centuries, Leiden, 2004.

Chapter 23: The 'ulam

Practical suggestions for further reading

Berque, Jacques, *Ulemas, fondateurs, insurges du Maghrib: XVIIe siecle*, Paris, 1982.

Butshish, Ibrahim al-Qadiri, *'Mawaqif al-'ulama' al-andalusiyyin min al-tahaddiyat*

al-salibiyya li'l-Andalus ft '1-qarn al-khamis / al-hadi 'ashar al-milladi, al-Mu'arrikh al-

'Arabi, 60 (2001), 56-64.

Carmona, Alfonso, *'El saber y el poder: Cuarenta biografias de ulemas levantinos de epoca*

de Ibn Mardanis, in Maria Luisa Avila and Maribel Fierro (eds.), *Biografias almohades II*

(Estudios onomastico-biograficos de al-Andalus, vol. X), Madrid and Granada, 2000, 57-129.

Felipe, Helena de, *'Familias de ulemas de origen bereber en al-Andalus*', in *Adas del II*

Coloquio Hispano-Marroqui de Ciencias historicas 'Historia, ciencia y sociedad' (Granada,

1989), Madrid, 1992, 169-81.

Fierro, Maribel, 'The qddi as ruler' , in Saber religioso y poder politico en el Islam, Madrid, 1994,

71-116.

Gilbert, Joan E., 'Institutionalization of Muslim scholarship and professionalization of the

'ulamand' in medieval Damascus', SI, 52 (1980), 105-34.

Keddie, N. R. (ed.), Scholars, saints and Sufis: Muslim religious institutions in the Middle East

since 1500, Berkeley, 1972.

Molina, Luis, 'El estudio de familias de ulemas como fuente para la historia social de

al-Andalus', in Saber religioso y poder politico en el Islam, Madrid, 1994, 161-74.

Noth, Albrecht, 'Les 'ulama' en qualite de guerriers', in Saber religioso y poder politico en el

Islam, Madrid, 1994, 175-96.

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Pouzet, Louis, 'Un type d'echange culturel intermediterraneen au moyen-age: Les lecteurs

du Coran entre l'Andalousie et Machreq', in Adas del XII Congreso de la U.E.A.I.

(Malaga 1984), Madrid, 1986, 658-78.

al-Rafeq, A., 'The 'ulama' of Ottoman Jerusalem (i6th-i8th century)', in S. Auld and

R. Hillenbrand (eds.), Ottoman Jerusalem, the living city, London, 2000, 45-51.

Rosenthal, Franz, Knowledge triumphant: The concept of knowledge in medieval Islam, Leiden, 1970.

Shatzmiller, M., 'Les premiers merinides et le milieu religieux de Fes: L'introduction des

medersas', SI, 43 (1976), 109-18.

Sirriyeh, Elizabeth, 'Whatever happened to the Banu Jama'a? The tail of a scholarly family

in Ottoman Syria', BRIJMES, 28 (2001), 55-65.

Tadmuri, 'Umar Abd al-Salam, 'Al-Andalusiyyun wa'l-maghariba fi Tarabulus al-Sham',

al-Mu'arrikh al-'Arabi, 59 (2000), 44-55.

Touati, Houari, 'Les heritiers. Anthropologie des maisons de science maghrebines aux

XIe/XVIIe et XIIe/XVIIIe siecles', in Hassan Elboudrari (ed.), Modes de transmission de

la culture religieuse en Islam, Cairo, 1993, 65-92.

Urvoy, Dominique, 'La structuration du monde des ulemas a Bougie au VIe/XIIIe siecle',

SI, 43 (1976), 87-107.

Le monde des ulemas andalous du V/XIe au XII/XIIIe siecle: etude sociologique, Geneva, 1978.

Viguera, Maria Jesus, 'Los predicadores de la corte', in Saber religioso y poder politico en el

Islam, Madrid, 1994, 319-32.

Zanon, Jesus, 'Demografia y sociedad: La edad de fallecimiento de los ulemas andalusies',

in Saber religioso y poder politico en el Islam, Madrid, 1994, 333-51.

Zilfi, M. C, The politics of piety: The Ottoman ulema in the postclassical age (1600-1800),

Minneapolis, 1988.

Primary sources

Abu Bakr ibn al-'Arabi, Rihla: see A'rab, Sa'd, Ma' a 'l-qddi Abi Bakr b. al-'Arabi, Beirut, 1987.

al-Balawi, Taj al-mafraqfi tahliyyat 'ulama' al-Mashriq, al-Muhammadiyya, s.d.

Ibn al-Abbar, al-Mu'jam fi ashdb al-qddi 'l-imdm Abi 'Ali al-Sadafi, ed. Francisco Codera,

Madrid, 1886.

Ibn Battuta, *The travels of Ibn Battuta A.D. 1325-1354*, trans. H. A. R. Gibb, 2 vols., Cambridge,

1958-62.

Ibn Jubayr, *Rihla*, ed. W. Wright, revised M.J. De Goeje, E.J. W. Gibb Memorial Series,

vol. V, Leiden and London, 1907; trans. M. Gaudefroy-Demombynes, *Voyages*, 4 vols.,

Paris, 1949-65; trans. R.J. C. Broadhurst, *The travels of Ibn Jubayr*, London, 1952.

Ibn Khayr, *Fahrassa*, ed. Francisco Codera and Julian Ribera, 2 vols., Saragossa, 1893; analysis

and study by Juan Manuel Vizcaino, *La Fahrassa de Ibnjayr (m. 575/1180)*, Madrid, 2002.

Ibn Rushayd, *Mil' al-'ayba bi-ma jumi'a bi-tid al-ghayba fi 'l-wajha al-wajiha ila 'l-haramayn*

Makka wa-Tayba, ed. M. al-Habib ibn al-Khuja, Beirut, 1988.

al-Qalasadi, *Rihla*, ed. Muhammad Abu 'l-Ajfan, Tunis, 1978.

al-Suyuti, *al-Tahadduth bi-ni'mat Allah*, ed. Elizabeth M. Sertain, Cambridge, 1975.

al-Tijani, *Rihla*, ed. H. H. Abd al-Wahhab, Tunis, 1958.

al-Tujibl, *Mustafdd al-rihla wa'l-ightirab*, ed. Abd al-Hafiz Mansur, Tunis, 1395/1975.

al-UdfuwI, *al-Tali' al-sa'id al-jami' li-asma' alfudala' wa'l-ruwat bi-a'la al-Sa'id*, ed. Amin 'Abd

al-'Azi, Cairo, 1914; ed. Sa'd Muhammad Hasan, Cairo, 1966.

799

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

Secondary sources

Abiad, Malake, Culture et education arabo-islamiques au Sam pendant les trois premiers siecles

de V Islam d'apres 'Ta'rih Madinat Dimasq' d'Ibn 'Asakir (499/ 1103-5J1/nj6), Damascus,

1981.

A'rab, Sa'd, Ma' a 'l-qadi Abi Bakr ibn al-'Arabi, Beirut, 1987.

Avila, Maria Luisa, La sociedad hispanomusulmana al final del califato (aproximacion a un

estudio demogrdfico), Madrid, 1985.

'Las "mujeres sabias" en al-Andalus', in Maria Jesus Viguera (ed.), La mujer en al-Andalus:

Reflejos historicos de su actividad y categorias sociales, Madrid and Seville, 1989, 139-84.

'Women in Andalus biographical sources', in Manuela Marin and Randi Deguilhem

(eds.), Writing the feminine: Women in Arab sources, London, 2002, 149-63.

Benouis, El Mostafa, 'Les savants mis a l'epreuve a l'epoque almohade', in Maria

Luisa Avila and Maribel Fierro (eds.), Biografias almohades II (Estudios onomdstico-

biogrdficos de al-Andalus, vol. X), Madrid and Granada, 2000, 315-57.

Berkey, Jonathan, 'Women and Islamic education in the Mamluk period', in

N. R. Keddie and B. Baron (eds.), Women in Middle Eastern history, New Haven,

1991, 143-57-

The transmission of knowledge in medieval Cairo: A social history of Islamic education,

Princeton, 1992.

'Mamluks and the world of higher Islamic education in medieval Cairo, 1250-1517', in

Hassan Elboudrari (ed.), Modes de transmission de la culture religieuse en Islam, Cairo,

1993, 93-ii6.

'The Mamluks as Muslims: The military elite and the construction of Islam in medieval

Egypt', in Thomas Philipp and Ulrich Haarmann (eds.), *The Mamluks in Egyptian*

politics and society, Cambridge, 1998, 163-73.

Bulliet, R. W., *A quantitative approach to medieval Muslim biographical dictionaries*,

JESHO, 13 (1970), 195-211.

Calero Secall, Maria Isabel, and Virgilio Martinez Enamorado, *Malaga, ciudad de al-Andalus*,

Malaga, 1995.

Chamberlain, Michael, *Knowledge and social practice in medieval Damascus, 1190-1350*,

Cambridge, 1994.

Cohen, H.J., 'The economical background and the secular occupations of Muslim jurists-prudents and traditionists in the classical period of Islam (until the middle of the

eleventh century)', JESHO, 13 (1970), 16-61.

Eche, Youssef *Les bibliotheques arabes publiques et semi-publiques en Mesopotamie, en Syrie et en*

Egypte au moyen age, Damascus, 1967.

El Hour, Rachid, 'La transition entre las epocas almoravide y almohade vista a traves de las

familias de ulemas', Maribel Fierro and Maria Luisa Avila (eds.), *Biografias almohades I*

(*Estudios onomastico-biograficos de al-Andalus*, vol. IX), Madrid and Granada, 1999, 261-305.

Ferhat, Halima, *Sabta des origines au XIVeme siecle*, Rabat, 1993.

Fierro, Maribel, 'Why and how do religious scholars write about themselves? The case of the

Islamic west in the fourth/tenth century', *Melanges de l'Universite Saint-Joseph*, 58 (2005),

403-23.

Fricaud, Emile, 'Les talaba dans la societe almohade (le temps d'Averroes)', *AQ*, 18 (1997),

331-87-

Bibliography

Garcin, Jean-Claude, *Un centre musulman de la Haute-Egypte médiévale: Qaïro, Paris, 1976.*

Gibb, H. A. R., and Harold Bowen, *Islamic society and the West*, Oxford, 1957.

Haarmann, Ulrich, 'The library of a fourteenth century Jerusalem scholar', *Der Islam*, 61

(1984), 37-33-

'Joseph's Law: the careers and activities of Mamluk descendants before the Ottoman

conquest of Egypt', in Thomas Philipp and Ulrich Haarmann (eds.), *The Mamluks in*

Egyptian politics and society, Cambridge, 1998, 55-84.

Halm, Heinz, *The Fatimids and their traditions of learning*, London, 1997.

Heyd, U., and E. Kuran, art. 'Ilmiyye', *EI2*.

Hillenbrand, Carole, *The Crusades: Islamic perspectives*, Edinburgh, 1999.

Hillenbrand, Robert, art. 'Madrasa', *EI2*.

Humphreys, R. Stephen, *From Saladin to the Mongols: The Ayyubids of Damascus, 1193-1260*,

New York, 1977.

'Women as patrons of religious architecture in Ayyubid Damascus', *Muqarnas*, 11 (1994),

35-54-

İnalcık, Halil, *The Ottoman empire: The classical age 1300-1600*, London, 1973.

Kably, Mohamed, *Société, pouvoir et religion au Maroc à la fin du moyen-âge*, Paris, 1986.

Kohlberg, Etan, *A medieval Muslim scholar at work: Ibn Tawus and his library*, Leiden,

1992.

Lapidus, Ira, *Muslim cities in the later middle ages*, Cambridge, 1984.

Leiser, Gary, 'Notes on the madrasa in medieval Islamic society', MW, 76 (1986), 16-23.

Lutfi, Huda, 'Al-Sakhawi's Kitab al-Nisd' as a source for the economic and social history of

Muslim women in the 15th century', MW, 71 (1981), 104-24.

Makdisi, George, 'The madrasa in Spain: Some remarks', ROMM, 15-16 (1973), 155-8.

The rise of colleges: Institutions of learning in Islam and the West, Edinburgh, 1981.

Marin, Manuela, 'Des migrations forcees: Les savants d'al-Andalus face a la conquete

chretienne', in Mohammed Hammam (ed.), La Mediterranee occidentale au moyen

age, Rabat, 1995, 43-59.

'La transmision del saber en al-Andalus a traves del Mu'yam de al-Sadafi', Cuadernos del

Cemyr, 5 (1997), 51-72.

'Abu Bakr Ibn al-Yadd y su familia', in Maribel Fierro and Maria Luisa Avila (eds.),

Biografias almohades I (Estudios onomdstico-biogrdficos de al-Andalus, vol. IX), Madrid and

Granada, 1999, 223-59.

'The making of a mathematician: Al-Qalasadi (d. 891/1486) and hisriMa', Suhayl, 4(2004),

295-310.

Martel-Thoumian, Bernadette, Les civils et V administration dans Vetat militaire mamluk,

IX-XV siecle, Damascus, 1991.

Petty, Carl, The civilian elite of Cairo in the later middle ages, Princeton, 1981.

'Travel patterns of medieval notables in the Near East', SI, 62 (1985), 53-87.

Pouzet, Louis, 'Maghrebins a Damas au Vlle/XIIIe siecle', BEO, 28 (1975), 167-99.

Damas au Vlle/XIIIe s.: Vie et structures religieuses dans une metropole islamique, Beirut,

1991.

Repp, Richard C, 'Some observations on the development of the Ottoman learned hierarchy', in NikkiR. Keddie (ed.), *Scholars, saints, and Sufis: Muslim religious institutions*

since yoo, Berkeley, 1972, 17-32.

801

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

Bibliography

The mufti of Istanbul: A study in the development of the Ottoman learned hierarchy, Oxford,

1986.

Rodriguez Mediano, Fernando, *Familias de Fez* (ss. XV-XVII), Madrid, 1995.

Saad, EliasN., *Social history of Timbuktu: The role of Muslim scholars and notables 1400-1900*,

Cambridge, 1983.

Sarnelli Cerqua, Clelia, 'La vita intellettuale a Denia alia corte di Mujahid al-'Amin', *Annali*

dell'Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli, 14 (1964), 597-622.

Soravia, Bruna, *Al-Muzaffar Ibn al-Aftas, signore di Badajoz*, *Islam. Storia e Civiltà*, 31

(1990), 109-19; 32 (1990), 179-91.

Sourdel, Dominique, 'Reflexions sur la diffusion de la madrasa en orient du Xle au XHle

siecle', in *L'enseignement en Islam et en Occident au moyen age*, Paris, 1976, 165-84.

Sublet, Jacqueline, 'Le modele arabe. Elements de vocabulaire', in Nicole Grandin and

Marc Gaborieau (eds.), *Madrasa: La transmission du savoir dans le monde musulman*,

Paris, 1997.

Tabbaa, Yasser, 'Dayfa Khatun, regent queen and architectural patron', in

D. Fairchild Ruggles (ed.), *Women, patronage, and self representation in Islamic societies*,

New York, 2000, 17-34.

Thys-Senocak, Lucienne, 'The Yeni Valide mosque complex of Eminonii, Istanbul

(1597-1665). Gender and vision in Ottoman architecture', in D. Fairchild Ruggles

(ed.), *Women, patronage, and self-representation in Islamic societies*, New York, 2000,

69-89.

Valensi, Lucette, 'Le jardin de l'academie ou comment se forme une ecole de pensee', in

Hassan Elboudrari (ed.), *Modes de transmission de la culture religieuse en Islam*, Cairo,

1993, 41-64-

van Koningsveld, P. S., and G. A. Wiegers, 'The Islamic statute of the Mudejars in the light

of a new source', *AQ*, 17 (1996), 19-58.

Zilfi, Madeline C, 'Elite circulation in the Ottoman Empire: Great mallas of the eighteenth

century', *JESHO*, 26 (1983), 318-64.

&02

Cambridge Histories Online © Cambridge University Press, 201 1

.